DISCOUR Concerning the Authority, Stile, and Perfection MITOP THE Did and New-Testament. Continued Illustration of several Difficult Texts of Scripture throughout the whole Work. By JOHN EDWARDS, B. D. fometime Fellow of St. John's College in CAMBRIDGE. LONDON: Printed; and Sold by Richard Wilkit at the King's-Head in St. Paul's Church-Yard. M DC XC III.

# Imprimatur.

S. Blithe, Procan. Deput. Jo. Beaumont, S. T. P. Jo. Covell, S. T. P. C. Roderick, S. T. P.

Cantabr. April 13. 1 6 9 3.

#### TO THE

Right Reverend Father in God,

# SIMON

Lord Bishop of ELY.

MY LORD, OUR Kind and Generous Acceptance of my former Undertakings (which justly merits my most Thankful Acknowledgments, which I here render to Your Lordship) hath encouraged me to make this Offering of another little Treatise, and to request You to take both it and its worthless Author into Your Protection. Your Name alone is a sufficient Amulet against the Censures which these Papers may be exposed to by being made thus Publick. None will venture to damn that Book which

# The Epistle Dedicatory.

which Your Lordship shall be pleased to Patronize. I am consident of the Goodness of the Cause which I have Espoused, but I am as sensible on the other hand of my great and manifold Defects in the managing it. However, I entertain good hopes of sinding my Readers in some measure favourable to this Enterprize, when they shall behold Your Lordship's Name, which is the known Name of Learning and Piety, presixed to it by,

My Lord,

Your Lordships

Most Humble and

Devoted Servant,

John Edwards.

The

## THE

# PREFACE.

THAT I had prepared for the Publick View concerning the Authority, Stile, and Perfection of Scripture I intended to have Published together in one Volume; but finding that the Present Age is not for Great Books, I am content to comply with it fo far; especially perceiving the First Part of this my Undertaking to swell into a moderate Octavo, I am willing it should go into the World alone; and accordingly I now Publish that First Part only, intending to treat of the Stile and Perfection of Scripture either in one or two Volumes afterwards. The whole Attempt is of near Affinity with my former Undertaking, viz. of Criticizing on feveral Texts of Scripture, especially such as are Difficult, and giving the Resolution of them. I have all along, whilft I have mention'd foveral Paffages of Holy Writ (to which the Opinions or Practifes of the Pagans refer) given an Explication generally of them; So that I am still in pursuit of my former Defign, and I make it my Business to clear and illustrate the Sacred Writings, especially that part of them which is most Obscure and Difficult. But the more particular Defign of these Papers is to affect the Truth and Authority of those Ancient and Divine Writings.

and

### The Preface.

and that from the Testimonies of our professed Adversaries, viz. Pagans and Jews. It were folly to deny that divers of these things are mentioned in other Authors, and partly to the same purpose that I have produced them, (as indeed what useful Subject is there that hath escaped the Pens of the Learned?) but then it will be fitting (if not necessary) for me to add, in a just Vindication of my present Attempt, that so far as I have converfed with Writers, I never met with any that Traced this Noble Subject, both through the Old and New-Testament, which is the Design of this present Work. I know some have hinted at a few of these Remarks, and most commonly without infilting on the Reasons and Grounds of them, and without examining the particular Circumstances belonging to them. But I have not contented my felf with this superficial way of delivering these things, but have endeavoured to Search into the true and genuine Original of them, which hath occasioned several Just Difcourses, and enlarged Disquisitions on the various Matters which occur under those Heads. In brief, I have amply profecuted this Argument by offering a vast number of Particulars from my own Enquiry and Observation: I have designedly Treated on this Theme, which scarce any have done: I have methodically digefted my Materials according to the Histories, or other Passages in the Bible, to which they have reference in fewish or Pagan Writers: And Lastly, I have made the whole Serviceable to this excellent Purpose, viz. the attesting and confirming the Truth of the Sacred Scriptures.

But the main of this Preface shall be spent in vindicating my Interpretation of 1 Cor. 15. 29.

## The Preface.

In my former Enquiry into that Text, where I maintained that of Bang Compos ம் ஷ வாழல் (which our Translators render Baptized for the Dead) is according to the true and proper Signification of the Words in that place to be Translated Baptized on the Account, or by reason of, or for the sake of the Dead. Which Interpretation, I perceive, some are backward to entertain, because they doubt whether the Preposition view join'd with a Genitive Case, be taken in that sense in Prophane Authors. They grant it is Equivalent with the Latin causa, gratia, or in gratiam, but they think that these, and consequently the Greek Preposition always refer to, and denote some Advantage or Benefit: Therefore according to these Persons in renew thould rather be rendred for the benefit of the Dead, because this is the Acception of the Preposition in the Writings of all Prophane Authors. But to this I might reply, and that with most justifiable Reason, that I am not obliged to prove that this Preposition is used in Pagan Writers in the same Sense that I affert it to be used in this place of St. Paul. Who knows not that some Authors have a particular and individual Sense of some Words appropriated to themselves, and it is in vain to look for the same Acception of them in other Writers? The Commentators on Homer, Aristophanes, Herodotus, or any other good Greek or Latin Author, take notice that such a Word or Phrase is used by these Writers in a Sense different from what is found in others; and this is Satisfactory to the Learned. But especially if they find that one of these Authors useth the same word more than once in this peculiar Sense, they are confirmed in the belief of this singular meaning of it. So it should be here;

In

## The Preface.

for this is certain, that the Authority of the New-Testament is every whit as good as that of the foremention'd Authors, or any other. Any fair Critick will readily grant, that if I produce two or three places in the New-Testament where the Preposition which I affix to it, I perform my Task well enough. And this I have already done in my Enquiry into that Text, where more than the fore-named number of places is brought to confirm that particular Sense of the word is which I have propounded. I could have mentioned Gal. 1. 4. and 1 Pet. 3. 18. and other Texts made use of by Grotius, where he thinks is a auagrior is to be rendred, [ by reason of, or because of, or on the account of our Sins, I for our Sins were the proper Impulsive meritorious Cause of Christ's Death; though we must not exclude the Final Cause, because he suffered to take away our Sins. And Vossius goes something higher, who assures us that is and ob, propter, pro prefixed to Sins or Faults, and join'd with Suffering or Punishing whether in Scripture or any other good Author always fignifies the Antecedent or meritorious Cause, but never the Final: And I verily believe that Vossius was a's good a Grammar-Scholar as Socinus, whom he opposeth in this particular. There are other Texts which I might have produced, as John II. 4. this Sickness is vap' f signs to ber, for, Or on the account of God's Glory; and 2 Theff. 1. 5. υσρ' η κὶ πάσχετε for which (viz. the Kingdom of God) ye suffer; in both which places view denotes an impulsive Cause. And perhaps that place, 2 Phil. 13. vas institut, is to be understood so. It is sufficient then to have proved that is used in several places of the New-Testament

#### The Preface.

Testament in the Sense before-named, i. e. that it is as much as [on the account] or [because of] or [for the sake] that it signifies some Reason, Account, or Motive, why a Man should do such a thing. We need not search into other Authors to find whether this be the import of water among them; this is not requisite, for the New-Testament is able to vouch it self.

But though to prove this Sense of the Preposition in Classical Authors be more than I need to do, vet for the Satisfaction of the Scrupulous, for Vindicating my Interpretation of that Text, and for the Establishing it beyond all Exceptions for the future. I will shew that this very fignification of (and that with a word in the Genitive Case) is not uncommon in the Pagan Stile; and particularly I will make it evident that it hath not always a reference to a Benefit, as some think. For Proof of this I might fend you to Stephens's Thelaurus where in the word wee he produces fome Passages out of Herodian, Demosthenes, and (as I remember) Plutarch, which do in some measure evince the foresaid Acception of the Preposition; and out of Homer's Sixth Iliad he hath a a plain place,

Τεβς Τεβων.

where is offer is not rendred de te (as the common Version is) but causa tua, or propter te, because of thee I hear ill of the Trojans. Accordingly the great admired Scholiast Eustathius interprets was offer by the offer, and 'tis as much, he faith, as xasiv off, because of thee, or on thy account. But whether this be the meaning of iso in this place it is not so much material as that we need con-

The Preface.

trovert it; but this is sufficient for my purpose that this samous Commentator who understood Greek so well, acquaints us, that the Signification of is is sometimes as much as xden, yea when xden doth not signific or imply a Benefit, as is clear in this place; for these words of Hestor cannot possibly be carried to any such Sense; that is undeniable. Wherefore their Fancy falls to the ground who think the meaning of uniq the is some some some such a confict the product of such a one.

I have something yet more to prove, (and that even from Pagan Authority) which is this, that frequently imports an impulsive Cause, and that directly and plainly, and that it ought to be translated [ on the account, by reason of, because of ] To evince this, I will choose out an Author against whom there can be no Exception, I mean Hocrates, whose Writings are famed for their Propriety of Phrase, and Clearness of Stile. There he hath these Expressions, \* 😘 अ மாமான் எவட มพึง to fight on account of the Leagues made between them, † van vis endbeeles moneuer, to fight for, or on the account of their Liberty, † The carrar alneia modeneir, to fight for, or because of their own safety. These are all Impelling Causes, the Consideration of their League, of their Liberty, and their Common Safety excited them to do what they did. As in a higher Sense I proved that many in the Apostles Times were excited to initiate themselves into the Church by Baptisin by the Consideration of what the Holy Martyrs underwent for the Cause of Jesus. They were Baptized on the account of, by reason of, for the sake of those dead

#### The Preface.

dead Saints, those glorious Champions whom they faw die with fo much Courage. To proceed in the same Author, he expresses himself thus, \* was Athan draviles Sue, to fight for Rewards, i. c. because of thole Rewards which they expected: These effectually stirred them up to behave themselves with great bravery. So † was the style amountain is Translated famæ gratia by Wolfius, to die on the account of that Fame and Glory which they knew they should purchase after Death. And of the same fort is that Paffage, | There are those, faith be, that would not change their Lives was and with yds on any other account subatsoever, yet are most willing to lose their Lives in the Wars w 70. who doens, on the account, or for the fake of getting a Name. This was the moving, the Impulsive Cause of their dying. And that other fet of Phrases, ‡ xdeur exer ich, \* snoseval xainr ich, &c. To render Thanks for this or that, confirms that Interpretation which I have given, for those Favours and Kindnesses which they received, moved them to pay that tribute of Thanks. What we meet with in another place is to our purpose; † Is it just, saith he, to inflict so unequal and severe Punishments was thankiton inhandton, for, or on the account of such Faults? And so 'tis used in the same Oration again, with reference to Punishment. And when he faith, I was av Ashas quarum rerum metu perterritus, (as the foresaid Translator renders it) it is evident that we' is of the fame import with because of, by reason of, and that he speaks

<sup>\*</sup> Plataic. † Panegyr. & Plataic. † Plataic.

<sup>\*</sup> Orat. 2. ad Nicocl. † Panegyr. Orat. | Orat. ad Philip. † Panegyr. ad Philip. Epift. ad Philip. Epift. ad Mitylen.

<sup>\*</sup> Panegyr. Orat. † Plataic. Orat. 1. | Orat. ad Philip.

I he Preface.

of those things which excited Fear in them. Last. ly, It may be observed in this famous Orator, that when he is about winding up a Cause, he uses these Words, \* wo or wo s, which his Interpreter rightly renders quare, quapropter, propterea, which is in English, on which account, or because of what hath been said. He moves them to do this or that on the Confideration of what he had propounded to them in the foregoing part of his Oration. I could produce many more Quotations out of the same Author, and several others, Demostbenes more especially, who no less than three times in † one Oration uses the Word in this manner, and in | another place once or twice; but I think I have sufficiently establish'd my Notion already by what I have produced. You fee plainly that is hath not absolutely a refe. rence to a Benefit or Advantage, but that 'tis of a large import, and fignifies in general on the account, or for the sake, and more especially that it denotes an Impulsive Cause, properly so call'd, and is used to express those things or Persons that put Men upon Action; which was the thing I undertook to make good, and I challenge any Man to difprove it. I have defended the Signification of the Word of Classical Authors, that I might thereby obviate the Scruples of some Inquisitive Persons, and give some Satisfaction to the Curious, and make my Exposition of oi Bar Mischell week of verion, more clear and demonstrative, (when 'tis seen that it is sounded on the Acception of that Preposition, not only in

the New Testament, but in Prophane Authors) and, in a Word, that I may render my whole Undertaking on that Text the more acceptable to the Learned part of Mankind. To this rank of Persons I devote all my Endeavours of this kind; but that which I now offer to the World is more especially designed for the Use of younger Students in Sacred Learning, such as are Beginners and Candidates in Theology, though I am well satisfied that these Critical Researches will not be useless to those of a higher Character.

the

The Preface.

<sup>\*</sup> Panegyr. Orat. Plataic. Orat. bis. † Olynth. 1.

A CATALOGUE of the Difficult Chapters and Verfes in Holy Scripture, which are Explain'd in this Book, (being set down in the same Order that they are there mentioned.)

II. Hap. of Daniel, Concerning the Image whose Headwas of Gold, &c. Page 9 VII. Chap. of Daniel, Concerning the Four Beafts. VIII. Chap. of Daniel, Concerning the Ram p. 10 and He-Goat. XI. Gen. 4. Let us make us a Name, lest we scattered abroad, &c. XXXVI. Gen. 24. This was that Anah that found the Mules in the Wilderness, &c. XV. Judg. 15, 16, 17, &c. Concerning the Jaw-bone if the Aß wherewith Sampson flew a thousand Men. XXXVIII. Itai. 8. The Sun returned Ten degrees, by which degrees it was gone dosn. XXXIII.

XXXIII. Deut. 17. Where Joseph is compared to an Ox or Bullock, and why. p. 214 II. Luke 1, 2. There went out a Decree from Caefar Augustus that all the World should be Taxed.

II. Matth. 2. We have seen his Star in the East. Vers. 7. Herod enquired of them diligently what time the Star appeared. Vers. 9. The Star which they saw in the East went before them, &c. Vers. 16. Herod slew all the Children that were in Bethlehem, from two Years old and under, according to the time which he had diligently enquired of the Wise Men.

XXIV. Matth. The former part, which speaks of the Destruction of Jerusalem; and the parallel Chapter of St. Luke, viz. the XXI.

p. 394

The Author's Vindication of his Interpretation of 1 Cor. 15. 29. Præf.

ERRA-

#### ERRATA.

Page 18. 1. 28. for Abaz, read Hezekiah. p. 37. 1. 15. for end r. erre. p. 99. 1. 8. dele not. p. 151. 1. 15. dele not. p. 212. 1. 30. r. with Ham. and 1. 26, 27. correct the Hebrew words: And do the same in other places. p. 227. 1. 21. r. unuterable. p. 238. 1. 11. r. on. p. 241. 1. 9. r. deus n. p. 248. 1. 18. r. ex Athern, 1. ult. for that r. at other times. p. 250. 1. 17. r. Martinius. p. 255. 1. 26. r. tornare. p. 334. Marg. Quotations misplaced. p. 349. Marg. 3 latt lines, put Apolog. 2. ad Sen. after the Quotation, Sed cum, &c. And put (b) before Adv. Gent. p. 363. 1. 33. r. other Pagans. p. 364. 1. 26. r. Silver locks. p. 376. 1. 11. dele citeth the same testimony, and. p.411. 1. 7 & 10. r. Cedrenus.

What other Faults have escaped, the Reader is desired to Correct.

# Advertisement.

A N Enquiry into several Remarkable Texts of the Old and New-Testament, which contain some difficulty in them; With a probable Resolution of them. By John Edwards, B. D. In Two Volumes in Ostavo. Sold by J. Robinson, J. Everingham, and J. Wyat, in St. Paul's Church-Yard, and Ludgate street.

O E

#### OF THE

# Truth and Authority

OF THE

### HOLY SCRIPTURES.

#### CHAP. I.

The Internal Testimonies or Arguments to evince the Authority of the Holy Scriptures, viz. 1. The Matter of them, that is, the Sublime Verities, the Holy Rules, the Accomplish'd Prophecies contain'd in them: Under which last Topick several particular Predictions, chiefly in the Book of Daniel, are explain'd, and shew'd to be fulfilled. Further, 'tis demonstrated that the foretelling of suture Contingences of that nature, especially so long before they tome to pass, could be from God only. 2. The Manner of these Writings, which is peculiar as to their Simplicity, Majesty, and their being immediately dictated by the Holy Ghost. 3. Their Harmony. 4. The particular Illumination of the Spirit.

HAVE chosen a very Noble and Important Subject to exercise my Pen, and to entertain both my own and the Reader's Thoughts and Contemplations with; for no Book under Heaven can possibly be the Rival of the Holy Bible.

feendent Worth and Excellency of these Sacre Writings. Here not only all Natural or Mon Religion, but that also which is Supernatural, is ful ly and amply contain'd. Here is the Decalogue written by God himfelf, and transcrib'd out of th Law of Nature; besides that there are frequently interspersed in these Writings other choice Rule and Precepts of Morality. But Supernatural Reli gion being the chief, this is the main Subject of this Sacred Volume: and this you will find partly de livered by the Inspired Prophets of the Old Testa ment; and partly by Christ Jesus himself in per fon, and by the Evangelists and Apostles in the New Testament. Of these Holy Scriptures I am to treat, which are the Standard of Truth, the in fallible Rule of Faith and Holiness, and the Ground work of all Divinity: for this being the Doctrin which is according to the Word of God deliver in Sacred Writ, we must necessarily be acquainted with This, and know in the first place that it i True, and make it evident that it is fo. If a Estate be given a Person by Will, he must find prove that Instrument to be True and Authentical before he can challenge any Right to what is demi fed him in it. So it is here, God bequeaths us a Inheritance, (i.e. Life and Salvation, and Eterm Happiness) and the Scriptures are as it were the Will and Testament wherein this is plainly express and whereby it is conveyed to us. Especially the Writings of the Evangelists and Apostles deserve that Name, and thence are stiled 'H Kowin Alochima for the Greek word, which in its Original Impor fignifies a disposing of something, is most common ly applied to fuch a Disposal as is either by Covening or Testament. Hence it is sometimes rendred

among the Lawyers the latter Sense prevails; and accordingly you will find that a Last Will and Testament is express'd by this word διαθώτει, in the Imperial Institutions, and other Law-Books translated into Greek. We may here join both Senses together; for what God hath agreed to by Covenant with Man, that Christ bequeaths and gives by Testament. Now we must prove both these, i. e. we must make it evident that the Covenant and Testament are True, before we can receive any Advantage and Benefit from them. There is a Necessity of evidencing the Truth of the Scriptures, which are this Covenant, and this Testament; otherwise we can build nothing upon them.

#### Here then,

- I. I will evince the Truth and Authority of the Scriptures, which is the great Balis of all Theology.
- II. After I have largely infifted on this, I will proceed to give you an account of the Nature of the Stile and Phrase of these Holy Books.
- III. I will advance yet farther, and demonstrate the Excellency and Perfection of them.

The Subject of our present Undertaking is the first of these: in handling of which I shall but briefly and concisely make use of those Arguments which are commonly insisted upon by Learned Writers, till scome to six upon a Topick, which is not commonly, yea, which is very rarely and by the by used in this Cause; and this I will pursue very

B 2.

Jargely

largely and fully, I hope with some Satisfaction to the Reader. There are many Arguments to demonstrate the Truth and Authority of the Holy Scriptures, and shew that they are worthy to be believed and imbraced by us as the very Word of God. Some of these Arguments which are to prove the Truth of these Writings, are in common with those that prove the Truth of the Christian Religion, on which I shall have occasion to insist at another time: but my Defign at present is to propound those which are more peculiarly and properly fitted to evince the Truth of the Scriptures. And these are either Internal or External. The Internal ones I call those which are either in the Scriptures themselves, or in Us. The Characters of Divinity which the Scriptures have in Themselves, are either their Matter, or the Manner of the writing them.

I begin with the first, the Matter of them: and here I will mention only these three Particulars.

1. The Sublime Doctrines and Verities which are in Holy Writ. In reading this Book we meet with fuch things as cannot reasonably be thought to come from any but God himself. In other Writings which are most applauded, the choicest things which entertain our Minds, are the excellent Moral Notions and Precepts which they offer to us, which are all the Refult of Improved Reason and Natural Religion. But here are (besides these) Notices of a peculiar Nature, and fuch as are above our natural Capacity and Invention, as the Creation of the World (in that Manner as is represented to us in these Writings), the Doctrine of the Holy Trinity, the Eternal Decrees, the Incarnation of Christ the Son of God, the Redemption of the World by his Blood, the whole Method of Man's

Salva

Salvation, the stupendous Providence of God over his Church in all Ages, the Coming of Christ to ludgment, and (in order to that) the raising of all Men out of their Ashes. These and several other Doctrines deliver'd in the Sacred Writings, cannot be imagined to come from any but God; they carry with them the Character of Divinity, as being no common and obvious Matters, but fuch as are towring and lofty, hidden and abstruse, and not likely to be the Product of Humane Wisdom. A God is plainly discovered in them, for the most Improved Creatures could never have reach'd to this pitch. Any ferious and thinking Man cannot but discern the peculiar Turn and fingular Contrivance of these Mysterious Doctrines, which argue them to be Divine. We may therefore believe the Writings of the Prophets and Apostles to be the Word of God, because of the wonderful Height and Sublimity of those Truths which are contained in them.

2. The Exact Purity and Holiness both of Body and Soul, of Heart and Life, which are enjoin'd in these Writings, are another Testimony of their being Divinely Inspired. For though some other Books dictate Religion and Piety, yet this is certain, that all the true and just Measures of them were taken originally from this one Exact Standard, which was prior to them all, as I shall shew afterwards. Besides, the Love and Charity, the Humility, Meekness, and all other Vertues which the Scriptures describe to us, far exceed the most advantageous Representations, the most exalted Ideas which the Heathen Moralists give of them. These therefore are emphatically and eminently called by St. Paul, the Holy Scriptures, 2 Tim. 3. 15. because they breath the most consummate Goodness

The Truth and Authority Goodness and Piety, and that antecedently to all Writings what soever: because every thing in them advanceth Holiness, and that in Thought, Word and Actions. The End and Scope of them are to promote \* Sanctity of Life, to make us every way better, and even to render us \* like God himfelf. The Holy Scripture was intended to fet forth the Divine Perfections, to display the Heavenly Purity, and thereby to commend the Excellency of a holy Life. And it is certain, that if with fincere and humble Minds we perufe this Book of God, we shall find this blessed Result of it, it will marvellously instruct us in the Knowledg of the Divine Attributes, especially of God's Unspotted Holiness; it will tincture our Minds with Religion, it will pervade all our Faculties with a Spirit of Godliness, and it will thorowly cleanse and sandify both our Hearts and Lives, which proves it to be from God. But because I shall have occasion to

fay more of this, when I treat of the Perfection of the Scriptures, I will now dismissit.

1. 3. To the Matter of Scripture we must refer the Prophecies and Predictions which are contained in it. These I reckon another Internal Argument, because they are drawn from what is comprehended in the very Scripture it self: What a vast number is there of Prophecies of the Old and New Fostament, which we find fulfilled, and accordingly are Tostimonies of the Truth of these Scriptures? Here I will a little enlarge: and first I will hegen with that ancient Prophecy of Noah, & God shall enlarge Japheth, and be shall dwell in the Tenti of Shem, and Canaan shall be his Servant: Where

\* isegmendures, 23 desmidures. Clem. Alex. in Protrept.

are foretold things that happened above two thoufand Years afterward; for the Posterity of Japheth, viz. the Europeans, especially the Greeks and Romans, among other Conquests gain'd the possession of Judea, and other Eastern Countries. which were the Portion of Shem. Again, it was fulfilled thus; by Christ's coming and preaching the Gospel, and by his Apostles propagating it, the Gentiles, who were of the Race of Japheth, were admitted into the Church of God, which at first indeed consisted of those of the Posterity of Shem. \* Moses foretold the belieging of Jerusalem by the Assyrian Armies, and the Calamities and Miferies which attended it, which were very near a thousand Years after Moses's time. The Deliverance of the Ifraelites from the Oppression and Slavery which they underwent in Egypt, was promifed to Abraham above four hundred Years before it happened. A King Josias was expresly named three hundred Years before his Birth; and confequently it was a longer time before he could demolish the Altars, and destroy Idolatry at Bethel, which was also particularly foretold by a Man of God. Cyrus, who first united the Kingdom of the Medes and Persians, and was the first Emperour of the Second Chief Monarchy, viz. the Persian, was honourably named and foretold by Ifaiab to be the Deliverer of the lews out of their Captivity, and the Restorer of their Temple, almost two hundred Years before he was born, and before that Deliverance was accomplished; Ifa. 44, 28, and 45. 1-5. This is that Cyrus who conquered Assyages the last King of the Medes, and translated the Empire to the Perfians, and brought Asia and

<sup>\*</sup> Deur. 28. 49, &c. + 1 Kings 13. 2.

all the East under his Power. This is that Cyrus whose Life Xenophon wrote, faith Sir W. Raleigh: and from some things there related, especially his last Oration at his Death, we may probably gather that he received the Knowledg of the True God from Daniel when he govern'd Susa in Persia, and that he had read Isaiah's Prophecy wherein he was expresly named. And indeed \* Josephus tells us that he had so; and that when the Jews shewed Cyrus that Place of Scripture which foretold his Wars and Victory, and likewife his Beneficence to the Jews, he admired the Divinity of the Book; and to make good what he read, he conferr'd many great Kindnesses on that People. It is no wonder therefore, faith a f Judicious Writer, that the History of Cyrus's Life wrote by the foresaid Historian, is thought by some to be a Fiction, he being so Extraordinary a Person, designed by God, and fignally foretold before-hand. An extraordinary Spirit and Vigor actuated him, which makes that Historical Account of him look like a Romance. But notwithstanding what these Learned Men fay, I am doubtful whether this famous Cyrus whom I am now speaking of, was he that this Historian gives us an account of; for that Cyrus whom he describes, died a Natural Death, and expired peaceably on his Bed, and among his Friends; but this Cyrus that fet up the Persian Monarchy, died in the Wars, and was overcome by Tomyris Queen of the Scythians. Therefore 'tis thought by others, that the Life of Cyrus the Second is described by Xenophon. To proceed, the taking of Babylon, and its being brought under the Power of the Medes and Persians, were predicted by

Ma. 47. 1, &c. And this Noble Prophet hath defervedly gain'd the Title of Evangelical, because he fo exactly fets down what happened feveral hundred Years afterwards upon the Arrival of Christ, and the Dispensation of the Gospel. Jeremiah (another noted Prophet) prefixed the feventy Years of the Babylonian Captivity: And in other Prophets, who were Pen-men of the Old Testament, there are very plain Predictions of future Events; and the Accomplishment of them hath proved them to be True. But the Spirit of Prophecy is most eminent and wonderful in Daniel, who hath foretold the State of the World from the time of the Captivity, wherein he lived, till the Coming of Christ in the Flesh, which was about five hundred Years after. The Succession of the most famous Empires or Monarchies of the World, is prophetically represented by him in his Interpretation of \* Nebuchadnezzar's Dream. There (as St. Jerom faith) he shews that he + had knowledg of all Times, and was fore-acquainted with the various History of the whole World. There you will see the Babylonian, Medo-Persian, Greek and Roman Monarchies decipher'd by the four known Metals, Gold, Silver, Brass, and Iron. The Head of Gold is the Assyrian or Babylonian Empire, which was the First and Richest Monarchy, and was the Beginning and Head of the rest which were to follow. The Breast and Arms of Silver are the Medo-Persian Empire; which because it confifted of two People, it is therefore fitly fet forth by two Arms. Belly and Thighs of Brass are the Greck

<sup>\*</sup> Antiqu. l. 11. c. 1. † Dr. Jackson.

<sup>\*</sup> Dan. 2. † Temporum conscius, & totius Mundi. Polyhistor. Epist. ad Paulin.

Greek Empire; which because it was chiefly divided into two Kingdoms of the Lagida and Selection cida, it is well expressed by two Thighs. Legs of Iron are the Roman Empire; which being divided into Eastern and Western, by occasion of Conjugar tine's removing his Seat from Rome to Byzantium, is not unfitly fet forth by two Legs. Its Feet are faid to be partly Iron and partly Clay, because being divided, it was not all of a piece, but was of a different Nature: they could no more unite and cement, than Iron and Clay. Then you read of a Stone cut out without Hands, i. e. the Lord Christ, not born after the ordinary and humane way. This Stone was first visible in the Days of those Kings, ver. 44. i.e. the Kings that make up the Roman Empire: for then Christ was born, then Christianity was first set up. This Stone shall become a great Mountain, and fill the whole Earth, ver. 35. and destroy the Gold, Silver, Brass and Iron, i.e. put an end to these Empires. Christ and his Church shall constitute another, viz. a Fifth Empire, much more Glorious and Renowned than the former ones. This famous Prophecy, of above two thoufand Years date, was in a fignal manner verified at the Coming of Christ, the King of Kings, and Lord of Lords; and it shall have a further Completion when the Christian Religion shall be propagated anew in the remote Parts of the World, and at last shall become the Religion of the whole World, and a Glorious Church shall be establish'd on the Earth. in the feventh Chapter of this Prophecy you

have the Vision of the Four Beasts, which foretells the very same which was represented by the Four Metals, but more particularly and largely. First there is the Lion, i. e. the Assyrian Monarchy, which

which hath two Wings, which denote the two part of that Empire, Babylon and Assyria. They are faid to be plucked, i. e. shattered and destroyed, as we read they were by Darius and Cyrus. This Lion is the same with the Golden Head in Nebuchadnezzar's Dream. Next comes the Bear, which is the Persian Monarchy set up by Cyrus, (as the former by Ninus) and expired in Darius, whom Alexander the Great flew in Battel. The three Ribs in its Mouth, are the three Chief Emperours or Kings of this Monarchy, namely, Cyrus, Darins, Artaxerxes, who devoured much Flesh, i. c. added many Nations to their Monarchy. Or the three Ribs may fignify the Persian Kingdom, which had united to it the Medes, and the Babylonian Power and People, and fo was composed of three. This is the same with the Breast and Arms of Silver in the foregoing Dream. The next thing in the Vision is a Panther or Leopard, with four Wings and Heads; which fignifies the Greek Empire, with the principal Kingdoms or Satrapics which after Alexander's Death arose out of that Monarchy, viz. those of Seleucus King of Syria, Antigonus King of the Lesser Asia, Caffander King of Greece, and Ptolomy King of Egypt. The four Wings also signify the Swiftness of Alexander's Conquests, and also the speedy Divifion of his Empire into four Kingdoms. This is the same with the Brazen Belly in the preceding Dream. Lastly there appears a Beast with ten Horns, which is the Roman Empire; though I know fome interpret this Fourth Beaft of the Aliatick Monarchy, called by Historians the Regnum Sciencidarum, or those several lesser Kingdoms which see up upon the breaking of Alexander's Monarchy. The ten Horns are ten Kings, as is plain from the express Words in the 24th Verse; and these (fay They')

they) are Seleucus Nicanor, Antiochus Soter, Antiochus Theos, another Seleucus, Ptolomaus Euergetes, a third Seleucus, Antiochus, Ptolomæus Philopator, Seleucus Philopator, Antiochus Epiphanes. And the little Horn mentioned ver. 8. is, say they, the last of these ten. Others are of opinion that the little Horn that came up among the ten Horns, is the Mahometan or Turkish Empire, which grew out of the Roman Monarchy, or those Territories which were possessed by the Romans: and the three Horns it hath feized on, are three Parts of the Monarchy, viz. Asia, Egypt, Greece. But to unprejudiced Minds it will rather appear, that this part of the Vision which speaks of the Fourth Beast, and the Little Horn, belongs to the Roman Empire: for this Vision is but an enlarging on the Dream of the four Metals before spoken of. And yet I will grant that this Prophetick Vision may be taken with some Latitude, as many of the Prophecies of the Old and New Testament (as I shall shew afterwards) are to be taken: and fo Antiochus Epiphanes and Mahomet (the one the Scourge of the Jewish Church, the other of the Christian) may not be excluded here, but after a Prophetick manner implied; yet so as the Roman Empire, and what was to happen in the World in those Dominions, are chiefly and principally here meant. This is the Beast with ten Horns, which are the ten Members or Kingdoms belonging heretofore to the Roman Empire, viz. Asia, Africa, Syria, Egypt, Italy, Spain, Greece, Gallia, Germany, Britain. This Fourth Beast is the same with the Legs of Iron and Clay, spoken of before. It is easy and obvious to apply the Character of this last Beast to the Roman Empire. It was dreadful and terrible, and strong exeeedingly: it had great Iron Teeth; it devoured and brake

brake in pieces, and stamped the Residue with the Feet of it; and it was diverse from all the Beasts that were before it, as you read in ver. 7. And again, ver. 23. The fourth Beast shall be the fourth Kingdom upon Earth, which shall be diverse from all Kingdoms, and shall devour the whole Earth, and shall tread it down. and break it in pieces. This is a most Graphical Delineation of the Secular Power of Rome, and of the Slaughter and Ravage it hath made on the Earth. It is farther added, that there came up among the Horns another little Horn, ver. 8. that is, a Power distinct from those ten Powers or Kingdoms before mentioned. Here then perhaps is meant the Church or Hierarchy of Rome distinct from the Secular Power or ten Kings. This is Popery in the most proper Sense, the Ecclesiastical and Spiritual Dominion of Rome, as it is diffinguish'd from the Civil or Temporal one: and it is the fame with the False Prophet in Rev. 19. 20. How naturally the Character of this Horn is appliable to this Purpose, may be seen in the following Veries.

The eighth Chapter reacheth not so far, but yet contains a very notable Prophecy, couched in the Vision of a Ram and a He-Goat. The \* Ram with two Horns is (as is afterwards interpreted in express Words) the † Kingdom of Media and Persia. The He-Goat is the Greek Empire: the || notable Horn between his Eyes, is Alexander the Great, the first Greek Monarch, as you find it express (or Kingdom) of Greece; and the great Horn that is between his Eyes, is the first King. It is said, (\*) he touched not the Ground, i. c. he went on swiftly; for in twelve Years he did all his Work, and in three

\* Ver. 2. † Ver. 20. | Ver. 5. (\*) Ibid.

three Battels he vanquish'd Darius, and succeeded in his Monarchy. Thus he fmote the Ram, and brake his two Horns, and cast him to the Ground, ver. 7. It was above two hundred Years before this was accomplished that Daniel here foretold, and therefore it is a very confiderable Prophecy, and a very remarkable Proof of the Authority of this Book. Hence it was that when Alexander the Great was on his march towards Jerusalem to destroy it, Jaddus the High Priest went out to meet him with the Book of Daniel in his Hand, which he opened and shewed to that great Monarch, and let him see this Place wherein his mighty Atchievements and Glory were foretold: Which very thing diverted him from doing that Harm to the Jews which he intended, and also made him confident in his Enterprizes against Persia, the Conquest of which this Prophecy foretold. When this great Horn was broken, Jour other notable ones came up in its flead, v. 8. that is, on the Death of Alexander there iprang up these sour Kingdoms, namely Macedonia, Asia, Syria, Egypt: These stood up, but not in his Power, ver. 22. i. e. Alexander's; they were much weaker and feebler, being divided. Out of one of these Horns came forth a little one, ver. 9. who is afterwards called a King of fierce Countenance, ver. 23. This is Antiochus Epiphanes, who came out of the Syrian Horn: by bim the daily Sacrifice was taken away, and the Place of the Sanstuary was cast down, ver. 1.1. He destroyed wonderfully, and prospered and practifed, and destroyed the mighty and the holy People, ver. 24. This and much more which you read in this Chapter, can agree to no Person fo well as to that Antiochus, who plagued and embarass'd all Syria, and miserably shock'd the Holy Land, and with unspeakable Rage and Fury per**fecuted** 

fecuted the People of it, and deprived them of their Sacrifices, and defiled their Altars, and fooiled their Temple the celebrated Place of their Worship, and cruelly and barbarously put many to death that refused to violate the Law of Moses. At last it is said, be shall be broken without Hands; which plainly fignifies the fudden and unexpeded Catastrophe of him and his Army, which the Jewish History will particularly inform you of.

of the Holy Scriptures.

I will not particularly inlift on the eleventh Chapter of the same Prophet, in the beginning of which it is foretold concerning Xerxes, that by bis Strength through his Riches he should stir up all against the Realm of Greece, ver. 2. which we read was punctually fulfilled, for he entred Greece with an Army that confifted of a Million of Men. And what is faid concerning Alexander the Great, viz. that bis Kingdom should be broken, and divided towards the four Winds of Heaven, and not to his Posterity, &c. ver. 4. we know was really accomplished. The rest of the Chapter is a Prophetical History of the Exploits of those several lesser Kings, among whom the Grecian Monarchy after Alexander's Death was divided, especially of Antiochus the Great, and of Antiochus Epiphanes. Here, as in the former Chapters, you may fee many things foretold a long time before they were fulfill'd; which is a certain and undeniable Argument of the Prophetick Spirit in the Scriptures.

We might proceed to the Predictions and Prophecies of the New Testament, which we see also are performed in great measure. Here was foretold the wonderful Propagation of the Gospel, the Rejection of it by the Jews, the Receiving of it by the Gentiles, the Destruction of Jerusalem, and all the Calamities of that Nation. These Pre-

dictions

and

dictions we know are accomplished. Besides, in the Writings of the New Testament we read that Christ foretold many things concerning himself and his Followers, as the Scandal which his Disciples, especially Peter, would give, Mat. 26. 31. Peter's triple Denial of him, Luke 22. 31. and yet at the same time he foretold that it should not be accompanied with a final falling away, ver. 32. He foretold that he should be betrayed, and that he should be mock'd and scourg'd, and at last crucified; and that the third Day he should rise again, Mat. 20, 17, 18, 19. And as he predicted his own Death, the Place, Time and Kind of it, with the time of his Resurrection, (and I might have added also, of his Ascension, and of his sending the Holy Ghost;) so he did the same as to the manner of \* Peter's Death: and he foretold & John the Evangelist's long Life. He told his Disciples what should befal them after his Departure, what Calamities and Sufferings they should meet with for their professing the Gospel, and owning his Cause, He acquainted them that the Gospel should be preach'd throughout the whole World, that Scandals and Heresies should come into the Church. that many should apostatize from the Faith, and desert Christianity, Mat. 24. And the Evangelists and Apostles, as well as our Saviour, from that Spirit of Prophecy which was in them, foretold fundry things which we see since are fulfilled. In their Writings are Predictions concerning the Calling of the Gentiles, the Conversion of the Jews, the State of the Christian Church, the Rise of Antichrist, his Character, his Progress, and his

dreadful Downfal, a great part of which is already

fulfill'd. Much of the Fate of the World, which they foretold, God hath brought to pass; which gives us assurance that the rest will be accomplished in due time. Yea, there are at this day Prophecies fulfill'd every hour, as that of the Blessed Virgin in her Magnificat, From henceforth all Generations shall call me Blessed, Luke 1.48. The Memory of this holy Woman is daily celebrated in the Christian Church, and her Name is blessed throughout all the Assemblies of the Saints. They with one accord rejoice, that of her was born the Holy JESUS, who is Bleffed for evermore. And so likewife what Simeon and Anna foretold of Christ, are every day accomplished: some part of their Prophecies is at this very instant made good. That is another Prophecy which is now fulfilling, 2 Tim. 3. 1. In the last Days perillous Times shall come, for c. with several others that might be named, the Accomplishment of which no unprejudiced Man, and of common Ingenuity, will refuse to acknowledg. Now this wonderful Prophetick Spirit in Scripture, is a strong Argument that these Writings were inspired by God, and that the Matter of them is Divine. For the foreknowing or foretelling of things to come, is one Character of the True God, as you read in Ifa. 41. 22, 23. From thence it is evident that none can predict them, unless he be immediately enlightned and taughteoff God. The certain and infallible Knowledg of future Contingences, which depend on free Caules, is from Him alone. Wherefore when we fee (as in our present Case) that things were expresly foretold feveral hundreds of Years before they came to pass, and when we see that the Events exactly answer'd to the Predictions, we cannot but

acknowledg that these Predictions were from God,

<sup>\*</sup> John 21. 18. † Ver. 22.

and could not be from any elfer If it be objected, That other Writings beside the Bible have Predictions in them, and that Men of Skill and Sagacity do sometimes foretel Futuri. ties; yea, that those who have the least Converse with God, those who deal with Evil Spirits, have predicted things to come; and therefore this Argument is of no force. I answer, first, It is true that Natural Skill, especially improved by Art, by Reason and Philosophy, and the knowledg of the Laws of Nature, will give Men Infight into fome Futurities. For God hath impress'd a particular Quality on Natural Bodies, and they keep a constant Course. He hath fixed a way for his Crea. tures to act in, and they never go out of it of them. selves. The Operations and Effects of Fire and Water, of Gravity and Levity in Bodies; the Motion of the Sun and Moon, and the Eclipses of el ther, and the several Aspects of the Heavens, may certainly be foretold: for they continually and unerringly keep their Progress, unless God pleafeth fometimes to cross their usual Course, as when the Waters of the Red-Sea stood up on a hear whilst the Israelites passed over; The Fire in Nebu chadnezzar's Furnace was restrain'd from doing any harm to those that were cast into it; the Sun stood still in Joshua's time, and was retrograde in King Herekiah And so there are monstrous and mishapen Creatures born into the World, which deviate from the common Procedure of Nature. But sup poling that God suffers his Creatures to act according to the Laws of Nature, it is easy to make a Judgment of them, and to foretel what shall hap pen. But the things we are speaking of, and which are foretold in the Holy Writings, are of another kind; they are not fixed and determined by Na turca

ture: and therefore 'tis not in Man's power to predict their Events. Again, Physicians have their Prognosticks whereby they foretel what will become of the Patient, whether the Disease will be hardly cured, or easily, or not at all. But because these Prognosticks are founded on a great many Symptoms, and these are uncertain and dubious, it follows that those are so likewise: though 'tis certain an experienc'd Artist will see very far here. Then as to future Occurrences in Bodies Politick, a wife Man may by careful Observation and Remarks on the Affairs of the World, gain some Insight into these; by being long exercis'd in Business, by a large Experience of things, and by feeing what: hath been heretofore, he may gather what shall be hereafter. A skilful Historian, who hath diligently perused the Transactions of former Ages, and digested the Methods of Government, and scann'd the Manners and Customs of Countries, can do this. But this Forelight of things to come is Conjecture rather than Knowledg: for we can have no certain Foreknowledg of what depends on the Freewill of Man. Or if we will pretend to any Measure of it, we must deal only in Generals: as: for Particular and Personal Events, they are farbeyond our reach. And as for the particular Timing of them, especially if they be far off, there is no Prospect at all of it. Or where the Causes and Effects are Extraordinary and Preternatural, there we must confess our utter Blindness and Ignorance: they are no more to be differred by us, than the Antartick Pole is to be seen by us in our Hemisphere. We know not what such Events will be; we are not able to foretel them of our felves, they can be discovered by Revelation only. And that is the Case which is now before us: the Predi-

Predictions which we read in Scripture, are concerning those things which no humane Understanding or Foresight could possibly attain to. To foreknow and foretel things that should happen to the bewish and Christian Church, ewo or three thoufand. Years before they came to pass; to predict the Deliverance of the Israelites from their Slavery in Egypt, four hundred Years before it happened; to mention Justias and his Religious Acts three hundred Years before he was born; to describe the future Monarchies of the World, and some of the most remarkable Passages belonging to them; to foretel almost two hundred Years before-hand, that there shall be such an Emperour as Cyrus, and to particularize his Actions; these are such things as no Wife Philosopher, no Learned Physician, no Brudent Statesman, no Prying Historian is able to foresee and distracer: for they are not general, but particular and personal Events; they were at a vast distance, and not near at hand : and the punconal Time of some of them was exactly assigned. If we respect second Causes, they were such Occorrences as depended on the free Agency of Man: and if we respect God, they were the mere Results of his Arbitrary Will and Pleasure; they were preternatural and unusual Events, and therefore it was not within the compass of Man's Apprehension to discover these things, the knowledg of them could not be had without Divine Assistance. To this alone then we must attribute the Prediction of them. The omniscient Eye of Heaven only could dive into these Secrets which were so far off; and thence it is that the Scriptures (which are by imme-! diate Revelation) have recorded them.

Secondly, It is faid in the Objection, that Evil Spirits help some to the knowledg of future Events, and

and therefore we cannot prove the Divinity of the Scriptures from the Prophecies which are there, and which are fince fulfilled. I grant indeed that the Devil help'd his Followers, or pretended to help them to the knowledg of some future things. This commenced into an Art among the old Greek and Roman Pagans: Divination (which, as Tully defines it, is a \* Fore-sense and foretelling of fortuitous Events) was a Science among them: and that Men were very eager of knowing before-hand what should happen, appears from the several ways of Divining which they used. Their way of foretelling was by observing the flight and chattering, the litting and feeding of Birds, by Infpe-Ction into the Entrails of these and other Animals that were facrificed. Some from the Aspects of Stars pretended to prefage what should happen: and the Profesiors of this Art were in great Esteem and Veneration. Dreams also were observed, and Arange Remarks made upon them. Some confulted the Dead, calling up the departed Spirits, and asking them concerning future Affairs. The Oracles were another way of Divining, and were the most celebrated of all. And many other kinds of Divination and Soothsaying were in use with the Pagan World: for they being mightily defirous to be acquainted with things to come, and to look into Futurities, ranfack'd both Heaven and Earth, and made use of all things above and below to inform themselves about them. But all the Information they received by these different ways of Divining, was either Uncertain, or Casual, or directly Diabolical. It was Uncertain, because it  $C_3$ was

Farum rerum que foituite piuantur prædictio atque præsentio. De Divinat. 1. 1.

, the

was grounded on unfound Principles, on foolifa and precarious Observances, and consequently the knowledg of Events was conjectural and fallible. Wherefore the wifest and soberest Men among the Pagans look'd upon it as no other: and particularly 'tis worth our notice that Tully, who is full of Arguments for Divination in his first Book on that Subject, hath as many against it in his second. This Uncertainty was especially observable in their Oracles, which were the most famous way of Divining among the Gentiles: the Priests were forced to speak in ambiguous Terms, thinking to falve their Credit by that Obscurity and Ambiguity. But we find no fuch thing in the Sacred Oracles and Predictions of the Old and New Testament; these are plain and intelligible, clear and open: Or if some few of them may seem not to be so, yet there are great numbers of others that we cannot but acknowledg to be most evident and perspicuous; and in respect of the Issue and Event of them, we know and are assured that they are Certain and Infallible. Or secondly, their knowledg of future Events by those foresaid ways of Divining, was by mere Accident. Their Soothfayers by Chance told Truth, as Liars fometimes do: which appears from this, that they very rarely hit upon an Event that came to pass. Wherefore we may infer, that when they did, it was not by Skill, but Chance. But this cannot be faid of the Predictions I have been treating of, for there is not one of them that hath failed; and I could have produced hundreds of Prophecies more, and shew'd the plain Accomplishment of them. Or thirdly, their knowledg of future Things was Diabolical, by which I mean this, that it was gain'd by that Communion and Correspondence which they

they held with Dæmons or Evil Spirits. But here it will be demanded, How can these Spirits know future Events? And if they do know them, how lis our former Assertion true, that the knowing and predicting of these things is from God alone? I answer briefly, That it is possible for the Infernal Spirits, and for Men by their Assistance, to attain to the knowledg of some future Occurrences: but those which we read are foretold in Scripture, are none of that number, but are of another and higher Kind. First then, we grant that these Damons (as that very Name imports) are Knowing and Intelligent Creatures, and have a great Inlight into the Nature of things, and are endued with a more than ordinary knowledg of Physical Causes and Effects: whence we may eafily infer the possibility of their diving into some future Transactions which depend wholly on Natural Agents. In the next place, those Spirits have had long Experience of things, and are thereby grown very fagatious and cunning, and on that account are able. to guess of things that are to come: for by observing what hath a long time happened in fuch and fuch Circumstances, they may not unsuccessfully sometimes conjecture what will be for the future. Moreover, those Nimble Intelligences travelling up and down the World, ranging and flying about, and visiting the remotest Regions of the Earth, and that with unspeakable Expedition, must. needs inform themselves concerning the diverse Occurrences abroad, and make very great Discoveries as to what shall be afterwards, from the Consults and Actions which they behold in the World. The Devil appearing in Samuel's Shape, told King Saul he should be with him the day following; for he might partly know the Event of

the Battel, by what he knew concerning the Enemies Strength, and the Anger of God against that forsaken Prince, &v. \* Diodorus the Sicilian relates, that the Chaldean Priests foretold the Death of Alexander the Great, and the dividing of the Empire among his Captains: which they might venture to do (and it feems their Prediction fucceeded) by the Information they had from those Dæmons they convers'd with, who 'tis likely not only faw the debauched Life of that King, but the great Mischief which it did to his Body, and from thence the probability of his being dispatched by a violent Fever, (of which we read he died ;) and they were not ignorant of the Ambition and Contentions of his Captains; nay, perhaps they were conscious to some Cabals which promoted those Events; and then 'tis not to be wondred that they could foretel them. But there was another way too of foreknowing these things, for they might be found predicted in Daniel's Prophecies, (of which we have spoken) which the Chaldean Priests had without doubt some knowledg of. There they found it written in express words, chap. 8.8. The great Horn (which, as the preceding Verses will Inform you, is meant of Alexander the Great) was broken, (i. e. in plain terms, he died) and for it came up four notable ones, (i.e. his four chief Commanders fucceeded him in the Empire.) And this you will find repeated almost in the same words, in chap. 11.4. that there might be no mistake about the Prophecy. But truly I am enclined to think that there is yet another Account to be given of this Passage in Diodorus, for (as I shall hereafter make it more evident) there are a great many References,

ed in the Old Testament, though they are generally done with some Obscurity or Mistake. And I take this to be of that nature; for the Historian refers here not only to the Book of Daniel (which questionless was very famous in those days) and particularly to those Prophetick Words before cited, but to Daniel himself: He was that Chaldean Priest; for though he was an Hebrew by Birth, yet Chaldea was the Country he lived in, and he was in high esteem with the Chaldean Kings. But when the Historian speaks in the Plural of Chaldean Priests, it is a pardonable Oversight, and such as is frequent in Writers. And he calls them Priests, because among the Chaldeans their Priests were the most knowing Men, and the Magi and these were Terms convertible fometimes. Or to call Daniel a Priest instead of a Prophet, is a Mistake both easy and excusable: wherefore notwithstanding this small Slip, there is reason to believe that the Historian refers to the Prophet Daniel, who once and again in very intelligible, though Prophetick Terms, foretels the Death of that Great Monarch, and the Division of his Kingdoms amongst his Captains. I might add likewise, that Evil Spirits are confiderable Actors in the Affairs on Earth; and therefore 'tis no wonder that they are able to foretel what they themselves are defigning to bring to pass. They could casily inform Spurina of Julius Casar's Fate, when they had been present at the Consults of the Senate, and were Instigators to take away his Life. St. Austin speaks of one that knew and could tell the Thoughts of Men, as when one thought of a Verse in Virgil, or the like. But \* Luther faid well, the Devil had before Collogu, Menfal,

before possessed his Thoughts with that Verse, and scular Revelation from God. He was pleased by then it was not difficult to foretel what he did ecret Inspiration to inform his Servants, and to himself. Thus you see how far, and in what man live them a discovery of those things which no ner, Devils and wicked Men by their Means may created Understanding could discern. Lastly, foreknow Futurities. But now if we consider the This may suffice in answer to those who suppose things foretold in the Old and New Testament, that some Persons who converse with Diabolick Spiwe cannot apply any thing of all this to them: for the foreknowing that K. Josias, Cyrus and Alexa wonderful Propagation of the Gospel, the Conversion of Jews and Gentiles, and the like, could not possibly be from the fagacious Insight into the Nature of things, which the Evil Spirits may attain to, nor from their Observation and Experience, which are only of things past or present; nor from any Acquaintance with the Affairs of the World, as being Actors in them: for fome of these Events which we have mentioned out of the Sacred Writings, had no dependance on Common and Natural Causes, and therefore could not be penetrated into by the most subtile Enquirers into Nature, as we suppose Evil Angels to be: and belides, they were at so vast a distance in respect of Time, that it is impossible to imagine that these Spiritual Agents could have any Part then to act in them. No Man of Sense can prevail with himself to credit any such thing, but on the contrary he must be forc'd to acknowledg that it is wholly against the Nature of those Events, to be foreseen and discover'd by any Diabolick Skill to long a time before they actually happened. Wherefore I conclude, that the foreknowing, and consequently the foretelling of them, was by particular

rits, may have some Foresight of future Continander should appear in the World so many Years speak of, is far different: here can be nothing of afterwards, the predicting of the Succession of the the Devil, because these Prophecies, and all the three Great Monarchies, (for one of them was other Writings of the Old and New Testament, tend to the promoting of Holiness and Godliness, and the destroying the Works of the Devil. Their main Design is to weaken, and even demolish Satan's Kingdom, and to fet up that of Christ Jesus both in the Consciences and Lives of Men. It is ridiculous therefore to fay, that these Prophecies are from the Devil. No Man of ordinary Sense can digest such a Proposition; it is impossible it should gain the Assent of any intelligent and sober Person. When we consider the Nature of these Prophecies, and what they aim at, we must needs own them to be from Him to whom all Future Things are Present, and who is the Cause as well as the Foreseer of them. And therefore when we observe that the things which the Writers of Holy Scripture have delivered, are actually come to pass, we may with reason conclude, that their Writings are not Forgeries; but on the contrary, that the Penmen of them were Inspired Persons; that they had the Gift of Prophecy, which is an infallible Testimony of their Authority. These things being thus foretold fo long before, and being exactly verified fince, it undeniably follows, that the Books which contain these Predictions, and are founded on them, are True and Certain. Thefe

of the Holy Scriptures. These Predictions coming from God, are an atta the gross Miscarriages of themselves, and of red Proof that these Writings were endited heir Brethren; as their cowardly leaving of Christ him, they being so great a part of them. This chis Passion, John's falling at the Feet of an Anthat which an antient Father long since delivered to worship him, Thomas his Insidelity, John \* The foretelling of suture things, saith he, and James (the Sons of Zebedee) their unseasona-Characteristick Note of the Divine Authority he Ambition, Peter's denying of Christ even with the Scriptures; for this is a thing that is aboverjury. This free and plain dealing of the Wrihumane Nature, and the Powers of it, and ders of the Old and New Testament, shews that only be effected by the Virtue of the Divine S they are not the Writings of Men. A Man may rit. We may rely upon it, as an impregnative that there is no worldly and finister Design Maxim, that the Spirit of Prophecy, and the Francisco on in them, but that the Glory of God is filling of Prophecies, are a Divine Proof of the wholly intended by their impartial discovery of Truth of the Scriptures, and are a sufficient Gront the Truth. Which was long since taken notice of to us of believing them to be the Word of Gody \* Arnobius in answer to that Cavil of the Pagans, Thus from the Matter of the Holy Scriptures, what the History of the Gospel was writ by poor have fundeniable Evidence of the Authority at My People, and in a simple Manner: Therefore,

Again, the Manner of these Writings is anothe out of Simplicity. This Impartiality and Since-Proof of the Divine Authority of them. The rity of theirs are an irrefragable Argument of the are not writ as others are wont to be: the Pennee Truth of their Writings. of these Sacred Books do not speak after the rate. And here also you will find an excellent and adof other Writers. How admirable is the Simpli mirable Composition of Simplicity and Majesty tocity and Ingenuity of these Men all along? The gether. Though the Strain be High and Lofty, do not hide their own or others Failings, yea ever yet you may observe that at the same time it is when they are very gross and scandalous: the Humble and Condescending. To which purpose Moses recorded not only Noah's Drunkenness and Lot's Incest, but his own rash Anger and Unbelief and David registers in the 51 H Pfalm, his own Murder and Adultery: Jeremiah relates his own unbecoming Fears, Discontents and Murmurings, chap. 20. 7, 8, 14. The Writers of the New Tel stament conceal not the Infirmities and Defects,

> † H & Ocias orpius rikis mili, il kyvoia de sex-म्बार्माहरू. This मेरे रेहिक्सिक प्रवास्त्रकृते में क्टर्नजंड, प्रवासामानांड मेरे में wegigis. Isid. Pelus. Ep. 1. 5.

a Learned Father faith well, " The Language

of Divine Wisdom in the Scripture is Low, but

"the Sense is Sublime and Heavenly: whereas on

the contrary, the Phrase of Heathen Writers is

a Splendid, but the things couched in them are

"Poor and Mean. The Scripture-Writers make

which he, it is the more to be credited, because they write so indifferently and impartially, and

Truth of them.

<sup>\*</sup> דו אמפשג אוופול בי דווי לבי חדות וו חיבו עבא אירושי לביו של השיך Alia. Orig. cont. Celi. 1. 6.

of Scripture seem sometimes to be common and

rude, and altogether ungraceful, (sometimes I fay,

for I shall shew afterwards that Scripture is not

destitute of its Graces of Speech;) but that seem-

ing Commonness and Rudeness are great Tokens

of the peculiar Excellency of the Stile of Scrip-

ture. Gregory the Great excusing the Plainness

and Rudeness of his Stile in his Comments on Job,

professeth, that \* he thought it unworthy of, and

unbecoming the Heavenly Oracles, to restrain

them to the nice Rules of Grammar. Surely the

Writers of the Bible might fay fo with more rea-

son; it became them not to stand upon those Ni-

ceties and Formalities of Speech which are so fre-

quent in other Authors: for it is fitting there

should be a difference between Humane Writings

and Divine. I agree with a late Ingenious Au-

thor, who declares, that the fits not the Majesty of

God, whose Book this is, to observe the humane Laws of

Method, and Niceness of Art. Inspired Writings

it not their work to fet off and commend the Writings, by being Elaborate and Exact. He are no fet Discourses, no pointed Arguments, affected Strains of Logick. "\* The Writers " the Bible, saith another antient Father, did " make their Writings in a way of Demonstration "these unquestionable Witnesses of the Truth be ing above all Demonstration. Nor shall ye find here that the Writers strain for Elegancia and florid Expressions, as other Authors are won here is no quaint and curious Method, no form Transitions, no courting of the Readers, no unne cessary Pageantry of Rhetorick to gain Admir tion and Attention. Especially the Stile of the Evangelists and Apostles is not tumid and affected but plain and simple, and scorns the Ornamen and Embellishments of Fancy: for, as an for Christian said rightly, Truth needs no Fucus an Artifice; and therefore the Sense, not Words, an minded in Scripture. All good Men ought to pleased with this Simplicity and Plainness of the Holy Stile: of which there is a memorable Instance in an || Ecclesiastical Historian, who tells us that Spiridion, a notable Confessor for the Christian Faith, reproved one Tryphilius an Eloquent Man and converted by him to Christianity some time before, because, speaking one time in the famous Council of Wice, he did, instead of those Worth of Christ, | Tolle grabatum tuum, fay, Tolle lettun tuum humilem; he reproved him, (I fay) and that very tharply, for disdaining to use the word which

Just. Mart. Dialog. cum Tryph. + Arnob. lib. 1.

1. C. 11. | Gr. xed Blany, Mark 2. 9.

\* Ou 38 सहन्ये बेनार मिहिहबाद महत्त्रामाणावा नरंगह नरेद श्रेत्रभाद, बीन

must not be like those of Men. The fingular Grace of these is, that they are not Artificial and Studied, but Simple, Plain and Careless; and that their whole Frame and Contexture are not fuch as ours. An artificial Method is below the Majesty of that Spirit which dictated them. This would debase the Scriptures, and equal them with the Writings of Men. Wherefore the oftner I look into that Sacred Volume, and the more I observe it, the more I am convinced that the Pens of the Writers were wholly directed by a Divine Hand. For \* Indignum vehementer existimo ut verba coelestis oraculi testringam sub regulas Donati. Epist. ad Leandrum. † Robert Boyle Esq; of the Stile of Scripture.

ανωτέρω πασης αποθείζεως, όνθες αξιόπης ε μάρπιζες τ αληθια Sozom

for

of the Holy Scriptures. for though the Apostles thought sit (for some Reafons which I shall have occasion afterwards to offer to you) to make use of the Septuagint Version, thors of themselves would have used. If they had which is but a Paraphrase in many places on the Original Text, yet it doth not follow hence that

been left to their own Genius, they would have delivered things in another Method and Manner the very Words of the Original were not dictated than you fee them in. The Stile of them theres by the Holy Ghost. Neither our Saviour, nor the fore shews the Author. In short, had the Scrip Evangelists and Apostles, do hereby declare that

For take any of the Books either Doctrinal or Hi

ftorical, and you'l presently find that the way of

Expression in them is different from what the Au

on. It is evident therefore that they are not, be-sadds) it is not they that speak, but the Spirit of their cause the Apostles use other Words and Terms, father that speaketh in them, Mat, 10. 19,20. And is it not most reasonable to think that the same

tures been written in the common way of other the Hebrew Text was not inspired, and that even Writers, this would have disparaged them, and as to the Phrase and Words: but all that we gawe should have had no reason to think that they ther from their using of the Greek Translation, is were Divinely inspired, which is the thing I am this, that they found it convenient at that time (for Reasons which shall afterwards be alledged) Nay, I will adjoin this, that the very Words to quote some Places as they are rendred by those and Phrases of Scripture were dictated by the Spi- Translators, and not exactly according to the Orit; the very particular Expressions and Modes of riginal. This doth not necessarily imply, much Speech were under the particular Guidance and less prove that the Penmen of the Old Testament Direction of the Holy Ghoft. I know there are were not affifted by the Spirit in the very Words many of a contrary Judgment, among whom the which they used. But the contrary is grounded worthy Writer whom I last quoted, is not the on very good Reason, for these Sacred Writings least consident, and positively asserts that the Stile being of a more excellent and transcendent Nature and Language of Scripture were not dictated by than all others in the World befides, it was meet that they food furpas them all in This, viz. the faith he, were left to the Writers themselves, who Divinity of the very Stile. If you grant not this, as Men of Sense could express their Minds in fit you acknowledg these Writings, in one respect at Terms. And to prove that the Words were not least, and that no inconsiderable one, to be no dictated by the Spirit, he urgeth this, that Christ better than the common Writings of other Men: and his Apostles quote Places out of the Old Tel which certainly cannot but be look'd upon as a stament as they are translated by the Seventy, which great vilifying of the Bible. Christ promised his is not verbatim. Now, saith he, they would have Disciples, that when they should be brought becited the Passages in the very original Phrases and fore Governours and Kings for his Sake, it should Words, if these had been from Divine Inspirati- be given them what they should speak: for (as he

is no valid Argument, if you rightly consider it! Spirit taught the Writers of the Old and New Te-Hament.

14 stament what they should speak, and commit to writing, and gave them Words to that purpose? Especially if you consider that this was a Book which was to last to all Generations in the Church, and was defigned for the use of the Faithful, and for the confuting of their Adversaries to the World End; and accordingly was to be produced upol all Occasions, and therefore was to be of an extra ordinary Composure, and every Word and Sylla ble was to be from God, and the Direction of his Holy Spirit. St. Peter assures us, that the Writen of the Old Testament \* spake as they were moved by the Holy Ghost: their Speaking, and consequently their Writing (because this was according to that) was by the special Motion and Influence of the In fallible Spirit; therefore their very Words (for those are necessarily included in speaking) were dictated and directed by the same Spirit. And the other Great Apostle tells us indefinitely and absolutely, and without any restriction, that † d Scripture is given by Inspiration of God: Now if Al the Scripture be by Divine Inspiration, it follows that the very Words of it are; for the Words and Expressions and manner of Speech are a part of the Scripture: wherefore if we grant that the Whok is by Inspiration, we must necessarily grant like wife that the Parts of it are, for the Parts conflitute the Whole. We have reason therefore to as fert that every Word in Scripture is endited by God, and that every Letter and Syllable of it exact, and that there is nothing wanting, nothing fuperfluous, no Fault nor Blemish in the Stile and Phraseology of it. I cannot but here take notice of the fond Presumption of some of the Jevil

4 a Pet. 1. 21. † 2 Tim. 3. 16. of the Holy Scriptures.

Rabbies and Masorites, who alter some Words and Expressions in Scripture, and put others into their place. They forfooth in a more modest way (as they pretend) read, instead of Vrine, (in 2 Kings 18. 27. Ifa. 36. 12.) the Water of the Feet; instead of Shagal they read Shacab in all Texts; for Gnapholim, Deut. 28. 27. 1 Sam. 5. 6. they read Techorim: fo in other places where they think fome Words are obscene, they substitute others in their room. These Men would be more modest than the Scripture, and more chaste than the Holy Ghost; and yet they herein contradict themselves, for some of them have said the Hebrew Tongue is called Holy, because it hath nothing obscene in it, nothing of that nature can be uttered and expressed in it. This we are certain of, that there cannot be better and fitter Words than what the Scripture hath, for the Spirit endited them all; and therefore the Practice of the conceited Rabbies is to be exploded. \* There is not one Iota, or the least Apex in Scripture, which is put there to no purpose: And the same Father as truly faith in another place, † It speaks nothing in vain, nothing by chance. And the reason is, because All of it (Words no less than Matter) is dictated and delivered from above by an unerring Spirit.

Yet I speak not this as if the Sacred Writers of the Bible were so tied up by the Spirit, that they cannot, or do not make use of their own natural or acquired Skill. Though the Words be dictated by the Spirit, yet the Penmen might write and speak according to the Improvements they had made in Speech. I do not by what I have faid, Rabbin

> \* Chrysoft. Homil. in Saul. tom. 8. † 'Ou Ne dande ich रिम्प कु कि पुरिकास में पेलंब अस्त्रकृष्णे. Hom. 18. iu Gen.

exclude

exclude the peculiar Eloquence or Strain of the Writers, or their using the Helps of their Education, or their conforming to the Dialect of their Countrey: for these are consistent with That. Isaiah being a Courtier, and a Person of Quality, hath a neat and elegant Stile; and yet so as he knows how to vary it according to the Matter he treats of: But generally the is Lofty and Eloquent. his Stile being raised by his Education, which was futable to his Noble Extraction, for he was of the Blood Royal. Jeremiah and Amos being used to the Countrey, are mean and homely in their Language; the latter especially discovers his Condition and way of Life in his low and rural Strain, So in the New Testament, St. Luke, who had improved himself by Art and Study, is very observant of the Greek Elegancy, and avoids all improper and exotick Terms in his Gospel and in the Alls. Indeed the Stile of the Sacred Penmen is very different, and that Difference is an Excellency in this Book of God. But that which I say is this, the Writers leave not off their peculiar Stile, though they were moved by the Spirit. As this furnished them with new Expressions, so it let them make use of their own usual ones, but immediate ly directed and affifted them in the applying of them. So that at the same time when they used their Natural Stile, they were Divinely help'd to make it ferviceable to that purpose which the Ho ly Ghost intended. Hence I conclude, that the Stile, and Words, and Composure of the Sacred Writings are fuch as ought to be reckoned Divine For this is one difference between this Book and others, that every thing of it is Divine. And therefore those Persons who dream of Solecising in Holy Scripture, are the greatest Solecisers them selves!

felves; but especially those who affert there are Mistakes and literal Falsities in the Holy Book, are utterly to be condemned. Such is \* Episcopius, who dares affirm, "That the Spirit left the Wri-"ters of the Holy Scripture to their own humane "Frailty in delivering such things as belonged to "Circumstances of a Fact. Their Knowledg and "Memory were deficient and fallible. The Spirit "did not tell St. John how many Furlongs Christ's "Disciples went, chap. 6. 19. The same is to be "afferted (he faith) as to some Names, and other "Circumstances of Time and Place, which are " not of the substance of the thing. And before this you are told by & two others, that the Penmen of Scripture even in some light things; not that they would fallify, but that they might forget some Passages. Melchior Canus is of the opinion, that there are some considerable Slips in Scripture from the weakness of the Evangelists and Apostles Memories. Yea, among the antient Fathers there was || one who more grofly held, that the Writers of the New Testament sometimes abused the Testimonies of the Prophets of the Old Testament; and that they applied them to their present purpose, although they were nothing to it. "Thus St. Paul, he faith, quoteth the Old Testa-"ment in his Epistles to the Romans, Galatians and " Ephesians, only to ferve his turn, and to confute "the Jews his Adversaries. Read, saith he, these "Epistles, wherein the Apostle is wholly on the "Polemick part, and |||| you will fee how prudently "and

<sup>\*\*</sup>Institut. Theolog. lib. 4. + Socinus and Erasmus. || Hieronym. in Isai. 29, 86 56. In Joel 11. In Mic. 5. Item in 1 Ep. ad Tim. Nectoon in Epist. sua 50. || Videbitis eum in testimoniis quæ habet de Veteri Testamento, quam prudens, quam dissimulator sit ejus quod agit, Hieron. Apol. adv. Rusin.

39

" and dissemblingly he acts in those Texts which "he citeth out of the Old Testament. And at other times this bold Man is not afraid to fay that fome of the Matters and Things in Scripture are fet down wrong. This is no less than Profane and Blasphemous Doctrine: wherefore that Father is to be read with great Caution in fuch places as these. We on the contrary assert, that God was not only the Author of the Matter and Contents of Holy Writ, but also of the Words and Expresfions; yea, even when those Writers express their Sense in their own Terms, i.e. according to the Way and Dialect which they were Masters of, and which was most familiar to them, even then they were immediately affifted with Spirit. Which was absolutely necessary, that this Book might have no Errors and Failings in it of any kind, but that it might transcend all other Writings whatfoever. If you do not hold this, you make no confiderable difference between the Holy Scriptures and other Writings. Therefore I am thorowly convinced that this is a Truth, and ought to be maintained, viz. that the Holy Spirit endited the very Stile of Scripture, that even this was by the immediate Inspiration of Heaven.

To the Manner of its writing I may well annex its Harmony, and thence also prove it to be Divine. Though there are several seeming Repugnancies, (of which I shall treat afterwards in a Discourse of the Stile of Scripture, and endeavour to clear them up to the Satisfaction of every sober and considerate Person) yet it cannot but be acknowledged that all the Parts of this Book do entirely agree, and are consistent with one another. This in other Books, which are composed and written by one Author, is not so admirable, (tho

in those Pieces we oftentimes meet with very palpable Disagreements and Contradictions; ) but here we are able to remember, that notwithstanding these Books were written by different Persons, and those many in number, and disagreeing in Quality, and extremely distant as to Time and Place, yet their Writings contradict not one another, but there is an excellent Harmony in all their Parts, there is a perfect Concord and Confent among them all, fuch as is not to be found in any other Authors in the World, though of the same Sect and Party. Excellently to this purpose a very Wife and Judicious \* Man thus speaks: "When several Men in several Ages, not brought " up under the same Education, write, it is not possible to find Unity in their Tenents or Positions, because their Spirits, Judgments and " Fancies are different: but where so many several Authors, speaking and writing at several " times, agree not only in Matters Dogmatical, " of sublime and difficult Natures, but also in " Predictions of future and contingent Events, " whereof it is impossible for humane Understand-" ing to make a Discovery, without a superiour " Discovery made to it, I must needs conclude one and the fame Divine Spirit declared the " fame Truths to these several Men. And as to the feeming Contrarieties of some Places of Scripture, this should not at all trouble us; for this is rather an Argument of the Truth and Authority of it: it is a fign the Writers did not combine together to cheat and delude us. If they had defigned any fuch thing, we should not have met with any Difficult and feemingly Repugnant Places in these

<sup>\*</sup> Judg Hale of the Knowledg of God, and of our Selves.

Writings. But seeing we do so, this (among or ther things) may confirm us in this Belief, that the Scriptures were not contrived by Men who had a design to impose upon us; for if they had had fuch a Design, they would have so ordered it, that not the least appearance of Contradiction and Die ference should have been found. But truly there is no necessity of proceeding thus in this Discourse; for to an unprejudiced and industrious Enquirer there is nothing in Scripture that looks like incom fiftent and Contradictory. Upon a diligent Search we shall discern a mutual Correspondence in the Stile, Matter, and Design of these Writings; we shall find a happy Concurrence of Circumstances, and an admirable Contiftency in the Doctrines and Discourses, in so much that we shall be forced to acknowledg, that upon this single Consideration it is reasonable to believe that these Writings were endited by the Holy Spirit. This Harmony then of the Scriptures I may justly reckon among the Inward Notes of the Truth of Scripture, because it is adjoined to the Matter of it, which is of the very Intrinsick Nature of it. What Justinian professes and promises concerning his Digests in his Preface to them, that there is nothing Clashing and Contradictory in them, but that they are all of a piece, is true only of the Sacred Laws, of the Evangelical Pandells, which contain in them nothing Dissonant and Repugnant. The Old and New Testament, the Prophets and Apostles are confonant to themselves, and to one another; which is a great Argument of the Truth of them, There is nothing in one Place of Scripture oppofite to the true Meaning which the Holy Ghoft hath revealed and afferted in another. The Contents of the whole Book, whether you look into the

the Doctrinal or Historical Part of it, have nothing contradictory in them: All the Authors of it agree in their Testimonies, and assert the same thing, and confent among themselves. It is the Nature of Lies and Forgeries, that they hang not together, as \* Lastantius on the like Occasion hath observed. Especially if you search very inquisitively and narrowly into them, you will perceive that they are of thin and flight, and may eafily be feen through. But the Contents of these Writings have been diligently inquired into, and with great Care and Industry examined by all forts of Persons; and yet they are found to be every ways Consistent with themselves, and the Testimony of the Writers is known to be Concurrent and Agreeing. All wife and curious Observers must needs grant, that there is no Book under Heaven that parallels the Scriptures as to this: Which shews that they are more than Humane Writings, yea that they were Divinely inspired and dictated. And this I take to be the Sense of St. Peter, who assures us, that || no Prophecy of the Scripture is of private Interpretation. He speaks of the first Rife of those Prophecies which are in Scripture: they are from God, they are not of private Interpretation; they are not from Man's Invention, they are not of his own Brain and Fancy, but they are to be esteem'd to be (as they are) Divine and Heavenly Oracles. Thus (||) the Word of God is Witness to it felf, and stands in need of no others. The Scripture is fufficiently proved by what is in it, and

<sup>\*</sup> Hæc est Mendaciorum natura, ut cohærere non possint. Instit. 1. 5. c. 3. † Tenue est Mendacium; perlucet, si diligenter inspexeris. Sen. Ep. 80. || 2 Pet. 1. 19. (||) Alia omnia Dicta argumentis ac testibus egent; Dei autem Sermo ipse sibi testis est. Salvian. de Guber. 1. 3.

is to be believed for its own fake. Which made oining with our Consciences and Perswasions; and an antient Writer fay, " \* We have complet this Spirit powerfully convinces all Believers of ken Belief. They may be known from all other Writings whatsoever, by the Excellent, Tranfcendent, and Divine Matter contained in them, and by the peculiar Manner of delivering and publishing it. These I call Internal Proofs, because they are taken from the Books themselves, because they are fomething that we find there. These asfure us that they were written not by Man, but by God.

There is yet another Internal Testimony. I call it so, because it is within Us, though not in the Scriptures. As I have shewed you that the Holy Spirit speaks in the Scriptures, and bears Testimony to the Truth of them, fo now I add, that this Spirit speaks in Us, and works in our Hearts a Perswasion that the Scriptures are the Word of God. By this Spirit we are enabled to discern the Voice of the same Spirit, and of Christ in those Writings. This witnessing Power of the Spirit in the Souls of Believers, is afferted in Alls 5.32. & 15. 7, 8. and in 1 John 5. 6. From these Places it is clear, that there is an Illumination of the Spirit join-

\* "Ουπος દેષ પ્ર) ຖືμີ કે લે જે લે υπών જેઈ γεαρών πελέως લે ποδοκο. νύντες દેસ πίτεως જાત છે με ઉત્ત લે ποδοκτικώς. Clem. Alex. Strom ib. 7.

"Demonstrations out of the Scriptures them the Truth of the Scriptures. This Testimony felves, and accordingly we are demonstratively follows immediately on our fetting before us the affured by Faith concerning the Truth of the Inward Excellencies of the Scripture, as I have rethings therein delivered. Which cannot be presented them: for God makes use of those Evifaid of any humane Writings in the World: for dences and Arguments to beget a Belief in us of they carry no such Native Marks with them. But the Divine Authority of Scripture. The Spirit the very Inward Notes of the Truth and Authority enlightens and convinces Mens Minds by those of the Scriptures, create in us a certain and unsha-Means; but more especially he urges these Evidences on the Hearts of the Religious and Faithful, and thereby brings them to a firm Perswasion of the Scriptures being the Word of God. This is no Enthusiasm, because it is discovered to us by proper Means and Instruments; whereas that is without any, and is generally accompanied with the despising of them. But the Evidences and Notes in the Scripture are the Reasons and Motives of our Belief: only the Holy Spirit comes and prepares and fanctifies our Minds, and illuminates our Consciences, and causes those Arguments and Motives to make Impression upon us,

and effectually to prevail with us, and to filence all Objections to the contrary. Thus the Truth of Scripture is attested by the Holy Spirit witnessing in us. But when I fay the Testimony of the Spirit is a Proof of the Truth of the Scripture, I must adjoin this, that this Proof serves only for those that have this Spirit: it may establish them, but it cannot convince others. No other Man can be brought to be perswaded of the Truth of those Sacred Writings, by the Spirit's convincing me of the Truth of them. Besides, this Proof is not in all that really believe the Truth of these Books: fome may be convinced of the Truth of them without this; but where this is, it is most Powerful

our Faculties.

The Truth and Authority tain knowledg of the Truth of these Holy Wi the Hearts of Men, produced there in a ration way, and in fuch a manner as is most futable

#### CHAP. II.

External Proofs of the Truth of the Holy Scripture viz. the wonderful Preservation of them, and Uni versal Tradition. Which latter is defended against the Objections of those that talk of a New Chare ther wherein the Old Testament is written. The Jewish Masoreth attests the Authority of these Writ sings. The Habrew Text is not corrupted. The Points or Vowels were coexistent with the Letters F. Simon's Nation of Abbreviating the Historica Books of the Old Testament, rejected. The New Te ment wouched by the unanimous Suffrage of the Primissive Church. The Reasons why the Apocryphal Writings are not received into the Canon of the Bible: with an Answer to the Objections made by the Romanists.

C Econdly, I proceed to the External Testimonies of the Truth of the Scriptures: which being added to those Arguments which proved them to be True in Themselves, will exceedingly corroborate our Belief of the Divine Authority of those Books. And here I might mention the Testimony given to them by God in the wonderful Preservation of them through all Ages since they were sirff written. In all the Changes of Affairs, and the Over-

ful and Convictive, and surpasses all other degree Incomparable Treasure hath not been lost. The Overthrow of so many Cities and Kingdoms, that of Perswasion whatsoever. There is no such a Books of the Old Testament were kept untouched and inviolable at the facking and burning of Jerutings, as by the Testimony of the Sacred Spirit falem, and all the time of the Captivity in Babylon, and of the Dispersion of the Jews. And ever fince that time the Scriptures have been Unaltered in Words and Sense, notwithstanding the frequent Endeavours of Satan's bufy Agents to corrupt them, yea utterly to destroy them. And next to God's Providence in preferving these Books thro all Times and Ages, we might add the marvellous Success which hath attended the Holy Faith and Doctrine contained in these Writings. They have prevail'd against the Power of Men and Devils, and to this very day they are maintained and upheld maugre the Attempts of both of them to root

> But I wave this, intending not to infift upon Divine, but Humane Testimony in this place. By External Testimony then I mean here no other than this, that Scripture is attested by Universal Tradition; and this Tradition is both of Jews and Chriftians. And what would a Man delire more in a humane way for attesting the Truth of these Writings? From the joint Attestation of these Witnesses I shall make it appear, that these Books which we now have, are the true Copies of the first Originals; that the fame Books and Authors are faithfully delivered down to us, which were first of all delivered to the Jews, and to the Primitive Christians; and that there is nothing in these Writings, as we now have them, that is fallified or corrupted.

them out of the World.

First, to begin with the Books of the Old Testament, the Names of which are as follow; Genesis, Exa-

Students, Pullities, Teamors, Deuteronomy, Jolly was first with, and that it was first changed by Judges, Ruth, the 1st and 2d Books of Samuel, the Ezrd after the Return from Babylon, he writing ist and 2d Books of Kings, the 1st and 2d Books he Sacred Volume over in Assyrian or Chaldee Chronicles, Ezra, Nehemiah, Esther, Job, the Psalm Letters, and neglecting the Old Hebrew ones Proverbs, Ecclesiastes, the Song of Solomon, the for which were the same that the Samaritan are. And Greater Prophets, and the twelve Lesser. and none but thefe, were admitted into the Can of the Holy Scriptures by the antient Church of the Jews, whose Testimony is very Authentic here; yea, indeed we cannot have a better. The acquaint us, that these were the Only Writing that were univerfally agreed by them to be extra ordinarily Inspired: and they further tell us, that these Books which were writ by different Person and at diverse Times, were first compiled and collected into One Body or Volume by Ezra, and the Assembly of Doctors for that purpose; and consequently that the Canon of Sacred Scripture of the Old Testament, (as it is at this time) was not constituted till Ezra's days by the Great Synagogue, as they call it. Upon his Return from the Captivity he undertook this good Work; he gathered together all those dispersed Books before. named; and after he had reviewed them, he publickly owned, and folemnly vouched the Authority of every one of them, that the Church for the future might not doubt of their being Authentick and True. But some add here, by way of Obje-Gion, that this holy Man caused these Books to be written over in a New Character, because the Jews had lost their knowledg of the former one, as well as of the Tongue; and consequently the Bible is not the same that it was at first. Eusebin and Jerom are alledged for this, especially the latter, who feems to fay that the Samaritan Charaller was the Old Hebrew Character in which the Bible Was

way its Authority; for if the Letters were changed, it is probable some Words, and consequently the Sense of some Places are altered. But that this is groundless, and that the Hebrew Eible is written in the same Characters now that it was at first, you will find very largely and convincingly proved \* Bellarmin, Joseph Scaliger, Casaubon, Arias Montanus, Villalpandus, Drufius, Capellus, Morinus, Bochart, Vossius, Walton.

the reason of this was, they say, because the Jews

were best acquainted with this Character at that

time. And some \* Modern Writers are gain'd

over to this Opinion, who talk much of the Change

of the Character, and endeavour to perswade us

that the first and old Letters of the Hebrew Text

were Samaritan, but that those which we now have

are Affyrian, and of quite another fort. But upon

an impartial Enquiry, I find little or no Founda-

tion for this Opinion: It rather seems to me to be

an Invention and Dream of those who design to

disparage the Hebrew Bible. They would per-

swade us that the Authority of the Original is im-

paired, because we have it not now as it was at

the beginning; for the Old Bible was in Samari-

tan Letters, these being the first and antientest

Hebrew Characters. This is like the Story of the

Hebrew Points being invented five hundred Years

after Christ, (of which afterwards) which tends

to the same End, namely to discredit the Hebrew

Text which we now have, and wholly to take a-

Defects

of the Holy Scriptures.

not follow; for this Samaritan Vulgar Character

is the true and most antient Letter wherein this

proved by the famous \* Buxtorf from the Author true Genuine Character. But I answer, this doth rity of the Talmud, especially the Gemara, at the Cabala, from the Suffrage of the most None was not the first and antientest, though it was Rabbins of old, and of the Learned Modern Jew sometimes in use: but the Sacred Character, now as Aben Ezra, R. Solomon, R. Ben Maimon, & called the Hebrew Character, is really fuch, and who without doubt are very competent Judges this Case. To these may be added several of the holy Book was written. This is the Authentick Christian Perswasion, as Picus Mirandula, F. Junia, Letter which God himself graved the Law in, Skikkard, Postellus, with those three Eminent Per and thence had the Name of the Sacred Character: fons of our own Countrey, Nic. Fuller, Broughton but the other called the Common and Vulgar, was Lightfoot. If you consult these, they will satisfy not in that esteem, it being a Deviation from that you that the Hebrew Letters which we have now Primitive one. And yet to speak freely, there in the Bible, were the Primitive ones, the ven was no great difference between these two Charasame that were of old. But to give you my ders, the latter being only some Variation and Thoughts impartially in this Point, I do believe Degeneracy from the former, which happened by from what I find afferted by Writers on both sides length of Time. This is the real Truth of the that there were two forts of Characters used by the Matter, and it solves the Controversy, and ends lews, as there were two forts of Cubits and She all the Disputes on both sides. And the Learned kels, the Sacred and Common: and I gather, that French Critick, who seem'd to be of another Opithe Samaritan Letter was of the latter fort, that nion, comes over at last to this, when \* he tells which was commonly used, and even sometimes us, " That there is no reason for Criticks to disin transcribing the Bible; but the Sacred Chara- "pute so siercely about the first Hebrew Chararacter in use among the Jews was this which " cters: for if we attentively consider and comwe now have, and in which the Bible is at this " pare together the Samaritan and Hebrew Chaday. This is the true Original Hebrew Letter, " racters, we shall find that the difference between and was used from the beginning by them. This "them is not so great, but that they may be I think may reconcile the Disputes among Writ thought to have had one and the same Origine. ters; for so far as I can perceive, the Quarrels as And he grants also, that tis from the Succession of rise from this, that there is frequent mention made so many Ages, (which is wont to produce some in Jewish and other Authors, of the Bible's being Alteration) that there hath been this Variation written in an old Samaritan Character; whence it from their first Figure. But this is inconsiderable, was inferred by some, that this was the Primitive to that both Characters may be said to be the same. Character wherein the Bible was written, and con- We have no ground then to think that Ezra chansequently that this which we now have is not the ged the Character; but that he only amended the true

Fr Sithon's Crifs Hift: of the Bible.

<sup>\*</sup> Dissertat, de Lit. Hebr.

and

Defects and Slips which he found in the Hebrew for by confulting and comparing the feveral pies, he purged them from the Errors and Mil takes which were contracted in the time of the Captivity. And after he had caused the Books in be fairly written out, he put them into that Orde in which now they are placed; and so he may be faid to be the Composer of the Old Testament int that Model we fee it now in.

And from his revising the Books, and mending them by comparing of Copies, was the first be ginning of Keri and Chetib, as most of the Learne lews affert: for where the Copies disagreed, or where two Readings were probable, there were made Varia Lectiones; the one was put into the Margent, and is called \* Keri; the other into the Text, and is called + Chetib. I know fome ascrib these not to Ezra, but to the Doctors of Tiberias but here, as before, I am willing to compromit the Quarrel; and therefore we may afcribe the to both, they being begun by Ezra, and augment mitted fo to us. At the fame time also the Canon of afterwards part of the Jewish Cabala; which about of old, and delivered from one to another, (whence the Year of our Lord 494, was committed to with they have their \* Denomination) treat of the Myting by some skilful Grammarians, and hath bee steries of Letters, why some Words are in Great-very useful for preserving the Old Testament er, others in Lesser Characters, as 15th with a There are three several Species of this Cabala, Great Vau, Levit. 11. 42. So they observe there they tell us: the first is Gematria, (from yeomeleial is an open Mem at the end of a Word in Neb. 2. 13.

\* Lectio.

+ Scriptio.

of the Holy Scriptures. a Mathematical Consideration of the Text of the Bible, the mystical numbring of the Letters, and an account of the Great and Little Letters in Words. The fecond is Notariaca, an Exposition of Scripture from certain Notes, Characters, Lines and Points belonging to the Hebrew Text. More particularly, Noteriekon (or Notaricon, or Notariaca, for I find that 'tis thus differently express d in Writers) is when one Letter stands for a whole Word. This way of Cabalizing gave the Name to Judas Maccabaus, who writ on his Standards and Enfigns מכבי, which is the Abbreviature or הוות בפלה בצלהים וחות first Letters of those words Exod. 15. 11. and by putting Vowels to the Coufonants, they read it Maccabi. The third is Temurah, Mutatio, which is made by the transposition of the same Letters, whence another Word ariseth which explains the Word that is transpofed. Thus הָאָּרָם is explain'd by ארמה Terra, which contains the same Letters. Thus אונל Noah is faid to have found M. Grace, or Favour, Gen. 6. ed by the others afterwards. This was one was 8. The Letters are the fame, but transposed. So סלאני (Exod. 23. 23.) is by Metathelis expounded the Favour of Divine Providence it has been trange by Canana by Ca Scripture was digested into Partitions and Division Cabalizing, which obtain the Name of Masoreth, as now it is; and there was then laid the Four are the most considerable to our present Purpose. Jewish Doctors. But the first and second way of dation of the Masorah or Masoreth, which became These Critical Observations on the Bible, made

<sup>\*</sup> From masar, traders.

of the Holy Scriptures.

and a close Mem in the middle in Ifa. 9.7. The marks and Criticisms of the Masorites; I applaud Masorites likewise have taken notice of the Like not their laborious Niceties, their childish Transneis and Difference of Words, by Similitude of mutations and shuffling of Letters and Syllables, Diversity of Letters and Points: they have made their trifling Annotations on the Figure and Make Remarks on irregular and extraordinary Point of some Hebrew Letters. But I only take notice ings; they have observed the Variety of Accent of God's Providence in making these Critical Men and fo criticized on all these in the several Place to be very ferviceable towards the preferving the of the Old Testament, that there cannot be Bible of the Old Testament in its Purity. The Change made in the Hebrew Copies, but it must Observations of these Masoretick Doctors, who be presently seen. In this Masoreth on the Hebre were Persons of great Skill in the Language, and Text, they have reckoned which Verse in the well acquainted with all the antient Copies and Pfalms is the middle one, and which Letter is the Manuscripts, and who above a thousand Years ago middle Letter in that whole Book, viz. y in the exactly numbred all the Verses, Words, Letters, word מיעד in Pfal. 80. 13. Yea, they have and even the minutest parts of the Hebrew Text, counted all the Verses and Words, all the Accent have been a great Security and Preservative to it; Letters and Vowels that are in every fingle Book they have kept it undepraved and uncorrupt, and (from which critical Enumeration those Transcri have made the Reading of it certain and unalterabers of the Bible were called by the lews Sopherin ble. This is the reason why that Excellent and i. e. Numberers) and at the bottom of every Ve Noble Personage, the Learned Picus Mirandula, lume they have fet down the exact Number of so highly extols the Hebrew Cabala; without these: and at last they reckon how many there a doubt he faw this Usefulness and Excellency in it. in the whole Bible of the Old Testament. Fath Nay, before these Doctors of Tiberias drew up Simon indeed faith, they mistake in their Accomp their Masoretick Notes, there was (it is probable) but that is more than he proves; and I do in extant fomething of this nature. They had bethink he ever took the pains (as great a Critic fore this time some Accompt not only of all the on the Bible as he is) to cast them up. Therei Letters of the Bible, but of all the Apices of them; good reason to believe that the Jewish Criticks for to this our Saviour alludes in Mat. 5. 18. Not the Old Testament are more to be credited in the one Jot, or one \* Tittle: which latter is meant of Particular, than the French one. However, from those little Horns, Pricks and Dots belonging to the what hath been faid it appears that the Jews well Hebrew Letters. Not only the finallest Letters, very careful and studious, very exact and curio as Jod, but the Cuttings of those Letters were diin Scripture; by which means it happens that the ligently observed by the Masoretick Jews. And is an Impossibility of making any Alteration in this their nice, and almost fond Criticisin was serwithout being discovered. That is the only Re viceable to the keeping of the Hebrew Text enfon of my alledging here the Masoretick Notes: fo tire I undertake not to defend the superstitious R

night

tire and unchanged. Thus the Masoreth, as the suspect that the Hebrew Original of the Old Teexpress it, is a Hedg or Fence to the Law. The stamont is corrupted. critical Notes and Remarks of the old Jews have But some of the Antient Fathers in the Christipreserved the Text of the Bible from being coman Church, as well as some Learned Moderns, have rupted and abused. So that we may from hence afferted that the Hebrew is corrupted. I answer, gather, that we have in our hands the same He sirst, as to the Fathers; it is granted that Justin brew Text which was at first given by God to h Martyr declares himself to be of this Opinion. In his Dialogue with Trypho he confidently affirms. But some tell us, that the Old Testament with that the Jews erased many things out of the Bible; burnt at the same time when the Temple was, and and he assigns particular Instances in the Pfalms, that Ezra after the Captivity dictated and writ i faiab and Jeremiab. And this they did, faith he, over again, according to what we find records because they hated Christ and his Religion, and in 2 Esdras 14. 21, 23, 24. So there was some Recovery of the Law; but the Original Book being some fine Cause too much. (Here by the by you may laults and Mistakes in This which we have a Bishop Ward's \* Essays, where he peremptorily was the Law; but the Passage in the Autiont Eathers have present. I answer, Either this Passage in the A asserts, that [never any of the Antient Fathers have pocryphal Writer speaks of some other Book di intheir greatest heat of Zeal against the fews, accu-Hinet from that of the Mosaick Law, or it is to be fed them of such Corruption ] i. e. of the Scriptures.) reckoned as fabulous and supposititious, and so me But this is not the first time that Justin Martyr Credit is to be given to it: for in Nebem. 8. 2,3 hath suffer'd himself to be impos'd upon in matter there is mention of the Book of the Law being brought of Historical Truth. This, among others, is before the Congregation, and its being read before the questionless a gross Mistake of that good Man; Men and the Women; but not a Syllable of the Mat and his strong Averseness to the Jews, and his beter mentioned in Efdras, is here to be found. You lief of their Willingness and Readiness to deprave his implied that the Book of the Law was still the Scriptures for their own Ends, betrayed him fame; for you may observe that there is a partitoit. As for other Fathers, as Tertullian, Ireneus, cular Account of what the Chaldeans destroyed, origen, Eusebius, who, it is true, sometimes compaindem, but there is not a word of these holy Books; which most certainly would have been to as to the Sense than as to the Words; they mean as to the Words; they mean ken notice of, they being of so inestimable a Va- that the Translations which the Jews used were lee. Morcover, if we should suppose the Law salfe, for they generally adhered to Aquila's and was burnt in the Temple, yet there were man Theodorion's Version, and preferr'd it before that Copies of it among the Jews, which without doubt

\* Part 3.

were preserved. We have no reason therefore to

of

suspect

by they sometimes set up Judaism against Christia- have recourse to Tradition and to the Church; nity. But this was done without corrupting the these are the only Rule of what we are to believe Hebrew Copies of the Bible. And that the Jew and practife. Thus you fee what the Romish Wrihad been guilty of no fuch thing, is expresly as ters, who cry down the Hebrew Text, aim at. But ferted and maintained by Jerom and \* Augustine most of the learnedst Men of that Church have not two Antient Fathers, of as great Fame for Lear- profecuted their Aims and Defigns this way. It is ning and Piety as those before named. These well known they have generally held the Hebrew worthy Persons refute that Suspicion and Rumour Text to be uncorrupted. And the same is defended which it seems were then risen, namely, that the bythe generality of | learned Protestants who have Jews had adulterated the Hebrew Text. Thefe handled this Subject. Isaac Vossius is the only Man Fathers not only declare that they did no such of Note that holds the contrary, i. e. that the thing, but they praise them for their Faithfulness Hebrew Bible, as it is now, is corrupted by the in preserving the Bible pure and uncorrupt. Then Jews. But against him and all others (either Anas to some of the Moderns, who have afferted the tient or Modern) who are of this Opinion, I of-Hebrew Copies to be faulty and depraved, it is east fer these following things to be considered. If the fy to see what it was that prompted them to it Jews corrupted the Hebrew Text, they did it eight Hebrew Text is corrupted, and so is that of ther before or after Christ's time. That they did the Septuagint, say the Romanists: but the old it not before, is evident; because sirst our Saviour frankly confesseth this was his main Design in it tainly he would have rebuked them for so gross a viz. to baffle the Protostants, who make the Scrip ture the Rule of their Faith and Manners. If the Originals of the Bible be lost, and the Transcript be defective and erroneous, how can the Bible be a certain Rule? What will become then of the Religion of the Protestants, who found it solely on Scripe \* Aug. de Civ. Dei, l. 13. c. 11. † Galatinus, Leo Caffa Melchior Canus, Huntlæus. In Exercitat, & Diatribe.

of the Seventy. Whence their Interpretations of scripture? This being uncertain, that must needs Scripture were unfound and erroneous, and there be so too. Wherefore the best and only way is to

Vulgar Latin is uncorrupt and infallible, so deter never takes notice of any such thing, which cermines the Tridentine Council. + Several of that tainly he would not have omitted at fuch times as Communion have written against the Purity of the he reproved the Scribes and Pharisees for their Hebrew Copies, and laboured to prove them cor- known Faults and Offences, especially relating to rupt: but | Morinus hath shewed himself more the Law; as when he taxed them for making the zealous than all of them in this Point; and he Law of God of none effect by their Traditions. Cer-Fault, when he corrected them for some that were lesser. Nay, the Jews are not only not reproved for corrupting the Canon of Scripture, but on the contrary, their Care and Faithfulness in preferving it whole and entire, are particularly taken notice of, Luke 4. 16, 17. Acts 13. 27. & 15. 21. and

Muis and Euxtorf abroad; Brought on, Lifther, Lightfoot, .. Pocock at home.

and commended, Rom.3.2. Besides, Christ send cised Doctors on purpose, it would not have been his Auditors to the Old Testament, to read it, and taken notice of here by Men of the same Perswa-Book as Authentical, and of Divine Inspiration And that the Hebrew Text was not corrupted by the Jews after our Saviour's time, is as manifely because the Testimonies cited out of the Old Test stament by those that succeeded Christ and his Apostles, are found to be the same in those Wrie tings now, without the least Alteration. Like wife, it cannot be showed at what time after Christ the Corruption or Alteration of the Text began! though the Younger Vollius is pleased to fay it was presently after the Destruction of Jerusalem, which yet he hath no where proved. Farther, if you obferve those Places in the Hebrew Text, which fome alledg as corrupted by the Jews, you'll find that there was no Occasion or Ground for so corrupting them. If they changed the Text, it was questionless for their own Ends, and to maintain fome Error of theirs; the Alteration would especial cially have been in those Places which speak of Christ the true Messias, but you will not discover any fuch thing. If any object Pfal. 22. 16. They pierced my Hands and my Feet, and fay that the Jews have there purposely changed Caaru into Caari; I ask, why did the Masorites restore it to its right Reading? If it had been corrupted by the Circums cifed

feweb it, John 3. 39. Whereas, if they had cor fion, but they would have let it remain without rupted it, he would without doubt have cautioned any Marginal Correction. But seeing they did them against it. Again, he and his Apostles con not, it is a sign there was no Intention to corrupt stantly proved their Doctrine, and confuted the the Text. This indeed they do, they add a Keri Jews out of those very Writings; which is and to the Ketib, i. e. instead of Caari ficut Leo in the Argument that in our Saviour's time those Books Text, they write in the Margin Gaaru foderunt, as were not corrupted: else he and the Apostle much as to say that Caaru is the true and genuine would not have fo frequently quoted them, and Reading. This the Masoretick Note here testinsed the Testimony of almost every particular sies. Besides, it is evident that the Seventy did read it so, and accordingly translated it ἄρυξαν; and thus 'tis rendred in the Syriack, Arabick, Ethiopick and Latin. But the Place was by chance corrupted, because of the likeness of the two Vowels Jod and Vau, and not out of defign; for then the Masorite Jews would not have supplied it in the Margin with that other word Caaru, they pierced; which agrees with the History of Christ's Sufferings. Yea, this Word is in some antient Copies in the Text it felf; which is not denied by the learned Father of the Oratory, who had it from Rabbi ben Hajim the great Restorer of the Masora; who acknowledgeth that in some of the Hebrew Manuscripts of the Bible which he had seen, Caaru they pierced, or digged, was in the Text. Or, why may we not take in both the Words into the Text, and so reconcile the Textual and Marginal Reading? This we find done by the Chaldee Version, which renders the Place thus, They did bite or pierce like a Lion; as if the Original ran thus, Caaru caari, foderunt ficut Leo. This in my opinion may be a fafe way of composing the Difference about this Text. However, not only from this, but what hath been faid before, I think it is manifest that the Jews did not adulterate this Text. And among

among all those other Texts that are said to be because they had no Points or Vowels at first. This chang'd and adulterated, you will fcarcely fin one that hath reference to that great Concern of the Jews: whence we may conclude that the Ol Testament remains altogether unaltered. I might farther add, that the Multitude of Copies wa great every-where both before and after Christ time, so that it was impossible to corrupt them all I know some have inferr'd the Corruption of the Original Hebrew from the great difference which is to be found between that and the Version of the Seventy: but I shall afterwards (when I come to speak of the Perfection of Scripture) give a large and full Account of the Reason of this Difference; whence I shall make it plain that this Difference proceeds not at all from the Depravation of the Original Hebrew. The short then is, that the Hebrew Bible is pure and uncorrupt; and after all the Disputes about the various Readings, it is undeniable, that there is no difference in the Hebrew Copies as to any thing confiderable and of moment; it toucheth not any necessary Point of Religion, which we are bound to know and practife. In the several Copies the same Historical Passages are related, the same Miracles recorded, the same Prophecies and Predictions, the same Doctrines, Laws and Precepts fet down, and that without any varying. So that we are certain of the Integrity of the Hebrew Bible. Notwithstanding what hath been suggested to the contrary, we are assured that we have the true Authentick Copies of the first Original Writings; and in a word, that the Hebrew Text is the same that it was, and is still in its original Purity.

. But here it is objected, That the Hebrew Copies of the Bible might easily be corrupted and altered; because.

could not but make the Reading very uncertain and doubtful, and almost arbitrary, especially in fome Places: whence it is easy to imagine how ereat Alterations, and confequently great Corruptions, might creep into the Text. In answer to this you must know, that those only who are against the Purity of the Hebrew Bible, (as Morinus, Vossius, Simon, &c.) hold that the Points were of late Invention. And this they have pick'd up out of Elias Levita, who lived about a hundred Years ago, and was of opinion that the Vowels were invented by the Jewish and Masoretick Doctors of Tiberias, (a famous School for the Hebrew Tongue.) So that it was about five hundred Years after Christ when the Hebrew Points were found out, and the Rabbins and Masorites of Tiberias were the first Authors of them. This is the Judga ment of Elias the Levite, and he is the only Terb of this Opinion. Nor is he followed by any Christians but those who have a defign to vilify the Hcbrew Bible, and to prefer and magnify the LXX. or some other Translation. Of this fort are the Writers before mentioned, who largely inveigh against the Authority of the Hebrew Edition. And to promote a Disesteem of it, \* one of them tells us, that the Masorites of Tiberias, who (as he faith) were the first Inventers of the Hebrew Vowels, Points and Tittles, borrowed them from the Turks: the Bible, according to him, had these from the Alcoran. And of another tells us, that if Moses were alive, he would not know one Apex in the Jewish Books, for they have their Letters from

of the Holy Scriptures.

<sup>\*</sup> F. Simon's Crit. Hift. of the Old Tiff. # Isac Vossius de 70 Interpretib.

from the Chaldees, and their Points from the Me foreths. Nay, he ventures to fay, that if Kin David were alive again, and heard his Pfalm read or fung in the Jews Synagogues, he would " ask what Tongue they used: for the right Sound " and Pronunciation of the Hebrew is quite los " and no Man understands it (unless it be the Writer himself.) All this is Romance, and se on foot only to disparage the Bible, and to make us believe that the Old Testament is not the same that it was. To which end also the Hebrew Point or Vowels are condemned for their Novelty, and are faid to be invented by the Talmudick Docton and Masorites. Whereas there is mention made in several \* Jewish Writers, of the Points and Vowels long before the Doctors of Tiberias, which is faid to be about the Year of our Lord 500. And from what we have observ'd already concerning the Masoretick Notes on the Bible, it is easy to prove that the Hebrew Vowels were before that time: for if the Masorites criticized on the Vowels, (as well as the other Letters and Accents, a was faid before) then tis not probable in the least that they invented them. We find that they take notice of the Irregularity of these Points in several places: whereas if they had made them themfelves, they would have been all regular. It is Nonfense to think that they that made the one viz. the Critical Notes, made the other, namely the Vowels and Points. Hear likewise what the Learned Pocock faith, + It is an Argument that the Vowels were antienter than the Masoretick Notes, in regard that they seem thereby to be governed in judging of the Consonants. And in some other place in his Com-\* Buxtorf de Orig. Punct.

Commentary, he delivers his Judgment that the Vowels were not invented by the Masorites, but were long before them, yea were of the same Antiquity with the Letters or Confonants. It is well known that all the Jews (but him before named) hold the Antiquity of the Hebrew Points; yea, fome of them carry them back as far as Adam, and vouch they were found out by him. Other Learned Men among them affert that these Vowels were given at the time of the delivering the Law on Mount Sinai; then it was that God writ the Decalogue with Points, and gave it to the Jews by the hands of Moses. And as to the rest of the Writings, and the whole Body of the Old Testament, the common Opinion of the Jews is, that Ezra was the Author of the Vowels which are annexed to them; and that he and the great Synagogue, of which he was President, sirst invented them after the Captivity. Thus whether they commenced from Adam, or from Moses, or Ezra, they all agree in this, that they were very antient, and in a manner coeval with the Letters and Words; and consequently that they are part of the Text, and of Divine Authority. This being foold and fo recent an Opinion, it hath gain'd the Suffrage of the wifest and learnedst Christians in the World. You may particularly find it maintained in the Writings of Munster, Pagninus, Buxtorf, Usher, Cappellus, Broughton, Lightfoot, Walton, all of them singularly well skilled in Jewish Antiquity, and therefore fit Judges in this Cause. They have proved by undeniable Arguments, that the Hebrew Bible had Vowels or Pricks from the beginning, and that it was never without them. The Opinion then which the Objettors have espon-

sed, is justly to be exploded. It is against the

unani-

<sup>†</sup> Comment on Hosen 10. 10.

unanimous Testimony of the Jewish Church, this the Points are but Mens Invention. It is unfat and dangerous to affert, that these Vowels were added fince the first writing of the Old Testa ment: for the Certainty of the Truth of thou Writings, (and consequently of the Writings the New Testament, wherein those are so ofter alledged) is shaken hereby. For no Man of Sens can believe that the right reading of the Text could continue some thousands of Years without the Points: this is an incredible Fiction. And then it is as intpossible that the genuine Sense of Scripture (which depends on the Words, as the upon the Vowels, as well as the Confonants) could have been preserved, unless the Bible had been Pointed. Whence it was faid in the Jewish Tab mud, that \* Letters without Points are like a Bo dy without a Soul. Hence was that Saying, + He that reads without Points, is like a Man that rides without a Bridle. We therefore firmly maintain, and that with the approbation of Antiquity, that the Words of the Hebrew Text had Points added to them at the beginning; and that these Point which we now have, are the same with them. To this purpose we here appeal to the Testimony of the Jews, who will bear witness that the Books of the Old Testament, which we now receive, anfwer exactly to the Pointed Text which they have received, and always did. Nay, we may end the Controversy without an Appeal, for our own Eyes and Ears will fatisfy us. If we compare our English, or Latin, or other Bibles, with the Hebren one which is used among the Jews, and is daily put forth by the present Rabbies in the several

parts of the World, we shall find that they agree; and we shall be convinced that they own the same Books with us.

We need not stay to attend here to what a late Learned Writer (before named) hath with much

We need not stay to attend here to what a late Learned Writer (before named) hath with much Confidence, but slender Reason, suggested, viz. that the Bible of the Old Testament is an Abbreviated Collection from Antient Records, which were much more large. He confesseth that the Canon of Scripture is taken out of Authentick Registeries; but the Authors who collected it, added and diminished as they pleased: especially he afters this concerning the Historical Books, that they are Abridgments of larger Records, and Summaries of other larger Acts kept in the Jewish Archives: and these publick Scribes who writthem out, took the liberty to alter Words as they saw occasion. So that in short, according to this

Critick, here are only some broken Pieces and Scraps taken out of the first Authentick Writings; A bold and daring Affertion, and founded on no other Bottom than F. Simon's Brain. Who would expect this from one that is a Man of great Senfe and Reason, one that is a great Master of Critical Learning, and hath presented the World with very choice Remarks on the History of the Bible? (for truly I am not of \* his Opinion, who faith heites not any thing in this Author's Writings but what is common.) It is to be lamented that a Perion, otherwise to Judicious and Observing, hath given himself up here to his own Fancy and Contelt. He invents a new Office of publick Regiz sters that were Divinely inspired: he makes Notaries and Prophets the same. He gives no Proof Dr. Brighe's Preface id Dr. Lightefoot's Works:

part

<sup>\*</sup> Lib. Joma, c. r. † Zohar ex Midraft

and Demonstration of that Adding and Diminish of the Laply Octopilites, 07 mitted his Oracles, as the Apostle speaks; and they ing which the Scribes he talks of made: he had shewed themselves conscientious and diligent Connot one tolerable Argument to evince any of the fervators of them. The Jewish Nation, saith St. Books of Scripture to be Fragments of greater Augustin, have been as 'twere \* the Chest-keepers ones. Indeed I should mightily have wondred that for the Christians; they have faithfully preserved fo Ingenious, fo Sagacious, fo Learned a Man ha that Sacred Depositum for them, they have safely broach'd such groundless Notions, if I did not kept that Ark wherein the Law and the Prophets consider that this subtile Romanist designs hered were lock'd up. God would have the Jews to be (as most of that Church generally do) to depreciate Librarii Christianorum, saith of Drusius, Keepers of the Bible, and to represent it as a Book of Frank those Sacred Volumes for us Christians: and it is ments and Shreds; that fo, when our Esteem certain they kept them with great Care, the like the Authority of Scripture is weakned, yea taken whereof is not to be found to have been taken in way, we may wholly rest upon Tradition, and preferving any other fort of Writings under Heafound our Religion, as well as the Scriptures, ven. And feeing they have so carefully handed that alone. This is that which he drives at in li the Old Testament down to us, we are concern'd Critical History both of the Old and New Testamen to receive it with a proportionable Thankfulness, But all sober and considerate Persons will beware and to reckon this their Delivering of those Wriof him, when they discover this Design. tings down to us, as no mean Argument of their will cally see through his plausible Stories, for Truth and Certainty. Surmifes, bold Conjectures, and feeming Arg Secondly, The Authority of the New Testament mentations; and they will have the greater Reve is confirmed by External Testimony or Tradition, no rence for the Bible, because he and others have less than that of the Old Testament. We have attacked it with so much Contempt and Rudenes the Authentick Suffrage of the Primitive Church, and purposely bring its Authority into question the Unanimous Consent of the Christians of the that they may fet up something else above first Ages, that this Book is of Divine Inspiration, Notwithstanding then the Cavils and Objection and that it is Pure and Uncorrupted. Some of the of defigning Men, we have reason to believe and Fathers and first Writers give us a Catalogue of avouch the Authority of the Old Tostament, and the Books of the New Testament, and they are to be thorowly perswaded that the Books are co the very same with those which we have at this tirely transmitted to us without any Corruption day. Athanasius particularly enumerating those and are the same that ever they were, without Books, sets down all those which we now embrace any Diminution or Addition. We have them 35: Canonical, and no others. And many of the they were written by the first Authors; we have Fathers of the first Ages after Christ, as Irenaus, them entire and perfect, and not (as some fondly fuggest) contracted, abbreviated, curtail'd. Un-Justin to the Jews, the antient People of God, were com: Quid est aliud gens ipsa nisi quædam scriniaria Christianorum, bajulans Legem & Prophetas? &c. Contra Fauft, lib. 12. 64p. 23. † Epist. 60.

88

Justin Martyr, Clemens Alexandrinus, Origen, Tert tullian, &c. quote the Places in the New Testal ment as they are now. If it be objected, that in the Fathers sometimes the Text of Scripture is not exactly what we find it, and read it at this day! This must be remembred, that they sometimes quoted the Meaning, not the very Words. At other times their Memories fail'd them as to the Words, and thence they chang'd them into others. and instead of those in the Text, used some that were like them. So when they were in hafter and not at leifure to confult the Text, they made use of such Words and Expressions as they thought came nearest to it. \* Heinsius shews this in a vast many places. Sometimes they contract the World of the Text, and give only the brief Sense of its at other times they enlarge it, and present us with a Comment upon it: yea, fometimes (as they fee occasion, and as their Matter leads them to it) they invert the Words, and misplace the Parts of the Text. But no Man ought hence to infer, that the Scriptures of the New Testament then and now are not the fame. And as for the Number of the Sacred Writers and their Books, it hatt been always the same, i. c. the same Catalogue and Canon have been generally acknowledged and re ceived by the Christian Church. It is true, some Particular Books have been questioned, but by few only, and for a time: but the Church was at last fully satisfied about them; the Generality of Christians agreed to own all those Books which are now owned by us. All the Eastern Churcht held the Epistle to the Hebrews to he Canonical though the Latins (it is granted) were not fo una nimon

nimous. This Epistle, and that of St. James, the second Epistle of St. Peter, the second and third of St. John, and the Epistle of St. Jude, and the Apocalypse, were questioned in the first Century, saith \* Eusebius; but he acquaints us withal, that they were afterwards by general Confent received into the Canon of Holy Scripture, for the Doubts were resolved upon mature Deliberation. So that the questioning of those Books is now a Construction of the Truth and Authority of them: they were once doubted of, that for the future they might be unquestionable. And to come down to latter Times; what if two or three Men of late, as Hemmingius, Baldwin, Eckard, think of fome of the Books of the New Testament Apocryphal? And what if Luther himself seem'd to say as much? What doth this fignify in respect of the universal and concurrent Judgment of others? And as for the rest of the Books of the New Testament, they were never doubted of at all, but have the Approbation of the whole Church.

of the Holy Scriptures.

And that the New Testament was first written in Greek, as we now receive it, is attested by the Universal Consent of the Antients, who made enquiry into these things. Only two Books are excepted by some: for though many of the || Learned Moderns maintain that St. Matthew's Gospel was written originally in Greek, yet it is not to be denied that some of the (\*) Fathers hold it was written first in Hebrew, for the sake of the believing Jews: and if you will believe St. (+) Jerom, the original Hebrew was extant in his time, and

<sup>\*</sup> In Prolegom, in Exercitat, Sac.

<sup>\*</sup> Eccl. Hift. 1. 2. c. 23. + Epiflle to the Hebrews, St. James's, St. Jude's, the Revelation. | Flacius Illyricus, Erasmus, Calvin, Chamier, Lightfoor. (\*) Irenæus, Origen, Euschius, Jerom, Augustine. (†) Catal. Scriptor. Ecclesiast.

\* Nunc torus Graius Latiaiq; habet orbis Athenas, Juvenal.

guage of the Empire, and therefore was most proper for the communicating the Christian Religion to the World. \* Tully acquaints us that in all the Roman Empire Greek was vulgarly understood. It is no wonder therefore that the New Testament was writ in that Tongue, and that St. Paul writes not only to the Galatians, &c. but to the Romans in Greek, for they all understood it. It was the Modish and Courtly way of Speech at Rome, as the French is now with us. Their very Women affected to learn and speak Greek, for which they are jeer'd by the Satyrift, who calls Rome the Greek City. In short, all the Eastern People spoke Greek, more or less, from the time that Alexander the Great and his Captains spread their Dominion in the East. The Syrians, Egyptians, Persians, and People of the Lesser Asia, were acquainted with that Language. The Jews of any confiderable Quality understood Greek as well as their own Tongue: whence Josephus, a Jewish Priest, (or of the Priestly Stock) writ his Books in Greek. The Evangelists and Apostles then might well write in the same Tongue, it being so common and every where understood. Especially it is no wonder on another account, that St. Paul writ in Greek; for it was his native Tongue, he being of Tarfus, which was a City of Greece. We may then very justly look upon the Greek Language as the Original Text

of the Holy Scriptures.

of the New Testament. And it is generally agreed that these Greek Copies which we now have and use, are True and Authentick, though in some things they differ: and none are observed to oppose this but those who do it upon some Interest and Design, i.e. to main-

<sup>\*</sup> Græca per orbem universum leguntur. Pro Arch, Post.

" hands

maintain some peculiar Opinion which they have taken up. The Variety of Readings should not prejudice us: much less ought we to alter the Read ings of the Copies, and to substitute new ones at our pleasure. Which is the Fault of Theodore Beza, though on other accounts an Excellent Person, and one that hath highly deserved of the Church of God: yet he is unsufferably bold in coining new Readings of the Text. When he cannot find the Sense of a Place, he presently questions the Truth of the Copy, and produceth a new Reading; which hath brought a great Scandal upon his Annotations on the New Testament, which other wife are fraught with admirable Learning, and discover his profound Skill in Divine Criticism, It is certain that the Greek and Latin Manuscripts which he pretends to, are a Cheat: for questionless they would have been taken notice of in the first Ages of Christianity, if there had been any such thing. Therefore it is downright Imposture, and Beza was grofly deluded by it. Let us from his Miscarriage, learn to be cautious, and not to ven, ture fo boldly upon altering the Greek Copies. This is a very rash and unaccountable Undertaking, especially in a single Person, and much more when it is very usual and frequent.

To speak next both of the Old and New Testament together. The Authority of them is established by considering this, that though Bellarmine and others of the Roman Communion (who are followed by Lewis Cappel, and some others that go under the Name of Protestants) cry out that the Bible is altered and corrupted by the Negligence of the Transcribers, and that the Text is uncertain by reason of the different Readings and Variety of Translations,

tions, (which is done out of design, viz. to debase the Authority of the holy Writings, and to make Men fly to Traditions, and rest wholly in the Authority of the Church, and (I wish I might not add) thereby to undermine some of the Foundations of Religion;) yet this is certain, that the various Readings of the Old and New Testament are not so many as are pretended; and all the various Copies in Hebrew and Greek, which are found in all Nations at this Day, do agree in all material Points; and the Scriptures being translated from those Copies into many Languages, concur in the fame substantial things. Again, as to those various Readings which are produced, we may justly alledg the Words of an \* Excellent Man; They are not Arguments, faith he, of the Scriptures Corruption, but of God's Providence, and of Human Industry to preserve Scripture from Corruption. We may gather from this Diversity of Readings, that Men have been very inquisitive and careful in their comparing of Copies; but we cannot thence argue, that the Text is adulterated; yearather we may infer that it is not: for from this comparing and vying of Copies, we come to know and be aftertain'd which is the True and Authentick one. And we may farther add, with the fame excellent Author, "That it is morally impossible, fince " our Saviour's time, and indeed for many hun-" dred Years before that, that the Scriptures " (particularly of the Old Testament) should have " been corrupted: for the Multitude of Copies " was then fuch, hath been fince much more fuch,

\* Bo of Carles Differents of the Australian of Duling

Bp of Cork's Discourse of the Authority of Scripture.

" and fo far dispersed, that neither one Man, nor

" one Body of Men could ever get them into their

hands to corrupt them; and if some few or me these opposed these Books, you will not find that ny Copies had been corrupted, but not all, the hey ever questioned the Authors, but the Dostrine fincere Number would have detected the cor only. We are therefore to look upon these Men, rupt. Again, let it be consider'd that the and fuch as take part with them, as acting with tient Orthodox Writers of the Church do all cin higher Prejudice than either Jews or Heathens did; these Scriptures as we now have them, in even and accordingly we are to slight what they say, thing material: Yea, that most Hereticks have unless it be thus far, that from their impotent and pleaded these same Scriptures, and denied then malicious Cavils we may be further confirmed in not to be genuine. To establish us yet further, this Perswasion, that these Books of the Old and we must remember that these Writings have been New Testament were indeed written by those openly read to the People in all their folemn At Authors, under whose Names they are now receifemblies in the several Ages since Christianity be wed; that these Scriptures which we now have, gan; and they being thus constantly used, could are the same which the Primitive Church received not possibly be altered and corrupted: Beside from the Apostles; that the Copies we have of the that, all private Christians were exhorted to read Bible, are not corrupted; that God hath preserand use them in their Families; whereby they be wed the Scriptures both of the Old and New Tecame so known and familiar, that whenever any stament, from all considerable Change and Depra-Alteration was made, they could presently ob vation, (his Providence not suffering any such ferve it. Lastly, notwithstanding the Author of thing;) that the Canon of Scripture which is now \* late Tractate hath brought divers Objections a gainst the usual Tradition, that such and such Books of the Bible were wrote by the Authors whole Names they bear; and though Mr. Hobbs before him had done the same, yet neither of them have effected it with any Success. This is all they have done; they have only shewed that they are not fo civil to the holy Writings as they are to the profane ones: for it is every whit as clear that the Books of the Holy Scripture were written by the Persons under whose Names they go, as that any other Writings were put out by those whose Names they bear. Nor can these Men vouchsate to shew that Civility to these Sacred Books, which even Jews and Gentiles have done: for when both thefe

received, is the very same that it was at first; and (which is the Sum of all) that the Truth and Authority of it are impregnable. It may be expected I should speak of the Apooryphal Books, which I have not reckoned among the Inspired Writings. For doing this I have good reason; for I find them excluded from the Canon of Scripture by those that are the best Judges of it, I mean the Jews, who were the great Keepers of the Scripture. They never took these into the number of the Books of Holy Writ, and that for these two Reasons: First, because they were not writ by the Prophets. The Jews believed that the Spirit of Prophecy ceased among them as soon as Malachi had done prophefying. They owned no Divine Inspiration after his time, and accordingly received not the Apocryphal Books into the Canon

<sup>\*</sup> Tractat. Theologico-Politic.

of Scripture, i. c. Books Divinely inspired. was written after Malachi's time, who was to what they delivered from the holy Scriptures, last Prophet, was not Canonical, was not of I which were inspired by God in former Times. vine Authority, and therefore is not emphatical They embraced all Occasions of establishing Chricalled Scripture. For, as St. Paul informs us, Anianity upon the Writings of the Inspired Pro-That is the Mark and Criterion of Scripture. Wh is back'd by St. Peter, 2 Pet. 1. 21. Holy Men God spake as they were moved by the Holy Ghost. No those Writings which were not by Inspiration God, nor from the immediate Motion of the Ho Ghost, are not to be reckoned as Holy Scriptur and fuch are the Apocryphal Writings; they we written after the cellation of Prophecy and Divi Inspiration, and so they are not of Divine Auth rity, and cannot be esteemed Canonical Scripme Secondly, the Jews received not the Apocrypha in to their Canon, because it was written in Gree not in Hebrew, as all the Canonical Books an For God would not, they fay, give them Scriptur in an Unknown Tongue: The Oracles of Go were to be committed to his People in the Authen tick Language, which is that of the Jews. The Apocryphal Writings being not fuch, are rejected by them, and not taken into the Canon of Sacre Writ.

And as they were not received by the Jewis Church, so not by the Christian one. You cannot but observe that Christ and the Apostles, who fre quently quote the Canonical Books, never quot any of the Apocryphal ones: which gives us to understand that they were not reputed as Inspired Writings: otherwise it is most reasonable to think that our Saviour, or his Apostles and Evangelists would at one time or other have cited some on Passage at least out of these Books; it being the

Scripture is given by Inspiration of God, 2 Tim. 3.1 phets who went before: therefore if the Apocrychal Writers had been of that number, they would certainly have been quoted by them; and because they are not, it is an Argument that they are not Inspired Writers. Again, the Christian Church, which immediately succeeded that which was in the Days of Christ and the Apostles, received not these Writings as Divinely inspired, and therefore excluded them from the Canon of Scripture. Look into the Writings of the antient Fathers of the Church, (who without doubt made it their bufiness to fearch into the Canon of Scripture, and to be fatisfied which were the Divinely inspired Books) and there you will see that those of the Eastern Church received only the Jews Canon of Scripture sto the Old Testament. Thus \* Origen recites the Canonical Books of it as they are now reckoned, viz. two and twenty, after the number of the Hebrew Letters. And † Cyril of Jerusalem hath these express Words: "Read these two and " twenty Books, but have nothing to do with the Apocryphal ones. Study and meditate only on these Scriptures, which we considently read in the Church. The Apostles and first Bishops were " true Guides, and were more wife and religious " than thou art; and these were the Men that de-" livered these Scriptures to us. Thou then being a Son of the Church, do not go beyond her Bounds and Orders, but acknowledg and fludy

cited by Eusebius, Eccl. Hist. 1. 6. c. 19. of Cateches. 4.

The Truth and Authority only the two and twenty Books of the Old 7 the Council of Chalcedon, one of the first four Ge-" stament. And other Fathers of the Chur neral Councils. And the fixth General Council, as Melito Bishop of Sardis, Athanasius, Amphilo us, Epiphanius, Eusebius, Gregory Nazianzen, G gory the Great, Basil, Chrysoftom, testify that the Books, and no others, of the Old Testamen which we receive now, were the Canonical Boo of old, and received to by the first Christian Those eminent Lights of the Latin Church, Rif nus, Jerom, Hilary, disown as Uncanonical Books of Apocrypha. The two latter especially very positive: \* Jerom expresly tells us, that the Canonical Books of the Old Testament are but to and twenty, just the number of the Hebrew Al phabet, and no more; and he enumerates the par ticular Books which constitute the whole. faith indeed, that some make them four and twe ty, but 'tis the same Account, for they recke Ruth and Lamentations separately. But as for the others, he faith they are not part of Inspired Scripture, and the Church doth not receive the among the Canonical Writings. So | Hilary give us the just Catalogue of the Books of the Old To stament, and peremptorily assirms that there an but two and twenty Canonical Books of it in all which are the same with the thirty nine according to the reckoning in our Bibles. To Fathers w might add Synods and Conneils, as that annient one of Laodicea, convent A.D. 364. which dreet up a Catalogue of the Books of Scripture, and makes mention only of these which we now re ceive, but leaves out the Apocryphal ones. Canon was received afterwards, and confirmed by

\* Prolog. Galeat. Prolog. in lib. Salomonis ad Paul. & Euflock + Prolog, in Pfalm.

them: besides that two Popes, viz. Innocent the First and Gelasius, took those Books, which we stile Apocryphal, into the Canon. As for the Council which they alledg, it was but a Provincial one, and therefore is not to be fet against those more Authentick and General Councils which I produced. Nor must that one single Father whom they name, stand out against that great number of Greek and Latin Fathers whom I mentioned. The Popes bear a great Name among our Adversaries, but they are but two, and must not be compared with those Councils, and that multitude of Fathers who are on our fide. Or, if they lay fuch great stress on a Pope, I can name them one, and he one of the most eminent they ever had, viz. Pope Gregory the Great, who \* declares that the Book of thi Macca-\* Exposit. in Job. 1. 19. c. 17.

of the Holy Scriptures.

held at Constantinople, A. D. 680. expressly ratified

the Decrees of that old Laodicean Council, and

particularly this, that the Canonical Books of the

old Testament were but two and twenty. There

is another Reason also, besides the Universal Suf-

frage of the Christian Church, why the Apocry-

phal Books are ejected out of the Canon, viz. be-

cause some things in them are false, and contrary

to the Canonical Scriptures, as in Ecclesiasticus 46.

20. 2 Esdras 6. 40. and some things are vitious,

After all this it is easy to answer what the Ro-

manists say on the other side. They quote the

third Council of Cartbage, which they tell us re-

ceived the Apocryphal Books into the Canon. And

among the Fathers, St. Augustin, they fay, owns

as in 2 Maccab. 14. 42.

Maccabees (a main Piece of the Apocryphal Wil tings) is no part of the Canon of Scripture. W may fet this One Pope (for he is Great enough against the other Two. Besides, their own Me Respect to them, and rank them next to the Holy are against them: the Apocryphal Books are a received as part of holy Inspired Scripture by 1/4 dorus, Damascen, Nicephorus, Rabanus Maurus, He who frequently alledg'd them in their Sermons and go, Lyranus, Cajetan, and others, who are of gree Discourses: which is one Reason (I question not) Repute in the Church of Rome. We regard no why these Apocryphal Books came to be made what the pack'd Council of Trent hath decreed Canonical by some of the Church of Rome; nameviz. \* That besides the two and twenty Books in the Hebrew Canon, those also of Tobias, Judit thers, and in some Churches read publickly. But the Wisdom of Solomon, Ecclesiasticus, Maccabal this is no Proof of their being Canonical, but only Writings worthy to be read and perused. The

Baruch, are to be received as Canonical; and the lets us know that these Books were in their Kind they are of equal Authority with the Canon of useful and profitable, as indeed they are. Therethe Old and New Testament. What is this to fore St. \* Jerom saith, the Church receives not these the general Suffrage of the Primitive Councils Books into the Canon of Scripture, though she allows Fathers and Writers, who have rejected the Apos them to be read. And concerning these Writings cryphal Books, and received but twenty two into our Church faith well, (quoting St. Jerom for it) the Canon of Scripture belonging to the Old Te + she doth read them for Example of Life, and Instament? You see what Ground we have, no other frustion of Manners, but yet doth not apply them to than the Universal Church. We reject some Bourg establish any Doctrine. Which gives us an exact as Apocryphal, because they were generally rejected account of the Nature of these Books; namely, by the antient Primitive Church: and we receive that they contain excellent Rules of Life, and are the rest as Canonical, because they were believed very serviceable to inform us of our Duty as to seand owned to be so by the universal Consent of the veral weighty things: but they being not dictated Church. See this admirably made good in Bishor by the Holy Ghost, as the other Books of Scrip-Cousins's History of the Canon of Scripture. Yet at ture are, they are not the infallible Standard of ter all that hath been faid, we count the Apocrypha Divine Doctrine, and therefore are not to be applied and made use of to that purpose. This and there be some things amis in them, yet we give the other Reasons before mentioned, may prevail great Deference and Respect to them, as contain with us to think that these Writings ought not to ing many Historical Truths, and furnishing us with benumbred among the Books of Canonical Scrip-Matter of Jewish Antiquity; as likewise because ture. there Præsat. in libr. Proverb. † Artic. 6.

of the Holy Scriptures.

them, especially in the Books of Wisdom and Ec-

elefiasticus. For this Reason, I say, we bear great

Canon, and prefer them before all Profane Au-

thors. This was done by the antient Fathers,

ly, because they were so often quoted by the Fa-

<sup>\*</sup> Sell. 4.

And thus we have argued from the Tradition and the Testimony of the Church. And if this be done as it ought to be done, it is valid: for the Truth of the Copies, the Canonicalness of the Books, and the like, are not decidable by Scrip, ture it felf, but in the Way that all other Control versies of that nature are. As you would prove any other Book to be Authentick, fo you mul prove the Bible to be, viz. by sufficient and able Testimony. There is the same reason to believe the Sacred History, that there is to believe any other Historical Writings that are extant. Nat the Testimonies on behalf of the Holy Scripture are more pregnant than any that are brought for other Writings. Besides all that can be said for the Sacred Volume of the Bible, which is wontu be faid for other Writings, I have shewed you that there are some things peculiar to this above all others. The main thing we have infifted upon is this, that the Books of the Old and New Testa, ment have been faithfully conveyed to us; and that they are vouched by the constant and univerfal Tradition both of the Jewish and Christian Church; and that these Books, and no others, are of the Canon of Scripture: for to be of the Canon of Scripture, is no other than to be owned by the Universal Church for Divinely Inspired Writings The Church witnesseth and confirmeth the Authority of the Canonical Scriptures; for the received them as Divine, and she delivers them to us as such Yet I do not fay that the Church's Testifying these Books to be the Holy Scriptures, gives an Absolute and Entire Authority to them. A Clerk in the

and he witnesses that he hath faithfully kept these by him, and that they are the very same that at sich a time were made by the foresaid Authority: but the Authority of this Act, Decree or Order, rests not in the Clerk, but wholly in the King, Magistrate or People. So the Church recordeth and keepeth the Sacred Writings of the Bible, and bears witness that they have been faithfully preferted, and that they are the Genuine Writings of those Persons whose Names are prefixed to them: but the Divine Authority of the Scriptures depends not on the Church, but on the Books and Authors themselves, namely their being Inspired. And indeed this Authority of the Scriptures cannot depend on the Church, because the Church it felf depends on the Scriptures. These must be proved before the Church can pretend to be any fuch thing as a Church. We cannot know the Church but by the Scriptures; therefore the Scriptures must be known before the Church. It follows then that the Papists are very unreasonable and absurd in making the Ultimate Resolution of Faith to be into the Testimony and Authority of the Church. This we disown as a great Fallity; but yet it is rational to hold that the Church's Testimony is one good Argument and Proof of the Truth of the Sacred Scripture: according to that known Saying of St. Augustine, I should not believe the Gospel, if the Authority of the Church did not move me. Not that he founds the Gospel, i.e. the Dodrine of Christianity, and the Truth of it, on the Testimony of the Church; as the Papiles are wont Parliament, or any other Court, writes down and them to this purpose. No: the Father's meaning testifies that such an Act, or Decree, or Order, is this, that by the Testimony and Consent of the Church he believed the Book of the Gospel to be

 $G_{2}$ 

verily

84

verily that Book which was written by the Evangelists. This is the Sense of the Place, as is plain from the Scope of it; for he speaks there of the Copies or Writings, not the Doctrine contained in them. The good Father relies on this, that so great a number of knowing and honest Persons as the Church was made up of, did assert the Evangelical Writings to be the Writings of fuch at were really inspired by the Holy Ghost; and that they were true and genuine, and not corrupted. And the whole Body of Sacred Scripture is attested by the same universal Suffrage of the Church, i. e. the unanimous Consent of the Apo-Itles, and of the First Christians, and of those that immediately succeeded them; several of which laid down their Lives to vindicate the Truth of these Writings. This is the External Testimon given to the Holy Scriptures. It is the general Perswasion and Attestation of the Antient Church that these are the Scriptures of Truth; that they were penn'd by holy Prophets and Apostles, immediately directed by the Spirit, who therefore could not err. It was usual heretofore among the Pagan Lawgivers to attribute their Laws to fome Deity, tho they were of their own Invention; in tending thereby to conciliate Reverence to them and to commend them to the People. But here's no fuch Cheat put upon us: God himself is really the Author of the Holy Scriptures; these Sacred Laws come immediately from Him, they are of Divine Inspiration. There is no doubt to be made of the Divinity of the Scriptures, and confequently there is assurance of the Infallibility of them.

## CHAP. III.

The Authority of the Bible manifested from the Testimonies of Enemies and Strangers, especially of Pagans. These consirm what the Old Testament saith concerning the Creation, the Production of Adam and Eve, their Fall, with the several Circumstances of it; Enoch's Translation, the Longevity of the Patriarchs, the Giants in those Times, the Universal Flood, the building of the Tower of Babel.

T Have propounded some of the chief Arguments **1** which may induce us to believe the Truth and Certainty of the holy Writings of the Old and New Testament. I will now choose out another, for the fake chiefly of the Learned and Curious, which I purpose to inlarge upon; yea, to make the Subject of my whole enfuing Discourse. I consider then that we have in this Matter not only the Testimony of Friends, but of Enemies and Strangers: and it is a Maxim in the Civil Law, and vouched by all Men of Reason, that the Testimony of an Enemy is most considerable. The Jewish and Christian Church, as I have shewed already, give their Testimony to the Scriptures: but besides these Witnesses there are Others, there is the Attestation of Foreigners and Adversaries. These fully testify the Truth of what is delivered in the Holy Bible: we have the Approbation of Heathen Writers to confirm many of the things related in the Old Testament; and both Professed Heathens and Jews (for we must now look upon these latter as profess'd Enemies, when we are to speak of the  $G_3$ Chri-

Poems are an account of St. Peter and the Church,

and of the Shipwrack and Misfortunes which this

latter meets with in the World. Ilium or Alia

is Jerusalem; that was the Name which Alius A-

drianus gave it. The Acts of the Apollles, the

Jewish War, and the Destruction of Jerusalem, are

contain'd in Homer's Iliads; and fo are the Life and

Death of Christ, and the whole Gospel. He tells

Christian Concern) attest fundry things of the New Testament, and vouch the Truth and Author rity of them. Here then I will distinctly proceed, and first begin with the Old Testament, and let you see in several Particulars, that even the Pagan World gives Testimony to this Sacred Volume; that the Gentiles relate the very same things that this doth; that the Great Truths, and Notable Histories, Notions and Practices in the Books of the Old Testament, are to be met with in Profane Writings, but taken from these Sacred ones. The Heathens borrowed many of their Rites and Vfages from Traditions which were founded in the Holy Scriptures. They derived many things in their Religion and Manners from these Sacred Fountains, though it is as true that they have laboured to pollute them. But I will make it clear and manifest that they fetch'd them thence; and I will abundantly prove that most of the chief things in the Old Testament have been attested both by the Fables, and the Serious History of the Pagans. There have been some High-sliers, I know, who have carried on this Notion to a ridiculous Extravagan. cy. Thus \* Zimmeranus speaks of an odd + Capuchin, who hath vented very wild things in profecuting this Argument, viz. that the Gentile Mysteries were taken from the True God, and from the Scriptures inspired by him. And one Jacobs Hugo (in his Historia Romana) is quoted by the same Person as very extravagant in this kind: for he holds that the Roman Story was a Narrative of the History of the Gospel. Plous Aneas was St. Per ser; and his failing from Troy to Latium, was the Story of St. Peter's leaving the Chair at Antioch,

us that Romulus and Remus fignify the Apostles St. Peter and St. Paul, the Founders of the Roman Church. And more extravagantly yet he goes on, telling us that Diana figuifies the Holy Trinity; Curtius on Horse-back swallowed up in the Lake, is the Virgin Mary, whose Temple is seen there in the Market-place at Rome with this Inscription, D. Virginis Templum à pænis inferni liberanis. And a great deal more of fuch Stuff this Hugo hath, which no Man of Consideration and Sense is able to bear. Indeed fuch wild and far-fetch'd Conceits may be justly entertain'd with Laughter and Contempt. Nor do I look upon fome things which some others (of more composed Thoughts) mention as any real Testimonies given to the Scriptures. They strangely fancy an Assinity between Scripture and Paganism, between what they read in the one, and what they meet with in the other, though there be no Cognation at all. Thus the Greek Fable of Minerva's being the Offspring of Jove's Brain, took its Rise from the Doctrine of the Trinity, and the Eternal and Incsable Generation of the Son of God, faith a \* Learned Man: and Isis the Egyptian Goddess, is (faith he) Ilbah, Mulier, or Virgo, i. e. the Virgin Mary, from

<sup>\*</sup> Anale Ia, pag. 216. + Jacob. Bolduc de Oggio Christiano.

<sup>\*</sup> Casp. Peucer. de Divinat. generib.

Earth

"Η τοι μέν σεώτιςα χάφ γένει, αυτας έπειτα Tai eugusepu, &c.

It is described by || Ovid after this manner;

was that out of which all Bodies were made.

Ante mare & terras, & quod tegit omnia Cælum, Unus, erat toto naturæ vultus in orbe, Quem dixere Chaos, &c.

Where in forty or fifty pair of good fmooth Verfes, he most excellently describes the Origine of all things, and makes the very Chaos beautiful. This is the same with Hyle, the first original Matter of all things, the Poets Demogorgon, which was borrowed from the shapeless Lump of the Chaos. And in the Phoenician Language we may find it in the very found of the words Thoth and Bau, which are but a finall Variation from Tohu and Bohu in the Hebrew Text, the same with Chaos among the Greeks and Latins. This is founded on those

This dark and formless Heap of Water and

took this Notion from God's Revealed Will in Words of Moses, Gen. 1. 2. The Earth was without Scripture; and at the same time they do hereby form, and void; and Darkness was on the face of the / attest the Truth of that holy Book. The general Opinion of the antient Gentiles was, that the Deep. World was made out of a preceding Chaos, which they represent to be a rude, disordered, and indigesta

from a Tradition among them, that a Virgin should gested Mass of Matter, reduced to no Shape and Names are better known, who have been too co travagant in this kind, carrying the Notion on to far, and strongly fancying every thing almo fome reference to, and be taken from the holy + Hesiod agrees with him, affirming that the Chaos Scriptures. But I shall very industriously avoid this Vanity and Folly, and only represent to the curious and critical Reader those Passages in Paga Writers, which with great Probability and Realin we may conclude to have been taken from the Books of the Old Testament. I shall endeavour to let you see the Sacred History of the Bible, eva through the Fables and feigned Stories of the Hathens, and thereby confirm you in the belief of the Truth and Reality of that Sacred History whence they were taken.

I. To begin first where all things began, the

Creation: this, as it is particularly described in

the first Chapter of Genefis, is plainly to be found

in Pagan Authors, who without doubt had it from

this first Entrance of the Scripture. For though

a Man by the Light of Nature may know that the

World had a Beginning, yet this particular way

of its beginning, as tis there fet down, could not

be attained to but by Divine Revelation: where-

fore it is rationally to be afferted that the Pagan

\* Præpar. Evang. l. 1. c. 5. † Theogon. Metamorph. lib. 1.

The Iruth and Authority of the Holy Scriptures. Earth mingled together, contained in it the fit enomination from your, which fignifies flowing Elements of all things that were made afterward pouring out. Hence \* Seneca declares it to be hence sprang the World as it is now shaped at the Opinion of this Sect of Philosophers, that modelled. From this Account which Moses gir later is the first Principle of all things. The here of the Creation, the old Pagan Theologic choliast upon Pindar, thinks that his Agisto pells of all Generation; which is no other than the yeary thing in defence of that. We are certain (if you give the plain meaning of it) that the former Quotations are very plain and to moist and fluid Matter gave beginning to all Bodi he purpose: and now I will bring another as conthat are. Orpheus own'd this Hypothesis, calling detable as any, viz. of Thales, the Founder of the Ocean the Parent of all things, in one of the Ionick Philosophy, and one of the sirst that Hymns: and out of some other Pieces of the ade Disquisitions on Nature: he expressly main-Works, the same might be proved. Homer de ain'd that all things were produc'd of Water, as the like, afferting the Ocean to be the Antiented Diogenes Lacrtius, Tully, and others relate of of the Gods: im. Especially the Words of this latter conerning him are remarkable, || Thales affirmed

'Ωκεανόι τε θεᾶν γένεσην κζ μκτέρα Τυθύν.

And again, — ποτώριοιο έξεθρος Υρκεχιές, δάσερ γένεσες ποίντεσοι τέτυκται. Iliad i ally to refer to what Moses saith, speaking of the

केमही कहूँ कार इनार्ट्सिंग, &c. i. e. Water was held to the sto understand that Water and Slime were the the first Element, and from that the other three sprang Material Cause and First Principle of all things, Which Opinion is taken from the Scripture account and that God was that Spirit or Mind who made of the first Principles of the World, viz. from the World out of those first Waters. And the Moses's making the dark Deep or Water to be the Barbarick as well as Greek Philosophers held this, Production of the first Day, and consequently weritness the Brachmans among the Indians; as Strabe the Source of all things that were framed after oquoted by Philo faith. And the (\*) Egyptians wards. Hence it was that some of the Stoicks held thought so too, and therefore worshipped this Ethe Chaos to be no other than Water, as Philo in tement, as that Learned Jew observeth. Helmont forms us. \* They think (faith he) that Warn and the Chaos being the fame, this latter hath it Deno-

wifi Dut voui for res. De mundi Incorruptib.

faith he) that Water was the beginning of Things, nd that God was that Mind which made all things of Vater. Which feems more particularly and figret Original of the Universe, that the Spirit of God On which Words the Scholiast gives this Realist moved on the Face of the Waters, Gen. 1.2. giving

\* Nat. Quæst. 1. 3. c. 13. + In Thalete. le initium rerum, Deum autem cam Mentem qua ex aqua \* Tor de Stainar eviou to de la mor pion Tevoua me morta fingeret. De Nat. Deorum, l. 1. c. 25. (\*) To de la grante de la comme de la comm THIS TO THE TANK THE THE GIVEN VOUI CONTES. DE VITA MOSS.

Simpli-

of the Holy Scriptures. (as well as Thales and other Philosophets of oil, nown and usual Signification of "Egeto is Caligo, patronizes this Opinion, maintaining that all Darkness. Or perhaps this may be borrowed from dies are from one Element; they are material simple Water disguised into various Forms by Ereb] Vespera, the Evening, mentioned in Gen. 1. And an \* Hon . as the first Beginning of Time from the Crea-Plastick Virtue of their Seeds. tion: whence the old Notion of \* Ather and Day rable Person of late hath amas'd several thingst gether for the maintaining this Hypothelis, and being begot by the Night. And hence the Pagans, who had seen something of these Writings, came let the World see what may be said for it, thou to have this Sentiment, that Night and Darkness he is not peremptory himself. This without do were the first Principles of the World. This is the Antients borrowed from the Mosaical Hill the same with what Moses here delivers, only 'tis of the World, which acquaints us that at the fi express'd in different Terms. Creation of all things were contain'd in Wate And so as to what is said in the Mosaick History and lay brooding there two days together;

knows

Matter or Vehicle of the Universe. To the Chaos and Water the Antients added and ther concurrent Principle, namely Night. Th the World had its Beginning from Night and Ch os, was an universal Tradition of the Pagans, m only Poets, as || Orpheus, Linus, Hefiod, Homeran others, who frequently talk of Chaos and Night or Erebus, and tell us that all things were begotte by them; but Philosophers also, (if we must distin guish between these and the Poets, who were Phi losophers too) as Epicharmus, Thales, Plato, and the Greek Theologizers, who speak of those Tw as the Original of all things in the World. stotle relates, that the Persons skill'd in antica Theology, believed all things were made of Night Which questionless is of Mosaick Extraction, and and separated the Parts: which Mind is called by sprang first from those words in Gen. 1. 2. Darknet

\* Robert Boyle Esq. + Gen. 1. 2, 6, 9. HINUE HIM Mctaphys. 1. 14. c. 6. ray wy. In Hymn,

was on the Face of the Deep. The Deep is their Chan

and the Darkness is their Night or Erebus; for the

concerning of God's orderly dividing, separating, and accordingly it makes Water to be the primiti digesting of this confused Chaos and dark Mass, the Old Philosophers have agreed to this likewise. Anaxagoras is reckon'd commonly in the number of the antient Atheists, but he little deserved that Name; for (as Plutarch faith of him) || he was the first that denied Fortune or Fate to be the Cause or Principle of the fair Order and Harmony of the Universe, and first fet up a Pure and Immixt Spirit or Mind, who feparated the homogeneous Parts from the whole Mass and confused Mixture of things. And Diogenes Lacrtius gives these as his very Words, (\*) All things were in a heap and jumble at first: afterwards came the Eternal Mind, and disposed and ordered them in an excellent Manner. This Aristotle meant, when he faid, that (1) in infinite Matter a Mind or Intelligence produced Motion,

<sup>\*</sup> Nuntes d' auf 'Aidh's te ky 'Hueln egspenorn. Hefod. + Gen. 1. 4, 6, 7. | 11(007 () 7.1, 6xc15 & 70 yav, W duxy unu Sakoomisees as Mir, chad isu enter of na Sagir y angano, &c. (\*) Harra Xenhara iv che cita ves ex-The dura denotation in Anaxag. (†) Lib. 8. growing angs. ereus.

Simplicius on the Place, noomonoid ves, that ! nite Mind which made the World. So \* Talk ferts that those Particles of infinite Matter w were alike in themselves, and were very small subtile, and at first very confused, were at wards brought into Order by the Divine Mi This was the Work of God in the Creation. I ask, whence had they this Notion concern the Origine of the World? It is not a Principal in Philosophy; therefore they had it somewh else, which is the thing I am proving. The Speculations and Theories concerning the Rife the World, were not their own, but were Train tional Principles, i. e. they received them fre the Antients, and these had them conveyed them from the Bible. Their Philosophizing this Matter was from that Divine Penman Moja the Sum of which was this, that God first of produced a Chaos, i. e. the rude Beginnings Earth, swallowed up and even overwhelmed with the Watry Abyss; out of which dark, confused and indigested Materials he made all things both Heaven and Earth as out of the first Matter, which by a Divine Skill and Power he separated and divided, till it arose to this excellent and complete Frame wherein it appears at this day. Thus the antient Philosophy of the Gentiles was borrowed from Moses's Description of the Creation; thus the Writings of the first Heathen Philosophen, bear witness to the first and antientest Penmen of the Old Testament. And if you ask, how the Pagans came by this Information from the Holy Wri

our Ancestors, were said to be such, as Dioderus the Sicilian and Cafar tell us, nay feem to believe. They were Aborigmes, i. e. they had their Original from the very Ground they lived on. Which Notion,

Writings? be pleased to stay but till we come to-

eards the Close of this Discourse, and then I hope

hall give you a good and fatisfactory Account of

his Question, and let you see by what means the

agan Writers arrived to a Knowledg (though

ndeed dark and obscure) not only of these Parti-

mars already named, but of a great number more

II. The Production of Adam and Eve is attested

by the same Persons. That the First Man was

made of the Earth, or the Clay of the Ground, is

delivered by the most Authentick Authors among

them. I will not infift upon the constant Opinion

and Perswasion of the Athenians, who held they

were sprung from the Ground they lived on, and

were not descended from other Nations: Which

perhaps arose, first, from the Tradition concern-

ing the making the first Man out of the Earth. Plato.

and feveral good antient \* Authors, testify that

this People of Greece held themselves to be 'At 76-

xwes, i.e. born of the Earth: and in memory

of this they wore Golden Grashoppers, and were

alled from those Animals Tettigophori, because

this fort of Creatures is thought and believed to

have its Rife from the Earth. And there were

faid to be not only in Attica, but in Thessaly and

Arcadia, some of these Autochthones, People that

were begot out of the Soil. Yea, the old Britains,

which I shall now proceed to add.

\* DemoRhenes in Equaph. Hocrates in Panegyr. Ce. Orac. pro Flacco.

<sup>\*</sup> Materiæ infinitæ particulas fimile, inter se, & minutas, primum confi fas, polea in ordinem adductas à Mette Divin Tufe. Queft. 1. 4.

Notion, as I conceive, was either from the Giam and antientest People of the World, describes called Sons of the Earth, or from Adam and Entheir Original thus; who we are certain were formed out of the Earl These were the true 'Autox Joves, the antient A Compositiq; luto, nullos habuere Parentes. vigines; born from their own Soil; the Earth was their Parent. This Terrestrial Extraction of the Which Words are a plain Reference to Adam's First Man is mentioned in \* several Places by And Empedocles (as Censorinus tells us) and Z till acquainted with all the antient Notions of the Eleates (as | Laertius relates) held the same thilles, is more clear and open, and \* relates the There is a Passage in Cornelius Tacitus, which I take Passages in the Mosaick Story concerning the perswaded refers to this; for speaking of the On triginal of the World, and that in Words coming ginal of the Germans, he saith 'tis recorded is near to Moses as may be. In the close he tells their antient Annals and Monuments, which are tow Man was made after the Creation of all other in Verse, that || the God Tuit, and his Son Manne things: born of the Earth, were the Founders of that Nation Tuit, or Thuet, is the same with Ocos: Mannus, or Sanctius his animal, mentify; capacius alta, Man, is Adam the first Man, (for Man in the Ger Deerat adhuc, & quod dominari in catera possit; man Language is Vir) who was the Son of God; Natus bomo est. and the reason is here given, because he was made by him out of the Earth; (for I conceive there in this is Moses exactly. Deer at adhue answers to a Transposition in the Words, i. e. et should be Gen. 2. 5. [there was not a Man.] Quod dominari placed before terra editum; which Words below nextera posset, is the same with what we read in to the next, viz. filium Mannum.) Hence it ap Gen. 1. 26, 28. that Man was made to have Domipears that this Notion of Man's Original from the won over the Fish of the Sea, and over the Fowl of the Earth was among the old Germans. who derived Air, and over every living thing that moveth on the it first from the Mosaick Records. I will at pro Earth. Here are two of the chief things which fent omit several Quotations out of the Greek Poets are delivered in the beginning of that Sacred Hiwho were the first Divines and Philosophers among fory in Genesis, viz. that Man was made last of the Pagans, (as Orpheus, Hesiod, Homer) who te all, and to have Rule and Dominion over all the stify this very thing. From these the Latins bor creatures. Sanctius animal excellently expresses rowed it, as Juvenal, who speaking of the first that Man was made for Religion; Zaov To Deootestand with, as i Plato calls him: or, he is stiled Holy, + rin recause made after God's Likeness; which follows \* In his Protagoras, Critias, Menexenus, Politicks.

σιν ανθεωπον εκ γκε είναι. In Zen. || Celebrant carminiba refently after antiquis (quod unum apud illos memoria & annalium genusel) H Einxit Tuitonem deum, terra editum & filimin Mannum originem go tis conditoresque. De morib. Germ.

Metamorph, lib. i.

+ in Timzo.

The word finxit here is the proper Version of the Hebrew [Jitzer] which is used in Gen. 2. 7. 7 Lord God formed Man. Deorum answers to Elobi

in the plural Number; and fo Moses introduce God speaking, Let Us make Man, Us in the ph ral. In efficient Deorum, is the true Translation of בטרם אלהים in the Image of God, in which

Man is faid to be created, Gen. 1. 27. So the there is another grand Truth which the Page took from the Holy Writings, viz. that God an ated Man after his Likeness, or in his own Image

Gen. 1. 26, 27.

Thus you see this Interpreter of the antic Theology agrees with Moses: yea, it is eviden without any fanciful straining, that he not on took the Things themselves, but the very World and Expressions from the Divine Writings. 0 applies and attributes this Formation of Mana Prometheus, the Name certainly of the Wise God for weepen Rivs with the Greeks (from whom borrowed this) is Sapiens, Providens, Sagax. At this Prometheus formed Men of Clay, which agra with the Formation of Adam recorded by the fpired Writer. Wherefore both \* Tertullian 20 + Lastantius think it reasonable to believe that the

first Formation and Origine of Man's Body, which

the fabulous Poets speak of, was transmitted for

the facred and inspired Verity; and that the thin

is the same in both, though disguised by the Poets in other Words and Names. And when Ovid adds, that he took Fire from Heaven to animate his lumpish Clay, you must pardon this innocent Addition; for, as you shall observe all along, it is the way of these Men to put in something of their own, to disguise the Sacred Stories with their own Inventions and Fables: though truly here we are par able to interpret this very appointely, and to appland the Poet, who knew that dull and inere Matter could not actuate and enliven it felf, but that there was need of some Heavenly and Divine Principle to set it on work, some active Ray of

Life from above to inspire it: And what is this but the Breath of Life mentioned in Gen. 2. 7. by which Man's Body was enlivened and envigorated? for when it is faid there, that God formed Man out of the Earth, it is immediately added, He breathed into his Nostrils the Breath of Life, and Man became aliving Soul. From which manner of Expression, Nismath hajim, and that other in Gen. 7. 22. Nismath ruach hajim, the Breath of the Spirit of Life, the antient Sages among the Gentiles (who were to strangers to this and other Texts, as I shall hew afterwards) derived two Notions: the first whereof was this, that the Soul is Breath, and ac-

which Man's Body was inspired, was the occasion, I guess, of these Denominations of the Soul from Breath, Wind or Air: and that of the Post, Divina particula aura, (which is spoken of the Soul)

cordingly in Greek and Latin it hath its \* Names

from breathing. This יים, this Spira-

tulum vitæ, (as the Vulgar Latin renders it) by

<sup>\*</sup> Ipsum corpus nostrum quod de limo figulatum, etim! fabulas nationum Veritas transmisit; utrumque originis Ekud + De homiuis fictione Po tum confitcter. De carat Chift. tæ quoque, quamvis corruptè, tamen non aliter tradidens nempe hominem de luto à Prometheo factum elle dixerunt cos non fefellir, fed nomen artificis. Inflitat. 1. 2.

<sup>\*</sup> wieuca, à weev: spiritus, à spirando: animus & anima, ib dreud, ventus,

is fomething that comes from within a Man, & Souls (that are set forth by Breath) are the Emp nations of God; they come from him, and an Parts of him. The Soul, fay the Platonists, \*h not only the Work of God, but a Portion of him Which it is likely was Plato's meaning, when he faid the Foul is a sharer of the Nature of God But this was more especially the Stoicks apprehention of Humane Souls; they are (faith the Royal Philosopher) || a Part, a Piece, an Effluvium of the Godbead. With whom Arianus agrees, telling m that (\*) our Souls are so linked to God, that the are Particles of him, and as 'twere pluck'd from him. But he is very extravagant when he adds in pursuance of this, that as to our Souls, we'at not inferiour to, or less than God himself. (+) EH detus himself, and (||) Seneca, pronounce the Soul to be a Piece, a Part of the Divine Essence. Class speaks like one of this Sect, (as he frequently doth) when he faith, [\*] our Souls are taken out and pluck'd off from the Nature of God, and are to tain Segments of the Divine Mind. And because it was held by some Philosophers, that some of the Inferi \* H di पेप्रा के रिट्टा रहा की जन्हें प्रवेशका, बेरेरेने हो स्विक्त Plutarch. Platon Quaff. † Ocias norvov & quoques. In Pho 🍴 Θલંય લેπουοιεαι το Διος απόσπασμα, το τ κίσμ donê. Doingra & and phoia. Antonin. The est sauthe. લ્લો ઇંજા લેને દેર કિરિયામાં મેં ના તરફાર માં કહ્યું, લે જ તે હાર્ય લોક Вош, х, а поб на опата. Lib. 1. сар. 14. (†) Të ain # (||) Dei pars. Epist. 92. \* A naturâ divina be fros animos & delibatos habemus. Humanus animus don

ptus est ex mente divina. Tusc. lib. g.

feems to refer to this. Another Notion which

they derived from this metaphorical Expression d

Breathing or Afflation, was, that the Soul, the Ru

tional Soul of Man, is a part of God: for as Breath

Life: which was interpreted as if humane Souls were partial Effluxes or Aporrhea's of the Divine Estence it felf. The making of Eve out of Adam, was also obfourely intimated in what Plato faith in his Sympofrom, namely, that the first Man was andleoyouch. a Mixture of both Sexes. Which Fable of his was from the lewish Tradition, that the first Man was made an Hermaphrodite; that he had two Bodies join'd together, one of a Male, another of a Female; and that God afterwards split him into two distinct Bodies, whence grose Man and Woman. If the Jewish Rabbies (who were better acquainted with Scripture) talk'd after this doting rate, Plata may well be excused, who perhaps had it only on Tradition, and had not the Means to correct his Mistakes which they had. But this is plain, that this Fable is a Corruption of the Sacred Story, which speaking of our First Parents, saith, + God called their Name Adam; as if their having but One Name, fignified they were but One Person: and again in the same Verse, Male and Fe-

male created he them; as if the first Man, who is spoken of in the Verse immediately foregoing,

consisted of a double Sex. But it is evident that

the Words relate to both; and the reason why

the Name Adam is given to both, is because they

 $H_3$ 

were

Inferiour Animals, as Bees, had Souls refembling

those of Men, therefore they asserted that they

likewise \* were parts of the Divinity. All this

comes (if I mistake not) from that forenamed

Pallage in Moses's History concerning the Produ-

dion of Man, God breathed into bim the Breath of

<sup>\*</sup> Effe apibus partem divinæ mentis. Virg. Giorg. † Gen. 5. 2.

were both of them from the Earth, one immedieras not a stranger to it; for in his \* Cratylus, ately, the other remotely: but afterwards we where it is disputed whether Words signify by In-read that they had distinct Names, Adam being stitution or from Nature, he sirst denies the Lan-appropriated to the Man, and Eve to the Woman suage of his Grecians to have been the Original And this ridiculous Fable which Plato had pick one, (as in f another place he calls his Countreyup, might be occasioned likewise from a misur men the Greeks, Youths and Striplings of yesterday, derstanding of that Text, \* God took one of the and consequently their Language was not the an-Man's Ribs, and out of it made he a Woman. Be eientest) and then he hints that Hebrew was the cause the Woman was formed out of the Man Original Tongue; which is meant by what is said, Side, they inferred that Adam was at first both Gen. 11. 1. that the whole Earth was of one Lan-Man and Woman, and that the Woman at he wage, and of one Speech. And though he conceals first Make stuck to his Side. Which is a grow the Name of the Jews or Hebrews, yet when he exmistaking of the Text, but confirms the Trut pressy affirms in this Dialogue, that the right Do-and Antiquity of that Book of Moses, which at drine of Names, and their Interpretation, are to fures us of Eve's Formation out of Adam, which be fetch'd from the || Barbarians, as the more anti-was the thing that gave rife to this erroneous Tra-

waş

Hebrews: for all agree that they were call'd Barba-May we not think that Adam's Dominion over the rians by the Greeks (as these were so by them.) Beafts, which was accompanied with his Calling And hence I gather that Plato and other Heathens them, and giving them Names, was the Foundation knew, and perhaps had read, that Adam gave of what the Poets talk of Orpheus's drawing the Names, proper and significant Names, to all Crea-Beafts after him, and making them Tame, and tures; which Moses particularly makes mention of, caufing them to stand still, and as it were answer and must be the very thing that is here meant by to their Names? Or else it was a Representation Plato, when he acknowledgeth that the true Etyof the Beasts and all forts of Animals coming in mologies of Things, and the Interpretation of to the Ark at Noah's Call, which is a Confirmation Names, are to be derived from the Barbarians. of another known Passage in the Mosaick Wri- The First and Innocent State of Man (and that tings. But I am not positive here, and in some with some of the Circumstances of it which could fuch-like Passages which occur in the Poets: the he known only from the Book of Moses) is spoken in others I shall heap up several plain and evident of by the antient Writers among the Heathens. Circumstances, sufficient to convince the Reader Thus you will find that (\*) Hesiod gives us an adthat they have reference to something spoken of mirable Description of it. In Plato's Atlanticus, in Scripture. As to Adam's giving of Names to al or Critias, are plainly to be feen the Footsteps of things, mentioned Gen. 2. 19. it appears that Plato

H 4

the

<sup>\*</sup> Or, Meet droudtwr de Dome . † In Timeo. A hur agrainte on Baglacer. (\*) 'Egy. 2 hurg. v. 108, &c.

the Old and Primeve State of Man, when the φύσις (as he saith) prevail'd, when the Diving Heavenly Nature was not corrupted by the Ball And in his Politicks (where he likewise speaks the Primitive and Pure State of Man) he tells that in those first Times Man got his Living with out Trouble and Labour, that he fed only on the Fruits of the Earth, and that Nakedness was Attendant of that first and Golden Age of World, alluding to Gen. 2. 25. They were both a ked, the Man and his Wife. So an \* Antient Wi ter acquaints us, that the Egyptians find in the old Writings, that the first Men and Women with naked, which is according to what's read in the In the Sibylline Verfes, which are borror from the Scripture, the fame Allegories and D scriptions are used in setting forth the happy A of Man, that you find used in that holy Book the Happiness of Paradise is obliquely described Homer, and the Felicity of the First Age by gil. And without question the Blessed State Paradise is referr'd to by (\*) Ovid in his descript on of the Golden Age, or Saturn's Reign, The we may fee represented the Simplicity and Inn cency of our First Parents, the Peace and Trus quillity, the Contentment and Satisfaction which were peculiar to the State of Integrity. Parally it felf, the Seat of this Happiness, seems to have been known by the antient Pagans: for it is pre bable their Writers understand this, when they te us of the Elystan Fields; for Gardens (such as we Paradise) and pleasant Fields are the same with them. These you may see described by Plate

growing. Of these Fingil speaks in his fixth A:need. And they are the same with the Fortunate Mands which the Greeks write of, a Place of oxtraordinary Delight, and where none but Good and Vertuous Men inhabit. Or, if we must paralle it with a Garden so expressly called, we have Alcompute his Garden, or Orchard, in \* Homer; which was taken from the description of Paradise, faith Justin Martyr in his Oration against the Gentiles. Or, the Garden of Adonis, which is so celebrated, may refer to that of Eden, and is callly derived from it. Or, of the Garden of Jupiter, in Plato's Sympolacks, may unignatically refer (as that Learned Father Origen deemeth) to that of Paradife. So likewife may the pleafant Orchards of the Hesperides, in which were Trees that bore Golden Apples: and it may be some confirmation of this Notion, that near the Fountain of the River Ti. gris (on which Paradife was feated) we read of a Place that bears the Name of Hispercitis and Hisparais. It is not unlikely that these diverse Gardues were transplanted from that in Eden. It is not unlikely that some or all of these Greek Fables were founded in Truth, and arose from what the Inspired Book tells us, that God placed Man in a Garden, the Garden of Edan, which fignifics Pleafire or Delight: for it is added, that here grew eve-W. Tree that is pleasant to the Sight, and good for Food, Gen. 2. 9. And as this Garden was the Platform of those before mentioned, so the Tree of Life in this Garden gave rise to the Poets Nettar and Am-\* Odyff, n.

his Mado, where he tells us that they are bleffed

with a mild and gentle Air, pleasant Streams, a

confant Spring, fragrant Flowers and Fruits ever

<sup>\*</sup> Diodor. Sic. 1. 1. † Odyss. 10. Georg. lib. 1. A peid. 8, (\*) Metamorph, lib. 1.

<sup>†</sup> Alds Kim .

brosia, which are no other than the Food and Re-Regions of Happiness above, and became Devils past of these Earthly Gods, these Divine Crea- by their own voluntary opposing of God, and detures that inhabit here. The former of these thining his Government? Next, we have good (according to \* one Derivation of it) made the Records among the Pagans of the Fall it self of Drinkers of it ever youthful: and f another Ety. Adam and Eve, especially of the latter, because mology speaks this Drink to be such as suffers them the was first and most eminent in the Transgression, not to Die. These were the very Blessings of the (as the Apostle speaks) and was the cause of the Tree of Life; it had a property to keep off Old Man's defection from his Duty. She is represented Age, and to preserve Man's Life a long time by \* Homer's Ate, whom incensed Jupiter thrust The latter, namely | Ambrofia, had the same Vir down from Heaven, threatning that she should netue; it was faid to keep those that ate it, free from ever be restored to that Place again. Though some Mortality. This therefore, no less than the other, have thought that this might refer to the Apostate feems to refer to, and be borrowed from the True Angels (of whom before), because it is common of Life, which should have made the Eaters of it with the Poets to imply Many when they mention Immortal, and secured them in a State of Blessed- but One Person; and so here, though One be said ness for ever. Thus the Production of Man, and fundry things referring to his Blessed State in In-Heathens, were taken from the Sacred Fountains: and confequently the Writings of these Heathers do in some measure attest and confirm to us the Truth and Certainty of the Holy Scriptures.

III. The Fall of Adam, and the feveral particular things relating to it, are to be found in these Pagan Records. First, the Forerunner of it, viz. the Degeneracy of the Angels, is plainly spoken of by that Antient Philosopher Empedocles, as (\*) Phitarch relates; for whom elfe could be mean by his Damons, to whom he gives the Name of Oue chooresteis (Heaven-fallen Creatures) than these

Apostate Spirits, who were thrust down from the Regions

to be cast down from Heaven, yet it may intimate to us the Fall of all the curfed Crew of Wicked nocency, which are found in the Writings of the Angels. But it is more natural, I think, to apply this Story (it being of the Female Sex) to our Grandmother Eve: for what the Poets tell us of Ate, viz. that she was the sirst-born Daughter of Supiter, and that she was that pernicious Woman that brought Mischief on the whole Race of Man, exactly agrees to her, so that there is no need of explaining it. It is not to be doubted that our first Parent Eve was also meant by Pandora, whom Hessod and others of the Antients mention, acquainting us, that out of her deadly Box which he gave to Epimetheus, flew all Evil into the World, and thereby she became the Original of all the miferable Occurrences that happen to Humane Kind. Eve was this Pandora who gave that fatal Gift of the Fruit of the Tree unto her Husband, as it is ex-

press'd in Gen. 3. 6. and he himself afterwards

<sup>\*</sup> Neurig, qu. veortug, to veus noisu tes mivourus. Suids. † 'A vi) & Meirer. || Qu. 'Alesoia. (\*) Devitand, are alien.

<sup>\*</sup> Iliad. 1.

of this Unfortunate Woman's Miscarriage, had from the Inspired Writings learn'd, that for her sprang all the Miseries and Calamities of Life, and even Death it self. As for Original Sin, the early Corruption Depravation of Man's Nature, which was Fruit of our first Parents Transgression, we que not but observe that it is taken notice of by Gentiles of old; who call it the \* Congenite & the | Domestick Evil of Mankind, the Name Repugnancy of Man's Temper to Reason: and for Pythagoreans, quoted by Jamblicus, stile it a chievous, a Mortal kind of Life, a many-beaded Bel d'c. The Moralists are full of such Notions, a complain of the Infirmity of Nature, that it is ry much vitiated and hurt; that the Fountains polluted, the Springs defiled; and that Man propense to all Evil, and averse to what is good and vertuous. The Greek and Roman Philos phers do all complain of the low and degeneral Condition of Man: but this is chiefly done by the best of them, as the Stoicks and Platonists. Smi (to mention no other of the first of these) f quently in his Epistles and other Discourses, \* Σύμφυτον το άμαςτάνων ανθεώποις. Sopater.

Title of Epimetheus) repeats the same, She

me of it, ver. 13. and with it imparted all Eyil

Mankind. Wherefore from that Unhappy C

and from her General Bestowing of all Evilson

World, she had the Name of Pandora among

first Greek Poets, who had arrived to some me

Moses's Writings (with which he was acquainted) he might learn the Story of Man's Fall, and then wrapp'd it up in this obscure manner, which was a Mull way with him, as his Writings shew. It was as I conceive) his knowledg of the Apostacy of four first Parents, that gave rise to his Doctrine of the Preexistence of Souls: That is the thing which knowledge is couched in this Ingenious Hypothesis of his, which † 'OII \* Porphyrius de Abstin. Simplicius in Epicter. Hieroeles in Pythag, Garm, † In Politica In Atlantic.

ofophers, they abundantly lament this degenerate

tate of Man. \* Three of them especially talk

most passionately and feelingly of the Defect of a

ormer Innocency, of the Departure of Souls from

God, of the strong Propensities of Humane Na-

thre to Evil, by a detrusion into terrestrial Bodies.

Theak not this, as if I did not think they might

birtly have these Notions from the inward Sense

they had of this Innate Evil; but from what I

lave suggested, (and shall afterwards) it seems

probable to me that there was a Tradition among

them concerning the First Cause and Author of

this Evil. - Plato himself speaks very sensibly of

this Lois of the first State of Purity and Happiness,

and relates the Defection of Man from his Primi-

tive Condition, from whence || he faith flowed all

Mischies into the World. And I propound it to

be thought of, whether his Doctrine of Preexi-

stence was not a way used by him to disguise the

Fall of Man. This Philosopher held that Mens

souls were created Happy, and that afterwards

they Apostatized; for which they were ever after

imprisoned in Bodies. Now this I fay, that from

with unspeakable Regret, and too late an Insulational Howledges, and fadly resteets upon the Lapse of into his Condition, (whence he justly merits Man's Nature. And as for the other Sect of Phi-

κακόν. Hierocl. | Τι πεφυκός αντιβαίνον τω λόγφ. Ατίβο | Θυητόν τι ζωής είδο, πολυκέφαλου Inelov.

Opposite Principles, the one for conferring of Good, the other for procuring (as also the averting) of Evil, were called by that People Oromaf des and Arimanius, and were both worshipp'd by them, as \* Diogenes Laertius and others affure us But this was not only the Perfuasion and Practice of the Magi, who were the Philosophers of Par fia, but Plutarch shews the Antiquity, and almost Universality of this Opinion of Two Different Print \* Proem. ad vir. Philof.

generate, and that they were punish'd for wh Hades. The Egyptians too had their Typho, the Adam their Representative did long ago. Evil Principle, and Isis, or Osiris, the Good one. If we enquire further, we shall find that the The Chaldeans had the same Notion of a Contrary Gentile World was not ignorant of the fever Cause of Good and Evil, holding some Planets Circumstances of Man's Fall; as first, that it w (which were their Gods) to be productive of all by the Devil's means. It was an antient Tradition the Good, others of all the Evil among them. among the Pagans, that a fort of Malignant St This antient Tradition of the Pagans fo generally rits, Malicious Dæmons, envied Mens Happine received, was, I suppose, derived from what is and did what they could to molest them, and recorded in the first Entrance of the Bible, viz. hinder them of Felicity. The Pythagorean and that God was the bountiful Author of all Good to Platonick Philosophers speak often of these Envio Man, not only creating him of nothing, and giand Mischievous Spirits; the Original of which ving him his Being, but placing him in a State of we can conceive to be no other than what Mofel Happiness, and conferring all Felicity upon him: History saith of the Devil's tempting our first P. but on the contrary, the Devil was the first Aurents, Gen. 3. 1, &c. This is couched in another thor of Evil, tempting our first Parents to Sin, Opinion which prevail'd among fome of the P. whereby they lost all their Happiness, and fell ungans, viz. the Notion of Two Principles, the der a Curfe, and were expelled out of Paradife; one the Cause of all Good, the other of all Evil and afterwards all Evils and Mischiess came upon Θεές and Δαίμων they were stiled by the Mani her, the World for their fake. Hence arose among the who had it from Manes their Founder, a Perlian; deluded Heathens that Twofold Principle God and and he received this Opinion from his Countrey. Damon, or rather Two Opposite Gods; for the men the Persians, who were Gentiles. These two true Tradition was corrupted into an abfurd and

which afterwards to prevail'd among those of

Sect. The meaning of his Opinion is, that Ma

kind was fallen, and their Souls were become de

irrational Opinion among the Gentiles of two Anti-Gods. There was also this Circumstance of the History of Man's Fall among the Heathens, that the Devil appear'd in the likeness of a Serpent. Preparatory to which is that which Plato faith, (as he is quoted by \* Eusebius) that in Saturn's days the Folks could talk and hold discourse with Beasls as well as Men; which is an Allusion to the noted Colloquy between

Evv

ciples, among the rest of the Philosophick Tribe.

It prevail'd among the antient Grecians, whose

two distinct Principles were Jupiter Olympius and

ciples

<sup>\*</sup> Prapar. Evang. lib. 12, 14.

Eve and the Serpent, and her Seduction by cults that some of their Temples had their Denomitaining Discourse with him. I should gues the mition thence, and were stiled Draconian, saith Eve is disguised under the Fable of Proferpina, the Strabo. The Babylonians worshipp'd a Dragon, Daughter of Jupiter, whom Plato Itole away in is the Apocryphal Writings relate. The Egyptiravished, or as others tell us, whom Jupiter known worthipp'd Opbioneus, as of Eusebius testifieth: in the form of a Serpent. The plain meaning and in their Hieroglyphicks they shewed that they which is, that Satan in the likeness of a Serper were wonderful Admirers of Serpents: for the deceived Eve. The Devil's taking the Shape Heads of their Gods were incircled with Serpents this Animal, and his circumventing thereby and Basilisks, saith Horus; the Crowns and Diafirst Parents, is intimated by the Heathens in the lems of their Kings were set with Asps and Suakes; obscure Writer Pherecydes, where 'Οφιονεύς is the ing the Emblems of Dominion and Prin-Title of that Great one who opposed Saturn. The ipality, year of Immortality and Divinity, faith Truth which lies at the bottom of that fabulo he same Author. And, which is yet more to our story, is, that the Apostate Angels or Devils of purpose, Eusebius observes that the Egyptians, as posed God at the beginning of the World: it well as the Phænicians, used to call Serpents || Good Ring-leader of which Cursed Spirits was he the Demons: which is a plain Relick of the Devil's in the shape of a Serpent assulted our first Parents; this was that 'opiove's, that Demoniacal Serpent spearing like a good Dæmon or Angel of Light, You will find \* Origen asserting that this was take the phænicians and laid siege from Moses's relation concerning the Serpent is the serpent if the server here also some Egypt to Paradise; and not this from that, as Celsus, most server here also some Remembrances begregiously failing in Antiquity and Chronology of this notable thing: for the Images of Serverus egregiously failing in Antiquity and Chronology, of this notable thing: for the Images of Serpents maintained. † Eusebius also is of the same Opi were set over the Gates of Temples and Consenion, affirming that this Ophioneus refers to the rated Places; and generally they (\*) painted Devil in the form of a Serpent; and adds (to berpents or Dragons in all Holy Places, as the Gemake it probable) that Pherecydes was conversant of those Places: for they perswaded themselves with the Phanicians, who worshipp'd their God hat the Genius of the Place appeared in the shape under the form of a Serpent, the Devil affeding of a Serpent. Among these Grecians the Devil to be adored in that Shape which he first assumed as commonly worshipp'd in this Primitive Figure, And not only in Phoenicia, but in other Countries, tore especially at Delphos, whence (as a Learned Dragons, or Serpents, or Snakes, (for these are proportice hath remarked) Apollo is called Pythius, and miscuously used for one another) were reckoned Pytho, among the Secret Mysteries of the Gentiles. These had so great a Veneration for Serpents or Dragons + Lib. 14. † Præp. Evang. lib. 1. cap. ulc. Anadoda'ıyoyaş. Ibid. cap. 7.

Pinge duos angues : facer est locus; extra \* Cont. Celf. lib. 6. † De Prap. Evang. lib. i. cap. 10; Meite.

Pytho, from Ind a Serpent. I might add what \* Clement of Alexandria reports, that the Heather at their Feasts of Bacchus were crowned with Se pents, and used to carry a Serpent in Procession and cry with a loud Voice, Eva, Eva; for Her or Hivia (saith he) in the Hebrew signifies a Se pent. This latter was partly a Mistake of his, it is in the Chaldee that it signifies so, and is t Word used by the Chaldee Translators in Gen, and other places, for a Serpent; and so we are then informed what a Reverence was paid to Serpe by the Antients. Or, what if I should offer a Conjecture, that Eva, or Evia, or Hevia, are plain Remembrance of our Mother Eve, or Hen or, according to the Hebrew Termination, Heri or Havah? Which is the more probable, became the proclaiming of this Name is join'd with the carrying of a Serpent, which we know that unha py Woman was too well acquainted with. An perhaps the word Evantes, which is used by + 1/4 gil to fignify those madding Frolicks, had its On imperiors. This I know mach been a commonly gil to fignify those madding Frolicks, had its On imperiors. This Poetical Passage hath been that Pagan Festival Solemnity, to wit, of a Restrict the less true, because of the Commonness of it, markable Person, and as Remarkable a Thing in If any Man seriously weigh what is reported of corded in Sacred Story. Now I ask, whence can this Garden, he will think it not improbable that this Memorial of Serpents to be observed so general of Man is considered in the Fall of Man is conside rally among the Pagans? Whence was it that for this Garden yielded Golden Fruit, i. e. very Old Heathens were such Adorers of these Cre thoice and excellent Fruit, and such as was as worshipp'd by them under this Form? What

ledg what \* Luther faith he heard a Merchant af firm, namely, that in the Indies he had feen People worship a Great Snake with the highest Reverence and Honour imaginable. Of all this there cannot be a better Account given than that which have already offered. It is questionless a rememrance of what happened in the beginning of the World, and is recorded in the Book of Genesis, that Satan, who had been a kind of God, a Glonious Angel, (and therefore pass'd for such a one fill among the Ignorant Heathens) appeared in a Serpentine Figure to Adam and Eve in Paradifes And this reminds me of another Circumstance of Man's Fall, viz., the Place, which was Paradife, or the Garden of Eden; which (as I said before) cems to be represented by the famous Garden of the

of the Living Scriptures;

made it a great part of their Religion to worthip

a serpent. And if we should leave the Antients,

and come down to latter Ages, I might here al-

115

Serpent

empting as Gold was afterwards; which plainly did this Custom prevail among the Phænicians, was fo desirable and delightful, so tempting and gustin acquaints us, that some Heretick Christian was folder and watched by a Property of the sound was alterwards; which plainly did this Custom prevail among the Phænicians, was so desirable and delightful, so tempting and gustin acquaints us, that some Heretick Christian were kept and watched by a Property of the sound watched by a Property of the Property of the sound watched by a Property of the sound watched by a Property of the sound watched watched watched watched watc were kept and watch'd by a Dragon or Screent; which plainly refers to the Devil in the form of a \* Protrept. seu Exhortat. ad Gent. + Æneid. 6. || De Hæres, cap. 17. Colloqu. Menfaf,

TIVE TIMES CHINE STATISTICS A Serpent, who was always watching about the Tra the Gentiles. not to keep the Man and Woman from eating it, but to follicit and tempt them by all means do it: What they add of Hercules's flaying Dragon, is an addition of their own Fancies, a must always be expected in their representing these Stories, (as I have intimated before:)

the Isline was, that the Golden Fruit was stolen and that is, in plain Terms, our Parents did eat of Forbidden Fruit. This was a downright Steak or Robbery; for it was taking away that who was not their own, and which they were strict commanded not to take away. Thus Paradife w removed by the Poets out of Asia into Africa, whatever Place it was where the Hefperides in their Garden. This Fiction of theirs was made out of Genefis, which speaks of the Garden Eden, of the Serpent, and of the Forbidden Frui which were the occasions of Man's being tempts and deceived. Whence it is clear that the O Poets, Philosophers and Sages among the Heather were not ignorant of the very things which Mi the Inspired Writer gives us an account of. The the first Transgression of Man, and the Origin of it; the Depravation of Mankind, and the ferable Consequences and Effects of it, as the Con fing of the Earth, and the Barrenness which east upon it, with the Infirmities and Discases that Me Bodies were thereupon incident to, are to be few described in the Writings of those Pagans, espec ally of that \* Renowned Poet before mention who was fo thorowly skill'd in all the Pagan Th ology. And this proves what I deligned, that most considerable Passages of the Sacred History

of the Bible are afferted by the Writers among

IV. From the Fall of Man till after the Confusion and Dispersion at Babel, there are many considerable things fpoken of by Pagans, which they could not have any notice of but from the Old Testament. Enoch's being taken up by God (together with the Translation of Elias afterwards) gave occasion to the Stories of their Horoes being Transtated; of Astrea and others leaving the World, and afcending to Heaven alive, and being turn'd into Stars and Celestial Signs: as also the Apotheof s among the Gentiles might be founded on this. Again, the Testimony of the Pagans concerning the Long Lives of the People of the first Age of the World, was plainly, without any Difguife, taken from the Sacred History. "All that have committed unto writing the Antiquities either of the Greeks or Barbarians, attest this Longevity of the Men before the Flood, faith \* Josephus. And immediately he subjoins: "Manetho the Egyptian Writer, Berofus the Chaldean, Mochus, Hestiaus, Jerom the Egyptian, who have treated of the Egyptian Assairs, agree with us in this. Also Hesiod, with Hecataus, Hellanicus and Acufilaus, Ephorus and Nicolas, tell us that those " People of old lived a thousand Years. Which is a Confirmation of the Truth of what we read so often in Moses's Account of the first People of the World, viz. that they commonly lived feven, eight, or nine hundred Years. The Greek and Latin Poets relate likewise that there were Giants in the first times of the World: which most pro-

I 3

'bably

<sup>.</sup> Antiqu. 1. 1. c. 4.

<sup>\*</sup> Ovid Metamorph. lib. 1.

of the Holy Scriptures. bably was borrowed from Gen. 6. 4. where Appen by some) there is abundant mention of that Flood, speaking of the Times before the Flood, tells we and of several Circumstances belonging to it, in that there were Nephilim, Giants in the Earth in the others, whose Writings are not suspected. In Days. And from what is said in the same Veric Lucian's Dea Syria are most of the Particulars The Sons of God came in unto the Daughters of Med which are recorded in the fixth and seventh Chapand they bare Children to them, arose the Fiction of ters of Genesis concerning that Deluge: as sirst, the Orpheus, Hesiod, and other Greek Poets, that the Natural Cause of it, the excessive Rain or Fall of Heroes were partly the Race of Gods; that the Waters from Heaven, and the opening of the Giants were the Sons of Heaven and Earth; the Fountains below: then the Moral Cause of it, the is, according to the plain and intelligible Language Corruption and Wickedness of the World. The of Scripture, they sprang from the Sons of God and People at that time kept not their Oaths, enter-

the Daughters of Men. This I verily think is the tained not Strangers, were hard-hearted to those Foundation of what Poetick Writers tell us con-who were in Distress; they were every ways viticerning the old Heroes especially, viz. that the ous and profligate, and thereby merited this great were the By-blows of the Gods; that they were Judgment. Next, there is mentioned the Preferbegot by some Deity upon a Woman, or were the vation of Noah and his Family, with the Manner Product of some Goddess and a Man. To proceed. Berosus the Chaldean Priest and themselves in a Great Ark; and thus he and his

of their being preserved, namely by sheltering. Historian, relates how wicked and debauched the Wife and Children were reserved for a Second Ge-Old World was, how Noah told them of it, and neration. This befel Noah because of his great of their approaching Ruine by the Universal Flood Prudence and fingular Piety, as this Author adds. which is the next thing to be spoken of. The Fame With him entred into the Ark two of every fort and Memory of this Deluge, and of Noah's Animals; and being shut up in that safe Custowere among the Pagans every-where. Not only dy, they all failed together without any Harm, the forefaid Berofus (quoted by \* Jasephus), but may with a great Friendship and Concord. Lastly, Nicolaus Damascenus (quoted by the same of An Noah's erecting an Altar after he came out of the thor), Abydenus the Assyrian (cited by Eusebius). Ark, is expressly taken notice of. These are the Alexander Polyhistor, Melo, Hieronymus Egyptin, things, faith he, which the Greeks relate out of Apollodorus, and all the Barbarian Historians, as 30 their Archives of the Flood. All which you will fephus faith, i. e. according to his way of speaking find to be like the Narrative of Moses, only Deuall the Pagan Historians have made mention of No calion is put instead of Noah. \* Plutarch (another ab's Flood. If the Credit of these Writers now credible Writer) speaks of the sending of the Dove named be called in question, (as I confess they are out of the Ark, as a sign of the abating of the Flood, or rather to discover whether the Waters

I 4

<sup>\*</sup> Cont. Appion, J. r. † Antiqu. Jud. I. 1. c, 4. Præpar. Evang. 1. 9.

<sup>\*</sup> De solert, animal.

were decreased: and he adds, that it returned and this tempted him to neglect his Master's Comto the Ark again. But this Author, as well ast milds, and not mind what he fent him about: for former, disguiseth Nouth under the Name of De which Apollo turn'd him into fuch a Black Bird as calion, it being the usual way of the Greclans Heis. The Main of this Fable is the thing we are affix new Names to Persons. From the Don whok after, and that is, that the Grow was fent bringing an Olive-branch, we find in all Ages di abroad to find and discover Water, and that he this hath been ever the Symbol of Peace and Ca returned not again. This seems to be taken from cord, of Agreement and Friendship. \* Livy the Sacred Story, even that of Noah's fending forth us it was fo among the Carthaginians, and ame the Raven, or Crow, to discover the Fall or Inthe Greeks. Polybius faith it was the same ame crease of the Waters of the Deluge. To this purthe most Barbarous Nations: for when Hamil pole perhaps is that which \* one faith is observ'd was passing the Alpes, those People came and of the Crows by the Antients, that they are Forhim with Olive-branches in their Hands; | Th getful Creatures, and oftentimes return not to (as he flibjoins) being a Badg and Sign of Friend their Nests. See this more fully illustrated and thip among all those Barbarous People. When proved by a Learned Critick of this last Age, more probably was this derived than from the A Monsieur Rochart. Thus there are both plain Story of the Flood, written by Moses? From the and obscure Passages in Heathen Writers, which same Authentick Narrative we learn that Ma keep up the Memory of the Flood, and of several fent forth a Raven (and that first of all indeed remarkable things which attend it. Only they out of the Ark; but it seems to be said that here have corrupted the True Hiltory, and the Chroturned not again, Gen. 8. 7. to which the follow mology of it, by confounding the Names of Noah ing Fable of the Raven or Crow feems to relate and Deucalion. Yea, they tell us of Ogyges's Flood (\*) Apollo was pleased once upon a time to employ (as well as that of Deucalion) which was in the this Bird on an Errand, and fend him out to fin time of Ogyges King of Attica, when Inachus reign-

Messenger of Apollo made no haste, because he so the Corn very fair, though not yet sit for Harvell.

\* Lib. 30. cap. 35. & lib. 29. c. 16. † Hist. lib. 5.

I Tim 28 26.60 maon wis Bagsacqus est σύνθημα φιλίας (\*) Mian. Hist. t. c. 47.

(†) Immemor imperii sedisse sub arbore servar,

Dum fierent tarda dulcia poma mora. Faft. l. 2.

fresh Water, and fetch it to him; but he return

ed not till after the time that Figs were ripe: an

he staid, and fat on a certain Tree which he spin

till they ripened. (1) Ovid tells us it was an A

ple-Tree: and others (as Alian reports) fay

ed among the Argives, which was about the time

of Abraham; and so they place it about five hun-

dred Years after Noah's Flood, A. M. 2140. but

others fay it was fix hundred Years after it. This

Ogygian Flood drowned the Country about Athens

and Achaia in Peloponnessus: whereas the latter,

viz. Deucation's Flood, (which was in Greece likewife) happened in Thessaly where Deucation reigned; and it drowned that Countrey, and some part

of Italy; Deucation and his Wife Pyrrha fecuring

them-

<sup>\*</sup> Servius. † De Corvo quem'ex arca emisit Noe.

themselves at the same time in a Vessel, and at lattence out of the raging Deluge. And I might landed safely on Parnassus. This some tell us with there (in order to what I shall prove afterabout three hundred, others say four hundred mirds) that Parnassus, the place on which Deuca-Years after the Flood in Ogyges's time. But the was Ark rested, was a Mountain \* dedicated to some have placed these Two Floods at such a distance, where he had his Rites performed to him: stance from one another, and consequently have whence by the by it may be gather'd, that Noah made them two distinct ones, yet others confound who is the same with Deucalion) and Bacchus were them together, and make them one and the same Persons, which I shall make good in ano-And it is most probable that they were so, another place. that both have reference to Noah's Flood: for no. It might be made appear from other Particulars, thing is more usual with the Fabulous Poets, that that the Tradition concerning Noah, the Flood, to split one Story into two or more, and to contain and the Ark, which was derived from the Holy found the Truth with different and disguise Scriptures, hath been spread abroad among the Names. There is reason to believe that Ogygi Pagans. Frieder thinks that Nisroch, 2 Kings and Deucalion were but seigned Names of North 19. 37. If a. 37. 38. is as much as Numen Arca, the Ark-Deity or Idol, and was the Image of North 19. Ark-Deity or Idol, and Idol, and Idol, and Idol, and Idol, and Idol, an in their days, was but a Representation of the U. ab's Ark, worshipp'd among the Assyrians. It niversal Deluge in Noah's time; and that Arara, may be it was an Idol in the shape of a Boat or or Caucasus, is to be understood by Parnassus, Ship, and made perhaps of the Relicks of the They that know how common it is with the Greek Ark. I could mention that Janus, faid to be the Poets to alter the Names of Persons and Places and to substitute others in their room, will not be backward to credit this. But it is easy to see thro their Poetical Fictions and Difguises, and particularly here, that they had a notice of the History of the Flood, which the Holy Scripture hath given us a plain and true Account of. I might here obferve what \* Ovid faith concerning Deucalion and his Wife, viz. that as foon as the Deluge ceafed, they betook themselves to their Devotions, and folemnly worshipp'd the Gods: which questionless refers to what the Sacred Story relates, that | North erected an Altar (the first that we read of) to iscrifice to God, and to praise him for his Delive.

by the Poetick Writers, how there is preserved the remembrance of the chief and most notable things which are recorded of him. He was called Prometheus, (not but that this fame Name may be applied to some others; for this too must be obferved, that the Greek Poets fet forth different Persons by the same Name, as sometimes one Perrance Macrob. Saturn. 1. 1. c. 18. + Ocdyp. Ægypt.

most antient King of Italy, coined Money which

had on it the Figure of a Ship: which it is very

likely refers to the Matter in hand. The Impress

of the Ship is a Memorial of the Ark, which was

6 noted among the Antients: and Janus is Noah,

as you shall hear afterwards. We may plainly

discern likewise, in another Name given to Noab

fon

<sup>\*</sup> Metamorph. lib. 1. † Gen. 8. 20, 21.

quel of this Discourse;) he was, I say, called wirst file from the History of the Bible. Berosus metheus by the Greek Poets: for according to the Chaldean Antiquities, speaks of Noah's three description of this Person you cannot but acknow the struck it is true he adds others, as Tethys, ledg, that Noah was covertly meant by him. (1.) It is faid the Flood was in Prometheus's til which none will deny agrees to Noab. (2.) metheus is faid to have repaired and restored Ma kind: which is another plain Parallel, and need not to be insisted upon. (3.) Prometheus is said be the Son of Japerus, i.e. of Japheth: and it no wonder that the Names of Father and Son and confounded by the Poets. That they have hit near the Historical Truth, is a thing that is wor thy of our Consideration. (4.) Even where Fiction runs higher, we still see some Footsteps Truth. They feign that Prometheus was by Jui ter's Order chain'd to Cauvasus, where an Eagle some say a Vulture, seeds upon his Entrails. Hen is, according to the usual Mistake of the rambling Poets, one thing put for another: Mount Caucale is put for Mount Ararat, or the Gordiaan Hills, on which Noah's Ark rested. And one Fowl is put for another: they change the Raven and Dove into an Eagle or Vulture. And as to the being chain'd and fed upon, that is purely Poetick Invention and is not to be regarded. (5.) Promethem had his Name from his excellent Wisdom and Fore fight. This exactly agrees with Noah, he was weomeds, i.e. one that is wife before the Evil comes. Being warned of God, he foresaw the Go neral Destruction which was approaching; and by preparing an Ark, he preserved himself and his fir mily from it. Judg now whether Noah was not the Heathen Prometheus, and whether this and ther such Fables among the Gentiles had not the

Giants were \* Terræ filii, out of the Earth; and that they waged War against the Gods, and were at last dispersed, and that the Building was quite beaten down by a great Wind. The Erecting of this Tower of Babel is mentioned by Hestiaus, and by one of the Sibyls, faith Josephus in his + Antiquities; and by Abydenus and Eupolemus, as Eusebins testifieth in his Evangelical Preparation. It is likely that Belus's Tower, mention'd by Herodotus, is the Tower of Babel. That it was made of Brick and Slime, as you read in Gen. 11.3. is attested by Juffin, Q. Curtius, Vitruvius, and others; for what these Writers say of the Walls of Babylon, is applicable to that. And as for the Poets, the History \* Perhaps 21745, Gigas, is a Corruption of grazenis, terrigena; Glants die express'd, Prov. 9. 18. where Rophaim is translated YYYHS. † Lib. 1. cap. 5.

Typham, &c. Japheth, one of his undoubted Sons.

(whom only I shall mention at present) he is often

mentioned among the Old Grecians; who refer

their Original to Japetus, or Japhetus, making

him the antientest Man: thence 'Id mil (0) is dex al-

O. wero Cirre o in Suidas and Hefychius. Thus

among the Pagans we find unquestionable Monu-

The next remarkable thing after the Flood,

was the Attempting to build the Tower of Babel:

and this is not omitted in Pagan Records. Bero-

fw's Chaldee History mentions it, but with such

Additions as these, (if I may call them Additions, steing they have some kind of ground in the Sa-

cred Story) That it was built by Giants, and those

ments of the Truth of the Bible.

of the Babel-Builders is turn'd by them into had any such Project in their Heads. Nor was it to Fable of the Titans, whom they feign to ha heaped Mountain upon Mountain, to scale H. ven, and fight the Gods; and by name they me tion \* Japheth, one of Noah's Sons, as a dough Giant among them, (for they pick'd up any Na that they had by Tradition, and clapp'd it is Homer tells us they cast up three Hills on ones nother, of Ossa on Olympus, and shady Pelion of Ossa, hoping thereby to make their way to the Heavens: but this proved successes, and the bold Invaders were scatter'd and broken by Thunda from Jupiter. All this Grecian Fable of the The omachy of the Giants, was derived from what the History of Moses relates in Gen. 11. 3, &c. that Nimrod, a great Hunter, a Giant-like Man, win his sturdy Fellows, attempted to build a City and Tower, whose Top should reach up to Heaven; which the Pagans interpreted to be Defying of the Gods and making War with them. And truly the did not come short of the true Meaning of their grand Design; which was to defy Heaven, and to exalt and magnify themselves: Though I grantic was Hyperbolically spoken when they faid, Let n build us a City and Tower to reach up to Heaven; for they could not dream of performing this in relity, because they knew the Height of the law Flood (which lifted up the Ark fifteen Cubits a bove the highest Mountains) was short of Heaveni besides, they would not have built on the Plain, (as they did) but on the highest Hills, if they had

-Tum partu terra nefando Eoumq; Japetumq; creat, sævumq; Typhoen, Et conjuratos cœlum rescindere fratres. Ovid. † "Οσσαν έπ' 'Ουλύμπω μέμασαν θέμεν' αυλάρ επ' "Οσσή Πίπλιον είνοστουλλον, εν' έξαν Θ΄ έμβαλ Θ΄ είνο. Odyst.λ.

had

as to the main, it must be acknowledged that they confirm the Truth of the Sacred History. even this last Particular, the making them a Name, feems to be transcribed into the Fable, when they tell us, that after the Giants, who were begot of the Earth, had fought the Gods, their Mother Earth (being incens'd at the Defeat of her Sons) brought forth Fame: This was the Giants last Si-Illam terra parens irâ irritata Deorum, Extremam (ut perhibent) Cao Enceladeq; sororem Progenuit. We

be a Refuge from the Waters of another Flood;

for they had God's Word for it that no such De-

hige should ever be again, Gen. 9. 15. But their

Delign is plainly set down, chap. 11. ver. 4. Let us

make us a Name, lest we be scatter'd abroad on the face

if the whole Earth; i. e. Let us go about this Work,

that we may have here a Place to fix in; that by

crecting this vast City and Tower, we may have

mom enough, and live together in one Body; and

make our Lusts our only Law, and act as we please,

without the Controll of others: and that after-

ward, when by reason of our great Numbers, and

Increase, we must be forced to remove, we may

by this famous Monument be known; and when

we leave this World, we may hereby purchase a

Name in future Ages, and even furvive after

Death. Thus their Intentions and Enterprizes

were prophane and impious, and no less than an

arrogant Contempt of God. But some of the Po-

ets interpreting the foresaid Words in a gross

Manner, as if those daring Sinners did actually

scale the Heavens, have presented us with their

Conceits upon this remarkable Occurrence; but

ster, according to that of the Poet;

jects by confounding their Language, v. 7. and there by scatter'd them abroad from thence upon the face all the Earth, v. 8. Of which Confusion or Deal sion of Languages, there is this Remembrance the Greek Tongue, That in it Men are called

We read that when these Builders were hot their Work, God on a sudden defeated their Pro

\* udeones: which Epithet was given them, and i Eustathius, on the account of the Division Tongues which the World suffer'd at Babel; and this (faith he) was the common Opinion of

antient Christians. Then, as to the Division of Earth among the Sons of Noah, fet down in the id Chapter of Genesis, it is not to be doubted the the Fiction of dividing the World among the

Bretbren, the Sons of Saturn, was taken from the So that there are some Remainders and Footster of the Sacred Truth to be observ'd, which way ever you look. This I might further thew in the Account which Moses's History gives of the Fig. Plantations, upon the Division of the Earth amon Noah's Sons, as in the Posterity of Javan, when were the Javans, or Greeks, called Ixoves, of

But because I shall afterward have an occasson speak of this, namely, when I treat of the Prefection of Scripture, shewing it to be the most Ar tient and Compleat History in the World, I wil defer it till then, and at the same time let you

that the Mosaick History gives us the best Account of those First Planters; and also that in several those Names, are to be read the Names of Cont tries and Nations, which we meet with in Page Authors.

CHAR

## CHAP. IV.

of the Holy Scriptures?

Bederal things relating to the Patriarch Abraham, the Destruction of Sodom and Gomorrah, the Oppression of the Israelites in Egypt, the History of Jofeph, the Pass-over, the Conducting the Israelites through the Red-Sea, their Travels in the Wilderness, the Brazen Serpent, attested by Heathens. An Enquiry into the rise of the Report concerning the Jews worshipping an Ass's Head, and also their worshipping of Clouds.

V.D Etween the Confusion of Tongues, and the D Giving of the Law by Moses, there are many observable Passages in the Old Testament, which are also taken notice of, and attested (tho in an obscure and oblique Manner) by Pagan Writers. The great Patriarch Abraham is mentioned by Berofus, Hecataus, Nicolas Damascenus, Eupolemus, Alex. Polyhistor, as Josephus and Eusebin acquaint us in their Writings before named. The wife Men of Greece asking their Gods whence the Knowledg of Arts came, received this Auwer, Movo o or kea xandaio oopos; where by the Chaldean it is not unlikely was meant Abraban, who was the great Father of Knowledg and Wifdom, and of whose Race were so many Wife and Learned Persons. In the name of this great Man, the Heathens used to perform their Conjurations and Magical Exploits: The God of Abraham, the God of Isdac, and the God of Jacob, were words usually pronounced in their Charms and Spells, faith Origen. Nay, \* he tells us, that

Contra Cels. lib. 4.

<sup>\* —</sup> Γενεαὶ μερόπων ἀνθρώπων. Hom. Iliad. θ. † Μυ mor, i. c. ususciouldur mir parir izerwr. Eustath. in loc

ο Θεός 'Aβεσάμ being so frequently repeated in the Old Testament, gave occasion to the Pagans to think Abraham was some God. I find also that the Pagan Writings make mention of the fame, or the like Custom that this Patriarch used making of Covenants, viz. the cutting or dividing of one or more Animals into two parts, and par fing between them. Thus in Gen. 15. 9. when God made a Covenant with him, he commanded him to divide a Heifer, a Goat, and a Ram into piece, and to pass between them. Whence afterward this Ceremony was made use of when a Leaguer Covenant was entred into between Man and Man: and the Parties did as it were declare by that Action, that they wished to be cut asunda in that manner as the Beasts were, if they brake the Covenant which they made. Of this Culton you likewise read in 1 Sam. 11. 7. Jer. 34. 18 19. And to this perhaps belongs what is record ed in Gen. 21. 28. Abraham took Sheep and Oxa and gave them unto Abimelech, (viz. to be diffede and divided, according to the foresaid Usage, and that in order to Sacrifice) and both of them mades Covenant. Which gave rife to the like Practice among the Pagans when they were to make for lemn Agreements and Covenants. \* Didys Cres tensis relates this Custom used by the Grecian and Trojans in the time of the War between them. From the Livy and || Curtius we learn that the People of Macedon and Baotia did the like cutting a Dog in pieces. (\*) Lucian hath some thing concerning the Scythians to this purpofe: and Suidus tells us this was the Federal Usage of the Molossi. Thus these Gentiles borrow'd their

Apprehension, they were preparing to offer Sacrifice to them, and had got the Priests ready with their Oxen and Garlands for that end. Nay, thence it was that some of the Poets made those mad Fables of the strange Metamorphosis of their Gods: as how Apollo took on him the Shape of a Hawk, of a Lion, and of a Shepherd; how Bacchus appear'd like a Grape for Erigone's fake; how Neptune chang'd himself into a Flying Horse for Medusa's Love, and into a Steer, a Ram, a Dol-

phin for others: How Jupiter turn'd himself into a Showr of Gold (the most powerful Courtship) for Danae, into a Bull for Europa, into a Swan for Leda.

of the Lady Och theures. Way of Covenanting from the old Patriarchs.

It is not improbable that Abraham's Feasting the

Angels, yea, the Son of God himself, (Gen. 18.8.)

gave occasion to the Poets to speak of the Gods be-

ing feasted by Mortals, as they tell us of Philemon

and Baucis, their entertaining of Jupiter and Mer-

cury; which is but a corrupt Representation of

Arabam and Sarah's Treating their Heavenly

Guelts. And here I might add, that from this

and other Instances in the Old Testament, of the

frequent and visible Appearing of God and An-

gels unto Men, as to Ifaac, Jacob, Moses, Gideon,

Manoah, and several others; and from their as-

suming of Bodies of Humane Shape in order to

that, there arose a Notion among the Pagans, that

their Gods for sooth vouchsafed sometimes to come

down and visit them in the likeness of Mortals.

Thence Homer and other Poets so commonly talk

of the Apparition of the Gods in sensible Shapes,

and bring them in after that manner. Thence it

was that the People of Lystra, in the lesser Asia,

cried out that the Gods were come down to them in

the likeness of Men, (Acts 14. 12.) and upon this

<sup>\*</sup> Lib. 2, & 5. † L. 40. c. 6. || L. 10. c. 6. (\*) In Toxpi

for Antiope, into a Flame for Agina; besides di ther scandalous Transformations: yea, even it len Saturn became a prancing Steed for Philin the Daughter of Oceanus. All which wild ar frolick Conceits of the Poetick Tribe concerning their Gods transfiguring themselves, and making themselves visible in several Shapes and Fashion had their first foundation in those foremention Instances recorded in the Old Testament; which without doubt were known to the Neighbourn Nations, and were transmitted as wonders things to others that were next to them. W are not to attend to the extravagant Addition which the hot-head Poets made to the True Relations: But we are to observe the main thing a which these fanciful Superstructures are built. The feem to me to be founded on the Holy Scripture; they feem to be borrowed from what we red there, viz. that Angels, those God-like Spirks, transformed themselves into Humane Likenta and frequently visited and conversed with Me here on Earth. This Sacred Truth lies vail'd der those Fabulous Histories; and though they hit added many things to it, viz. new and incredit Circumstances, yet we have no reason to disti lieve the Substance of the History because of the Additions. Again, Sacrificing of Men, especially of the Sons, which some Pagan Stories relate, might him its original from Abraham. It is recorded by Ir

Leda, into an Eagle for Ganymede, into a Satur

thyrius, saith \* Eusebius, that Saturnus an antim King of Phanicia, that he might appeare the God and fave his Kingdom from imminent Danger,

diver

\* Præpar. Evangel. 1. 1. c. 9.

divert Evil and Ruin from his Country, offer'd his \*Only Son on an Altar. This Saturn is the Antient Patriarch Abraham, and his only Son is Isac; and Phoenicia was mistaken for Palestine. Polipidas (faith Plutarch in his Life) was bid in a Vision to facrifice a Virgin; but it so happen'd that a Mare-Colt came running through the Camp, whilst they were disputing whether the Vision fould be obey'd, and by the advice of the Augur was taken and facrificed instead of a Virgin. I only propound this; May we not conceive that this was done in imitation of what they had heard by Tradition, that when Isaac was to be offer'd, a Ram came in the way, and was facrificed instead of the pious Youth destined to that Slaughter? And feveral other confiderable Passages relating to the Patriarchs, might be collected out of the Writings and Practices of the Heathens of old: but I proceed to other Matter, The History of the Destruction of Sodom and

Nic. Damascenus, (as you will find in & Grotius, for that Learned Man disdains not their Testimony) and by more Authentick Authors, as Diodorus Siculus, Strabo, Solinus, Tacitus, Pliny, who have preserved the Memory of this terrible Judgment of God on those Cities. All these Prophane Writers tellify that those Places were destroyed by Fire. But Solinus and Tacitus fay it was particularly by Thunder and Lightning. And Strabo infinuates they were swallowed up by Subterraneous Fires breaking forth, and causing an Earthquake at the same time. They might be destroy'd by both these: for the latter is probable from this, that

Gomorrah, is expresly attested by Abydenus and

<sup>\*</sup> Muyozevii. † De Verit, Christ, Relig.

nerally attend great Earthquakes: and we know that the Lake Afphaltites was produced at the time; which shews that the Earth opened her self subject of the Sulphureous Vapours and Steams) which is here stagnated and become a filthy Lake. Thereis here stagnated, and become a filthy Lake. And for Building; of which \* Pliny speaks. Therewe are fure they were destroyed by the former, fore Clement of Alexandria and Tertullian, and the because the Sacred Writ, whence those Authors two antient Christian Poets Prudentius and Sedulius, took their Story, testisses as much: for I concein deliver it as their Opinion, that this Unhappy Wothat is denoted by the raining of Fire and Brimston man was converted into a Mass or Solid Body of from the Lord out of Heaven, Gen. 19.24. Show ers of Liquid Sulphur, (which by the by I guess came to have its Name at first among the Greeks from this fo noted Accident; Sulphur was called San, quod à Deo sit, because it was from the Lord out of Heaven) continued Showers, I say, of this ful. phureous Matter, accompanied the terrible Lightnings and Thunder-claps: and by this means Las Wife became a Pillar of Salt, ver. 26. i. e. being thus struck with Thunder and Lightning, her Bo. dy presently became Hard as a Statue. This sometimes is the Product of those dreadful Meteors: Thunder (fay both Seneca and Cardan) makes the Bodies of those who are struck with it, Stiff and Immoveable. This was the furprizing Effect upon this poor Woman: She turn'd her Head to: wards the finoking City, to fee that strange Speétacle; and behold! she became a more wonder,

Ipsíque Imago sibi.

She became her own Monument and Statue; the stands a Pillar of Salt, of lasting and durable Remembrance, not only in the Sense that we read of

ful Sight her felf.

her disbelieving the Threatnings of God to the Sodomites, and for despiting the express Command of Angels, who bid her not look behind her, ver. 17. And (now we are upon conjecturing) what think you of the Fable of Orpheus's Wife, his dear Euridice? To fetch her back again to Life, he went to Hell; here he persivaded Pluto to give him her again, but upon this condition, that he should not look back to her all the while she was coming. But it feems the kind Man turned to look on his Wife as the was following him: whereupon she was remanded back to Hell. Here seems to be an Allusion to Lot's Wife, and to her looking back, and to the sad Effect of it. Orpheus is Lot, Euridice is his Wife, Sodom is Hell, and the Fire and Brimstone there are a sufficient reason of that Ap-K 4 pellation. \* Nat. Hift. 1. 31. c. 7.

Hardned Salt, such as the Mineral one is. This

being fo remarkable a thing, it could not but be:

fatch'd up by the Inquisitive Poets among the

Greeks; and accordingly they tell us of Niobe's

being turn'd into a Stone for her refractory Contempt of some Goddess's Commands. This Fable,

as may be conjectured, was taken from Lot's Wife

turn'd into a hard, and as 'twere stony Pillar, for

pellation. But there is a changing of the Store 1, some think that the Custom mentioned among in the Man's looking back instead of the Woman's he Heathens, of eresting Stones and Pillars, came and in adding a great deal of other Poetick Store from Jacob's taking a Stone, and setting it up besides; which is either to fill up the Fable, or the Pillar, Gen. 28. 18. and 35. 14. Yea, the disguise the True Story, which is common among the William, Lapides Bætulici, in use at the Pagans, as hath been observed before. Latting them, had their Name from Bethel, the Wise turn'd into a Saline Pillar. Wife turn'd into a Saline Pillar, was remaining where Jacob erected the Stone. Joseph Sca-\* Josephus's time, if he may be credited: and the (that incomparable Critick) shews how they do not know any reason to the contrary. The sambled one another, these Βαιτύλια being Conwe are certain of, upon the Faith even of Profes wated Stones, erected by the Pagans for some Ho-History, that the Sulphureous Lake of Asphalites of Purpose and Religious Remembrances. They mained in Strabo's, and afterwards in Pliny and The field to anoint these Stones: wherefore such a one citus's time, a Monument of the Divine Vengeaner one is called by \* Arnobius, lubricatus Lapis, & ex upon the Cities of Sodom and Gomorrab; God ture divi unguine irrigatus; and by + Clemens Alexaning those fruitful and pleasant Places into a stink dinu,  $\lambda t \pi \alpha e \delta s$ : which consirms the former Nolarly taken notice of by those and other Historicans, who mention how bad the Fruits are the grow about that Lake, and therein verify whating referr'd to in Deut. 32. 32. Their Vine is of the Vine of Sodom and of the Field of Compared. Which was not grounded on the same Practice of

is a further Proof to us of the Truth of the Holy the Patriarchs. I proceed. It is not unlikely that the Vailing of the Bride in use among the Pagans, was take cason to the Fable, that Bacchus was born of Jove's from the antient Usage of the Pairiarchs; for m Thigh: for though, according to the Idiom of the read in Gen. 24. 65. that Rebekah was brought to faltern Speech, that Phrase [to come out of his Isaac covered with a Vail. Whence among the sem Thigh I signifies no more than to be born of him, or Marriage had the Name of Chupphab, from Chip. to be his Son; yet the Greeks not understanding phab to cover. And hence this modest Practice the Oriental manner of speaking, mistook the pass'd into other Countries; and we are told by Place, and made a Fable out of it. There are credible Authors, that among the Greeks and Ro; two very | Learned Men who approve of this, and

mans the Wife was brought to the Husband Vall

of Sodom, and of the Field of Gomorrah. Which speak) was not grounded on the same Practice of Scripture, concerning the burning of Sodom and Some have thought the Sacred History's relating that Jacob's Sons came out of his Thigh, (for so it is according to the Hebrew in Gen. 46. 26.) gave oc-

there-

Contr. Gent. 1. 1. + Strom. 7. Mr. Mede, Monf. Bochart.

<sup>\*</sup> Antiqu, 1, 15, c, 19.

therefore I thought good to mention it; but In is afficient to name Justin, who acquaints us that confess I look upon it only as an ingenious Fabruary who was the youngest of his Brethren, and and therefore I am not ready to press this equal whis excellent Wit and Parts were dreaded by with some of the other Particulars I have offer m; which very thing moved them to sell him before efore.

It will not feem improbable, I suppose, that that Favourite of the King. He goes on and tells before.

Practice among the Heathens of closing or share "That this Brave Man was very skilful in dothe Eyes of the dying Person, and this by one thing Wonders, and was the sirst that found out was the most beloved of him, was derived from the Meaning and Interpretation of Dreams. Gen. 46. 4. Joseph shall put bie Hand upon thine En The Scarcity or Dearth which happened to E-Accordingly we find this last Office of Friends with, he foresaw many Years before it came. spoken of in Homer, and other antient Write That Land had perished, if the King had not

by his Advice laid up Corn in store. He was a The Gentile Story of Busines's facrificing of Small kind of Divine Oracle, and confulted by the The Gentile Story of Bujiris's Jacrificing of Sine kind of Divine Oracle, and confulted by the gers, hath a very folid Foundation; for we me word, because of his infinite Sagacity, his easily perceive that this arose from the true as transcendent Knowledg and Wisdom. Any unquestionable History in Exodus, where we retain that hath read the Sacred History, may see of a New King over Egypt, who set over the line but this Character was borrowed thence. And it lites Task-masters, to afflict them with their Burdon's a very notable and illustrious Consirmation of and who made their Lives bitter with hard Bondson's he Truth of the Mosaick History, and in that of Exod. 1.11, 14. and this was He that made the whole Sacred Scripture.

Edict of drowning the Hebrew Children, ver. 11. Next, I will mention this, that the Annual Cu-This great Oppressor of Israel was that Bujin tom of the Egyptians (which & Epiphanius speaks whom the Centiles speak of as a noted Turanta of of marking their Trees and their Flocks with

whom the Gentiles speak of as a noted Tyrant of) of marking their Trees and their Flocks with Faypt; and several agree that that was his tree something of a Red Colour, as a kind of Preserva-The Ifraelites, who came out of Canad Live against any Harm and Mischief that might beinto Egypt, were the Strangers, and are truly at them, was from the Israelites Practice of old led so. The facrificing of them is the cruel and in Egypt, when they sprinkled the Lintels and Posts bloody handling of them. That Egyptian Op of the Doors with Blood, Exod. 12. 22. which prepressor and Tyrant might rightly be said to savi served them from the last and worst Plague which fice bis Strangers, when he used the poor Hebrer Wel the Egyptians. In remembrance of this, or so inhumanely.

Joseph's Great Fortunes and Noble Acts in Egg are celebrated by professed Historians, as well # Poets, among the Pagans; and therefore I need

both Greek and Latin.

\* Minimus inter fratres ætate Josephus fuit, cujus excellens not mention these latter. And of the former for senium fratres veriti clam interceptum peregrins mercatoribis vendiderunt. Hift. l. 36. c. 2. † In Hæreli Nazaræorum.

nather in a superstitious Imitation of it, the People

of Egypt afterward let a red Mark on their Hon mong the Ichthyophagi, a People inhabiting and Goods: And that this Custom was borrow the Shore near the Arabian Gulph, (which is thence, will appear the more probable by case Name given to the Red-Sea among Geogradering that this was done by them at the entry thers) namely, that all that Place where that of the Vernal Equinox, as Epiphanius relates, with Gulph is; was dried up, the Waters flying back: was the very time (as we learn from Exod. 12 but after the Bottom had appear'd for fome when the Israelites distinguish'd their House time, the Place, by a reflux of the Sea, was that Bloody Token. Again, I might offer it was turn'd into its former Condition. So he. And enquired into by the Learned, whether the the interest he gives a most remarkable Testimony to Carneia, the Sacrifices for Passing, which were to Truth of those words in Exod. 14. 21. The use among the Grecians, especially the Laceden and caused the Sea to go back, and made the Sea dry nians, and are mention'd by Xenophon, Thursday, and the Waters were divided; and in v. 27, nians, and are mention d by Xenophon, Thursday, and Plutarch, be not an Imitation of, or an A fion unto the famous Jewish Pesach, which is firmed the Host of Pharoah. It seems the Ichthyoftroying Angel passed over the Israelites Host significants; though he had Converse with these and did the Inhabitants of them no harm. Missing time, and they had Correspondence with the not this give occasion first to those Grecian for the Hostorian, Passour-Sacrifices, especially consider that that Jewish Feast is call'd not only disputational to the Egyptians were so cunning that that Jewish Feast is call'd not only disputational that there is so little said among the Passour Nazianzen, and others?

Actor the Red-Sea it self. Mare Erythraum, there . The Conducting of the Children of Israel of in that Name a Remembrance of a known Per-

of Egypt, and their miraculous Passing throught on spoken of in the Old Testament, viz. Esau. For Red-Sea, and the overthrow of the Egyptians to what hath been said by some, that this Sea had Fame of Moses's dividing the Red-Sea, was kept

it, could not but be famous among the Pager its Name from its Red Colour, proves an arrant though they endeavour'd to stifle, or at least fallhood. Coral at the bottom of it, which some mince it: whereof \* Justin only tells us that the talk of, is not red enough to give it such a King of Egypt followed the Jews when they led Colour. And the Weed which grows in it, whence Egypt, but was forced to return back by reason tis call'd Jam Suph, Mare algosum, (as Junius a great Tempest which arose of a sudden. The and Tremellius always render it) or Mare junci, (as others, as if it were the Rush or Reed-Sea) cannot among the Gentiles; as † Diodoriu Siculus withe give it the Denomination of Red, because (whatseth: "There is, saith he, a Report spread ever some say of this weedy Stuff at the bottom of " moed it) the Water of this Sea is of the same Colour with other Seas, as all Travellers attest. Yea,

As to the Red-Sea it felf, Mare Erythraum, there

though

<sup>\*</sup> Hist. lib. 30. † Lib, 3.

though that be true which hath been lately for the Scripture faith, Esau is Edom, Gen. 36, 1. gested by some inquisitive Persons, that this We sow Edom in Hebrew is the same that Equeles is taken out of the Sea, is made a red Colour cap freum was call'd fo from Erythras: with whom Sufo by the Ethiopians, used for dying Clothingree Strabo, Curtius, and other Historians, who India and Ethiopians was feeling the Colour cap the first that it was named to from Fredericks who India and Ethiopia; yet seeing the Sea it self is no selate that it was named to the Sea is. This of that Country, or Coast, where this Sea is. This who was called I cannot think it is called the Red merely became from, (it signifying the very same with equolos); cture or Colour to the Waters: but this alfo a mere Fancy, and hath been confuted by the (viz. that Part of the Ocean on the East which those who have seen it, and tell us it differs not from other Scas. In brief, all impartial Writers agra that it can't be call'd so from its Red Colour, be cause it hath nothing of that to be seen in it. Whi then did the Learned Seventy Elders of the Jen translate it Έρυθρὰ θάλασσα, the Red-Sea? The for it, it being (as I faid before) that kind of Sca-weed which was used in dying of Cloth with of the Liquor whereof Purple is made. But the chief, and indeed the only proper Reason (for the other was but an Occasion) of this Version of the Seventy, is this, because equely hath reference to One that was equogos, i. e. Red, and this was no other than Edom or Esau; for in express word

have faid it hath this Epithet, because the Stone and that for these two Reasons, (both which are Cliffs, Banks, and Sands of it, by Reflection at Higher the Truth of them). First Bacouse has Repercussion of the Sun's Rays, give such a Til meltion the Truth of them); First, Because he was ruddy at his Nativity, he came out red, Gen. 35.25. whence you read in the fame Verse that who have purposely writ of this Particular Sa the had his Name. Secondly, Because he was an excessive Admirer and Lover of Red Pottage: thus Arabian Shores, and Wante was called Edom, because he was so eager Name was called Edom, because he was so eager This Edom gave Debe fed with that red Broth. This Edom gave Denomination to the Land where he was great and mled, and accordingly it was call'd the Land of Edom, Num. 21. 4. and is so in other places: and the Sea adjoining to this Land, received its Name from him too; therefore you find them both join'd Reasons we may conceive to be these; because, They journied by the way of the Red-Sea to compass the Land of Edom. As we know Seas are denominaand from the Persons and People they belong to; a red Colour, and so may be translated red; a spian Sea; so it is here, the Red-Sea hath its name from Edom, (who is Esau) i. e. Red; who by the Greeks was accordingly stiled Erythras or Erythrawhich fignifies the fame. Thus these Pagan Nomenclators have left us some Remains of Sacred History in this and other Names that they have impoo'd upon Persons and Things. The

is attested by Berosus, Strabo, Numenius, and Jul The last of these (whom we have quoted be as a substantial Witness to the Verity of the faick History) tells us, that \* Moses, who led faick History) tells us, that \* Moses, who led the first and earliest Emulations of the New People out of Egypt, stole from the Natives of Science of their sacred things: which any may see is founded upon what we read in East they were not till Apollonius Tyaneus's time, and that lie was the Inventer of them, is founded that the was the Inventer of them, is founded that the was the Inventer of them, is founded that the was the Inventer of them, is founded that the was the Inventer of them. took with them Vessels of Gold and Silver, and Cortolly on their being called it to 78 'A TOMOVIS ments. It is expressy attested by the same Promise which under the supposing Historian, that the Jews travell'd in the Den Lewise the Author, out of whom he took it, not of Arabia, and that Moses came to Mount in the spurious) imports only this, that they were of the Truth of Scripture-History.

with other things relating to their Travels three frequent and used by him, not that they were his that Place. All which are Authentick Evident syention. Some tell us that the Telefinatical figure of a Stork or Scorpion, made under a cer-Herodotus's Relation of the fiery Flying-Serre via Configuration of the Heavens, hath driven in Arabia, is a Confirmation of what we meet with In Numb. 21. 6. where we are told that the life clites were stung and tormented with Fiery in their Passage through the Wildern As to the Brazen Scrpent, mention'd in the same Chapter, whereby the Israelites were healed, in the Magical Images and Sculptures among the Magical Images and Sculptures among the Heathens, especially the Egyptians, which the Chapter is a Statue of Figure that hath its Heathens, especially the Egyptians, which the Shape of the Thing it self. It cured not ruptly from the Greek) Talisman, were smith the self-cition of God himself. and was moreover a special self-cition of God himself. They were certainly for the self-cition of God himself. and was moreover a self-cition of God himself. ons of this. They were certainly for the la direction of God himself, and was moreover a purpose, viz. to avert Evil and Mischief from the type and Representation of the Crucified Jesus. fons: therefore Gaffarel thinks these Talisman was but the Telesimatical Images among the Pagans the same with the Averrunci, among the old the made on purpose to take the Influence of mans: and some of the old Hebrew Doctors have particular Stars, and operated (as they said) virtue of the Likeness of the Figures to the Things Pandest. Turc. cap. 130. † Respons, ad Orthodox.

THE ELLY OUT THERE ES

count. It is not improbable that the Images of

merods and Mice, which the Philistian Magitians

de use of, were from the same Original, and

The coming forth of the Israelites from Extention the name of Scuta Davidis, on the same

Dux exulum factus facra Egyptiorum furto abstulit. † Lib. 2. cap. 75.

We may more truly lay the were acted by some Evil Dæmons that designed by them to be both to amuse and deceive the World, and the conduct in their Journey: This would find make these Telesmatical Preparations services and its Springs of Water, and lead them to it; this (as generally they were) to superstitious and its follows Ends. But that which I am chiefly to be served here, is this, that it is probable these takes of the Pagans were derived at first from the Brazen Serpent. This is certain, that many of the Brazen Serpent. This is certain, that many of the Magical Rites were sounded on the Religious Present and Ceremonies which the Jews by Got the sum of the Crices and Ceremonies which the Jews by Gon faunt, without substituting one Animal in the Some have thought that the Report among the leting we are fallen into this Subject) take notice Pagans (which Plutarch, Tacitus, Apion mention was other Opinions there are concerning this anof the Jews worshipping of Asses, had its Original tent Report of the Jews worshipping an Ass. There from what we read in the Old Testament, and the (besides that which I have named) several Apparticularly from something which happened is prehensions of the Learned about it. Some think the Wilderness, (which makes me mention it in the wat there being in Palestine a great many Asses, place) viz. their worshipping a Calf, which is a for and those of very great account, for their very ry vile Creature as well as an Ass; and so therefrinces rid on that fort of Animals, thence the might be a Mistake of one for the other, as his rigans, who hated that People, feigned that they been usual in Reports of this nature. And what worthipped their Asses. And a poor Fiction inis faid by Tacitus concerning the Asses, may be a deed it had been, if twere on that account: for plied to the Calf, viz. \* that a Herd of the lithey had not rid upon them, but have kept them shew'd the Israelites the Way to a Fountain, where they might rather thence have gathered that lerant penetrali sacraverunt. Hist, lib. 5.

shew'd the Israelites the Way to a Fountain, when they quenched their Thirst in the Wilderness they paid an Adoration to them. But I suppose particularly did them that Favour, was worshipp to therefore I let this pass. \* Another very butter of the holy Book acquaints us that the feet of the fold of the wide Wilderness were Wandered and Thirsty; wherefore they desired a Guide lead them, and Water to quench their Thirst is the Assessment of the Waters of the Wilderlead them, and Water to quench their Thirst is the Assessment of the Wilderlead them, and Water to quench their Thirst is the Assessment of the Wilderlead them, and Water to quench their Thirst is the Assessment of the Assessment of the Waters of the Assessment of the Waters of the Assessment of the \* Effigiem animalis quo monstrante errorem sitimque de

thence,

Things themselves. We may more truly say that Accordingly the Calf was designed by them to be

N. Fuller. Miscellan;

thence Asles were honoured. But neither is then here any Ground for such an Inference: for fire there is no probability that the Gentiles took in tice of such an obscure Place of Scripture as this 2dly, Anab and Moses are here confounded: 34 jamim is put for jemim; And lastly, the who Sense and Import of the Text are perverted: Anab is mention'd in this place with Infamy, and the Words are to be understood thus, This is nah who was the first that caused and provoked Horses to engender with She-Asses; whence and Species against Nature is begot into the World! this is that base Man, of an inceltuous Fancy, the hiventer of this unnatural Brood of Animal This I take to be the meaning of those words. The is that Analy that found out the Mules in the Wilder nefs: There is \* another Author who thinks this Pagan Fable is founded on an Allusion to a Work as thus, A fewish Temple was built in Egypt in imitation of that at Jerusalem) by Onias a High Priest, as & Josephus relates: now, the word Only being akin to ovo, the Alexandrines and fork merry Greeks, who hated the Jews, thought the were facetious, when they faid "ovo, an Als, was worthipped in the Temple of the Jews. But her is contain'd nothing of the Circumstances (as the finding a Place of Water, and quenching their Think Oc.) belonging to the Fable: belides that, a Ma and an Ass are unhappily confounded by this As thor. Briefly, this is a mere Strain of Fancy, and can hever find acceptance among Persons of fold and composed Thoughts. There is yet another Opinion which I have met with fomewhere,

\* Tanaquil Faber. Epist. 6. † Antiqu. Jud. 1. 13. c. 6. & De bello Jud. 1. 7. that the Gentiles thought the Jews worshipp'd an As because of that Law in Exod. 34. 20. concerning the redeeming the first-born of an Ass. This Animal being exempted from Sacrifice, when Calves and Lambs and Kids were not, it might feem to be some excellent thing, and therefore was worhipp'd. But according to this way of inferring, the Pagans might have reported that the Jews worshipp'd a Dog, there being a particular Prohihition against offering it in Sacrifice. Besides, this Account (like that before mentioned) hath not any of the Circumstances with which the Fable is clothed in Pagan Writers, as that it was an Ass's Head that was worshipp'd, and that by means of it a Spring of Water was found out, &c. which we ought particularly to consider when we are giving an account of this Pagan Taunt against the lews. Lastly then, to offer a Conjecture of my own,

I am strongly inclined to think this Calumny of the Heathens against the Jews arose from the History of Samfon, in which is particular mention of the Jawbone of an Ass, and of the strange things done by it, Judg. 15. 15, 16. Samson (as you shall hear anon) was famous among the Pagans, his Actions were noted and celebrated among them. And this particular Action and Exploit of the Jaw-bone, wherewith he flew a thousand Men, being singular and wonderful, was well known to them; especially it came to be famous and talk'd of, when there was this furprizing Miracle added to it, that when Samson was exceedingly tormented with Thirst, and like to die for want of something to quench it, God clave a hollow Place that was in the Jam, and there came Water thereout; and when he had drunk, his Spirit came again, and he reviewed, ver. 18,19. Here

was the Jaw-bone of an As, which was a consider rable part of the Head of an Ass. The minding of this gave the first occasion to me to think that the Tradition among the Pagans was taken from this: for if you consult those Writers who make mention of it, you will find the Report was, the the Jews worshipp'd the Head of an Ass. So we read in a \* virulent Writer against the Jews, that that particular Part, and no other, was fet up in the Temple of Jerusalem, and Religiously veneral ted by that People; and that Antiochus took it down, and carried it away with him, (it being of Gold, as he would make us believe, and therefore worth the carrying) when he rifled the Temple Minutius Felix takes notice of this particular Reproach of the Christians, (for you must know to Heathen a Jew and a Christian were the same; for the first Christians being Jews by Birth, the same Calumny was fix'd on both) that they adored the Head of that most vile Beast. Which also Petro nius | Arbiter testisses in those words;

Judæus licèt & porcinum numen adoret, Et cœli summas advocet auriculas.

From the first Verse it appears that the Gentiles thought the Jews worshipp'd Swine, perhaps because they abstain'd from eating their Flesh; for among the Gentiles, what they did not eat, was generally worshipp'd. And in the next Verse there is is a mistake of cali for cilli, i. e. asini, (as some Criticks have well observ'd) for nime is the Dorick word for an Ass: so that as before the

execution on his Enemies. Wherefore I offer it as a probable Affertion, that the Report concerning the Jewish People reverencing of an As's Head, took its rife from that prodigious Exploit of Samson, that strange Execution which he did with the Jawber of an As. This gave occasion to the Israelites to extol and magnify that marvellous Weapon, and at the same time the Providence of God in administring such an unexpected Engine to him, and enabling him to do such great things with it. The neighbouring Gentiles soon heard of this, and spread abroad this Rumour, that the Hebrews celebrated and worshipp'd the Ass's Head or Jaw; and it is likely they thought they really did so, because they themselves used to make any thing the

Fost chargeth the Jews with worshipping of Swine,

fohere he alludes to that flying Story among the

Pagans, that that Nation reverenced an As's Head;

for auriculæ is put here for caput, which could not

fland in the Verse; the prominent and most con-

spicuous Parts of the Head are put for the Head it

felf. And if they worshipp'd the Ears, because a

mrt of the Head, then they paid the same Ho-

nour to the Cheeks, to the Jaws, and to the Jaw-

bone, which is a more folid Part. None of the

Authors of the foregoing Opinions have attended to this, that it was the Head of an Ass, (not an

As in general) that was said to be worshipped by

the Jews; and thence arose their Mistakes. Let

it be noted therefore, that this was the fcurri-

lous Reflection of the Pagans on the Jews, that

they gave Religious Honour to the Head of an Ass:

and let it be observed at the same time, that it

was an Ass's Head which Samson found, and so

bravely managed, though it was one particular

Part of it, viz. the Jaw-bone, with which he did

Object

Appion contr. Jud. † Turpissima pecudis caput.

Object of their Adoration: or because an Assume jews dedicated this Animal to their Temple, a contemptible Creature, they faid this as a just is added perhaps of his own Head, which is to the Jews, And then if you remember the an encommon Practice among the Gentiles, (as fon which is affigued by the foresaid Historia well Historians as Poets) when they are relating why they worshipp'd an As, you will be further chings concerning those whom they have no Eindconfirmed in this Notion which I now tend for. This is the best Account I am able to give The Reason, as you have heard, was because it of this Gentile Tradition, which was of so antient Creature (as they faid) was instrumental in fin \*Date: for I question not but that the \* Jewish ing out a Fountain of Water, whereby they allay Writer was overseen, when he saith Appion the their Thirst in the Delart. They worshipp'd an Grammarian of Alexandria, was the first that rai-Taith \* Plutarch, because it directed them to a spin In this Lie. And Monsieur Bochart talks as vainof Water. Which excellently agrees with wh h when he tells us, that Applon had the occasion the Inspired History tells us, that there was about this Fable from the mistake of the words Place in the Jaw, whence Water came forth; n, pi jao; (the Mouth, or Word of the Lord;) for therewith Samfon quenched his Thirst. This net tim, asimu, in the Egyptian Tongue; for Appion, the Pagan Story parallel with this in the Book was antient- was an Egyptian, and יהוה was antient-Judges; from whence it is most probable they find ly read Jao. Supposing this latter to be true, yet borrowed it. And whereas 'tis faid by some a it could not learn hence that an Ass's Head was the forecited Authors, that this was done in the placed in the Jewish Temple, and afterward re-Wilderness, they may be well excused herein; fi moved by Antiochus. Wherefore I fee no Depenit is only a mistake of the Place: (Yet by the hy dance or Connection between these things, and is a Pagan Confirmation that the Israelites wen consequently this great Critick's Notion may be once in the Wilderness, according as the Scripton look'd upon as groundless, as any one that is conrelateth: yea and what Tacitus adds further con versant in that ingenious Man's Writings, knows cerning the Jews at that time, viz. I their want there are many such. The short is; we must take Water in the Wilderness, one of the most remarks this old Obloquy against the Jews as it is repreble things that happened to that People in the finted by the Pagan Writers (with whom we have Travels, is yet a greater Proof and Confirmation to do at present) with its proper Circumstances: of the Reality of the Sacred History.) I could add and if we do fo, I conceive we cannot refer it to that this happened not long after this Reople his

thority

my Pallage in the Old Testament so pertinently as been come out of the Wilderness, and so than to this which I have propounded. If I am not was no great mis-timing of the Story. This mistaken, this antient Calumny is derived from whereas' tis said by the Historian last named, the that part of the History of Samson which I have mentioned; which shews the Antiquity and Au-Tov Svov de avaphodol a migho du rois v Salos mudor. Symp † Nihil æquê quam inopia aquæ fasigabat. Hift. 1. 5. Contr. Appion. d. 2.

thority of the Sacred Writings, and that the Southich they visibly practifed themselves. Others pture-History is the Ground of the most of the ay this arose from the Devotion of the Jews, who bulous Passages and Reports in the Writings of the to look up towards Heaven when they I could mention here also, that the Jews was common to them with the Pagan Worshippers, accused of Pagan Writers to have worshipp'd the who naturally had this Posture of Devotion, and Clouds and the Heavens.

\* Nil præter Nubes & Cæli numen adorant. Leccasion of this Imputation. But there is another

Which the Satyrist speaks of the Jews. As his, that this Obloquy of the Heathens proceeded from their mistaking the use of the word Shamajim, that the Colicola, the Heaven-worshippers, make the Heaven among the Hebrews, and even in the tioned in that Title of the Codex, De Judais of Scripture it self, where sometimes it signifies God Cælicolis, hath relation to this Matter: but I think himself. This is the Conjecture of the Learned it is evident from the Title it self, that the gen Mr. Selden: hence, saith he, the Gentiles inand Cælicolæ were not the same, but two different ferr'd that the Jews made Heaven a Deity. But I fort of People; else it would not have been [Of apprehend this Inference could not be made by the Jews and Cælicolæ], but [of the Jews or Call them, because Shamajim is used in this sense but in cola.] Moreover, he that looks into the (\*) The one place in the Old Testament, viz. in Dan. 4.26. odosian Code, from whence Justinian took this, will the Heavens do rule, where the Heavens import God be convinced that the Jews are not meant by Call himself. But I can't believe that the Pagans thought colæ; for there they are said to be an unheard the Jews were Worshippers of the Heavens, be-Name, and a new Crime: whereas they had that cause in this one single place, and no where else, Name in Augustus's time, according to Straba God is call'd Heaven. And though I grant the There have been different Opinions concerning lewish Rabbies used the word Shamajim thus, mathe rife of this Pagan Contumely, viz. that the ling God and the Heavens Synonymous in some

\* Juvenal. Sat. 14. † Lib. 16. || Lib. r. in Paratit. ad tit. 5. (\*) Lib. 16. Tit. 8.

wards Heaven: therefore this could not be the Opinion which I find most applauded, and it is

made their Prayers to God. But this was in

aft up their Eyes, and spread out their Hands to-

fews adored the Heavens and the Clouds. Some think places of their Writings, yet they do it no where it commenced from the superstitious and idolar soas there might be occasion for this Mistake.

Having thus told you what I conceive did not give rise to this Pagan Accusation, I will acquaint not assent to this, because 'tis unreasonable to ima-you what I take to be the true and only occasion of gine that the Pagans would jeer the Jews for that it. Here then you must observe that that which which is the chief thing in the Jeer, is, that the Clouds

were

De Dis Syr. Syntag. 2. cap. 17.

adoring of these the Railery arises, and the standard by the By, as being it the Israelites in their Travels, be not here menwens are but mention'd by the by, as being it tion'd by this Poet, seeing Farnaby in his Notes,
Place where these Clouds are. This being prefed, I offer it as a probable Assertion, that is fome Copies is read thus;
Piece of Pagan Railery was borrow'd from where often read in Moses's History, that God led in their Journey from Egypt, and throw
the Wilderness, by a \* Cloud that went between the Nubes we understand (as I have said be-

the Wilderness, by a \* Cloud that went be Where by Nubes we understand (as I have said bethem. To this they often look'd up; the Ca fore) the Pillar of the Cloud which was the Israelites duct of this they daily attended to with gra Guide in the Day-time, and by Cæli lumen the Pillar Reverence: the Report of which, occasion'd Fire or Light which conducted them in the Night. Charge of the Pagans against the Jews, that It is very likely that this latter reading of the were Cloud-Worshippers. This is undeniable, Verse is the truest, and accordingly you have a that miraculous Leading of that People by a Gla blain and obvious Account of what we undertook could not but be very famous among the Neigh to enquire into, viz. the Cloud which the Jews bouring Gentiles, who foon communicated were faid to worship, and of something more, news of it to others that were about them; and namely, the Light of Heaven which this Writer this Report came to be frequent in the Mouths fifth they shew'd the same regard to; which is no most Pagans. And truly when they related the other, I conceive, than that Fiery Pillar which conthe Cloud was adored by the Jews, they were tinually appear'd to the Israelites in the Night, mistaken; for it was no other than the Symbold and directed them in their way: and 'tis most ap-God's Presence: it was a secondary and remore positely here call'd Coeli lumen, the Light of Hea-Object of their Reverence and Devotion, as the val, according to the very stile of the Old Testa-Ark, and more especially the Mercy-Seat was. Oa ment, where tis filled a Light of Fire, Pfal. 79. 14. ly here they shew'd their gross Ignorance in conand where we are informed it was fet up in the cluding that if the Jews worshipp'd one Cloud Heavens on purpose by God to give them Light, they might as well pay the same Respect to ano Exod. 13. 21. This, I fay, is the plain Account of ther, yea to all: whence we are told by the Por this Poetick Passage, and I do not see any Obthat they worshipp'd nothing else but Clouds rection that lies against it. Wherefore I take it Though truly I am willing to take this Authorn to be as notable a Testimony as any we have from another Sense, and I will go yet further, and of the Pagan Writers of the Truth of the Mosaick fer this to be consider'd, viz. whether the wonder Hillory, and other Records of the Old Testaful Fire, as well as the Cloud, which went before ment.

<sup>\*</sup> Exod. 13. 21. Numb. 14. 14.,

## GHAP. V.

them ! thus \* Suetonius faith, Diogenes the Gram-From the Writings and Practices of Strangers it is marian used to hold Disputations at Rhodes on vident that there were such Jewish Usages and Cathe Sabbaths. And from Lucian, we learn that remonies as these, viz. The Observation of The Seventh Day was a Festival, and a Play-day Seventh Day: Washings and Purifications: Pater School-Boys. From these, and several other ing of First-fruits and Tithes: Abstaining from that ances which we may find in | Clemens Atain kinds of Food: Peculiar Garments for the hxandrinus and (\*) Eusebius, it might be proved Priests: Bearing the Tabernacle and Ark! that the more Solemn Services of Religion among High-Priest's going once a Year into the Holy of H the Gentiles, and their Cessations from Work, lies: Sacrifices, with several things that belong d were on the Seventh Day of the Week. Now, them: The Mercy-Seat and Oracle: The Uriman wife Man will affert that this Custom was Thummin : the Scape-Goat : the Water of Jealog founded on Nature; for no Light of Reason could sy: the Feast of Tabernacles: Nazaritism: Unk dicate this Division of Days into just seven, and vened Bread: Circumcision: the Law of Cheren no more: therefore 'tis reasonable to think that Lots: Cities of Refuge: New-Moons: Jubile: the general Agreement of the World in this A-Mysteries and Types. Ample Testimonies out nthmetick, was derived from the Jews, who were Profane Authors are added concerning Moses, particularly fignalized by their Observation of the seventh-Day, which was enjoin'd them by God himself, as in Exod. 20. 9. Six Days shalt thou la-

VI. The Mosaick Ceremonies, and the prevailing of them (as very antient) are vouched by the very Practice of the Pagan World. To inflance in some, (for it would be too tedious to mention all) and first in the dividing of Time into Weeks or Seven Days, and the observing a seventh Day as sacred: thus Hesiod called it

Eldopeon legon huago

the Seventh Holy Day, because among the Gentiles this was a Day of Solemn Worship, set apart for Religious Offices. It is observed by \* Lampidia.

tion'd. Or, I might have traced the Original of this yet higher, and found it dated from the very Creation, from the beginning of all things, when we read of God's resting on the Seventh Day, Gen. 2.2. and his Blessing the Seventh Day, and Sanstifying it, v. 3. From whence, without doubt, the Cultom among several Gentiles of observing some Seventh Day in the Week, had its sirst rise.

Again,

bur, and do all thy Work; but the Seventh Day is the

Subbath of the Lord thy God: In it thou shalt not do

my Work, &c. And in other places the Institution

and Observation of this Particular Day are men-

of Severus the Emperor, that he used to go to the support, and frequent the Temples on this Day. Yes, the very word Sabbath was used by some of

<sup>\*</sup> In Alexand, Severo,

In Tiberio, cap. 32. † In Pseudolog. || Strom.l. 5.

Again, the Gentiles took their several Fig. which contained that Consecrated Element. It tions, Lustrations, and Purifications from the Jew might be proved from good Authors (as you may of which the Books of Moses treat. When the Learned Dr. Spencer) that they for the Contents of these Writings, or the Practice of most part sprinkled the Worshippers as they went Jewish People came to be known to the Page atto their Temples. The truth is, these Rites of they presently set themselves to imitate them, Washing and Purifying, which were used both by most of the Washings and Purifyings used by the sand Gentiles, are so like one another, that we Jews, came to be part of their Religion. The Jew cannot but conclude either the Gentiles took them Priests washed their Hands and Feet before the from the Jews, or these from them. The latter went about their Sacred Office, before they fact in no wife probable, because it is unworthy of ficed and touched Holy Things: and they had God, and of the Religion which he instituted athe Temple Lavers for that very purpose. It mong the Jews, to imagine that he would take wise they nsed Aspersion toward others, and was trample from the Pagans, and make their Religienjoin'd to cleanse and purify them from the on the Standard of that which he gave to his own Desilements which they had contracted. In a word People, (though it is true the Jews often imitaevery Thing and Person belonging to the jew ted the Pagans in their Customs and Rites, but ne-Service and Worship were hallow'd and clean for by the Command and Order of God, but abby certain ways of Purification prescribed by the blutely against it:) therefore the former is most Law. Hence we read of frequent Washings wikely and reasonable, viz. that the Pagans in way Sprinklings among the Pagans: of Imitation took their Ceremonies of Washing and Lustration from the Jews. The same Argu-\* Idem ter socios pura circumtulit unda, ment may be used in all the Particulars which we hall mention afterwards under this Head: by this

Spargens rore levi, & ramo felicis oliva, Lustravita; wiros.

Macrobius assures us that the Gentile D

And of Macrobius assures us that the Gentile Demonstrates, but first of all were enjoined by tionists, when ever they addressed themselves are True God. But concerning these Purisications their Gods, whether Celestial or Insernal, preparable we are now speaking of, see what was the red themselves before hand by using of Water adjunct of Justin Martyr of old; who producing more or less. Hence it became a Maxim among the Prophet Isaiab's words, Wash ye, make ye them, that all Sacred Things must be sprinkled with them, chap. i. ver. 16. and commenting upon pure Water. And they had Vessels for this average term, adds this with the state of the second pure Water.

them, that | all Sacred Things must be sprinkled with than, chap. i. ver. 16. and commenting upon pure Water. And they had Vessels for this purpose them, adds this, \* "When the Devils heard of this Washing, spoken of by the Prophet, they this Washing, spoken of by the Prophet, they taus'd this to be the effect of it, namely, when is ablustone purgaris com vero inferis litandum est stitus and substitutions of the street of the street

we may prove that those Ceremonious Observances, commanded the Jews, were not originally

ever they go into their Temples, or approach or the Lady Ocriptures: ridus grew rich because he religiously practis'd " near them, or are about to be employ'd in them that laudable Custom of paying Tithes to Hercules. " Sacrifices and Offerings, they sprinkle Water of That the Greeks also paid Tithes, appears from themselves. This Learned Father was clearly that Dictate of the Oracle to them, - DENOTION of the Opinion that this Rite of Aspersion which interplate soise: and from that Delphick Inthe Gentiles used, was stolen from the Jewis eription, Church, and not that this stole them from the Heathens. With whom agrees a late Learned οφος θεώ δεκάτην απροθίνια τε πρεμασαιμέν: Antiquary, who, speaking of the particular Mo faick Lustrations, or Purgations used by the Jewil From whence Apollo was call'd DEXATIPOCO. A. Priests, viz. of Washing themselves before the mong the Persians also this Custom prevail'd; for entred into the Temple, faith thus, \* "This kind Grus (as Herodotus faith) offer'd Tithes to Jupiterof Purgation was taken from the lews by the after a Victory obtained. And this might easily 46 People of other Nations, who when they entre be proved of other Nations: it was grown into an " into their Temples, had their Lustrations and miverfal and fixed Custom to offer the Tenths to Rites of Washing in Imitation of the Jews, some God or Goddess, post rem bene gestam, as Thirdly, The Gentile Custom of offering First \* Servius speaks, after any considerable Success fruits and Tenths was borrow'd from the Jews, and either at home or abroad. Infomuch, that at last the Old Testament. That it was a general Ulage It came to be an indispensible Part of the Gentile among the Pagan Worshippers to offer their First Religion; and thence (as Suidas observes) dexafruits to some of their Deities, is amply testified milay among the Greeks, was as much as xadieggi, by - Censorinus. And that the Custom of paying consecrare. Now this Sacred and Religious Rite Tithes was as general and antient, might be proof Dedicating just a tenth Part to their Gods, is no Law of Nature. Though this might put them up-

ved from the respective Histories which speak of this Matter. This was a considerable Part of the old Romans Religion, who (as Plutarch write) were wont to bestow a tenth Part of the Fruit which the Earth yielded them, and of other Good and Profits, on their Sacred Feasts, Sacrifices and

of offering part of their Increase to those from whom they thought they received the whole, yet his particular Quota is no Dictate of Nature. They were not bid by the Law of exact Reason to confecrate the Tithe of all to the Gods. It is as reasonable and accountable to give a ninth or ely and out of Gratitude. He tells us that Camille Part to them as the Tenth: Therefore ly and out of Gratitude. He tens us that cannot this must proceed from some Positive Law and parand Spoils taken from the Enemy; and that Let the least the Pitcher I gather that the gins received this Rite and Custom from the

M 2

id Eneid. 8:

Juns:

162

Temples, in honour of the Gods: but this was not

every Year, or by any compulate Law, but free

<sup>†</sup> De die Natal 4 \* Mr. Sheringham in Cod. Jom. cap, 226

Jews, who were under a Law of Tithes by the special Command of God, as the Scripture informs us. And though a late \* Author of great Learning, reckons Tithes to have had their Rise from the Pagan World, yet he cannot but be sensible that the contrary is universally imbraced by the Learned. Selden particularly proves that the Phonicians and Egyptians, and others, who were near Neighbours to the Jews, received that Custom from the Jewish Nation; and that afterwards it

to others farther off, as the Greeks, Romans, & Or, if it could be found that some Heathens before the Jewish Dispensation offered Tithes, we might reasonably affert that some of the Patriarchs before the Law gave occasion to the Heathens to do so But this can no where be found; but on the contrary, the antientest Instance of giving Tithes is that of Abraham: we read that after a great Victory he devoted the Tithes of all the Spoils to the Priest of the most High God. From this and the like Practice, the offering of Tithes among the Heathens took its beginning.

Fourthly, Abstaining from certain kinds of Four among the Jews, caused (it is probable) the same Custom among other Nations. The Distinctions

was transmitted from those neighbouring Heather

among the Jews, caused (it is probable) the same Custom among other Nations. The Distinctions Clean and Unclean Meats was derived from the Jews to the Egyptians: thus † Herodotus and || Plutarch report that these eat no Swines Flesh; you if they do but touch it, they wash themselves. So it is related concerning the Phenicians, Cretimand Syrians, that they abstained from this fort of Flesh. These last also eat neither Fish nor Pigeons Some of the Greek Philosophers observed this Difference

\* Dr. Spencer, de Leg. Hebr. † Lib. 2, | Sympol. 1

ference of Meats very strictly, as Diogenes, Pythagoras, Apollonius, Tyanœus; as Laertius, Plutarch and Philostratus assure us. The Old Pythagoreans abstain'd from several kinds of Food, especially they refrain'd from eating of Fish. What God tacitly forbad in Sacrifices, as the Brain and the Heart, (for neither of these are commanded to be sacrificed unto God) those Philosophers openly forbad at their Tables. And they derived from the Hebrews their not eating things that died of themselves, or that had Blood in them. In many other Usages it might be shewed that the Pythagorean Way was an Imitation of Judaism.

Fifthly, The Heathen Priests Garments were in imitation of those which the Jewish Priests wore.

The Pagan Pontiss wore a Mitre on their Heads,

as \* Philostratus testifies: and a White Vest, or Li-

nen Ephod, was the usual Apparel of their Priests in their Holy Service, as † Valerius Maximus and others inform us. A worthy || Writer before mentioned, afferts that the Priests Vestments of Linen were a Ceremony taken from the Egyptians, and quotes Authors to prove that the Egyptian Priests used such a fort of Vesture. But it is more probable that the Egyptians and other Nations had it from the Jews. I am not fingular in this: it was the Sense of the Antients (as [\*] Photius intimates) that the Worshippers of Idols, in imitation of God's Priests, clothed theirs with a peculiar fort of Garments, which were after the fashion of the Ephod. With which agrees the  $M_3$ Learned

<sup>\*</sup> Lib. 3. † Lib. 1. cap. 1. | De Legib. Hebræorum. [\*] Of The edwhar Secamonal, sand ulumon the legion of the first open in the second of the Epid. Epid. 192.

tive in this Notion, faying, "The Priests of In i. e. the Egyptian Priests, wore Linen, and therein imitated the Linen Garments of the " Hebrew Priests. Sixthly, The carrying of the Heathen Gods in link Tabernacles, Tents, or portable Temples, (as you red of the Tabernacle of Moloch, Amos 5. 25. and All 7.43.) was taken from the Jews carrying the Ark, which was the Symbol of God's Presence. So | Gafpar Sanctius: " The Tabernacle (saith he) of Moloch was a certain Bier on which Moloch was " carried about in folemn Pomp; whom the Jews, " after the fashion of the Gentiles, carried with "them, whitherfoever they went, in a Religious " Manner, and for Protection-fake, making him "the Companion and Guide of their Travels: " even as the Jews of old (observe that) carried the Ark, and in it the Divine Oracle, through "the Wilderness. Thus Dr. \* Godwin: "The " bearing or taking up of this Tabernacle my " feem to have its Original among the Heathers from an unwarrantable Imitation of Moses's Ta-" bernacle, which was nothing else but a portable "Tem-\* De animal. Sacr. Pars 1. lib. 2. + Demonst. Evarg. In Comm. in Act. 7. 43. Mof. & Aaron. 1. 4. c. 2.

phize concerning the Linen Garments which "People. But the worthy Author afore-cited is "the Egyptians wore, but more subtilely than folidly, he being ignorant that many Rites and "bernacle of Moloch was the first Original of the Ulfages of this nature were derived from the "Tahernacle of God. God faw that the Pagans Jews to the Egyptians. And Huetius is post took up on their Shoulders the Tabernacle of " Moloch, and thereupon made a Tabernacle for " himself, and an Ark to be born upon Shoulders, Thus he. And if you would fee the Parallel between Moloch's Tabernacles and God's Tabernacle, take it from that Learned Pen thus: \* Moloch's Tabernacle was portable; therefore God's was fo. Moloch's Tabernacle contained in it his Image: fo the Tabernacle of Testimony had in it the Ark, and a pair of Images, viz. the Cherubims. In Moloch's Idolatrous Temple Moloch shewed himfelf present by his Image, and by giving Answers thence: Accordingly in the Jewish Tabernacle the True God inhabited, and exhibited frequently a sensible Testimony of his Presence. The Idolatrous Tabernacle was called the Tabernacle of Moloch, i. e. the King: Semblably the Mosaick Tabernacle was accounted and held to be the Palace and Mansion of the Highest King, i. e. God. The Tabernacle dedicated to Moloch, represented the an placed in its Celestial Tabernacle: In imitation of this, Moses's Tabernacle was a Representation of the Heavens and the Stars, and the whole World. Seeing the Learned Author was pleased to publish this Parallel to the World, I hope it is M 4 no \* De Legibus Hebræorum, Lib. 3. cap. 3. Dissertat. 1.

Temple, to be carried from place to place as being in many things followers of the Jewis need required: For (as he goes on) it cannot be permitted their Priests to wear no other Vest. " need required. ments but Linen ones. And again in the same unto the Heathen from the true Worship of place he faith, " Plutarch doth greatly philoso " God which he himself had prescribed unto his

no Offence to repeat it here, and with submission to bwo, and instituted it as one of the chief and so accomplish'd a Person, to deliver my Thoughts brincipal Parts of the Solemn Religion of the freely of it. He will not permit it to be faid, that fews? Were all things to be done by the Jews in the Devil apes the Almighty: this he discards and their Religious Service, according to the Pattern in brands as a \* Vulgar Error. But I crave leave to be Mount? How then can the bearing of the Taberask this Question, Is not this more tolerable, yet sale of Moloch among the Gentiles, be the First more credible, than to fay that the Curfed Fiend Pattern (as that Learned Author expresly faith) is imitated by God himself? Can we think that of Tabernacle-Worship among the Jews? God mas the True God is fo careful and precise in following \* grieved with the Generation of the Jews in the Wilthe Idolatrous Gentiles? Can we believe that he derness, as he faith himself; he was exceedingly fo exactly emulated every Point of Idolatry be displeased with them for this their Idolatrous longing to Moloch's Tabernacle? Surely this can Worship: but behold! he soon changed his Mind, not be thought worthy of that All-wife Being, and took a liking to this Way, and fet it up among this cannot be consistent with what we read of him, the Israelites, and caused it to be the Choicest and Wherefore let us consult the Place in Amos; Have most Sacred Piece of Divine Worship. ye offered unto me Sacrifices and Offerings in the Wil. God's Worship was a Transcript from Moloch, inderness, forty Years, O House of Israel? But ye have stead of being the First Original Pattern. I must born the Tabernacle of your Moloch, and Chiun your needs confess I cannot prevail with my self to en-Images, the Star of your God which ye made to your tertain such Thoughts as these, and to frame such felves; chap. 5. 25, 26. Here God reproves and 2 Notion of God. I rather choose to embrace upbraids the Israelites for their gross Idolatry, and that Vulgar Error, (as he is pleased to stile it) that particularly for bearing the Tabernacle of Moloch. a great part of the Ceremonies which the Pagans Whatever Disputes there be about other things in used in their Religion, was taken from the Worthese Words, this is undeniable, and beyond all hip prescribed by God himself in the Old Testa-Controversy, that bearing the Tabernacle of Moloch ment, and particularly that the Tabernacle of Mowas a piece of Idolatrous Service, it being oppolath, i. e. the Seat in which he was carried up and fed here to offering Sacrifices and Offerings unto God. down to be worshipped, the brown of that Idol, \* Jear ". With this Idolatrons Worship of theirs God was (as St. + Luke calls that of the God Remphan) was provoked and incenfed, and tells them in the next in imitation of the Jewish Tabernacle, or portable Verse, they shall go into Captivity for this very thing Temple, wherein Jehovah was prefent; and that Is it then probable that this bearing of the Taberna. from the Ark in that holy Place, the Gentile Worcle of Moloch was the Original of worshipping the hippers borrowed their Chests, and Boxes, and True God in the Tabernacle? Is it reasonable to little Houses, wherein they carried their Gods up believe that he made this manner of Worship his and down. I shall afterwards have occasion to Qwn,

<sup>\*</sup> Lib. 3. cap. 2. † Pfal. 95. to. † Afts 7. 43.

account with the Learned Author who opposed Eighthly, The Pagan Sacrifices, and many Rites, this, and the Doctrine which leads to it; the Ilfages and Circumstances about them, were borfore I shall add no more here. faith, || None was permitted to enter into it the Priest. This any Man may see was borrow from the Divine Constitution among God's Poor that the High Priest only was to go into the of Holies, and that but once in a whole Year. this likewise I may adjoin, that the Adyta :

Penetralia among the Pagans, were taken from the Holy of Holies among the Jews. Those Plan (which were the same also with their Delum were (as [\*] Servius explains them) Secret Re the Epithet of | Divine: and Plato observes that cesses in their Temples; they were hidden and remote Apartments that were inaccessible to all in their Priests, and therefore they had the named Gods. Of what Authority and Worth Salt Adyta, as [1] Cæsar observ'd. This is a plain is, you may learn, faith (十) Pliny, from its being Imitation of the Sanstum Sanstorum, that Inmet constantly used in holy Things by the Antients. Part of the Sanctuary among the Hebrews, wi Whence had they this Notion and Practice but ther (as hath been faid) the Chief Minister of from the Hebrews, among whom Moses, or rather Religion only could have access. God, ordered all things that were offer'd in Sa-Eighthy. \* Quædam fana semel anno adire permittunt, quædan d toro nesas visere. Minut. Falix. † In Bæoticis. || 'Ανόιγγυται μβο άπαξ χτι έτ 🕒 έναςον, εἰσελθείν δι ν 📶 έφειται παρόγε τε ἰερωμβία. Eliac. 2do. [\*] Secreta 🎏 plorum. In An. 2. [†] Pergami in occultis & remov Templi, quò præter Sacerdotes adire fas non est, que Grat adom appellant. De Bell. Civ. 1. 3.

rowed from the old Patriorchs and Jews, of whom Seventhly, The Heathens followed the un the Old Testament gives us the Relation. The Saof the Jews in some things which were done misces of the Gentiles are facrilegious Imitations of the their Consecrated Places and Temples. It was an Abbrews, saith \* St. Austin peremptorily; and at ftom, saith an \* antient Writer, to go but one several other times he professedly declares that Year into some of those Places, and it was who many of their Religious Observances were from unlawful to visit some of them at all. + per the Jews: I might observe that their Immolation, nias instances in particular Temples which we so called from a Cake of Flower which the Priest, opened but one Day in a Year: and of Orewit when he came to facrifice, laid on the Head of the Beast) and their Libation, or Tasting the Wine, and Sprinkling it on the Beaft's Head, and

kewise their Eating and Drinking part of the things which were facrificed, making merry with the Remains of what was offered, were plain Imitations of what the Hebrew Priests did. The sting of Salt in Sacrifices is another thing which may be mentioned here; for this also was derived from the same Fountain. Hence Homer gives Salt Salt is well accommodated to facred things; whereine it is call'd by him || θεοφιλής, or, as (\*) Plutarch transcribes it, beoopinesalo, most acceptable to

trifice (||) to be feasoned with Salt: and thence it is all'd, in the same place, Salt of the Covenant, because they were bound as by Covenant to use it in \* Cont. Faust. 1. 22. c. 17. † Oa ., Iliad. 1. | In Timæo. (\*) Sympos. 1. 5. (†) Maxima salis authoritas è sacris vetemin intelligitur. Nat. Hift. 1. 31. c. 7. (||) Lev. 2. 13.

pinion of a very f excellent Man. And for refal Fire was borrowed from Celestial Heat, not think this facred Fire was kept in that City which kindled by any Earthly Flame: which shews that they call'd Ur, from Ur, ignis. The Persiansal the Jews Heavenly Fire, which they kept always had their Perpetual Fire, which they religious burning, and wherewith they fet on fire their Sakept, as | Strabo relates. So it was ordered miffices, gave occasion to this of the Heathens. And the Greeks, that ωὐρ ἀσβεςτν should be kept what if I should say that Esia (of which Vesta is the Temple of Apollo at Delphos, and in that of but a Corruption) is of Hebrew Original, and is Minerva in Athens: this Fire was call'd by then as much as Esh Jah, Ignis Domini, i. c. the facred isla, whence the Latin Vesta. And the Roman Fire of God's own appointing? Next, the making as well as the Grecians observed this Custom of Leagues and Covenants by Sacrifice and by Blood, a Continual Fire was kept in the Temple of Votal at Rome, as Virgil, Ovid, Valerius Maximus, and several other of their Writers acquaint us. The Virgins (thence call'd Vestal Virgins) who had the care of it, suffer'd it not to go out, unless in time of Civil War: at all other times they continually attended and watched it, constantly repaired and recruited it. If by any strange Accidents Fire was extinguish'd, it was not to be rekindled by ordinary Fire, but by the Rays of the Sun; which was done by Instruments on purpose. The Sacred Fire thus perpetually kept burning, and which was in order to the Sacrifices, was in em-\* Marko. 49. + Mr. Selden, de Dis Syr. Syntag. 2. capl | Hug มัสดิรรอง ผมพัสปุชสง อัง Majos. Lib. 15.

which was an usual Custom among the Pagans, was derived from Scripture-practice, of which there is \* mention more than once. We read that among the old Romans their Solemn Compacts were both made and confirmed with the Ceremony of Striking, Killing and Cutting up the Sacrifice; whence perculere, ferire fædus, to strike a League, was no uncommon Phrase. More especially the Killing and Sacrificing of a Swine were most in use among them, as appears from + Livy and | Virgil. And this Custom was in use among the Grecians, as is to be seen in Homer often; and thence arousal was the word to fignify not only lation the \* Gen. 21. 27. Exod. 24. 6, 7, 8. + Decad. r. lib. r. Cærd jungebant sædera porcâ. Æn, 8.

all Sacrifices: to which our Saviour refers, (pation of God's express Command to his own Pcoplying it to another Sense) \* Every Sacrifice state in Lev. 6. 13. The Fire shall ever be burning on the salt. To pass to some other Case Altar: it shall never go out. And if you re-

cumstances relating to the Gentile Sacrifices, a member the Original of this Fire, namely, that which sprang from the Old Testament: In in it came down from Heaven, when Aaron the first tation of the Perpetual Fire on the Altar among time offer'd Sacrifice in the Defart, you will be Jews, the Assyrians and Chaldeans kept a Fire farther confirmed that the Pagans had this Usage ways burning, and accounted it a very facred a from the Jews: for herein also they imitated them, choice Treasure. This is Nergal which we is was suggested before; they renewed this Fire mention'd in 2 Kings 17. 30. according to the from Heaven whenever it chanced to go out. The

the Compage in their Sacrifices, brother the Compage in the Reason before nafo the Compacts which were made at such a time of was call d Salt of the Covenant: whence, and (as \* Enstathing observes) the Sacrifices have of amicable Correspondence; and the Duratho name of oexice, i. e. Covenants given the sacrifices have of amicable Correspondence; and the Duratho name of oexice, i. e. Covenants given the sacrifices have of amicable Correspondence. the name of ogener, i. e. Covenants given the for and Lastingness of it was fitly signified by This Practice was in other Nations, (though withis, which is a Preservative against Putresaction. a mixture of some other Ceremonies and propher in short, among the Heathen Nations this was gemade Bargains in a solemn Mannor, they used flay some Animal and sacrifice it; and this we may conjecture was derived from the reckon'd as a Testimony of their mutual Agree lewish Practice, and particularly from Melach Beon, whereby Men made a League and Covered with God, and entred into Communion and Friendship with him; so the same Ceremony was were consecrated to their Gods in their Temused as a Signification of Humane Friendship, at ples, had its rise from those Sacrifices and facred Token of Covenanting between Men and Men Gifts call'd in the Old Testament Terumoth, Heave-And because Sacrificing was accompanied with offerings; which had that Name because they were fore also it hath been the Custom of all the World they were brought? for those \* Aradinaria, in to make Leagues of Friendship, and to contract Covenants in that fociable way. Eating and Drinking together have been not only a Sign, but a Pledg of Amity and good Agreement Which you will find to be originally derived from the Practice of the Antient Patriarchs, and other in the Old Testament. Thus of Jacob and Labor, | Isaac and Abimelech, (\*) David and Abner, en tred into League and friendly Correspondence, and confirmed their Alliances with one another. To which I will only add this, that Salt, which was used in Sacrifices (as you heard before) was

a Symbol of Friendsbip and Covenanting; and with \* In Iliad v. † Gen. 26. 30. | Gen, 31, 46;

borrow'd from the Jews.

refe-

trally a Token of Friendship, and was used to ex-

inh, the Salt of the Covenant. Shall I add under

this Head, that it may be the Greeks giving the

lmitation of these, were call'd so from being lifted

and bung up on some high Place in their Temples

to their Gods. It feems these Heathen Devotio-

his would have their Heave-Offerings, their Ele-

valed Presents, their Exalted Gifts, which were

Ninthly, The Heathen Oracles, and giving of

Inswers in difficult Cases, were of Jewish Extraction.

They were borrowed from God's Holy Oracle in

the inmost part of the Tabernacle, and afterward

of the Temple. You may easily trace them to the

Mercy-Seat, whence God gave Answers to the High-

Priest. This we may learn from the Name which ls given it, viz. Debir, 1 Kings 8.6. Psal. 28.2.

which

From avalerais sustollere, suspendere:

<sup>(\*) 2</sup> Sam. 3. 20;

things which may feem a little too fanciful, you that the part and made of precious Stones, the main may be true and folid, viz. that the part and the Name of it was Truth. The Egyptians gan Oracles were fetch'd from the Divine Onesi and this, fay || Grotius and (\*) Vossius, from the Ho-Scripture. Under this Head I will add, that is brown, as many other things; for Thummim is rennot improbable that the Poetical Conceit of Sphine and Truth by the Septuagint: and thence it is which used to utter Riddles and anigmatical Sapelles the Image of Truth, which hung at the Neck ings, was taken from the Sacred Oracle of the Jew of the Egyptian High-Priests, alludes to the Preand from the Cherubims which were over the part of the Lewish High-Priest, in which which hung bitiatory: whence Answers given by Cod. pitiatory; whence Answers were given by God. For at the Breast of the Jewish High-Priest, in which the Sphinx was (as the Poets feign'd) a multiford were the Urim and Thummim. Indeed thus far I Creature, but had a Humane Face, and moreover willing to grant, that the Egyptians might had Wings: and so likewise those Sphinxes which borrow the word 'Αλύθεια hence, and apply it to were placed without the Egyptian Temples, were that excellent Jewel which was made of a True pictured with Wings. This is exactly according hight Saphir, and therefore they used the word to the Representation which hath been given of Thub: but I cannot proceed, and say (with some) the Cherubims; they were of a mixt and various that there is any proof hence that the Thummim Shape; but 'tis generally agreed they had the was an Image. I grant that the Egyptians might Countenances of Men, and that they were winged; we heard of the Urim and Thummim, and it may and 'tis well known that these Creatures hovered be fancied them to be some little Images made of over the Mercy-Seat, which was the Place of the Precious Stones, the Urim and Thummim being Holy Oracle. So that upon these accounts, it seems beged in the same place with the twelve famous to me very likely that some part of the Sacred Gems which the High-Priest wore; and from History, concerning the Oracle and Cherubims, lie there perhaps the Mistake was propagated as difguifed under these Poetical Fictions: but let & song the Gentiles, that those Oracles of the Jews very one think as he pleafeth. wire a fort of Images: I fay it is probable that But the Devil especially brought in Oracles in this falle Notion concerning the Divine Oracles imitation of the Ephod, and its Urim and Thum-\* Dr. Dickinson's Delphi Phoenicizantes. 1. (\*) De 70 Interpretib.

which in Latin is Oraculum. This hints to us the min, that great and celebrated Oracle among the the Thing it self, as well as the Word, is tak lews. This questionless was not unknown to the from the Jews. There is an \* Ingenious Man ha Gentiles; for a Proof of which, there are some allabour'd to shew that the particular Shape or to ledg what \* Diodorus the Sicilian, and f Elian brick of Apollo's Temple at Delphos, and the Oral deliver, viz. that the Chief Judg, or Lord-Chief of that Place, with the Ceremonics used there, at Justice (who was also the Chief Priest) among the from the Old Testament. Though he hath so Egyptians, wore at his Neck an Image hanging

of

Lib. 1. + Var. Hist. l. 14. c. 34. | De verit, Christ. Hos

of the Hebrews, was propagated among the I thens: and in pursuance of this, I will add Conjecture, viz. that the Ancilia among the Romans, which were faid to be from Heaven, in which the Fates of the City were contain'd lodged, (which really were but one, though to be many) had some reference to the Jews v and Thummim, that Divine and Heavenly Da fitum, on which the Fates of all Persons depend who repaired unto it, and confulted it; and w or Bucklers that are to cover the Breast, seem onthe laws or Writing. very account to have reference to the holy and Plate; in which, you know, the Trim and The Goet, and axal he went; as much as to fay the mim were deposited. And further, to advant Mandring Goat) dispatched into the Wilderness with this Notion, let at the remainder of the Salii, and the proper and repeated Curjes on his Head; cilia were always in the keeping of the Salii, and the Badg of their Of the Cocasion for the like Practice among the Gentucks a brafs Plate or Covering on their Breasts, which is the Greeks used in a formal manner was a brafs Plate or Covering on their Breasts, which is some Animals with a Curse; whence was a brafs Plate or Covering on their property of they were over a rich Partic-coloured Vest : who told devoted Creatures were call'd apeta by Priest's gaudy Vestment, as the former of the Box because they were thus sent dway. The Plate, wherein the Orim and Thummin werept the season of the Horizon of the Plate, wherein the Orim and Thummin werept the season of the Horizon of the Plate, wherein the Orim and Thummin were the season of the Horizon of the Plate, wherein the Orim and Thummin were the season of the Horizon of the Plate, wherein the Orim and Thummin were the season of the Horizon of the

griren in some small Place of Gold, and put into melligh Priest's Pectoral. And I am apt to think the some of the more understanding Gentiles had apprehension of this, and that thence we read which in Authors of the 'εφέσα το μμαία, which pate an Imitation of the Hebrew Letters or Writing which made up the Vrim and Thummim. From ide dicred Scripture in the Ephod those Ephosian were borrowed, which they used in Magi-Att, and whereby they did any thing that they was indeed but one fingle Oracle, (as I have her in another place) though by the different No. In all Businesses they fied to it feem'd to be more. And these Ancilia de life, and consulted them, so that they were a from Heaven, being in the Shape of short this I conceive was Alluson to the Hebrem Oracle which consisted of Centhly, The Scape-Goat, (Gnazazel, from ghez Sine of the People, and repeated Curses on his Head;

Thus, without any straining, it appears that Thus, without any straining, it appears that Thus, without any straining, it appears that Inside the Rubicon of the Rubicon. After Pagans had some notice of that Great Oracle of Sheep and Goats. But the Practice of the Conceiting it to be some pretty Image, or the straining sent from Heaven. Whereas the straining sent f Things, but Words, 1. e. tney were words, URIM and THUMMIM, written of page graph of the confectaverat, ac vagos & fine custoste dimiterate.

might be turn'd on the Head of that Sacrific maker Water, to try Perjury, which might be of \* They curse, saith he, the Heads of the Sacrifia the fame Original. with these words, If any Mischief threaten the Sui Twelfthly, We read in feveral Authors, (fome whom you will find quoted in \* Calius Rhodigificers in particular, or all Egypt in general, let it light upon the Head of this Animal. And when the that Branches were used in the superstitious Rites of the Gentiles, and in the Worship of their had loaded him with all their Imprecations. used to hurry him headlong into the River Nice Gods. Among the Athenians particularly there be drowned, or they fold him to a Greek or for was a Festival which took its Name from Branches: and Plutarch and others tell us, that they went aother profane Man, to derive all those Maledia boot with Boughs in their Hands in honour of Bacons from themselves to the Belly of that Perh div. If we compare this with what the Jews did This Egyptian Expiation was taken from Axid in the Feast of Tabernacles, (as the + Scripture or the Scape-Goat, Lev. 16. 21, 22, where testifieth, and as | Josephus relates) namely, that faid, Aaron was to lay both his Hands upon it, and they fat under Booths which they shadowed with confess over him all the Iniquities of the Children of ! Branches; that they facrificed to God, holding in rael, putting them on the Head of the Goat: and their Hands Boughs of Myrtle and Palm; and that he was to send him away by the hand of a fit Mania they went up and down many days with these in the Wilderness: and the Goat was to bear upon him) their hands, we may gather hence, that this Hetheir Iniquities into a Land not inhabited. 'Arous brew Rite was borrowed by the Gentiles, who . ಹಬಿಡಿ is the word used by the LXX to express Hebrew word Azazel; and accordingly the 'Asa were very apish; especially if we take notice that the Jews and Gentiles kept this fort of Feast at the

offer'd by the Heathens to avert impendent Evil cles was celebrated on the fifteenth Day of the are related to this. Month Tirsi, i. e. about the beginning of our Sep-Eleventhly, From the Water of Jealousy in imber: then it was that they feasted, and made merry, and express'd it by all signs of rejoicing; among the Jews, (Numb. 5. 12, &c.) where they tried the Honesty of a suspected Wise, and then it was also that the Pagans kept their great Feast in honour of Bacchus. I know [\*] Plulike Custom came to be used by the Gentiles. I tweb derives that from this, and so makes the Jews old Greeks tried their She-Priests, or Nuns were suspected of Whoredom, with a Dept imitate the Gentiles, as some of late have done: but I hope I have suggested sufficient reason alreawhich they tendred to them to drink; and if dy (and may do more afterward) to antidote a-Party were guilty, the prefently was ftruck gainst this vain Conceit. They had also (as we learn from | Philestern  $N_3$ 

ame time of the Year. For the Feast of Taberna-

πομωαίοι Αιοί, that were thought to avert Calab

ties, and the 'A momoraud, which were Sacrife

<sup>\*</sup> Lib. 7. 6. 29. † Lev. 23. 40. | Antiqu. 1. 3. c. 10. \* Καταξέονται δε τά δε λέχοντες τησι κοραλήσι, &c. Liba G [\*] Sympof. 4. 3. Vit. Apollon. l. 1. C. 4. 4 Paufanias, lib. 7.

1 Dais on to other Patticulars: the Natarity mong the Jews nourished their Hair for a timen then dedicated it to God; which was done by cuting it off, and offering it in the Temple or Tabers cle, and then hurning it with the Sacrifice, Nati 6. 18. That the Pagans imitated them in this evident: thus concerning the Greeks \* Pluse testifies, that they dedicated the First-fruits their Hair to Apollo, Afculapius, Hercules, Bacche and other Gods. The Romans likewise the time they shaved their Beards, and cut the Hair their Heads, offered them to some Deity, a my be proved from + Suetonius and other Writing And not only the Greeks and Romans, but the Affi rians and several other Nations took up this 64 ftom, as you may fatisfy your felves abundantly from || fome Criticks who have handled this Subject, Several other things I might mention, 49 th Jews putting away all Leaven at the Passover: where perhaps Leavened Bread was not permitted to the Gentiles at some certain times; yea it was not laws ful (faith Aulius Gellius) for Jupiter's Priests to touch Leaven. From the Jews the Custom of Cir-

them, or came near them. From the Law of Cherem, the Anathema, the Thing or Person devoted to cumcifing went to several Nations, and not from Death, Lev. 27. 28, 29. feem to be derived the Pathem to the Jews, as [\*] Strabo, [+] Celfu, and others conceited. But [ ] Origen confutes this gan Ka Dapuala, and Devota Capita. The neighbouring Gentiles heard of the devoting to utter Mistake, and shews that God himself first institut Destruction certain Cities and their Inhabitants; ted this Ceremony; that Abraham and his Race and 'tis likely they heard that this was done by the first practifed it, and in imitation of them the Peospecial Command of God. Hence they apishly and ple of the next neighbouring Countries took it up superstitionsly imitated this Usage, (as you have as the Arabians and Egyptians. Of these latter, feen they do in other things) and devoted certain and the Ethiopians, the Persians, Phoenicians, the Men to Death and Destruction, to please and propitiate their Gods. And this is the more credible, \* In Theseo. + In Nerone. | Meursius in Græc. Ferial. 1.3. Lipsii Comment. in Tacit. Montague against Selden's Hist. of Tibis. Casquhon in Theophrast. charact. Heinsips in Sil. Ital. [ ] Lih. 17. [†] Orig. contr. Celf. l. 1. [||] Ibid. [\*] Liv. Decad. 1. 1. 10. [+] Dio. Hist. lib. 54.

because

Troglodyta, and those of Colchos, \* Herodotus, + Di-

olivy, | Strabo, testify that this Rite was used by

them. Philostorgius relates the same of the antient

Arabians. Pythagoras was circumcifed, faith The-

educet. However, this is certain that this Jewish

Practice came into use among several Nations, and

it was originally from Abraham (who was first cir-

cumcifed) and his Stock, who were Jews. I might

add here, that the Jews at circumcifing the Child

14ve it a Name: thence the Pagans took up the

fime Custom of giving Names to their Infants.

Hence Dies Nominalis went along with Dies Lu-

frient, and this was about the eighth or ninth Day

among the Romans; which feems also to be in

imitation of the Jews. Bigamy was forbidden to

the [\*] Pagan Priests, as it was to the Jewish

ones. So in compliance with the Mosaick Law,

it was unlawful for their [十] Priests to touch

any dead Corps. From the same Sacred Fountain

was their Aqua Lustralis, used in sprinkling of

Sepulchres, and to purify those who had touched

<sup>\*</sup> Lib. 2, & 3. + Lib. 3. c. 32. || Lib. 16, & 17.

because the very word 'Ava Sinala (which was light Rhodiginus. Yea, some were chosen into the fometimes confounded with 'Ava Dipala') was used Priesthood with this Ceremony, and therefore had by the antient Greeks to fignify those miserable the name of Khuewrei, as Aristotle tells us in the and execrable Wretches who were thus destined fourth Book of his Politicks. They used Lottery to the Infernal Ghosts. When any great Plage mother accounts, as you may see in Suidas in the or Calamity broke in upon the Pagans, Men, a word κληςωτήςια. This also was in use among the well as Beasts, were devoted to Slaughter, and Old Romans sometimes; and not only in the Elegiven up as Propitiatory Offerings to their Dei ties: and these, I say, were by them termed 'Ava-Studia, Accurred, Devoted, Execrable Creature, which answers to the Jewish Cherems, which were Things or Persons devoted to utter Destruction, This Pagan Usage was but a Transcript of the there. Hebrew one. Perhaps the use of Lots among the Gentiles had its Original from what the Sacred Writings relate of this Practice. In Lev. 16. 8. two Goats (inorder to some Sacred Design) were chosen by La. Hence we read that Cadmus, when he built Thebes, Joshuah found out Achan to be an Accursed Crimifounded a Place for all forts of Criminals to repair nal by this means, Josh. 7. 14,18. The first Assgnation of Portions in the Land of Canaan, was by

casting Lots, Josh. 13.2. Saul was chosen King of Ifrael thus, 1 Sam. 10.21. By the same Method Jonas was discovered to be the Cause of the Tempest, Jonas 1. 7. From which antient Instances of Lottery it is probable the Gentiles borrowed the like Ufage, and made choice of their Military and Civil Officers, and transacted other Matters in this way. In \* Homer some of the Great Commanders are made after this fashion, as Eurylochus and others, Some of the Athenian Magistrates were annually call'd to their Places by Lot; whence they were Riled Kanewre. Particularly this was the manner of chuling Judges at Athens, as you may fee in | Ca-

Kanges en nuyen, &c, | Antiqu. Lect. lib. 22. C. 18:

lius

aion of Publick Officers, but in other Affairs: more especially in their Divinations these Lots were made use of, as it were easy to prove. All which it is likely had its first Rise from the Old Testament, and the Practice of the Antients recorded Is it not reasonable to think that the Cities of Refuge among some Pagan Nations, whither Offenders fled for Protection, had their Origine from those so expresly mentioned in Numb. 35. 13, 14, 15.

the rading Octoperios.

to: and Romulus at the building of Rome erected a Sanctuary for Offenders to fly to. Further, I could observe that the New-Moons were celebrated by the Athenians and other Grecians. Concerning the first \* Plutarch is very positive: and as to the rest, that of Proverbial Saying, σεαύδώ νεμμινίων kewsoav, in use among them, shews that they solemnly observed the first Day of the Month. The Romans likewise had the same Custom, as is manifest from that of || Ovid,

Vendicat Ausonias Junonis cura Calendas.

And these New-Moon Festivals are referred to by

Horace ? Quaft, Rom. † Hesych. || Faft. 1. 1.

Horace more than once, as you may see in \* Turn, they observed among the Jews. This is the Perbus. All which is of Hebrew Extraction. I could function of the Inquisitive \* Kircher, who without take notice that the Latin Jubilare, and Jubilare, my helitation averreth, that the Symbolical and

(which are found in Varro and other old Roman) throughphick Learning was imbibed from the Hewhich fignify great Rejoicing and Shouting for loy, bews. Nay, to go yet farther, now we are come are from the old Jewish Law of Jubilee, a Time thus far; there are those who conjecture that a of exceeding Gladness, being the Year when Ser. great part of the Antient Gentile Philosophy was colvants and Debtors were restored to their Liberty leded from the Holy Book of Scripture. Among and Possessions, which occasioned great Rejoicing the antient Persians the Mosaick Religion might be And I could propound more Instances yet to prove Mcovered in many Instances which might be githat several Customs among the Heathens were ex. ven of their Principles : and an Ingenious - French tracted from the Holy Scriptures, and that He. Author hath lately proved that their Zoroaffres then Worshippers shaped New, Strange, and Pro- was the same with Mosts. And as for the Pythafane Rites, and Ways of Worship out of the past swick and Platonick Philosophy, which consists much fages they read or heard of there; and that most in Figures and Numbers, in Dark and Symbolical of the Heathen Usages are corrupt Imitations of Precepts, it is evident that it was made up out of

I will add to the feveral Particulars this one Rooks concerning God, the Genii, the Spirits and more, which though I will not confidently pro- Souls of Men, though stuff'd with many Errors and nounce was borrowed from the Jews, yet I propose superstitions, discover a great Resemblance and it as a thing very probable: It is this, that the Affinity with those things which the Bible delivers Hieroglyphicks of the Egyptians were in imitation of bout the Nature of God, Angels, and Humane that People; for they were brought up under Sha- Souls. Husebius particularly infifts on this, and dows, Types and Symbols, dark Representations and mystical Rites: which might give occasion to the Egyptians to teach Religion and Morality by

Hieroglyphick Figures. I am not politive here, (nor Philosopher, said of Plato, namely, that he was would I be any where elfe, unless I had good Grounds to go on) because I am not altogether cor- ent Sages and Philosophers were obscure and mytain that the Hieroglyphick Learning began after Moses. But there is great probability that it did, and confequently that it was derived from what

\* Advers. lib. 15. c. 19. & l. 24. c. 45. † 1 cvit. 25. 5, 10, 11.

Notions, they Obelife. Pamph. lib. 2. c. 7. Huer, Demonst. Evang. Prop. 4. Mωυσης 'A]πκίζων. Euseb. Præpar. Evang. lib. 11. c. 5. Clem. Alex. Strom. I. 1.

the Sacred Hebrew Writings. The Platonists

derives the Platonick Doctrines from the Scrip-

ures. Hence both he and Clement of Alexandria the notice of what Numenius, the Pythagorean

the Greek Moses. And indeed most of the anti-

Rick in their Stile, and way of delivering their

Notions, as the Sacred Writers are observed to he very often. Hence it is faid by the antient Father nothing of the Rise and Antiquity of Arts: there is not one of you that is Old, and there is no Learning among you that is Antient. His meaning was, that all their Knowledg was borrowed, and that the Sacred Mosaick Philosophy and Theology were the oldest of all. From this the Heathens took theirs, though fometimes they express it in different Terms. Thus we have gone through the

whom I last quoted, That || the way of Philosophia zing among those Pagans, was after the manner of the Hebrews, that is, Anigmatical. But as to the Mari ter, as well as Stile, the chiefest of the old Greek Poets and Philosophers, as Orpheus, Homer, Hessol Thales, Anaxagoras, Parmenides, Empedocles, De mocritus, Socrates, (besides Pythagoras and Plato bel fore named) agree with Moses. We may say of them all, as an Historian saith of the first of them? (after he had fet down several Particulars of sound Philosophy in his Poems) \* They have pronounced many things concerning God and Man, which are consonant to that Truth which we, who are taught by the Holy Writings, profess. This may give light to what an Egyptian Priest told Solon, + You Grecians (faith he) are but of yesterday, and know

Mofaick Records, and in many Instances shew'd the Derivation of Gentile Philosophy, Principles, Pra-Rices and Usages, from those Sacred Writings; and

"΄Ο δε τεόπ⊕ πας ἀυτοίς φιλοσορίας Έβεαϊκός κ) άλης ματώδεις. Clem. Alex. Strom. I. τ. \* 'Oxiy's deiv συμφωνως τρ αλιθώα τη καθ nuis mei n bu

and consequently we have evinced the Truth and Antiquity of these Records.

Before I leave this Head of my Discourse, I will here add the Testimony of Pagan and Profane Authors concerning this great Law-giver Moses, the first Penman of Holy Scripture: which is still in profecution of what I undertook, to shew that the Writings of the Old Testament, and with them their Authors and Penmen, are attested by Profane Writers. It appears, first, from what these have faid, that there was such a Person, and that he was what his Writings represent him to be. This is he that is called by Orpheus 'Ydogevus, alluding to his Name Mosheb, Exod. 2. 10. which was given him because he was drawn out of the Water. He is celebrated by Alexander Polyhistor, Philochorus, Thallm, Appion (cited by \* Justin Martyr,) by Manethon and Numenius (alledged by † Origen and || Euselius,) by Lysimachus and Molon (quoted by | Jo-

Sicilian in the Front of his famous Law-givers, only a little disguised under the Name of Middles, who is there faid to have received his Laws from Mercury. And why from Mercury? Perhaps because some Chronologers acquaint us that the Great Mercurius, stiled Trismegistus, (the antientest Philosopher among the Egyptians) was either contemporary with Moses, or is thought to have lived about his time. But St. Augustine tells us in his Noted

sephus,) by Chalcidius, Sanchoniathon, Justin, Pliny

in Porphyrius. Moses is placed by [\*] Diodorus the

κὶ ἀνθεώπων ὁ σορώπεθ Θ· ἀπορθερ Γόμβυ Θ· 'Ogpais. Cedita Hist. Compend. + Plato in Timxo.

<sup>\*</sup> Orat. contra Gent. + Contra Cels. lib. 4. Præpar. Evang. lib. 9. c. 6. Cont. Appionem, I. 1. Lib. r.

Notes Book de Civitate Dei, that this Morcini was Nephew to another Morewing, whose line was Atlas the famous Astrologer; and he it was belike that flourished in Moses's time. Whence (if I may be fuffered to give my Conjecture) the Poets did very fitly relate how dilas bore up the Heavens; when in the mean time they meant ou Adofes, who giving us the Authentick Records the World's Creation, and beginning his History with the Production of the Heasuns, is the True Atlas that supports the Spheres: pay, he may be rightly faid not only to bear up the Fleavens, he the Earth, and to keep them from finking into their first Chaos, by transmitting the Accountant Memory of them to all Posterity. I question me but that Moses was represented by Menmes Trifmegiftus; for Telo menses is no more than a very Great, i. e. an Excellent Man; and fuch none call

deny Mojes to have been. 'He puis, i. e. Interprete, is appliable to none better than to Him, who was the first Sacred and Inspired Interpreter of the Mind of God in Writing. Letters were invented by this Hermes, saith \* Jamblichus from his Master of Plato. Moses being the first Writer, may well be faid to be the first inventer of Letters. Diedorus also tells us that this Mosos was the first that gave the Egyptians (he should have said Jams, but those Mistakes are common with him and other Pagan Authors) | Written Laws; and that he was [\*] a Man of a great Soul, and very powerful in his Life. And in another place he faith,

he was \* one that excelled in Wisdom and Valour.

Strabo makes honourable mention of this Great

prionage, yea speaks not only of him, but of the

eligion established by him, with great Respect.

He ranks him among the best Legislators, and

highly praiseth his Laws, and gives them the Pre-

eminence before all others. He reciteth some of

his Sayings and Deeds, telling us that he left E-

unt, and came into Syria, because he disliked the

gyptians for their making and worshipping of

Corporeal Gods, of the Figure and Proportion

of Brutes; and that Moses profess'd that God

ould not be represented by any Image or Like-

nels whatsoever. There are || other Gentiles

who speak of Moses, and his Laws and Constitu-

tions; and they would have been more favou-

rable in their Testimonials concerning him, if

their Heathen Principles had not biass'd them to

a more undue Character. Which is taken notice

of by Philo in the Life of Moses: " Though (saith

"he) some Pagan Historians speak of him, yet

" they say but little, and that not truly neither.

"Out of Envy, it is likely, or because of the

" great Disagreement between his and the Laws

"remember him. But that Testimony which

we have is fufficient, and we may thence be fa-

tisfied that Moses was the most Authentick Hi-

forian, and the Antientest Law-giver; and we

may gather from what they fay, that his Laws

were

of other Law-givers, they vouchfafe not to

<sup>\*</sup> De Myster, Egypr. † In Phileb.

Exfedous volus. Ibid.

<sup>[+] &#</sup>x27;Avng το પ્રાં મકેટલક, પ્રો το βίω દિવાએ તારી . 1bid.

<sup>\*</sup> केलिमांच्य मेरे मार्ग्स्म हो व्येमीवृत्यं क्रासिंद्रम जीवव्हेंद्रकाः. Eclog. † Geograph. lib. 16. Dionys, Longinus afet of st. Trogus Pomp. & ex co Justin. 1 36. Tacit. Hift. lib. 5.

were the first, and gave beginning to all other The famous Law-givers and Politicians among the Grecians, as Lycurgus and Solon, had the main of their Politicks from Moses's Laws, whence if terwards the Romans took some of theirs. And as Moses received his Laws immediately from

God, so in imitation of him the greatest Law.

nophane Writers teltify the Truth of these Particulars mention'd in the Old Testament, viz. The Gigantick Race of the Canaanites: The Sun's flanding still: Jephthah's Sacrificing bis Daughter: Sampson's loss of his Hair: The Foxes which he made use of against the Phi-

listines: Elias's rapture to Fleaven: Some passages relating to King Solomon, King Hiram, &c. The Sun's going back in King Hezekiah's time: Nebuchadnezzar's Transfor-

of the Holy Scriptures.

CHAP. VI.

mation into a Beast: His Dream of an Image with a Golden Head, &c. Next, it is proved that the Heathens had their Deities from the Old Testament : Their Saturn was Adam: Their Minerva was Eve: Their Jupiter, Cain: Their Vulcan Tubal-Cain: Their Bacchus (as also their Saturn and Ja-

kime

nus) Noah: Their Apis, Joseph: Their Mercury and Bacchus, Moses: Their Her-

cules, Jolhua and Sampson: Their Apollo, Jubal: Their Ganymed, Elias, &c.

eventhly. COme other things which the Old Te-I flament acquaints us happened, after tgiving the Law by Moses, till the Babylonian Cap-

in, are to be found among Prophane Writers. We read, in Numb. 13. 33. of the Gigantick race Canaanites, who are called the Sons of Anak, of, in Deut. 9. 2. Jos. 11. . they are called Ana-

CHAR

ny things among the Pagans, which I will yet farther pursue.

Still this establisheth our Notion, that the Wittings and Practices of the Jews gave rife to ma

curgus from Apollo, Zabeucus from Minerva, &c.

givers faid they had theirs from some Deity; as Numa from Ageria, Minos from Jupiter, Ly-





kims. Is there not some probability that the The they had as it were a double Night, for the Sun's darida, or Albanspor (and there were more than C. Laying here to long. But you shall have another for and Pollux that were call'd by that name, fable shortly, that will speak to the same pur-(a) Tully will inform you) who by the Greeks we rose, called Avances (as (b) feveral Authors acquaint porphyrius tells us, that Sanchoniathon had his Hi-

that their Name from those Great Men who we therefore the Ostspring of Anak? These being driven the original Narrations and Secrets from one Jerombaal, fostuab out of Palestine, when he overcame the torian had his Matter from the Sacred Scriptures, them the "Avance of Athens and Sparta descended times corrupts them. And it is evident that they had and hence it was that the Name of "Avance was the Hebrew Fountains of the true God, from the ven, not only to the Tyndaridæ, but all Great Me Hebrews, or their Writings; for 'Iaw was Jehovah and Drives I will add that this probable the status God whom the Tame Worthinged as I shall

and Princes. I will add, that 'tis probable the the true God, whom the Jews Worshipped, as I shall word gave Origination to \*Avaxles, which fignife hew more afterwards. Besides, from the same Great and Principal Men, such as those man spring they had some knowledge of that Eminent,

THE FIGURE AND AND MENTALLY

From that Miracle in Jos. 10. 13. of the Sure sime with Jerubbaal (as Bochart hath proved) is Gistanding still, perhaps the Poets Fiction arose, con kon, as is expresly said in the 7th of Judg. v.1. This cerning the Night doubled or trebled by Jupan was a Man of great Renown, and the Fame of his

were.

that they represented their Gods, that which is Again, from Jephthah's Daughter's being Sacrifi-further added is apposite enough, for they are my such that they are Lewd and Obscer, which could not but be famed among the ashamed to tell us that they are Lewd and Obscer, weighbouring Gentiles, and afterwards spread it self and indulge themselves in all Lustful Practice (arther) the Greek Poets made the Story of Iphi-

(a) De Nat, deor. lib. 3

(b) Tull. ibid. Plutarch. in Thefeo. Theodoret.

for Alemena's fake. For (as hath been suggested Noble Acts had reached to the Nations round aalready) this fort of Men, when they get a story lout. He might pass with them for a Priest, as by the end, make what they please of it, and tunning the into quite another thing than it was at first. The rumour of that strange Accident had come to their Ears, and they presently turn'd it into a start strange to the suppose of the supp

Now, when the Sun stood still in one Hemisphere, mind's being Sacrificed by her Father Agamemnon. the other wanted his presence and light, and hat this is taken from that, and is the same Sto-My Conly with the alteration of the Names) is that from this following Parallel. 1. The Chronoby of Jephthah and Agamenmon is the fame. They they

The Ituin and Authority fent her away to be a Priestess of hers. This is were at the same time, i. e. when the Trojan W Poetick Fiction, but the main agreement here, is was, or at least, when it is supposed to have been the Reprieve granted after their being destined for Dion, Chrysoftom, and some others are bold o Sacrifice. 7thly, and lastly, Iphigenia, the Name fay, there was no fuch Trojan War, no Sach of Agamemnon's Daughter is no other than Iphthiand Burning of that City, no Rape of a Gran guna, i. e. Jephthigenia, or, in plain English, Jeph-Woman: But the whole Tale of it was the me that's Daughter. So that the very Name hinteth Invention of the Greeks, the prime Fiction they was that the bringing of Iphigenia, Daughter of up with. It was all from the Scripture, viz. Agamemnon, a King of the Greeks, and General History of Jephthah's Warring with the Ammonia of their Armies, unto the Altar to be Sacrificed, and Ephraimites. But this is too high a flight, for the faving of the Greeian Fleer, was borrowed I am not ready to follow it. The Wars of The from the Sacred Story of Jephthah, a Judge or as they are represented by the Poets, are too me King of Israel, and Captain General of their Forto be made out of fo little a Story. Notwit cs, his Sacrificing his Daughter, in purfuance of standing this, it is not improbable that the Story the folemn Vow which he made upon his return Agamenmon and his Daughter, are the same Re after his Conquests over his Enemies. And this in lation with that of Jephthab and his. It is thege the close might be added, that whereas Humane neral agreement of Chronologers, that these win Slaughters were grown commendable, and fashionat the same time, yea, the beginning of the Th able even among the better fort of Heathens, by jan War falls exactly in the very entrance of the instigation of the Devil, it is not unlikely Jephthale's Government, faith a late (a) Write. that some of them were imitations of this Great Hence it was easie to mistake one for the other, a and notable Example of Jephibab. rather one gave occasion for inventing the other From Sampson's being shaved, was the Fable of 2. Jephthah and Agamemnon are both of them to the Fatal Hair of Nifus, King of the Megarenses, presented to be Great Captains, and Warriours, and which being cut off by a desperate Lover, ruine To agree in that common Character. befell that Nisus, The Story in brief is thus; Daughters are said to be the only Daughters of the Nifus (who all agree Reign'd about the fame time. 4. They were both Virgins. 5. The that Sampson was Judge of Israel) had an excelwere both of them devoted by their Fathers who lent Head of Hair, they were Warring against their Enemies. 6.0% is faid to wander up and down the Mountains with (1) ---- Cui splendidus oftro ber Companions. The other is feigned to be turis Crinis inharchat magni fiducia regis. into a Hind by Diana, and to range in the Wood, and Mountains. Or, some say Diana pitied the Concerning which it was told him, that as long. Virgin, and not fuffering her to be Sacrifical (4) Ovid's Metamorph. lib. 8.

(a) Horn, Arc, Noc.

as ne wore that, and kept it intire, he should pre read in the (a) Sacred Story; whence the Greeks fper, and be Victorious, and none should be at mileok 'Hand (according to the Septuagint) or to expel him one of his Kingdom. But his was for "Hales, and applied it to the Sun, and happy Daughter Seylla fell in Love with Mind in Course through the Heavens. Those Fiery who was then his actual Enemy, and War'd again. Harfes and Chariots, they understood of those of the him: She to procure Minos's Love, takes the Son, and accordingly they fancy'd there are really

Course the Poet speaks of there, —Fatali Nata Parentem

Crine suum spoliat.

She cuts off her Father's Hair when he was affen in which he was rapt up to Heaven. and gave it to Minos, who overcame her Father, With Pagan Poets, let us all along mix their Hiand took his Kingdom from him. The very mer forians, and from them we shall be satisfied, that tioning of this Story is sufficient to let you see there were such Persons in being, and such things how it agrees with that of Sampson, only there done in the World, as the Holy Scriptures speak was a Wife, and here a Daughter in the case, of. King Solomon and King Hiram's Letters to which is a mistake not unusual among the Poets one another (of whose Correspondence you read Sampson vex'd and injured by the Philistines, till in 1 Kings, 5.) are to be seen in the Tyrian An-Foxes (of which that Country afforded store) is male, and at this day, faith (d) Josephus, any Man the Tails with Fire-brands between them, Judg. 15.4 may have the fight of them from the Keepers of and fent them among their Corn, and thereby those Publick Writings. He sets down the words burnt it down. Whence seems to be framed the of Menander, who Translated those Chronicles Fable of the Carfeolan Fox, which Ovid speaks of out of the Phanician into the Greek Tongue, in his Fasti, Book 4.

batas, in which Bellephoron's Death was designed, and contrived, (of which (a) Homer, and other speak) there are perhaps to be seen the footstep of the Story of Uriah's carrying the Letter of bu Death to Jodb, 2 Sam. 11. 14. There is but little difference between Jobatas, and Joab.

In Pratus's fending Letters by Bellephoron to 3

It was famed that Elias went up to Heaven in a Fiery Charlot, with Horses, according to what we

those two Kings, and the latter's sending Materials, and Workmen for the Temple. He quotes Dius, who wrote of the Phanician Affairs, and attelts the fame thing of Solomon, and Hiram. (c) He tells us that Menander speaks of the great Fa-

(a) 2 Kings, 2. 11. (b) Serm. 2. de Elia. (c) In lib. Regum, quæft. 28. (d) Antiqu. l. 8. c. 2. & contr. Ap. l. 1. (e) Antiq. l. 8. c. 7. l. 9. c. 14. l. 10. c. 1.

mine and want of Rain in Elias's time; and that

he speaks of Salmanesser King of Assyria, and that

(a) Herodotus

which expresly mention the great Friendship of

such things, and their Poets frequently talk of them. (b) St. Chrysoftom was the first mention'd

the Curious inquire whether there be fufficient ground for it from that Prophet's Flaming Vehicle,

this, and afterwards (c) Venerable Bede.

(a) Iliad,

(4) Herodotus mentions Senacherib King of the to the going back of the Shadow on that Dial, they fame Country, and his being discomsited. (b) The could not see it. This shews it was a real thing, fame Author takes notice that Nebuchadnezzar(fr and confequently Miraculous, and Portentous, ken of in Daniel) is mentioned in Berofus, in the and that it was not the shadow only on the Dial gasthenes (who writ of the Indian Affairs,) in D that went backward so many Lines or Stroaks, ocles (who treats of the Perfian) and in Philofit tus's History of the Phanicians, and Indians. without the Sun's going back in the Heavens, as fome have fancied. The Chaldean Astronomers To proceed, it is Recorded that the Sun wa could not but hear of, as well as fee this Prodigiback in King Hezekiah's days, by the special Conous fight (for it was as fenfible to them, as to the mand of God, who (as you read in 2 King 20. 11) brought the shadow ten Degrees backmal King of Babylon) but out of ill will and malice by which it had gone down on the Dial of Aba they labour'd to suppress this Retrograde motion of the Sun: whence it is (as (a) Mr. Broughton The Degrees in this Dial are to be understood of hath observ'd) that though they reckon up sevethose in the Heavens, say some: Others think the ral Eclipses which happen'd about this time, yet Degrees were Lines Engraved on the Dial. & they fay nothing of this Miraculous going back of many Hours, or so many Half-Hours, or so many the Sun. Yet (as a (b) knowing Person hath Quarters are thought by others to be meant. The observ'd) there is a Mystical Remembrance of the Miracle was here, faith a (c) Learned Man, that Shadow on Abaz's Dial, and the length of that the shadow on the Dial went back, not that the Day, among the Persian Priests, in their Religious Sun it felf did fo. But this is a great mistaking of Rites perform'd to Mithra. But what those other the Miracle; for it is expressy said, Isai. 38, 8. Pagans would conceal, their very Poets have de-The Sun returned ten degrees, by which degrees it was gone down: The Sun it felf, as well as the shadow, liver'd down to us in a Fable, telling us that the Sun being angry at Hercules's Birth, made the moved backwards. Again, 'tis undeniable that this Glorious Luminary of Heaven really went Night unufually long: For if the Sun makean extraordinary Day in one part of the World, it folback, and confequently that a very confiderable lows that there must be a Night of an extraordiduration of time; it may be ten hours were added nary length in another part of it. We find also, that to the ordinary day: for the King of Babylon fent the foresaid Persians (in memory as it were of the an Embassadour on purpose to Jerusalem to enquire Sun's prodigious going back in King Hezekiah's about this Prodigy, and to know what caused & time) Celebrate a Tripple Sun, viz. Going forlong a Day, and fuch a Change of the Course of ward, returning back, and again going forward, Nature, 2 Kings, 20. 12. This argues that those at Rabylon saw this unusual Motion of the Sun, for as as Tirinus observes out of Dion. tq Some (a) In Eurerpe. (b) Antiqu. 1. 10. c. 11. (c.) Grot. in loc. (a) Consent of Scripture. (b) Selden de Dis. Syr. Prol. cap. 3.

Some have thought that the Pythagorean Monarch happen'd at, or about the tempsychosis had its Original from Nebuchadnezzan same time that Pythagoras was at Babylon, whither Transformation into a Beast, which the Book of he Travell'd on purpose to gain the Eastern Daniel speaks of. That he was really turn'd into Learning. Hence he brought the Report fresh a Beast, as to shape, it is not improbable, for we with him, and being of a fanciful Genius, thought read of People among the Scythians call'd News, the best way to solve that strange occurrence, was that were constantly every year for some days to lo assert the Metempsychosis; for the Corporal Transgether turn'd into Wolves, and then return'd to formation he thought argued also the Change of their former state again. (a) Herodotus, who re Souls. lates this, faith it was confidently reported by the Scythians. The same happens every year to fome People in Livenia (the Posterity of those Neuri) faith a (b) Credible Author, who made it his bufiness to inquire narrowly into this matter: and he adds the like Examples in some other Countries. Wherefore it cannot be utterly dis-believ'd that Nebuchadnezzar was thus Bestialized, and remain'd seven years so, as the Sacred History informs us. Or, if by reason only of Melancholly he thought himself a Beast (as Phyficians have reported that some have imagined themselves to be Wolves, others to be Dogs, others Cocks, and have really believ'd they Worried, Bark'd, and Crow'd, which are the Actions proper to those species of Animals) this was foundation sufficient for the amazed Pagans to proceed upon, and to make some fanciful matter out of it. Hence therefore fome of the Heathen Metamorphoses (which the Poets are frequently talking of) were coined; and hence, as I have faid; the Pythagorean Transmigration, i.e. the passing of the Souls of Men into Brutes, had its birth. Which is the more credible from this consideration; that this Wonderful Transformation of

the four Monarchies of the World, was the foundation of the Poetical Division of Time into four Ages, which they distinguish according to those four Metals; first the Golden-Age, which began with the beginning of the World, and lasted to Saturn's being turn'd out of his Kingdom. This signishes the happy State of our Fore-Fathers in Paradise, for Saturn is Adam, as you shall hear afterwards. Secondly, there is the Silver-Age, which lasted from Saturn's Exile and being deposed, 'till Nimrod, or Jupiter Belus, who is the same. In this Age all Arts were found out, they lay, and this truly in part is testified by (a) Scripture. Thirdly, they tell us of the Brazen-Age, which began under Nimrod, or Jupiter Belus, and lasted to the first year of the return of the Heradide. In this Age Tyranny grew up, and Wars began, and Slaughter was rife, as the Poets relate; and not untruly, for we find the same in Sacred History. The fourth Age is of Iron; it began from the return of the Heraclidae into Peloponesus, (4) Gen. 4.

Nebuchadnezzar's Dream (Dan. 2.) of an Image

with a Golden Head, Breast and Arms of Silver,

Braten Belly, and Legs of Iron, which represented

<sup>(</sup>a) Lib. 4. (b) Casp. Peucer. de Divinar.

ponejus, and lasts to these very times, and so

(a) Hefiod, (b) Virgil, (c) Ovid, and other Green soveraign Lord, under him was the Golden-Age, and Latin Poets speak of these Four Ages, compa or happy State in Paradife, which all Men might

ring them to those Four Metals, which without have enjoyed if he had not fallen. But he fell,

dispute was from Nebuchadnezzar's Dream, and and lost his Empire, and was expell'd that Blessed

especially it is evident to an inquisitive Eye, that

the Book of Genesis afforded the Pagan World the

greatest part of their Ancient Gods and Goddelles,

First, to begin with Adam, he without doubt was

Saturn, of whom the Poets relate that his Father

was Cœlue, and his Mother Tellus, that he Ruled

over all the World, and was Supream Sover

raign; that under him was the Golden-Age, that

afterwards he was expelled his Kingdom, and de-

posed from the Power and Dominion he had, and

which, Adam is call'd (d) the Son of God, which

in the Language of the Poets is Son of Calu:

besides, he was formed by God out of the Earth

and so might be said to be both the Son of Goo,

and of the Earth. Adam was the first Ruler and

that he found out Agriculture.

Daniel's famed Interpretation of it. These were place. He was the first that Tilled the Ground,

Answerably to

fpread over all the East, and so it was easic for the and taught Men Husbandry. Besides, I have this Poets (as well as others) to light upon them, and to add, that Saturn is the same with Time (for by

of the Holy Scriptures.

to make thence their Comparison of the Four A the Greeks Kpoves with the change of a Letter is Eighthly, The Heathens had their Gods from all'd (a) xp6r, and so they are Synonimous) Scripture. I have partly shew'd already that of all Men, and because Time began from him. This and Adam well deserv'd that Name, being the Eldest fome of the Patriarchs, and other Persons in the is very plain, I think; and moreover the Name Sacred Records, are described by the Poets under of Saturn might be given to Adam from Satar, other Names than what the Holy Writ give latere, because after his fall from that happy state them. Now I will shew that they are often reprefented under the Names of Gods by the Poets;

he had been in, he withdrew himself like a Guilty Malefactor, he fled for it, and bid himself in the Garden, Gen. 3. 10. Hence Saturnus is the fame with Latius, as Vossius observes, and the Place which of old was call'd Saturnia, was atterwards called Latium, as Virgil, and others testify. Thus the first Founder of Mankind, Adam, was the first and eldest Saturn, the top of all the Heathen Deities.

And that Eve, the first of the Fair Sex, the Mistress of the World, and the Mother of all Mankind, was made a Goddess by the Pagan World, is not to be question'd, (yea, though she hath been represented by them (as hath been said before) in a far other Character, for I have often intimated that 'tis the way of the Poets to make a great many things out of one, and to represent the same Person after a different, if not a contrary manner:) And yet I do not at present remember that Vollius, or Bochart, or any other Mythologist

Sove (d) 3. Luke 38.

(4) Ell idem Koopos x Xobe Macrob Samen

The Truth and Authority

that Naamab (Gen. 4. 22.) an obscure Woman, the Daughter of Lamech, was Deified by the Heathers omits our Mother Eve, the Empress of the World, the common Parent of all Mankind. shall therefore do her the right to assign the Rank which I think she held, and the Name which was given her among the Heathen Goddesses. To know this, we need only inquire who among them was the Goddess of Wisdom, and of all the Arts, and who invented the things which were most proper for the Female Sex to find out. This (without any curious fearch) was Minerva, and no other, and therefore I doubt not but Eve was this Minerva. The three great Inventions attributed to the Goddess of this name, are spinning, and Weaving, and the use of Oyl; i. e. as I understand it, the use of it in preparing and ordering of Wooll, for tis likely that those who work'd in Wooll of old, made use of Oyl then as well as we do now. These are the staple inventions of that Goddess; and as for the rest that the Poets talk of, they are meer fantastick Flourishes of Poetry, and are not to be minded.

Now, considering what I have said, what Woman in the World can we more fitly imagine to be meant by Minerva, than Adam's Wife Eve, who questionless was endued by God with eminent Qualities and Excellencies for the good of the World, and especially with such as were most useful in one of her Sex, and who was the Mi-Arefs and Guide of all the rest. She was cortainly Noted and Celebrated for some Art or other which she found out: And tis as certain

of the Holy Scriptures. 207 Mythologist (which is something to be wonder that no Invention is more worthy of a Woman, at) assign her any Goddesship at all among the than Spinning, and Weaving, and working of Wool, Pagan Divinities. Nay, Vossius, who maintain and making of Cloathing; for this last comprehends the other two, and was the peculiar Invention of Minerva, as (a) Diodorus Siculus, and others affure us, when they mention the things found out by her. This is call'd (b) Minerva's Work or Business. She was the first that invented the making of Apparel, faith another (c) Antient Author. It is true, all Artificial Works that were confiderable, were ascribed by the Antients, to this Goddess, but Spinning and Weaving were more eminently faid to be from her. Our Mother Eve, who had the Wit and Skill to discover these, and to improve them by her living so long in the World, might well pass among her own Sex at least, for the wifest Woman that ever was, and might be entitiled the Mistress of all Arts and Sciences, that is, in the Language of the Poets, the Goddess of Wisdom. Whence I conclude, that our first Parent Eve, was Minerva, the First and Original Spinster, from whom her Sex derive that commendable Title. Only I will add this, That when the Poets tell us, that Minerva was Born of Jupiter's Brain, and without a Mother, they feem to refer to Sacred History; which acquaints us, that Eve was not Born after the manner of other Women, but was taken out of Adam's fide. He that knows how they are wont to mistake and adulterate the passages in Holy Writ, and to take one thing (and cone part of the Body) for another, will not be averse to credit this, and consequently that this is

some confirmation of our present Notion, that

<sup>(</sup>a) Lib. 6. cap. 14. (b) "Eggar 'Almrains. Apollon. I. 1. (c) Aristid, in Hymn, in Jov.

Letter

Eve pass'd for a Goddess among the Gentiles, and was call'd Minerva by them, that is, (as Arnobiu and some others interepret it) Meminerva, because she that had so good an Invention, had doubtless as good a Memory, which is so requitite to that.

Cain, the Eldest Son of Adam, was the first Antient Jupiter: (for I deny not that there were other Younger Jupiters among the Pagans) This first and oldest Jupiter, the Son of Saturn, is said, to have invented the founding of Cities; and we know, that the first City in the world, was built by Cain. This Jupiter by the Athenians, was fliled Holieve, a Founder of Cities, and 'Eguno, an incloser or strengthner of Cities, saith Pausanias; which well agrees to the First Builder. Besides, this Cain Married his own Sister, and so the same is faid of Jupiter; he Married Vesta, Saturn's Daughter, who was the Goddess of Architecture, and therefore was a proper Wife for him, who was the first Architect. Moreover, we are (a) told, that Jupiter Travell'd over all the World, which, in other terms, is Cain's being a Vagabond. The Old Vulcan, the Smith of the Gods, was Tubal-Cain; for by a common Aphæresis, and change of Letters, one of these Names is easily made the other. And here let me insert that which will be useful to observe in the like cases afterwards, viz. that the Greeks and Romans, when they take any Names from the Jews, they do not alwaies set them down according to the Hebrew Termination, nor with all the Letters of the words; they take the liberty to omit some, and to alter others. Thus it is here in the words before us, and thus we shall

of the Holy Scriptures. find it in others that are to be mention'd afterwards, as we have found it in some already. This Tubal-Cain, or Vulcan, may be faid to have found out Fire, or rather the use of it in his Employment, as he was an Artificer in Brass, and Iron, Gen. 4. 22.

Noah was famous of old, and if Gods were made of Men (as certainly they were) he could not mis of being made one; accordingly the most ancient Bacchus was Noah, who first Planted Vines, and taught the making of Wine, Gen. 9. 20. will not infift on the derivation of Bacchus from Noachus, which some Learned Men approve of, though (a) Vossius will by no means allow of it, but thinks it too hard an Origination, because the Greeks did not pronounce (it is likely) Noah, but Noë, for the former was after the Points were brought in by the Masorites. But in answer to this Great Critick, I will fay these three things; First, he goes upon a false supposition, that the Masorites invented the Hebrew Points, which I have already proved to be an Errour. Secondly, as I have already noted, the Pagans are wont to change the Terminations of Hebrew words, and indeed to shape them after their own way, and as they please. Thirdly, harder Etymologies please him fometimes: to go no farther than the fame Chapter, he there makes Diorvo to be qu. Dide taking no notice of the v and the o, though they be confiderable Confonants, and no Termimations neither. Notwithstanding then, the suggestion of this great Master of Criticisin, we may shifteribe to the common Opinion of Etymologifts, that Bacch or Bacchus (with the change of a

<sup>(</sup>a) Diodor, Sic. 1.4. c. 25.

## The Truth and Authority 210

Letter or two, which is very usual, or perhaps with the mistaking of one Letter for another in the beginning of the word, Nun and Beth being fomewhat like in shape) was derived from Noath and that from this Old Patriarch's Planting of Vines presently after the Flood, and his unhappy feeling the strength and vertue of the Grape, arose the Poets Tippling Deity, who is said by them to be

-(a) Genialis consitor uva,

The first Planter of the Grape, and the Inventer of Wine. And for the fame reason, those who think Janus is derived from !! Vinum, hold that Noah was represented by this Janus another God among the Poets. This is true, that it is no unufual thing to fet forth the same Person by different Names, as if they were different Gods, And that Janus comes from Jajin, and so is as much as Vinofus, and may have reference to Noab on that account is probable from this, that that part of Italy which Janus possessed, and where he is faid to be Worship'd was call'd OENOTRIA, from oiv Vinum. Of the Antiquity of Janus that Old Writer Fabius Pictor gives this Testimony, which agrees well with Noah; (b) "In Ja-"nus's time there was no Monarchy, for the de-" fire of Ruling had not yet harbour'd in the "brealts of Men: (accordingly we read that Nim-" rod afterwards was the first Monarch and Ab-" folute Ruler : ) He taught People first to Sacrifice Wine, and Meal. And the Epithet Bifrom, which is given to Janus, intimates that he was Noab,

(a) Ovid. (b) In Annalib.

of the Holy Scriptures. Noah, for he might truly be faid to have had two Faces, because he look d backwards, and forwards, he saw

the times both before and after the Flood, he beheld the former and the latter World. God honour'd him so far as to make him (as (a) Philo speaks) both the end and beginning of Mankind. Others more fancifully fay he was called Janus, à Janua,

from his opening a door as it were for the prefervation of Mankind: And (b) other fuch Conceits of the Name there are.

Again, this Noah was represented by Saturn, and here you must not wonder that Saturn denotes both Adam, and Noah, for there is great refemblance between these two, the one being the Parent of the World before the Flood, the other of that after it; and for this Reason perhaps Noab is call'd by the Perfians the fecond Adam. Besides, the Poets confound many in one, and to make amends, fometimes divide one into many. But that Noah was meant by Saturn, is the Opinion of some of the Learnedest Criticks, as Goropius, Becanus, Volsius, and Bochart, the last of which hath (c) offered about a dozen probable Arguments (as he deems them) to make it good. will mention to you some of them: Saturn is said to be the Husband of Rhea, i. c. of the Earth: So

Noah is faid to be Ish baadamah, Gen. 9. 20. Vir Terræ, which the Heathens might interpret to be a Husband of the Earth, and thence incerted this into their Fabulous description of Saturn. Or, if you mean by those words that Noah was a Hamble

(a) "Ηξίωσεν αυθον ο θεδς κ) γέλ 🕒 ήμων γε γένας κ) do Xhy jeve Sas. De Abrahamo. (b) Initium ædium dicitur Janua, initium menfium dicitur

Januarius: fic Noachus erat intrium alterium generis humani. (c) Geograph, Sac, I pars, l. 2, c. 1.

## The Truth and Authority

Man, and led a mean Life, then the Saturnian Reign agrees with it, in which Men were strangers to Pride and Luxury, and lived a mean, but peaceable and contented Life. 'Or, take it as it is Translated, a Husband-man, one that looked after the Cultivating of the Earth, and so it fits both Noah, and Saturn, or rather shews these to be one and the same Person, who was employ'd about the Earth, and the Fruits of it, whereof the Vine was one of the chiefest. Saturn devoured his Children, i. e. faith this Author, Noah (a) Condemned the World to perish by the Flood, whilst he himself escaped. Or, it may be apply'd to his shutting up his Children in the Ark, among the Beasts, as if he intended they should be devous'd and destroy'd. Saturn vomited up his Sons again, in like manner Noah restored his Sons to the Earth, after they had been shut up in the Ark, and kept so long on that other Element. Saturn was driven out of his Kingdom by his Son after he had first cut off his Father's Genitals: which refers to Curfed Cham, Noah's Son, who saw his Father's Nakedness, and told it with derission to bis Brethren, Gen. 9. 22. The Pagans mistook this Text: for whereas the word is ורגר, he told or revealed, they perhaps read it 711, he cut, whence they report that Cham or Ham (whom they call'd Jupiter) made an Eunuch of his Father. And truly, that Jupiter Hammon was the same "Ham, Neah's Son, may be gather'd from the place where Ham and his Posterity were Seated, namely in Africa. Here, in the Defarts of Lybia, was the Famous Oracle of Jupiter Hammon, who had his Name from that Wicked

Of the Holy Scriptures.

Wicked Son of Noah, who in this place vented his Blasphemies (which pass'd for Oracles with fome,) and thereby debauched the Minds of the generality of that Age; and in process of time he came to be Worshipped there under the Name of Jupiter Ham, or Hammon. I know forme have thought Hamon is Chamab Sol, because he is reckon'd the fame with the Sun: And others derive it from Hamon Multitudo (as Abraham's Name is Compounded of his former Name Abram, and Hamon, a Multitude, whence he is called a Father of many Nations, Gen. 17. 5. So that Abrabam is but an abbreviature of Abrahammon.) But there is great reason to think that this Hammon is the same with Ham, Noah's Son, whose Posterity were Inhabitants of Africa, whence Egypt is call'd (a) the Land of Ham. This Affrican or Egyptian Hammon is mentioned (as Bochart thinks) m Ezek. 30. 15. I will cut off the Multitude of No, in the Hebrew, Hamon of No. And fo in Jer. 46. 25. Amon of No. i. e. Amon the God of No: And in Nahum 3.8. No of Amon: But the main Argument to prove Noah and Saturn to be the same is yet behind, which is this; that Saturn by the Heathens is faid to have had three Sons, Jupiter, Neptune, and Pluto, and that he divided the World among them. This Fable of dividing the World among three Brethren, the Children of Saturn, did plainly arise from the dividing the Earth between the three Brethren, the Sons of Noah. Of these was the whole Earth overspread, Gen. 9. 19. By these were the Nations divided in the Earth after the Flood, Gen. 10. 32. The Hot Country of Africa was Cham's

<sup>(</sup>a) Pfal. 105. 23. 106. 22.

was

Cham's division, who might have his Name given him from a forelight of the place where he and his Race were to Inhabit, the Land of Cham, from Caluit: this is no unlikely derivation. Again, Japhet (another of Noah's Sons) was Neptune, as Bochart indeavours to shew, for the Istes and Peninsulæ fell to his share, Gen. 10. 5. And Volfius is very politive in this, that the Antientest Neptune (for there were Neptunes as well as Joves many) was this Japhet, to his Lot fell Europe, for-Japetus or Japhet is reckon'd the Parent of the Europeans: These are the true (a) Japeti genus. Shem was Pluto, and what may be faid for it, you may see in the fore-named Writer. From the whole there is some reason to believe that Noah, the Father of those three Sons, among whom the World was divided, was one Person at least that was represented by the Heathen God Saturn.

In the next place, it is not difficult to prove that the Egyptian God Apis, or Scrapis, was no other than Foseph, the Renowned Ruler in Egypt under King Pharaob. This Perfon had abundantly merited of all Egypt, and infinitely obliged the whole Country, by laying up Corn in store, and thereby providing for them against the time of Scarcity and Famine. For this fingular Benefit to them, they erected an Ox or Cow to preserve his Memory, for in that Figure Apis or Scrapis appear'd, and was Worshipp'd by the Egyptians. And under what Symbol more fitly than that of an Ox could Joseph be represented? For not to mention the Fame he got by his Wonderful Interpreting Pharaob's Dream of the Fat and Lean Kine, whence perhaps that fort of Animals was afterwards

sterwards in great reverence and esteem on this account, as carrying with them some thing Mystial and Hieroglyphical, which made them the more acceptable to this People, who were then indining to hearken to fuch things. Not to mention this, I say, Joseph might most appositely be fignified by that Animal which is made use of in Ploughing, in order to the Sowing and coming up of the Corn, that Creature which is ferviceable to the treading out the Corn (for that was another great employment of the Ox in those days.) Therefore the Holy Spirit in Scripture seems to refer to this in Gen. 49. 6. which Text (speaking of Joseph's Brethrens wicked Delign to kill him) calls him an (a) Ox, or Bull, according to the 70 Interpreters; and fo according to the Hebrew, if you read it Shor, and not Shur. And, in Deut. 33. 17. Joseph is compared by Moses to an Ox, or Bullock. Which manner of expression denotes him to be a Great and Eminent Person, as well as it hath reference to the particular thing I am now speaking of. Shor (which is the Word here, and is Synonimous with Alaph Bos) fignifies a Prince, a Great Man, a Potentate, as knowing (b) Criticks have observed. Because an Ox is reputed the Prince and Head of Animals, you'll find that in a Metaphorical way Princes and Captains are fo called in (e) Scripture. Whence among Prophane Writers also they are thus named fometimes: for a Bull or Ox is a Symbol of Superiority, or Government, faith (d) Diogenes.

On this account the Famous Patriarch Joseph, who

<sup>(</sup>a) ταῦρον. (b) Angelus Caninius in Instit. Ling Syr. Meph Scaliger in Euseb. Not. (c) Gen. 36. 19. Pfal, 22. 12. 68. 30. (d) Dion. Orat. 2. A 14 17 17 17

was Constituted by Pharaob the Chief Ruler and Prince of Egypt, hath this Name given him. But there is something more particular intended here in this Title, for it hath respect to Joseph as he was Grand Proveditor of that Country: for there could not be a better Symbol of Provision of Corn and Bread than this Creature. Hence is that of Solomon, (a) much increase, (i. e. as the Hebrew imports, plenty of Corn and Grain) is by the strength of the Ox. And it may be to this which I am now infifting upon, viz. that Joseph was represented by this fort of Animals, Jer. 46. 20. refers, Egypt is like a fair Heifer. The Egyptian Serapis then in the form of a Cow or Ox, was a true Hieroglyphick of Joseph, especially when we add, that a Bushel was plac'd on its head, as faith (b) Ruffinus, to fignifie that Joseph was the giver out of Corn, that he caus'd it to be measur'd and proportioned according to the needs of those to whom he dispens'd it. By this Wife as well as Liberal Act his Fame grew great among the Egyptians, and other adjoyning Nations, and at length they Worshipp'd him as a God by the Symbol of an Ox, which they stiled Serapis, as not only Ruffinus, Augustin, Suidas, Julius Firmicus of old," but Vossius, Bonfrerius, Pierius, and Kircher of late have maintain'd. And I am enclin'd to think that the word Serapis was Originally Sorapis, a Compound of Sor an Ox, and Apis an Egyptian word perhaps of the same signification. And this is the more credible, because the word Apis alone is sometimes used for Scrapis. Some have thought that Mercury was a Name given by the Pagans to this Joseph, he being Her-

was for this made a God. Thus the Ancient Patriarchs were the Poets Gods; the first Fathers whom the Bible speaks of were the Pagan Deities. To proceed, Moses also was the Person intended by Mercury, as is excellently well proved from a numerous company of Circumstances, and very naturally, and without any forcing, by a late (a) Learned French-Man, to whom I refer you. It hath no less ingenuously been proved by (b) Vofflus, and some others, that Moses was represented in Liber or Bacchus, for they shew out of Pausatias, how it was a Tradition, that as foon as Bacthus was Born he was shut up in an Ark, and expos'd to' the Waters, as Moses was. Liber was call'd Budrag; so Moses, besides the Mother that bore him, had Pharaob's Daughter, who took him and nourished him for her own Son, Exod. 2. 10. Alls 7. 21. Liber was Fair and Beautiful, and excelled others in Comliness, as Diodorus faith, and as the (c) Poets represent him: semblably Mo-If was noted for his fingular Beauty, Exod. 2. 2. Alts (a) Huerius, in Demonstr. Evang. (b) De Theolog. Gent. (c) Candida formosi venerabimur ora Lyzi. Sen in

Oedip.

of the Holy Scriptures.

me, an Interpreter, for it is particularly recorded

illat he Interpreted Dreams, Gen. 41. 6 42, and

was a Diviner, Gen. 44. 5. whence he was called

Zaphnath Paaneah, i. e. a Revealer or Interpreter

of Secrets, Gen. 41, 45. But I rather think thefe

words are better rendred by St. Jeroni (who tells

is he learnt the meaning of them from fome that

mell understood the Egyptian Tongue) Salvator

Mindi, and so they refer to Joseph's timely Sa-

vilig that part of the World from perifling by

Famine. In this fense he was a Saviour, and he

<sup>(</sup>a) Proy. 14. 4. (b) Hifte Eccles. 1, 2, c, 23.

Alls 7. 20. and the Jewish Historian tells us the by those Egyptian Deities especially, Osiris, Apis, King's Daughter Adopted him, because (a) be was of Divine Shape, as well as of a Generous Mind. The very fame is Recorded by a (b) Pagan Historian, which let me observe is a great Confirmation of the Sacred History. Orpheus stileth Liber Θεσιμοφόςου, which answers to Moses's being Legislator: and he attributes to him Alanes Georgian, because of the Two Tables of the Law. Moreover, Liber is called by the faid Poet Taupoul-Twa , and rauphregus, and regarphy , and by (c) Euripides he is named Tauphrag @ Beds; which may be occasion'd by a mistaking of those words in Exod. 34. 29. Moses's Face shone, which is rendred by the Latin, cornuta erat facies sua, the Hebrew Karan, (whence xigas cornu) being the ground of that mistake, and causing Moses to be Pictured with two Horns. Lastly, faith Vossius, though Moses found not out Wine, as Bacchus, yet in regard of This too he may have the Name of Liber, for he was the Conductor of the Israelites to a Land not only flowing with Milk and Honey, but abounding with Wine: and he it was that incouraged the faint-hearted Israelites by the fight of that Bunch of Grapes which was the burthen of two Men, Numb. 13. 20, 23. This is the Sum of what Vossius saith. This Moses was so eminent and fignal a Person, and his Actions so well known to the Pagan World, that Monsieur Huet thinks and indeavours to prove that he was represented not only by Mercury, and Bacchus, but by Apollo, Æsculapius, Pan, Priapus, Prometheus, Janus, and

(a) Moson Je ba अ osovhuali pervai . Joseph. Anuq. 1. 4. c. 5. (b) Quem tormæ Pulchritudo commendabat. Justin. 1. 36, c. 2. (c) In Bacchis.

Serapis, Orus, Anubis. The Neighbouring People of *Phænicia* and *Egypt* wild not but hear of Josuah and his Acts, and thence made their Hercules out of him; and from them he was fent down to the Greeks, who you may be fure would augment the Stories which they heard. I fay Josua was the Pagans Hercules, for **le fought** with *Giants*, whose great Stature at sirst fighted the Ifraelites. In the Land of Canaan, which he Conquer'd, were the Sons of Anak, Men of a vast size, Numb. 13. 33, 34. Bashan more signally is call'd the Land of Giants, Deut. 3. 13. Whilst Josua was fighting with these Canaanitish Giants (a) the Lord cast down great Stones from Heawn upon them: The remembrance of which (faith Vossius) is kept among the Gentiles, and applied n Jove affifting Hercules in the very same sort when he grapled with Giants, and was put hard to it.

Samson as well as Josua was the Greeks Herrules, and from the one the History or rather fable of the other is taken. First, as Vossius observes, the times of both agree: Hercules, and samson were Contemporary, as appears from comparing the Greek and Jewish accounts of time. When these hit together, there is a presumption at least. Again, Hercules slew the Nemana Lion, which answers to what we read of Samson, Judg. 14. 5, 6. A young Lion roared against him, and the Spirit of the Lord came mightily upon him, and be rent him as he would have rent a Kid, and be bad nothing in his hand. Hercules subdued many Tyrants, and Oppressors; that is the meaning of hydra's, Centaurs, Stymphalides, &c. Thus Samson was

(a) Jof. 10. 11.

was rais'd up on purpose to suppress and vanquis those who had miserably oppressed and persecute of Jonah. I will only alledge this one thing more,

for his and his Countrie's Liberty. Hercules was granted, that the Fame of what befell the Procules was Effeminate, and most vilely served on Nights in its Belly, and how he was after that thate; our Samson was enflaved to a Woman, cast upon the Land whole and found, might eaand was undone by Dalilab. Hercules and Samfin fily be conveyed to the Grecians by the Phani-

agree in their Deaths, for they were both of them Spontaneous and Voluntary. From such short hints as these we may gather that the Fable of Hercules, one of the Heathen-Gods, or Heroes at

least, was meant concerning Samson the Famous Judge of Ifrael.

What think you of Jonas's being fignified in fome Circumstances by Hercules, who when he returned from Colchis with the Argonautes, as Lycophron in his Cassandra tells us, was devoured by a great Fish, which the Scholiast on that place faith was a Whale? And Hercules lay three Days and three Nights without any confiderable harm in the Belly of this Whale, whence he is call'd by that Poet recomp of which the Scholiast gives the true reason, because (saith he) all that time it was as it were Evening with Hercules, the Belly of the Fish being Dark and Shady. Phovorinus gives the like account of the forefaid Epithet, telling us that all the while he was in the Caverns of the Whale it was Night. And both Cyril and Theophylatt take notice of the likeness of this Greek Fable of Hercules, to the Story

of the Holy Scriptures.

221 the Ifraelites. Hercules was fent Captive by Jun that those Argonautes before mention'd, are faid ter to Eurystheus, and put to many Labours to the have Sail'd in the Euxme-Sea, which was the deem his Freedom: so Samson served the At very Sea according to (a) Josephus, on whose listines, and undertook Great and Wonderful thing shoar Jonas was vomited up. This must be of great strength of Body, and that Samson was phet Jonah, namely, how he was swallowed by a to, we have several remarkable Instances. Her Whale, and preserv'd three Days and three

cians their Neighbours: thence they went to

work after their old rate, and fix'd it upon some body among themselves: and whom could they more fitly apply this History to than to Hercuks, the great Adventurer by Sea as well as by Land, and who was made the Author of all Great and Wonderful things? The Fabulous Greek Poets catch'd up every Prodigious Occurence and attributed it to him, but first they represented it with strange and uncouth Circumstances, and moulded it as they pleas'd. Thus the Gentiles framed new Gods and Heroes out of the Names and Persons they met with, or heard of out of the Scriptures. So it is, the Gods of the Pagans were made out of Men in Holy Writ. The Gentiles Worshipp'd these Famous Hebrews under other Names and Titles, which they were pleas'd to fasten on them. Behold! the Servants and Favourites of the true God were Deified by these Idolaters: Holy Men were **Canoniz'd** and Worshipp'd by the very Heathen World.

There

of the Holy Scriptures.

There are some other Particulars which might to their fanciful way advanced it to the Office of be named under this Head, (though they are in buernuntia Deorum, as they expressly call'd it, and so plain and evident as these already mentions that the Ancientest Apollo was Moses's July tween God and Men; particularly a Messenger of (a) who invented Musick, that the Poets Ganyme Peace and Reconciliation with the new World, Bow to be a Sign of the change of the Air and Wather, (either to be fair or foul) might make it the Messenger of the Gods, who was sent out by them when there was any Change of the present Affairs nigh at hand. But when I remember that observable Passage concerning the Rain-Bow, in Gen. 9. 9. that it should be a Token of the Covenant between God and Man, I am inclined to think that this was not unknown to some of the inquisitive Heathers, who pried into the Sacred Writings of Most, and thence look'd upon that Remarkable Meteor as some Sacred and Divine thing, and according

fnatch'd up into Heaven by Jupiter, and turn'd in an Angel of that Covenant. This is their Iris, that Sign which is called Aquarius, refers to Elija which is from ego, i. e. again, or it is perhaps who was taken up to Heaven, and before that he from the Chaldee Ir an Angel. Again, there are command over the Waters of Heaven, keepin grange Fiery Apparitions mention'd in the Old Teback the Rain for three years, and afterwards by sament, as the Burning-Bush, and the Flaming-Prayer causing those Waters to descend: That the Chariots before spoken of; and we read that God Story of Phaeton was grounded on this Prophets appointed Holy-Fire to be kept always on the Fiery Chariots, that Lucifer's fatal Defection is Altar. Hence perhaps it was that Fire was made meant by Phaeton's proud Attempt, and Fall; the & God, and Worshipp'd by the Chaldeans and Perthe Dissoluteness of the Pagan Gods, of which the sans, and was in such request among the Old Ro-Poets often speak, refers to the Degeneracy and mans, that (as you have heard) they ordered the Corruption of the Sons of God, complain'd of in Vestal Virgins to keep it unextinguish'd. Thus Gen. 6. 2. And particularly that their Leud and the Heathens had their Gods and Goddesses from the Wanton Gods might be from a misinterpreting Holy Book; which it may be that Sagacious Authe 4th v.the Sons of God came in unto the Daughten thor of the Book of Maccabees meant, when he of Men. Sometimes out of Things as well as Person said, (a) From the Book of the Law the Heathens they coined Gods. The Poets observing the Rain fought to Paint the likeness of their Images. We have found in this Discourse, that their Images or Gods have been made like to those things which they meet with in the Sacred Writings. I have shewed you the Resemblance and Agreement between them in many Confiderable Circumstances. (a) 1 Mac, 3, 48.

224

## CHAP. VII.

From the Names of the True God the Gentile had the Names of their False ones; as Jone and Jao, from Johovah and Jah; Adon from Adonai, Baal Berith and Sabazius from Epithets given to the True God. Also, the Pagans giving the Title of Gods to the Kings, is deriv'd from the Sacred Writing. Anchialum in Martial, bath reference to it form of Swearing in the Old Testament. The Authors particular resolution of that missau word. The use of the word Horns in Prophane Authors, is borrow'd from the Sacres Stile. Several other Words, Phrases, and Forms of Speech, among the Pagans, and taken thence. There are some footsteps and relicks of the Sacred History in the most remote Countries of the World. Objection against the foregoing Discourse answerd.

Ninthly. HE Heathens had the Names of stein 4. Gods, and the pronunciation of them sometimes, from the Names and Titles of the True God. They feem to have derived fomething from what the Jews practised, concerning the Great Tetragrammaton, which was call'd by them Hashem, the Name emphatically, the Name appropriate to God, the unexpressible Name; for the Jen tell us, that this Name which we read Jebova, was pronounced by the High Priest only, and that but once a year, in the Temple, at the Fed

of the Holy Scriptures. of Propitiation, fo that it was not known by the People how it was pronounced. When they met with it in their Bibles, instead of it they read Adonai, or Elohim. Hence a great many Conjectures have been about the right pronunciation of this Name. It was read Jave or Jahave by the Samaritans, but this is laid aside, and Mercer and Drusius read it Jeheve. Some think that Jehejeh Erit was the word used at first by the Jews, and that afterwards it was corruptly changed into Jeheveh, the Jod being turned into Vau. The true Punctation of the Proper Name יהךה was anciently Jahavoh, faith the (b) Learned Prefacer to the First Volume of Dr. Lightfoot's Works, but he is not pleased to give any Reason for it. Whether Galatinus was the first that read and pronounced it Jehovah I will not here inquire; but this is certain he had it from the Majorites, according to whose Points it is והרה, and without question those Hebrew Criticks had it from the best and ancientest Copies. This was the first and truest reading, and some Mens varying from it hath proceeded from their Belief of the Jewish Conceit and Tradition, that their Fore-Fathers knew not how to read or pronounce the Tetragrammaton. But though it is true they foldom or never spake it, yet this did not proceed from their ignorance of the right pronunciation of it, but from a Superstitious Reverence and Fear of Prophaning that word, by taking it into their Mouths. But the Holy Scripture it felf warrants the Pronouncing of this Name, for in Gen. 22. 14. Abrabam calls the place where he would have Sacrificed lsaac, Jehovah-jireh: now a Place can't be call'd by

its Name, unless the Name be pronounced. So Gideon built an Altar, and call'd it Fehovah-Shalom, Judy. 6. 24. Besides, the Jews themselves, as precise and nice as they are in this matter, compound many Proper Names of it, though with some abbreviating of it, as Jehochanan, Jehonathan: whence it is evident that they knew how to pronounce this Name of God, but from the reverend esteem which they had of it they refus'd to do it. The Rabbies foolifhly pretend Scripture for this, Ifa. 45. 15. Verily thou art a God that hidest thy self, applying these words to the concealing of his Name. And they corrupt another Text to maintain this their Superstitious Fancy and Practice, Exod. 3. 15. This is my Name, le gnolam, for ever: they read it legnalem, to be concealed. Now, I say, to this Practice of the Jews, viz. their obscure and uncertain Pronouncing, or rather their not knowing how to pronounce the Name of the true God, the Heathens feem to refer, when they call him the Unknown, and the (a) Uncertain God, and (b) the God that is not to be named. Socrates exhorted the Athenians, faith Justin Martyr, to the knowledge of the (c) Unknown God, that is, the God of the Jews. The Inscription on the Altar erected at Athens, To the Unknown God, shews that they gave the True God that Name, for the Apostle interprets it of Him, Alls 17. 23. Thence that in Lucian, (d) By the Unknown God in Athens. And Hesychius tells us

that there were a fort of Gods called Equipol, Worshipp'd by these Athenians, and the Feasts kept in Honour of these strange Deities were call'd ท่อรู้เกล; especially the Hebrew God was number'd by them among the strange ones; therefore when the Gentiles were speaking of this God of the Jews, they added, (a) whosever he be: as much as to fay, he and his Name are not known. And in imitation of this, perhaps some of the Pagans would have their Gods to be Unknown. (b) Macrobius acquaints us particularly concerning the People of Rome, that they would have their God, under whose protection the City was, conceal'd; and he pretends this reason for it, because if the Name of their Tutelar God were known, the Enemy would make use of it, and call him out by their Magick Art. But the true reason might be their fond imitating of the Jews (whom in many other things they were wont to follow:) hence they were not to know the Name of the Tutelar God of Rome; he was (c) Unknown, and Unutterable, as Plutarch testifies.

But as the Jews pretended, out of a superstition humour, that the Name And was not unknown to them, when they knew well enough the right pronunciation of it; so the Gentiles, though they called the God of the Jews the Unknown God, and seem'd to be ignorant of his Names, yet it appears that they had some knowledge of them, and that they Intituled their Gods by the Names of the God of the Jews. Which I prove thus; the Tetragrammaton was not unknown to the Chaldeans, as is clear from 164.36.15, 18, 20, where

<sup>(</sup>a) Incerti Judza Dei. Lucan. Pharfal. lib. 2. Incertum Numer, Trebel. Pol. in vità Claudiani. (b) Innominatus deut. In vità Caligula. (c) 'Ayros Deds. Apolog. 1. (d) No. "Ayros v y 'Aprais. In Philopas.

<sup>(4)</sup> oris word &TD erry. Dion. Hift. 1, 36. (b) Satur-

Of the Holy Scriptures.

we read that the Idolatrous Ralfhakeh (who at that time spake in the Jews Language, v. 13.) knew it, and often pronounc'd it. And this Name of God is found among the Grecians also, though altered and corrupted. From (a) Macrobius we learn that 3.10 was the Chief God of all among the Gentiles, for which he quoteth the Oracle of Apollo Clarius.

> Φράζεο τον ωάντων δωατογ θεών Emmer Iaw.

Know this, that the Supream God of all is Jao.

A most Illustrious Attestation to the Holy Writ, and the Great Name of God contain'd therein. Jehovah was corruptly pronounced Jao, and Io was a contract of Jao, as in the Songs and Hymns wherein they Sung Io Paan. This latter word (as (b) one conjectures) is from Panah to look, or behold: and so lo Pagn is as much as Jebovah Penoth, Lord look upon us. For it is probable that lo, J.10, and 'Ia' and 'Isua' (for all these were in use) were abreviatures of Jehovah, as Jah among the Jews was an Abridgment of this, Several of the (c) Ancient Fathers are politive that Jehovab was written by the Greeks, who were not well acquainted with the pronunciation of it, 'Iad. Accordingly, faith Porphyrits the Philosopher, cited by (d) Eusebius, Sanconiathon received the account he gives of the Fews from a Priest of. the God 'Isva. And it is tellified by (e) Diodorus,

(a) Saturnal. I. 1. c. 18. (b) Rous's Archaelog, Attic. I. 2.c 2. (c) Irenam, Clem. Alexandr. Eusebim, &c. (d) Piæp. Evang. h. z. c. s. (e) l. j. c. s.

that Moses receiv'd his Laws from the God that is called 'Iaw. The Mistake arose from the ignorance of the Name Jehovah. Whence it appears that Iad is the same with Jah and Jehovah, and that the former is but a mistaken pronunciation of

these latter. I will add that Plato's τὸ "Ov, came from Moses's od, Exod. 3. 14. according to the Septuagint, and Time I am, according to the Original. And the same Philosopher's To me tov "Ov, "Ovtos ov, Aurody, answer to Jehovah, which is a Name of Existence. Nay, that is more remarkable which we meet

with in (a) Plutarch, who affirms that the Incription on the Temple of Minerva in Egypt was thus, I am all that is, and was, and shall be. Which is a plain reference to God's Name in Exo-44. And he speaks of another Inscription of 1.1 in the Temple at Delphos, which he thus applies to the peculiar Essence and Existence of God, Es is the compleat Appellation of God: in our an-"fwering and speaking to God, we say, Thou art, attributing to him this true, certain, and only Appellation, which agrees to him alone, which is called Being or Existing. And afterwards he expatiaces concerning the uncertain, labile and Max Nature of Man, and all things in Comparison of God, who is most properly said to be, Eternally tilling. This is Ehejeh, & dv, I am, of which Name of the True God these Gentiles had some otice, and that from the Hebrews, and imitated in the Names that they gave to their false Deities.  $Q_3$ Joves,

() Eye લેવો જર્લેંગ ૧૦ ૧૧,૦૦૦, પ્રે દોંગ પ્રે ૯૦૦ લીમાન. DC

The Iruth and Authority Fovis, the old Nominative Case for Jupiter, (35 Priscian faith, and so it is used by Ennius and Varro) is borrowed from this Name Jebovah. Ju piter is no other than Jovis-piter, or Jovis-Pater, Father Jove, or Jehove, and so answers to Jehowah, who hath the Name of Father given him often in Scripture. And it is likely that heretofore some contracted the word Jehovah, and read or pronounced it Jovah, as the Jews propounced Judah for Jehudah. Nay, Jove or Jova, the Abreviature of Jehovah was perhaps used by Mose himself; which I gather from what Josephus faith of the Name Written on the High-Priest's Mitre; viz. That it had four Vowels, and confifted of four Vowels alone: This feems to have been Jour, which confifts of just so many Vowels, though two of them are used as Consonants, unless you will fay they pronounced I and U. as Vowels, thus, joua. We may then reasonably believe that the Name of the Heathens God Jovis came from the corrupt pronouncing or contracting the word Jes hovah, or (which comes nearer to it) Jehovih, for so you will find it Written in Deut. 3. 24. 9. 26. And that Jovis is of Hebrew Original, and derived from the Tetragrammaton, is confess'd by Varro, who thought that Jovis was first of all the

God of the Jews, as (a) St. Augustin quotes him, And though the Pagans alter'd the Name, and made it sometimes exceed, and at other times come short of four Letters, yet this did not extinguish the sense and notion among some of them, that the Original Name was a Tetragrammator, for it is likely that the Pythagoreans Teleautie, the Number (a) Deum Judworum Jovem putavit. De Consent. Ereng,

of the Holy Scriptures. 271 Number Four, by which they used to Swear, (especially they confirmed the most serious Truths with this Oath) was taken from the Jews Tetragrammaim. The Excellent (a) Commentator on Pythagoras's Golden Verses, and particularly on this passage in them, talks at large why God is called Tergantus Quaternarius, so that it seems the Pythagreans applied it to God. Whence a (b) Learned Antiquary of our own concludes, that Tergantus was Jehovah, and he conceives that Pythagoras (who speaks of it in his Verses) had this Mystery of Quaternity from the Hebrews, who had in great

ledge of this Name. Let me fuggest this in the next place, that fince the Name Jehovah was commonly pronounced Adonai by the superstitious Jews, it might hence come to pass that this Adonai, with a very

veneration the Tetragrammaton, the Name of God

of Four Letters. It was easie for this Philosopher,

who convers'd in his Travels (as is acknowledg'd)

with Hebrews and Chaldees, to arrive to the know-

small change, gave the Name to one of the Poetick Gods among the Heathens, viz. Adonis. To confirm which, add what Hesychius saith, that (c) Adonis is as much Lord among the Phanicians. He mistakes the Phanicians for the Hebrews here, as is very usual. Whence then can we with greater probability think that Name was given to a Pagan God, than from its being of so near affinity with Adonai, the Name of the True God among the Jews? Again, Baal-Berith, i. c. the Lord of the Covenant, was the Name of a God or Idol of the Phanicians, Judg. 8. 33. Which feems to be an imitation

<sup>(4)</sup> Hierocl. (b) Selden de Dif. Syr. (c) ASavie, Δισπότης υπό Φοινίκων, Ι. C. κύςι ...

the Hebrew word, that he thought this was

uken from that, odsbarov from ods ; as he ex-

melly faith. Or perhaps this Name Σαβάζιω,

which was given to their false Gods, was taken

imitation of the Title of the True God, who as foon as the Deluge was past (a) made a Covenant with Mankind, and after that we find him (c) Covenanting with Abraham, and afterward (c) with the whole People of the Fers: and frequently in Scripture we see he is making a Covenant with his Servants, fo that he is the true Baal-Berith, the Lord of the Covenant. The Phanicians horrowed this out of the Jewish and Sacred Writings, and applied it to one of their Gods: whence it was propagated to other Nations, and "Ogri@ Fæderator, the Covenanter, was the Title of Jupiter.

Among the Names which the Gentiles give to their Gods, I may reckon Sasa's or Sabaziu, (for it is sometimes Latinised) to be one. That this was the Name of Jupiter especially, you read in Strabo, Valerius Maximus, Apuleius. That it was a Title also given to Bacchus is witnessed by (d) others. In allution to this, the word Sabos is often heard in the Orgia, i. e. the Sacred Rites of Liber, as (e) Plutarch acquaints us. And from (f) Aristophanes we learn that ousoi, and ousdis were words of Acclamation and Rejoycing among the Pagans, at their Great Solemnities, and Festivals. Now this Name feems to be of Hebrew Original, and refers to the Judaick Sabbath, that Sacred Festival in which God was most solemnly Worshipp'd by that Nation: And this Plutarch was fensible of, viz. That there was an affinity between ods (before mention'd, the word used in the Gentile Festivities, especially by those that kept the Bacchanalia) and the Jewish Sabbath; only

from that of the true one; and Jupiter Sabazius is as much as Jehovah Zabaoth, which both (a) Isaiab and (b) Feremiab frequently repeat as the proper Name of God: Jehovah Zabaoth, the Lord of Hosts is his Name. Some have thought the word Tzebauth is placed here by way of Appofinon, as if it should be rendred Dominus Sabaoth, and thence (c) Ferom reckons Sabaoth among the Names of God. But questionless this word is in the Plural Number, & in regimine, and so the me rendering is Lord of Sabarth, i. c. of Hosts. Yea, you will find the Hebrew word retain'd even (d) in the Greek, as if there were fomething more than ordinarily remarkable in the Hebrew. The Pagans, who got the found of this word (as very famous among the Hebrews) took it by it felf for God's Name, and thence (it is likely) framed the word Σαβάζι. This shall suffice in brief for a proof of what I undertook, that the Names of the God of Israel are applied by the Heathens to their Idol-Gods. The Pagans call their Deities by Titles which are given to the True God Jehovah. This makes good what I aim'd at, that the Heathens had these as well as other things from the Sacred Scriptures: and it is certain they could have them from these only; which is a proof of the verity and antiquity of those Holy Writings. Speaking (a) Ch. 48. v. 2, 51. 15. 54. 5. (b) Chap. 10. 16. 31. 35.

<sup>(</sup>a) Gen. 9. 9. (b) Gen. 17. 9. (c) Ex. 34. 27. (d) Air Hophan, in Avib Diodor. Sic. 115. 36. Lucian. Concil. Deor. (e) Sympof. 4. (f) In Vefp.

<sup>50.34. (</sup>c) Epist. 136. ad Marcellam. (d) Lord of Sabaoth, Im. 9. 29. Jam. 5. 4.

The I ruth and Authority Speaking here of the Heathen-Gods, and their Names as borrowed from Scripture, it may met be impertinent to observe, that even the Title Gods, given by the Pagans to their Kings and Prin. ces, was derived from the same inspired Writing I grant that it partly proceeded from their for tish Opinion that they were Gods indeed: But it is as true, that it might be derived to Prophage Writers, from the stile of the Holy Ghost in the Old Testament, where Magistrates are called Gods. Thus in Exod. 22. 8. the Gods and the Rulers of the People are Synonimous. Moses was to Aaron instead of a God, Ex. 4. 16. i. e. according to the Chaldee and Arabick, a Judge or Prince. God himself honours the Rulers of the Sanbedrim with the Title of Gods, Pf. 82. 6. I have said ye are Gods. So in Pfalm 138. Gods in the 1st verse are Kings of the Earth in the 4th. It might be obferv'd that Elohim and Adonai, the usual Names of God himself, are attributed to Great Men in the Sacred Writings. In short, as God is often called King in Scripture, fo Kings are called God, and thence the expression is convey'd to the Pagans, and frequently used by them. Among the Eastern People Melech, Moloch, and Malchand, (for these words are indifferently used) significant both God and King. And perhaps it was in conformity or relation to this Notion, that they commonly inserted the Names of their Gods into those of their Princes, though it might be also as a good Omen, or for Honour's sake. From their Gods, I say, Princes compound their Names, as Belshazar, from Bel: Nebuchadnezzar, Nebucaradan, and Nebonasser, from Nebo an Assyrian God; Evilmerodach, from Merodach a Babylonian God,

and many others. Among the Persians we read

with this Notion. What if I should say that the Luna x borios Salmoves, mentioned by Pythagoras in his Golden Verses, are these Terrestrial Gods I am heaking of; viz. Great Princes, Celebrated Hemes, Wise Rulers, Divine Men, or Earthly Deilies? Plato tells us in his Politicks that a Good King is (a) like some God chosen out from amongs Men. Princes and Commanders are stiled by Homer Angeres and Aorgeoes, born and bred of the Gods. But especially among the Romans this fort of language was common. Rome was no less than Heaven, and the Emperour was God. 'O leds Kelioup is apply'd to the first  $C \alpha f ar$  by (b) Strabo. The next (viz. Augustus) is called Deus Casar by Propertius. Edictum Domini Deiq; nostri, is Martial's Language. At Rome the Royal Palace was reputed Temple: the Mount Palatine was Sacred and Venerable, because the Emperour's Seat was there. (f) The Soveraignty of Magistrates approaches next to the Majesty of Heaven, saith the Grave and Renowned Oratour. And with him agrees the Pithy Moralist, who tells us that (d) the People are to look upon their Governours under no other Character, than as if the Gods were come down to visit them. We may fay here, as the Philosopher in another Case, & bic Dit sunt, there is a kind of Divinity in Rulers, they are Earthly (\*) O Tou Heggar Zeus. Dionyl. Long. megi whas. (a) Olov beds it divbedmun. (b) Lib. 4. (c) Magistramin potestas proxime ad Deorum Immortalium numen accedit no Rabirio. (d) Non alio nomine populus Restorem sum metur quam fi Dii Immortales porestatem visendi ini fa-

of the Holy Scriptures.

ours: Thus Xerxes was stiled the(\*)Persian Jupiter.

One of the Antiochus's had the Sirname of Oeds.

We shall find likewise that other Nations complied

mat. Senec. de Clement. 1. 1.

Earthly Numen's, they are Created and visible Deities: And being so stil'd first of all in Scripture, the Title hath come down to the Pagan World, but hath been infinitely abused.

Having taken notice of feveral References in Prophane Authors, to express Passages and Usages Recorded in the Old Testament, I will here fuper-add one which I meet with in Martial's Epigrams: And I will the rather infift upon it, because the place is obscure, and hath yielded matter of great Controversie among the Learned. The Epigrammatist writes to a Jew, and tells him he will not credit what he faith, though he Swears by the Temple of Jupiter, or of any other Deity: Wherefore he puts him upon Swearing by Anchialus.

(a) Ecce negas, jurá que mihi per templa Tonantis:

Non credo; jura, Verpe, per Anchialum.

There have been great disputes about this Anchialus, some thinking it to be Sardanapalus's Statue crected in Anchiala, a City of Cilicia, and there Worshipp'd: Of this Opinion is Dom. Calderinus: Some taking it for a Man or a Boy, fome for a City or Town, and others for a Beaft, as Vossius the Elder conceits it refers to the Jews Worshipping an Ass, because dysder is Asimus. But he might as well have understood by this word a Horse, or a Man that carries Burthens, for that is the import of dy signe, or rather ay sago. Besides, Angarius and Anchialus are too different in found to be thought to be the same: Wherefore I disinis this. The rest of the Modern Criticks agree in this, that the Poet

of the Holy Scriptures. 237 foet directing this Epigram to a Few, refers to omething in use among that People, and particularly fomething mention'd in their Bible (for that would make the Jest the more biting, as he imagined) viz. The Form of Swearing by the True God which is used there. But these Authors differ about the Form. (a) Joseph Scaliger denives it from the Hebrew Fountain אכם חי אלה Le. si vivit Deus, which was a form of Swearing with the Hebrews: hence, faith he, Martial was mistaken, and thought they swore by Andialus, whereas the Oath was Am chi alab, i. e. If God liveth. Our Learned Farnaby likes this Criticism very well, and hath inferted it into his Notes on this place. But by the leave of fo Great a Critick, there is I conceive fomething

fulty in it: For though I am most willing to

grant, that there is in this place a reference to

the Form of Swearing which was used by the

Jens in the Old Testament; yet I am not for-

ward to affent to this interpretation of the

word Anchialum, which this Noble Philologist pre-

fints us with, and that for these Reatons; first it is

not Am but An that must answer to the begin-

ing of the word Archialum. However, this may

be born with, being an easie change of a Letter.

secondly, there is no fuch Hebrew Word as am.

There is im fi, but then it should be Imebialum,

of Anchialum. Thirdly, Ala is not an usual

word for God among the Jews, because it is an

Arabick, not an Hebrew word, and 'twas never

made use of in that Nation, and 'tis not once

mention'd in the Holy Bible, wherefore I can't be-

For

(a) In Verpum, lib. 11. Epigr. 94.

leve they folemnly Swore by it.

<sup>(</sup>a) De Emendat. Temp. in Prolegom.

For the same Reason I am apt to reject the other folution of this place in Martial, which very (a) Excellent and Choice Writer hath of fered. Anchidlum or Anchialon, faith he, is conposed of these three words [An] non, [Char vivit (and without the Vowel under it Ch, and perhaps they might vulgarly pronounce it fo) and Egmilion or [alon] deus: For this (faith he) is an Oath of a Jew who denies the Crime which he is accus'd of, he Swears thus, An chi alon, i.e Non: vivit deus. But I cannoton due Confiders tion, think that this is a true account of this Passage; for first Alon was not a Name in use (nay perhaps not known) among the Jews. This Author indeed faith it may be gathered out of Plautus, that God's Name was pronounced Along but we are not to confider what pronunciation the Name had among other People, i. e. Foreign ers; but what was in constant use among the fewish People: for the Poet refers here to that Wherefore there being no fuch Name among them, it could not be used by them in an Oath and consequently it is not here meant, when Mar tial is jesting with the Circumcised Poet. And as for the word Eljon, which 'tis true is often uled in Scripture, and of which this Alon feems to be a corruption, it is an Epithet rather than a Name of God, and therefore was not (it is likely) put into a Formal Oath. Again, the word an, which this Author makes one of the ingredients d this word which the Poet useth, hath no such lignification as he pretends it hath. Indeed ajing and the contraction of it in is non, but an hath no other fignification but ubi, or quorsum, or quois

of the Holy Scriptures. as any Man may fatisfie himfelf, by confultthe places where it occurs. but another (a) Person of infinite Literature (who is of Opinion that it is an Oath, and a Jewish (at that is here meant) tells us, that per Anchialum i. e. ulcifcatur, i. e. ulcifcatur iqui vivit in aternum: For we read, faith he, the Chi olam is one of Gods Great Names, Dan. 31. and we read also of Swearing by this Name, Den. 12. 17. wherefore Martial's Verse should hve been written thus,

Non credo: jura, verpe, iperan Chi olam.

le Let him who lives for ever (viz. God) take rageance on me, viz. if I forswear my self. This ia Criticism worthy of so Learned an Antiquary. kt I have something considerable to object against is as first this iperang which he here brings in is ingether redundant, for we read not that they this word in Swearing, therefore there was preason to insert it here, and to make it part the form of a Jewish Oath. Moreover, Chi Mem is mistaken for Chi gnolma in Dan. 4. 31. wich will not come into the Verse. But chiefly lacke bold to dissent from this Worthy Person's opinion, because I think I have an easier and wher to propound.

That which I offer is this, that this word Ancontains in it these three words, an, chi, delabim. The word an is an abreviature of which is an usual Interjection, sometimes malated now, Pfal. 118, 25, and fometimes ob, exclamatory Syllable,) Ex. 32. 31. yea, in all

(1) Riden de Jur. Hared, Hebr.

wherefore it is no wonder that it is used if of the same signification in the beginning of whence perhaps the Greek word vas and Latin n (both used in Oaths) are derived. This I have faid to shew the fitness of this first word in the

words which are used in the Old Testament who Tongue, thought it was all but one word or Name. they Swore, as in 1 Sam. 2. 27. 1 Kings 17. 12 Our Witty Poet who was not skill'd in the He-18. 10. Chai Elohim, God liveth: but we rende brew, was guilty of the same mistake, and put the it [as God liveth] and perhaps very fignificantly you upon Swearing by Anchialum, which was a because an Oath is generally express'd by a desective misunderstanding of An chi Elobim: which words Speech: fome word is left out, and our Trant when they were pronounced fast and indistinctly, flators supply it. This we are certain of, that feem'd unto those who were not skill'd in the is usual in the Old Testament to Swear by God Hebrew Tongue to found like Anchialum. There-Life, and in these very terms, The Lord live fore Martial saith, Jura, verpe, per Anchialum, God liveth. Yea, God himself Swears by his Life ite. per an chi Eloim, or with an ufual Synalæpha, Am. 6. 8. As I live, faith the Lord. Thence Go An ch' Eloim, which likewise is an instance of in the Old Testament is called the Living Gel what I afferted before, that Transpositions, Ab-Which Epithet is so commonly given him, as breviatures, and Corruptions of words are very was without doubt so frequently used by the soul, and that their right Terminations are laid Jews, that it came by that means to be well know and very often. Or, perhaps the Name of to the Gentiles, which I should guess gave rise which is also the usual Name of God in the Old

Old Testament,) is here intended: For that also was pfed in Oaths, as appears from Job 27, 2, Chai El. As God livetb. And without doubt it was used by the Jews, as well as Eloah and Elohim, in Swearing: yea, some Hebritians have thought that these words are derived from the Verb Alab juravit, hecause they are used in Swearing. An chi El, Verily God liveth, was a common form of an Oath, no less than an chi Elohim, and thence the ignorant Hearers among the Pagans thought that the Name of the Jews God was Anchiel, or Anchial: And Martial here having occasion to use it in the Accusative Case, adds the usual termination to it, and makes it Anchialum. Swear to me, faith he to his Brother Poet, who was a Jan, by a Jewish Oath, Swear by the most solemn and Sacred Oath that you have in use a mong you, and that is, (as I have heard) Anchial or Anchiel, which is no other then An chi El, Verily God liveth. With great deference and respect to the judgments of the foresaid Learned Criticks, I propound either of these to be the fairest and easiest solution of that controverted place of Martial. It is not Chi Alab, nor Chi Alon, nor Chi gnolam, but Chi Elohim, or Chi El (take which you please) that is referred to here by the Poet: for these are the very words used in Scripture, and we read that one of them especially is the express form of Swearing among the Hebrews. Which is the thing I alledged this passage for, viz. To let you see how Pagan Wilters have frequent references to the Book of God, and particularly the Name of the True God, and to the Customs and Usages there spoken of, and thereby do in some measure give testion mony to the Truth and Reality of those Writings. I would

of the Holy Scriptures. 243 I would offer to the Learned another Notion in profecution of the Subject I have been fo long upon. I am of the Opinion that from the frequent mention of Horns in the Old Testament, the Heathens borrow'd the like expression, and apply'd it in that very sense in which 'tis used in those Holy Writings. The Hebrew Keren (whence the Greek xigas, the Latin Cornu, and the German and English Horn,) signifies Might, Strength, Forquide, as also Joy, Safety, Prosperity; whence you read of the Horn of Salvation, 2 Sam. 22. 3. Mal. 18. 2. and the exalting, lifting up, and setting n the Horn, 1 Sam. 2. 1. Pl. 75. 4, 10. Pl. 89. 17. 112. 9. Lam. 2. 17. Zach. 1. 21. On the conmary, cutting off the Horn, fignifies debasing, degrading, a mournful, unfafe, afflicted Condition, is clear from Pf. 75, 10. Jer. 48. 25. Lam. 2. 3. And defiling the Horn, is of the fame import, 16. 5. From the signification of the Verb man, we may be partly confirmed in this fense of the Noun Keven, for tis said of Moses's Face tet it shone, Ex. 34. 29. it was very Bright and Glorious. The vulgar Latin renders it, it was Horn'd, and thence (was faid before) Mofes is fally Pictured with Horns. But we must unarkand it spoken Metaphorically, viz. of those lays or Beams of Light which darted from his ce, and which were as 'twere Horns of Light. in Hab. 3. 4. by Horns is meant Brightness or light, and it is so expresly interpreted in that refle. The Radiency, the Splendour of Moses's ace was very great, and is rightly called by the Apostle, the Glory of his Countenance, 2 Cor. 3. 7. that hence we may gather that the word imports Outward Glory. And as this word Keren Ignifics more generally Power, Grandeur, Out- $\mathbb{R}_{2}$ 

ward

ward Glory, and Prosperity, so it more particularly denotes Kingly Power, Soveraign Dominion and Empire, the Greatness and Splendor of Crowned Heads. (Whence, by the way, I propound it as probable, that from the Eastern words Karan and Reren are derived the Greek wifgar . Dominu, Imperator, and the Latin Corona.) Thus Horn is applied in 1 Sam. 2. 10. He shall give strength unto bis King, and exalt the Horn of his Annointed And in the Pfalms you will find that this word hath particular reference to David as King, Pf. 89. 24. 91. 10. So in Pf. 132. 17. 'tis spoken of him as the Lord's Annointed, and 'tis joyn'd with a Crown in the next verle. In the Book of Daniel this Language is very common, in the 7th and 8th Chapters a Horn and Horns fignific Princely Dominion, and the Persons that exercis'd it: and in the latter of these Chapters those two Hand Beafts, a Ram, and a Goat, are Representatives of Kings, and Kingdoms. It is in express words said in two places, Horns are Kings, Dan. 7. 24. 8.7. Now, from this particular stile and idiom of the Ancient Holy Book of the Scriptures, the Heather Writers learnt to speak after the same manner. Not only in a general way was the word Hore psed by some of their Authors, to (a) express Vigour, Spirit, Strength, and Power, but more especially and signally they make use of it to fignifie Supream Power and Dignity, fuch as that of their Gods, and of their Kings. Thus (b) Corniger was the Epithet of Jupiter Hammon, and we may inform our felves from feveral Writers that

(a) Tunc pauper cornua sumit. Herat. (b) Sitt Corniger illu Jupiter, Lucan. 1. 9.

that he was commonly pictured with Horns: which had its rife, I conceive, from the like representation of Great Ones in the Old Testament, s von have heard. I know other Reasons are alledg'd, as that of Servius, who thinks this Jupito had that Title, and was represented Horned because of his Winding Oracles, because his Answers had as many crooked Turnings as a Ram's Horn. Macrobius, and some others tell us, that this Hammon, was no other than the Sun, whose Beams are Cornute, whose Rays are in the fashion of Horns. If the Moon had been meant, then I confess, the Epithet of Horned had been very Natural: But I don't think, that the Metaphorical Horns of the Sun (which are its Rays) were thought of here by the Antients. Wherefore, I look upon these as mean and trisling Reasons. But the true occasion, if I mistake not, of their describing Jupiter Hammon with Horns, and of representing other Gods, as Pan and Bacchus, after the same manner, was this, that they complied with the Stile of the Sacred Writings, (as was an usual thing with them) which fet forth Great Power, Magnificence and Glory, especially Kingly Power and Greatness, by the expression of Horns. This fuited well with their Gods, who were Great Folks, and generally Deified Kings. We read, that a Ram and a Goat are Symbols of Regal Strength, in the Prophetick Writings; in imitation of which, it is probable, Jupiter Hammon was worshipp'd in Africk, in the shape of an Image which had partly the proportions of a Ram, and partly of a Goat. And from the same Original, (viz. the Holy Scriptures) it was, that Antiently the Pagan Kings and Monarchs were represented and stiled Horned, as we may fatisfie our selves from several Authors.

It is well known that Alexander the Great was Bexander is called Dulcarnain, in the Alcoran by called Ainisaio, bicornis: of which some give this Mabomet, which is equivalent to dialgato, for Reason, because (say they) of the amplitude of that I suppose to be the meaning of that Eastern his Empire, which was extended to both the word: And 'till some others give a better Inextream Horns of the World, East and West, expretation of Chaucer's [at Dulkernoon] I pre-Others fay, he would have been thought to be some to fay it signifies as much as to be in a the Son of Jupiter Hammon, who was Cornute, maze, to be at ones wits end, to be dilemma'd, why this Great Conquerour had the denomination of Inigato, but they feem to be far fetched, count of it, which I take to be this, viz. That this Title was derived to the Gentiles from the frequent Language and Phraseology of the Old Testament, which expresses Kingly Power by Horns, and more especially from the Prophecy of Daniel, where the Grecian Monarchy is deferibed by a He Goat, an Horn'd Animal, and the first King of that third Monarchy, viz. Alexander the Great, is fignified by Keren Chazuth, a Notable Horn, Dan. 8. 5. a Great and Visible Horn, as the Hebrew word properly fignifies: And again, he is call'd in the same Chapter the Great Horn, v. 21. All Interpreters agree in this, that Alexander the Great is meant here, although they differ in expounding other parts of the Chapter. Hence this Mighty Monarch would in his Pictures and Coins be useasphos represented as Horn'd, yea, his choice Horse, which he most prized, is known by this Character. And from this Great Man his Successors learnt to stamp their Coine with Horned Images and Impressions. Hence

and accordingly they drew Alexander so. And to be push'd at on one side and the other, as there are other Reasons assign'd by (a) Authors, twere with a double Horn. So much for that Name given to that Great Monarch, of which many Writers have disputed, and I have made and not to give us the true and genuine act bold to put in among the rest, and to offer my apprehensions concerning that Epithet. refer it to the Old Testament, which was not mknown to some of the wisest of the Gentiles, who thence borrow'd many Words and Phrases, and more Customs and Practices. Hence Horns tame to be fignificative of Kingly Greatness and Power. Hence it was a Custom among the Persians to wear a (a) Rams Head of Gold for a Diadem. Hence Attila, King of Hunns, was ponttray'd with Horns, as is to be feen in Andent Medals. And that Horns were a Badge of Regality and Dominion, is clear from what we read in (b) Valerius Maximus, viz. That when on a findden Horns were feen to appear on the head of Genitius Cippus, as he was going out at the door, the Response was, that he should be King, if he return'd into the City. I have now almost finish'd my Task, I mean, bfar as it respects the Old Testament. Let me only add this after all, That many things in Homer, Euripides, Sophocles, Theognis, &c. may

Alexander

<sup>(</sup>a) Scaliger de emendat, Temp. Hottinger, L'Empereur.

<sup>(</sup>a) Ammian. Marcellin. 1. 19. (b) Lib. 5.

the forms of Speech, the particular Phrases and

proverbial Sayings, which had their first rife

imong the Hebrews, are the very fame. This is

excellently shewed by the Learned Hugh Grotius in

his Annotations, and it plainly discovers whence the

Pagan Writers had those things. Some of the

Prophane Poets, borrow'd their strain of Love-

Songs and Epithalamiums, from Solomon's Canticle:

Especially Theocritus, (as (a) Sanctius hath observed),

from whom the rest learnt that way of Verse, hath

not a few passages in his Idyllia, expresly taken out of that Sacred Song. And in that Dialogue of

Plato, which he entitles Symposium or his Eroticks,

there are feveral things, which you would guess are allusions to Solomon's Love-Dialogue, or Epitha-

lamium. And to heap up feveral particulars toge-

ther, it was faid by Solon in his Discourse with Crasus, (as both Herodotus, and Diogenes Laertius

report) that the (b) Term of Mans Life, is three-

score years and ten, as if he had had it from the Pen of the Holy Psalmist, Psal. 90. 10. The Acclama-

tion or Shout which was used among the Heathens

in War, when there was an occasion of Joy and

Thanksgiving, was (c) 'FAEAED'; which you may ea-

fily conceive was a corruption of Allelujah. Some

(d) Chapters and (e) Pfalms of the Old Testament,

are disposed in an Alphabetical Order; which gave

rife to that fort of Verses, call'd Acrosticks: Such

are the Arguments of Plautus's Comedies, and the

Elogium,

not only be reduced to, but seem to be borrow'd from David's Pfalms, Solomon's Proverbs, the Book of Wisdom, and Ecclesiasticus, (which are both an imitation of these) and other parts, both of the Canonical and Apocriphal Writings. This hath

been partly shew'd by (a) some of late, but might be carried on much further. I do not think every Saying that is like another in Scripture, was taken thence. That of the Apostle, 1 Cor. 2. 9. (which he takes from Isai. 64. 4.) Eye hath not seen, nor Ear heard, neither hath it enter'd into the Heart of Man, is very like that passage in Empedocles,

'Ουτ' επιδερκταί τα δ'ι ανδράσιν, ετ' επακυσά, Ουτε νόω πηρίληπτα.

but no Man can think there was any reference to it. I do not say, that Lucretsus's

Cedit item retro, de terra quod fuit ante, In terras: Et quod missum est Ætheris oris, &c.

was copied out of Solomon, Eccles. 12. 7. Toen Shall the dust return to the Earth as it was; and the Spirit shall return unto God who gave it. I know many Sentences may happen to be alike, yea the fame in Sacred and Prophane Writers: The Moral Subject they Treat upon might afford the like matter and words fometimes; but in comparing the Hagiographa, and those Writings, you will find, that that there is more than this; the Genius

(4) In Cantic. Solom. (b) "Ogov at Ogenive Bie onoiv ETH вобринковти. Herodot. 1. 1. с. 32. Lacrt. in Solone. (c) Afchil. in Prometh. (d) Prov. 31. and the four first Chapters of the Lamentation. (e) Psalms 25. 34, 37, 111, 112, 119, 145.

<sup>(</sup>a) Mr. Gataker in Antovin. Dr. Duport in Homer.

Fancy, was borrowed from the Holy Writing, which were Endicted by the Sacred Spirit. And here, when I am speaking of the Pagans borrowing from the Hebrews, I might even observe to you, that the very Greek Alphabet is taken from them;

which the Grecians themselves in part confess, for they say they had their Letters from the Phanisis ans, who were near Neighbours to the Hebrews, and who indeed are usually mistaken for these,

I will add in the last place, that the Old Testa. ment, hath left some remains of it, in most remote Countries of the World, as China, India, America, as our Modern Travellers will inform us. In all these parts, there are evident and apparent footsteps of the History of the Bible. Mastinius in his History of China acquaints us, that the Chinefes have Records concerning the Universal Flood, and that there are among that People several Memorials of the Old Patriarchs; and accordingly (a) one hath given us a brief account out of him of Cain, Enoch, and Noah. That in India, the footsteps of Mosaick Doctrine remain among the Brachmans, is proved by (b) Huetius. The highoft Mountain of Zeilan, an Isle in the East-Indies, is call'd by the Inhabitants (c) Adam's Top, and there is Adam's Cave, where he lamented himself after his Fall. The Ceremony of putting their Hands under one another's Thighs, when they folemnly Swear to one another, of which we read

of the Holy Scriptures.

will find also in Tully. This piece of Wit and of the Indians at this day. The Americans, faith Anosta, have Traditions of the Deluge, and make mention of it in their Discourses: And Huetius beweth, that feveral Rites and Laws of Moses are offerved by them. The Antient Patriarchs left

behind them, remembrances of their Actions, even is these places; their Memory is still preserv'd and retained in many Names, Customs, and Practices, that are among them. The Name Joseph is often found there, and Hallelujah is used in their Songs, 8 (b) Hornius observes. The People of Peru re-

port, (c) that all their Earth was overwhelm'd with waters, and lay cover'd with them a long time, that Men and Women perished, excepting only a few, that betook themselves to some Vessel's of wood, and so preserv'd themselves. Those of Mexico tell (d) that there were five Suns heretofore, that gave light to the World, and that the first and oldest of them perished in the waters, and at the same time, the Men that were upon the Earth were drowned, and all things were destroyd. And feveral other fuch passages, the Inhabitants of the New-found-Land, received from their forefathers, some of whom perhaps were Jews, for (e) Manasseh Ben Israel thinks the Ten

Tribes who were carried Captive, came into the West-Indies, (as well as into some parts of China and Tartary) and there have left footsteps of old Judaism. But whether these were Relicks, or only Apeings of it, I will not stand to dispute.

Thus

<sup>(</sup>a) Horn. Arca Now. (b) Demonft, Evang. Prop. 4. (c) Urfin. Analect. lib. 4.

<sup>(</sup>a) Avenarius in verbo Jarek. (b) De Orig. Americ. (e) Aug. Cara. (d) Lup. Goma. (e) Spes Braelis.

Comes

Thus I have abundantly made good, that the Heathens borrowed from Scripture and Inspired Men. Their Priests took their Religious Ceremonies, yea their very Gods: their Poets took their Fables; their Historians, their more serious Narratives; their Philosophers, their Notions and Opinions; their Common People, their Words and Phrases, their Usages and Customs, from the Writings of the Old Testament, and the Doctrine, Rites, and Practices of the Jews therein Recorded. So that it is evident, that Pagans bear Testimony to the Contents of the Old Testament, and that Prophane Writers attest the Truth and Authority of those Sacred Writings.

If any Object, that I have shewed my self arbitrary and lavish, in some of the Derivations of Words, which I have offered, and that there is not sufficient ground for the Etymological part of my Discourse; I briefly Answer, I have purposely and industriously all along, taken care to avoid this imputation. For I have sometimes taken notice of, and been ashamed of the great Extravagancy of some Writers in this very point. Thus Calepine derives Canis à Canendo, as if Barking and Singing were the same thing. (a) One derives Scribo from vedow, and labours to make it out. Such an Extravagant Etymologizer is Avenarius in his Hebrew Lexicon, who fetches Bannavis from Mashal dominatus est, and signer from Tsaniph, and Scorpio from Gnacrab, which is the Hebrew Name of that Animal. Yea, he deduces Turk from Kedar, by a Metathesis. And Monsieur Bochart is not far behind him, for he is oftentimes very.

(a) Herm. Hugo de Scribendi Origine.

of the Holy Scriptures. 253 very bold and prefuming in his Etymologies, he making it his butiness to fetch all from the Phanidan Tongue; which to accomplish, he makes any thing out of any thing. I have not ventured to Etymologize after the rate of these Men (though they are all of them very Learned Heads), but I have with fingular care, throughout my whole undertaking, endeavour'd to preserve the Honour of Grammar and Criticisin, which so many have violated; and not to put off the Reader with far fetch'd Derivations of Words and Names, without observing the due Laws of deducing and forming them. I have never prefumed to derive one word from another, where there was not a fair Grammatical Analogy between them, and some agreement in their found, and fome confiderable probability of their being nearly allied to one another. In the next place, if any Object, that I have ga-

thered many things from the mere found and likeness of words, which is an uncertain and Arbitrary thing, and there is no conclusion to be made thence; I Answer, it is true, the sole Affinity of words is no firm and undeniable Argument of their Origination. The fignifications of words in different Languages, may sometimes be coincident, yet we are not certain thence of their Derivation. This I am most ready to grant; nay farther, that it is folly to derive one word from another, meerly because of the likeness of them; as if, because the Pentateuch is divided into Paras, therefore we must derive Parishes from thence, they being such a part of a City or Town fet out, as divided and separated from the rest: You may as well derive Montgomery from Gomer, and say it is the Montanous Country where Gomer lived. Who thinks, that the English word Evil,

tenuis, macer, gracilis fuit; or to make a bad on in English, to have affinity with Abaddon. It would be yet more intolerably ridiculous, and might be look'd upon as a School-Boy's pun, to derive a High-Man, from one of the three Giants call'd Ahiman. Wherefore, I do not contend, that all accidental likenesses in words, are a foundation to ground Etymologies and Derivations upon, I know some are very foolish and trisling here; they find fuch and fuch words in different Tongues, agreeing in found, and thence they infer they are akin, if they can but make out any kind of resemblance in their fignification. If the Hebrew word bad, (which hath many fignifications) had one like the English (bad), they would presently say, that this came from that. If Siccus had been of the same fignification with Agrotus, we should have faid the English word Sick was thence. If suis had fignified any thing like Calum, or Ether, we should have derived Skie thence. If phyruju had been as much as imperare, gubernare, some would conclude regnum to be derived from it. And ally agree; few or none deny, or fo much as feveral other words I could instance in, which you shall find in another place. I grant then, that there is a great deal of uncertainty in Etymologies, and we are not to lay any huge stress upon them. But though this be true, yet where we find there is agreat probability that words are related to one another, where there is good ground for it, we are to take notice of it. Though there be in Goropius Bichgun and some others before mention'd, many frivology Etymologies, and fanciful Derivations, yet this hath not made Wise Men disregard the Alliance and

Cognation

of the Holy Scriptures. comes from the Hebrew, Evil, a Fool? It would Cognation which are between words, especially bebe ridiculously quibbling, to fetch the Proverbial meen the Hebrew and other words. Thus it is Saying, As lean as a Rake, from the Hebrew, Ralas nost probable, that the following Greek, Latin, togifh, and French ones, are derived from the Hebrew.

> Mushgior, Myste- 1 Greek. Mister, idem. rium. CUro. Ur, ignis. Lat. Mensura. Mesurah, idem. ¿Gibbofus. Gibben, idem. Fig. Fag, ficus. Dumb, Dum, filuit, obmutuit. Cable. Chebel, funis. French, Haraller, and Haras, diruit, English, Harasse. destruxit.

I cannot peremptorily aver, that these are of Hebrew Original, but no Man alive is able postively to affert the contrary. Yea, there are many words in the Derivation, of which all genewould, that the Latin Gubernare, and the English • Govern, are from the Greek \*visegvav, and all of ten from the Hebrew Gabar, Gubernavit, vicit: Imer, from Turis, and both from Tur (Syriak) to fame: Camel, and Camelus, and κάμπλ@ from unal, the same: Tornace, to Turn, from rogvar, ad that from Tor, ordo, curfus: Vinum, Wine, from Jajin, the same. And it is granted by that ding, Saccus, a Sack, come from the lather (Sak) of the fame fignification. And fignifies the fame in all Languages, and therefore

of the Holy Scriptures. is strain'd and forc'd: The Derivation of those

words which I had occasion to look into in this

Discourse is very plain and obvious, and such as

therefore it can't be denied that the Moden ones had it from the Learned ones, and that the Ancientest among these, which is the Hebren, communicated it to the reft. Who questions who ther these English and Latin words come from the Greek? Viz.

any unprejudic'd Man will not boggle at, as Thoth and Bau, Erebus, Holar, Python,

Tohu and Bohu.

Comere, to Comb, Discus, a Dish. Pix, Pitch. Anchora, Anchour. Linum, a Line, Linnen, ▶ from < Chorda, Chord, Pana, Pain, Tumba, a Tomb,

Hora, an Hour,

Lampas, a Lamp.

Strangulare, to Strangle,

પ્રભૂવર્થે જ. Sion@. σίσα. dywiga.

λίνον.

xogsú.

wolvh.

હેં લ્ય-

πμβூ.

λάμπας.

seay Tangy.

Βαίθυλοι, Iphigenia,

Belus,

lapetus,

\*Arexec.

Jerombaal, lobatas,

Hamon,

lad, & Ieud.

Adonis, Anchialum,

ELELEV,

Jovis.

Ereb.

Japhet,

Pathan.

Anakim.

Bel, or Baal.

Bethel. (genia.

Iphthigenia, or Fepthi-

Jerubbaal.

Joub. Ham.

Fah, and Jehovah.

Tebovab.

Adonai.

An chi Elohim. Allelujah.

And many other words there are whose derivation is plain and easie, and therefore is most readily acknowledged. There is reason then why we should enquire into the Original of words, and track them to their fountain head. And this is that which I have done in the fore-going Enterprize: where there was a great likelyhood that the Greek or Latin were derived from the Hebrew, I took notice of it, and improved it to my purpose. I have not offer'd any thing that

I appeal

I appeal to any Impartial Critick, whether there be not ground for these Derivations. They are Natural, plain, and case, and the main fubstantial Radical Letters on both sides are preserv'd: besides, there were always Concurrent Circumstances to determine me to believe this to be the true Origination, as that the Matter spoken of was alike, that the Gentiles had notice of these things or Persons. from the Jews, and particularly that they had made many of their Gods from Famous Men, and that those Hebrew Persons, whom we mention'd, were some of the most Famons in the whole World, and other things occurred to me of the like nature.

And as for Bacchus and Noachus, or Bacch and Noach, though I am not very earnest in preffing the affinity between them, yet those who confider what a number of words is changed and corrupted by time, will not wonder that fome Learned Writers have thought those words to be the same Originally. There are many Greek and Latin words which might be produced, wherein one or more Letters are put for others, and fuch alterations are made, that the words have lost their native found, and feen to be quite other words. I could render this the more credible, by instancing in many words in our own and other Modern Languages, which are corrupted in common Discourse, and are much unlike the words from whence they are derived: And yet we readily acknowledge

of the Holy Scriptures. ledge that they are Corruptions of such and such words. And if there be these alterations in the same Tongue, you may imagine how much more it is in the transferring of words into other Tongues: You may conceive what a thange of Letters and Syllables, what Transpostions, or Contractions, besides the altering of the Terminations, there must be to make an Oriental word become an European one.

That Iphigenia should be as much as Fephingsnia, that Jova should be put for Jehodiah, that Vuican should be from Tubal-Cain; and Anchia um from An chi Elohim, is no marvel at all, if you consider how common an Apharesis and Syncope, i. c. the taking away a Letter or Sylable from the beginning or middle of a word,

In my reading, and observation, I have met with these in the Eastern Tongues,

Ben-ammi, Gen. 19. 38. Ammon. Hoshea, Jehoshua, Numb. 13. 16. Job, Jashub. Gen. 46. 13. Num. 26. 24. Jezer, Abiezer, Num. 26. 30. 30s. 17.2. Jemini, Benjemini, 2 Sam. 20. 1. Ram, Aram, 1 Chron. 2. 9. Mat. 1. 3. Dumah, Edumah, or Edom. Ifai. 21.11. Coniah, Jeconiah, Jer. 22. 24. Siris, Ofiris. Apis, Serapis. Abelion. Selden de Dif. Syris. Belinus. Hamet, Muhamet. Mummy j This being the Herb Amomum. which they mingle with other Spices for Embalming.

So in the Greek, νωθυνία is put for ανωθυνία indelentia: νώνυμ for ανώνυμ nomine carens: σκαλαβώτης and καλαβώτης for ασκαλαβώτης stellio; αμαίως,

ப்பிடு, for ப்ப்பமிடு arena. In the Latin likewife, Amarum comes from the Hebrew Marar, or Marah, amarus fuit. Nomen comes from the Greek ὄνομα; Tego from τέρω, fallo from ndha, Syria, from Affyria, (so called from Affur the Son of Shem,) Natolia, from Anatolia, (from 'Manail, the East) the Name which Geographers gave to Asia the Less. In Plantus you read of Conia, for Ciconia, Rabo, for arrhabo. And in the Latin Italianized, Puglia, from Apulia, a Country in Italy; Rimini, from Ariminum, a City in the same place. And in the French, perhaps Galliard, a Dance, is derived from ajanlav exfultare, and Gallant, from and discher, ornare, the fish Letter being cut off. In our own Tongue alo I have observed many words, of which I have given you an account in the end of this Work; because I will not stay to interrupt you now. And all this I have done for the fake of the Objectors, to let them see there is good reaon for the foregoing attempt, and that it was ot unworthy of our Task to regard the found of words, and to take notice of their likeness one another, and to observe what Alterations ad Corruptions they have undergone, and therely to arrive at the first and Ancient signification of them (though it be something difficult to do b, because when words are abbreviated, or oherwise alter'd, 'tis not easie to tell what they ue, and whence they came;) which thing I we have attained in part, in our indeavours oprove that the Heathens borrow'd the Names I their Gods from the Holy Scripture, and that

Of the Holy Scriptures.

ther Pagan words are of the fame Original.

Another Objection or Cavil is, that as I have shew'd a great deal of Arbitrariness in words and in the derivation of them, fo I have shew no less in the Things and Matters which I have been treating of. Many of them are founded on meer imagination, and are altogether precarious. In answer to this, I must needs say, there are some who in this Theme shew themselves too Curious, and Fanciful, they stretch things too far, and what they affert hath no other bot tom than their own bold imagination. The Fathers are not altogether to be excus'd in this matter. Those that have impartially perusid Clement of Alexandria's Stromata, Justin Martyri Exhortatory Orations to the Gentiles, Eufe, bins's Evangelical Preparation, and some other Writings of the Ancients, cannot but observe that they are fomething extravagant in the kind; and they have a conceit that feveral Verof the Heathen Authors, are taken out of the Bible, where there is little or no ground to be lieve any fuch thing. Some Persons fancy every thing to be borrowed from Scripture, these Men would vouch that the Story of Romulus and Remus's being cast into Tiber in a Basket of Osiers, and Faustulus's finding them, and bringing them to his Wife, who nourish'd them, refers to Mefes's being exposed in an Ark of Bull-rushes, and taken up and Educated by Pharaob's Daughter. Had Orpheus's going to Hell been after Christs time, they would have faid it referr'd to Christs Descending into Hell. I am as forward to blame fuch Men as the Objectors are, and it never entred into my thoughts, that every thing

which hath a Resemblance to what we meet with in scripture is therefore taken from it. But this must not prejudice fober enquiry, and true Improvement of this Notion which I offer. Because some foolishly think that all or most of the passages among the Poets relate to the Bible, shall we say therefore none were taken thence? Because some things are made out by meer invention and wit, shall we affirm that every thing is so? This is fond and ridiculous. Wherefore, I have been very Cautious in this Subject, and have kept my felf within bounds. I have not promiscuously propounded things, but have used Choice, and pitched on those particulars only which carry fome probability and likelyhood with them. Some observing that the (a) Hebrew word used by Moses in Gen. 1. 2. fignifies to batch, as a Bird doth her Eggs by sitting upon them, have shought that the Pagans had thence the notion fes in the Poets, and other Passages in the rest of the World's being an Egg; and to this purpose some things are offer'd to shew that they had fuch an apprehension. And to pass by the Ancients, we are told by (b) Late Writers, that fome of the People in the Southern parts of the East-Indies have the same Notion of the Origine of the World. The (c) Chinoife fay all things were from an Egg: yea, their (d) first Man had the same rise. But why might it not pass for an Egg in a plain Philosophical way,

<sup>্</sup>র (a) গ্লাস, Incubarc. (b) Abraha. Roger. Janua, &c. (c) M. Mart. Hist. Sinens. (d) Nieuhof. Leg. Bar.

The Truth and Authority as at this day there are some Philosophers who some have thought that the Story of Darius tell us that all things are from an Egg, all Living Hystaspis being chosen King of Persia, by the Creatures at least are propagated by Eggs, yo Neighing of his Horse, was grounded in the Man himself? Thus the World may be though History of Mordecai, and the King's Horse which to be a Great Egg. But I rather think it was he rode upon, for this Darius they take to be from the Oval or Round Figure of the World Abasucrus. But I have omitted this (as well as ries that sense with it?

that they represented it by an Egg: and you several others) because it hath little or no founmust know it was believ'd that this fort of Fi dation. Besides, that they greatly disparage gure had some persection in it, and so on that Mordecai by such an application as this, for Daaccount they took the more notice of it, and rius got the Kingdom of Persia by his trusty this Spherical shape of the Universe was much Groom Ochares, rather than by his Horse, for admired and Celebrated by them: yea, it was he Communicated the Design to him over Night, thought to be Sacred and Divine: so that by this who took effectual Care to have his Master means the World came to be a very Worship chosen Emperour the next Day. And chosen he ful Egg. But I cannot satisfie my self that it was was; a Jockey made him a Monarch. I have faid to be so from the fore-cited place of Scrip, not had the considence to say that (a) Hoture, where 'tis faid the Spirit of God moved on mer's θενομβάι Βεσιλήμ, refers to the History of (or hovered over) the face of the Waters. I do (b) Shamgar's Smiting the Philistines with an not think that a fingle word used in a Metapho. Ox-Goad, (which is in Greek Βυπλήξ,) and doing rical way is foundation enough for this Notion such wonderful Execution with that Weapon: Therefore I have not made use of it in the fore-though 'tis the conjecture of no meaner a Man going part of my Discourse, but I rather reckon than (c) Bochart, that that Fable was borrow'd it to be something akin to the fancy of that from this real Truth. I have not pretended to (a) Ingenuous Writer, who tells us, that the Ge- affirm that the Story of Arion, (which Pliny and neration of Castor and Pollux out of an Egg. Ond relate) viz. That he being cast into the was founded on this, that they were Born and Deep by the Scamen of the Ship wherein he brought up in an Upper-Room, according to the was, struck up with his Harp, and the Dolimport of the word dow, which sometimes hath phins presently came about him, and he mounted this fignification. But, did not this Learned upon one of their backs, and so escaped; that Man mistake dow, for imegow, which indeed care this Story, I say, was taken from the History of Some

<sup>(</sup>a) Iliad. Z. (b) Judg. 3. 31. (c) De Sacr. Animal. pars prior. 1. 2. c. 39.

<sup>(</sup>a) Dr. Brown. Vulg. Errors.

Fonas; though there is a very (a) confiderable Wni. ter, who makes no question of it, and to advance the belief of it, would have us observe, that Richard a Minstrel and a Prophet. If I had inferted into the Parallel of Samfon and Hercules, that Hercules's Pillars, spoken of by Goographers, refer to the two Pillars of the House, which Samfon took hold of and pull'd down, it might justly have been objected, that I stretch'd the Parallel too far; and yet I must tell you, that there are no contemptible Authors, (among whom Vossius is one) who have made one, a Reference to the other. I have purposely avoided such far-fetch'd Conceits, and have all along declin'd the suggestions of those Writers, who have let their imaginations run too high. This I consider'd, that among the Poets especially, there are many things which are the pure product of their Luxuriant Fancy, and have no ground at all in the things themfelves. It is their way (as I have faid before) to insert their own whimsies, to lard True Story with their own wild Conceits and Capricio's, which we must never mind; for they are only Poetick Flourishes, and therefore must not be thought to refer to any real thing. The fixing this on my mind, kept me from running into those Extravagancies, which some have been guilty of, whilst they imagined, that the Poets in all or most of the particulars, with which their Fables are stuffed, allude to so many express passages

of the Holy Scriptures. passages in True History. I attended to the main thing in their Writings, which I saw came so near to Scripture; the rest I pass'd by, as meer Poetick Flash and Foolery, and not to be taken notice of. In flort, I have always trod where there is some tolerable ground and footing; and I have omitted feveral particulars which others infift upon, meerly because they have so fandy a bottom. So little Reason have any to blame me for indulging of Fancy, in this present undertaking, where I have endeavour'd in abundant instances to make it probable, that the Pagans borrowed from the Sacred Writings.

Antiquity

## CHAP. VIII.

The Antiquity of the Writings of the Old Testament afferted. The way of communicating Scrip. tural Truths and Histories to the Pagans, viz. by the Commerce which the Jews had with other Nations; by their being dispers'd over all the World; by the Translation of the Bible into Greek; by the Travels of Philosophers and other Studious Men among the Heathens. How the Sacred Truths, but especially the Historical part of the Old Testament, came to be misunderstood and corrupted, viz. by the confusion of Tongues; by being Transmitted to Barbarous People; by length of time; by passing through many hunds; by the Superstition and Idolatry of the Receivers; by the affectation of Mysteries and Abstrustites; by the Grecian Humour of Inventing and Romancing; by Mens being Timerous; by Ignorance of the Jewish Religion and Affairs; by an Averseness and Hatred to the Jews. It was thought by some dangerous, to insert the Holy Text into their Writings. What designs the Devil had in corrupting the Scripture, and mixing it with Falsties in the Books of the Pagans.

But notwithstanding all I have said, there are some who will by no means entertain this Discourse, but with great carnestness and violence

of the Holy Scriptures. violence oppose it. I am obliged therefore in the next place, to fortifie it by Reason. I will discover to you the Foundations on which my Opinion is built, and give you a Rational Account, how it comes to pass, that the Heathens bear witness to the Old Tellament. This I will do, first, by shewing you how they came by these Traditions and Truths: Secondly, whence, and how they difguis'd and corrupted them.

For the First, It is not likely the Gentiles could light on these things by Natural Reason, for those discoveries concerning the Creation, and the Paradifiacal State of Man, and the particular manner of his Fall, and feveral other things which Imention'd, are beyond Nature's Ken, they are not fuch things as fall within the cognizance of Men, as they are Rational Creatures; therefore they must be particularly Revealed to Mankind: And the Authentick Body of Divine Revealed Truth being the Bible, we cannot but infer, that those things were borrowed from that Sacred Volume. And as for Matters of Fact, relating to the Old Patriarchs, and other Eminent Men informer days, on which I have afferted, that many of the Pagan Stories and Fables depend, these were Recorded in those Sacred Books first of all, and therefore these Books are the Fountains from which the Heathens took these Relati-This Argument, I take to be unanswerable, namely, that the Old Testament is the First and Antientest Book that ever was extant, and therefore, when the Pagan Writers mention things in this Book, they took them thence, or from those Persons who had them out of these Writings. Here then it is necessary, to insist a little on the

(a) Tatianus, Tertullian, Clem. Alexandr. Juft. Maryfe Euleb. Præp. Evan. lib. 8. & 10. Cyril Alexandr, comid. Julianum, Jul. Africanus.

History, lived but in Ptolomæus Philadelphus's time. Then, for the Phanician Antiquities, which Sanmiathon writ in the Phanician Tongue, and mich Philo Biblius (who lived in Adrian's time) mn'd into Greek, (of which Version Eusebius hath referv'd us a Famous Fragment) though Scalihath labour'd to prove them Supposititious, tome others reckon them not as fuch, and articularly the Learned Buchart hath Commentd upon them, as true and Genuine Writings. ht as for the Antiquity of this Phanician Histoin and Theologer, though it may be acknowldg'd to be great, yet without question he was Moses's junior by many hundred years. And so ras the Author of the Babylonian or Chaldean hunals; for Berofus, who is faid to compile hem, lived at the same time that Manetho did. and though perhaps Frier Annius hath imposed n the World by the Name of this Author, as (a) some think, and accordingly bring several Arguments to prove this new Berofus a Cheat, t it doth not follow that the old one, of shom both Josephus, and Eusebius have preserved the fragments, was fuch. Some Greek Writers head great Antiquity next; Orpheus, and Mufers, the Ancientest of them all, are said to have (b) Lived in Gideon's days, which was about 200 years (a) Ludovicus Vives, Melchior Canus, Raphael Velateranus.

of the Holy Scriptures.

Ancient: but his Antiquity cannot be proved

be equal with that of the Holy Writers.

Manetho, or Manethos, who writ the Egyptian

<sup>(1)</sup> Eufeb. Chronic.

years after Moses. And 200 years after this Li-

wrote the Trojan War. And 100 years after this, Homer wrote his Poem, who Flourish'd not 'till at least 150 years after David the Diving niel make an end.

Poet. This is observable, that the Greeks, at foon as they had gain'd any knowledge of Letters, and Arts, fell to inventing of incredible

Stories, and writing of meer Fictions. (a) Eusebius complains, that there were nothing but meer Fables in the Greek Histories (if they may be call'd Histories) before the beginning of the

Olympiads, that Famous Greek Epoche, or Computation, which began from the Instauration of the Olympick Games by Iphitus: but when this was, is not very clear, for some say it was in the time of Azariab King of Judah, above two

fay in the Reign of Ozziah King of Judah, A. M. 3173. Others fix it A. M. 3189, eight years before the Birth of Romulus and Remus, four hundred and feven years after the Destruction of Troy. Others place the Olympiads lower, about A. M. 3228, others A. M. 3256, about feven hun-

dred and fifty years before Christ. Varro's Divis sion of Times into Unknown, Fabulous, and Historical, the last of which he begins not 'till the Greek Olympiads, proves this very thing. The most Ancient Greek Historians were Archilosus, Aristeas, Proconnesius, Hecatæus Milesius, Charon

Lampsacenus, &c. but nothing of their Writings is preserved. Herodotus is the Ancientest Greek Historian

Historian we have extant, and therefore is called ved Dares Phrygius, and Dictys Cretensis, who the Father of History: but he begins his Hiforical Relations but a little before the Prophetick Histories of Ezra, Nehemiah, and Da-

You will find this Argument profecuted by (a) Clemens Alexandrinus, who shews that the Learning and Knowledge of the Hebrews was before that of the Greeks, as much as the Jewish Nation was before the Seven Wise Men, and the Sacred History before the Argolick. He shews that Thales, and Solon, two of their Wise Men, lived about the forty fixth, and the fiftieth Olympiad, and Pythagoras about the fixty

fecond, than which the Jews were much older by the confession of Philo Pythagorcus, Aristobulus Peripateticus, and Megasthenes. He comhundred years after the Death of Solomon, others mres the Age of Moses with Bacebus, the Seven Wise Men, and some of the Grecian Gods, and proves that he was above fix hundred years before any of these. He demonstrates from Chronological Computations, that Higgai and Zachary were Elder than Pythagoras, and that Solomon was much Seniour to the Wife Men. And all this is in order to this, that

the Greeks, (as well as the Chaldeans and Egyptians) had their Knowledge from the Hebrews, and not these from them. Seeing then that the Ancientest Pagan Writers are short of the Holy Scriptures, feeing all Authors and Writers are after Moses (for he indeed was before all the Great things that are in Pagan Hiftory, 400 years

<sup>(</sup>a) Przp. Evang. 1, 10.

years before the Trojan War, which is the first starting of History with the Greek and RomanAuthors. His Laws had the precedency of all others whatsoever, yea, the very name of Law was scarce extant at that time: in all Homer you can't find the word vous, they had no written Rules to direct their Manners by, the will of their Princes was the only Law,) fince these things are thus, the Transcendant Antiquity of the Writings of the Old Testament is hence undeniably proved. These are the ancientest Memorials in the World, these are the oldest Monuments of Truth, and consequently the Jews were the first People that had these things fet before them, and, as a confequent of that, all others took from them. From this comparing the Antiquity of Writers, it is clear that Moses's Laws and the Customs of the Patriarchs were not borrowed from the Pagans (as some have imagin'd,) but that the Chaldeans, Phanicians, and Egyptians, yea, that the Arabians and Persians (as might have been shewn, and as the Learned Dr. Stillingfleet, now a worthy Prelate of our Church, hath proved in his Admirable Discourse on this Subject) and that the Greeks and Latins have derived their Mysteries from the Hebrews, and that all the Gentile Theologers borrowed their Great Truths from the Books of the Old Testament: for these being the ancientest and first Records, it is most reasonable to believe that those that came after them took from them, and that these Sacred Writings yielded matter to those others. This is the first Reason to prove that

the Pagan Historians, Philosophers, and Poets were beholding to the Scriptures.

Secondly, I will prove it from the way of Communicating these Scriptural Truths and Hifories to them. 1. This happen'd by reason of the Commerce which the Juns had with the Neighbouring Nations, Chaldeans, Phanicians, Egyptians, and others. Especially in King Sobmon's time there was a great Commerce between the Hebrews, and these latter: and then it is probable the Egyptians Icarnt many things of the Jews. As Solomon Married a Wife thence, so it is likely they affected some of the Rites and Manners of his People, and espoused their Customs and Usages, together with their Notions and Opinions. It must be remembred illo, that the Chaldeans, Phanicians, and Egyplians were the Nations which Greece Traded with, and so this Country had an opportunity of receiving the Jewish Traditions and Customs at the second hand: and hence it is that you have the footsteps of them so frequently in the Greek Authors, as well Poets as others. Nay, b fpeak more generally, Judea was very well stuated for the propagating of Laws and Usages to all other Nations, for it was placed in that Climate of the World which was fit for this parpose, viz. in the middle of the then Inhabited Barth: To which convenient situation perhaps the Pfalmist refers, in Pfal. 74. 12. God workerb Solvation in the midst of the Earth. And fo that of Ezekiel concerning Jerusalem, I have set in the midst of the Nations, Ch. 3. v. 5.

Secondly, A great part of the Hebrews being differfed over all the World by Divine Providence, had an opportunity of Communicating their things to the Gentiles. The main Body of them were fent into Assyria, and Babylon by Nehuchadnezzar, where they had converse with those Scrangers seventy years: and a part of them were carried at the same time into Egypt with Jeremiah. It is not to be doubted that they carried with them the Holy Writings which were then extant, and out of them they daily imparted the passages of the History of the Creation of the World, and Noah's Flood, and particulars contained in those Books. Afterwards, when they were beaten by Pompey, and made Slaves, they were carried Captive into Egypt, Syria, Greece, Rome. Besides that, in left their Country, and went into Egypt to make Profelytes there. When they were thus scattered into these Foreign Countries, it is no wonder that the People in these parts attain'd to some knowledge of the Sacred Books, and of the Traditions of the Jews. They mult needs hear and learn something of those Matters, Conversing familiarly with the Jews.

3. The Jewish Notions and Customs might easily be Communicated to the Gentiles, feeing Mojes's Writings were Translated into Greek in the time of the Persian Monarchy, if not before it (as (a) Eusebius reports from Mega-Phenes

thenes a Man well Skill'd in History, and who lived with Seleucus, as Eusebius in the same place affirms:) feeing there was a Greek Tranlation of a confiderable part of the Old Teltament before Alexander the Great's time, as (a) Clemens of Alexandria Testisseth. And accordingly Demetrius Phalereus, Library-Keeper to King Ptolomeo, Sirnamed Philadelphus, in an Epistle to him, which (b) Eusebius citeth, saith, that before the Septuagint Version many things were Translated out of the Bible. But this is nost certain, and agreed to by all, that upon Alexander the Great his Conquests, the Jews and Greeks had converse with one another, and the Propagation of Mankind, and other the like were no longer Strangers, being now United under the same Empire. And, as an effect of this, foon after Alexander the Great, all the Old Testament was entirely Translated into Greek by Seventy two Fews, whom the forethe times of the Maccabees some had freely aid King of Egypt appointed for that purpose. Hence the knowledge of those things contained

Of the Holy Scriptures.

4. This Communication was made by the Travels of Philosophers, and inquisitive Men mong the Pagans. Of Pythagoras we are told by (c) Laertius, that "when he was young, and being very desirous to Learn, he left "his Country, and was initiated into all the " Mv-

in the Sacred Writings could not but be com-

nunicated to the Gentiles.

<sup>(</sup>a) Strom. lib. 1. (b) Prap. Evang. lib. 8. c. 3. (f) In vit, Pythag.

<sup>(</sup>a) Præp, Evang. lib. 9. c, 3.

The

"Mysteries not only of the Greeks, but Bar, "barrans. And particularly he testifies that he Travell'd into Egypt, and Chaldea. Of the same Philosopher it is asserted by Origen, Clemens the Alexandrian, Porphyry, and others, that he went into Chaldea in the time of the Captivity, where he had the opportunity of converling with the Jews. Ludovicus Vives thinks that he Travel'd also into Egypt, and was acquainted with Joremiah there. Mr. Seldon likewise holds that he went and visited Judea, and there Convers'd with Ezekiel, with whom he was Contemporary, and learnt the Tetragrammaton, and other Mysteries of him. Concerning Plato, it was believ'd by many, faith (a) St. Augustin, that he took a journey into Egypt, and was there the Prophet Jeremiab's Anditor, and read the Prophetick Writings; and though this Father himself was not inclined (as he declares) to believe this, became he thinks that Philosopher was born after that time, yet he most readily assents to this, that he had many things from the Books of the Old Testament; and to prove this, (b) he citeth feveral passages out of that Heathen Writer. It is most evident to all that have convers'd with this Author's Writings, that there are fundry things in them above the strain of common Philosophy, as concerning the Greation of the World, the Formation of the First Man out of the Earth, the Innocent and Happy

mitive State, and the vile degeneracy of the Sons of Men, with many other Particulars which are fetch'd from the Sacred Writings. I might mention likewise how lostily he speaks of God, and his Nature, how admirably he Discourses of the Soul, how clearly he afferts a Future Life, and the Rewards and Punishments of another World, how feelingly he treats of Vertue and Goodness, how Divinely he writes concerning Religion, which he represents as Pure and Spiritual, and Purged from the Heathen Superstitions. This Sublime and Extraordinary Knowledge the Ancients think he gained by Travelling into Syria, Judea, and Egypt, and holding converse with those that understood the inspired Writings. And it is their Opinion, that though he Convers'd with some of the Jewish Nation, and imbibed their Sentiments, yet he carefully avoids mentioning their Name, because they were odious to other Nations, and consequently those structures of true Theology which are in his Works would have fared the worse for it. But though he would not speak this out plainly, yet he feems to utter it in a difguifed manner: Perhaps he hinteth that he receiv'd those Notions from the Jews, when he mentions Σύρι and Φοινίκι μῦθο, for the Syrians and Phanicians, who were the Neighbouring People to Judea generally pass'd for Jews. That other Great Philosophers, as Solon, Democritus, Heraclitus, &c. Travel'd into Egypt, and Babylon, is testified by Diogenes Lacrtius in their Lives.

T 4

**ftakes** 

The Truth and Authority The same is attested by (a) Diodorus con. They shall say, surely this great Nation is a wife cerning Orpheus, Musaus, Homer, Lycurgus, and understanding People. If the Pagans should and other Wise Grecians, viz. That they went to admire and value the Jewish Customs and and visited those Foreign Parts, and thence Ceremonies, they would (as the consequent of came furnish'd with the Knowledge of those that) imitate and practise them. Thus you have things which they had learnt in those Coun. a Rational Account of the Consonancy of Pagan tries. The like is confirmed by the testimony Writings and Customs to the Sacred Scriptures, of some (b) Christian Fathers, who also add you see how they were derived from these that those Chief Philosophers of Greece, when Fountains. they sojourn'd among the Egyptians, learn'd many things of their Priests, which they had from the Tradition of the Jews who had been among them: And there they perused the Mosaick Writings, which were of great account among some of them. Hence the Religion, Rites, and Practices Recorded in those Books were divulg'd and spread abroad in the World. Indeed it is very probable in the Nature of the thing it felf that this would happen: for the Jews being a People fo Renowned for Religion, and their Fame and Glory being every where Celebrated, it could not be but that foreign People, especially the most Philosophical and Inquilitive among them, should be desirous to confer with the Bible, or Jewish Authors, and to know their Laws, Ways, and Customs, and that whole Nations should be forward to imitate and make use of them. This is more than Prophetically intimated, in Deut. 4. 6.

ty and Falsity in the Writings of the Pagans, how it comes to pass, that one thing is put for another, and that it is so hard oftentimes to understand what they deliver. I will give you an Account of this, in these following particulars, 1. The confusion of Languages did not a little contribute to these Mistakes, Corruptions and Falsities. When the World was of one Tongue, the notices of things which were imparted by Speech, were very clear and intelligible; when they all joyn'd in one Language, they could easily apprehend one another, and there could arise no mistakes, by Ambiguity in the variety of words. But upon confounding the first Language, and dividing it into many, there follow'd a

great diforder among Mankind, for then it be-

came difficult to understand one another. The Words being confused, the Conceptions and Things which were convey'd to Men by those words, were also confused obscure, and uncertain. The variety and multiplicity of Words, begot mi-

of the Holy Scriptures.

In the next place, I am to enquire, how the

Scriptural Stories and Truths came to be corrupted,

whence it is, that they are mixed with Obscuri-

where 'tis faid, that when the Nations shall hear

all those Excellent Statutes given to the Jews,

<sup>(</sup>a) Lib. 2. Cap. ult. (b) Euseb. Prap. Evang. 1. 10. Cyril. Alexand, contra Julianum. 1.

2. The Sacred History of Scripture, and the Traditions of the First Ages of the World, were easily corrupted, because they were Transmitted to Ignorant and Barbarous People. God was pleas'd not to vouchfafe that Light and Knowledge to the Gentiles, which he bestowed on his own People, but he thought fit to leave them in that darkness and blindness, which their gross Sins had brought them to, and which were now become the just Punishment of them. Many of them were so besotted, that when they heard of those Holy and Mysterious Truths, they were not able to bear them, they could not apprehend the true meaning and import of them. But because some of them, who were the most Contemplative, would be exercifing themselves about

of the Holy Scriptures. bout them, they resolved to make something of them, or out of them: And accordingly, when they committed them to Writing, they applied them to fome Person or Thing, which was mown and famous among them; and thus an Historical passage in Holy Scripture, became a Story of their own, or a Divine Truth was mrn'd into a Fable. By this means, the things which they borrowed from the Word of God, came to be Depraved and Difguifed.

a. The long tract of Time and diversity of years, have partly introduced this corruption and alteration. For length of time blotted out some of the former Accounts, and defaced the Memoirs of things. The Antient Names of feveral Persons and Places are worn out, and others (quite different from them) are used in their stead. The true Original, Occasion, and Meaning of many things were forgotten, and in place of them, New, but False Relations, crept in. Then came to pass at last, (when the fight Notions of things were worn out) that Men of Poetry and Invention, thrust upon felly People their own Fancies and Conceits, and perswaded them to accept of the most unlikely Stories for Truth.

4. The Historical passages of Scripture, and the strange Events which hapned among the Jews, being spread abroad, and passing through many Hands, or rather Mouths, could not but for that Reason be corrupted. By the great diversity of Relators they were changed, some adding to them, and others diminishing them, fo that at the last, they were quite different from what they were at first.

- 5. As Superstition and Idolatry increased, the greater Corruptions there were of True Hiftory, Men making that to Administer to their Idolatrous Worship. So that in those Countries, especially where there were the fiercest Bigots for the Pagan Devotion, there was ale waies a more plentiful coyning of these Fables, under which were hid very useful Truths, taken out of the Old Testament.
- 6. This must be added, that it was the Custom of the Antient Pagans, to wrap up their Notions in obscure and dark Terms, and to represent them in an Ænigmatical way. (a) Origen thinks Plato in one of his pieces, hath fomething of that Paradife, which Moses in the beginning of his Writings speaks of, and he gives this Reason why he thinks fo, viz. because it is Plato's usual way to describe things obscurely, and to disguise the greatest and most excellent Verities, under the vail of Mysteries and Fables. And this was the guise of others, besides Plato, especially of the Pagan Poets; they affected obscurity and difficulty of Stile; whence sprang several of the Fabulous Histories of the Gods, and other odd passages in their Writings. And so, when they took some things of moment from Scripture, or from those who were acquainted with those Sacred

of the Holy Scriptures. Sacred Records, they cloath'd them with their dark and Mystical Expressions, in so much, that it was hard to know whence they had them.

- 7. The Grecian Humour, was to Invent and Romance; their Poets especially (who were their first Writers) were famous for this. They abused, mangled, jumbled, and confounded the Stories in Holy Writ, they turn'd those Sacred Things, into Magical Pranks fometimes, and from the Names of Holy Persons spoken of in the Old Testament, they took occasion to invent new Deities, and shape new Gods. Their frequent practice was to piece out Scripture with their own Fancies, and to add fomething of their own heads. This is owing to the Greek Vanity, it is to be afcribed to the Levity and Capriciousness of these Fabulous Men, whose very Genius led them to affect Banter and Fictions. The Poets dealt with Sacred History, as the Legendaries do with the Lives of Saints; they have some general ground for what they fay, but they make plentiful additions to it; there is perhaps fomething of Truth at bottom, but then you have their own Inventions besides. Thus the Grecian Writers counterfeited all along the shape of Real Truths, in most of their Fables, there was a medly of Falshood and Truth together.
  - 8. This is also certain, that the Pagan Philosophers, did out of fear sometimes disguise the Notions of Truth, which they received from Scripture. Plato, faith Justin the Martyr, had learnt in Egypt the True Doctrine concerning God,

of the Holy Scriptures. God, One only God, with feveral other Sacred and Syrians, and thence some thought Abraham Truths, but, lest some Melitus or Anytus should receiv'd this Rite, and commended it to his Accuse him, he would not divulge them to rosterity. It is as case to observe, how grossy the People: For fear of incurring Socraters the Latin Writers were mistaken; it was a com-Misfortune, he cither conceal'd or difguis'd all monthing with them to confound Jews and Chri-He dreaded the Poysonous Cup, and so would stians, and to make no distinction between them, not discover those Sacred Things, but rather at have shew'd on another occasion. (c) Tacitus's chose to lap them up in Poetick Conceits and description of the Nation and Religion of the

Subbath was Consecrated to Saturn, he faith;

and many fuch false and fabulous passages are to

be found in the Account which he gives of

Fables, in Mysteries and Riddles, which his years, together with the Original of them, shews Writings are full of. And this it is likely was that that Excellent Historian, was extremely the Case of other Philosophers and Writers ignorant of the Affairs of that People. They were at first call'd Idei, faith he, from the Mount Ida, and afterward by an addition of a letter, they had the Name of Judæi. Their

they had received from the Holy Fountains. 9. Some out of meer Ignorance of the Jewish Religion and Affairs, milirepresent and corrupt those things. This is seen plainly in Strabo, and Diodorus the Sicilian, who (as was hinted afore) make the Jews to be Egyptians, and (a) Strabo particularly faith of Moses, that he was an Egyptian Priest. So Herodotus, because the Hebrews had lived among the Egyptians, faith those things of the former, which belong to the latter, and so perhaps, vice versa. I remember he particularly faith, that (b) Circumcision was first of all used among the Ethyopians and Egyptians, and from them went to the Phanicians

among the Gentiles, they were Timorous, and

dared not Transgress the Publick Laws, and

incur the punishment due to Innovators in Reli-

gion; and therefore they spoke ambiguously and

obscurely, and corrupted those Truths which

them. So Justin shamefully errs in several things belonging to the fewish History; he makes Abraham the third King of the fews, freel the Fourth, Foseph the Fifth, and Moses (whom he reckons to be Joseph's Son) the Sixth. It his whole Thirty Sixth Book, where he describes the Original and Increase of the Jewish Nation, he hath almost as many mistakes, as words. The rest of the Pagan Historians excedingly mistake, when they Treat of that People, because they did not rightly inform themselves, and indeavour to have a perfect Account of the Jewish Matters. Thus Josephus himless excuses in part the Heathen Writers, when they

(4) Hift. lib. 5.

<sup>(</sup>a) Lib. 16. (b) Lib. 2. cap. 36. 6 104.

they speak of things done in Judea, imputing their Errors to want of Knowledge and Information. Yea, he wonders not that the Jewish

Nation was not known to some of them, and that they write not a word of it; for the most dili-

Spain, that he took it for one fingle City, and no more. We might observe likewise, that little or nothing is mention'd of this our life of Britain, either by Greek or Roman Historians, before Casar's Commentaries. And in the same place he takes notice, that neither Herodotus nor Thucydides, nor any that were of that Age make mention of Rome, although it had been in great

Wars. He adds, that all Things of the Greeks are new, and of yesterday, giving this as one Reafon, why the Greek Historians make no mention of the Jewish Affairs. They were themselves but upstarts in respect of the Jews. But though they knew but little of them, yet they feigned many things, and represented them as they pleas'd. Especially their Poets, who were very ignorant of the Jewish Institution, and of the

true meaning of the most things which they had

from those of that Nation, or from their Books, yet took the liberty to invent and add, and to

mingle their own Conceits and Fancies, with

of the Holy Scriptures. that little which they had heard or knew of them.

10. Some, if not most of the Heathers, out of Averseness and Hatred to the Fews, perverted gent Historians, (a) saith he, were ignorant of People. This was a Nation that was separated France and Spain; and he instances in Ephorus from all others, and was different from, not to by contrary to, the rest of the World in many things; wherefore they grew odious and detestable, and the Pagans wilfully Misrepresented and Traduced them, and delighted to load them with all forts of Calumnies. All Writers bandied against the Jews and Christians, they were all in League against these, however

they disagreed among themselves. Hence it is, power a long time, and had waged fo many that when-ever they present their Readers with my thing concerning them, they generally shew that III-Will which they bore to them. Thus Manethon the Egyptian Historian, though he bath many things that agree with what the Scripture faith of the Fews, yet he mis-represents several particulars, and adds others in diffrace of Moses and the Israelites. And indeed from Egypt was the rife of those Malicious Calumnies against them, for the People of that Nation

were fentible of, and retain'd in their Minds the

many Plagues that were inflicted on them for

their fakes, and the last Mortal Farewel in the Red-Sea, and they expressed their implacable

prejudice against them, by reproaching them, and they taught others to do so too. Thus (1) Justin

(or rather Trogus Pompeius, whom he Epitomizes) tells us, that the Jews were expell'd Egypt, because God had Reveal'd to the Egyptians, that the Plague which then raged among them could by no other way be allay'd, than by that Nation's being turn'd out. Diodorus the Sicilian, and (a) Tacitus write, that the Jews were thrust out of Egypt by the Inhabitants, because they were Scabby and Leprous. Apion, with a detestable Impudence, rails against this People, and, out of meer malice, invents and forges Lies to difgrace them. He not only repeats the foresaid Calumny, viz. That they were expell'd out of that Country, because their Bodies were over-run with Leprosie, but he adds several others, and miserably perverts the History of Moses, (b) Pliny avoucheth, that Moses was a Magician; and (c) Strabo reckons him among Astrologen and Diviners. So Joseph is said to have been skill'd in (d) Magick Arts. Though perhaps it might proceed from Ignorance only, that some of the Pagan Historians reckon these in the number of Magicians, for they had heard of what wonderful things these Great Men had done in Egypt; the one, when he grapled with the Egyptian Sorcerers, the other, in Interpreting of Dreams, and they concluded they were effected by Magick; accordingly, they reprefented them as Persons of that Character.

of the Holy Scriptures. wen the mistakes of these Gentile Writers, oncerning them and others, shew, that they and heard of such Men, and the things they did, and they are a Teltimony of the reality of the history in general. Then, as for the Pagan bets, the same prejudice and Hatred reigned nthem, and discovered themselves in Lies and lictions about the Fews, and what is related uncerning them in the Old Testament. When they refer to any passage in the Sacred Story; they malitiously defile it with their own Inventons; they diffort and fallly deliver the circumtauces, and they blend it so with their own idiculous Fancies, that they turn it into a

Again, if we may give credit to (a) Demewie Phalereus, (Library-Keeper to King Ptolox, and who was the Man that first excited im to promote that notable work, of Transting the Old Testament into Greek) there as this Notion among the Pagan Writers, that this Holy Book was not to be prophanely handd, nor the Matters of it made common by nery one that undertook to write; yea, that finerting of them into their Writings, was gross Prophaning of them, and had met with Mitable punishment. Thus, one Theopompus, had interted fome passages of the Bible into Writings, was struck with Madness; and

fable.

<sup>(</sup>a) Hist. lib. 5. (b) Nas. Hist. 1. 30. c. 1. (c) Lib. 16. (d) Justin. Hift, 1. 38, c. 2.

<sup>(</sup>a) Joseph. Antiq. Jud. 1. 12. c. 2.

Scriptures

**29**2 another named Theodedes, who made use of some place of Scripture, in a Tragedy of his was almost deprived of his fight for it; but the former, when he was made sensible of his fault, was restor'd to a right Mind again, and the latter, upon acknowledging the like Offence, recover'd his Eye-fight. This was related, faith Josephus, to King Ptolomee by the foresaid Demetrius, a very ferious Man, and it was assigned as a Reason, why the Contents of these Sacred Writings, which were so Divine and Admirable, were but rarely mention'd by the Historians and Poets. These Examples had struck a terrour into some of them; having heard how some Prophaners of these Holy Things, were Animadverted upon, by a Divine Hand, they were afraid to Record any pallage in the Old Testament. Therefore, some of them chose rather, to disguise the Sacred Stories and to stuff them with Fabulous Narrations, that they might scarcely be known, to have been borrowed from that Holy Book.

Lastly, the Devil hath a design in all this. Tertal lian's (a) Words are remarkable; when he had faid that the Things which are contrary to Truth, (i.e. the Heathen Fables, Rites and Usages) are made out of the Truth (i. e. the Holy Scriptures) he fur-

Of the Holy Scriptures. wer adds, that this Imitating of the Truth, is prought by the Spirits of Error, that is, the Devils, pho affect sometimes to Ape God and what he doth. This is most apparent, that they are a Mimical fort of Creatures, and shew themselves sometimes diligent Emulators of the most Holy Persons and Things. Their great Subtilty and Craft, are to bedifeern'd here, for when they brought the Hebrew Rites and Ceremonies of Gods own anpointment, into the Heathen Worship and Service, they did this to Prophane them, and so make them contemptible and ridiculous. They did it, that those Divine and Sacred Things might be despised, and that they might be turn'd into Superstition and Idolatry. So likewise, they cunningly mixed fomething of Sacred Truth with Fables, that thereby they might make the things that are True to be suspected. Sathan is defirous to pervert and even erafe the whole Sacred Scripture and Antient Truth, but because he sees he cannot effect this, he therefore contrives how he may difguise the Scripwe-Stories, he fets the Poets to work to make them into Fables, and thinks by that means to take off our Esteem of those Inspired Writings, and to diminish that Credit which we ought to give to those Sacred Truths. He pushed on those Grecian Wits, to obscure and deface the Old Names in Scripture, that the

Original of them might not be known. He out of direct Malice, moved those fanciful Men to invent Fables, to defame the Primitive Stories, to blemish the Sacred History, to obscure and pervert the Truth. The Poets turning the

<sup>(</sup>a) Cinnia adversus veritatem de ipfà veritate construit funt, operantibus a mulationem istam spiritibus Erroris. Applos SAP. 47.

Scriptures into Fabulous Narrations, was the way to invalidate the Testimony of them, and to make them feem a meer Poetick Fiction, a Dream, a Fanne, that hath no real bottom. It is no wonder then, that the Devil imped their Fancies, and affilted their Inventions, and help'd them to change the Truth into a Lie, that thereby he might rob God and the Scripture of their Honour. This, I say, might be a device of that Evil Spirit, (as he hath Devices and Wiles of all forts) to clude the Authority of Sacred History, and to take away the Credit of Divine Truth.

Again, as that Crafty Spirit deligns by this means to disparage, yea, to null the Truth, fo he thinks hereby to gain caffent to Falshood, and to promote the greatest impiety imaginable; for when Truth is mixed with Fallhood, he hopes that this latter will be entertain'd for the fake of the former. And when Lewd and Vilious Practices, are founded in those that are Innocent and Religious, he expects, that thele should justifie those. Perhaps, when he added the Sacred Ceremonies of the Jews, to the firephane Worship of the Gentiles, he thought thereby, to take away the difference between them, and to render them alike; so that Men should not be able to distinguish, between a True and False way of Worship.

Thirdly, the Devil's Design in introducing feveral Sacred Rites and Customs, into the practice of the Heathers, was to conciliate

of the Holy Scriptures. to himself a greater Authority and Esteem, a greater Glory and Repute among them. He commends those things to the Pagans which were Religiously used, and even by God's own People, and preferib'd by God himfelf; this he doth to inveigle the Pagan World, and to bring them to Admire and Worship him.

Wherefore, an Answer may easily be return'd to that Objection, of a late Learned Writer, (a) "What advantage can the Devil have by his imitating the Divine Worship? He ever Acts for some end that may be profitable to himself; but how can this prove so, seeing it "would be more advantageous to him, to insti-"tute a Worship and Ceremonies, that are "Diametrically contrary to those in the Divine Law, that by those, as by so many proper "and peculiar Characters, his Herd might be "diftinguished from the Flock of the Shepherd "of Ifrael. The Answer, I say, to this, is wory case and obvious, for there can be nothing more Advantageous to that Evil Spirit, than his emulating of Divine Worship, and appointing Ceremonies fuitable to it, for by this means, his Kingdom is most fensibly advanced, and that with the greatest Artifice and Craft imaginable, because this Vile Fiend is Adored, even whilst the Divine

(a) Dr. Spencer, de Legib. Hebreorum. lib. 3. cap. 12. Differt. 1.

of the Holy Scriptures. Divine Worship of the True God seems to be and abused by the Pagans. I have given you carried on. It was the Subtilty of this Great he Reasons and Arguments which may con-Mimick, to approach as near to God and True fince you of this, and render you an account Religion, as he could, to make use of those of the manner of it. things, which by God's own express Command

were used in his Worship. This is a cunning

way of gaining Profelytes, and increasing the number of his Worshippers. Thus he Acts for some End, and that a very Profitable one too;

certainly much more Profitable to him, than if he had Instituted Proper and Peculiar Ceremo-

mies of Worship, for these would too palpably have dislinguished his Herd, from the True Flock; whereas, those bring them into a kind of Rivalty with it. Besides, this fond Emulation in the

Devil, is a gratifying of his first Proud Inclination, and afpiring to be like God. He is still Ambitions of Divine Honour, otherwife certainly, he would not have defired to be Worship'd' by the Son of God himself. And he would be Worshipp'd in the same way, that God is, with the fame Signs and Badges of Adoration. Hence most of those Sacred Rites enjoyned by God himself, and made use of, in his Worship by the Jewish Church, were transferred

as is given to the only True God. Thus I have amply shew'd you, how it came to pais, that the Rites and Practices, and the greatest Tritis contained in the Holy Scripture, were corrupted, difguited, misapplied,

by Sathan to his Idolatrous and Impious Work ship. This is the effect of his Haughty Spirit, which thirsteth after Divine Honour, even such

CHAP.

. . . wand

A. 1885, 1886

## CHAP. IX.

the Author's Affertions Confirmed by the ample Suffrage of the Ancients and Moderns. Consectaries drawn from the whole. viz. That we cannot with any shew of Reason admit of the Opinion of those who 'hold that the Jews borrow'd all or most of their Religious Rites from the Gentiles: That from what hath been premised, we may take notice of, and admire the fingular Providence of Heaven: That we are ascertain'd of the Antiquity, Reasonablenefs, and Certainty of our Religion: That we dre reconcil'd to the Writings of Prophane Authors: That we are affured of the Truth and Authority of the Scriptures of the Old Testament.

Will how add the Reason and Evidence the Suffrage of the Learned and Wise, whether Ancienes or Moderns. It was avery'd long since by Demetrius Phalereus, that Great Historian and Philosophier, in an Epistle of his to King Protomey, that the Gentile Philosophers took many things from the Holy Scriptures, as you will find him cited by Eusebius in his Evangelical Preparation. This is an early Testimony to the truth of what I have afferted: By this it appears, that the Notion which I have

stified by the Christian Fathers, as Tatianus, who hath a fet (b) Oration on this Subject, that what Learning the Greeks gloried in, was received all of it from the Barbarians, (as they call'd the Fews) (c) Treophilus Bishop of Antioch (who lived likewife in the Second Century) afferts this in defence of Christianity, proving that whatever the Pagan Poets writ of Hell, and the pains of it, and feveral other Subjects in Divinity, was stolen from the Writings of the infpired Prophets; and that the Christian Doctrine, which is in a great part taken from them, is the Ancientest Religion. (d) Justin the Christian Philosopher and Martyr speaks to the like purpose, and proves that all the true Notions in Theology among the Pagans, sprang from Moses, and the Holy Writings, and he instanceth in, and enlargeth on many Particulars, shewing that Orpheus, Homer, and Plato, had several of their Words, Phrases, Opinions, Traditions, Descriptions from the Prophetick Writings. He maintains, that the Fables of Bacchus, Hercules, Asculapius, &c. were made out of the depraved

(a) Contra Apionem. (b) Ad Gentiles. (c) Ad Autolyc. lib. 2. (d) Paranes. ad Gracos. Apolog. 2. pro. Chri-Mianis.

of the Holy Scriptures. have offered, is above two thousand years depraved sense and meaning of the Holy Writ. Old. (a) Josephus, the Learned Jew, who li- At another time he pursueth the same Arguved about half a thousand years after, attelts ment, and attempts to demonstrate, that all the same, and professedly proves that both the Great and Brave things in the Philoso-Philosophers and Poets borrowed from the Sacred phers and Poets Writings are from the Holy Fountains of Scripture. This is abundantly te-Book. Clement of Alexandria is very copious on this Theme: The Scope of the first Book of his Stromata, is to shew, that the Philosophy of the Hebrews was many Generations older than that of the Gentiles; and in profecution of this, he endeavours to evince (a) that the Opinions of the Greek Philosophers and others. were taken from Moses, and other Hebrews. And in the Second Book of his Stromata, he farther infifteth on this Subject, and proves, that the Greeks were Notorious (b) Plagiaries. and stole their Philosophy from the Barbarians: And so he goes on in the following Books to prove, that all the good Notions among the Greeks came from the Hebrews, that whatever Excellent Truths the former taught, they had from the latter, they Sacrilegiously took them from the Holy Patriarchs and Jews. This is the fense of the forty seventh Chapter of Tertullian's Apologetick, he there maintains that (c) both Poets and Philosophers were beholding to the Prophets, and derived all their best things

de Prophetarum foute potaverit?

<sup>(</sup>a) τάς Έβςάιων τὰ τῶν Οιλοσόφων ἐσκευωρῆθωι возната.

<sup>(</sup>b) κλέπται της βαςβάςε φιλοστφίας. (c) Quis Poetarum, quis Sophistarum qui non omnino

Moses.

Book against Celsus, you will find this more largely afferted, viz. That the Pagan Rites and Stories were taken from the Scriptures. Eusebius likewise hath been quoted before, but if the Reader think good to peruse the Author, he will fee this Argument infifted on in (b) four or five Books together, where he proves that the Greeks had some understanding of Moses's Theology, and follow'd the Jewish Writers in several things, which he makes good by alledging feveral passages out of Theophrastus, Hecataus, Porphyrius, Numenius, Megasthenes, &c. And afterwards he goes on, and more defignedly clears this Proposition, that what is good in the Writings of the Gentile Philosophers, is all stoln from the Hebrews, and that the Wisdom of the Greeks especially came from the Jews. I might add the Testimony of (c) St. Augustin, who shews that the Platonists borrowed from the Scripture: And of (d) Theodoret who agrees with him in this, and farther proves that other Philosophers had their Theologick Notions from

(a) Omnia adversos veritatem de ipsa veritate constructa funt. (b) Prap Evang, lib. 0, 10, 11, 12, 6 13. partim. (c) De Doctr. Christian. lib. 2. (d) De Cur. Græc, affect, Serm, 2. de Principiis.

of the Holy Scriptures. Moses, and the Prophets. Thus we see this is n Old and Received Truth. Nor doth it want the Suffrage of the most larned Modern Writers, some of whom, without any order of time, I will briefly mention. Stuckius is very plain and peremptory, and speaks the Sum of what we have delivend in the preceeding Discourse, (a) "The "whole Religion of the Old Pagans (faith he) proceeded from a depraved, perverse, and "preposterous kind of imitating that Ancient and truly Divine Religion which the Patri-"archs and their posterity the Jews had such "a reverence for, as being prescribed them by "God himfelf.

Villalpandus on the Pentateuch professedly declares, that the Sacrifices and other Ufages among the Gentiles, came from the Jews. "Who can deny, faith (b) another, that the "Laws which were given to those Holy Men "the Hebrews, came first to the Egyptians, and "then out of Egypt went to Greece? The (c) Elder Vossius hath in almost innumerable

places afforted this, that the Gentiles made a great number of their Fables out of the Hifories which are in the Sacred Writings; (d) Bochart hath with great Wit and Learning naced and discovered the footsteps of Scripure-History among the Heathens in their Mytho-

<sup>(4)</sup> Tota gentium antiquarum religio profecta fuit ex this naig, Gre. De Sacrif. Gent. (b) Natal. Comes, Mytholog. lib. ult. (c) De Theolog. Gentili. (d) Geo-Raph. Sacra. & De Animal S. Scriptura.

305

304 The Iruth and Authority Mythology. It is the Opinion of "(a) Marcus Marinus, that the Theological Sentiments " concerning Divine Things, were the same a-

"footsteps as 'twere of several of the Hi-

"monstrated by a manifold induction of par-

"ticulars. It is the declar'd judgment of

(c) another, that the Gentiles were wont to

"cles related therein, to their false Gods!

have afferted in the foregoing Discourse, that

the Sacred Mysteries and Rites of God's own

appointment have been prophaned and abused

even to Magical purposes, I will adjoyn here

the Testimony of (d) Petrus Crinitus, who

expresly tells us, that the Egyptians and o-

"thers, made and invented Magical Ceremo-

" nies out of the Scacred Rites and Observan-

"ces of the Jews, and that they were wholly

(a) Arca Noz. (b) Diatribo de Voto Jepiha.

(c) Jacob. Tirinus in Vet. & N. Test. (d) De honesta

"indebted to these for them.

disciplina, 9. 5.

of the Holy Scriptures.

Kircher, and Isaac Vossius have done their art in this Subject, but Huetius in his Evan-

rlical Demonstration hath out-done them, and

mong all the Ancient Hebrews and Patriarchs, wost that have writ on it. Among our own but afterwards they were depraved by the Countrymen, these deservedly are to be num-

Kircher,

"" Greeks, and Converted into Fables. (b) Lewis red, viz. Sir Walter Raleigh, who among fe-"Capell hath these express words, In the Old tral other passages hath these Remarkable 66 Fables of the Greeks you may perceive some

words; (a) "The Heathens did greatly enfhadow and Image, some dark and slying rich their Inventions, by venting the stolm Treasures of Divine Letters, alter'd by Pro-

"ftories in the Bible: Which might be de. phane Additions, and difguis'd by Poetical

Conversions, as if they had been conceived

out of their own Speculations. Next to

his Worthy Knight the Famous (b) Mr. Sel-

eir Original from Scripture-History, which

And he instances in several. And because I confirms by several Examples. You will

ad the Reverend (c) Bishop Montague (though

is Author's Adversary in another point)

reeing with him in this. "The Heathens

faith he, of Old, made use of many things which were taken from the Divine Polity

(4) History of the World, Chap. 3. Sect. 3.

in the Old Testament, but were afterwards

doak'd and difguis'd by the Malice and

fraud of the Devil. The Judicious Dr.

which hath two distinct Chapters of the

lattile Stories and Fictions being borrowed om the Bible. I will mention a paffage or

De Dis Syr. Proleg. (c) Diatrib. Anti-Bellarme,

"transferr the more remarkable Histories of may be mention'd, who avers, that the the Old Testament, and the Divine Mira. nost impious Customs among the Gentiles had

two

of the Holy Scriptures. 307

two out of some other places of his Works, ments, the most Judicious and Impartial

(a) If Moses (saith he) was forty days in Pens have adopted it for a Truth.

"his Den or Cave for the same purpose.

ec lel of the Holy City.

continual and ferious observation of this great Register of Truth (he means the Scrip staken and depraved sense of the Holy Writures) may find out the Original of all the tings of the Old Testament. " principal Heads, or Common places of Poetical Fictions, or Ancient Traditions, which

into Man's fancy, unless from the imitation late, whom I have already Named hath give us his suffrage most freely in this cause, and

hath undertaken to defend it in the close of his Origines Sacræ. I could produce half hundred more Authors of good Note and Learning, but I forbear, because I have don Celsus stifly maintain'd that the Mosaick Hi-From these I have quoted, you

may fee that what I have maintained in the Discourse is no idle fancy, no notion taken up by shallow Heads, but that the deepest Judg ments

"the Mount to receive Laws from Gods own have it upon the Authority of all these Exmouth, Minos will be Jupiter's Auditor in cellent Persons, and many more in former, In in later, and even in our present times, as emulation of Shiloh, or Kirjath-jearim, whilst well as upon the plain Evidences, Reasons, the Ark of God remained there, the Head and Arguments before alledged, that the thens had Dodona: And for Jerusalem Ancient Philosophers and Poets borrowed they had Delphi, garnish'd with rich Dona from the Bible, that many of the Gentile "tives, as if it had been the intended paral Fables are founded on the most Sacred Verities, that the Scripture is the Source and And he hath these remarkable words in the fountain from whence many of their Opinifame place, "Any Judicious Man, from the ons, Customs, and Practices sprang, that most of the Gentile Theology arose from the mi-

From the whole let me offer these three cannot be imagin'd should ever have come or four Consectaries, 1. We cannot with any hew of Reason admit of that Opinion which of the Historical Truth. A Worthy Pre holds that the Jews borrowed all or most of their Religious Rites and Ceremonies from the Gentiles. This, though it bids defiance to that Reason and Testimony which I have produced, hath had fome Abbettors and Patrons. Thus (a) Origen acquaints us that

story was borrowed from the Fables of Heathens. And with him other Heathens at

X 2 that

<sup>(4)</sup> Con. Celf. 1. 4.

that time concurred; and, to defend their forrow'd their Sacred and Religious Rites Idolatrous Traditions and Usages, afferted from the Gentiles, or whether (on the conthat Scripture History was a corruption drary) these borrow'd from them. Let us some of their Fables. The Story of the Floridar what he faith, (a) "There hath been they said was taken from Deucalion, and P4 a long time, saith he, among most Nativadise from Alcinous's Gardens, and the Burn ons a great Zeal and Emulation towards ing of Sodom and Gomorrah from Phaetons our way of Religion and Worship. There fetting the World on fire, &c. But Origan is not a City among the Greeks, or Barbarishews the absurdity of these allegations, from ms, yea, not any Nation which hath not the Antiquity of those Relations in Sacred received from us the Custom of Resting on Scripture, and thence proves that the Greek the Seventh day, and of Fasting, and of had these from the Jews, and not on the Lighting up of Candles. And several things contrary. He makes it evident that the Jewish which relate to Meats forbidden us by our Nation had the Original Traditions, and that Law, are also observed by Foreign Nations. others were corrupted and changed from thre this Knowing Person acquaints us that these by the Heathens. This Pagan Concest be Gentiles were followers of the Jews, not which was taken up on purpose as an evaluate of them; and particularly mentions some fion against Christianity, is revived by some ites which they receiv'd from them. With Writers of late, but by none more deligned his agrees what two confiderable (b) Rabbies ly and industriously carried on and improduce said, viz. "Our Law is the Law of ved than by a late Learned Man of our own Truth, and all Nations glory in it, and who hath delivered such admirable and choice every one of them hath taken a Branch things on occasion of pursuing this subject from our Law, and in it they glory: For and hath snewed himself so Great a Master the Laws that are among the Gentiles, are of all kinds of Literature, that we can as it were Branches cut off from our Law. Scarcely be displeased with his Notion that is whence it undeniably follows, that the Jewish at the head of all. I will not pretend to aws and Ceremonies were not taken from enter the Lists with this Great Champion tose of the Pagans. being conscious to my own inabilities, but this I will do, I will fet some Great Men  $X_3$ Christians upon him (though I have partly done it already) and leave him to grapple with them. Josephus the Learned Jew, was a Competent

Judge in this matter, viz. Whether the Jent (4) Πλάθεσν μου σολώς ζηλ. Θ., Θο. Cont. Apien, 1. 2. borrow. R. Himman, and R. Seloma.

(a) qui ipsas quoq; res Sacramentorum divinorum in Idolorum mysteriis amulatur. De Praseript. (b) Ex co textu manifestum est ceremonias Judaicas non esse petitas ex Gentilitate, sed ab ipso Deo institutas. (c) Consensus omnis inter Judzorum & Gentilium ritus ortus est ex Diaboli studio, qui pleraq, depravavit, & in

fuam venerationem transfulit. P. Fag. in Num. 7. 89.

tested (c) "All that consent, saith one, which

"is between the Jewish and Gentile Rites,

"ariseth from the Devil's study to deprave

many things which are in the Jewish Wor-

"as it were Play-games and Sports only in "imitation of the Pagans. Therefore, that those Rites may have that honour and dig-"nity which is due to them, we must hold "this as an infallible Truth, that all the "things in the Fewish Worship were accord-"ing to the Spiritual Pattern which was shew'd to Moses in the Mount. To which I add Cocceius's notable words, (b) I admit not "that the Jewish Law is an imitation of the Gentile Ceremonies: For on the contrary, "it is certain that it was made to draw off the Ifraelites from many of the Pagan Rites, by those several Laws which were in it. contrary to those Rites. So it became a Hedge or Partition Wall between the Jews and Gentiles, that they might not come near one another as to their Cercmonies, for from a likeness in these, there "would have followed a mutual Converse and Communion, and confequently a Depravation. As to Particular Rites among the Gentiles, as that of Sacrifices, and uting of falt in them, Spanbenius refers the Original of them to the Fewish Law, and the practice (a) Calvin in Ex. 25. S. (b) In John 9. 30.

The

of God's People, adding that (a) " This Jouis those before) are absolutely repugnant to the <sup>44</sup> Custom was by a fond imitation in the De-Learned Doctor's affertion, which he so often et vil, who sometimes is Gods Ape, made ne repeateth, that many of the Mosaical Laws " of in the impious and idolatrous services of shout Religious Rites and Ceremonies were tathe Pagans. So as to the Ark of the Testime. ken from the Rites and Usages among the Pamy, which the Learned Dean saith was in gan Idolaters. amitation of the Heathens; the contrary is

expresly vouched by (b) another worthy . But this Author is so Considerable and Writer in such plain terms as these, "Having Worthy a Writer, that it may be thought "thought of the whole matter (viz. the Ali his fingle Authority is able to counterpoize or Chests, which he had faid before were used (if not out-weigh) the joint Suffrage of the 44 in the Religious Mysteries of the Pagans,) Persons before named: wherefore I will make my Opinion concerning them is this, that the bold to Combat his Notion with a plain Text C Devil, as he was ever an Ape, and a Luof Scripture, which carries irrelistible Autho-"dicrous imitator of God's Works and Instirity with it. The express words of it are "tutions, so here particularly he had a mind these (in Deut. 12. 30, 31, 32. Take heed to "to fet up these his Arks against the Ark of thy felf, that thou be not snared by following "the Covenant made by God. And hear what them (i.e.) the Heathens,) and that thou ena late Learned Author, often commended by quire not after their Gods, saying, How did these the Worthy Dean himself, saith (c) "Chesis Nations serve their Gods? even so will I do "or Arks used at the Greek and Egyptian likewise. Thou shalt not do so unto the Lord Feasts, especially in the Eleusinian Solemthy God: for every abomination to the Lord " nities, with the Toys shut up in them (of which he bateth, have they done unto their Gods. "which Clement of Alexandria speaks) these What thing foever I command you, observe to were Images or Imitations of the Ark of do it; thou shalt not add thereto, nor diminish the Covenant among the Jews. All these from it. Observe here, the Jews were forbid Allegations and Testimonics (together with to follow the Customs and Rites of the Gentiles, and (in order to that) to enquing after those their Idolatrous Service, and the manner of

it. They must by no means serve the true God, as the Nations ferved their salse Gods and Idols. The Reason of this is readered, because every abomination to the Lord which be bateth, was done by them to their Gods.

<sup>(</sup>a) Per rano (nyíav, à Dæmone Dei simil, id ipsum ad impios & idolololatricos cultus traductum videtur. Dub. Evang. Pars 3. Dub. 91. (b) Riterbue. in Oppian. Kurnyar. c. 4.

<sup>(</sup>c) Buet. Demonfir. Evang.

Skill to the Learned World, and to try that

of the Holy Scriptures. of his Opponents. So much for the first Corollary from the preceeding Discourse.

2. From the Premises we may learn the Excellency of our Religion, viz. 1. That it is the Ancientest Religion in the World. We may plainly see the Footsteps of it in the oldest Times that were. The memory of it is among the most Celebrated Monuments of Antiquity. The Truths of it are to be read in the Histories of the First Ages, yea, in the Fables of the Old Poets, in the rusty and antique fragments of the Primitive Times of the World. 2. See the Reasonableness (which is another Excellency) of our Religion. Many of the Scripture-Truths were receiv'd by the Philosophers and Sages among the Gentiles, who had no other Conduct than that of their Rational Faculties. These Masters of Reason entertain'd some of the Grand Principles of our Religion, and approved of them, and acknowledg'd them as Rational. 3. See the Certainty of our Holy Religion. It is attested not only by Friends, but Enemies. It hath even the Approbation of Heathen Writers, who have Recorded, and thereby confirmed fome of the most remarkable things reported in the Sacred Writings, as the Creation of the World, our first Parents Happiness, and afterwards their Fall, Noab's Flood, the long Lives of the first Persons, the Building of the Tower of Babel, the Confusion of Languages, the Renowned Acts of feveral of the Patriarchs and first Worthies,

thies, &c. It is a great establishing of our Faith, that those Pagans derived so many things from Scripture. The Gentile Writers their Stories and Fables, that we shall find the wouch a great part of our Religion. Wherefore we must need imbrace it when it is attested by such Disinterested Persons.

The Gentile Writers their Stories and Fables, that we shall find the Greater Thing couched in them than the bare Narrative. For these Writers bortested by such Disinterested Persons.

3. We ought to take notice of the Wonderful Providence of God in this matter. Behold, the Scripture is attested by those who never owned its Authority, yea, the very Enemies of these Holy Writings ratisse the Truth and Certainty of them. The Heathen Poets, whilst they Corrupt Divine Truth, affert it. Their very Lies and Fictions bear witness to the Sacred Verities: their Fables confirm the Infallibility of the Bible. This is the Lord's doing; here the Great and Over-ruling Wisdom of God is scen. Here his Almighty Power in baffing Satan's Contrivances and Designs may be discern'd. He (as was faid before) intended the Corruption of the Scriptures, the filencing of the Truth, the Exalting of himself, and the Advancing of his Kingdom. But the All-Wise and Powerful Moderator of the World disappointed his Designs, and made this thing we are speaking of serviceable and beneficial to Religion; he made it become an Argument of its Antiquity, Reasonableness, and Certainty, against the Cavils of Atheists, and Infidels.

4. Henceforth we are reconciled to the Writings of Prophane Authors. We have this confiderable

of the Ancient Heathens, and by perusing their Stories and Fables, that we shall find some Greater Thing couched in them than the bare Narrative. For these Writers borrow'd many things from the Holy Book; their broken Stories are often-times an imperfect account of Scripture Relations. Sundry things in their Writings are gather'd out of the Divine Volume, but are strangely wrested, pervertrd, and obscured, by having new Names, and feigned Circumstances affix'd to them. Almost all the Gentile Fables, and Theology, flowed from a depraved sense of the Sacred Writings. The Poets disguise true Stories with many Fictions, and some Reliques of Divine Truth are buried under their ingenuous Fancies, and Fabulous Narrations. Ovid Transcribed the Greek Theology from Orpheus, Homer, Hesiod, and other Ancient Poets, and these had it from the Bible. The very Poetick Fictions refer unto real Story, and are drawn from the Divine Source of Truth. So that we are reading the Holy Scripture in a manner whilst we are turning over Pagan Writers. In these we meet with Truths Transplanted from the Sacred Book, we find many passages stollen from the Hebrew Fountains. It is not to be denied then that Scholars, and Students, yea, the very Candidates of Sacred Theology, may with great profit prie into these Writings of the Pagans, for here are the footsteps of DivineVerities. Prophane and Sacred Learning are to be joyn'd. The Gentile Monuments illustrate the inspired ones,

of the Holy Scriptures.

of the Holy Scriptures. ones. We may, notwithstanding the disguis Antiquity and Authority of the Sacred Book. which Poets have put upon the Stories, see the The Truth of the Historical part of the Old foundation of them, and perceive that those Testament is evidenced from Heathen Writers, vain Figments3 are grounded on some Solid not only Historians, but Philosophers, and Truth, and that a Sacred Treasure lies hid un Poets. A Man may, by comparing these with der those confused Fables. For this is not to be the Sacred Volume, find out the Original of the denied, that Palestine afforded Greece matter of Pagan Traditions, and Fictions, and observe fancy, and invention; the Pagan Poets were be the Lincoments of true and unquestionable Hifriended by the Jews, Athens was indebted to flory among them. Hence we shall have no Jerusalem, Parnassus was beholding to Sinai, reason to doubt that there were such Persons and Helicon to Jordan. You fee then the add and Things in being, as are spoken of in the Old vantage we may reap by being acquainted with Testament, and that the Passages and Trans-Prophane Writers, whilst we look further than actions there mention'd were real, and true. the outward shape which they have given to This admirably serves to evince the Authority of many things, and fearch into that Truth which those Writings, this proves the Truth of the lies hid under it, even the Sacred and undoubted Records of Holy Writ, and that they ought to History of the Old Testament. Thus we may be received as the Oracles of God, i.e. as make them ferviceable to far higher and better Infallible.

5thly, and lastly then, See the Authority, Truth, and Certainty of the Holy Scriptures of the Old Testament, which is the main thing I have been aiming at. I had proved this before by feveral Arguments, and those perhaps on fome accounts more Forcing and Convictive than this: but I thought good to add this to them, as no contemptible way of proving the Antiquity

these which are Prophane.

ends than they are intended. This is the best improvement that can be made of them, to fee the true Source of what is written by them, to understand whence they borrowed their matter, and to confirm our felves in the belief of the Truth of the Sacred Writings, by perufing

CHAP.

## C H A P. X.

The Authority of the Books of the New-Te-stament, confirmed by Pagan and Jewish Writers, who speak of a King or Lord that should come out of the East, and particularly out of Judxa. An Enumeration of the Opinions of the Learned concerning the Sibylls, with the particular Sentiment of the Author, viz. That the Contents of their Verses were horrow'd from the Old-Testament, and that those Women were not Prophetesses, but only related what they found in the Inspired Writings, or heard of thence. A full Answer to the Objections of those who hold the Sibylline Writings to be Spurious.

Ext I am to shew how the Scriptures of the New-Testament are vouched and consirmed yan External Testimony, i.e. how professed Pagans of Jews, Enemies to Christianity, have related afferted the very same things that are set down whose Evangelical Writings. First, I will begin in that which is of a middle nature, between that I have been discoursing of before, and what im now to ingage in, (which therefore may aptiserve as a Transition from one to the other,) I mean the belief and report recorded in Pagan whites, that a King or Lord should come from the set, and do great and mighty things. This was desired from the Scriptures of the Old Testament, and belongs to the former Discourse: but because

it is mentioned by Historians that were after they knew not how to fix this Rumour aright, yet out of Flattery, they could apply it to their Prinfon to be made to Him, (I rightly bring it in here) It was, I say, a constant Report that prevailed Universal Monarch arose from the Scriptures of he Old Testament, which frequently speak of a wards, that fome eminent Person or Persons should rise out of those Eastern Nations, and be Lords Left, and particularly out of Judea. Out of thee of the World. We find "Tacitus afferting this, Bethlehem) shall He come forth unto me that is to be and that great Politician and Statesman would k Ruler in Israel, Mic. 5. 20 Which is interpreted needs have it fulfilled in Vespasian and Titus, because of the Messias by the Fewish Sanbedrim, whom they were called out of Judea unto the Empire of throat gathered together, demanding of them where Rome. Suetonius agrees with this Author, and tells this should be born, Mat 2. 4, 5. That Prophecy us, that † it was an ancient and constant Opinion of Micab speaks plainly of a Few, one that by birth among the Eastern People, that some should was of fudea, yea of Bethlehem; and therefore in come out of Judea about that time, and have the ras most falsly applied to those Roman Emperors Josephus the Jewish Historian relates the same, and of staly; not from Bethlehem, but from Rome. clore named, who came not out of Judea, but acquaints us, that it was the common rumour and and as for Herod, he was not a few, but an Idu-Country should be an Universal Emperor; which the country should be an Universal Emperor; which Other fews thought this common rame tople. Behold, a King jhall Keigh in Righteoujnejs, meant of Herod, afferting him to be the Person ii 32. I. And in several other places of this Messias: These were the Herodians mentioned in ming is expressed after that manner. There was they him Dominion and Glory, and a Kingdom, that they People, Nations and Languages should serve him. \* Pluribus persuasso inerat, antiquis Sacerdotum literi dis Dominion is an everlasting Dominion, which shall

— केंद्र सकाबों में महात्रिंग देसलेंग्र में केंद्र कोंद्र करेंद्र कार्त कार्तात , is fet forth as a King, or Great Lord and สั่งรู้ผ ให้ร 'oine เป็น 'ns. De bello Jud. 1. 7. c. 12. Prince,

contineri, eo isso tempore fore ut valesceret Oriens, prosedique pass away, and his Kingdom that which shall not sudan rerum potirentur: quæ ambages Vespasianum on altroyed, Dan 7. 14. Which is expressy applied Titum predixerant. Hill. 1. 5.

† Percrebuerat oriente toto vetus & constans opinio, est ud in many other places of Scripture this Divince in fatis, ut eo tempore Judza profesti rerum potirentur. In testin, who was to come to redeem and save

The Truth and Authority

taken out of the Scriptures of the Old-Testament. Prince, one that should bear Sway in the World, This may feem to be strange at first, because the and wield his Scepter over all Nations. Hence Opinions of Writers have run an other way; but this Rumour was spread among the Eastern Peo-after I have plainly laid the matter before you, I ple, and especially about the time of Christ's birth, doubt not but the thing which I offer will easily that a Great Lord or King should arise in those gain your affent, and then it will rather seem parts, and spread his Dominion over the World frange that it was not taken notice of and imbra-Hence those Pagan and Jewish Writers before ted before. There have been these four Opinimentioned, speak of this Great Ruler and Mo- ons among the Learned concerning the Sybills Omarch, who is no other than our Lord Christ, the sacles or Verses. King of Kings and Lord of Lords. This they had I. Some fay they are Counterfeit, yea, that

Cap. 94.

bringing forth a King to the Roman in the mean time we suppose the thing spoken of People. Whereupon the Senate be to be real, and not counterfeit. † Regem po-

pulo Romano ing allarmed and frighted, made a naturam parstrange Decree, That no one bom turire. that Year should be Educated. This Prodigy, without doubt, refer'd to Christ, whose

Birth was in Augustus's Reign; this was the King that was to be born to all the World, which was then in a manner subject to the Roman Empire, and therefore might be call'd the Roman People

So the Sibylls Oracles or Prophesies are of a middle nature and Confideration, and therefore are just ly to be treated of in this place: As they were borrow'd from the Scriptures of the Old Testament they belong indeed to the former part of this Diff course; but as they attest the Truth of the main things in the New-Testament, they are reducible to this. I will confider them first as they are

from the Prophesies of the Bible, where 'tis so of some Christians (but Hereticks ) have imposed ten fore-told that a King shall arise, and gain an upon the World in this matter. This I will ac-Universal Empire over Mankind. To this we count for afterwards, because it will more pertimay refer that which \* Suetonius re- nently be handled under the Second Confiderati-\* In Angusto ports (and he quotes his Author for on, viz. as they are used as an Attestation of the it,) that a few Months before And Truth of the New-Testament. Indeed this Opinigustus was born there was this publick Prodigy, on rudely takes away the Subject of the Question, viz. a Proclaiming of this, That † Nature was and therefore must be considered in the last place:

> 2ly. Then, some have afferted that the Sibylls were divinely Inspired, and consequently that their Verses are Sacred and Divine. Justin Martyr, Armobius, Lattantius, and some other ancient Pathers cry them up as equal to the holiest Prophets. As God, fay they, spake by the Prophets to the Jews concerning Christ before he came, so he fore-told him to the Gentiles by the Sibylls, and the fame Prophetick Spirit was in the latter that was in the

former. Baronius, Bellarmine, and the Roman Do-Aors generally think the fame of them, and therefore they use their Testimony as very Sacred, and altogether Irrefragable. By the way, I might observe, that they are sometimes quoted by these and others of the Church of Rome to affert and coun-

countenance some of their Popish Doctrines: (So gion were revealed to them, if they were Wicked that it seems Popery was a Religion before there and Prophane? Would God vouchfafe so great were any thoughts of it in the World, and before and peculiar a Privilege to the worst of Persons? it had a Being.) But here Authors are divided a unhallowed Persons were honored with the Prophetick Spirit, and that those Irradiations and extraordinary Impressions of the Holy Ghost were made only upon Men of holy Lives and innocent Behaviours. Besides, these Prophetick Women speak of One only True God, and they inveigh against the False Gods and their Altars; which is a fign they were good and religious People. O. thers have a contrary Opinion of them, and think they were Irreligious and Prophane, for that Opinion of the Hebrew Doctors before spoken of is not always true, though it be generally fo. We read of Baalam, the Sorcerer of Mesopotamia, that he prophesied concerning the future State of the Fews, and concerning the Coming of Christ. Saul was a very bad Man, yet was endued with a prophetick Spirit. Caiphas, one of Christ's Judges, was stirred up by the Holy Ghost to prophecy concerning our Saviour's Death. And why might not God inspire Heathen Women, though they were Wicked, with a Spirit of Prophecy? And that they were such seems to appear from their Verses, wherein there are some things very Fond and Superstitious, (and so indeed they may be quoted by the Roman Catholicks in defence of their Caufe. ) This shews that they were not possessions of true Virtue and Goodness. But then I ask this, can we think that the choicest Mysteries of Reli-

3ly. Therefore, some hold that these Pagan Progain, for some hold these Gentile Prophetesses were pheresses spake not by a good, but evil Spirit. The Good and Holy Persons, others that they were not. Devil reveal'd these things to them, saith \* St. The former Opinion is grounded on that Tenent Ambrose, and helped them to fore-tell these sixture of the Fewish Doctors, that never any vicious and Events. And some have turn'd those foresaid Instances this way, telling us that Baalam, Saul and Caiphas, prophesied by the affistance of some Evil Damon. So the Heathen Oracles spoke truth oftentimes, though their Answers came from the Infernal Spirits. That these Sibylls received their Skill from Satan may be differn'd (fay fome) in the Errors and Superstitions which are in their Books, yea the Idolatries of the Gentiles are countenanced by them in some passages which occur in their Predictions. But then this may be faid to baffle this Opinion, that the fore-telling of fuch future Contingencies is not in the power of that Evil Spirit. Moreover, it is unlikely that these Gentiles ( were they informed by a Divine Intelligencer, but especially when they were acted by a Diabolick one) should have as clear, may a clearer fore fight and discovery of Christ to come, than the Fews, God's own People, and the holiest Men among them had.

4ly. It is the Judgment of † the Learned Isaac Vossius, that the Sibylline Verses (so call'd) were made and collected by the Jews. This he afferts only concerning those Writings of theirs which were extant before Christ's coming: for the fews being dispersed over the World, and knowing that Daniel's

\*Comment. in 1. Epitt. ad Corinib. 11. cap. † De Orace Sibyl.

gion

Daniel's Seventy Weeks were expiring, were stirred up by God to compose these Verses, thereby to fignifie to the Gentiles the approaching of Christ, But of the other Works of the Sibylls, viz. those that were afterwards quoted by some of the Fathers, he hath not the same opinion and esteem, but thinks they were made and compiled, or in plain terms forged by some Christians, particularly the Gnofticks. This is a very odd account of the Sibylls, and shews that the Learned Author of it was in a great fireight: He was first willing to reject the Christians from being the Composers of those Writings (which some had afferted, ) and yet it feems afterwards he retracts that Sentiment, and is not unwilling to believe that the Christians themselves forged and counterfeited these Sibylline Oracles. But if the Jews were the Authors of fome part of these Writings, then I ask, how came they to insert things savouring of Heathen Superstition and Idolatry? And if the Christians were Compilers of an other part of these Verses, how came they to infift in the steps of the former, and to add some things (as is faid) in favor of those Pagan Corruptions? But I difinifs this as a divided and distracted Opinion: Besides that I can't see why the Jews might not as well have produced the Bible to the Gentiles (for it had been translated into Greek, a Tongue intelligible to the Pagan World, a long time before our Saviours Coming:) that had been more Authentick than any other Writings whatfoever of their own Composing.

In the Fifth and Last place, I take leave to propound an other Opinion, which is this, I hold that these ancient Writings or Oracles were not made by Jews, but Pagans, and particularly by those Women call'd Sibylls, who made them in no other

of the Holy Scriptures. 329 other sense than this, that they took them out of the Holy Scriptures of the Old-Testament, and turned them into Verse. This is that which I affert as most consonant to Reason; and it is a farther Proof of what I have been so long infishing upon, viz. that the Pagans borrowed from the Bible. I am not follicitous whether these Women were good or bad, whether they were moved by God or by an Evil Spirit. There are some Inconveniencies in afferting of either fide. But there is a plain and easie way different from both, viz. that we are not to look upon them as Prophetick Perfons, as if they had a Gift of fore-telling all those things they speak of. No, they only extracted what they writ from the Scriptures, from the ancient Prophetics therein contain'd, especially from that of Isaiab. And so indeed in some fense their Writings may be faid to be Divine and Inspired; for whatever these Pagans fore-told was no other than what they took from the Inspired Prophets inholy Writ. They are Instances of the like nature, with those that I have so often produced in the foregoing Discourse, namely, Gentile Poets, that made use of several passages in the Sacred Volume, and inferted the main Substance of them into their Verses. And as those Heathen Poets mingled prophane Notions and Fables with the Sacred Dodrine and History (which I show'd before) so here it is as true that these Pagan Versifiers mixed some things that were Superstitious with their Pro-

phefies of Christ and his Kingdom, which they derived from the Old Testament. It is well known that there were Female Poets among the Pagans, as Sapho, &c. and therefore we need not feruple to believe that the Sibylls writ in Verse. Indeed the loofeness and neglectfulness of the Stile shews that

that it was done by some easie Poets. That they were Pagan Women is clear from the frequent Allegations of the Fathers, who reprefent them always as fuch, and produce the Writings of these Pagans as a proper Confutation of the Gentiles whom they dispute against. And the Heathers themselves acknowledged them to be fuch and no other, as is apparent from what you shall hear afterwards concerning Erythraa and the Cumaan Sibyll. Now, concerning these Poetick Pagan Women, I affert that they were no Prophetesses, no more than Hefiod and Ovid and other Pagan Writers beforementioned, and that they, like these, took some things out of the Old-Testament, (which also were much fam'd and talk'd of) and digefted them into Numbers. It is undeniable that in their Verses there are very notable Testimonies concerning our Saviour; there are mentioned many confiderable Circumstances of his Birth, Life, Miracles, Passion, Death, Resurrection, Ascension, and his coming to Judgment: but there is no more Reafon to think that these were spoken by them from a Prophetick Spirit, than to believe that Orphus and Homer were inspired when they refer to some things that are in the Books of Moses. If these had been Prophesies in a strict sense they would have been communicated by God to his peculiar People (to whom were committed his Oracles) rather than to common Pagans. It is certain that these were too Choice Secrets to be reveal'd to them. Wherefore it is reasonable to conclude they were taken out of the Prophefies of the Old-Testament, which were spread abroad among the Gentiles. The Sibylls only recite those Prophesies, but by no means are you to think that they were Propheties of their own. It is true, the Pagans hearing

of these Predictions, and not knowing the rise of them, attributed them to their Prophetesses the Sibylls; and so they passed for the Sibylls Oracles, as if thoseWomen made and endited them of their own Heads. But they are the Oracles of the Holy Prophets, and not of any Persons among the Pagans. The Sibylls are not the original Authors of them, but they were borrowed from the Sacred Volume of the Bible. This is the true Account of the Sibylls Writings, and by this we are rid of all the hard Confequences which may be drawn from the fore-going Affertions. We need not trouble our felves to enquire whether they had thefe things by Divine Afflation, or by the help of some evil Damon. We need not dispute whether they could be endued with the Gift of Prophecy, and yet be Pagans in their Persuasions and vitious in their Lives; or whether, if they were acted by a Diabolick Spirit, they could foretel things of this facred nature. For there is no necessity of maintaining either of these, because we can solve the matter before us without supposing any thing of this kind, viz. by holding that these Sibylls, as many others before them, took these things from the ancient Prophets in Holy Scripture, and drefs'd them up after their own fashion. All things agree very well with this Opinion, and we are press'd with no Absurdities, infomuch that I have wondred fometimes that this hath not been thought of by the Inquisitive. This is yet a farther Evidence of what I so largely pursued before, that the Gentiles insert into their Writings several particulars of the Old-Testament: and at the same time it's a Confirmation of the Truth and Certainty of the E-vangelical Writings, which is the next thing 1 offered.

01 100 2200 000 Βλέψεσην δε τυφλοί, άτας βαδίκοί Τε χωλοί,

cles and Verses, as they are a signal Attestation and Confirmation of the Authority of the New-Testa. ment. Behold here the main things relating to our

Secondly then, I will confider the Sibylls Ora-

Bleffed Saviour, plainly spoken of by these Pagans, whose witness in this case is very considerable. They declar'd in these Writings that there should be a great Change in the World, and that a New Governor or King should arise, and be very Eminent. Cicero frequently takes notice of this paffage of the Sibylls, and the Roman Senate was mightily allarm'd with it, and was affraid their Commonwealth would be turned into a Monarchy. Yea, Lentulus began to take heart from this Prophecy, (if you will believe Tully and Salust) and fancied he was the King the Sibylls spoke or. And others afterwards imagin'd that Julius Casar, or Augustus, or (as some thought) Vespasian or Titus were intended: whereas the plain truth is, that the Sibylls had only divulged in their Verses the ancient Prophefics concerning the Coming of the Messias, which were found by them in the Holy Writings of the

Fews, and began to be known at that time to the World. If we had no more to alledge but this, this were fufficient to prove the Authority of the Sibylls Writings. They tell us in their Myslick Verses that a Little Child should throw down Idolatry with his hand, and stop the Mouths of the Delphick Deemons: this was no other than the Bletfed Babe Fesus. It were endless to transcribe particular passages in these Writings, as concerning Christ's Miracles,

\* Πάν αλόγω πράσσων, πάσαν νέσον θεραπένων, Τὰς ἀνέμες πάυσει ]ε λύγως ερώσει δὲ θάλασσαν. BA6Which is only a Paraphrase on that Prophesie concerning our Bleffed Lord in Ifai. 35. 5, 6. and many other Texts in the same Prophet, which speak of the miraculous Acts which he was to exert here

Κωφοί τ' εἰσαϊσεσι, λαλήλεσ' ε λαλέον]ες.

upon Earth. So what is faid of his Sufferings, \* Εις ανόμων χείρας, κે απίσων υσαδον ήξει, Καλ δώσεσι θεω ραπίσμα α χέρσιν ανάρνοις

is borrowed from that Prediction in Isai. 52.

which is no other than a Description of the Melli-

a's Sufferings. And that passage relating to the Refurrection, and his coming to Jugdment, † Kai Jor' ανας ήσει νεκεθες μοί εσιν αναλύσας,

Kai davate nevlege, ned usegge ils nelow ilker

is founded on some peculiar Texts in the Old Teframent which speak of the Messias's last Advent and glorious Reign. Certainly it is of great moment that these Persons attest these things, the very same which were predicted in the Old-Testament, and which are recorded in the Holy Writings of the Evangelists and Apostles. This may be serviceable to refute the Objections and Cavils of the most professed Adversaries of Christianity.

Accordingly the ancient Christians, especially the

Fathers, made use of these Heathen Writings against

the Heathens themselves, beating them with their own Weapon. Peruse Athenagoras and Theophilus

of Antioch, and you will find these Womens

V orfes

<sup>\*</sup> Lactant. Inftit. 1. 4. c. 15. † Idem 1.7.c. 20. \* Idem 1, 4, c. 18.

Verses highly commended by them. Peruse \* Justin, and † Clemens Alexandrinus, and you will ice that they frequently quote those Writings, and rely on them in their disputes against the Pagans for Christianity. So doth Tertullian, so doth Origen, arguing out of these Pagan Books for the Religion which they had espoused. In Imitation of these Learned Fathers, Constantine the Great, in § one of his Orations, speaks very reverently of the Sibylls Predictions, and vindicates them as no contemptible Proof of Christianity. || Lastantius and Arnobius alledge them to prove the same. St. Augustin quotes the Acrosticks of Sibylla Erythraa, and turns them into Latin. Thus the Fathers used to convince the Gentiles out of the Sibylline Oracles, and the Old Christians constantly read these Writings, and appeal'd to them in their Discourses with the Heathers. From which practife of theirs the Gentiles (as (a) Origen testifies) stiled the Christians Subyllists; yea, the ancient Christians were so addicted to the reading these Books of the Sibylls, that they were strictly (b) forbid by the Laws to do it for the future upon pain of Death. And (c) we are told what was the Reason why the Emperors prohibited the reading of thele Books, namely, because they thence fetch'd many things that made for their Caufe. These Writings of Heathen Women were in those days reckoned to be a notable Testimony to the Truth of Christianity. Whence it appears that they were no Forgeries, for the Ancientest and Learnedest Fathers

of the Holy Scriptures. 335 Fathers (as well as other Christian Brethren) would not have quoted them to confirm the Christian Religion if they had been such. But we see they did frequently alledge them to that end, and especially in their Disputes with the Gentiles. As they made use of the Heathen Philosophers and Poets for attesting the Scriptures of the Old Testament, (as hath been shewed you) so they cited these Gentile Prophetesses (for such they suppos'd them to be ) to affert the Writings of the New Testament. It may be said that it doth not absolutely and necessarily follow, that, because the Fathers used the Sibylls Verses to consute the Pagans, therefore they were true, for they might suppose them to be such, though they did not expresly declare it. In answer to which I return, that it cannot but be granted that there is a great probability of these Sibylline Writings being true, because they are quoted by the Fathers: For I. Many of these knowing Persons use their Testimony. If one or two only did fo, we could make no conclusion from thence; but fince it is certain that great numbers of them (not only those before named, but others) expresly appeal'd to those Books, we cannot with any Reason slight their Allegations. 2. If these Books were quoted by the Fathers but feldom and rarely, there would not be so great a Motive to attend to them; but feeing we find them not only once, or twice, but very often made use of by them, it argues that they deliberately did it, and it invites us to give the greater attention and credit to them. 3. They quote them not as on Supposition only, but as True and Genuine, and fuch as may and ought to be de-

pended on. 4. The Fathers were Perfons that were

Competent Judges in this Case. Many of them were

<sup>\*</sup> Orat. ad Gent. & alibi. † Stromat. 1. 6. § Ad Sanct. Cæt. c. 18. || Inftit. 1. 4. c. 15. 18. (a) De Civ. Dei. 1. 18. c. 23. Cont. Fauft. 1. 13. (b) Cont. Celf. 1. 5. (c) Justin Mart. Orat. ad Gentil.

Judg-

were Men of Sagacity and of a Critical Genius, and were not easily to be imposed upon. They had also time and leisure to examine these Writings, and to enquire whether they were forged or no; and we are fure it was their Concern to do it, for their Religion depended much upon it. Wherefore those who blast the Authority of the

Fathers in this point, have little reason to do so. They were no credulous Fools, and fuch who took up any thing on trust; they were able to discern these Writings to be Counterfeit, (if they had been fuch) as well as any other Persons.

But notwithstanding this, there have been of old, and are of late, several Men that reject the Sibylls Writings, as Spurious and Counterfeit. And who should forge them but Christians? Here then I am obliged to answer that Cavil, that the Writings which go under the name of the Sibylls were forged by Christian Hereticks. This, it feems, was an old Objection, for Origen acquaints us that it was made by the Arch Pagan Celsus. And Lachantius after him, faith that this Objection was renewed against the Sibylls Books by some other Pagan Adversaries, viz. that they were forged by some Christians themselves. Behold also the Moderns concurring with the Pagans to defame the Sibylls. Scaliger is very warm against them, and holds that the Fathers were much deceived about them. \* Isaac Casaubon against Baronius, endeavors to prove the credit of the Sibylls to be suspected. Becman † is against the authority of these Writings, and faith they are Supposititious. David Blondel

utes all ways to prove them to be Forgeries and Impostures: and he holds they were the Fictions of some busic Christians, who had the boldness to impose upon the World by these Cheats and Romances. As many of the ancient Christians and Pathers, faith he, received counterfeit Gospels, Alls and Epiftles; fo they were cheated and abused by hese spurious Pieces of the Subylls. The Learned Dallé is of the same Opinion, and tells us that the Predictions concerning our Saviour and his Kingom were put out under the names of the Sibylls y some Christians, who were fallen into Heree. They had a mind to use a kind of pious Fraud. establish some part of Religion, they thought to cheat the World for their good, and fo they poblish'd these Writings under the names of those Prophetesses. \* The Learned Dr. Cave, who is ot wont to doat on these Moderns, follows them nthis Opinion very closely, and leaves the anciat Fathers of the Church for their fake: He peemptorily tells us that the Sibyils Verses were made nd feign'd on purpose by the Christians to upold their Religion and Faith; and they are dad by him from the Year 130, in Adrian's Reign: is is the first flight of them, he saith. But all

is is Suspition and Prejudice, and bold Assirma-

res, but no proof; which will evidently appear,

you confider (befides what hath been faid alrea-

y) these following things: 1. Some of the Si.

Werfes were extant before Christ's coming

no the World, as is confessed by ancient Christians

nd Pagans, and by all the Learned Antiquaries. the Acrofricks, which are concerning the Last

\* Exercitat. 1. ad Apparat. Annal. cap. 10. † Exercit. 14. cont. Photin.

Histor, Literar. p. 33, 34,

ules

plies it to Pollio's Son, who was born that Year.

He understands those words borrow'd from the

Judgment and the Confummation of the World,

( of which I spoke before ) which consist of so

Inous Xeisds Gen vids owling saupds, the first Verse be-

ginning with I the lecond with H, &c. thefe, I fay,

are mentioned by Tully in his Second Book of Divi-

nation, (and are in an other place inferted into

his Works, as Eusebius testifies in the Life of Con-

frantine, and faith they are translated into Latin

Verse by him ) where he adds that this is not a

Poem of a mad and frentick Person, for the Com-

posure and Contrivance of the Verse argues the

contrary, and shews attention of Mind, Skill, and

many Verses as there are Letters in these words,

Diligence. These Sibylline Verses, the Initial Letters of which point at our Lord Christ, are mentioned not only by Tully, but by Varro, who also lived before our Saviour's time. If then they were extant and famous before Christ's Birth, it is impossible they could be invented by the Christians. Whence it is plain, that all the Writings of the Sibylls were not obtruded by Christians, unless you will fay there were any fuch before Christ. Again, Virgil's Fourth Ecloque is not denied to be the same now that it was at first; and yet there he Comments on the Cumwan Sibyll's Oracle, which is a clear Prediction of Christ. Accordingly, in Constantine's Oration, † part of this Poem is applied to Christ, and look'd on as a Prophesie of him, although the Poet makes use of it in a way of Panegyrick to the Emperor Augustus, and to Asmini \* De ling. Lat. lib. 5. † Ultima Cumai venit jam carminis atas: Magnus ab integro sectorum nascitur ordo: Jam nova progenies Calo demittitur alto, Fam redit & Virgo, redeunt Saturnia regna.

Sibylls Oracle [ Jam redit & Virgo ] concerning Afræa; but the sense was much higher, there being a reference in those words to the Sign mentioned by the Evangelical Prophet, A Virgin shall tonceive, and bear a Son, Isii. 7. 14. Of that golden Age which was to come, he faith, --- Incipiint magni procedere menses. What Magnitude is in Bodies, that Diuturnity or Length is in Time; and so here is intimated the duration of Christ's Reign, Whose Kingdom is an everlasting Kingdom, and shall fand for ever, Dan. 2. 44. 7. 27. Or those Days and Months shall be Great, because they are the Lord's, to whom whatever appertaineth is Great; whence every thing that is in its kind the greatest is called God's. Several other things in that Ecloque are transcribed out of that Sibyll's Verses, and were meant of Christ's Coming, and the happy state of

its rife afterwards. I might go on still and shew that the Sibylls Oracles (or some of them at least) were mentioned by Authors before our Saviour's time, as by Plato in his Phadrus; by " Aristotle, who particularly names the Cuman Sibyll; by † Chrysippus, who makes

the World which should accompany it, which are

frequently spoken of in the Old-Testament, whence

the Sibyll borrow'd these Prophesies. No Man

can have the face to fay that These, made use of

here by the Roman Poet, were obtruded on the

World by Christians, seeing Christianity it felf had

<sup>\*</sup> Or the Author of the Book De Mirabilib. Auscultationib. † Lib. de Divinatione.

340 makes mention of her of Delphos, by \* Diodorus the Sicilian Historian, and † Pausanias, who speak of the same. Dionysius Halicarnass. takes notice of another, and Eratosthenes hath written of the Samian Sibyll; and Euripides quotes her of Lybia. Thus

we are affured from the Testimony of these Writers, who lived before Christ's Nativity, that there were fuch Perfons among the Heathers, noted for their Enthusiastick and Prophetick Genius, as they Suppos'd. Now, what Man, in his Wits, dares lay that the Christians forg'd the Verses of these Sibylls, when there were no Chrstans at that time! 2. Let it be confidered how fignally it was ordered by God's Providence that some of these Books of the Sibylls should be evidenced to be true and genuine. Before Christ's Coming the Verses of these Poetick Women were enquir'd into by the Gentiles; they were fearched and compared with other Copies, and the Spurious ones were reject. ed, and the rest kept and safely laid up, so that the Christians might alledge them (as they did) without suspirion of Imposture. These Books were first offer'd to Tarquin King of the Romans at that time, who bought some of them and deposited them in the Capitol, and appointed Officers on purpose to take care of them, as is related by several credible & Writers. In that place the Books continued till the Capitol was burnt, which was about fourfcore Years before Christ's Birth. And after it was rebuilt, Messengers were dispatched by the Senate into Asia and Greece to search for these Sibylls Verses, and accordingly a thousand of them

thers afterwards that were carefully collected were placed in golden Boxes in the Temple of Apollo; and when ever there was any great Affair on foot these Oracles were consulted, as appears from several good Historians. Both \* Tacitus and † Sueunius testifie, that when these Writings had been fought out and fetch'd to Rome, they were by Au. puffus's command diligently examined and reviewed by the Senate, and by the Quindecemviri, that the true Copies might be known from the False. Wherefore there is not the least colour of Reason to think that these Books which were thus searched into, and examined fo strictly, were Counterfeit; much less is there any possibility that these ancient Writings could be Figurents of the Christims; for they could not feign them before they were in being. 3. Nor is there any ground to think that the Vo-

lume of the Sibylls Verics, now extant, as to the main, is not the same with that which was before our Saviour's days, or that Heretical Christians corrupted it, and added to it. For first, if they did so, why is it not shew'd what Heresie, what salse Doftrin they upheld, and maintain'd by these Additions and Supplements of theirs? I fee nothing of this made out by our Adversaries. Again, If these Writings had been the forgeries of Christians, the Heathens would have certainly, at one time or other, laid open this Cheat, and let the World know there were no fuch Verses. But none of them ever pretended to do any thing of this nature; wherefore no Man of confiltent Thoughts can  $\mathbf{Z}_{3}$ imagine

<sup>\*</sup> Lib. 4. † In Phocaicis. S Dionyl. Halicarn. Valer, Maximus, A. Gellius, Pliny, Lactantius.

<sup>\*</sup> Annal. lib. 5. † In Augusto. c. 31.

imagine that these Writings were the meer Invention of some Christians. Nay, I could add from good Authors, that not only Heathers, but Jews, made frequent use of these Celebrated Books, and feveral of them were brought to embrace the Chri-Ilian Faith by reading the Contents of them; and truly when they faw many things fulfill'd which are here spoken of and fore told, it could not but induce them to think well of Christianity. Whence it is plain, that they had no suspition of these Writings; they had no fuch apprehension as some fince have formed, viz. that they were a Cheat, and that some Christians were the Authors of it. And then, as for using of Pious Frauds to vouch Christianity, there is as little ground for that; for deeing they had fuch a Cloud of Witnesses of all forts to attest the Truth of the Christian Religion, it was altogether fuperfluous and unnecessary to counterfeit any. Or, if we should suppose any fuch thing, and grant that some ill-minded Christians inferted some things of their own into the Sibylls Writings, yet it doth not follow thence that all is Spurious and Counterfeit. I know some condemn all, and others allow every thing that goes under the name of the Sibylls Oracles But I know no cause for either, but the usual one, namely, that Writers must run directly counter to one an other. This is their practife generally, but it is no good one, and I have no Inclination to follow it. I take an other way, the middle one. I do not think that all the Verses that bear their names are theirs, and genuine; and I am far from thinking that all are Counterfeit. What if we grant that fome things in the Collection of the Sibylls Writings (as in many others) are altied and super-added? Can we conclude from thence

that every thing in them is changed and corrupted? No furely. There were Counterfeit Gospels written, but these do not prejudice the others which are True: So there are many Pieces go under the names of the Fathers, which are Spurious, but we do not reject the rest of their Works because of them. Thus perhaps it may be here; tome Christians might add a few things, they might infert some Verses that mention those individual Acts, and particular Works of our Saviour, with some Circumstances which are no where mentioned in the Prophesies of the Old Testament. This perhaps they might do; I cannot wholly deny it, but this is no Argument that the main of these Books was not composed before Christ's time, and is Genuine and Authentick. Nay, we are certain that the date of them was long before: I hope I have fufficiently demonstrated that; Therefore let us not condemn the whole for the fake of a fmall part. We are certain that many things quoted out of them by the Fathers, and which are the clearest Attestations of the Sacred Truths of the Bible, are not Supposititious and Forged. We are certain that fome of their Writings were extant before there were any Christians to corrupt and adulterate them; and many of the particular Passages quoted by the Fathers in these Writings are now to be found, and are the very fame that they were then, and confequently they are now as good a Testimony of the Truth of Christianity, as they were at that time. But it is also Objected, that the Number of the Sibylline Books is unknown, and we can neither tell

of the Holy Scriptures.

how many the Sibylls or their Writings were; and as for their Quality and Condition of Life, there are uncertainly delivered. Nor do we well know

 $Z_4$ 

their

**344** Ine Iruin and Authority. their Names, as appears from this, that Cumaa in Virgil is put for Cumana, and other Mistakes there are. It is true, the Opinions were various concerning these things; their Names and Verses are often confounded, and it is hard to diffinguish them from one another. This is granted, and even by \* those who have with great Eagerness maintain'd the Credit and Authority of the Sibylls; they acknowledge that it is much controverted, What and how many these Prophetick Persons were, and in what Times they lived, and in what Countries they were bred Some fay there was only One; they think it was with the Sibylls as with the Jupiters and Hercules's, and other Gods, who were many, and yet but One. | Boifardus is per-Iwaded, that the same Sibyll travelled into divers Countries, and took her Name from the different places she lest her Verses in. And so a lat: Author # tells us there was but one fin or Chaldean. (a) Others add two more, viz.

非 Mr. Petit. Sibyll. There were two of these Prophetesies, saith Martianus Capella; three, faith & Pliny; four, faith Alian; feven, faith | Salmafius. Lactamius out of Varro, (that great Roman Antiquary) concludes them to be Ten, and names them thus, The Delphick, ( who was the Eldeft) the Erythraan, the Samian, the Cumane, the Cumwan the Hellespontiack or Trojan, the Lefbick or Libyck, the I brygian, the Tiburtine, the Per-

\* Baronius in Apparatu. Poslevinus, Montacut. Fxercit. 4. in App rat. Baron. Acts and Monuments, Chap. 3. Isaac Volfins de Sibyll. Orac † De Divinatione. § Nat. Hitt. 1. 34. 65. [ Plinian Exercitat. (a) Isidorus, Suidas, Oauphrius de Sibyll.

Epiratick and Egyptian, and make them a compleat

Donzen. Thus the Reckoning is not alike; but

of the Holy Scriptures. this is no Argument against what we have afferted. It is not material how many the Sibylls or their Writings were; it is frivolous to infift upon

this. They might all of them been put into one, if Authors pleas'd; or they might divide them into more, as the way at some Coffee Houses now is to deal out Pamphlets. Wherefore there is no reason to reject them on this account, seeing we have proved that their Books (were they more, or fewer) are owned as to the main by the Fathers and Primitive Christians to be true, and feeing they were frequently made use of by them as sufficient Witnesses to the Truth of a great part of the Christian Religion.

And as for those Moderns, who have rejected these Witnesses, we may, with reference to them, take up that Lamentation of a late \* Learned Writer, (who himself is partly guilty of the Fault he complains of ) Verily the Christian Religion hath no Enemies more set against it than Christians themselves; for you may observe, that there is scarcely any Prophecy or Testimony to be found concerning Christ among the

Ancients, which many even of the most Learned Men bave not endeavoured to weaken, yea utterly to destroy and annull. This is a very deplorable Thing, but it were easie to prove it most true in several Instances: You will meet with some of them in the following part of this Difcourfe, and more particularly in the Testimony concerning Christ which Josephus gives. But this which is now before us, is as Signal a one as any that can be named; for the Sibylls Verses are very express Attestations concerning our Saviour and his Great Undertakings. Yet

<sup>\*</sup> Vossius de Sibyl. Orac. cap. 11.

and the Bleffed Author of it? In short, the Pagans had their Temples, and Priests, and Sacrifices, and Oblations, and Prayers; and they had also their Scriptures, i. e. the Sibylls Books. In these was difcovered the Council of God; for the Sibylls, according to the import of their Name, were Interpreters of God's will to the Heathens. In these were exprefly fore told the Birth of the Holy Jesus, and many other remarkable things relating to Him: By these Oracles the Gentiles were pre-admonish-

ed of Chriff's Coming; it feemed good to God

full to Us as well as to the Gentiles; we may be fortified in the Belief of our holy Religion by what CHAP.

of the Holy Scriptures.

Yet how strangely do Christian Men endeavor to they delivered. They give a plain and clear sufenfeeble, yea, to baffle and subvert these Testifrage for Christianity and the Founder of it. The monials concerning our Lord? They tell us they ancient Christians thought their Writings to be are the Forgeries of Jews, and the Impostures of Authentick Records, though now fome are plea-Heretical Christians, and all manner of Objections sed to slight and vilifie them. They look'd upon they invent against them; yea, a late \*Writer them as good Evidences of the Christian Faith, pronounces these Sibylls to be mad and frentick and of the New Testament which containeth it; People, and so there is no heed to be given to and there is still the same Reason that we should what they fay. When it hath pleafed God to afesteem them as such, especially since the Objectiford us fuch a remarkable Confirmation of our ons to prove the falfity of these Books are very Religion from the Mouths of Pagans, is it not unmean and weak. Therefore (to conclude) till pardonable Ingratitude thus to vilifie and reject it? they can produce better Reasons against these Te-Is it not an Argument of a vile and perverse Spirit stimonials, I think we may fafely and reasonably to use all means, and those very shameful ones make use of them. too, to disprove that plain Evidence which these Sibylls bring, and to shut their Ears to that repeated Testimony which they give to Christianity

to prepare them for the Gospel, by these Forerunners and Messengers, as he did the Jews by their extraordinary Prophets. And they are use-

they

<sup>\*</sup> Servatius Gallaus.

It is proved from particular unquestionable Testimonies of professed Enemies of Christ, that there was a Person of such a Name, and that all the great and eminent Circumstances of his Birth, Life, and Death, are really true. As to his Birth, they attest the particular time of it, the general Tax or Enrolling, the wonderful Star, the Murthering of the Infants of Bethlehem. Then, as to his Life and Actions, Abgarus's Letter to our Saviour, and our Saviour's Answer to it, are proved to be an Authentick Evidence. What the Emperor Augustus did in relation to Christ, is consider d. The Defection of the Sun's Light, and the Earth-quake at our Saviour's Passion, are not wholly pass'd over in silence by Heathen Writers.

Aving thus premised those Particulars which are of a middle kind, between the former part of the Discourse and this; I will now wholly insist on fuch things as are more Appropriated to the Subject I am Treating of. This then, I will prove from Witnesses who are professed Enemies of Christ, (i.e. Pagans and Jews,) that there was a Person of such a Name, and that all the great and eminent Circumstances of this Persons Birth Life, and Death are really true. First, The Pagan Historians present us with his Name. Tacitus, telling how the Christians suffered for the firing of Rome, which

of the Holy Scriptures. which Nero laid to their Charge, faith, the Empefor inflicted the most exquisite Punishments on those Persons, \* who being detestable for their Villanies, were commonly called Christians, from the Author of that Name Christ. Here this Historian expresly sets down the Name that these Persons were known by, and His Name, from whom they took it. This was Christ; though, as we learn from † Lastantius, this Name was sometimes a little altered, for by changing of a Letter they pronounc'd it Chrest. Thus we read in || Suetonius, that Claudius banished the Fews from Rome, hecause they were always raising Tumults by the Instigation of one Chrestus. The § Learned Usher indeed is of Opinion, that here is not meant Christ our Lord, but some other whose true and right name was Chrestus. But (with Honor first paid to that great and justly admired Antiquary) it is more likely that Christ our Saviour is here meant, because Lastantius (as you have heard) tells us he was called Chrestus, and because it is clear from (a) Tertullian, that the Christians were called Chrestiani; and so (b) Justin Martyr informs us that the Christians were call'd Xensiavol:

yea, he feems to fay that the Gentiles did not give

them a wrong Name when they call'd them fo,

<sup>\*</sup> Quos per flagitia invisos vulgus Christianos appellabat; Auftor nominis ejus Christus. Annal. 1. 15. c. 44.

<sup>†</sup> Sed exponenda hujus nominis ratio est, propter ignorantiam errorum, qui eum immutata litera Chrestum solent dicere. Institut. 1. 4. c. 7.

<sup>#</sup> Judeos impulsore Chresto assidue tumultuantes, Claudius Roma expulit. In Claud. c. 25.

<sup>§</sup> Annal. ær. Dom. Anno 54. (a) Apolog. 2. ad Senat. (b) Sed cum perperam Chrestianus pronuntiatur a vobis. Adv. Gent. c. 3.

for they were truly Xgustrafor, very Good-natur'd, Sweet, and Benign Persons. But questionless it was a mistake in the Pagans, and the Historian above-mentioned was guilty of it. Some think he mistook not only our Lord's Name, but the time of this Fact which he mentions, imagining that Christ lived in the Reign of Claudius; but this was too groß an over fight for so knowing an Historian, especially he, living so near our Saviour's Time. But to understand this Author a ight, we must know, that it was common with the Pagan Writers to confound the Names of the Jews and the Chri. frians, and to fay that of one which apperrain'd to the other; ( nor is it a Wonder that Christians for a time were called Jews, because the first Chrifians were of the Fewish Nation) Accordingly by the Fews here ( who he faith were expell'd out of Rome) are meant Christians, who were lookt upon by the Geniles as Seditious and Tumultuous Persons, because their Master and Founder was reckoned fuch a one. And fo when this Writer faith they raised Tumults impulsore Chresto, the meaning is, they were fet on by His Example; He, though dead, had a great Influence upon them, and flirred them up to do what they did. Or, if you will understand Fews here in the strictest Sense, viz. such as profess Judaism, then it may refer to Theudas's Infurrection, who, though he was an Egyptian, as some gather from Acts 21.28. yet he headed the Mutinous Jews; which gave just occafion to the Emperor to banish all of that Nation and Religion from Rome. And because (as I have faid) the name of Jews and Christians was promilcuous among the Gentiles, thence Chrestus, i. e. Christ is faid to be their Ringleader and Impulsor. Pliny the Younger mentions the Christians and Christ

Christ by name, for \* he tells the Emperor that fome that were brought before him upon Suspition of being Christians, were found to be Persons of another Periwalion, for upon his Sollicitation they refused not to Curse Christ. This was the Appellation he was known by to the Gentile Hiftorians, and this is the very Title which the New-Testament to often giveth him. Thus far then the Pagans bear witness to the Gospel.

of the Holy Scriptures.

But from the Name I pass to the Person and his Actions, and most of the great and notable Circumstances which accompanied his Birth, Life and Death. First, we will speak of those four remarkable things which attended his Birth, namely the Particular Time of it, the General Tax, the Wonderful Star, and the Murdering of the Infants of Bethlehem.

First, Those known Adversaries of Christianity, the Jews and Gentiles, testifie that Christ was to come at that very Time when he came. It was the univerfally receiv'd Tradition of Elias, that after four Thousand Years the Messias should be born; for though that Celebrated Saying or Prophecy in the Talmud of Two thousand Years before the Law, and two Thousand after it, be not exactly true, for there were about Two Thousand five Hundred Years from the Creation to the Law, and from the giving of the Law to Christ there were not above Sixteen or Seventeen Hundred Years, yet the Prophecy may be made use of to convince the Jews, that the Messias is come, and it is a plain Indication of the Time when he was expected by them, even that Time when he blefsed the World with his Presence on Earth. Hence

<sup>\*</sup> Epist. 97. lib. 10.

it is that (when Christ was brought to Jerusalem to be offered in the Temple ) as foon as Simeon beheld him, he forthwith acknowledged him, and cried, out Mine Eyes bave feen thy Salvation. This is that Simeon to whom the Jewish Doctors had reference, when they faid, The Disciples of Hillel shall not fail till the Messias cometh; for this Simeon called the Fust, was one of the chief of those Disciples. Rabbi Hikiba, the Wisest of all the Talmudical Doctors, interprets those words of Haggai, † The Desire of all Nations shall come, of the Meilias; and it is confessed by all the Learned Jews, that he was ardently defired and expected, not only by that People, but by all Nations, just at that time when our Saviour came; for this was the Great Lord of the World, who was then lookt for by the Gentiles out of the East; this was that Universal Monarch, who was expected to rile out of Jury, of whom I spoke before. This was no other than the Messia; the Christ, whom all the World longed for at that time by a general Consent; and that was the fulness of Time, spoken of by the Apostle, that blessed Time when the Son of God was born of a Woman. So that the holy Records of the Gospel,

and those of Pagans agree in this. Another Circumstance of Christ's Birth, which the New Testament takes notice of, is the Tax that was made by the appointment of the Emperor Augustus; and this also is recorded by the Gentile Writers, which is a Confirmation of the Truth of the Evangelical History. It came to pass in those days, (faith St. Luke) that there went out a Decree from Cælar Augustus, that all the World should

denoteth. This was no Mony-Tax, but only a faing down or Enrolling of every Person according to his Quality, Age, and Station in the Place where he was. It was a taking in Wriing the Names of every individual Man, it was anumbring the People, and Registring the true vane of their Estates, Incomes and Revenues, and way of getting their Livelihood. A late \* Writer sof Opinion that the defign of this Census was to know the number of Soldiers, and what fighting Men Judæa afforded; whence it is, faith he, that Prophane Writers say not any thing of it, it being not confiderable. But he forgot that the Virgin Mary went to Bethlehem with her Babe to be Enolled there. Or rather he did not forget this for he makes mention of it afterwards) but he onfidered not that Women and Children are not. fually lifted for Soldiers. It is more agreeable hen to Reason and Truth to affert that this Deree of Augustus was for the purpose afore-mentimed, namely, that he might have a particular ecount of the Number and Quality of all his objects. The Emperor had Precedents among he old Roman Kings for this, for Plutarch tells us, hat Numa Pompilius, and Florus relates how Servi-

Tullius took an Account of the Age, Family, atrimony and Offices of the Remans, digetting bem into particular Classes, and forting them own in the Cenfual Tables. Augustus, by such a unsus as this, knew the Strength of his Empire, nd what the Riches of the People werein the fevealProvinces, and fo when there should be occasion

<sup>\*</sup> In Sanhedrim. † Chap. 2. v. 7:

Bynæus de Natali J. Christi.

this

for a Subfidy he could take his measures hence. For the this Tax, which St. Luke mentions, be not a Pecuniary one, yet it was in order to it. This Quintillius Varus, by the neglect and fault of the

this purpose, that they might more easily be taxed or sessed by the Head. And whereas it is said all Ludovicus Capellus. But this is an ill way of solving the World was Taxed or Enrolled, it is to be re- the Difficulty, because it disparageth the Holy strained (though not to Judga only, as some Text, and argueth it to be corrupted. If we adimagine, yet) to the Roman Empire. All that mit of these over-sights and mistakes of the Tranwere under the Dominion of the Roman Empire, scribers, we must impeach the S. Scriptures of Erat that time, were book'd and registred to the purfor. Therefore the true Answer is this, That poseaforesaid. This being a thing so well known Quintilius Varus was the ordinary President of Sy-

we may expect that the Pagan History, as well as it, he was the Governor Residentiary, but Cyrethat of the Gospel should take notice of it; and its (or Quirinus, or Quirinus, as he is call'd by accordingly we find Dio, Josephus, and Tacitus, Tacitus and † Suetonius ) was the Governor Ex-

raordinary, that is, he was fent thither by Augu-I know some are unwilling to grant that it is fur to make a general Tax there. Not that he the same Tax mentioned by St. Luke, because it is vas the settled Ruler of that Province, but was onfaid, this Taxing was first made when Cyrenius was appointed, at that time, to take care of that bu-Governor of Syria, Chap. 2. v. 2. Now it is Reness; and afterwards (upon Varus's Death) he
corded by Josephus and others, that Quintilius Vavas made President in ordinary of Syria. This, I rus (not Cyrenius) was President of Syria at that conceive, is the true reconciling of this Passage of time of Christ's Birth, and the same Authors & Luke, with what you read in Prophane Authors. relate that Augustus taxed the Empire in that his rightly said, that Cyrenius was Governor of Syfame Taxing which St. Luke Records? And More fame Taxing which St. Luke Records? And More fame Taxing which St. Luke Records? over, as for Cyrenius, he was, according to Jose articular Affair. This amounts in a manner to phus, commissioned by the Emperor to make a what Drussus and Petavius say in this Case. viz. Tax, not about the time of Christ's Birth, but a That Varus and Cyrenius were join'd in the Work; good while after. Whence it follows, that Augus on one began it, and the other carried it on and stus's Taxing of the World, or ordering Cyrenius to faithed it. And then, as to what is faid concernmanage it, which is mention'd by the Evangelist, ing the disagreeing of St. Luke, and the fewish His is different from that Tax which the foresaid Wri-forians, about the time of Cyrenius's Taxing, which

of the Holy Scriptures. ters speak of. Some answer the first Difficulty thus, Kughui is put for Kuivtini, Cyrenius for

Transcriber. This is the Opinion of Steuberus in

his Preface before Helvicus's Tables, and also of

Aaz

† In Tiber. c. 49.

\* Annal. lib. 3.

<sup>\*</sup> Antiqu. Jud. lib. 18, c. 1.

the former faith was in the Year when Christ was ffert moreover, that they are not different Taxes born, but the latter nine Years after Herod's Death, which are spoken of by St. Luke, and the Jewish

in the Reign of Archelaus; I conceive this differ. Historian, but they are the same; only the Inspirence betwixt them is easily adjusted. Which is red Writer relates it barely, but the other with done not by Distinguishing between the Taxes; some additional Circumstances. They may seem as \* fome tell us long Stories of a two-fold Tax, to differ, because one is called an Enrolling, and one under Augustus, without any Exaction of Tri- the other is represented as a Mong Tax; but if you bute, the other, under the same Emperor, but consider, that one was in order to the other, that with gathering of it; in the first, Christ was born, the taking Mens Names was but a preparative to but the other was a considerable time after the actual levying of Tribute, you will soon ap-St. Luke speaks of one, say they, and Josephus of prehend the Mistake in the fore-mention'd Histothe other, and so they are different Taxes, and rian, and see that he speaks of the very same thing. thus there is an end of the Controversie. I do not Nay, though he represents it in part, as a pecudeny, that there were two Taxes under Augustus, niary Census, yet you will find it call'd by him for | Dion, as well as & Josephus, affirms this; nay, Amosegon, the same word which the Evangelist Suetonius + lets us know that this Emperor caused a useth. Or, if they seem to differ, as to time, yet Census of the Roman Empire to be made thrice, they may be the same notwithstanding that; for That he did it more than once, is implied, in that it hath been observed by † Baronius, and several the Evangelist calls this Taxing under Cyrenius the Learned Criticks, that Josephus is often faulty as First; (for I do not attend to || those who think to the Timing of things, and so he is here, and by that The is as much as Testies, or that the Prepo that means confounds one thing with another; fition we is omitted by an Elipsis, so that it should but to a considerate Enquirer, it is evident, that he be thus, ned inmovedorto, before he was President of and St. Luke do not disagree, but that the Relati-Governor; and then the meaning is, that this Tax on which this latter gives us is confirmed by the was before Cyrenius was Prefident, which is a plain former. Eusebius was clearly of this Opinion, and perverting of the Grammar and Senfe of the was not affraid to affert, that I the Taxing which words, and therefore not to be regarded. It is called the first, because it was the first that was un of. Nor are we to attend to a | Learned Doctor \* Beza, Scaliger, Cafaubon, Grotius. † "Errepter deres are The island to The off of λεων απογεαφορήνως, (the very word used by St. Luke,) Hilt. lib. 20. § Antiqu. 1. 18. c. 1. # In Augusto, Cap. 27. & Cloppenburg in Syntagm! Bynxus. Jacobus Perizonius. l. i. c. 9.

Josephus speaks of, is the same that St. Luke writes of the Sorbon, when he charges this upon him as a mistake and falshood, unless he had back'd his charge with good reason.  $\Lambda$ a 3  $\Lambda_{\rm S}$ 

<sup>\*</sup> Joseph. Antiqu. 1. 18. c. 1. † Apparat. § Eccl. Hift. || Du Pin, Tom. 2 Cent. 4.

As for Tacitus's Testimony, that is denied by thing of Persons, it came to pass, (through the none, but it is granted, that he speaks of the first wonderful Providence of God ) that the Holy Jesus's Name, with the Time of his Birth, and the

The Train and Authority Tax, under which Christ was born. He gives this short Description of the Books of Taxes, which were made at that time, \* The Publick Revenues (faith he) were contained in them, the number of the Citizens and their Fellows that were in Arms. how many Ships, Kingdoms and Provinces there were within the compass of the Roman Empire, was exactly fet down, and consequently what Taxes and Impositions there should be, what was needful to be laid out, and what to be contributed in the leveral parts of the Empire: And all this Augustus writ over with his own hand. Thus the Roman and Jewish History accords with the Sacred one in this matter; it confirms the Relation of St. Luke concerning Christ's being born under the first Census. Wherefore it is falfly faid, that Augustus's Taxing all the World, i.e. all the People of the Roman Empire is not mentioned by any Historians, either Pagan or Jewish. It is true, this is matter that feems more proper for the Diaries of the Emperors, than for the Anmals of History; which may be the reason why it is not taken notice of by many, nor infilted upon by them. But we see it is not forgotten by some, but particularly recorded by them, as is evident from what hath been propounded. The same may be farther evidenced from the Censual Tables at Rome, wherein all Persons that were subject to

the Roman Empire were enroll'd according to Augustus's Decree. By reason of this universal Regi-Itring

\* Opes publica eo continebantur, quantum civium sociorumq; in armis, quot classes, regna, provincia, tributa aut veligalia, & necessicates ac largitiones; que omnia manu sua persoripserat Augustus, Lib. Annal. 1. 1.

Archieves in his time. Justin Martyr doth the fame in his publick Defence of Christianity to An. toninus Pius and the Roman Senate: There is a Town, faith he, call'd Bethlehem in the Land of Judma, five and thirty Furlongs off of Jerusalem, where Christ was born, as you may learn out of the Censual Tables made for that Tax which was under Cyrenius your first Procurator in Judwa. So he, both † Cyril and | Orofius take particular notice of this, namely, that our Bleffed Lord and Saviour was registred as a Subject of Cæsar, and as a Citizen of Rome. Moreover, that Women and Children, as well as Men, used to be Enroll'd in the Census, is testissied by Dyonisius Halicarn. (Lib. 1.) speaking of that which was in Servius Tullius's time. And Cicero (de Legib. lib. 3.) acquaints us that this was ulual. To conclude then, this Taxing was a thing known and open, and the Heathens themselves (as Celsus, Porphyry, and Julian) did not deny it. Thus the Publick Records of Rome vouch the Hi-Aa4 itory

Place of it, and his Stock and Lineage, were Re-

orded in these Publick Rolls, as some of the An-

cientest Fathers openly declar'd, and appealed to

these Records at Rome for the proof of it. Tertul-

lian testifieth, that this Tax under Cyrenius was let

down in these Tables, and \* as a most faithful

Witness of Christ's Birth was kept in the Roman

<sup>\*</sup> Testem sidissimum Dominica nativitatis Romana Archiva Custodiunt. Cont. Marcion. I. 4. c. 7. † Cont. Julian, 1. 6. | Romano censu statim ascriptus ut natus est, lib. 6. c. 22. Dicendus utiq; civis Remanus census professione Romani, Ibid:

flory of the Gospel. Therefore the Fathers appeal'd with great Confidence to these Authentick Tables, as knowing that these were a notable Confirmation of the Sacred Records, and particularly of what St. Luke delivers concerning the Tax which Augustus made.

The Third remarkable Circumstance of Chrift, Birth was the appearing of a wonderful Star, Mat. 2. 2. 7. 9. which is also taken notice of by Heathen Writers. There are great Disputes among the Learned about this Star, which appear'd to the Magi when our Saviour was born. Some have faid it was an Angel, some a fixed Star, others a Planet, and it hath been thought by some to be a Comet, or some lower Meteor. The true decision of the quarrel is this, That this strange Apparition was none of thefe, and yet it had fomething of all these in it. It is probable some glorious Angel prefided, as an Intelligence, in this shining Body, and directed its Course and Motion. It might pass for a fixed Star or a Planet in respect of its heighth, if you confider at what diffance it was feen. \* We have feen his Star in the East, faid the Wife Men, i. e. they being in the East (in Chaldea, Persia, or Arabia, for 'tis question'd from which of these they came ) saw his Star at Beth'ehem or Jerufalem. Or if this Interpretation be not admitted, but that the meaning be thought to be this, that they faw that Star, it being then in the East, in those parts where they were, in those Eaftern Countries where they lived, and that they came along by the conduct of it to Judea, then this argues its orderly Motion from East to West, and so shews its resemblance to the ordinary Stars.

Stars. It had also something of a Comet in this, that \* it came and stood over where the young Child was, that is, as I conceive, it directly darted its Beams in a Line, as it were, upon that very place where the Holy Babe was; its glorious Train which came from it spread it fell towards that quarter, and fo this heavenly Light shew'd where the House was that was bless'd with such a Guest. In this respect also it had the nature of a Meteor, that it was partly in the lower Region, and there hover'd for a time. But if we strictly consider the nature of this Apparition, we shall find, that it really was none of these which I have named. It was not an Angel, (although guided by one) whatever fome fanciful Men have imagined, for this is not the way of the Holy Spirit's speaking here, as you may fee in this very Chapter, where that particular fort of Messenger is called in plain terms an Angel of the Lord, v. 13. and so again, v. 19. It could be neither fixed Star nor Planet, bocause both its Motion and Appearance were interrupted. They faw it when they were in the East, but it disappear'd afterwards, or moved an other way when they came to Ferufalem, otherwife they would not have asked (as they did) † Where is he that is born King of the Jews? It is evident hence, that it did not shew 'em where Christ was when they came at first to ferusalem; but afterwards it appear'd again, and not only fo, but conducted them to the place where the Bleffed Babe lay. It was no Comet, for the length of its duration proves this, it being feen two Years before Christ's Birth. | Herod fent forth and slew all the Children that were in Berhlehem, and in all the Coalts

<sup>\*</sup> Mat. 2. v. 9. † Mat. 2. v. 2. | Mat. 2. v. 16.

Coasts thereof, from two years old and under, according to the time which he had diligently enquired of the wise Men, that is, according to the time the Star had appeared, of which he was particularly informed by the Wife Men. Hence a \* Learned Man infers, that these Eastern Sages came not to visit Christ till two years after he was born. But this doth not follow thence, for the Star might appear a Year or two before Christ was born, and give those Sages an Intimation of some strange thing that was to come to pass; and 'tis likely that after it had appeared a good while, and they were throughly confirmed in their Perswasion, that fome great thing was to happen, as fignified by this new and unexpected Luminary in the Heavens, they fer forwards towards Judea, which they knew was the Scene of the greatest Wonders in the World, and they were a long time on their Journy from those remote parts which they left, ( perhaps fometimes making a halt, or fometimes going back, accordingly as their Apprehensions and Surmifes were concerning this new Phone. menon in the Heavens, ) two Years or thereabout might be fpent from the time of the first appearing of this heavenly Light till their arrival at Je. rusalem. From this we gather that it was of great Continuance, fuch as is never known to be the duration of Comets.

Some think this new Star appear'd only to the Magi, because (say they) otherwise Herod would not have so diligently enquired of them concerning its appearing, for he might have learnt that of his own Subjects. But to understand this aright, let us observe the words, † Herod, when he had privily

calld

time the wife Men, enquired of them diligently what time the Star appeared, i. e. either first what was the time of its usual Rising, for it did not appear always, night and day: Or secondly, Perhaps the Inhabitants of Ferusalem, and other Ferus, did not observe it, it being no great and large one; but these Magi, who were skill'd in the Stars, and took notice when a new one shew'd it self, knew the time of its rising; therefore Herod enquired of them. Or thirdly, He enquired what was the time when the Star began sirst to appear. And that This is she true meaning, is evident from what is said in the Sixteenth Verse of this Chapter, viz. That Herod slew the Children from two Years old and under,

according to the time which he had enquired. Where

you fee these two are joined together, and answer

to one another, the Age of the Children, and the

time fince the appearing of the Star. Herod had

been told by the Wife Men that this had appear'd

about Two Years; therefore he ordered all Chil-

dren born within that time to be flain. Hence it is

plain, that the time of the Stars first shewing it

felf, which was in those Regions where the Wife

Men inhabited, was the thing which Herod made

enquiry about, and in which he could not be fatisfied by his own Subjects. But it doth not follow from this that the Star was not feen by them
at all, nor by Herod himfelf, because his enquiry
was not about the present appearing of it, but
only concerning the time of its sirst Appearance.
Besides, if it was seen of none but the Magi, then
it could not be expected it should be taken notice
of in the Writings of ther Pagans; but we find that
it is taken notice of, which is the chief thing I am
concern'd in at present. The Sibylls in their Verfes prophetically speak of it, or rather (as I have
showed

shewed before) borrow it from the Old-Testament, wherein is \* Baalam's Prophecy concerning the

Star, which though it is chiefly meant of Christ

himself, yet it may not exclude this unusual Star

which usher'd him into the World. But Virgil,

who Transcribes the Sibylls Verse, applies it in

Court-flattery to the Emperor Augustus.

of the Holy Scriptures.

appear'd at Christ's Nativity, and which brought the Wise Men to visit him; for there might be (it is probable) a Rumour abroad in Pliny's days, that in Augustus's Reign a Comet appeared in Judea, which had the Image of him whom the Christians call'd God Man. However, they are very flrange words which this Writer atters, and deferve your Confideration. Macrobius, an Enemy of Christianity, speaks of the coming of the Magi from the East to Jerusalem; and Julian the Apoflate confesseth the appearing of a new Star, though he trifleth about folving the manner of its appearing. This Testimony of our Adversaries is sufficient.

Again, Herod's murdering the Babes of Bethlehem, is mention'd by Prophane Writers, as well as by the holy Evangelist, Mat. 2. 16 Dion in the Life of Octavian Casar hath left a brief Memorial of it. But you will find it recounted more particularly by Macrobius, who not only tells us of Herod's killing the Children of Bethlehem, but of flaying his own Son. It feems this bloody Man had put to death two of his Sons (Alexander and Aristobulus) before, and now his Son Antipater also is dispatched about the time of the flaughter of the Infants, and upon the fame account and jealousie, to fecure the Sovereignty of Judea to his other Sons (who were born after he was King) for whom he defigned it. He adds, that the Tidings of both (that is, Herod's killing the Infants of Betblehem, and his own Son together with them ) being brought to the Emperor Augustus, his witty remark or reply was this \* It is better to be Herod's

\* There shall come a Star out of Jacob, Num. 24. 17. † Eclog. 4. || Est queq; alia fanction & venerabilior Historia quæ perhibet de ortu stellæ, &c. Apud Marsil. Ficin. Tract, argenteo crine ita refulgens ut vix contueri liceat, specieg; humana, Dei Effigiem in se ostendens.

† Ecce Dionæi processit Cæsaris astrum.

After its Appearance it is mentioned by Chalcidius a Platonist in his Comment on Plato's Timaus, where speaking of the wondrous Presages of Stars mentioned in Writers, he faith, | There is another more Haly and Venerable History, which tells us of the appearing of a certain Star, which did not denounce Discases and Death, but the descent of a God to converse with Mankind, which when the Chaldean Sages faw they took it to be the fore-runner of a Deity, and they forthwith fought him out and worshipped him. This Star is mentioned by \* Pliny, under the name of a Comet, (so all extraordinary Stars were call'd) which appear'd in the latter end of Augustui's Reign; and he adds, that that one Comet is adored and reverenced all the World over. But hear what he faith farther in the same place, speaking of the several Species of Comets, † There is a bright Comet hath appear'd, which by reason of its Silver looks, was so refulgent, that it could scarcely be look'd upon; it had the shape of a Man, and at the same time shew'd in it the Essies of a God. He might mean the Star which

<sup>\*</sup> Quum audiffet Augustus inter Pueros, quos in Syria Rex Judæorum intra bimatum juffit interfici, filium quoq; ejus occifum, ait, Melius est Herodis Porcum esse quam Filium, Saturnal. 1. 2. c. 4.

the

366 The Truth and Authority Hog than Son, (because Herod being as to his Reli-

gion a few, would not have kill'd his Hog. ) Or, if it be granted, (and I shall not be very unwilling to do fo) that Augustus could not hear of this, because Antipater was no Child, but grown up in

years, and was not at Betblebem when the flaughter

of the Children was, but " was flain five days be-

fore his Father's death, ( which yet was not long

after this ) Admit this, I fay, yet all that can be

faid is, that Macrobius represents not the Story aright

as to all its Circumstances, especially the Time;

but notwithstanding this, he gives Testimony to

the main thing ( which is, that we are to attend

at present ) viz. the slaughter of the Children by

the Command of Herod, especially of such who

were born + within the space of two Years, which is

the thing St. Matthew expresly records. Tertulian

appeals to the Cenfual Tables and publick Acts at

Rome for the Truth of this, which shews it was a

thing well known to those Pagans. Nor were

the Jews backward to assert this, as you will see

in † Philo, who relateth that Herod's Son was killed

with the Children. If it be objected here that the

famous Fewish Historian saith nothing of this Mas-

facre of the Innocents at Bethlehem, I answer, I.

Nor is there any mention of it in the other three

Evangelists. This is no uncommon thing for one

Evangelist to record that which none of the others

fo much as make mention of. 2. Many things in

the Old Testament, and those of great Fame, are

omitted by this Author, who defignedly took up-

on him to give an Account of all the great Oc-

currences among the Jews. He hath nothing of

\* Joseph. Antiq. Jud. 1. 17. c. 10. † 2000 Steries, Mat. 2.16.

Intra bimatum, Macrob. | In Breviario Temporum.

of the Holy Scriptures. the History of Job, nothing of the Golden Calf, and other matters worthy of our Observation; wherefore let us not wonder that this Historical Passage in the New-Testament concerning the Infants of Betblebem is not mentioned by him. 3. It is sufficient, that this Murther of the Infants is testified by one of his own Nation, and by Heathen Men,

as you have heard. It is probable that other Gen. tile Historians had delivered the fame; for it had been recorded some where, as appears from Macro-

bius, who received it from those Authors.

Secondly, after Christ's Birth we are to consider his Life, and some notable things which accompanied it, and to shew that these also are attested by those that were professed Enemies of Christianity. That there was fuch a one as Jesus who lived in Judea in Tiberius's time, and did great and wonderful things, and was followed by many of the

Jews, and was acknowledged by them to be the

Messias, and sent from God, and was famous for

those Wonders and supernatural Effects which he wrought in the fight of the People, is not difowned by the greatest Adversaries of the Christian Religion. Hierocles freely confesseth it, as you may read in Eusebius. Celsus, Julian and Porphyry, deny not the Miracles done by Christ and the Apostles, as several of the \* ancient Fathers assure us; they confess the matter of Fact, that he cured the Blind and Lame, &c. but they afcribe it to Magick. In short, they give affent and testimony to the chief Passages of the Evangelical History concerning our Saviour, (though they cavil at others, and strive to confute them) They frankly acknowledge

<sup>\*</sup> Origen cont. Celsum. Cyril cont. Julianum. Augustin. de Civ. Dei. 1. 22. c. 8.

368

down there. This Testimony of the Pagans is irrefragable and undeniable. And fuch is that of the fewish Writers, who frequently make mention of Christ, and acknowledge there was such a Perfon, and that he lived at that time in which we fay he did, and that his doings were no less than miraculous, and exceeding the power of Nature. This the fews, who then, or not long after lived, report concerning Him, as you may fee in the \* Talmuds. To this purpose Buxterf in his Talmudick Lexicon may be confulted, and our Learned Lightfoot in his Harmony and Hora Hebraica, where he hath abundant Proofs concerning the matters of Fact mentioned in the Evangelical Wri-

other Confirmation of the History of Christ the True Messias. Besides this, I will mention some things which happened among the Pagans at that time when Christ was on Earth, as an Assurance to us that there was fuch a Man, and that he did fuch and fuch things. Thus I might produce † Publius Lentulus, the Roman Proconful his Letter from Jerufalem to the Senate of Rome, describing our Savl-

\* Talmud Hierosolym. Sanhedrin, cap. 7. Talm. Bab. Sanhedrin, Gem. 43. 1. † Eutropius, Hist. Compend. Nicephor. l. I. C. 40.

our as to the Colour, Shape, and Proportion of his

Body. I do not know any reason why we should

doubt

bubt of the Credit of this Testimony, unless it be his, that it is not taken notice of by any very aneent Writer. The Chronicles of Edeffa I might ext mention, which tell us of Abgarus's or Agbrus's Letter to Christ, and Christ's Answer to lim. \* Eusebius, who fets both down, acquaints s that he transcribed them out of the publick lables and Records of the City of Edessa, and but the Originals were extant at that time when wrote his Ecclefiastical History. There is some pobability of this from what the Evangelist faith, hat † Christs Fame went throughout all Syria, and fo

lbgarus, who was a King in that Country,

and whose Residence was in Edessa, might come

ba knowledge of our Saviour, and be defirous

b hold a Communication with him by Writing.

of the Holy Scriptures.

and what though the Evangelists are wholly sient about it? This is not to be wondred at, for they omitted abundance of Passages belonging to our Saviour's Life, as appears from John 20. 30. tings, even out of Talmudick Authors. This is an. at. 15. As for the Author of the foresaid Relation, is Authority is unquestionable in this matter, for ke is univerfally acknowledg'd to be an honest and faithful Historian; and here he declares to the World that he saw the Originals of these Epifiles in Syriack at Edessa, and translated them thence into Greek. It is indeed the peculiar Excellency of this Historian, that he produces the ancient Monuments for what he delivers. This makes him a Writer worthy to be credited: For what an be more defired than the citing of the Authors and unquestionableWitnesses from whence he had his Relations, and the inferting of Extracts faithfully taken out of them, as is usual with those that Bb Write

<sup>\*</sup> Eccles. Hift. k 1. c. 13. † Mat. 4. 24.

write Annals? Observe it, he took these Epistles out of the Syriack, in which they were writ; and that is the reason why other Authors and Writers before him fpeak nothing of them, they being ignorant of the Tongue; but he having Skill in it, found out this choice Piece of Antiquity. After- many for us. If we should only grant that Eufebius wards these Letters are mentioned and appeal'd bund them among the Records of Edessa, this is to by Darius Comes in an \* Epiffle of his to St. Au- ery confiderable. Though, I think, there is good gustine. And † Ephrem, who was a Syrian himself, Evidence of the Truth of these Writings, yet I am and was well skill'd in the Syriack Writings, yea, not mightily concern'd whether these Writings and was a Deacon of that very Edessa where these were real or seigned, that is, whether Abgarus did Epistles were kept, makes mention of them parti- and such a Letter to Christ, and whether our Sacularly, and afferts the Authority of them. There- lour return'd an Answer to it. This is sufficient, fore those excellent Men, Casaubon and Montague, hat Eusebius, who translated them out of Syriack are strongly enclined to embrace them as true ato Greek, was well satisfied that there were such and genuine. A late worthy and industrious Wri-Records at that time in Edessa. Whether they ter, † looking upon them as fuch, reckons our Sa-were Spurious, or not, is not fo material; for, wheviour and this Abgarus among the Ecclesiastical Wri- ther they were such or not, they give a Testimoters of the First Age; and | farther professeth, that my of the Person whom we speak of, they certifie upon a diligent enquiry into these Letters he can- sof this Truth that such a one really was at that not discern any slaw or falshood in them, he can time when these Records bear date. For suppose not find any appearance of Fraud and Imposture; the People of Edessa forged them, as being ambitihe fees nothing unworthy of our Bleffed Lord in Justo retain the Memory of their Prince. and to the stile or contexture of that Epistle which is attributed to him. Yea, next to the Bible he thinks these are the most remarkable and venerable piece of Antiquity that respects Christianity. As to those Objections which are started against the Authority of these Epistles by a \* Learned Divine of the Sorbon, it must be said that they are unworthy of him, for they are very frivolous and groundless, and he might have used the same Arguments againlt

\* Ep. 263. | Tom. 3. F. 1. Num. 4. | Exercitate ad Baron. 13. cap. 31. \* Orig. Eccles. Tom. 1. † Dr. Cave Histor. Literar. | In his Apostolici, \* Du Pin Bibl. Patr. pag. t.

of the Holy Scriptures. gainst many parts of the Evangelical History, nd the passages that occur there.

But suppose, after all, that these Epistles were or really written by Christ and by Abgarus, yet notwithstanding this) they are no mean Testi-

elebrate it by this particular Memorial inferted nto their Records, yet this makes not a little for our purpose; for though we should grant the letters to be Supposititious, (as some Learned Men have concluded them to be) yet the Regidring of flich may be true; though they seigned hele in a politick Remembrance of one whose Name they intended to transmit to Posterity, yet the Recording of them is thus far an Attestation given to Christ, that hereby his Person and Worth were acknowledg'd by these Edessens so long ago.

But I pass this by.

might happen, and yet the first and truest Mo-

tive to his refusing that Title might be with refe-

rence to our Lord Christ, who was born not long

before. The reason to believe it is this, that this Emperor was much changed after Christ's Birth,

and after the Fame of him was spread abroad

he became a great favourer of the Jews and their

Religion, as Philo the Jew acquaints us in the

Account which he gives of his Embassy to Caius

in behalf of his Country-men of Alexandria. He

there relateth feveral particular kindnesses which

he shew'd to the Jewish Nation; and all grant

that Philo is a very credible Author in this cafe.

And though | Suctonius gives an Instance of his

Aversion to the Jows and to Jerusalem it self, yet

it is likely this was before the other, and to it in-

hanfeth the Emperor's after-Esteem and Favour

for that Nation and People. If you thus confider

that he was now much altered, it is not hard to

believe that his putting out the foresaid Edict was

of the Holy Scriptures. The Truth and Authority done in honour to Christ: He would not be called I could relate here what was done by Pagans in Lind after our Saviour was come into the World, Testimony of their acknowledging and approving of who was Lord of Lords and King of Kings. And Christ. Thus the Emperor Augustus refused the this may appear to be the more probable if that Title of Lord, faith Dio; and it is not improbabe true which is farther related of Augustus, that ble that he did it on our Saviour's Account. about the close of his Reign he inquired at Apollo's Some indeed tell us that it was upon another oc-Oracle, who was to adminster the Assairs of the casion, viz. when at a Play Dominus equis & bo. Empire after him, and received this Answer, mus was pronounced, and thereupon the People, as if the words were faid of Augustus, with great figns of Joy shewed their Approbation of them, Παις Εβραι Ο κελεταί με Θεός μανάρεωτι ανάσων The St Source mentage, Te x dusis ais of inest the Emperor labour'd by signs to stifle their Flat-Λοιπον, άπιβι σίγων διι βωμών ημέ[εράων. tery, and the day after put forth an Edict, forbidding any to call him Lord. Such a thing as this

done

A Hebrew Babe, a God himself, and King Of blessed Subjects bids me quit this place, And trudge again to Hell; wherefore, great Sir, From these our Altars silently be gone.

Whereupon the Emperor left off Sacrificing, and returning to Rome, built in the Capitol an Altar with this Inscription, Ara Primogeniti Dei. But because no very ancient Historian reports this, and \* those that do are thought to be sometimes fabulous, therefore I offer it not as if I much relied upon it. Nor do I on that other patlage in † Suidas, viz. that one Theodosius a Jew ascertain'd a Christian whom he discours'd with, that Christ was chosen one of the Priests of the Temple upon the death of another, and that they writ him down (as the Custom was to Register the Names of those that were elected Priests, and to assign also their Parents Names) The Son of God and of the Virgin Mary. The Book wherein this was re-Bb3corded

<sup>\*</sup> Hist. Rom. lib. 55. † Paulus Orosius, lib. 6. cap. 20. # In Octavio, cap. 93.

<sup>\*</sup> Nicephor. Eccles. Hift. 1. 1. c. 17. Cedrenus, Suidas in \*erbo [Angustus] + In verbo [Jesus]

corded was kept in the Temple till the Destruction lives an Account of in an \* Epistle that he wrote. of ferusalem, and it was well known to the Priests during us that it was seen, not only by himself. and Rulers of the People. This is a remarkable but by Apollophanes, who was at the same time Testimony, but because it wants evident Autho- with him at Heliopolis in Egypt. They were both rity, I will not infift on it. That which I have greatly aftonished, concluding some strange thing faid already may suffice towards the proving what was happening to the World; but Dionysius 1 is I undertook, that Chrife's Life is attested even by faid to have cried out in such Language as this, Pagan Witnesses Either the God of Nature suffers, or the Frame of the

gives

Thirdly, his Death, with some of the most con- World is like to be dissolved. This is that Dionysius fiderable attendants of it, is related by Perfons of who is call'd the Areopagite, Acts 17. 34. For re-Pilate, and that in the Reign of Tiberius. Lucian, who was famed for his Taunts and Scoffs at the Christians, calls their Great Master and Founder, † The Man that was fastned to a Gibbet and hung up upon it in Palestine. And this is confess'd by Jews as well as Pagans; the particular manner of his Suffering, namely on the Crofs, is acknowledg'd by the Talmudick Writers very often; and by the Jews in Contempt and Scorn our Saviour is blafphemously call'd Talui, suspensus, He that was hang'd. The Eclipse at Christ's Passion, mentioned by the Evangelists, and that as an | Universal One, is left upon Record also by Heathens. Dionysius, an Athenian by Birth, before he was converted to the Vaith, when he was a Student in Egypt, was an Eye-witness of this miraculous Eclipse, which he

\* Tiberio imperitante per Procuratorem Pontium Pilatum Supplicio affectus erat. Tacit. Annal. 1. 15. C. 44. † Tov er 77 Παλαιςίνη ἀνασχολοπθέντα De morte Peregrini. | There was darkiels eo GANN The you over the whole Earth, Mark 15.33. over all the Earth, Luke 23.44. And to on miour The ylu, Mat. 27. 45. should be rendred, and not (as it is) over all the Land, i. e. Judea only.

the same Character. Thus the great Roman Hi- Jurning home after his Travels, he was chosen in-Horian expresly voucheth this Article of our Chri. to the Senate of Areopagus, and thence hath that Christian Belief, that Christ suffered under Pontius Name, and was converted to the Christian Faith by St. Paul. | Origen, dealing with Celfus the Philosopher, proves this Eclipse at Christ's Passion out of Phlegon Trallianus, (one of Trajans freed Men) who it feems was a great Chronologer; and \* Ensebius mentions the same Author, and quotes his words, which are thefe; In the Fourth Year of the 202 Olympiad, there happened a great Defection of the Sun, such as was never known before. The Day at the Sixth Hour was so turned into dark Night that the Stars appeared in the Heavens. And he adds, There was an Earthquake at the same time in Bithynia, which over turned several Houses in the City of Nice. Thus that Writer. What could be more Accurate feeing Christ's Passion was in the last Year of the 202 Olympiad, which was the 18th year of Tiberius's Reign? Or, if according to Scaliger, this be not

very punctual, (for the Eclipse at our Saviour's

Death, he faiths was in the beginning of the 203 d.

Olympiad) yet it is granted that a Years difference

here is of no great moment, especially when the

B b 4

<sup>\*</sup> Ad Polycarp. Epist. 7. † Suidas in voce Airvin . Cont. Celf. lib. 2. \* Chronicon. A. D. 33.

time is so circumstantiated and fixed by what sol- troubled. Thus this Prodigy which was taken to have happened at the Sixth Hour, Mark 15. 32. Besides the Earthquake, the Companion of the Eclipse, is said by this Pblegon to have been at the same time, which agrees with St. Matthew c. 27. v. 51. all which proves that this Pagan Writer refers to the very same Eclipse mention'd by the Evangelists. \* Eusebius Citeth the same Testimony, and also adds the like suffrage of an other Gentile Writer, who (though not named by him) is † Thallus, as Gretius proves from Julius Africanus, who citeth this Author for this very purpose, and fets down his words; and the fame Testimony you will find mentioned by Origen. Moreover, Tertullian appeals to the Roman Archives about this portentous Eclipse, and tells the Pagans that they had this recorded in those Authentick Tables, yea, that at the very moment when it happened it was inserted into those publick Records. Lucian the Martyr appeal'd to the same publick Acts of the Romans, as † Eusebius repors; he bids them confult their own Annals, and lets them know that those would certifie them of the Truth of that Eclipse. Shall I add to all these what Adrianus Gressonius in his History of China faith, that those People have registred it in their Annals, that at that very time, about the Month of April, an extraordinary and irregular Eclipse of the Sun happened, at which strange and unusual thing Quam. vurius, the Emperor of China, was exceedingly

trou-

\* Chronic. an. 33 Christi. † Apolog. ad gentes cap. 21. [ Eccl. Hilt. 1. 9. c. 6.

of the Holy Scriptures. lows, for the Hour of the Day affign'd by Phlegon, notice of at Christ's Suffering on the Cross is plainly shews that he relates the same thing which attested by Pagans, which is some accession to this St. Mark doth, who expresly affirms the Eclipse Truth related by the Evangelists. And it is the more confiderable, because we are certain that That Eclipse was not natural, being in the Opposition of the Moon, i. e. when the Moon was

Full; for it was the day before the Passover, which fell on the \* Fourteenth Day of the first Month, call'd Nisan, (which answers to our March,) when the Moon was Full, and opposite to the Sun. Now, it is known to be against the Rules of Astrology that the Sun should be eclipsed when the Moon is at the Full; whence we must conclude this Eclipse to have been Miraculous, and altogether against the course of Nature, and that it could be the Hand of God only, to testifie Christ's Divinity. Lastly, We cannot but think that this wonderful Eclipse was seen and observed by the Enemies of Christianity, and acknowledg'd by them to be a real Prodigy when we confider that the Evangelists expose this Relation to those professed Enemies of the Christian Religion, who if fuch a thing had not happened could have presently consuted the Reporters of it. Can it enter into our Thoughts, that these Writers were so foolish as to imagine they could impose upon the Faith of Men in fuch a matter as this, which was publickly to be feen, and which every one might take notice of? This is an unreasonable and groundless Surmise.

In the next place the Earthquake at Christ's Pasfion (which as you have heard, was attested by Phlegon) is now more diffinely to be confidered.

That

<sup>\*</sup> Ex. 12. 2, 6.

3/ - - - Littly and Mainwrity. That Author indeed faith that it was in Bithynia, but it might be in other Countries likewise. He did not intend to relate how far it reach'd, but what he knew, and in what place it was most observ'd, he sets down. And this being join'd

with the Relation of the Eclipse, is an Argument,

(as hath been hinted before) that it refers to the Earthquake at Christ's Passion, which not only

shook the Land of Judea, but other remote Countries, as the Lesser Asia, wherein this Birby. nia was. For as the Eclipse was Universal, so was the Earthquake, it is probable, and the whole Earth felt the shock of it, though to some Places

only it proved destructive, as to this in Asia particularly. With which concurs that of \* Pliny, who tells us of an extraordinary Earthquake in Tiberius's Reign, which over-turn'd Twelve Cities in Asia, to help and relieve which the Emperor re-

mitted their Tribute, fay † Suetonius and || Dion. Concerning that Earthquake at our Saviour's Paffion, Eusebius quotes the Testimony of Thallus mention'd before; and the same is alledged as an Authentick Witness by \* Tertullian and † Origen. Some Learned Men are pleafed to relate here,

as appertaining to Christ's Passion, the Story they meet wich in || Plutarch, and quoted out of him by \* Eusebius, of the Death of Great Pan lamented by the Damons. This falling out in the Reign of Tiberius, and about the time (as some conceive) when our Saviour Suffer'd, is applied by them to

reckoned among the Pagan Testimonies. But I am \* Nat. Hist. 1. 2. c. 84. † In Tiber. c. 48. | Hist. lib. 57.

Him and his Death, and they think it is to be

not fo well fatisfied as to that, but rather think it may more pertinently be made use of to shew how our Saviour dispossessed the Devils, and silenc'd the Pagan Oracles, which were given by them. Accordingly we find that Plutarch, from whom we have this Story, brings it in upon occasion of the Oracles ceasing, and he gives this as an Instance of it; The Dæmons, faith he, that affisted at those Oracles are departed, a Proof whereof we have in this Pan. Lastly, Of the rending the Veil of the Temple, mention'd by \* Three of the Evangelists, the

of the Holy Scriptures.

† Fewish Historian expresly testisieth, and he is as good a Witness as we can defire in this Affair.

CHAP.

<sup>\*</sup> Mat. 27. 51. Mark 15. 38. Luke 23. 45. † Antiqu. l. 2.

<sup>\*</sup> Apol cap. 21. † Cont. Celf. l. 2. & Tractat. 36 in Matth. De Defect. Oracul. \* De Prap. Evang. 1. 5. c. 9.

## CHAP. XII,

After particular Testimonies, now more general ones are produced, as that of Pontius Pilate in his Letters to Tiberius. The respect which this Emperor and others bore to Christ. Josephus's famous Testimony concerning him, as also concerning others mention'd in the New-Testament. Attestations of Pagans concerning St. Paul, St. Peter, and the Truth of some Passages in the Acts. All Christ's Predictions about the Destruction of Jerusalem consirmed by Heathens and Jews. What Pliny and Trajan relate of the Christians. Mahomet bears Witness to Christ.

Three great Things, our Saviour's Birth, Life, and Death. Now, in the next place, I have general Testimonies to produce. There are some Pagan and Jewish Witnesses that confirm all these, yea, and more than what hath been hitherto testissed, namely Christ's Resurrection. As other Governors and Deputies of Provinces used to send an Account to the Emperors and Senate of the most remarkable Things that happened in their Provinces, so Pontius Pilate, Procurator of Judea, did the like, and his Relation is the more valuable, because it is the Testimony of a Person who Condemn'd our Saviour to death. His Letter, or Letters rather (there being two of them)

to the Emperor Tiberius foon after Christ's Death, give an Account of his Life, Miracles, Crucifixion, and rifing to life again. And as Publick Acts were wont to be transmitted and referved in the Imperial Archives, so these were kept there, whence the Christian Fathers had them. Hegesippus (an ancient Champion of the Christian Cause) made use of them against the Pagans, as we are informed from \* Eusebius. † Fustin Martyr tells the Roman Emperors, that as for the Death and Sufferings of Christ they were to be feen in the Acts of, or under Pontius Pilate, and refers them to those as fatisfactory and undeniable. Tertulian with great boldness alledgeth the fame Records as a sufficient Confirmation of the History of Christ in his Apology, c. 5. & 21. Whereupon | one of the Learned'st Men of our Age concludes that this ancient Father found this among the Acts of the Roman Senate, where all things of this nature were fet down. It is not to be questioned, faith he, that Pontius Pilate Ient this Account to Tiberius; if we consider that this was the constant practife of all the Governors and Deputies of Provinces to transmit the Relation of every remarkable Occurrence to the Emperors by whom they were placed in those Stations for this purpose, viz. to inform them concerning the Affairs of those particular Places. Now, the Crucifying of our Saviour, and his Rifing again, were certainly very confiderable and remarkable Passages, and therefore 'tis not to be doubted, that Pilate, as Procurator of Judea, fent the Emperor

<sup>\*</sup> Eccl. Hift. 1. 2. c. 2. † Ek & con Hollis Hilatz Augulu'au "Anlar mader Sirade, Apol. Adv. Gentes. UDr. Pearson, Episc. Cistr. Præcect. in Act. Apost.

\* Bib. Patr. 1. Cent.

Esteem of Christ, as a most Divine Person, and

of the Holy Scriptures. in Honour to him favoured the Christians, and by \* Edict ordered that none should accuse and disturb them meerly for their Religion, and the name of Christians, annexing a severe Penalty on fuch as dared to transgress this Edict. Nay, Tertullian and other Fathers affure us, that he had fo great a Reverence for Christ, that he intended to erect a Temple to him. This was from that Information which Pilate fent him concerning our Saviour. I might mention the Kindnesses which other Emperors had for Christ, as no contemptible Testimony to that purpose which I defign this Discourse for. † Lampridius reports that Alexander Severus Worshipped our Lord, and had his Picture in great Veneration, and that he had thoughts of erecting a Temple to him, and taking him into the number of the Gods. Which Adrian likewise, he saith, intended to have done, but was hindred from it by being told that all would turn Christians, and the Temples Confecrated to the other Gods would be forfaken. These are ample Attestations of Pagans concerning Christ, and (which is greater) they are their Approbations of him.

Next, I produce the Testimony of a Famous Tew, whom I have so often made mention of, who forty or fifty Years after some of the Evangelical Writings, gave an account of the Jews Affairs, and of Christ, and of many things relating to Him. Among other Passages he hath this memorable one; \* At this time, faith he, there was one

<sup>†</sup> Tiberius annuntiatum sibi ex Syria, Palestina, qua veritatem illius Divinitatis revelarat, detulit ad Senatum cum prierogativa suffragis sui. Senatus, quia non ipse probaverat, respuit. Cosar in sententia mansit, comminatusq; periculum accufatoribus Christianorum, Apol. Ibid.

lefus \* Euseb. Eccles. Hitt. 1. 2. c. 2. Chrottic. ad annum 22 Tiberii. † In Alex. Severo. cap. 43. & 51.

<sup>\*</sup> Tive 9 3 x71 autor & xegror Inage tie Coode aving, eize ολίσκαλ ( αν πρώπων την ήθυν τ' αλη οπ δεχομόρων : κίς πολ κές นะง กับ ไยอิณ่อง, พอมมิธร วี นุ มิทิ าช "Enhuvie empainelo. "O Reiste cost lur, &c. Joseph. Antiqu. Jud. lib. 18. c. 4.

inspired Volume furnished them with abundant

Arguments and Proofs against Judaism? It would

have been unnecessary and superstuous to alledge

the Testimony of this Person, though never so cre-

dible, when they had so many infallible Authors to vouch them and the Religion which they had

espoused. Again, this late Critick tells us that

this Testimony is against Josephus's mind, he be-

ing a Jewish Priest, a legal Sacrificer, and most

tenacious of the Fewish Religion. He was of the

sect of the Pharifees, and one of the Princes of

the Mosaick Church, therefore it is unlikely that he

would leave any fuch thing upon record in his

Writings. Those that know Josephus's Sect and

Life cannot believe, faith he, that these words

were his. Yes, they very well may, for he doth

not absolutely affert our Saviour to be the true

Messias, but only that he was the Person who was

called Christ, and that excellent Worth, and even

Divinity appeared in him; and he farther bears

witness that this excellent Person, who was of old

prophefied of, was not treated according to his

transcendent merits, but was barbarously put to

death by his Country-men, and yet that in a mi-

aculous manner he was revived, and thereby gave

in undeniable proof of his Innocency and Inte-

grity. All this, though it be a most remarkable

Attestation of our Saviour, yet might have been

aid (as really it was) by a Jewish Sacrificer, by

affrict Pharisee, by a tenacious afferter of the Mo-

The Truth and Authority Jesus, a Wise Man, if I may call bim a Man, for be did most wonderful Works, and was a Teacher of these who received the Truth with delight. He brought many to his Perswasson, both of the Jews and Gentiles. This was Christ, who though he was by the Instigation of some of the Chief of our Nation, and by Pilate's Doom hung on the Cross, yet those who loved him at first did not cease to do so, for he came to Life again the third day, and appeared to them, the Divine Prophets having fore-told these and infinite other Wonders of him; and to this day remains that fort of Men, who have from Him the name of Christians. Both Eusebius and St. Jerom alledge this Famous Testimony of Josephus concerning Christ, as an undeniable Confirmation of the Christian Religion. And the latter of these Writers places this Jew among the Ecclesiastical Writers of the Church, because he speaks of our Saviour with this great respect. A \* late Writer hath a great many idle foolish Cavils against this so notable a Memorial of Josephus concerning our blessed Lord. He thinks it strange that Justin Martyr, Tertullian, and Clemens Alexan-

drinus writing against the Jews make no use of this Testimony, especially that the first of these in his Dialogne with Trypho (where his defign is to convert that Jew to Christianity) omits it wholly. But to him that confiders things aright this will not feem strange; for if he looks into these Fa-

and defign were to convince the Jews out of the Old-Testament, which they profess'd they heartily believed and imbraced; and therefore those learned and pious Writers fixed here, and were not fo-

thers, he will find, that their grand enterprize

faick Riligion. The whole Testimony is but the refult of an unprejudiced and honest Mind, such licitous to go any farther. What need was there is this Historian was Master of. And if it be true what this Criticizer mentions, and attempts to prove out of Origen, that Josephus had before this \* Tanaquil Faber. Epift. 44. Wrig

And that we may give credit to this, he falls very

Everely on this worthy Man, and both ignorantly

and maliciously finds fault with him. This is the

course that our angry Critick takes; but no sober

and judicious Person can allow of it, for it may be

plainly difcern'd that this Writer was refolv'd up-

300 Incarational Authority writ against Christ, the Testimony thereby be. It party of Christians, and to carry on the Cause. comes the more remarkable, because it is a great argument of the irreliftible power of the Truth, and that there was a wonderful change wrought in this Person. And truly this Objector himself mentions that which may induce us to believe it; for we read, faith he, in Josephus's Book which he writ of his own Life, that he having gone through all the fewish Sects, was admitted at last into the discipline of Banus, a Disciple of John the Baptist. Thus this Author answers himself, and what he had before objected, namely, that this Historian wrote against his own mind, if these words of his were true. It is not likely that he spoke contrary to his Perswasion, if he was entred into the discipline of John Baptist, who had been Christ's fore-runner, for thereby this Author imbibed a good opinion (to fay no more) of the Founder of Christianity. What this Critick farther faith, that if this Testimony were Josephus's, he would have faid a great deal more than he doth, is very frivolous, and not worth taking notice of. And fo is that, that the Stile plainly betrays the Cheat, it being frigid and law, putid and inert, (as he faith) whereas it is evident to any competent Judge, that the Language is nothing of this nature, but is like the rest of the Historian's Stile. Lastly, we are beholding to him for finding out the Author of the Chear, who he affirms is Eusebius, as if he had lived before or at the same time with fosephus, that is, as if one of the Fourth Century was contemporary with him that flourish'd in the First. He peremprovily tells us that \* Eusebius clapt in this

Paifage meerly out of defign, namely, to gratifie

on it to run down this Testimony of the Jewish Historian by any kind of artifice whatsoever; but when we come to examine the Methods he takes, they are found to be of no force; what he offers for proof is groundless, precarious and inconsistent. After all that he hath faid, this Fewish Testimony, and the Credit of its Author, remain impregnable. What though we have granted that in some things he is faulty (and where is their an Historian that is not?) what though he omits some remarkable Occurrences, and militakes the order of Time, of which he could not come to a certain knowledge? Notwithstanding this, his Testimony in this matter may be valid, nay, we have all the reason imaginable to believe it is such, for he was capable of attaining to a full knowledge of what he here writeth. There is then no ground to think that he imposed upon his Reader, or spoke against his Persivasion, but on the contrary it is reasonable to look upon him as one that freely uttered his mind, and shew'd himself to be Ingenuous, Faithful, and Impartial. Such was he esteemed to be by those \* ancient Writers who had occasion to make use of his Testimony, and fuch was his Character with all those Persons who have fince used the same in Confirmation of  $Cc_2$ the \* Eusebius, Jerom, Isidore Pelusiot- Sozomen. &c.

<sup>\*</sup> Eccl. Hift.I. I. c. 11.

the History of the Gospel. And truly it is a full and pregnant Ratification of it, an attesting no less than the Life, Death, and Resurrection of our Saviour. This latter especially being attested by a Fewish Priest, is considerable. This Person knew nothing of that Cheat which the Jews labour'd at first to put upon some, and therewith to stiffe the truth of Christ's rising from the dead, namely, that \* his Disciples came by night and stole him away. He tells us plainly and expresly, that Christ was restor'd to Life on the Third Day after he was put Death, which is exactly according to the Narrative in the Gospels. I will conclude then with the words which a Pious Father useth, after he had recited Fosephus's Testimony of Christ. If our very Enemies, saith he, dare not oppose the truth, who will show himself so obstinate as not to give credit to those things which are as clear as the Sun, yea, much clearer? If Jews and Pagans bear witness to Christ, we Christians are obliged to listen to their Teltimony, and to abominate the practife of those who endeavour (and that with no little

art and pains ) to enervate and deftroy it. Again, Josephus confirms the Truth of the E. vangelical History, by relating feveral other things which are recorded there. Thus I he speaks of the putting John the Baptist to death, whom he hugely extols, telling us that he was an excellent Man, and stirred up the Jews to piety and vertue, boline(s and purity, both of Body and Soul; and that Herod caused him to be killed because he feared his Autho-Aity would burt him, and occasion a defection among

\* Mat. 28. 13.

388

of the Holy Scriptures. the People. He also relates how this Herod cast off his own Wife, and took Herodias, who was his Brother's Wife. This Author makes honourable mention of \* St. James, whom he calls the Brosher of Jesus Christ, and relates his Martyrdom, and declares that the taking away his Life was fo flagitious a Sin, that it was in revenge of that that the Jews were destroy'd, their Temple and City burnt, and all other Evils befel that Nation. He fully agrees with St. Luke in mentioning † Herod's Speech to the People, and their impious Flattery," and the immediate Judgment of God upon this Wicked Man, by whose command that holy Apostic was put to death. It is true, Josephus saith not that he was eaten up of Worms, but this is included in that he faith he was seized with a sudden pain and disease, and died in great torment of his Bowels, which without doubt, were gnaw'd and devour'd by thole Worms St. Luke specifieth. Because this Writer relates that Herod the Great, the Infant-slayer, was infested and plagued with noisom Vermin in his Body, therefore some say he is guilty of a great miltake here, and speaks that of this Herod which St. Luke faith of the other, viz. Herod Agrippa. But I do not see any reason for this imputation of Guilt, because Herod the Great as well as the other Herod, might dye of that filthy difeate, though it is not mention'd by St. Matthew or the other Evangelists. Wherefore we have no reason to think this Hiltorian was mistaken, and disagrees with the holy Writers. As to the main you will find him concurring with them, not only in this, but in other matters recorded by them, and con-Cc 3 lequent-

Τ Εὶ τοίνου κὸ οἱ ἐχθροὶ τῆ ἀληθοία ἀνθιελί-μαι ἐκ ἐτόλmour, Tis o amshows rois nais careparteers med fuant; Indor. Peluf. Eput. l. 4. | Antiqu. Jud. l. 18. c. 7.

<sup>\*</sup>Antiqu. 1. 20. c. 8. † Antiqu. Jud. 1. 19. c. 7. Antiqu. Jud. lib. 17. c. 8. De bello Jud. l. 1. c. 21.

this

fequently you will find him attesting the verity of the History of the Gospel, and you will conclude that he is a very substantial Witness for the Christian Religion.

Having produced these Testimonies concerning St. Fahr and St. Fames. I might add forms

ing St. John and St. James, I might add somewhat relating to St. Paul. That Insurrection mention'd in Atts 21. 38. where you read that the Tribune of the People said to St. Paul, Art not thou that Egyptian who before these days didst raise a Tumult, and leadest into the Wilderness four thousand Men? That Insurrection, I say, is the same with that taken notice of by \* Josephus more than once, which was begun by an Egyptian, who pretending to be a Prophet, gathered together great numbers of Jews, the attempt and iffue of which are recorded by this Historian; and so it is a Confirmation of what St. Luke here records with reference to Sr. Paul. I will here add also a Pagan Testimony concerning this Apostle, viz. concerning his being caught up to the third Heaven, mention'd by himself in 2 Cor. 12. 2. This is referr'd to in one of † Lucian's Dialogues, where one Triphon professeth himself to be Paul's Disciple, and would make Critias such a one, and convert hint to the Christian Faith. Paul is there described thus, || That Bald-pate, that Hank nos'd Galilean, who mounts up through the Skies into the third Heaven, and thence fetcheth those goodly Notions which he preacheth to the World. He is called a Galilean, because that was the common name of a Christian, and he is said to be Bald, as that holy Man

\* Aurigu. 1 20. c. 6. De bello Jud. 1. 2. c. 12. † In Philopan

Ταλιλαΐ Φ ἀναφαλανίας, ἐπόροιν Φ, ἐς τείπον ἐρονὸν ἐξευβατήσας, κὸ τὰ κάκλισα ἐκμομαθηκώς.

Man is ‡ reported to have been when he was old. His Hawk-nefe alludes to his high flight, and mounting up into the Air like a Hawk, when he afcended to Heaven. And this afcending into the third Heaven, is a plain Description of him, because none of the Apostles, or other Christians, ever did fo but he. And what is added next, that he learnt there all his fine and goodly Notions, it may refer to what that Apostle saith in the same place, that \* he heard unspeakable words, which it is not possible for any Man to utter. I could observe, that in the same Dialogue this Author bears testimony to the Faith receiv'd and professed by the Christians, whilft there he fcoffingly brings in a Catechumen asking this question, By whom would you have me fivear to you? And then his Instructor answers thus, † By that God who reigneth on high, who is Great, Immortal, Celestial, by the Son of the Father, by the Spirit proceeding from the Father, One of three, and Three of one. Thus from this Pagan Scoffer, (who could laugh and speak truth together) we are informed that the Doctrin of the Blessed Trinity (which the New-Testament so expresly declares) was profess'd by the Primitive Christians.

of the Holy Scriptures.

To this I might add the Inscription on the Athenian Altar, taken notice of by St. || Paul, and which wants not the Testimony of Prophane Writers. This is mentioned by Pausanias in his Atticks, and hinted at by Lucian in his Philopatris. Thucydides saith there were no less than twelve Altars erected in the Market-place in Athens with

‡ Nicephor. Hist. \* V. 4.

Cc4

| I found an Altar with this Inscription, To the unknown God, Alts 17. 23.

<sup>† \*</sup>Υψιέλο Πα Θεδν, μέραν, άμβερτιν, ἐεσνώνα, ιϳδν πατεὸς, πινεύμα έκ πατεὸς ἐκπορούδωνον, ἕν ἐκ τειῶν, κὶ Τς ἐνὸς τεἰα.

of the Holy Scriptures.

The I full and Authority. this Inscription 'Ayrasa Gen, and Philostralus makes mention of the same. Laertius takes notice of the † nameless Altars at Athens, and particular-

ly of one erected, To the unknown and strange God. To proceed, some have produc'd a Letter of Seneca (Nero's Tutor) to St. Paul, with St. Paul's Answer to it. This is mentioned by | Ferom, who reckons Seneca in the Catalogue of Ecclefiastical Writers, because of this Epistle to the Apostle; and † St. Augustin also takes notice of it. But I am not so fond as to take in all forts of Testimonies, without any distinction; but I rather look upon those Epistles as Spurious, the stile plainly shewing that one of them at least (that to Seneca,) is fo. But because this Seneca was a grave and serious Philosopher, and was against the Superstitions of the Romans, and was far better than the Pagans of that time, hence fome thought he was a Christian, and was so perswaded to be by St. Paul, and then it was eafily believed that they converf-

ed together, and had Correspondence by Letters. Concerning St. Peter likewise I will only leave this, and submit it to the censure of the Readers; his encountring that Arch-Sorcerer, Simon of Samaria, (who is spoken of in Asti 8.) and his dismounting him by his Prayers from his Charior, though they are not mention'd in the infallible Records of the New-Testament, yet are registred by Clemens the Roman, Arnobius, and Epiphanius. For it seems, this Magician would needs be flying in the Air, and by fuch artifices bring credit to his falle Doctrins; but St. Peter, by the extraordinary affiftance of the Spirit, and the Efficacy

\* A Duvnor 2) விரம்சமை இத்தை குழைவி கேறமரின். In vit. Apollon, † Βωμοι ανώνυμοι. In Epimenide. De Scriptor. Ecclesialt. ‡ Epiit. 14.

of his ardent Addresses to Heaven, baffled this foaring Magician, and brought him down from his heighths, and laid him proftrate and dead on the Ground. Which very thing, I conceive, is attested by Suetonius, in whose Writings this Simon goes under the fabulous name of Icarus, the famous Flyer among the Poets. \* This Person, faith he, at bis very first attempt fell down near the Emperor's Bed-Chamber, and besprinkled him with his Blood. The Representation of Icarus in that Play which Nero exposed to the People might be a mistaking of the true Story of Simon Magus, whose downfal happening at Rome in that Emperor's Reign in the fight of all the People, might well be remarked in his Life by this Historian. But this is propounded in way of Conjecture only. Thus I have briefly shew'd what some Heathen Witnesses testifie concerning St. John, our Saviour's fore-runner, and concerning those chief Apostles St. James, Paul, and Peter, who are so often spoken of in the New-Testament. Which is a farther Confirmation of what I have undertaken to make good, viz. that the Truth of the holy Writings of the New-Testament is vouched by those who are the greatest Adversaries

of them. I pass to another Historical matter recorded in these Sacred Writings, viz. the Universal Famine, fore-told by Agabus, Alts 11.28. which if you will credit Pagan Historians, happen'd in accordingly the fourth Year of Claudius's Reign, and was over all the World in the fixth Year. † Dion Cassius, who had compiled his Hiltory out of the Fasti of Rome, through the feveral Years, speaks of this Famine under

<sup>\*</sup> Icarus primo statim conatu juxta cubiculum ejus decidit, ipsumq; cruore respersit, In Nerone. cap. 12. † Hist. lib. 60.

under that Emperor, and mentions his great care of the City, that the Inhabitants might not be starved. So \* Suetonius commends him for his Diligence and Providence in furnishing the City with Provision. + Josephus also mentions this grievous Famine in Claudius's days, with some particular Circumstances and Accidents which agree with what is delivered by St. Luke concerning the relief which was fent at that time by the Disciples at Antioch, to the Brethren in Judea, that being a Place where the Famine exceedingly raged. Thus we find that of Eusebius to be true, who speaking of this dreadful Famine recorded in the Atts, tells us, that || even those Writers, who were averse from the Christian Religion, have deliver'd the

fame in their Histories. The next thing I undertake is to treat of Christ's Predictions concerning the Overthrow of Jerusalem, and fome things which were to follow upon it, and to shew that they are expresly confirm'd by Heathens and Jews, In the 24th Chapter of St. Matthew, and the 21st of St. Luke, (which speak of the Destruction of Jerusalem, both City and Temple, and the whole Nation, yea, with some remarkable Consequences of it; though I know these Chapters have been, and may be applied another way, viz. as a Description of the fore-runners of the end of the World, and the day of Judgment, as I shall shew elsewhere, there being a primary and secondary meaning of this Chapter, as well as of tome other places of holy Scripture) there is, I say, first fore-told, That many shall come in Christ's name, saying, I am Christ,

\* In Claudio cap. 18. † Antiqu. 1. 20. c. 2, & 3. ि Tare 3 के oi महिमेश गर प्रकर में मार्थेड तेर्ग्य व्यक्त विक τῶν isceiais παρέδωσαν. Eccl. Hitt. l. 2. c. 8.

of the Holy Scriptures. and shall deceive many, v. 5. And again, v. 11 Mam false Prophets shall rise, and shall deceive many; i, e. they shall pretend to be Messiasses and Deliverers of the People, though indeed they are very Impostors. Of the truth of this † Josephus will inform you, who relates that there was a vast number of these Pretenders and Mock-Saviours that drew the People after them, particularly he tells us of a certain Egyptian in Felix's time, and of Theudas when Vadus was Procurator, and of Judas the Gaulanite; which two last, some think, are not the Theudas and Judas spoken of by Gamaliel, Acts 5. 36, 37. but others are of Opinion that these are the same with them, only that Fosephus mistakes a Gaulanite for a Galilean, and is also mistaken in the time, for he faith Judas was in the the Reign of Archelaus. If to this Impostor cannot be meant in this 2.4th of St. Matthew. But I

will not stand now to dispute whether there were two Judasses and two Theudasses, or whether St. Luke's and Fosephus's Judas and Theudas are the fame. It is sufficient for my purpose, that these and other Seducers and Disturbers arose, and stirred up the People to Sedition, and drew many after them in expectation of the Messias's coming, and partly pretended that they themfelves were He. So it was after the Destruction of Jerusalem, there rose up Jonathas Barchochebas; who being the most famous of those Impostors is taken notice of by \* fofephus and others, as a great Ring-leader of the Jews in Adrian's time. He confidently profess'd himself the Messias, applying Baalams Prophecy to himself, Num. 24. 17. A Star (hall rife out of Jacob: His name Barchochab, which

<sup>†</sup> Antiquel. 20. c. 2 & 3, 6. 7. & de bel. Jud. 2. c. 11, 12. \* De bello Jud. lib. 7. c. 31.

which fignifies the Son of a Star, being not a little serviceable to this Imposture. He prevail'd on a great number of People to adhere to him by his inviting Promises, and perswading them he was to be their Deliverer. Yea, he brought over a great part of the Learned'st Jews to him, not only in Judea, but in Greece, and Egypt; but he and his Party being vanquished by the Emperor, the Jews no longer call'd him Barchochab, but changed his name into Barchozab the Son of a Lye, a false Prophet, a lying Impostor. Divers others in those days took upon them the name of Messias, and said they were to restore the Jewish Nation, and to that end led People after them into the Deserts, for in such places the pretended Prophets and Leaders drew up their forces as the fittest rendesvouz for them, as Josephus faith in several places; which gives an Account of our Saviour's words in this Chapter, vers. 26. If they shall say unto you, behold he is in the Wilderness, go not forth to them.

Again, Wars and rumours of Wars are fore-told to be the fore-runners and attendants of that fatal time which should befall Jerusalem, v. 6. Of this we have plentiful mention in the Pagan and Jewish History. Those were properly rumours of War when Caius threatned the Jews, and offered to fet up his Image in the Temple, of which Tacitus, Josephus, and Philo speak, telling us in what Con-Rernation the Jews, both in Alexandria and Judea were at that time. There were actual Wars when those flaughters were committed on the Fews in Caius's time at Alexandria and Babylon, of which \* Josephus makes mention. Likewise, when upon

\* Antiquitat. Jud. 1. 18. c. 11, 12.

of the Holy Scriptures. • upon the cruelty of Cestius Florus the President of Judea, there was a Rebellion of the Jews against the Romans in the Twelfth Year of Nero's Reign, and an open War followed that Rebellion, which was the first occasion of their final Overthrow by the Roman Armies, who came foon after, and fat down before their City. Or by Wars and Commotions (for so St. † Luke words it) are to be understood those Civil Wars and Intestine Broils among the Jews themselves, of which we read in || Josephus and other Fewish Records of those Times. There we may be informed concerning the Tumults of the Seditious and the Zealots; the former were those that endeavoured to cast off the Roman Yoke, and in order to that raifed Tumults, and foster'd Sedition and Faction, which produced mutual flaughters and bloodshed; the latter were a fort of Men that pretended to be Inspired with an extraordinary Zeal for their Re-

ligion and Country, but shewed no other Effects of it but Rage, Rapine, and inhumane Slaughters. Besides the sury of these Zealots, (these Jewish Rapparees and Assassins) and the Domestick Quarrels and Ravages caused by the Factions, there were also Foreign Assaults and Invasions from their Enemies abroad. # Josephus records how the forefaid Cestius first of all approached their City, and drew a Line about it, but the main shock and fatal blow they receiv'd were from Titus's Armies which laid siege to them, of which you shall hear farther afterwards. The short of what is now to be faid is this, that if any Man confults the Jewish and Heathen Writings, which relate what

<sup>\*</sup> Joseph de bel. Jud. 1. 2. c. 13. † Chap. 21. Vers. 9. # De bel Jud. lib. 5. c. 1, 2. + De bel Jud. 1. 2. c. 23, 24.

those Thunders (as I may so say) which were

heard under Ground by the Inhabitants of Cam-

pania after the Siege of Ferusalem, are accurately

described by \* Dion Cassius, who also informs us

that the Mount Vesuvius in that Province began

first to burn about that time, to the great Horror

of the neighboring People. It follows v 9. Then

shall they deliver you up to be afflicted, and shall kill you;

and ye shall be bated of all Nations for my name sake.

There is abundant testimony given to this by Ta-

citus, Suetonius, Pliny, and other Prophane Wri-

ters. The † former of these relates what exqui-

fite Punishments, what severe Torments were in-

flicted on the Christians by Nero for their burning

of Rome, though indeed he fet it on fire himfelf.

And the other ensuing Persecutions in his Reign,

which the Christians underwent, are sufficiently

Let us now approach towards ferusalem's last

fatal Siege, the immediate fore runner of its Over-

throw. When ye shall see Jerusalem compassed with

Armies, then know that the Desolation thereof is nigh,

Luke.21. 20. And more particularly and distinctly

this close besieging of Jerusalem is express'd in Luk.

19. 43. The days shall come upon thee, that thine

Enemies shall east a trench about thee, and compass

thee round, and keep thee in on every side. Which

Dion Cassius amply and particularly attesteth, fay-

ing, That Titus Velpasian cast a Trench round

the City, and fo closely kept them in with his

Army that none could escape, no not through

those Vaults under the City Walls, which were

testified by the Enemies of Christianity.

was done about that time in Judea by the Zealots and the Factious, and by the Romans, he must fay our Saviour's words concerning those days were true, Ye shall hear of Wars, and rumours of Wars; for Nation shall rife against Nation, and Kingdom against Kingdom, v. 6, 7 Not to mention what History tells us that the Roman Empire was strangely allarm'd with Wars about the latter end of Nero's Reign; Kingdoms rose against one another both in the East and West, and Blood and Slaughter began to be very rampant.

In the same Verse he fore tells there shall be Famines, and Pestilences, and Earthquakes; and so it happened, as Josephus assures us, for he (as hath been faid already on another account) mentions the great Famine in Claudius's Reign, (fore-told in the Alts) and another after that in the same Emperors time. But that long Famine, attended with Peftilence, in the time of the Siege of Ferulalem, exceeded all the rest, the dread and horror of which were luch, faith † Fosephus, as were never known to Greeks or Barbarians. Among other dreadful passages, he relateth how a Noble Woman was forced by extremity of Hunger to eat her own Child that fuckt her Breast. And hereby the words which our Saviour afterward speaks in this Chapter were verified, | Wo to them that are with Child, and to them that give suck in those days, and those in Luke 23.29. The days are coming in which they shall say, blessed are the Barren, and the Wombs that never bare, and the Paps which never gave suck. As to the Earthquakes which happen'd, they have been recorded by fome of the Gentile Writers, and particularly that in Claudius's Reign, as # Eusebius lets us know. Those

\* Hift. lib. 66. † Annal. 1. 15. c. 44 | Hill. Roman. lib. 66.

<sup>\*</sup> Antiqu. 1. 20. c. 5. † De bel. Jud. 1. 7. c. 7, & 9. || Vers. 19. + Chronicon.

400

which

made for conveying Water into the City; for even those were stopt up by Titus. And from the \* Jewish Historian (who was personally prefent at the Siege, and knew very well all the Occurrences of it) we learn that the Romans made three Trenches about Jerusalem, and built a Wall or Rampire round about it in three days, so that none could pass in or out. The Army which thut them so close up is call'd the Abomination of Desolation standing in the holy Place, v. 15. It is true, Chrysoftom understands this of Titus's Statue fer up in the Temple; but Josephus (who is very full in Relations of this matter) faith nothing of it. Others understand it of the mad and abominable pranks of the Zealots, who feiz'd the Temple, and acted strange and unaccountable things. But it is most probable that this Place is meant of the Pagan Idolatrous Roman Army, which stood in the boly Place, i. e. environed Jerusalem, cail'd the boly City, and at last made their way into it. That this is the true meaning, appears from comparing this Evangelist with another. What St. Matthew here faith, When you see the abomination of Desolation standing in the holy Place, is explain'd by St. Luke thus, † When you see Jerusalem incompass'd with Armies, i. e. the abominably Desolating Armies, the Armies consisting of Ethnick Idolaters, who were an Abomination to the Jews, and who not only threatned but brought Desolation and Destruction on the City and Temple, the Roman Armies, whose Banners or Enfigns were in the shape of Eagles, ‡ rapacious devouring Creatures. In allusion perhaps

" De bel. Jud. 1. 6, & 7. † Chap. 21. Vers. 20.

\* Job 39 29, 30.

haps to which our Saviour uttered those words, Wherefoever the Carcase is, there will the Eagles be gathered together, v. 24. that is, where foever the fews, destin'd to slaughter and death, were to be found, wherever these walking Corpes, (these Carkates) were to be feen, thither the Roman Armies, as God's Executioners should fly, and like preying Eagles fall upon them, and devour them. Most remarkable is that which Christ farther faith in Luke 19. 44. They shall lay thee even with the Ground, and shall not leave in thee one stone upon another. Which Josephus will acquaint you was fulfilled by Titus's demolishing the whole Temple, and Walls (excepting a small part of these latter, which he ordered should remain: And particularly he commanded three Towers, besides part of the Wall, to be left standing, that Posterity might see by those Relicks what a stately and fronge Place the Roman Army had taken, and partly also that these might be a Garison for his Soldiers) and by laying level the whole compass of the City, as well as the Temple, that those who came thither should scarcely believe it was ever inhabited. To accomplish this more effectually he made his Soldiers pluck up the very Foundations of the City and Temple, that is, the uppermost parts of the Foundation which they could conveniently come at, and then tear up the Ground with a Plough: (for as it was a Custom among the Romans to make use of the Plough when they laid the Foundations of a City, so they dug up the Ground in the like manner when they destroy'd it.) The Jews themselves Record this; we find both in the Talmudick Chronicles, and in R. Marmonides

as Dr. † Lightfoot affures us ) that Ferusalem was

ploughed up after the Destruction of it. At

<sup>\*</sup> De bel. Jud. 1. 7. c. 18. † Hor. Heb. in Matth,

\* Socrat. Eccles. Hist. lib. 3.

of the Holy Scriptures.

Pagan Authors. Ferusalem was compassed with Armies in the Sky as well as with those below. Of these strange Sights the chief Roman Historian speaketh, saying, \* There were Armies seen in the Air encountring one another, that their Weapons were

exceeding bright and glistering, and that the Temple sem'd to be all of a Light by the continual stashings of the Clouds. And he proceeds to enumerate wher prodigious Accidents which were the prelages of Ferusalems Destruction. Thus the Twenly fourth of St. Matthew, and the other parallel Chapter in St. Luke, which treat of the forerunners of Ferusalems Destruction, and the Destruction it self may be particularly made good but of mere Heathen Writers, who knew nothing of Christ's Predictions concerning it. But not only of these, but of all the other strange Appa-

nitions, Voices, and portentous Events † Fosephus gives us a particular Account in an intire Chapter on this Subject. There you will find that the Prognosticks of Jerusalems Destruction, the Signs and Tokens in Heaven or Earth, which the Evangelists speak of, are faithfully Recorded by that Jewish Historian. I have yet another Evidence to exhibit, and

that is concerning Christ's Followers and Servants in the Age next after him; whence it will appear from the Relation given by a professed Heathen what the Christians were. And by a fair and rational Deduction, we may gather what manner of Persons they were at the very first, and consequently that the Evangelical History represents them aright. || Pliny the younger, Wri-Dd 2

Pagan

<sup>\*</sup> Visæ per Cælum concurrere acies, rutilantia arma, & subito nubium igne collucere Templum, &c. Tacit. Hift. l. 5. † De bello Jud. 1. 7. c. 12. | Lib. 10. Epilt. 97.

404

ting to Trajan, gives an account of the Religion and Practife of these Persons; for he being Proconful of Bithynia in that Emperors time, and appointed by him to inspect the carriage of the Christians, he was careful to inform himself of that matter, thereby to gratifie his Master who had employ'd him. Accordingly he tells how strangely that Religion increased and gathered strength every day in that Province, and that not only great Cities, but Towns and Villages were filled with the Profesfors of it, and in proportion to this, that the Pagan Worship daily decreased. He testifies how resolute and constant they were in their Profession, for he faith he had some Persons before him under Examination, who were accused of being Followers of Christ, but he presently found them to be no Christians, because they were so ready upon his Suggestion to adore the Emperors Image, and even to curse Christ himself. Which was a sufficient Evidence to him, he saith, that they were not \* Christians indeed; for he had been imformed, (he tells the Emperor) that Perfons of that Character could not possibly be forced to any thing of that nature, but that they were immoveable and unshaken in their Religion. Lastly, he gives some account from their own Mouths and Confessions of their way of Religion, and how devoutly they ferved God, and that they worthip'd Christ as fuch. Then there is also Trajan's Rescript to Pliny concerning the Christians, wherein he expresses it to be his pleafure, that these Persons should no longer be under the Inquisition, i. e. they shall not be sought

\* Revera Christiani.

for to be punished, notwithstanding their stedfastness and Pervicaciousness ( as Pliny had reprefented it) in their Religion; for he was fatisfied of their good moral Qualities, and that they were neither perjur'd Perfons, nor Sacrilegious, nor Adulterers, nor Homicides, nor Malefa-Aors of any fort. This Character and Account which were given at the beginning of the Second Century by the Emperor himself, and by Pliny, who had certain knowledge of the Christians, may create a Perswasion in us that they were at first the same holy and Innocent Persons, and that their Religion wonderfully increased and flourish'd, and that all the Severities which were used towards them, were not able either to slifle them or their Religion, and consequently may affure us that the History of the New-Testament rightly and truly deferibes them, and gives a faithful Account of Christianity, and the Author of it. After this ample Testimony, it would be needless to insist on what \* Arrianus and † Galen, and feveral other credible Writers have deliver'd concerning the manifold Sufferings of the first Christians; and that invincible Patience,

Refolution and Constancy, wherewith they underwent them.

After all that hath been said, I will conclude with the Testimony of that Arch Insidel Mahomet, who hath these express words in the Alcoran, I The Spirit of God hath given Testimony to Christ the Son of Mary; a Divine Soul was put into him. He is the Messenger of the Spirit, and the Word of God. His Doctrin is persect, &c. And D d 3

<sup>\*</sup> In Epictet. 1. 4. c. 7. † De disserent. puls. sib. 3.

again, † the Gospel is called the Light and Confirmation of the Testament, and the right way to fear God. And moreover, † he brings in God speaking and declaring thus, that he had fent Christ, the Son of Mary, and that he had given the Gospel to no other end but that they might obtain by it the love and grace of God, And in other places the Miracles of our Saviour are owned and confess'd to be true. Thus even this Great Impostor, and Enemy to Christianity, bears Witness to the Blessed Fesus; Thus the Alcoran acknowledgeth the Gospel to be Divine and True.

‡ Azoar. 12. † Azoar. 67.

CHAP.

## CHAP. XIII.

of the Holy Scriptures.

The Testimonies before-mention'd briefly summed up. An Objection, viz. That some remarkable Passages relating to the History of Christ in the New-Testament, are not so much as mention'd by either Jewish or Gentile Historians, fully answered by considering that, 1. A great part of our Saviour's Life was spent in privacy. 2. No Historians, either Jews or Heathens, take notice of all Occurrences. 3. They wilfully conceal or mif-reprefent some things out of Design: This shew'd in several Particulars. 4. Pagan Historians, out of mere Contempt, omit many things which the Gospel Records. 5. Yea, sometimes out of mere Hatred and Spight. 6. Some Pieces of Pagan History are lost. 7. Some of these that are extant are descrive.

Hese are the Testimonies of professed Adversaries to Christianity, whereby the Hifory of Christ and his Followers is abundantly confirmed. Even those who are averse to the Gospel attest, and sometimes approve of the chief things related in those holy Writings. Among the Jews we have Philo, an excellent Platonist of Alexandria, who flourish'd foon after Christ, and lived in the times of the Apostles. We have Josephus a Jewish Historian, some say Dd4

a Pricst, who writ about forty Years after, and had great opportunities of acquainting himself with the Christian as well as the Jewish Affairs. We have the Talmudick Doctors and Writers, some of which lived near those Times, and give their suffrage most freely to the matters of Fact recorded in the Gospel. Among Pagans we have their Emperors, Augustus, Tiberius, Caius Caligula, Trajan, Adrian, Alexander Severus; we have their Deputies and Officers, Lentulus, Pilate, Pliny; we have their noted Historians, Philosophers and Learned Writers, as the aforefaid Pliny, the Proconful in Asia, in his Epistles; his Uncle of the same name, (who flourish'd in Vespasian's time) in his natural History; Cornelius Tacitus, a famous Roman Orator and Historian, in his Books of History and Annals: Plutarch, a Priest of Apollo Pythius, loved by Trajan, and made Conful by him, in feveral of his Treatifes; Lucian in his Dialogues, (all which four flourish'd in the Emperor Trajan's time, ): Suetonius in his Cafars: Dion Cassius in his Roman History, the former of which lived in Adrian's time, whose Secretary he was, the latter in Alexander Severus's, towards the middle of the Third Century. We have, besides all these, those sour sworn Enemies of Christianity, Julian, Celsus, Porphyrius, Hierocles, whose Writings attest the Truth of many things which the New-Testament speaks of. We have Macrobius (if we may reckon him an Heathen Author) in his Saturnalia, and Lampridius in his Lives of the Emperors. We have their Women also, the Sibylls, whose Testimony concerning Christ is not contemptible. Lastly, as we have the joint affent of Jews and Gentiles, fo of Mihomet himfelf, in the Azoara's of his Law,

which

which give suffrage to the Evangelical Writings. Thus we have all the Witnesses that can be defired and expected; and we have all the Evidence and Proof that can be had.

These things need not to have been infilted on, or so much as mention'd, if some Ill-minded Men, who pretend to knowledge in Hiftory, had not question'd several Relations in the New. Testament, because they say they are not to be found in the History either of Pagans or Jews. To obviate the Cavils of these Men, I designedly undertook to flew you the Concurrence of Scripture and Heathen Authors, to discover the Harmony between the History of the Gospel, and the Accounts which are given us in Jewish Story. In these you may meet with the most confiderable Paffages which you read in the Books of the New-Testament, viz. The Tax in Augustus's time, the appearing of the Star, Hered's putting to death the young Children at Betblehem, the Account of our Saviour's Perfon, Life, Actions, &c. all which have been particularly infifted upon. I have show'd you that all these memorable things are related by the Enemies of Christianity, as well as by the Evangelists and Apostles themselves, who had so great love of it.

But here it may be Objected thus, granting that these remarkable Passages related in the Nem-Testament, are mentioned in Pagan and Jewish Historians, yet others as remarkable are not. Now, if there were such things, and if they were publickly known, What was the reason they were not recorded by those who made it their business to transmit such Occurrences to Posterity? Why do not the Pagan Historians of that Age mention Christ's and the Apostles Miracles, and

all

414 I've Itain and Authority.

of the Holy Scriptures. not spoken of by them. That Obscurity of the

Sun at Julius Cafar's death, which lasted a whole

Year, is not recorded by any but Ovid, Virgil,

and Pliny; yet ten Historians or more in the af-

ter Age wrote Cesar's Life, and gave an Account

of his fatal End, and of feveral things that followed.

The like Prodigy Cedtenus reports to have hap-

pened in Justinian's time; but there were almost

twenty confiderable Writers from Justinian's time

till Cedienus that mention no fuch thing. Or,

41 I

all the great and notable things mention'd in the New-Testament? This very thing disparageth the Evangelical Records, and caufeth us to suspect that they are fabulous; for if they were not, other Historians, yea, all the Historians of those Times would make mention of them, as well as the Evangelists do. I will stifle this cavelling Obje-Etion, by offering these following things to your Consideration, which will fully fatisfie you, that there is no ground at all for this which they alledge.

1. This is to be considered, that from the time foon after Christ's Nativity, till the Eighth Yoar of his Age, there could be no History expected concerning him, for he was all that time in Egypt; therefore you have not one word of him, for all that space of time, in the four Evangelists. And if these say nothing of him, there is no reaion to expect that other Historians should. When he returned into Judea, he lived retired from that time till he was Thirty Years old; only it is faid that he went to Jerusalem with his Parents, and disputed in the Temple with the Doctors; and then presently he came home to Nazareth, and there lived obscurely. Here was no matter for History thus far. And afterwards he was but three Years and a half employed in Action, so that 'tis no wonder the Pagan and Jewish Writers could afford to fay but little of our Saviour and his Doings, there being fo great a part of his Life spent in privacy.

2. You must remember this, that there are many confiderable Things and Perfons, (befides those we have been speaking of) which some chief Historians among the Jews and Heathens take no notice of; therefore you are not to marvel that some of those things before mentioned are

if these Examples be not Authentick (as truly I cannot fay much for them ) I will produce those that are so in all Mens Judgments. You may observe, that those Writers who have undertaken to compile all the laudable things and Manners of divers Nations, and have even prais'd the Brachmans and Gymnosophists, and ranfack'd the most remote parts of the World, for things excellent and observeable, yet have said nothing of the Essens, who far out did all of them, and were in the face of the World, most eminent and conspicuous. Neither Strabo, nor Tacitus, nor Justin, nor Aristaes, who have particularly spoken of the Jews, say any thing of these. Nay, Josephus a Jew, and who, in his two Books against Apion hath heaped up all that is Great and Noble of that Nation, hath nothing there (though, as you shall hear anon, he hath fomething in his other Writings) of this famous Sect of Philosophers among them; shall we therefore be quarrelsome, and deny there were Essenses before or in Christ's time? Again, I could observe to you that the Romans are not fo much as mentioned either by Hero. does or Thucydides, or any other Greek Writers of that time, though they were in the fame quarter

quarter of the World, and growing great and formidable. It is somewhat strange, but is very true, and is taken notice of by Fosephus against Apion, though this Author (as you have heard) was himself desective in the like case. Suetonius writ the Lives of the first twelve Roman Emperors; yet if you compare his Relations with the things fet down in others, you will find, that he hath pass'd by many considerable things, he hath omitted fundry matters which were very obvious. Let us apply this to our present purpose: What if none of the Heathen Historians, who have related the Roman Acts, had spoken of that famous Census or Tax in Augustus's time? What though the Eclipse at Christ's Passion had not been taken notice of by Historians? (though both this and the other are recorded) yet it would not have followed thence that there were no fuch things; for you fee 'tis not unufual with Historians to pass by some Persons and Things which are very remarkable, and worth recording. If then, some matters spoken of by the Evangelists be not mentioned in other Histories, we cannot with any Reason thence conclude that the Evangelists recorded that which is false. No fuch thing can be inferred, for even among Pagan Writers there are many peculiar historical Paifages mentioned by fome of them, which none else speak of. Tacitus and Valerius Maximus, and others, have Narrations which are not to be found in any others, and yet they are not suspected of falshood. Why then may we not credit those things which the New Testament Records, although no Gentile Historians fay a word of them? Nay, we have observed this before of the Evangelical Historians themselves, that

that they do not all Record the fame things. Though all of them mention fome Paffages, yet there are others which are spoken of only by one or two of the Evangelists; and there are fome Things or Persons which none of them make mention of, and yet they are as remarkable as some of those which they have committed to Writing. Thus the Gospels speak of the Pharisees and Sadducees, yea, of the Galileans and Herodians, and yet say not a word of the Effenes, who were a confiderable Sect, as was noted before. We are not to be troubled then that fome things occur in the New Testament, which are not to be met with in very approved Authors. No Hiftory, Sacred or Prophane, relates every thing. The Evangelists themselves pretend not to this, you must not expect all Christ's doings in their Writings, for one of them, who wrote last of all, closeth his Gospel thus, " There are many other things which Jefus did, the which if they should be written every one, I suppose that even the World it Gelf could not contain the Books that should be written.

3. We are to know this, that both Jewish and Pagan Historians concealed or misrepresented some things which relate to Christianity, and that willfully and out of design. I begin with the first sort of Historians, and offer this Instance; we read in Philo and fosephus the Character of the Essens, (whom I mention'd before) viz. that they were the most Devout Men of all the Jewish Nation, that they were a retired People, and given to Husbandry, that they were famed for their mutual Love to one another, and that (as an effect of this) they

<sup>#</sup> John 21. 25.

414

of she Holy Scriptures.

115

had all things in common, like those Primitive Christians spoken of in the Atts, or like the Colides or Culdees among the Scots in the first Ages; that though they were the devoutest Worship. pers among the fews, yet they \* offered no Sacrifices, but composed their minds wholly to a fevere Sanctity, that they were celebrated for their great Austerity of Life, for their Temperance, Chastity, and Self-denial; that † their bare Word was of more force with them than an Oath, and that they avoided all Swearing, counting it far worse than Perjury; that they Il were generous Despisers of all those things which affright and trouble others, and that they vanquish'd all Torments and Persecutions with Forcitude and Steadiness of mind. And as for Death, if it was to be undergone with honour and repute, they judged it to be better than Immortality. This is the true, but admirable Character of that People, and both these Authors tell us that they were Jews. It is true, there were such People as Jewish Esseues, and Josephus neckons them as one of the three Seas of Philosophers among the Jews. But it is probable that this excellent Character, or all of it at least, belongs not to These, but to the Christians of Alexandria at that time. Philo then in his Trea-

\* Or Coa raladiovles, 'dy' legompermis ras eauror probus liber.

† May do rapathi um aunor i ornelogem doun de de de legompermis ras eauror probus liber.

tise of a Comtemplative Life, where he pretends to describe the Essens, writeth in praise of these Jewish Christians, who were under the Tuition and Conduct of St. Mark, Bishop of Alexandria; for this Evangelist Preaching the Gospel in Egypt settled a Church here. This was the Opinion of that Learned Father \* St. Ferom; That Church, faith he, did at that time Judaize, and therefore Philo the Few thought it to be for the praise of his Nation to describe their excellent Order, Life and Institution. For this Reason this Author is numbred by that Father among the Ecclesiastical Writers, namely because he hath left an Encomium of these Christians, who lived thus religiously under St. Mark the Evangelist. † Eusebius is of the same Judgment, and saith what Philo writes of the Essenes is to be underflood of those Primitive Christians who were disciplin'd under St. Mark. Epiphanius and Chryfostions were of this Perswassion, and so were some others of the Fathers- Baronius holds they were old Christian Monks, and a great number of Protestant Writers agree in this, that they were devout Christians bred up as Disciples under that holy Man. This is the more credible, because it is said of them that they used no Sacrifices; this plainly thews they were no Jews as to their Religion, although Philo and Josephus were willing to represent them as such, in honour of their Nation, they being so much admired for the Piety and Integrity of their Conversations. And the rest of the Character is a plain Description of the Primitive Christians, as they are represented in the History of the Gofpcl.

<sup>|</sup> Καταφερνητεί જેઈ δεινών, εξ τας αλγαθόνας νικώντες τοῦς φερνήμασο τ & Βάνατον, εἰ μετ' εναλείας αξεσίει, νομίζοντες αβανασίας αμείνω. Ibid.

<sup>\*</sup> Catalog. Script. Ecclesiaft. † Eccles. Hist. 1. 2. c. 16.

effe-

spel,i. e. as having for a time all things Common, as being Exemplary for their brotherly Love, as

Persons of singular Moderation and Self-denial, as those who were bid not to Swear at all, as those who underwent the severest Persecutions with an undaunted Courage and Fortitude, and resisted even unto Blood, and loved not their Lives unto the Death. Now the Jewish Writers for Politick Ends, would not give this Account of them as Christians, but as Jews, that the Credit of it might not redound to Christianity, but

to their Own Religion and way of Worship. Then, for Pagan Historians, they also out of Design omit some things, and infert others that are very false. Thus, as \* Budæus hath well obferv'd, Pliny the Natural Historian, could not be ignorant of the Eclipse at Christ's Passion, it being recorded in the Roman Archives, and he being a diligent Searcher in those Acts; but he would not infert that into his Writings which he knew Princes were defirous should be conceal'd; for the Doctrin and Religion of Jesus were to be as little plaufible as could be among proud and voluptuous Men, whom the Christian Religion fo much abhors and condemns. To have mention'd that Prodigy, might exalt that Religion too much, and the Eclipse might make it shine the brighter, and be more admired and reverenc'd by the World. For this Reafon it is probable the Heathen Writers neglected to record this fo prodigious an Accident, it making for a new Religion contrary to their own. I will give you another notable Instance, which is this, when M. Aurelius Antoninus's Army

was

of the Holy Scriptures. 417 was in great streights, and wanted Water, they were fuddenly and unexpectly supplied with Rain, but at the same time their Enemies against whom they fought were over-whelm'd with Hail and Thunder. \* Dion, † Julius Capitolinus, | Claudian , Lampridius report this thing, but fay it was from the Emperor's own Prayers to

Jupiter, and from the Inchantments of the Jewish Magicians. But the plain truth is, that the Christian Soldiers by their Prayers procured this extraordinary and unexpected Rain for the relief of their Thirst, and brought down Thunder and Storms upon their Enemies. The relating of this would have been too great an Honour to the Christians, and to their Religion, and the Master of it; wherefore the Pagan

Historians out of Policy would not ascribe this Wonder to the Prayers of the Christians, but to those of the Emperor, and tell us the very words he used. But they have not wholly concealed the Truth, for (as you have heard) they impute this wonderful Accident partly to the Inchantments of the Jewish Magicians. We know how common a thing it is with the Pagan Writers to mistake Jews for Christians, and fo the Jewish Magicians here are no other than the Christians in that Army, who because they brought to pass such a wonderful and astonishing Thing, are faid to be Inchanters and Magicians. These religious pious Christians were employ'd in the Expedition against the Germans and Sarmatians, and when the Army was ready to perish with

Thirst, obtained and fetch'd down by their

Еe

<sup>\*</sup> De affe lib. 4.

<sup>\*</sup> Hift. † In Marco Aurelio. | In Marco.

of the Holy Scriptures. effectual Prayers great showers of Rain for themthis reason which he mentions as well as for that selves, and destructive Thunder and Lightning which others Assign? I don't perceive that on their Enemies Camp, and thereby procured these are inconsistent. Eusebius goes on, and a Victory over them, whence the Emperor got adds that the Emperor hereupon recall'd his the Names of Germanicus and Sarmaticus. This Edicts against the Christians, and by a new is alledged and made use of in the Cause of Decree appointed a severe Punishment to be in-Christianity by Apollinaris, in his Apology to the Emperor, as \* Eusebius restifies. And this is flicted on the Accusers of them. The Gentile Historians say nothing of this, and will not let mentioned by Tertullian, as a thing every where known in his Apology to the Senate; and he us know that that miraculous Event was by means tells them there that the Emperor's own Letter to them, not long before fent to them out of Germany, acknowledged the same, viz. that God wrought a Miracle for the fake of the Christians who were in his Army, and he owed the Victory wholly to their pious Addresses to Heaven. This Father would never have faid this to the Romans, if there had been any possibility of confucing it; yea, if it had not been a thing for hereby they would at the same time comcertainly known by them. This Story of the mend Christianity, and disparage their own Way. Thundering Legion you have also at large in Eur Besides, some of them were affraid to own febius, who affines us that this Name was given the miraculous Acts of Christ and his Followthem for this very reason, because by their ar-lers, for they saw that this fort of Men were dent Prayers they procured Thunder to fright and perfecuted and put to death; fo that they dadisperse their Enginees, and Rain to refresh red not relate the Wonders they did, lest they themselves. And, if what some have endeavour'd should be suspected to savour Christianity, and to prove were true, viz, that this was the by that means become liable to Capital Punishname of a Legion in Augustus's time, and was ment. Or, if they fear'd not this, yet they named to from the Tunderbolt which it carried were affraid to displease the great ones, as I in the Shield, yet I do not fee any reason to laid before. If they knew any thing would be disbelieve this ancient Author; for why may ungrateful and unacceptable to their Masters not a Name be given on different accounts? Why they pas'd it by. Thus when it was given out

of the Christians. A Victory gain'd by the Prayers of Christians would found ill. This would have been too fignal a Testimony of the Truth and Prevalency of Christianity, therefore it is suppressed. For the same reason you may reckon Christ's Mriacles are omitted in Pagan Hi-Rorians, if you suppose they came to their Ears. It is their cunning to write nothing of thefe, may it not be call'd the Thundering Legion, for by the Sibylline Oracle in the Year before our this Saviour was born, that Nature did then bring forth a King to the World, the Roman Senate t Eccles. Hist. 1. 3. a splusereupon ordered that no Child born that Year E e 2 thould

<sup>\*</sup> Eccles. Hist. 1. 4. c. 26. & in Chronico.

The Truth and Authority. 420

should be brought up, as appears in \* Suetonius. Which was sufficient to give check to the Roman Historians, and so 'tis not to be wondred (as the † Learned Vossius observes) that the killing of the Children of Bethlehem by Herod's command is not mention'd by any but the Evangelists, he might have said, unless by Ma-

the Edict of the Roman Senate. 4. I adjoin this, that the Christian Religion, and the Professors of it, were generally look'd upon by Prophane Writers as very contemptible, fo that some of these scorn'd to record those things which had any relation to them. Hence it is that Christ's Miracles, and other things appertaining to Christianity, are not so much as mention'd. They would not vouchfafe to record fuch mean forry things, and which indeed fome of them took for Fables and mere Falsities. On this account likewise it cannot be expected that the Roman History should at any time particularize the Christian Affairs, unless when War and Tumults supposed by them to be caused by the Christians invited those Writers to it. Then the Roman Glory is concern'd to let the Conquest be told, and to have an Account given of the Particulars. But other Things relating to Christianity are deemed low and mean, and are passed over in silence, as not of any Concern and Moment. The Pagan Historians do purposely omit the Acts of the Christians because they think them not worth the reciting.

5. To speak more plainly, Prejudice, Harred and Malice, may be affigued also as the Causes why fome of the most remarkable Passages in the Evangelical History are not mention'd by Pagan or Jewish Writers. It is no wonder that Valerius Maximus, who hath made a Collection of the memorable Acts and Sayings of other Nations as well as Rome, and dedicated them to Tiberius, yet hath not a word of Jewish Acts, crobius; that act of his being somewhat a-kin to much less hath inferted any Christian ones. His Averfness to the Christian Religion may solve this very well, unless you will say that Christianity was but just rifen at that time when he wrote, and the materials of History concerning it were not yet brought to him. But this cannot be faid of Tacitus, who lived in the next Age, and who was a great Hater of the Chriftians, and was very \* fevere upon them in his Writings, on which account he cannot be thought to have related things impartially concerning them. Suetonius was † bitter against them, and who then can look for any fair Account from him? The fame may be faid of Lucian and Pliny, who though they deliver fome Truths (and not inconfiderable ones) concerning the Christians, yet their Aversness to them and their Religion (which by the latter of them is call'd | Pervicacia & inflexibilis obstinatio) would not permit them to speak what they knew of them. Plutarch, of all that lived and writ about that time, was the civilest to the Christian Religi-Ec 3

† Genus hominum superstitionis novæ & malesicæ. In Ne-|| Epist. 79. lib. 10. rone, cap. 16.

<sup>\*</sup> In Octavio cap. 49. † De Orac, Sibyll.

<sup>\*</sup> Per flagitia invifi.---- Haud perinde in crimine incendii quam odio humani generis convicti funt. Annal. 1. 15.

on; he no where jeers or flanders it, or makes any Reflections upon it, which made Theodores think he was almost a Christian, and had a favour for their Religion. But the rest (some of whom I have named before) hated the Christians, yea their very name was odious to them; hence when they speak of Christians or Christianity they mingle Calumnies and Lies with what they fay. Christians with them pass for fond and superstitious People, nay for flagitious and profligate, nay fometimes for Diabolical Impostors and Wizards, and the most execrable fort of Perions under heaven. I might here mention Zosimus, a fierce Pagan, and therefore shews it in his History when he speaks of the Christians. Being a great Hater of these Persons he doth upon all occasions speak ill of them, and particularly of Constantine the Great, because he was the first Emperor that threw off Heatheanism, and imbraced Christianity. tells us that he Murder'd his Empress, his Son, and other near Relations, and that he was fmitten with Leprosie for these unnatural and horrid Crimes; in brief, he relates the Particulars of this great Man's Life contrary to all ancient Historians that have written concerning him. The ground of which was no other than this, that he had entertain'd a particular Grudge and Prejudice against the Emperor, and bore a hatred to Christianity it self; so that whatever he wrote concerning them favor'd, of ill will and malice. It is not then to be marvell'd at, that such Men mis-represent many Passages which relate to the Professors of Christianity, and faififie all reports concerning them. They can

of the Holy Scriptures. can by no means speak well of a People that they hate. A Religion that they so abhor can have no Persons Good of it, they think. You must not expect they will relate Truths which they have a diflike of. This is one reason why Pagans are defective in their Historical Narrations; why many things spoken of by the Evangelists, are not mention'd by them, or are vilely mifrepresented. This is the cause why to few of Christ's Actions, and the Affairs of Christians are taken notice of; and why those that are, are fo miferably perverted. Prejudice and Envy, Spleen and Malice, are the Source of this Miscarriage.

6. I add this, that many pieces of History are loft, as hath been acknowledged and complain'd of by the Learned; whence it is that many Occurrences which we meet with in the History of the Gospel are not to be found in the Writings of the Pagans. We have but a few of these left us in comparison of their number at first; and those that we have are but Relicks of those Hiftories before written. Particularly the Stupendous Acts of our Saviour, and the Monuments of the bravest and noblest things done in that Age wherein He was born are now miffing. All Dio's Hiftory from the Confulfhips of An. tissius and Balbus unto the Consulhips of Messala and Cinna, that is, for the space of Ten Years, Five Years before Christ's Birth, and Five after it, is quite loft, and fo is Livy's Hiftory of that time. In vain therefore doth any Man think to find the remarkable Paffages referring to Christ's Birth in these Writers; much more vain is it to look for these things in those

E 4

Write's

424

Writers whose Histories are altogether missing at this day. Thus to instance only in the Universal 'Anoyegon', which makes the greatest noise with the Objectors, that without doubt was fet down by some Roman Historians, but their Writings either by Negligence, or by Fire, or by the Invasion of the Barbarous Nations into Italy, or by age and length of time are loft. It is clear that some did make mention of it; otherwise whence had \* Suidas all that which he relates of the Twenty Persons that were sent to make the droyegen? God perhaps would in his Providence approve the loss of these, that holy Hiflory might be partly imbraced by Faith, and not owe its Authority wholly to Human Testimony. But fuch as is remaining I have produced, and that is enough to fatisfie any fober any confiderate Person.

Lastly, I remark this in the close of all, that there are two of the most celebrated Roman Hifrorians from whom we can expect nothing that hath Relation to Christ's Birth, or any great Occurrence that happened about that time. For Livy wrote but to Augustus's beginning, which was before Christ, and for that reason no Man can rationally think, that fuch Notable Concomitants of our Saviour's Nativity as the General Taxing, and the Appearing of the Star, could be recorded by this Historian. And as for Tacitus (who is the other Celebrated Hiflorian) there is as little reason to expect any of these notorious Matters in his Writings, because he goes not back so far as Augustus. His Annals

\* In verbo [ anosegoi)]

of the Holy Scriptures. Annals begin with Tiberius, and continue to the death of Nero: and his Books of History begin where his Annals left off, and go on to the end of Titus Vespasian's Expedition against the Jews, and there have their Period. L. Florus is but an Abbreviator of Livy, and therefore we can look for nothing there. So Velleius Paterculus, though he goes fomething farther, is an Epitomizer, a Scantling of an Historian. As for Justin, who flourished in the Emperor Antoninus Pius's time, he was but an Epitomizer of Trogus Pompeius, and goes no farther than he went; therefore we cannot expect any thing of him concerning the Christian Affairs. Thus you fee what are the boundaries of these Chief Historians, and what you may look for (or rather not look for) from them, and also you have the Reasons given you why but few things which have reference to the Hiftory of the Gospel are found recorded in Pagan Writers. But all that could be rationally look'd for, is recorded, as I have shew'd you, by the best Historians among the Pagans.

These are the several Considerations which I undertook to offer, and I question not but that they will fully fatisfic the Scruples and Objections before started, and abundantly clear up this Truth to us, that we have fufficient Testimony from Pagan and Jewish Writers concerning the Gospel-History. This Proposition is evident, that the New-Testament is confirmed by Prophane Writers, that the Evangelical Records are attested by the authority even of those who were without. These have transmitted to us many of those things which are registred by the holy E-

vangeliss. The Memoirs of these things are

in Prophane Story, in the Writings of those that opposed the Christian Religion.

Thus I have finish'd what I attempted, that is, I have proved the Truth and Authority of the Scriptures from the suffrage and attestation of Strangers. I have let you see that the Consession of our Adversaries agrees with that of our best Friends. We appeal to the Jews, and to the Gentile-World; even these bear witness to the Sacred Writings. And their witness cannot be rejected by any reasonable Person, because \* a Testimony is least to be suspected when it comes from an Enemy, yea, because such a Testimony is reputed † firm and folid, because it is # worthy to be believed, because # it is most valid for the Commendation and Establishment of the Truth. This then renders the Books of the Old and New-Testament, worthy of all Acceptation, viz. that they are vouched by Profes'd Adversaries. And this is that which I have been urging in this Discourse, viz. that Jews and Pagans testifie the same things which the Inspired Writers deliver. A great part of the memorable Passages set down in these Sacred Writings are left on Record in those others. This is a mighty Confirmation of the Truth of these holy

\* Tote μάλις ανύποπ ο ή βρυνεία τη πεαξμάτων χίνε) όταν παρά τη έχθρων αυτή φέρη). Chrysott in Psal. 45. † Satis firmum tettimonium ett ad probandam veritatem quod ab ipsis prohibetur inimicis, Lactant. Inst. 1. 4. c. 12.

holy Books, this is a clear Evidence that they are not forged and suppositious, but that the Matters contain'd in them are real and certain, that they give a just and faithful Account of the things they treat of; in brief, that they are the Word of Truth, and endited by the Spirit of Truth. And thus much in pursuance of the First General Head concerning the Holy Scriptures, viz. the Truth and Authority of them.

### ADDENDA.

Refer this to Page 261. Line 15.

He English Jay, from the Hebrew Ajab, pica, cornix. To abash is taken from the Hebrew bush puduit. And from the Greek we borrow many words with the omission of a Letter or two in the beginning, as Licourice for Glicourice, from promueiça; Emonies vulgarly fo call'd, for Anemonies, from the Flower avenuorn, whence the Latin Anemone. Sciatica for Ischiatica, ab loxias, the Hip or Huckle bone: Scaroticks among Phylicians for Escharoticks : Scar, from Loxdes. crusta cauterio in carne facta: Sol, from dowto; Rice from Leusa, oryza: Star, from dsig: Box, from Hat: Maurus, a Moor, from auaup obscu. rus: Tanfie, from asavasia: To gaze from and coμαι, admiror, stupeo. Gay from விவிடு, elegans: and perhaps Trull from marginan læna. And I have taken notice of several Words from the Latin, with the first Letter, or more, cut off in the beginning, as Uncle from avunculus, qu. avuncle: Tills (as they are call'd in some Countries)

<sup>‡ &#</sup>x27;Ιχυεότεσα σε ες τ αληθείας σύσασν η τη εχθραν μητυεία. Greg. Nysi. de Anim.

- o - no rially and Matherity tries ) from Lentils, Lenticula : Story from History, Historia: Bishop qu. Pischop, from Episcopus: Spain from Hispania: Sparagus for Asparagus: A Plaister from Emplastrum: Stum from mustum: Dropsy from Hydrops: Gypsy for Egyply, of Latin original: Pouch for Capouch, (a Cowl or Hood, whence the Capuchin Friars have their Name) from Caputium, a Hood worn on the Head: Picked (i.e. sharp at the

end) qu. spiked, from Spica an Ear of Corn: Or if it comes from a Pike, then that feems to come from Spiculum a Pike or Spear, and that is from Spica, it is likely: Sides men corruptly for Assisting men, it being their Office to Assist the Church-Wardens (unless you will rather understand by them Testes Synodales, Synods-Men, who were anciently joined with the Church-Wardens.) There are other English Words derived after the same manner from the English, Saxon, and French: Thus Poppy, with the p left out in the beginning and middle, feems to give the denomination to Opium, (which is now a Word that may pass for English, and signifies the Juice of Poppy) as if Popium were the Word: Sterling for Easterling : Bour, or Bowr, from Arbour : Spittle, or Spital, for Hospital: Vails for Avail: Vantage for Advantage: Say for Essay: Grees (Stairs) for Degrees: Cantle (in Heraldry) quafi Scantling: Prentice vulgarly for Apprentice: Stover (for Cattle) from the French Estover: Squire for Esquire, à Gall. Escuyer: Quiry, or Querry, for Equerry, a Place, a Stable where Race-Horses

are fet: To Ply for Employ.

Instead

of the Holy Scriptures.

Instead of Sacriftan we corruptly say Sexton: For God be with you, we fay, Good By: For Koningstable or Kingstable, we fay, Constable, the Officer that is appointed and establish'd by the King, or to conserve the King's Peace. We vulgarly a fay Spice for a Specimen, Hogo for Haut-gouft, Carfax for Quatre voix, the place were Four Ways meet in Oxford. Some have thought that Elphs and Goblins with which they frighted Children heretofore are derived from the famed and fo talked of Feud between the Guelphs and Guibilines. Saragosa in Spain is most corruptly pronounced for Cæsar-Augusta. The Emperor of the Abyssines is called Prestor John, for Prestegian, or Protegian, as some think, but this is disputable. Maldon in Effex, by the Saxons called Malodune, is a Corruption of Camalodunum, the old Colony of the Romans here. Godmanchester in Huntingdon shire, is so written in stead of Gormonchester, from one Gormon a Danish Prince that had this part of the Country alotted to him. But Charter-House for Chartreuse, (the Covent heretofore of the Carthusians), and Shingles (the common word for St. Anthony's Fire, because it incompasses the Body like a Girdle ) for Cingles; and Good Morrow for Good Morning are not To great Depravations of the Words.

#### Refer this to Page 254. Line 25.

If oendern fignified any fuch thing as furtum, we might perhaps think the English Felony came thence. If 50AH, or stola, fignified sedile, we should be inclined to fetch Stool thence. We thould

fhould have derived Smoke from the Greek σμόχω if it had fignified any thing like, fumus, and io a Spade from σπίδων and Spade. Nay, If πάξταμω, we then should have vouched even our English word of that found to be derived from it.

FINIS.

# BOOKS Sold by Richard Wilkin at the King's-Head in St. Paul's Church-Yard.

THE Glorious Epiphany, with the Devout Christian's Love to it. The Second Edition. Octavo.

Search the Scriptures. A Treatife shewing that all Christians ought to Read the Holy Books; With Directions to them therein. Twelves.

A Discourse concerning Prayer, especially of frequenting the Daily Publick Prayers. Twelves. All Three by the Reverend Dr. Patrick, now Lord Bishop of Ely.

The Old Religion demonstrated in the Principles, and described in the Life and Practice thereof. By J. Goodman, D. D. The Second Edition. Twelves.

Imprimatur, CAROLUS ALSTON April. 6. R. P. D. Hen. Episc. 1694.... Lond. à sacris.

# DISCOURSE Concerning the Authority, Stile, and Perfection OF THE BOOK OF THE Old and New-Testament Vol. 11.

Wherein the Author's former Undertaking is further profecuted, viz. an Enquiry into feveral Remarkable Texts which contain some Difficulty in them, with a Probable Resolution of them.

By JOHN EDWARDS, B.D. fometime Fellow of St. John's College in Cambridge.

LONDON,
Printed by J. D. for Jonathan Robinson at the Golden Lion, and John Wyat at the Rose in St. Paul's Church-yard. MDCXCIV.

## Imprimatur,

Geo. Oxenden, LL. D. Procan.

Cantab. 0d. 19. Jo. Beaumont, S. T. D. Regius Theologiæ Profesior.

Nath. Coga, S. T. D. Aul. Pembr. Cuftos.

Jo. Covell, S. T. D. Coll. Christi Præfect. го тне

Right Reverend Father in God

# SIMON

Lord Bishop of  $EL\Upsilon$ .

My Lord,

Once more presume to prefix your Lordship's Name, which is so Great and Celebrated, to my Obscure Papers, thereby to create them some Credit, and to derive a Repute upon myself. Your Matchless Pen hath purchas'd You a lasting Renown, and Your Exemplary Life and Practice have added a farther Glory to You. So that all the understanding World counts You worthy of double Honour. If You had lived in the Primitive times, You would have been one of the most Eminent Fathers of the Church in those Days, as You have the Honour to be now in these. And Your Strict Life would

would have entituled You a Saint. You do all the Parts of an Excellent Man, and a Christian Bishop: You perform Great and Worthy things Your self, and You countenance even the lower and meaner Attempts of others. In a word, all that are intelligent proclaim You the Chief Glory of our English Prelacy.

My Lord, I do not apprehend that this can offend You, for He that is eminently Vertuous and Learned, provokes the World to speak his Worth: and they would be infinitely blameable if they robb'd him of his due Praise. Therefore I must confess I do not see the Read sonableness of those Writers that tell their Patrons they will not praile them, lest they Mould offend their Modesty. would not dedicate my Labours (as mean as they are) to a Person of a mean Figure in the Learned World, or in the Accounts of the Religious. For the Defign of the Dedication is to let the World know, that such a Person is really Praiseworthy, and that even to a Wonder, that

he is one that ought to be extremely honoured and venerated for his Transcendent Excellencies, and that he is to be a Pattern to the rest of Mankind. And yet, my Lord, You see I do not enter on the Task of Enlarging on Your Lordship's Praises: the Reason is not because it is unlawful or unfit, but because it is too Great for me.

Not to give Your Lordship any farther Trouble, if I have offended by this repeated Presumption, I have this to plead in my Excuse, that Your Merits as well as my Own Inclinations have made me Criminal. And seeing my Fault bears the Name of Duty, I despair not but that it will meet with a Pardon, and that Your Lordship will accept of this poor Oblation from,

My Lord,

Your Lordship's most

blic of Devoted Son and Servant,

J. EDWARD

THE THE

# PREFACE

THen I had by my long Parbearance fact tisfied the World that I was not fond. of Shewing my felf in Publich, and offering any Discourses in Print, (at least with open Face) I at last prevailed with my felf ta venture visibly to the Press. And truly I think. I may appear now with the more Confidence, because I have a great while deliberated on what I. have done in this Nature. Though I was very fly at first, yet now being enter'd into this Em. ployment, I believe I shall make a Practice of it, till, it may be, I shall be thought by some to num into another Extream. But I shall not confult or attend to the Opinion of a few prejudiced or envious Folks, but go on with my Work which I. design'd. And if it be said that some of the Texts, and Other Subjects which I discourse upon, have been often treated of by others, my Answer is, that I am glad they have, for then it will appear what I have done; then the Reader. will see, I hope, that I am no Fisching Plagiary, no Apisto Imitator, no Rash and Credulous Swearer, unto other Mens Opinions; that when I handle the same Matter which others, have before me, I present the World with Some-

famething beside Different Phrase and New Method; that by offering a fresh Critical Gloss upon several Dubious and Difficult Passages in the Old and New Testament, I have steared up the Seufe of them; and in short, that I have made some Remarkable Observations on the Best Back in the World. If I have not performed this, (which the Judicious only can be Judges of) I am fare I have endeavour'd it, and have all along made is my grand Design and Business to belp my Readers to understand the Bible aright, which certainly is of the highest Concern next to the Religious Practice of it. In order to the pursuit of this I had sufficient Warrant to break out of my Retirement, to appear bare-faced, and to falute the Publick. Besides, I thought my self obliged to give the World some Account of the spending of my Time, and to let it be seen that I have not wholly thrown away my Hours. Moreover, I have a great and passionate Desire to serve the Church, to vindicate our Holy Religion, to advance the Caufe of Christianity, to demonstrate the transcendent Worth of the Holy Scriptures, (which are the Standard of all Excellent Notions and Regular Manners) and to promote and set forward the Glory of the ever Bleffed Trinity.

there are already, how many Printed Discourses are published; that might well be spared (to say no worse); We are told that Tully's Offices

was the first Book that was printed in Europe; which was a Good Specimen of that new-invented Art. It had been a happy thing if the Press had proceeded as well as it begun, if Books of Use and Worth only had been handed into the World by it. But it is to be lamented that there is another Use too often made of this Invention, whilft too many Men that are Masters of no other. Conceptions than those that are flat and useless, or else erroneous and pernicious, take the Pains to let the World know as much in Print. Others. scribble to satisfy a certain Itch of Writing that they have got; and the Press seldom cures the Distemper, but rather increases it. Other mercenary Souls make their Pens wag for Bread, and they may generally be known by this Property, that the Front belies the Fabrick, the Title doth not tell what is in the Book, but only sets it to sale: so that indeed it is a mere Pretence and Shew, and stands as R. B's Sham-name is wont to do of late in the Title-Page. But none of these Miscarriages have discouraged me from appearing in Publick, and pursuing those Good Ends I before mentioned, which alone are suffir gient to legitimate the Press, and to License the Author's Undertakings. And if the Question be. Why more Books still? the Answer is made by another Question, Why more Men still? As long as the World increases, Writing will do so too; for all Men are not alike, their Notions and Conceptions are not the same; wherefore for

thefe different Readers there must be different Books. St. Augustin's arguing of old is useful and seasonable at this Day; \* It is of great Advantage to the World, faith that Learned Father, that there should be many Books composed by many Men, in a different Stile, rhough not a different Faith, about the same Queltions and Subjects, that so hereby the thing it felf, and the Truth enquired into. may the better be convey'd to the Readers. to some of them in one manner, to others in another. For this is certain, that all Persons are not convinced and wrought upon by the same Arguments: wherefore there is liberty to use all kinds of Topicks. Thus the Excellent Grotius acquaints us that he pick'd out the Best and most Convictive Arguments (as he thought) to prove the Truth of Religion, and particularly the Christian; and yet some of them, as Signatures. Fire Ordeal, &c. are neglected by other Learned Men; for Evidences work more or less. according to the Diversity of Mens Genius's and Dispositions. Hence the Judicious Doctor Jack-18h. in his Preface to the Reader, before his First Volume, confesses that the Grounds and Morives which he makes use of, and which most of all prevail d with him, may have little or no 

Little est libros plures à pluribus sieri, diverso stilo, non diversa side, eriam de quastionibus issdem; ut ad plurimos, ad allès sie, ad alios autem sie, res ipsa perveniat. De Trin.l. 1. c. 3.

Xiii

Operation upon others. Whereupon is founded the Vefulness, yea Necessity of propounding divers forts of Arguments, that if some of them prove not forcible and perswafive, others mer. So is it in Illustrating and Commenting upon the Holy Text: the Diversity of Interpretations is requisite and useful; and it may be the Mind of the Holy Spirit cannot be penetrated into without these different ways of Enquiry. The Wise Man is a Physician of the Law, far the Tewish Doctors, i. e. whereas the Unlearned and Unskilful corrupt the Text, and deprave the Sense of it, he comes and heals it by restoring it to its genuine and proper meaning. But in effecting this it is not necessary that he should tie himself to the same Methods and Arts of Cure which others have used before him. Some superstitioully confine themselves to one Man's Critical Determination on the Place; as Bishop Montague saith of Mr. Selden, they take a Grammarian for a God. They do so in the worst Sense, they deify Criticism, they idolize an Expositor, and fall down to his particular Interpretation. But we must be more Catholick and Generous if we are desirous to have right Apprehensions of the Sacred Text, and if we would be intimately acquainted with the Divine Truth contain'd in it.

This justifies the Variety of Comments and Critical Researches into the Holy Scriptures: and this furnishes me with an Apology for thrust-

ing my self in among the Writers of the Age. And being now of that Number, I have this is fay farther to the Reader, that though I am fentible of my own Defects, and particularly of the Miscarriages and Mistakes that may occur in this Work, it reaching to so great a Variety of Texts and Diversity of Matters; yet on the other hand, I hope I shall find him as sensible of the Arduousness of the Undertaking, and the Liableness of himself and others, to fall short in so Weighty and Difficult a Subject. In fine, in thefe and all other my Endeavours which I shall expose to the publick View, I covet only the Approbation of the Candid and Wise; and I shall make it my Business (I will not say to merit, but) to purchase it.

#### ERRATA.

111.

Age 41. line 31. read there. P. 54. l. 4. r. purposed. P. 61. 1. 4.

r. Air instead of Fire. P. 67. l. 3. after Counsel, instert [So Theoritus, — 'Εκ 50 ω των δε "Ερρές μοι φωνά γλυκυρωτες πί μελιπερο. P. 69. l. 2. r. an other. P. 94. l. 12. after as in, insert Exod. 20. 18. the People saw the Noise of the Trumpet. P. 145. l. 15. r. bbny. P. 155. l. 2. r. Nephritick. P. 178. l. 15. dele by. P. 269. l. 32. r. have no. P. 278. l. 11. r. to bt. P. 280. l. 17. after Belly, insert as it is generally thought. P. 300. l. 1. after ordinary, insert or profant. P. 333. l. 8. after more, insert according to the different reading of them. P. 385. l. 1. r. it as. P. 402. l. 11. r. this. The Hibren requires Correction, which is lest to the Learned.

A CATALOGUE of the Texts of Scrip. ture which are expounded and resolved in the ensuing Discourse, according to the Anthor's PARTICULAR Judgment.

GENESIS.

HAP, 15. ver. 7. I am the Lord that brought thee out of Ur of the Chaldees. Page 371.

NUMBERS.

Ch. 12. v. 1. He had married dn Ethiopian Woman. P. 375.

Ch. 23. V. 21. He hath not beheld Iniquity in Jacob, neither hath he seen Perverseness in Israel. p. 96. Ch. 25. v. 9. Those that died in the Plague were twenty

and four thousand. p. 369.

KINGS.

Book 2. ch.6. v.25. The fourth Part of a Cab of Doves Dung was sold for five Pieces of Silver. p. 288.

CHRONICLES.

Book 2. ch. 14. v. 5. He took away out of all the Cities of Judah the High Places and the Images. p. 358.

Ch. 1. v. s. It may be my Sons have curfed God in their Hearts. p. 342.

Ver. 11. He will curse thee to thy Face. ibid.

Ch. 2. v. 9. Curse God and die. P. 337.

Ch.4. v.18. His Angels he charged with Folly. p. 269.

PSALMS.

Pfal. 120. v. s. Wo is me that I sojourn in Meshec. and dwell in the Tents of Kedar. Pfal. 133. v. 3. As the Dew of Hermon, and as the

Dew that descendeth upon the Mountains of Zion. p. 331.

ECCLE-

ECCLESIASTES.

Ghaziv. 2. While the Sun, or the Light, or the Moon, mi bro the Stars be not darkned, nor the Clouds return after the Rain,

Ver. 4. And the Doors shall be shut in the Streets. -He shall rise up at the Voice of the Bird.

Ver. 5. The Grashopper shall be a Burden; and Desire Shall fail.

Ver. 6. Or ever the Golden Bowl be broken, or the Pitcher be broken at the Fountain, or the Wheel broken at the Cistern. p. 139.

#### ISAIAH.

Ch. 59. v. 19. The Spirit of the Lord shall lift up a Standard. p. 205.

St. MATTHEW.

Ch. 10. v. 14. Shake off the Dust of your Feet. p.189. Ver. 34. Think not that I am come to fend Peace, &c. p. 363.

Ch. 12. v. 40. Jonas was in the Whales Belly, Gr. κοιλία. p. 281. Ch. 13. v. 32. Which indeed is the least of all Seeds.

Ch. 24. v. 34. This Generation shall not pass away till

all these things are fulfilled. p. 391. Ch. 26. v. 64. The Son of Man. Why our Saviour is called fo.

St. LUKE.

Ch. 22. v. 36. But now he that hath a Purse, let him take it, and likewise his Scrip: he that hath no Sword, let bim sell his Garment, and buy one. p. 126.

St. JOHN. Ch. 20. v. 10. Then the Disciples went away again unto

their own home, Gr. weos tout's. p. 82; ACTS.

Ch. 7. v. 15. Jacob went down into Egypt and died, be and our Fathers.

Ver.

p. 221.

### xvi Texts of Scripture, Gr.

Ver. 16. And were carried over into Sychem, and laid in the Sepalobre that Abraham bought for a Sum of Money of the Sons of Emmor the Father of Sychem.

p. 361.

Ch. 13. V. 20. After that he gave them Judges about the Space of four hundred and fifty Years, until Samuel the Prophet.

muel the Prophet.

Ch. 23. v. 5. I wist not that he was the High Priest.

CORINTHIANS, 2d Epif. P. 128.

Ch. 2. v. 5. He hath not grieved me, but in part. p.99.

Ch. 1. v. 13. Who is the first-born of every Creature.

Ver. 18. The first-born from the Dead. P. 215.

THESSALONIANS, 2d Epift.

Ch. 3. v. 1. That the Word of the Lord may have free Course, and be glorified. And Other Texts which refer to the Olympick Games. p. 180.

HEBREWS.

Ch. 9. v. 4. Wherein was the Golden Pot that had Manna, and Aaron's Rod that budded, and the Tables of the Covenant.
p. 365.
Ch. 12. v. 24. The Blood of Sprinkling, which speaks

better things than that of Abel. p. 389.

TIMOTHY, if Epift.

Ch. 1. v. 8. The Law is not made for a righteous Man. Ch. 4. v. 8. Bodily Exercise profiteth little. p. 167.

TIMOTHY, 2d Epift.

Ch. 4. v. 13. — Especially the Parchments. p. 420.

St. PETER, 2d Epift.

Ch. 1. v. 20. No Prophecy of the Scripture is of any private Interpretation. 12. 16.

The rest of the Texts are interpreted according to the Sense of Other Expositors.

ेवताकु दे के हैं।

weign their composite the B

mining the same

STILE

erigisms on OF THE

Holy Striptures.

CHAP. I.

There is a primary or literal, and a secondary or my-Itical Sense in the Sacred Writings. A brief Explication of both. Several Instances of them in the Old Testament. Episcopius's Opinion concerning the fulfilling of some Passages of the Old Testament by pay of Accommodation, animadverted upon. Instances in the New Testament of the double Sense of Scripture. The Nature of Parables, effecially of those that our Saviour useth, fully discussed. The several Reasons of this parabolical and mystical way of instructing the People. The Parable of the Ten Virgins particularly illustrated. A double bistorical Sense in the 24th Chapter of St. Matthew. The like in other Places afferted by Dr. Jackson. Whence the pesuliar and transcendent Excellency of the infried Writings is inferred. A Just Censure of those Wrifers who vilify the Letter of Scripture, and whind nothing in it but the mystical Interpretation. Dr. Buf-

OF

net's allegorizing, and at the same time ridiculing the 3d Chapter of Genesis, rebuked. The great Mischiefs of excluding the literal Sense of Scripture. The other Extream, viz. of resting altogether in the literal meaning of the Bible, condemned. Erasmus, Calvin, Grotius, tax'd for this. Rules to be observed for knowing what Places are to be understood in a primary literal Sense, and what in a secondary or mystical.

Aving in a former Discourse treated of the Authority of the Sacred Writings of the Old and New Testament. I am now obliged (according to what then undertook) to give a particular Account of their Stile: By which (taking it in a large and extensive Notion) I understand the Sense and Import of the Holy Writ, as well as the Composition of the Words. The Stile of Scripture comprehends the Divine Meaning no less than the Phraseology of it. Accordingly I will reduce all that I intend to say upon this Subject, to these ensuing Propositions:

I. There is a mystical as well as a literal Sense of many Passages of these inspired Writings: and we are carefully to attend to both.

II. The Stile of Holy Scripture hath several things in it which are according to the Phrase and Strain of other applauded Writers: which therefore we ought to be acquainted with that we may the more easily understand the sacred Penmen.

III. As there are many things in the Stile and Composite of the Bible common to it with other Authors, so there are some things peculiar and proper

per to it, which we are more especially concern'd to take notice of, that the Singularity and Propriety of them may be rightly understood by us.

IV. The Stile and Expression of Scripture are such, that there are many Passages in it which are obscure and difficult. And here a particular, but sull Account must be given of that Obscurity and Difficulty. And likewise I shall make it my Task to remove them by a particular Explication and Illustration of those Texts which shall be alledged.

The first Proposition. This is to be laid down in the first Place, that there is both a literal and a mystical Sense in Scripture. The literal Sense is when the Words are taken as they originally and properly fignify. The mystical one is when the Words are to be understood in a more sublime Sense than the bare Letter of them imports. This mystical or spiritual Sense of Scripture is according to some, threefold; 1. Tropological; when one thing delivered in Scripture fignifies some other thing pertaining to the Conversation of Men. Thus those Texts of the Mosaick Law, wherein is forbidden the eating of certain Animals, have partly respect unto the Manners of Persons. Both Jewish and Christian Expositors have thought that it was defigned in those Prohibitions, that some moral Instruction should be taught that People from the Consideration of the natural Inclinations and Qualities of those Creatures. 2. There is an Allegorical Sense, when things spoken of in the Old Testament are Figures of something in the New: or, when particularly they have a respect to Christ or the Church Militant; as the Rock, and the Manna mentioned in Moses's History of the Israelites,

3. An Anagogical Sense is said to be in some Places of Scripture; and this is when the things related are applicable to the Church Triumphant, or the Life everlasting: Thus the entring into Canaan, and the Holy of Holies in the Temple, in the highest Sense of them, are meant of Heaven and the State of Eternal Happiness. But because there is a great quarrelling about the applying of this triple Distinction to the several Passages in Scripture, which are faid to bear a mystical meaning; and because some learned Divines of the Protestant Perswasion disallow of this Distribution of the mystical Sense of Scripture, I will avoid all wrangling, by affigning only those two general Senses of Scripture, viz. the literal and mystical; and by leaving it to every one's Liberty, either to omit the particular Subdivisions of the latter, or to apply them as they see occasion.

Or rather, if I may be permitted to vary from this received Division of the Sense of Scripture, I would divide it thus, into a primary and a fecondary Sense: the former is literal, the latter is neystical; and yet not so, but that sometimes (as you shall see afterwards) the fecondary Sense is literal too: for there are two literal or historical Meanings in some Places; but the latter of them may be called mystital also, because it is not so plainly understood as the other. The literal Sense of Scripture is the main, and indeed the only Sense of the greatest part of it! for some particular Places only have a mystical Signification. This is the most genuine, proper and original meaning; and therefore I call it the first or primary one. But the mystical Sense is derivative, improper, indirect, and not that which was first and chiefly design'd; and therefore I call it the secondary Sense. The former of these is that plain

plain meaning of Scripture which the bare Letter and Words themselves denote to us: The latter is when some other thing is signified in the Words befides what the Letter of them feems to import. The one is obvious, and lies uppermost in the Text, and is the soonest perceived: but the other is more remote, and lies deep, and is not so easily discovered, but is of great Use and Moment, yea generally of greater than the other more familiar and obvious meaning: wherefore it is our Concern to acquaint our felves with it. The Bible, like that Book in Ezekiel, ch. 2. 10. is written within and without: it hath an inward, fecret and mystical Signification, as well as one that is external, open and literal: and we can never arrive to a true Understanding of this Holy Book, unless we have some Infight into both.

I will instance first in the Writings of the Old Testament, and shew that there is a secondary or mystical Sense lodged in several Passages of them. Indeed the holy Language it felf, in which these were wrote, is big with Mysteries. I have observed that there are more Words in this Tongue that signify to bide or conceal, than in any other Language whatfoever: There are a hundred fynonymous Words at least for this one thing. Whether this Criticism have any Weight in it or no, I shall not be much concern'd; but this is unquestionable, that many great Mysteries are wrapp'd up in this abstruse Tongue in the holy Volume. The Jews, who were conversant in these Writings, acknowledg'd there was not only a literal but a mystical Interpretation of them, which latter they called \* Midrash, because there was no attaining to it but

A darash inquirere.

by a diligent Inquisition. The Hebrew Doctors say in a proverbial manner, there is not a single Letter in the whole Law on which there do not depend great Mountains. Their meaning is, that there are vast Mysterics and profound Sense in every Word almost in the Sacred Writings: Which is the meaning of another Adage of theirs, viz. that if the Law hath seventy Faces. It hath many various Aspects, different Significations and Senses: for there are mystical as well as literal Interpretations of the holy Text. Thus the Entrance of the Bible, the Beginning of the Book of Genesis, though it be historical, and sets down Matter of Fact, as the wonderful Creation of the Heavens and Earth, and of Man, and the rost of the Inhabitants of this lower World, yet it was thought by the wifest Jews, that there was a farther Reach in it, and that both Moral and Divine Mysteries were couch'd in the several Particulars of that Narrative which Moses gives there of the Origine of the World; for which Reafon this first Entrance into the Pentateuch was forbad to be read by the Jews till they were thirty Years of Age. It is agreed among the best Expositors, that in those Words in Gen. 3. 14, 15. The Lord said unto the Serpent, I will put Enmity between thee and the Woman, and between thy Seed and her Seed: Besides the primary or literal Sense, viz. that there shall be an irreconcilable Enmity between Mankind and the Serpentine Brood, and that Man having an Antipathy against that Creature, shall labour to destroy it, by truifing bis Head, because there his Venom lies whereby he doth harm; and the Head is to he first attack'd if we would destroy this mis-

chievous Creature, as Josephus || gives the Sense of this Place. Besides this (I say) there is another; for Satan is meant by the Serpent, as well as the Creature of that Name, (for Satan appeared in the Shape of a Serpent, or rather actuated a living Serpent;) and Christ is meant by the Seed of the Woman, for he is emphatically and exclusively call'd so, because he was not the Seed of Man, but was after an extraordinary manner born of a Virgin. So that this Text is justly stiled, wearoays know, the sirst Dawning of the Gospel, or the most early Promise concerning the blessed Messias, the Christ, the Lamb of God that was to take away the Sins of the World.

So likewise we are certain from the Authority of the Apostle in Heb. 7. 1, &c. that what is said in Gen. 14. 18. of Melabisedek, King of Salem, Priest of the most High God, is not only literally spoken. but ought to be understood in a higher and mystical Sense of Christ, who was the true Melshisedek, that is, King of Righteousness, and King of Reace. This mystical Interpretation of that historical Passage is vouched by the inspired Penman, who wrote the Epistle to the Hebrews. Again, \* it is written, viz. in Gen. 16, & 21. that Abraham had two Sons, the one by a Bond-maid, the other by a Free-woman: This is the Letter or History. Now observe the figurative Interpretation of it; which things, faith the Apostle, are an Allegory, for these are the two Covenants: that is these two Mothers, Hagar and Sarah, denote the two Covenants, the Law and the Goipel, the one from the Mount Sinai, which gendreth to Bondage, which is Hagar; for this Hagar is Mount Singi, in Arabia: that is, the Law was given on B. 4 Mount

ישכעים פכים יש לתורה ל

Mount Singi, and brings Servitude and Arich Obfervances with it, this is represented by Hagar; for the Name of Hagar fignifies the Mount where the Law was given, and answereth to Jerusalem that now is; that is, the present State of the Jews. The Hagarens, i.e. the Arabians, and all that spring from Ismael, (as Historians tell us, no less than the Apostle intimates here) are bound by their own Laws to be circumcifed, and observe therein the Mosaical Law, like the Jews; and so they, like Hugar their Mother, are in a fervile Condition still, dre in Bondage with their Children. But Jerusalem which is above, is free, which is the Mother of us all; that is, Sarab (which denotes the State of the Gofpel, that new City which Christ brought with him from Heaven, of which all Christians are free Denizens) is a free Woman, and fignifies that we Christians, Gentiles as well as Jews (for the is the Mother of us all) are free from all Moses's Rites, and are justified without them, Gal. 4. 22, 24, 25, 20. Thus it appears from the Apolite, that befides the historical sense, there is a higher and nobler in the Old Testament, and particularly in that Place of Genefli, where the two Mothers, Sarab and Hagar; and the two Sons, Trace and Thinacl, were deligned to fignify the different state of those in the Church of God: they respect the Law and the Gaspel, the Mosaical and Christian Dispensation. There was this belides the bare historical Schie. From the Time Divine Writer, we learn that the Pillar of the Cloud, the passing through the red Sea, the Mannah, the Drink out of the Rock, and the Rock it felf, which you read of in + Exodus, had a Miritual meaning, and therefore some of these

especially are express called spiritual: they did eat the same spiritual Meat, and drink the same spiritual Drink; sor they drank of that spiritual Rock which sollowed them, and that Rock was Christ. I Cor. 1c. 1,2, 3,4. Hence it is evident that this historical Part of the Book of Exacus is likewise symbolical, and capable of a spiritual Construction, though still the Truth and Reality of the History remain entire.

So what we read in Numb. 22.9. (viz. that Mofes made a Serpent of Brass, and put it upon a Pole, that if any Man bitten with a Serpent beheld it, he might live, i. e. be cured of the venemous Biting) hath a fecondary meaning in it, as our Saviour himself interprets it, namely, that the Son of Man should be lifted up, that who seever believeth on him should not perish, but have everlasting Life, John 3. 14, 15. The lifting up of the brazen Serpent upon a Pole in the. Wilderneis, fignified the lifting up of Christ upon the Crois, for the healing and faving of all that look up to him with an Eye of Faith. Thus when we read that God swore (in Numb. 14, 28.) that the murmuring and unbelieving Ifraelites should nor enter into Canaan, which the Pfalmist calls their Rest, (Pfal. 95. 11.) the primary historical Sense is well known; but belides this there is a fecondary or fpiritual one, which our Apostle hath acquainted us with in Heb. 4.1, &c. Whence you may gather, that in the Hiltory of the Ifraelites entring into the Promised Land, and of the greatest Part of them that came out of Egypt being shut out, there is a fecondary meaning included, viz. that Believers shall pollels the Heavenly Canaan, they shall enter into their everlasting Rest, that \* Rest which remains to the People of God: but Unbelievers shall | come

Chap. 13. V. 21. ch. 14. 22. ch. 16. 15. ch. 17. 6.

<sup>\*</sup> Heb. 4. 9. || Ver. 1.

short not only of the Promise which is lest of entring inthat Place in Numbers interpreted by the infallible Apostle. Again, this mystical or secondary Sense is observable in those Places in the Mosaick Law which speak of the Rites and Services, and Levitical Priesthood, which the Jews were under, as you may infer from \* three Chapters together in the Epiftle to the Hebrews, where the Apostle applies those things in a higher Sense (viz. to Christ himself, and his offering himself upon the Cross) than ever was intended by the Letter.

of the STILE

But this double Sense of Scripture is nowhere more remarkable than in the Book of Pfalms. The 22d Pfalm, though primarily it be meant of David when he was in great Distress, and forsaken of God, yet fecondarily, i.e. mystically it is to be understood of our Blessed Saviour when he was in his Passion, and hung upon the Cross; and accordingly you will find the first Words of it applied by himself, Matth. 27. 46. My God, my God, why hast thou for saken me? And other Pallages of this Pfalm. the 8th, 16th, 18th Verses are taken notice of by the Evangelilt, as fulfilled at that time, Matth. 27. 35, 43. Now it is certain they could not be fulfilled unless they had been meant, in this my serious Sense. of Christ. The latter Part of the 16th Pfalm is spoken in David's Person, and is, without doubt, in the first and immediate Sense of it to be understood of him, and of his Hopes of riling after Death to an endles Elfe. But It is as clear from Acts 2. 24) Oc. that if was spoken of Christ the son of David, and who was typified by that holy King and Prophet; for St. Peter faith there in his Sermon to

\* Chap. 7, 8, 9.

the lews, David speaketh concerning him, I foresand the Lord always before my Face, for he is on my right. Hand, that I should not be moved. Therefore did my Heart rejoice, and my Tongue was glad: Moreover also my Flesh shall rest in Hope. Because thou wilt not leave my Soul in Hell, neither wilt thou suffer thy Holy One to see Corruption. Thou wilt shew me the Path of Life, &c. Which are the four last Verses of that Pfalm before-named; and you may fee in the following Words of this Chapter, what the mystical Interpretation of them is, according to that Apoftle who had the Spirit to direct him to the utmost meaning of those Words. Part of the 68th Pfalm. though it be David's Thanksgiving for the present Mercies he received, yet undoubtedly it is a Prophetick Praising of God for the glorious Ascension of Christ into Heaven, as it is expounded by that infallible Interpreter, Ephef. 4. 8. Wherefore he faith, When he ascended up on high, he led Captivity captive, and gave Gifts unto Men: which refers to the above? faid Pfalm, but is applied to Christ's Ascension by the Apostle here. The 45th Psalm is originally a Song of Loves, an Epithalamium on the Nuptials of King Solomon and the King of Egypt's Daughter, but in a remote and mystical Sense it is meant of the Majesty and Glory of Christ's Kingdom, and the admirable Benefits which accrue to the Church in the Times of the Gospel. And many other Psalms might be produced, wherein the double Sense before-mentioned is clearly to be discerned.

To proceed; Though the whole Book of Canticles be in its literal Capacity no other than Solomonis Wedding-fong, yet it is to be look'd upon in the more sublime Acception of it as a Dialogue between Christ and his Church, fetting forth all those divine Amours which are mutually experienc'd by

them.

12

And that this Part of Holy Scripture, called the Song of Solomon, is of a higher Strain than the bare Letter imports, and that it contains great Mysteries and Abstrusties in it, may be gathered from that extraordinary Reverence which the Jews paid to this Book : For \* Origen tells us, that this (as well as the Beginning of Genesis) was not permitted to be read by them till they had attain'd to some Maturity of Years.

I come next to the Evangelical Prophet Isaiab, who hath many things concerning Christ and his spiritual Kingdom or Church; but it is to be acknowledged that some of them in the first and literal Sense, may and ought to be interpreted otherwife. Yea, the learned Grotius and Hammond are of the Opinion, that that famous Prophecy in · Isa. 7. 14. Behold, a Virgin shall conceive, and bear a Son, and shall call his Name Immanuel, hath a double Sense. The Words literally and primarily respect a strange and wonderful Birth in those very Days. Secondarily and mystically they are spoken of the Messias, who was to be born miraculously of a Virgin. Whether this Opinion be true or no. we are certain that there is a mystical Meaning to be added to the literal; or rather (as I faid before) it might be more expressive to say, a secondary Meaning is added to the primary one, in fundry Passages which we meet with, not only in this Prophet, but in Jeremiab and Ezekiel. Concerning the former of these the Jewish Historian hath these Words; | Jeremiah (saith he) in his Book, foretold the Captivity which the Israelites were to undergo in Babylon, which was just then approaching; and also the Slaughter and Destruction which we of this Age have feen.

feen. There was a twofold Sense, according to this learned Writer, in some of this Prophet's Predictions: Yea, there was a double literal or historical Sense, which was the thing that I afferted before. Whence you fee I had reason to make the Distinction of a first and a second Meaning of Scripture, rather than of a literal and a mystical, though I bring the mystical Meaning (when there is such an one) under the second. As to the latter of these Prophets, when we find him relating strange things acted in Visions and Dreams, which are things only imaginary, and represented to the Fancy, we must not think them true in a strict literal Sense, for they are only or most commonly done in Appearance, and many times will not admit of a real Performance as they are related and described: But we are to look upon them as Enigmatical Representations, and to fix only a mystical Sense upon them, that is, to understand them as fignificative of some greater and higher thing than they reprefent in themselves. Which may be one Reason why, among the lews, those that had not arrived. to some considerable Age \* were not allowed to read the Beginning and End of the Prophecy of Ezekiel, in which Parts chiefly those more mystical

of the Holy Scriptures.

I might pass to the other Prophets, and mention some Places in which we must needs acknowledg a secondary Meaning, as in that of Daniel, chap. o. 27. For the overspreading of Abominations (or, with the Wing, or + Army of Abominations) he shall make it defolate: which was meant without doubt of Antiochus's desolating Armies, which were so abominable to the Jews, and who, as we read, | fet up

Visions are inserted.

<sup>\*</sup> Præfat. in Cantic. † Antiqu. 1. 10. c. 6.

<sup>\*</sup> Hieronym, Prol. in Ezek. † Isa. 8. 8. | 1 Macc. 1. 54.

the Abomination of Defolation upon the Altar. But yet our Saviour himself, the best Expositor of the Place, lets us know that this was meant also in a prophetical and fecondary way of the Roman Armies that fat down before Jerusalem, and after a long Siege made their way into the City and Temple, and so might be said to stand in the holy Place : \* When ye shall see the Abomination of Desolation, faith he, spoken of by Daniel the Prophet, stand in the holy Place, then, &c. It is manifest therefore that Daniel spoke of both these destroying Armies. His Words are to be taken in a twofold Sense, a primary and secondary one: In the former they speak of what happen'd to the Jews when Antiochius's Army invaded them: In the latter they speak of what befel them when Titus Vespasian came against them, and destroyed their City and Nation. This is the double Sense, and therefore you may observe what our Saviour inserts, Whoso readeth, let him understand. As much as to say, when you read that Pasfage in the Prophet Daniel, you are to understand Something more than ordinary in it, you must take notice of a hidden Sense in those Words: they speak not only of what was to come to pass in Antiochus's, but in Vespasian's Reign, which was about 250 Years after. The abominable defolating Armies of both are here meant. You fee then here is a double literal Sense; and that was the Reason why I chose rather the Division of the Scripture-Sense into primary and fecondary, and of this latter into bistorical and mystical, than that received one of literal and mystical, because both the Senses sometimes may be literal. This ought to be carefully observed by all those who are desirous to attain to a right

Matth, 24, 15.

right Understanding of the Holy Scriptures. And it is the want of attending to this that hath often hindred Mens due Apprehensions of several Texts. We fee here in the Instance before us, that the Letter of this Text in Daniel may be applied both to the Syrian and the Roman Armics. I might produce those Words in the Prophecy of Hosea, Out of Egypt bave I called my Son, ch. 11.1. Which are to be understood not only of the Patriarchs of old (God's Children or Sons) being brought by God out of Egypt, but of Christ the Son of God, call'd out thence after the Death of Herod, Matth. 2. 15. This Place of Hosea must be understood of both.

Hither may be referred some other Places of the Old Testament made use of in the New, where it is faid, This was done that it might be fulfilled which was spoken by the Prophet; then was fulfilled that which was spoken to, and the like. The Places speak not primarily of those things which they are alledged for, but secondarily they do, and so are truly said to be fulfilled. I know Episcopius, and some others before him, tell us, that these Scriptures are said. to be fulfilled when there happens fomething like them, when there is a Representation or Similitude of the things; when there may be a fair Accommodating of one Event to another, then this Phrase is used. But a judicious Writer saith well; | " No Frophecy can be truly faid to be fulfilled only by way of Accommodation or Allusion: for there is no allusive Sense of Scripture distinct from the "literal and mystical ones. This then is a new way of fulfilling Predictions of the Old Testament, and was never heard of among the antient Expolitors end the control productions and or an or an or-

Matth: 73. 35. John 1 5. 25, &c. Dr. Jacon, Vol. 2. Book 7. Scct. 2.

of Scripture. They never dreamt of a way of Accommodation, but understood by those Words a strict Completion of those Texts in the Old Testament; for it is faid, they were fulfilled. But how? Namely, there being a double Sense in those Texts. the Evangelists take notice, and leave upon Record that they were accomplished and fulfilled in the fecondary or mystical Sense. And this I take to be the true Import of the Apostle's Words, 2 Pet. 1. 20. No Prophecy of the Scripture is of any private Interpretation, though I know there is another Exposition generally given of them by those that comment on these Words: But freely and impartially scan them, and you will find this to be the genuine Sense of them; Scripture-Prophecies are not fulfilled according to the literal or proper Significa-· tion of them only; they frequently have a mysti-

cal Sense: with the literal is joined a typical one, or one literal one is added to another. This is the fecondary, and, as 'twere, the improper Sense; but the other is the first and more proper one; for that is the word here used, islac 6771 hureus, of proper Interpretation. And if you consult the Greek of the Text, you'll see there is Reason to translate it thus, \* Every Prophecy of Scripture is not of proper Interpretation; i. e. there are some Predictions that contain a fecondary as well as a primary meaning in them: they are fulfilled according to both these, and therefore cann't be faid to be idlas 67 1/100 tos, of proper Interpretation. This Expolition of the Place is confirmed by the Reason that follows, for the Prophecy came not of old time by the Will of Man, but holy Men of God Spake as they were moved by the

\* Haou meopoleia yegons idlus chialosus a piverus.

Holy Ghost; i. e. these Predictions were of an ex-

traordinary

traordinary Nature, there was a deeper and farther Meaning in them than is in the Writings of Men; they are not bounded by a fingle Sense, and therefore neither are they to be interpreted fo. This might be made good from several Instances besides those afore alledged. Many other Places in the Old Testament might be mentioned to prove that the same Texts are to be taken in a different manner; that there is sometimes a double meaning in them; which is plain from the Quotations in the New Testament: for the Evangelists and Apoftles quoting of them is a clear Proof that there is a primary and secondary Sense of those Texts, and that this latter is sometimes bistorical, and sometimes spiritual; for we see these inspired Writers of the New Testament take no notice of the sirft literal Sense of those Places, but understand them wholly in the secondary way.

If we look into the Books themselves of the New Testament, we shall discover there likewise this double Meaning in several Places: Witness the many Parables which are used by our Saviour, and which are recorded by the Evangelists. It is true the Old Testament is not destitute of this fort of Enigmatical Instructions; but because those in the New are most numerous, and because our blessed Master himself hath thought fit to express himself in this parabolical way, therefore I choose to speak of it here. Parables, as the Greek Word fignifies, are properly + a comparing of things together; setting one against another; a making use of one Thing or Narrative to fet forth and represent to us another of a higher Nature. Therefore in a Parable the An-

<sup>†</sup> Пасаболад à пасаварлен, conferre, comparare. Т.т. b) Ciceto and other Rhetoricians a Parable is called Collatio, & retum differentium inter se Collocatio:

Antients used to observe two Parts, \*\* Antients used to observe the observe two Parts, \*\* Antients used to observe the observe two Parts, \*\* Antients used to observe two Parts, \*\* Antients used to observe two Part kingsom; the former being the Ground-work and Plot, as it were; and it might be either true or feigned: and the latter was the Application; or, if you will, the Moral of the other. The \* Hebrew Word used for a Parable will give us further Light into it. It fignifies first any Sentence or Saying that is by way of Similitude or Comparison, and so answers to the Greek Word, and is rightly translated a Sakodù by the Septuagint: for the Verb Mashal, from whence the Noun comes, fignifics to compare, and lay things together, and liken one to another. Wherefore mapasodin, which is fynonymous with Mashal, signifies any Comparifon or Similitude, as in Matth, 24, 32. Now learn a Parable of the Figtree: When his Branch is yet tender, and putteth forth Leaves, ye know that Summer is nigh. So likewise ye, &c. Here Christ explains the Etymology and Import of a Parable; in its first and more simple Signification it is only a Simile, as you fee here in these Words; and so it is taken in several other Places of the New Testament. But this is not all; it fignifies such a comparative Saying, Speech or Narration, as is obscure and intrigate, and contains some greater and higher Meaning than the bare Words offer to us. Thus what is darkly and figuratively expressed is called a Parable, in Matth. 15.15. And so the Rabbins call any Figure or Allegory of the way of a Parable. But most properly and strictly a Parable is a feighed declaring of a thing, as if it were done, when indeed it is not really done, but something else is fignified by what is fo declared. Now put thefe things together, and a Parable may be defined thus:

\* ברך משל ז .משל

It is flich an artificial Speech wherein one thing is compared and likened with another, but with some Obschirity and Intridateness; and we are to understand what is said, not according to the usual Sound and literal Meaning of the Words, but with reference to some other thing thereby mystically signified, as is evident in the Parables of our Saviouri If you ask why he so often delivered things, and consequently why Part of the Scripture is written in this mystical way: I answer;

1. It had been the Gustom and Use of the Any tients to express themselves after this manner; and our Saviour in this, as in some other things, was pleafed to follow their Example. That the alles gorical and mystical way of Teaching was antient, and used not only by the Poets, but Philosophers of old, is sufficiently known. Orpheus represented his Mysteries in a kind of Fubles. Pythagoras by Numa bers and Symbols. Plato by Emblems and Allegories: And Efop (the famous moral Fabulist) is the antlentest Book in Prose that we have extant. Heracliful gain'd the Name orioteno, because of the Obscurity of his Writings, by reason of his dark and enigmatical Representations of things. Only Epicurus took the word outowield for his Motto, and pretended to great Plainhels and Perspicuity. But generally all the antientest Greek Sages were wont to fet off their Opinions with a Mixture of Fable or Allegory. This Symbolick Way of Learning was in nie among the Gymnosbphists and Druids, as \* Luertills witnesses. Phornuttis faith the fame of all the Antients. Both Greeks and Burbarians used it, faith || Clemens of Alexandria. This was partly the Fashion of the old Egyptians: they used to wrap

<sup>\*</sup> In Proam. † De haf. Deor. cap. ult. | Strom. 5.

20

up the Mysteries of their Religion, and of their Civil Affairs likewise in Hieroglyphick Figures: as \* God, who fees and fustains all things, was reprefented by an Eye and a Staff: the Periodical Revolution of the Tear by a Serpent, with his Tail in his Mouth: a King by a Bee, which is noted for its Honey and its Sting, to tell us that Reward and Punishment are both necessary in Civil Government. When they would represent Erudition or Learning, they pictured the Heavens pouring down Dew, which perhaps was borrowed from Moses; Deut. 32. 2. My Doctrine shall drop as the Rain, my Speech shall distil as the Dem: For 'tis not improbable that the Egyptians had many of their mystical Symbols and Expressions from the Jews, as I have shew'd in another Place. The Parabolical Way is not unlike to this, it conveying the Notions of things to us by fit Representations, by apt Symbols. And our Saviour thought good to comport with this manner of Speech, which he knew had been in use with the greatest Masters of Learning; and he vouchsafed to imitate them, because he could so innocently do it; because (as you shall hear by and by) this was a very convenient and profitable way of imparting Truth to them.

2. This Instructing by Parables and Allegories was used not only by the antient Philosophers and Sages among the Gentiles, but (as a + learned Father hath amply shew'd) by the holy Prophets and Men of God, and other eminent Persons among the Jews of old. There are interspersed in the Writings of the Old Testament several Parables and Speeches which are of a Parabolical Nature, as Jotham's Parable of the Trees that went forth on a time

\* Pier. Hieroglyph. Ægypt. † Clem, Alexand. Strom. 1. 5.

of the Holy Scriptures. to another a King over them, Judg. 9. 8. This indeed is properly an Apologue, which in strictness of Speaking differs from a Parable in this, that the Similitude is taken from a thing that is not only false but impossible; for such is this Devo coloria, this speaking of Trees, which is here represented. And such is that other Apologue, viz. of the Thistle's sending to the Gedar, 2 Chron. 25. 18. and an Overture of a Marriage between them, which is mere Fiftion, and a bold attributing of humane Action to irrational and sensless things. There is not a third in all the Bible of this fort. But among the Parables used of old by God's People, we may reckon that Enigme or Parabolical Riddle of Sampson, which he put forth at his Marriage-Feast, Out of the Eater came forth Meat, and out of the Strong came forth Sweetness, Judg. 14. 14. Nathan's Parable of . the Ewe-Lamb, 2 Sam. 12. is a very notable one, and is famous for the admirable Effect it had. In Isaiah's Prophecy we read the Parable of a Vineyard, ch. s. 1, &c. and several Visions and Types in a Parabolical Manner. In Jeremiah we have a great . many Typical Representations and Parables, as of the Linen Girdle, and of the Bottles filled with Wine, ch. 13. of abstaining from Marriage, ch. 16. of a Potter, ch. 18. of a Potter's Vessel, ch. 19. of good and bad Figs, ch. 24. of a Cup of Wine, ch. 25. of Bonds and Yokes, ch. 27. In Exekiel there is the like way of expressing great and important Truths, viz. in a Symbolical way: There you have the Types or Parables of a Siege, ch. 4. of a Barber's Razor, ch. 5. of a Chain, ch. 7. of Ezekiel's removing, and of the Vine-tree, ch. 15. of two Eagles and a Vine, ch. 17. of Lions Whelps taken in a Pit. ch. 19. of a boiling Pot, ch. 24. Thus you fee it was the antient Custom of the Prophets and holy Men

Men to deliver their Instructions in way of Parables. Yea, this was the Guise and Genius of the Country: the Eastern People wied to wrap up their Opservations on Nature and the Manners of Men in this mystical way. Our Saviour vouchsafed to comply with the Practice of his Countrymen, but especially he thought sit to conform himself to the manner of Speech and Delivery which the Praphets used, and with which the Jews were acquainted. Accordingly, he delivered himself very often in a figurative and mystical Stile, and uttered many excellent divine Truths in the dark way of Parables.

3. He did this sometimes to hide his heavenly Matter from undeserving Persons, that Pearls might not be cast before Swine, nor Evangelical Truths be exposed to the wilful Despifers of the Gospel. This Account our Saviour himself gives 14 Matth. 13, 10. When the Disciples had said unto hims. Why speakesh thou unto them (i. e. to the Multifude) in Parables? He answered and said, Because it is given to you to know the Mysteries of the Kingdom of Heaven, but unto them it is not given. And u.t 3. Therefore Speak I to there in Parables, because they secing, see not; and bearing, they hear not, neither do they understand, Some Parables which our Saviour propounded were to dark and obscure, that none but the refined Minds of his Disciples could comprehend them. Others, who had wilfully blinded their Understandings, were not able to see into the inward meaning of them : Yes, our bleffed Lord deligned to hide his Mysteries from those profate Persons, and therefore disguised them in these dark Shadows

4. This artificial and allegorical Representation of things was to fir up our Diligence, and to make

the Truths, when found out, more acceptable. If all Divine Veritles were propounded in an eafy manner, so that upon the first Proposal they were obvious to us, this would nourish our Sloth and Idleness: but when we see that our Blessed Instructor delivers some things which can't be understood without Difficulty and Pains; this may invite us to be diligent in searching into the Mind of God, and to use all our Indeavours to attain to a Knowledg of it.

christ may be assigned as another Reason why Christ was pleased to discourse in Parables, viz. that what he said might be the better fixed on their Memories; for so it is, that what comes in the way of Story or Narrative, doth dwell longer with Men than another fort of Discourse. As they listen to it with greater Attention, so generally it makes a greater Impression upon them, and consequently is remembred and retain'd the longer by them: which is one singular Advantage of delivering things in this Parabolical manner.

Truths on the Memory, but to move the Affections, and to beget in us a Delight in those excellent Truths. For it is very entertaining and pleasant to hear the most Heavenly Matters express'd and set forth by those which are earthly and worldly; because hereby at once both our Minds and our corporeal Senses are gratisted. We are let into Celestial and Spiritual Mysteries by those Objects which are sensual and bodily: we attain to an insight of those things which are supernatural and extraordinary, by a Representation of those which are merely natural and common. This certainly must be very delightful, and have a mighty Institute on the affectionate Part of Man: this must

4 needs

go out with burning Lamps or Torches in their Hands to meet the Bridegroom, and to conduct him to the House where the Marriage was, and from whence they came with their Lights. this that of the Comedian refers:

\* Primum omnium lucebis nova nupta facem.

And that of Claudian, on the nuptial Solemnities of Honorius and Martia;

Alii, funalibus ordine ductis, Plurima venturæ suspendunt lumina nocti.

And who knows not that those Words of another Poet.

—Novas incide faces, tibi ducitur uxor have reference to the Custom of bringing home the Spouse late at Night with Torches and Flambeaus? Nay, when a much antienter Poet (and he an inspired one) compares the Sun's glorious rising to (\*) a Bridegroom coming out of his Chamber, i. e. the ushering the Bridegroom out of his Chamber with Lights and Torches, (which is a very elegant Simile, and apposite to his Purpose) we may thence inform our selves, that this Practice was of very antient Date. Moreover, the tarrying of the Bridegroom (which this Parable mentions) was known and common in those Days: this happened generally by reason of the many Solemnities that were observed, and the leading about of the Bride, which took up much time; the young Maids or Vir-

\* Plaut. in Casina. | De Nupt. Honor. + Virgil. Eclog. 8. (\*) Pial. 19. 5.

needs stir up his Desires and Love, his Joy and Satisfaction. For this Reason, among others, it is probable Christ made use of this pathetick and winning way of Discourse: He borrowed most of his Parables from very vulgar things, such as were well known to his Hearers, and which they had a very fensible notice and feeling of; that by that Means he might work the more powerfully on them; and by discoursing of worldly things bring them to an affectionate liking of the things of God, and the great Concerns of another Life: that by a

wise and artificial representing the Objects which were daily before their Eyes, they might be able to discern and approve of the invisible Excellencies of a future State, Our Saviour was a very popular Preacher; he purposely made choice of that way of Discourse to the People, which he knew would be most taking and moving with them: And such was this his Preaching in Parables, which for the most Part consisted of familiar Comparisons and Similitudes, and fet forth divine and spiritual things by

those which were bodily and sensible, yea ordinary and vulgar, and which they daily convers'd with. Such was his Parable of the ten Virgins, Matth. 25. 1, &c. which is a plain Allusion to those things which were common at the Jewish Marriages in those Days: for they at that time had borrowed many of the nuptial Rites from the Romans; as first of all the Use of Torches and Lamps, because they celebrated their Weddings at Night, at which time they prepared a folemn Supper, and brought home the Spouse, and carried her to that Entertainment at the Bridegroom's House. Again, the Custom of going forth to meet the Bridegroom (which is the most considerable Part of this Parable) was well known at that time: The Bride-maids used to

ging staying at the Marriage house, expecting the Bridegroom and Bride; for that flometimes it happen'd when they fat ap late, that they all fluraben'd and slept, However fame of them used to keep their Lamps trimmed, while others suffered them to go out. Then when the Bridegroom and his Bride were folemply brought home at Midnight, (as was usual) they that were ready with their Lamps, went in with them to the Marriage, inc. the Nuptial Beaft; but the Door was shut upon the rest : for it was the Custom, that when the Bridegroom and Bride returned, they presently went into their Chamber, and shut the Door with their Companions; and if any of the Bridemaids were never fo urgent, and cried, Open to us, the Bridegnoom gave Order to let none in, he knew them not, for they had forfeit-·ed their Right to enter into the Bridal Chamber by their Negligence and Drownness, by their not watching the exact Time of the new-married Cou-Ples Return home on the Wedding-night. Thus this Parable was futed to the Customs of the Jews at that time: Nay, the very Number of the Vugins (mentioned by the Evangelist) that brought and lighted home the Bridegroom, hath reference to the particular llfage at those Weddings : for from that Pallage in Statius, in his Epithalamium on Stella and Violantilla:

Demigrant Helicone Dea, quationique novena Lampade folennem thalamis cocuntibus ignem,

We may gather that the Number of those Bridal Virgins was wont to be temor eleven. And sometims five only (to which Number the Virgins are unhappily reduced in this Parable) attended the

Nuntial Solemnities: Accordingly \* Plutarch la hours to give Reasons (such as they are) why no more were made use of for this Purpose. The whole Parable is made up of the Rites used by the Eastern as well as the Roman People at their Marriages: and all the Particulars of it were fuch things as were commonly known to them, because every Day practifed by some of them. In like manner the Parables of the Candle, Luke 8. 16. of the Somer and the Seed; of the Tares; of the Mustardfeed; of the Loves; of Leaven; of the Net cast into the Sea; (all in one Chapter, Matth. 13.) of the Labourers in the Vineyard, ch. 20. 1. of the Houfholder that planted a Vineyard, and let it out to Husbandmen, ch. 21. 33 are Representations of usual and common Occurrences, and fuch as the General lity of our Saviour's Hearers were daily conver- . fant with; and for that very Reason were made use of by him, as being most moving and affecting. 1 Luther had an odd Saying, (as he had many an one) that Efop's Fables is the best Book next to the Bible, "His meaning, I suppose, was, that that fort of instructing, viz. by way of Apologues, by annexing an uleful Moral to a feigned Story, was a very excellent and profitable manner of teaching, it being fo familiar and delightful; and upon that account fo conducible to enforce and illustrate any Moral or Religious Truth. This and much more is the Excellency of the Parables which our Bleffed Master clothed his Divine Doctrine in: he chose this way of delivering things to them, on purpofe to work the more powerfully on their Affections. A fit Parable moves the Mind with a wonderful Force and Efficacy, it representing Matters to us

<sup>#</sup> Quaft. Rom. | Colleg. Menf.

in their livelieft Colours and most natural Shapes, and applying them to the particular Circumstances we are in 100 that it feemeth to fay in the final Close of it, as that Parabolical Prophet to David, Thou art the Man. It comes up close to us, and with great Plainness and Freedom tells us our Case, and affects us proportionably. To have Dominion or Authority, and to speak in a Parabolical way, are expressed by the \* same word in the Hebrew. This is most certain; that our Saviour reduced this Criticism into Practice, and by this moving way of Preaching let the World fee, that + be taught as one that bad Authority. Thus I have briefly shewed you the Nature of Parables, and given some Account of our Saviour's fo frequently using them. I shall only addithat useful Rule of St. Chrysoftom, which is to be observed by useif we would rightly understand the Nature of the Stile of Scripture in this mystical way of expressing it selfa: | " We " must not, waith he, over-curiously fift every "Word and Passage that we meet with in Paraoff bles, but our main Buliness must be to under-" fland the Scope and Delign at which they aim, " and for which this fort of Discourse was composed; and having gathered this out, we ought to enquire no further, it is in vain to busy our " selves any longer. And that of Maldonate is a very good Rule . For the right interpreting of Parables we must know this, (\*) that it is in vain to observe any Accuracy in comparing Persons with Persons, and to be curious in suting particular things to things: but we are to look at the grand Matter, and as it lies before us in groß. So he. For

\* Mashal. + Mark 1. 22. | 'Oust agi) मर्वाप्य यह देश मर्वाड maca Bonais XI nigiv menegya Zedan, &c. (\*) In Matth. 11. 16.

For this is to be remembred, that there are several Circumstances inserted into Parables, meerly to adorn and fet off the Matter, and to make the Representation and Similitude more graceful. Therefore we must not insist on every Particular, and think that an Argument may be drawn from all the Circumstances which we meet with in such Dilcourses. No; the main thing, which is the Defign, is to be attended to in a Parable. If we obferve this Rule, we shall gain a sufficient Knowledg of our Saviour's Meaning in his Parables: but otherwise we shall busy our Heads to little Purpose, and mistake the true Design and Intention of our Lord in this kind of Instructions.

There are other Passages in the New Testament. wherein a fecondary or mystical Sense is to be obferved, as the 24th Chapter of St. Matthew; one. part of which, according to most Expositors, speaks of the Forerunners of Jerusalem's Destruction; and the other Part of the Signs of Christ's Coming to Judgment. But if you look narrowly into the whole Chapter, you will observe that these Force runners and Signs of both Sorts are intermixed, and so promiscuously placed, that it is difficult to tell precisely which precede the Destruction of Jerusalem, and which the Day of Judgment. Which gave me this Hint first of all, that this whole Chapter, or the greatest Part of it is to be understood (as those other Places of Scripture before-mentioned) in a double Sense, viz. a primary and a secondary. In the former you must understand our Saviour speaking of those Prodigies and Calamities which should befal the Jews before the final Overthrow of their City and Temple. In the latter you must conceive him foretelling the dreadful Signs and Concomitants of the last Day, wherein

Of the STILE not only Jews, but all the World are concerned. Here is a twofold Meaning of Christ's Words, here is a double literal or bistorical Sense: and the latter of them being not lo obvious and evident as the other, and that is the Reason why it hath not been found out) may be called the myfrical Sense, for it is so indeed in comparison of the other. Whereas then Expositors are divided in interpreting this Chapter, some referring some Pallages in it to the Devalfation of Jerufalem, and others interpreting other Parts wholly of the Day of Judgment, we may compromise the Matter, and reconcile the different Interpreters, by afferting, that both the Destruction of Jerusalem, and the Calamities of the Last Day, are understood by both Parts of the Chapter, excepting only one or two particular · Expressions, which may seem to refer altogether to one of these. In short, the Forerunders and Harbingers of the Ruine of the Jews, and of the last Coming of our Saviour are the same. So that while he speaks of one, he also foretels the other. This shews that there is a double meaning, a simple and a compound one, in the very fame Words of this Chapter.

When the Apostle in Eph. 5. had spoken of the married State, and of the Duties of Huband and Wife, and particularly of the Love of the one, and the Submission of the other, he tells us, in the Close, that this Part of his Epistle hath a higher Meaning than every ordinary Reader of it would find out ! for besides the literal Import of the Words, there is a more sublime and spiritual one. This is a great Mystery, saith he, and I speak concerning Christ and the Church, v. 32. Those Words in Gen. 2, 24. mentioned immediately before, have a mysfical as well as a literal Meaning? they are to be underflood

stood of the facred Union of Christ and Me Church. as well as of the conjugal Union of Man and Wife. For Marriage is an Emblem of the facred and inviolable Tie between Christ and Bellevers; and accordingly whilft the Apostle discoursed in that Part of the Chapter concerning the Love and Submission of Husband and Wife, he lets us know, that it is to be understood in a fecondary Sense of Christ's Love to his Church, and of the Church's Subjection unto Christ. And divers other Passages in St. Paul's Epistles have, besides their literal, a spiritual, inward and mysterious Acception. Even as to this the Apostle's Words are true, viz. that he speaks the Wisdom of God in a Mystery, 1 Cor. 2. 7.

Thus I have abundantly proved the double Senfe, which is to be found in many Places of the Sacred Writings; and it were eafy to evince it from many . more Instances, if it were requisite. I will only here in the Close produce the Words of a very profound and judicious Man, a worthy Light of our Church, that I may not be thought to be find gular in what I have afferted under this Head. \* Many Passages, saith he, as well in the Pro-" phets as other Sacred Oracles, admit of Amphibologies and ambiguous Senses: and the same

" Prophecies are oftentimes fulfilled according to " both Senses. And he instances in several. A= gain, a little after he hath these admirable Words! + Seeing our facred Oracles were given many " hundreds of Years before the Events foretold by " them, and fince exhibited, had any feminal Caufe or observable Original out of which they were

" to grow; the greater the Variety of their Semles " or Constructions is, the more admirable Proof

<sup>\*</sup> Dr. Jackson, Vol. 2. Book 7. Sect. 1. + Sect. 2.

faulty,

doth their Accomplishment exhibit of that infi-" nite Wisdom which did dictate them unto the Prophets. And he instances in such Prophecies as were fulfilled in a double Sense, and at two different times, as Isa. 9. 23. Fer. 1.6, 8. and others which had a first and second Accomplishment. This is the very thing which I have been afferting, and which I hope I have made sufficiently evident. The bistorical Books of the Old Testament are not bare Narratives and naked Stories of what is past, but in the largest and most comprehensive Construction of them they refer even to the Affairs of future Times. So that what Thucydides called his History, \* a Possession, or Treasure that was to last for ever, a Monument to instruct all the Ages to come, we may most truly and justly apply to the historical Part of the Old Testament. It is of never-failing Use to the World: Whatever is recorded here concerning the Transactions of Divine Providence towards the Jews and other People, is typical and representative of what God now doth, and will always do to the End of the World. In the several Particulars of the facred Story we may read the Condition and Lot of the Church in all fucceeding Ages; for what is to come is but a Transcript of what we find here. And as for the Dollrinal Part, it is mysterious and allegorical in many Places: there is a hidden and invisible Treasure lies under the visible and outward Letter. Many of the Precepts, Prohibitions, Threatnings and Promifes reach a great deal farther than the Words simply and absolutely denote: and spiritual and heavenly Matters are couched in those Texts which primarily speak of earthly and temporal ones. Lastly, When

when you read a prophetick Passage in the Bible, the bare thing there literally expressed is not all that is intended, but there is oftentimes much more implied. As Ezekiel tells us of a Wheel within a Wheel; so 'tis as true there is a Prophecy within a Prophecy in the Holy Scriptures. One and the same Prediction there is to be fulfilled more than once. In fhort, the Bible is not like other Books: In the History, Dollrines and Prophecies, both of the Old and New Testament, there are secret and hidden Meanings besides those which are plain and obvious, and which lie uppermost in the bare Letter. This is the peculiar and transcendent Excellency of the inspired Writings: This one thing alone may invite us to fludy this facred Volume, and with incessant Labour penetrate into the inmost Sense of it, and acquaint our felves not only with the literal Meaning which first comes to our View, but with that which is more remote and mysterious.

Here then we must carefully avoid these two Extreams, viz. of laying the Letter of Scripture aside, and of resting altogether in the Letter. First, . some despise the Letter of Scripture, and mind nothing in it but the Mystery. Of this fort were the Cabalifick Jews, who depraved the most substantial Parts of the Old Testament, by interpreting them in a mystical Sense only. Some of the Christian Fathers were too guilty of this, especially Origen, the Prince of the Allegorists. St. Hilary in his Commentaries on St. Matthew, and on the Pfalms, explains several Places in this mystical way, whereby he fastens on them a Sense very different from that which they naturally have. Indeed his Comments are generally taken from Origen. St. Ambrose, in his Exposition of the Scripture, is generally allegorical. Optatus, Bishop of Milevi, is too often

<sup>\*</sup> Kritua es det.

and Phantafins.

faulty, as to this, in his Books against the Donatists. But it is to be observed, that none of these Fathers do utterly exclude the literal and historical Meaning. And as there have been Cabalifts and Allegorists of old, so some high-flown Men of late have run all the Bible into moral and mystical Interpretations; and in the mean time have either disbelieved or slighted the historical and literal Sense. I cannot wholly condemn those who have indeavoured to present us with Mysteries in all the feveral Steps of the Creation, in the whole fix Days Works, and in every particular Instance of the Mosaick Philosophy. For this, without doubt, is not wholly external, material and fensible, and to be interpreted only according to the most obvious Signification of the Words: it is most true even here, that Moses bath a Vail over his Face; and there are certain Mysteries and Allegories contained under the very History. But though we are not to be mere Sons of the Letter, yet we have no Reason to think that the Mosaick Philosophy or History are made up of Allusions and Metaphors, and are altogether mysterious. This were to foar aloft with our modern Chymists, to dote after the rate of a Roly-crucian, whose Brains are so inchanted, that they turn all into Spectres, Dreams

But especially that Part of the Beginning of the Book of Genesis which gives an Account of the Fall of our first Parents, must not be turned into mere Mystery and Allegory: for it is sufficiently evident that Moses speaks of Matter of Fact. Wherefore a \* late Writer cannot be enough rebuked for his Attempt of turning all the Molaick History concerning

# Dr. Burnet. Archwolog. Philosoph. 1. 2. c. 7, 8, 9.

cerding Adam and Eve, the Serpent, Paradife, eating the forbidden Fruit, and all the Passages relating to them, into Parable, yea into Ridicule; for he makes himself bugely merry with the several Particulars recorded by Moses. Yea, his Fancy was so low and groveling, that he picks up any vulgar. Stuff to present the Reader with, Upon those Words, They sewed Fig-leaves, and made themselves Aprons, he triffingly cries out, Behold the first Rife of the Tailors Trade! And then that trite and popular Cavil is fetch'd in to embelish his Book, Where had Adam and Eve Needle and Thread? And again, this he faith exceedingly troubles and puzzles his Brain, How the Woman's Body could be made of one single kib. Such is the profound Wit and Philosophy of this Allegorical Gentleman! who, because the Scripture sometimes speaks (as I shall have occasion to shew afterwards) after the manner of Men, and in compliance with their common (though mistaken) Apprehensions, he here stretches this too far, and extravagantly tells us, "That all the Account given by Moses, not only " of the Origine and Creation of the World, but of Adam, and the first Transgression, and the Serpent, and the cursing of the Earth, and other Matters relating to the Fall, is not true in it felf, but only spoken popularly, to comply with the dull "Ifraelites, lately flavish Brickmakers, and smel-" ling strong of the Garlick and Onions of Egypt." " To humour these ignorant Blockheads that were newly broke loofe from the Egyptian Taskmafters, and had no Sense nor Reason in their thick Sculls, Moses talks after this rate; but not a Syllable of Truth is in all that he faith. This is very strange Language from a Reverend'

Divine, who thereby destroys the whole System of

Theology, and of Christianity it self: for if there. were none of those things before mentioned; if in a literal and historical Sense there was no such thing as that first Disobedience of Adam; if there be nothing true concerning the Temptation and the Apostacy of our first Parents, and the Evils and Misery that ensued upon it, then it will follow thence that Mankind had no need of a Saviour and Redeemer: then Christ's Coming in the Flesh was in vain; then all Christianity falls to the Ground; then when the Writings of the New Testament speak of \* Eve's being deceived, and being in the Transgression; when they acquaint us that I the Serpent beguiled Eve through his Subtilty; and that || by one Man's Difobedience many were made Sinners; and that in (\*) Adam all died: all is mere Romance and Fiction; there was nothing of these in Reality. And then likewise we have as good Reason to believe that the other Parts of the New Testament which speak of our Saviour and all his Undertakings, are to be understood in the same manner, that is, they are but a cunningly devised Parable; they may have some moral meaning, as Efor's Fables have, but they contain nothing of real Fact. This is the natural Refult of allegorizing the 3d Chapter of Genesis. By dealing thus with this Part of the Bible he hath baffled all the rest, he hath wretchedly subverted the whole Scheme of our Religion; he hath spoil'd the whole Fabrick of Christianity; and he hath made the Scripture useless and infignificant. So that by this one Attempt of his he hath shaken, not to fay overturn'd, the Foundations of Religion, he hath taken part with the known Despisers of all revealed Theology; he hath encouraged and patronized

\* 1 Tim.2.14. † 2 Cor.11.3. | Rom.5.19. (\*) 1 Cor.15.24.

tronized the wild Conceits of Scepticks; he hath strengthned the Hands of the Profane; he hath abundantly gratified the whole Tribe of Atheists and Deists; he hath won their Hearts for ever. And indeed we cannot but observe what fort of Menthey are that applaud his Undertaking, viz. the Wits of the Town (as they are call'd) Men disposed to very ill Thoughts of Religion and the Scriptures, yea Men generally indulging themselves in Immorality and Debauchery. These are the Persons that promote his Notions, and cry up his Writings. This Theorist is become much more pleasing to them than Mr. Hobbs. This new Archaologist is far more taking than the Leviathan, because he nips the Bible more closely, and also because he is not (as the other) a Layman, but a professed Divine, and that of the Church of England. This makes his Enterprize so acceptable to these Men; for now they have a Clergyman to vouch them; they have the Warranty of a Churchman. I will not question, or so much as suspect the Prudence of our Ecclesiastical Governors: but in my Judgment, if there be no publick Censure pass'd upon such a daring Attempt as this, by a Member of our Church, Atheists will have just Ground to laugh at our Discipline, as well as they do at our Doctrine.

To excuse himself, he saith, this way of speaking is used in the Writings of the New Testament, and confessed to be Metaphorical and Symbolical; and why not then in Genesis? I answer; Because though there are some Expressions of that Nature, as the Trampet sounding, and the Books opened at the Day of Judgment, which are but metaphorical, it is likely exert it is easy to discern it: And in other Places it is intimated, and sometimes plainly declared,

Dз

tnat

that the Passages are metaphorical and myshical, as in the Parables of the Prophets and of our Savie our. But it is quite another thing which we are speaking of, viz. not an Expression or two, but a whole entire History delivered in plain Words and with all its Circumstances as Matter of Facts, and there is not the least Intimation of any other Sense: yea many of the Particulars are mentioned in other Places of the Old and New Testament, as direct Matter of Fact. Wherefore when he attempts to solve his Undertaking by alledging some Passages in the New Testament of Christ and his Apostles, he cannot but see that it is very foreign to his Buliness. Again, in a short Appendix to his Book (where he seems to retract in a manner what he had faid, having been informed (he faith) that it was displeasing to pious and wife Men) he excuses himself by alledging the Fathers; who, itis true, present us with several allegorical Interpretations and Descants on some Places of Scripture, and particularly on the 3d Chapter of Genesis: but this is nothing to his purpose, because those antient: Writers do not deny the literal Sense, which he doth. He is not content to allegorize that Chapter, but he wholly rejects the literal Meaning, and confidently avers that Moses all along tells a Story that hath nothing of Truth in it, and is not spoken according to the Mature of the things. So I grant, that some of the old Jewish Dollors moralized Mefes's History, but they did not flight, much less fupersede and lay aside the historical Sense. And moreover, he hath neither the Fathers nor the Rabbigs as an Example of ridiculing the Mosaick History, which yet he doth throughout his whole Difcourse on that Chapter, shewing his little Talent of Jesting and Drolling. So that in brief, it might

become Hudibras better than a Doctor of Divinity. I appeal to any that are acquainted with the antient Monuments of the Church, whether he doth not perfectly tread in the Steps of the old Adverfaries and Blasphemers of Christianity, Julian, Celfus, &c. The former of these speaking of, and deriding what is faid in Genesis concerning Adam and Paradife, and eating the forbidden Fruit, &c. positively declares that \* these are altogether fabulous. And again afterwards, & What Difference is there, faith he, between these and the Fables of the Greeks? What Dr. Burnet faith amounts to the same; for when he expresly saith, Moses delivered nothing of the Physical Truth concerning the Creation of the World, &c. but wisely dissembles to accommodate himself to the People: and when he tells us, that Moses said these things only to conciliate Force and Authority to his Laws, (which are his own Words) he doth as good as fay, that what he delivers is a Fable. He might in plain Terms have stiled the Mosaick History a fabulous Tradition, as || Simplicius calls the Account which Moses gives of the Creation. Yea, he might as well have spoken the Language of his Friend Celfus, who call'd the Mosaick Relation concerning Adam and Eve, (\*) an old Wife's Fable. Thus we fee what Examples he follows; some of the craftiest and subtilest (but yet the most malicious) Enemies of the Christians, who laugh'd at their Religion whilst others persecuted it, and did more harm by that deriding it, than others by violent oppressing it. But lo a remarkable Example of the Divine Justice! viz. on the bold Gentleman who lately englished that part of the Doctor's Book D 4 - which

be-

Town Ist Huladn murishas. Cyril. cont. Julian. lib. 3. Τι διαφέρει 7 τορά Ελλησι πεπλασμένων μύθων τα πιαδι De Phys. Aud. 1. 8. (\*) Origen. cont. Cels.

which derides the 3d Chapter of Genesis, and who committed it to the Press for the sake of some of the witty Folks of the Town, and to please the Atheistical Rabble. This signal Act of avenging Providence is well known to the World, and I wish the ingenious Theorist would feriously resect upon it, and learn thence to make Sport with the Bible no more: And I request him not to be offended at my plain Dealing with him; for I assure him that I have faid nothing out of any Difrespect or ill Will to his Person, but wholly from a deep Sense of the great Mischief which is like to ensue upon this late Attempt of his. I abhor the treating of any learned Man's Writings with Contempt: yea, on the contrary I have always paid a due Respect and Deference to them, though they are not adjusted to the Notions which I have of things. But when I fee the Holy Scriptures struck at, and Religion it felf shock'd and extremely hazarded, I cannot forbear from uttering my Sentiments, and shewing my just Indignation on such an Occasion. Christian Charity, which beareth all things, endureth all things, cannot by any Means brook this. And I must freely tell this learned Writer, that let his Character otherwise be never so fair, (and 'tis not my Defign to disown it or blemish it in the least) it is certain that the better this is, the worse is his Enterprize; for he seems to come sober and demure to undermine the Bible, and destroy Christianity, as many a Cracovian Reasoner hath done before him. But truly there is little Sobriety in jesting and buffooning, in jeering and drolling away our Religion, and that under the Pretence of Philosophick Antiquity. Nay, let me tell him, (and I hope by this time his own Thoughts do so too) that to trifle and droll after the Rate that he doth on

the

the inspired History concerning Adam and Eve, is a near Approach to Blasphemy. I heartily wish he may be apprehensive of his Delinquency in this kind, and that for the future he may guide himself by that wholsome Rule, viz. that we are not to quit the literal Interpretation in any Place of Scripture, unless there be a necessity of doing so. And tis certain there is none in the present Case; nay, there is an absolute Necessity of acknowledging the literal and historical Meaning, unless we will subvert the very Foundations of our Religion. He that makes this first Book of the Bible to be wholly mystical, doth not observe the Distance between Genefis and some Part of the Revelation. We must be careful that we follow not the Masters of abstruse Divinity so far, that we exclude the literal. Sense of Scripture: for this will prove fatal to the Scriptures themselves, and to all Religion, especially Christianity. If we dote upon Allegories, and defy the Letter and History of the Bible, we quite null these Sacred Writings, because we thereby render them ambiguous and precarious, we authorize any wild Interpretations that can be made of them. If we may leave the literal Sense of Scripture when we please, and fly to metaphorical and mystical ones, then the Certainty of the Word of God will soon vanish: for then we cannot tell what is true or what is false; or if we know it, we can never confute any Error, or maintain any Truth from the Holy Writ. For by this Means therewill be innumerable Explications of Scripture, and who can possibly determine which of them is to be made choice of? If you offer any Text to prove flich or fuch a Doctrine, it will easily be evaded if the Letter may not be our Guide; for it is but faying, The Place is not meant as the Words found,

but must be taken figuratively and mystically. Thus Scripture it felf is destroyed by cashiering the literal Acception of the Words. Yea, we destroy the whole Gospel, and pluck up the Foundations of Christianity; we deny Christ and all his blessed Undertakings for our Redemption and Salvation; for these being Matter of Fact, are founded upon the literal Account we have of them, upon the hiftofical Relation of them, which we have in the Writings of the Evangelists and Apostles. Thus dangerous and fatal it is to let go the literal Senie of Scripture, and to catch at a mystical one only, By this wild Practice Men attempt to thrust Religion out of the World; or, which is the same thing, to prefent us with a metaphorical and allegorical Religion, instead of a true and real one. Therefore there is good Reason why we should not quit

the literal Construction of Scripture.

Secondly; The other Extream which is to be avoided by us, is the \* resting altogether in the outside, the looking no farther than the literal Meaning of Scripture, There is such as thing as mystical of Symbolical Divinity; however some have mistaken and abused it: and this, if it be rightly used, is exceeding profitable, yea necessary; for it is no other than the Refult of the mystical Sense of Scripture, which I have been speaking of. He is truly a Divine, he may deservedly be said to have Skill in Christian Theology, who contents not himself with the primary or literal Import of the Sacred Writings, but dives into the fecondary but more abstruse Meaning of them, who penetrates into the hidden Mind of the Word of God. If there be a

myllied Sense in Scripture, as I have proved in several Instances, it must be reckoned a great Overfight (to fay no worse) in the Expositors of this Holy Book, not to take notice of this Interpretation, but to acquiesce wholly in the literal Meaning. This is observable in the Expositions which some of the Rabbins give of the Bible: for as the Jewish Cabalists are too allegorical, (as we took notice before) so another Set of their Doctors is too much devoted to a literal Interpretation. This they flick to when there is no Reason for it, yea when the Words are plainly figurative, and must needs be taken fo. Yet even then they interpret them according to the Letter, and thence are produced some of those foolish Propositions and childish Assertions, those groundless Fables and Legendsi; yea those gross Lies and Forgeries which are found in the Books of the Rabbins. Erasmus was faulty in this kind this Readers may observe that he neglected the mystical Sense of Scripture, and resolutely adhered to the bare Letter. In which he is followed by Calvin, who generally leaves out the fecondary and more sublime Sense of many Texts of Scripture, and satisfies himself with the literal one only. This he doth in his Comment on Gen. 3. 15. I will put Enmity, between, &c. which he interprets simply of the Antipathy between Men and Serpents, (which is the poor and lank Interpretation which Josephus the Jew gives of it, as you have heard:) whereas those Words in the highest Meaning of them (as the antientest and learnedest Fathers have suggested) are the first and grand Promise of the Messias made to our first Parents, and in them to all their Posterity. Those Places, Pfali 22:16. They pierced my Hands and my Feet; And ver. 18. They part my Garments among them, and

<sup>\*</sup> Kara रहे प्रशिक्षा व Posiv, XI द्वाइक रावेड वेड्डा केर्राम्बार्डिक प्राप्त Athanas in Matth. 12.31.

and cast Lots upon my Vesture; Calvin is enclined to interpret simply, and not concerning Christ: he would have them to be only metaphorical Expressions of David's Calamities and Sufferings, notwithstanding it is expresly said by the Evangelist St. Matthew, that those things were done to Christ, that it might be fulfilled which was spoken by the Prophet, Matth. 27. 35. And by the Evangelist St. John. This was done that the Scripture should be fulfilled, ch. 19. 36, 37. And so as to that Text, Jer. 31. 22. The Lord hath created a new thing in the Earth, a Woman shall compass a Man. The same Author will not have this Prophecy, (for fuch it is, though it feems to speak of a thing past, it being the Custom of prophetick Writers to fignify the future Time by the past, as you shall hear afterwards) he will not, I say, have this Prediction refer in the least to Christ and the Virgin Mary: It is ridiculous, he saith, to understand it so. And some other Prophecies which are meant of Christ, he understands otherwise, confining himself to the bare Letter of the Words. Thus this excellent Person. out of an Affectation of Novelty, perverts those Scriptures which the antient Fathers quoted as spoken of Christ; and he plainly tells us, that the Fathers abused those Places. But (which is far worse) he refuseth to expound some of those Texts of the Old Testament concerning Christ, notwithstanding the Evangelical Writers in the New Testament alledg them as punctually fulfilled in him, and in what he suffered. For this Reason that renowned Man may be thought to incline to Judaism or Arianism as much as Erasmus is thought by some; for you shall find the one as well as the other interpreting Places of Scripture, which speak of Christ, quite to another Sense.

\* One of the Worthies of our Church excuseth the former of these Persons after this manner, (and why may not the same Excuse serve for the latter?) "It was, faith he, rather fear lest he should give "Offence unto the Jews, than any Defire or Incli-" nation to comply with them, which makes him " fometimes give the fame Interpretations of "Scriptures which they do, without Search after " farther Mysteries than the Letter it self doth ad-" minister. It was the Candour of this excellent Divine to apologize thus for that great Man; and the same Apology may serve for the other: yet certainly we ought to supply the Defect of their Expositions on those Places, by adding the secondary and mystical Sense to them; else we leave those Texts maimed and imperfect; yea we rob them of that which is most considerable and precious in them, that which is the Dabar Gadol, as the lewish Masters call the mystical Sense, this being great in comparison of the literal one, which is call'd by them Dabar Katon, little and inconsiderable, viz. in respect of the other. This was the Fault of another great Man, great in Name as well as Worth: Herein he disdains not to tread in the Steps of Mr. Calvin, though in many other things he is very averse to his Expositions. We shall find that when he treats of the Texts in the Old Testament which speak concerning Christ, he generally interprets them in the first and literal Sense, contrary to the Practice of all Apostolical and Antient Expositors, who constantly search into the mystical Sense of Scripture, as the choicest Treasure that is to be found in it. Gold and Diamonds, and the richest Gems, lie hid in the Bowels of the Earth. The

Dr. Jackson on the Creed.

One

The richelt and most precious Truths of Heaven are treasured up in the Entrails of this Holy Book, they are hid in the most inward Recesses of it. Democritus could fay, Truth lies bid in a deep Pit. This

is most certain of Divine Truth contained in the Holy Scripture; besides what we meet with in the Letter and Surface of it, there is yet a more choice Discovery to be made by searching into the Depths of it, and by difcerning the spiritual Meaning, those deep things of God which lie covered under the

Letter and History. It is a Rule that holds good concerning the Divine as well as Humane Laws, \* He that confines himself to the Letter, flicks in the mere Bark and Outlide, and can go no further: he reacheth not to the inward Sense, Pith and Mind of those Laws. We must needs fall short of the ·Truth of Scripture, that facred Law given us by

God, unless we indeavour to acquaint our selves

with both these, not only the historical, but the

more fublime and mystical Sense of it. Both these

jointly make up Divine Truth. Therefore that is a good Rule in interpreting Scripture, which was practifed by Athanasius, † We (saith he) do not take away the Literal Sense to bring in the Spiritual one, but we maintain the more powerful Meaning of the Spirit by keeping up the literal Sense. These two must go together. If we lay aside the former, the Scripture is no longer Scripture, i.e. a written Law, made up of Letters: and if we lay aside the latter, we do Despite to the Spirit of Grace, who hath lodged a farther Meaning in the Holy Scriptures, (which were inspired by him) than that which is contained in the Letter. Where-

Qui hæret in litera, hæret in cortice. † You avaigant to reducte die to weenen and out only कां कर्रां कर्रां प्रति की श्रिं का शिष्या की के प्रति मार्थे कि

fore to understand the Scriptures as we should dowe must be careful to find out the secondary or mystical Interpretation of the Words, as well as the primary or literal. And that we may know when the Sense is of the

former, and not of the latter fort, it will be needful to observe these following Rules. The first is given us by \* R. Ben-Ezra, thus; If any Precept in Scripture be not confonant to Reason, it must not be taken in the simple or literal Sense, as that Place, Circumcise the Foreskin of your Hearts, Deut. 10. 16. We cannot suppose this to be understood literally, because (saith he) it is so unreasonable and absurd a thing; yea indeed it is utterly impossible, for there is no such thing as the Praputium of the Heart. In these and the like Places a spiritual Sense must be searched for, otherwise we must affert that the Scripture enjoins us the doing of those things which cannot be done. Besides, if we understand it literally, i.e. of the circumcifing or paring off any Part of the Heart, this is an inhumane and bloody

thing: to do this is to be cruel to our felves; yea, 2tis Self-murder: Therefore according to a fecond Rule, which I am to propound, this cannot be the Sense of the Place, and consequently the literal Meaning is not intended here. The Rule is this, That all Precepts or Prohibitions, which, as to their Sound, are wholly repugnant to the Moral Law, and the express Command of God there, contain in them some mystical or spiritual Sense. By this you may judg of the Meaning of those Places of Scripture, Prov. 23. 2. Put a Knife to thy Throat, if thou be a Man given to Appetite: And that of our Saviour, Matth. 5. 29, 30. If thy right Eye offend thee, pluck

<sup>\*</sup> Comment. in Decalog.

Person is thus commanded in Scripture, to do some thing contrary to the express Law of God, we may conclude that Command is to be understood in a secondary or mystical Sense, and not according to the Letter. So when God bids Hosea take a Wife of Whoredoms, and Children of Whoredoms, ch. 1.2. And when it is added that he went and took fuch an one, ver. 3. we must look upon it as a Parable, a mystical Saying. It was a Vision, saith St. \* Jerom. So saith Jonathan the Chaldee Paraphrast; and Adimonides agrees with him. It is certain that this was done only in Shew and Representation, but not actually and really, because it was contrary to that direct Prohibition in the Law, Lev. 21.7. Thou shalt not take a Wife that is a Whore. The Meaning then of the foregoing Words is this, that fee-

ing this People brag that they are my People, my Spouse, my Children; go and represent the true State they are in by a Parable, and let them know that they are as much my Wife and my Children, and no more, than if you should take a professed Whore with her spurious Brats, and say, that she is your lawful Wife, and they are your lawful Children, which is absolutely false. This I conceive is the plain Meaning of the Words. But that Command of God to Abraham, Gen. 22. 2. Take thy Son, thy only Son Isaac, and offer bim for a Burnt-offering, is of another kind; for that this is not to be understood mystically but literally, we can prove from the History it self, which is so related, that we may plainly see it was a Matter of Fact:

of the Holy Scriptures. Fact: and it is inferted among other Historical Pass. ges concerning that Patriarch; whereas the Prophetical Books, such as that of Hosea, contain in them Visions and Representations of things spoken of as really done, although they are not. Belides, We are certain that Abraham's offering his Son 1face, i. e. his binding him, and laying him upon the Altar, and undertaking to kill him, were real things, and actually performed, because we are told by the infallible Penmen of the New Testament, that they were so; for they alledg this Matter of Fact, to prove and demonstrate the Doctrine which they deliver; Heb. 11. 17. Jam. 2. 21, Wherefore we are fure it was a Reality, and confequently the Words in Genesis are to be understood in a plain Literal Sense. A third Rule, and the most useful, is this; See what Texts of Scripture are already interpreted in a Mystical Sense by the Evangelists and Apostles, and observe the Nature, Occasion and Gircumstances of those Places, and thereby you will be able to difcern what other Places of Scripture are to be understood in the same manner: And accordingly you must interpret them not after the Bare Letter or History, but

in a Spiritual Sense. And so much for the first thing

which is to be taken notice of, in order to our ha-

ving a right Understanding of the Stile of Scrip-

ture, viz. that there are many Places in it that

have a Double Sense.

\* Procem. in Hos. † Mor. Nev. 1. 2. c. 46.

## CHAP. III

The Scripture in many Places speaks not accurately, but according to the Vulgar Opinion, and Apprehensions of Men. Several Instances of this in the Old and New Testament: The Phrases, Expressions and Modes of Speaking used by the Inspired Writers, are the same with those that we find in the best Classick Authors. This largely proved from the Phraseology of the Old and New Testament. More particularly the Similitudes and Comparisons in both are alike. The Correspondence of Scripture-Phrase with the profane Stile Shen'd by Grotius, Pricaus, Gataker, oq. There are in the Bible the same moral Notions, and express'd in the very same Stile, that there are in Pagan Writers. In both Man's Life is a Way, a Pilgrimage, a Warfare. Other Ethick Notions, viz, that Good and Vertuous Men are Free; and that all Vicious Persons are Slaves: that Good Men are Wise, and all others are Fools; (to which latter the Author reduceth John 20. 10. though generally interpreted otherwise, and comments upon it:) that Good Men are the Friends of God; that Vitious Men are Dead; that Death is a Sleep. All which occur in the Sacred Writings as well as in Pagan Moralists.

THE Second Proposition is this, that the Stile of the Holy Scripture bath many things in it which are according to the usual Strain of other Writers and Authors. Take this in these Particulars;

I. The Scripture in many Places speaks not accurately, but according to the vulgar Opinion and

Apprehensions of Men. Thus it is a common Obfervation, (but I will not balk it here) that in the Mosaick History of the Creation of the World it is faid, God made two great Lights, Gen. 1. 16. and the Moon is reckoned as one of them; whereas it is not to be doubted that the Sun, but especially the Moon, is but a little Light in comparison of some of the Fixed Stars. But this we may truly say, with an antient Christian Writer, \* It was not Moses's Purpose to act the Philosopher or Astronomer in the Book of Genesis. But because the Sun is nearer to us than those Fixed Lights are, and the Moon is much nearer than the Sun, therefore though they be less in themselves than those Remote Stars, yet they feem to our Sight to be the Biggest Lights that God hath set up in the Heavens: Wherefore they are emphatically, and by way of Eminency, call'd in the Hebrew, the Great Lights, though the least of the Stars be a greater Light than the Sun or Moon. So though it is faid of the Almighty Creator and Preserver of the World, that he hangeth the Earth upon nothing, Job 26.7. which is exactly and philosophically true; yet in another Place of this Book we read of the Pillars of the Earth, Job 9.6. which is a manner of Speech adapted to the Capacity of the Vulgar, who cannot conceive how fo great and massy a Body as this Ball of Earth can hang hovering in the Air, and be upheld without some Props. And several other such Expressions there are in Scripture which are spoken according to the popular Apprehensions, and the seeming Appearance of things, not the Exactness of the things themselves. Therefore their Attempts have been

Ap-

<sup>\*</sup> Σκοπος ทั้ง Μωσεί ชาง φυσιολογήσαι, ชาง ณ้รองงอนที่σαι. Philopon, in Hexaem,

been to little purpose, who would force a Philosophy out of the Bible, as if they had a mind to prefent us with a Body of Philosophy jure divino. As fome Grammarians and Criticks, pretend to find all Arts and Sciences what soever in Homer's Poems. fo these fond Men undertake to discover a Compleat System of Natural Philosophy in the Sacred Writings. But this is a very vain Enterprize, because, though there is a great deal of excellent Philosophy in several Places of Holy Scripture, yet these Writings were never intended mainly for this End. but for one far higher and nobler. Hence it is that you hear the Holy Writers speaking sometimes not according to the very Nature of the things, but according to their Appearance, and the Opinion Men have of them. Yea, they oftentimes express themfelves according to the received Opinions, although they be erroneous and false, as in the Instance before mentioned. Theodoret gives us the Reason of it in his first Interrogatory upon Genesis: he begins his Work with This, that \* the Holy Scriptifical wont to fute its the of Teaching to the Coof the Learner of in another Place, like purpose, and Scripture (faith he) as is most expendent and fit for Men. The Ghost in it is pleased to condescend to their Capacities, and to adapt himself to their shallow Apprehensions. Thus frequently in the Scripture corporeal Properties are attributed to God: you read of his Face and Back-parts, Exod. 33. 23. and that these latter were seen by Moses, which is spoken by way of Anthropopathy, as Divines commonly speak. i. e. after the manner of Men, in compliance with their

\* ભાગિ લેંબ કે માંક જ્યાન કાર્યા છે. જો છે લેંબ પૂલ્ય ભાગ મારે પ્રાથમિત માત્ર કર્યા છે. જો માત્ર માત્ર કર્યા છે. જો છે જો છે જો છે જો છે. જો છે જો છે જો છે જો છે જો છે જો છે. જો છે જો છે જો છે જો છે જો છે જો છે. જો છે જો છે જો છે જો છે જો છે જો છે જો છે. જો છે જો છે. જો છે જ

their weak Capacities. As when a Man's Face and Fore-parts are seen, there is a considerable Discovery and Knowledg of his Person; but when he is seen bebind only, ivis imperfectly: so was it when God appeared to Moses, he shew'd himself to him not fully, but in part; as when a Man turns away his Face from another, and lets him see only his Backparts. And so in other Places of Scripture we read of God's Eyes, Ears, Hands, Feet, and other bodily Parts and Members; but we must not forget here the old Rule of Cyril of Alexandria, \* When Members and Parts are attributed to God, it is faid after the manner of Men, but it is to be understood in a Sense sutable to the Divine Nature. And Athanasius hath the like Words on this Occasion. But the not attending to this gave Rife to the Sect of the Anthropomorphites, who perversly understanding those Texts which ascribe these Parts to God, held him to be Corporeal, and of Humane Shape: Toey error not knowing, not rightly interpreting the wres, which sometimes speak after the Guise of in condescension to the shallow Understand-Thus Gen. 6.6. It is the Lord that he Man; and I Sam be Lord repented the made Saul King; are to the expressed, that is as spoken in a vulgar manner, and after the way of Mortals, who when they repent, abandon their former Doings. So when God is faid to repent, that which we are to understand by it is this, that he acts in a contrary manner to what he did before. As in the forementioned Places, it repented the Lord that he made Man, the meaning is, that he purposed to destroy Mankind, viz. with a Deluge; for so

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;Orav ανθρωπίνως τὶ λέσγητας το Εί Αις, νοείδω θεοπρεπώς, In Johan. 10. 34. † Dialog. 1. de Trinit.

you find it explain'd in the next Verle, the Lord Said, I will destroy Man whom I have created. And when 'tis faid, The Lord repented that be made Saul King; the meaning is, that he man poled to depose him, and fet up another, as you read he gave Order in the Words immediately following in the next Chapter. Therefore Theodoret faith well, \* God's Repenting is no other than the changing of his Difpensation. And thus we are to interpret this Expression where-ever it occurs in Holy Writ, (for in many other Places God is faid to repent of what he did) as knowing that the Phrase of this Sacred Book is oftentimes fitted to the Apprehensions and Language of Men, and not the absolute Reality of the thing. That of St. Chrysoftom is certainly true, of God accommodates himself sometimes to humane Infirmity when he speaks in Scripture. So those Words are to be understood in Gen. 11.5. The Lord came down to fee the City: And again, ver. 7. Let us go down: which are spoken in a vulgar manner, and with respect to the shallow Conceptions of Mankind. And the same Expression is used in Gen. 18. 20, 21. Exod. 3. 7, 8. Pfal. 144. 5. Ifa. 64. 1. God is here said to come down, which signifies God's taking more than ordinary Notice of the Actions of Men, and his designing to do some extraordinary thing. The Scripture calls the Angels that appeared to Abraham Men, because they seem'd to be fuch. The Man Gabriel you read of in Dan. 9. 21. because he appear'd in the Shape of a Man. And fo in the New Testament the Angels at our Saviour's Sepulchre are stilled young Men, because as to outward Appearance they were fuch. Nothwithstanding

\* 'Επί એ દર્ષે μεταμέλεια δικογομίας ές μεταβολή. Qualt. 50. in Gen.

standing what some Commentators have said upon 1 Sam. 28. 15. Samuel faid to Saul; and again, ver. 16. Then faid Samuel; I am fully perswaded that those Words are spoken according to the Appearance, not the real Truth of the thing. The Name of Samuel is given to the Devil or Spectre that appeared, but we are not to think that Samuel himself in Body and Soul appear'd; for 'tis ridiculous as well as impious to imagine that the departed Saints are at the Command and Disposal of a Necromantick Witch, a Cursed Sorceress, a Hellish Hag, as if she could fetch them down from the Celestial Regions when she pleaseth. But this she did, she raised a Spectre, or substituted some Perfon who resembled Samuel, whom she represented to Saul's Sight, as if he were the Prophet Samuel indeed. Thence we read in this Sacred Hittory, that Samuel said to Saul, because he who appear'd in SamuePs Likeness was thought to be Samuel, and thought to speak to Saul. Thus a Learned Father long since expounded this Passage of Scripture, and gives us this as the Reason of it; \* We find this (faith he) to be the Custom of Scripture, that oftentimes it relates that which is only in appearance instead of what is true and real. And with him agrees † another of the learned Antients; The Sacred History (faith he) calls the Apparition Samuel, because Saul Believed it to be the real Samuel; for the Scripture speaks frequently according to other Mens Belief and Notions. So it usually calls those Gods that are not really such; but because the false and seigned Deities of the Heathens were reputed True Gods by them, therefore the Name of

<sup>† &#</sup>x27;तिष्रार्धे दिस्या कड़िंड स्थेष बेळेडं एस वर्ग वारी क्रुम्ली एगए,

<sup>\*</sup> Evelσκομίω πιν γεφφικήν συνήθειαν πελλαχέ το δοκέν αντί ชช อัง 🌀 ภิเธรีเซีรฉง. Greg, Nyss, Epist, de Pythoni la. † Theodores in loc.

Gods is given them often in the Old Testament, and fometimes in the New.

But to confine my felf to this latter, here we find feveral things delivered not according to the Reality of the Matter spoken of, but according to the Sense and Notion of others: So I understand our Saviour's Words, Matth. 1 2. 5. The Priests in the Temple profane the Sabbath, i. e. by killing of Beafts, and doing other laborious Work, they, according to you, profane that Holy-day, according to the Notion which you Pharifees have of keeping and breaking the Sabbath, and according to which you condemn me and my Disciples, as Profaners of that Day. The Phrase used by St. Mark, ch. 1. 32. is according to a very vulgar Conceit, ເປັນ ວ ທີ່ໄດ້ເອົາ, the Sun did dip: And the same Expression is in Luke 4. 40. for the Sun feems to dive or be drowned in the Sea when it goes down. This is the Apprehension of those that inhabit near the Sea. In fuch a Sense as this must the Apostle be understood when he faith, It pleased God by the Foolismess of Preaching to save them that believe, I Cor. 1. 21. It is called Foolishness, not as if it were really such, but because it was commonly reputed so by those that were not competent Judges, because (as Theodoret excellently faith) \* it was by Fools call'd Foolishness. Especially it was denominated so by those who thought themselves great Masters of Wisdom: wherefore the Apostle explains himself afterwards, and faith, this Preaching was to the Greeks Foolishness, ver. 23. Nay, you read of the Faolishness of God, ver. 25. which can be meant in no other Sense than this, that this Excellent Difpensation of preaching the Gospel, which was of God's

\* "Οπ εκλήθη किन्द्र τόις ανοήπις μωεία. In loc.

God's own Appointment, was reckon'd as a weak and foolish Institution by those doughty Boasters and Pretenders to Wisdom. To them and fuch as they were it feem'd to be Foolishness, but really it was no flich thing, for the Apostle calls it the Wifdom of God, ver. 24. Thus the Scripture speaks fometimes according to the Opinion of others, though it be not true. So I apprehend those Expressions of the Apostle are to be understood, 2 Cor. 5. 13. Whether we be besides our selves; -or whether we be sober : i. e. we seem to our Enemies to be besides our selves, to be distracted when we commend our felves; and then only they think us fober when we speak submissively and in a self-denying Stile. In the same Sense we are to take Chap. 11. 1, 16, 17. where he calls his necessary apologizing for himself Folly and speaking foolishly, not that 'twas so in it self, but because it was accounted so by tome. In another Place he calls Epimenides the Creman Poet \* a Prophet, because he was thought to be fuch an one by his Countrymen, not that he deferv'd that Name. Here likewise you will see that things are sometimes expressed in a popular way, and according to the vulgar Sense and Opinion; as when it is faid, the Stars of Heaven fell unto the Earth, Rev. 6. 13. which cannot be really and philosophically true; for these Luminaries, by reason of their vast Magnitude, cannot fall upon the Earth; there is no room for them in so small a Compass. But perhaps by the falling of these heavenly Lights from their Stations, is meant some Great and Notable Defection in the World, a Mighty Confusion and Disorder; so that the Fabrick of the Universe was as twere broken up and dif-

<sup>\*</sup> Tit. 1. 12.

dissolved: Or by Stars here are meant Great Men and Magistrates, and their falling to the Earth signifies their being displaced from their high Station; and so 'tis a Metaphor, and belongs to another Place. And many other Passages there are which I will not now particularly enumerate. And indeed some of these are so common and obvious, that I should have forborn the mentioning of them, but that it was somewhat requisite to touch upon them, when I am representing to you the different and various Guises of the Scriptural Stile. It is common also in the Writings of the Evangelists and Apostles, to speak with reference to humane Properties and bodily Actions, even when God himself, and the most Divine things are treated of. So we often read of \* Christ's sitting at the right Hand of God; whereas 'tis acknowledged, that a right Hand cannot properly be attributed to God; nor can our Saviour, in strictness of Speech, be said to sit at God's right Hand; for then he could not be faid to stand there, Alts. 7. 56. Wherefore it is evident that these Expressions are used only in compliance with the common Language of Men, who generally prefer the right Hand before the left; and to fit or stand at one's Right Hand, denotes great Advancement and Honour. So that when those Modes of Speaking are applied to our Blessed Lord, the plain Meaning is, that after all his Labours and Sufferings, he is highly dignified by God, he is exalted to unspeakable Honour, he is advanced to such a Glorious State wherein he is invested with absolute Power and Soveraignty, and is able to protect, defend and reward his Church, and to confound their most powerful and malicious Adversaries: This is

Of the STILE

to fit at the right Hand of God. So he is said to be in the Boson of the Father, John 1. 18. which bears the fame Signification with Matth. 3.17. This is my Beloved Son in whom I am well pleased; for whom we Love, we familiarly embrace and take into our Bofoms. So in the Gospel we find our Saviour setting forth many Divine and Spiritual things by those that are Humane and Carnal, herein comporting with our Infirmities, and delivering those Sacred things in such Language and Expression as are adequate to our imperfect ideas of those things. It is a known Maxim among the Jews, and a very true one, The Law speaks in the Language of the Sons of Men; that is, the Words of Scripture are accommodated to the Vulgar Speech, and in that to the meanest Appreheniions. And this holds good not only of the Old but New Testament. Wherefore it was unreasonably and maliciously \* objected by Celsus against the Scriptures, that they were not politely and accurately writ. Origen in answer to this tells him, that this was purposely and designedly done, namely, that all Persons might profit by the Holy Writings, that the Vulgar and Illiterate, as well as the Learned, might be edified by them: We have (faith he, using the Apostle's Words) this Treasure in Earthen Vessels, that the Excellency of the Power may be of God, and not of Men.

2. It may further be observed, that the Holy Scripture resembles the Phrases in Other Writers. If any Critick should dare to find fault with the Holy Stile, it were easy to defend it by maintaining and proving that it speaks as the Best Authors and Writers do: the Phraseology in them is alike in fundry Places. Many Expressions of Heathens fall

<sup>\*</sup> Luke 22.69. Col. 3. 1. Heb. 1. 3. & 8.1.

<sup>\*</sup> Origen. cont. Celf. 1. 6. & Philocal. 1. 1, & 4.

in exactly with the Terms of Scripture to be at the Feet of one, in the Sacred Stile fignificato fellow. to be his Servant, 1 Sam. 23. 27. 2 Sam. 14. 16. 1 Kings 20. 10. I call'd bim to my Feet, Deut. 22. 3. i. e. Leall'd him to follow me, to be my Servant: and in feveral other Places the Scripture fpeaks after this manner. The very fame way of Speaking is not unufual among profane Authors; To stand at their Feet, was, among the Romans, applied to Servants, in respect of their Masters, for they waited on them at Table, or as they lay on their Beds with their Feet stretch'd out: Whence that of Seneca, \* Servus qui coenanti ad pedes steterat, &c. or this was said because they stood behind them, at their Heels. So in + Suctonius, Ad pedes stantes, are Servants that attend on their Masters: And ad pedes, without any Addition. hath the like Signification in Martial. This is very frequent; and | a pedibus is used in the same Sense. Thus the Holy and Profane Stile agree: which I will next make good from those Texts where there is mention of Light as it signifies Joy or Gladness; as in Psal. 97, 11. Light is sown for the Righteous; which is thus explained in the next Clause, and Gladness for the Opright in Heart. Esth. 8. 15. we read thus, The City of Shushan rejoiced : but Junius and Tremellius very rightly, according to the Original, render it lucebat, it shined, or was enlightned: And the Words there immediately following are a Comment upon it; the Tews had Light, and Gladness, and Joy. In conformity to this observe, that in Isa. 50. 10. the Forlorn and Distressed Person, who is void

of all Joy and Mirth, is faid to walk in Darkness, and to bays no Light. We often read of \* the Light of the Coumenance, which is no other than the Pleasant. Aire and Joy ful Aspects of it, the same with a Chearful Countenance, Provi 15, 13. The shining of the Face, which is often mentioned in the Sacred Wrie tings, is of the same import, and is a farther Proof. that Light and Joy are synonymous. In Pfal 12:44 and Ifa. 38. 8. Light is no other than Toy. So she Candle, on Lamp of the Wicked; Job 21.17, is their Joy and Prosperity ; and the Phrase is again used in the fame fenfe, ch. 29. v. 3. And Prov. 21. 4. is pertinent Here, if we translate it according to the Septuagint and the (\*) Vulgar Latin, The Light of the wiched is Sin; i. e. their rejoicing is Sin or Vanity, as that word fometimes fignifies. And so this Text is of the same import with Prov. 13, 9. The Lamp of the Wicked shall be put out. I might take notice, that the Voice of Mirth, and the Voice of Gladness, and the Light of the Candle, are join'd together, Jer. 25. 101. And I might remind those that dre. critical, that the Hebrew word Samach is rendred lætus, bilaris fuit, and also luxit, claruit, as in Prov. 13. 9. The Light of the Righteous rejoiceth; or, as that word will bear it, shineth. To be merry and to shine are expressed by that same Verb. So the Feast of Dedicaton, instituted by Judas Maccabaus, was call'd the (+) Feast of Lights, because a great Happiness and Joy began then to shine as a Light, contrary to their Hopes. With the Holy Tongue and Stile agrees that of the Greek and Romans: thus among the former oand cos bilaris, is faid by the Etymologists

<sup>\*</sup> De Benefic, l. 3. † In Galba, | In Cicerone, Martial. & aliis.

<sup>\*</sup> Job 29.24. Psal.4.6. & 44.3. & 89.15. & 90.8. Prov.16.15. † Psal.31.16. & 67.1. & 119.135. Dan.9.17. || Λαμπ ήλς δε αδσεβών αμαγτία. (\*) Lucerna impiorum peccatum. (†) Joseph Antiq.

mologists to be derived from paw, luceo. The or Das lignifies Joy in the Prince of Poets, \* \* pous A Progeson Companions and thus it lignifies in + another place in the fame Poet. So hydureed φά G- is an endearing Compellation, and is the same with my freet Delight, my dear Joy: And it must be confessed by those that have look'd with any care into other Greek Authers, that this word is sometimes taken in that fense, and accordingly 'tis expounded (\*) so by the Learned Glossaries. And the Latines teach us to fay in their kind and blandishing Salutation, Lux mea, as much as to fay, my Joy and Delight. Thus in the three Learned Languages Light signifies Joy, as Darkness denotes Calamity and Sorrow. And why may not our own Language be added to the rest, and be thought to refemble them in this Particular? which may be feen in the antient English Metre of the first Verse of the 110th Pfalm, In God the Lord be glad and light. And who knows not that lightsome and joyful are of the same Signification in our vulgar Speech at this day? And that it may appear that Grammar and Criticism are no Enemies to Philosophy, it is probable that Men have chosen to express and denote things that are joyful and pleasant by the Name of Light, because this of all things in the World is the most Chearing and Comfortable, according to the Suffrage of the Royal Preacher, and indeed of all Mankind, Truly the Light is sweet, and a pleasant thing it is for the Eyes to behold the Sun. And again, Prov. 15.30. The Light of the Eyes rejoiceth the Heart. It is both pleasant in it felf, and renders all other things fo too. It is an old Rabinnical Proverb, When the Sun ariseth, the

fick arifaib; he finds himself better in the Day than in the Night: and ordinary Experience vouches this for Truth. Wherefore when the Sun is gone, Men labour to Supply its Gladsome Presence by fomething that resembleth it. Here I might embellish this Notion by observing to you, that it was antiently the Usage in many Countries to testify their publick Rejoinings by Illuminations. It is apparent from many Instances, that they were wont to fet up Lights in their Windows and at their Gates for this very purpose. The Romans did this on their high Days, as \* Juvenal testifies,

Cuncta nitent, longos erexit janua ramos, Et matutinis operatur festa lucernis.

The Egyptians did the like on their Festivals, saith Herodotus. And that the Jews used it on their Publick Solemnities, we learn from Perfins, who chastises the Romans for their Levity and Folly in imitating the Customs of that People,

> -At cum Herodis venere dies, unttaq; fenestra Disposita pinguem nebulam vomuere lucerna.

When Antiochus was honourably received by the Jews, with a pretence of Mirth at least, 'tis faid be was brought in with Torch-light and with great Shoutings into the City, 2 Mac. 4. 22. This was the Practice at great Triumphs and publick Reception of Princes, not only among the Jews but Christians, as we are acquainted by | Eusebius. And Gregory Nazianzen tells us that Athanasius was received in-

<sup>\* 11. 2. † 11. 0. |</sup> Odyst. g. (\*) Pos, xaeg, owlnesa. Hesych.

<sup>\*</sup> Sat. 12. † Lib. 2. || Lib. 4. de Vità Constantini.

to the City with the like Pomp. And lither we may refer the Nuptial Torches which were wont to be carried at Marriages, as Tokens of Rejoieing. Thus Illuminations of old were Expressions of goy, as they are even at this day. On the contratou as Suidas observes, the Latin lugio is from Augustie. oudlia, tenebra, because they lighted up no Candles. but fat in the dark when they mourned. Silicernium the Funeral mournful Banquer is as much as Solucornium, i. e. fine luderna, and xwood for (as you find it duoted by \* Volling ) huxustill step by whom & seines? The fum is, Darkness is grievous and dolleful, productive of Sorrow and Sadness: but where-ever the Light displays its Beauty, it gilds all things with loy and Gladness; and thence we see that not only in the Stile of Sacred Scripture, but among Profane Writers, Light and Joy are expressive of one another.

This Agreement and Concurrence of both in their Stile may be observed in this, That the Out. ward and Inward Man, which St. Paul mentions, are no strange Language among some of the Classick Authors, for you read of Salus interioris hominis in one of 1- Plautus's Comedies; where interior homo is the Soul or Life, the better part of Man, in which sense the Apostle useth it. The very Phrase of a perfett Man in Epb. 4. 13. is made use of by the great Moral Philosopher | Epitletus, who opi poseth avie Texas to maedinov, a Perfect Man. he. a Man of ripe Years to a Youth, a Man of Growth and Maturity in Morals to one that is but a Novice or Beginner in them. Only whereas the Philosopher applies it to Morality, St. Pdul dorh it to Christianity. The same Apostle calls the

\* Erymolog. Ling. Lat. + Afin. Act. 3. Seen. 3. | Cap. 73.

Body a Veffel, I Thest. 4. 4. Let every one know how to possess bis Vessel (i. e. his Body) in Sanctification and Honour. And so it is call'd by the great Roman Philosopher and Orator: \* The Body, faith he, is as twere the Vessel of the Soul, or some such Receptacle of that noble part of Man. And Antoninus, in a very disparaging manner, stiles it if the worser fort of Veffel; which is an Expression not unlike to that of another Apostle, who calls a Woman || the weaker Veffel or Instrument, (for the word fignifies both) an Utensil very infirm and frail in comparison of the other Sex, which is generally strong and robust. St. \* Paul calls his Body a Tabernacle, and so doth St. † Peter stile his: and when St. John applies the word | conniver to our Saviour, telling us that he came and pitch'd bis Tent with us for a time; the meaning is, that he affumed a Body, and dwelt here on Earth in it: which is the very Language of the Antient Grecians, who call'd the Body of Man ordin vo, a Tent, a Tabernacle. Yea, the whole Man is express'd and described by St. Paul in a Tripartite Division after the same manner that he is by the Gentile Philosophers. This Apostle represents him as consisting of three main Parts, Spirit, Soul and Body, 1 Theff. 5. 23. which very Distribution is to be found in Antoninus, \* Σαζκία, η, ωνευμάπου, is to hyeracvinov. And in another place he divides Man after the same way, but in words that approach nearer to those of the Apostle, zauc, עאוי, ves : and explains it thus, † to the Body belong the External Senses, to the Soul the Affections

<sup>\*</sup> Corpus est quasi vas animi, aut aliquod receptaculum. Cic. Tusc. Qu. l. 1. † Χείεον τὸ ἀχιρίον. || ᾿Λοθενέςτερν σκεῦΘ, 1 Pet. 3. 7. \* 2 Cor. 5. 1, 4. † 2 Pet. 1. 13, 14. || Joh. 1.14. \* Τῶν ἐις ἑαυτὸν, lib. 3. † ΣοιμαίΘ αἰδήσεις, ψεχῆς ὁριμί, νῶ δὸχιμαία.

and Pallions, to the Mind or Spirit the Judgment. and refined Thoughts and Reason. And in other Pagan Writings, especially those of Plato and his Followers, the fame Division of Man is observed, and is exactly that of St. Paul, Juzi, wieu uca, σώμα. This is All that a Man is. And as this and other inspired Writers frequently use the word Flesh to signify the depraved Nature of Man. fo it hath the fame Interpretation in the Incomparable Antoninus, where you will find that oack and ozeelshov are the corrupt part of Man, the Carnal and Senfual Inclinations, that part of the Soul which struggles with Reason, and on all occasions makes head against it. I could here in feveral Particulars shew that Porphyrius comes very near to the Holy Stile in many words which he uses. And it might be cleared by several Quotations out of Hierocles, that he imitates the Phraseology of the Scripture, especially of the New Testament.

Are not the Similitudes, especially those that are plain and homely, which we meet with in the Hible, found in the best Antient Writers? The crackling of Thorns under a Pot is made use of by \*Solomon to set forth a Short and Fading Pleasure, (tho it be accompanied with some Noise and Stir), And the very same is used by Virgil,

———Magno veluti chim flamma sonore Virgea suggeritur costis undantis abeni.

Homer, the great Soul of Poetry, tells us in Commendation of Nestor's Speech, that it was † sweeter than Floney: by which plain wording he fets forth that

that Old Counsellor's Fluent and Elegant Language, his Excellent and Charming Art of delivering his Advice and Counsel. Which is the very Similitude that the Pfalmist, the most Divine Poet, makes use of to express the Ravishing Sweetness of Gods Law: It is, saith he, sweeter than Honey, and the Honey-Comb, Pfal. 19. 10. and the fame he repeats in Pfal. 119.103. His Royal Son makes use of the fame homely Comparison, Pleasant Words (saith he) are as a Honey-Comb, Prov. 16. 24. And in his Admirable and Transcendent Poem he disclains not this familiar Stile, where he brings in the Sacred Bridegroom speaking thus to his Spouse, Thy Lips drop as an Honey-Combe, Cant. 4. 11. And in this Book of the Canticles it might be particularly made good that the Description of the Beauty of the Bride, and the rest of the Amorous Passages and Expressions to fet forth the Soft Passion, are fuch as you find in Authors that treat of that Subject, as Homer, Musaus, Pindar, Theocritus, Virgil, Horace, Ovid, Ausonius, Claudian, and others that have spoken of Love and Beauty. That plain and Country Simile used by our Blessed Master, \* As a Hen gathereth her Chickens under her Wings, is expresly in + Euripides, one of the delicatest Poets that Greece afforded, and who gave the liveliest Characters of Things: and in this very manner of speaking he is followed by Seneca the Tragedian, Certainly this was a very apposite Similitude, the Hen being, as the Arabians Rile her, Mater Congregationis, the kind Mother that gathers her young ones together, that by her loving Voice expresses her Affection and Care to her tender Brood, and invites them to a fafe Refuge and Shelter in time of

\* Mar, 03.37. † Hercul. Fur.

<sup>\*</sup> Eccles. 7.6: † Min/10 yauxlay: Iliad. de

Danger. In brief, any Man that is conversant in *Homer*, *Virgil*, and other Antient Authors of greatest Note and Repute, may observe that the Penmen of the Bible use the same *Similitudes* which those Writers frequently do; they have the same plain, trite and vulgar Comparisons which are in them.

As for the other Passages, Phrases and Modes of fpeaking in the Holy Scripture, which resemble those that we find in other Authors, they are innumerable. Grotius, that Unparallell'd Critick, in his Annotations on the Bible, shews every-where almost the Correspondence of Scripture-Phrase with the Profane Stile; he hath by a vast Collection let us fee how the Sacred Dialect agrees with the Phrase of the Best Writers. Out of all forts of Authors whatsoever he proves the Scripture-Stile to be Proper and Elegant, which no Man before him hath done fo well and fo largely. He compares all along as he goeth, the Holy Stile with that of Herodotus, Plato, Demofibenes, Thucydides, Xenophon, Isocrates, Gallen, and others who are the best and most elegant Hellenists. Yea, he shews that the Wittiest Writers among the Greeks, as Sophocles, Aristophanes, Euripides, Lucian, have the very same Phrases and Expressions which we read in the Bible, and they have many more which very much resemble them. He shews the like Correspondence between the Scriptures and those Lain Writers who are the best Masters of that Language, and in feveral Inflances demonstrates that the Sacred Writers speak as the best Authors in that Tongue do. All this he hath most learnedly performed, and upon this account alone (if there were no other) he is worth the perusal of all Ingenious Men, especially those who are more devoted to **Polite** 

Polite and Critical Learning. This very fame · Task is excellently performed by a \* Learned Foreign Critick, who hath abundantly made it good in his Commentaries on the Pfalms and most of the New Testament, that the Stile of Scripture is conformable to that of the best Writers, whether Jews or Heathens, whether Philosophers, Poets or Historians He hath elaborately shew'd that an innumerable company of Greek and Latin Authors, the most Elegant and Refined of both, have express'd themselves as the Holy Writers do: In a word, that Athens and Rome spoke as they do. If he had carried this on, and accomplish'd the like on the other parts of the Bible, it would have been an Unvaluable Work, and even beyond what the Belgick Annotator hath done. Something of this Nature is performed by \* other Learned Men of our own, who infifting upon some Particular Authors among the Pagans, indeavour to evince that the Scriptures are in great measure agreeable to the Stile and Phrase of those Writers. And the same might be undertaken as to other Heathen Writers, with regard both to the Old and New Testament. Nothing is more evident than this, that their Modes of Speech are the same, and that they agree in their Stile, and confequently that the Stile of Scripture is vouched by the best Classick Authors, and (as a Consequent of that) that he who carps at the Phrase and Dialect of the Scripture, and finds fault with the Stile of the Bible, shews that he hath not conversed with the best Human Authors.

But to profecute and illustrate this Theme yet F 3 further,

<sup>\*</sup> Johan. Pricæus. † Mr. Bogan in his Homerus 'Eßeailay.
Mr. Gataker in Antonin. Dr. Duport Gnomolog. Homeric.

Places

further, I will be more particular. I will thew first that there are in the Bible the same moral Notions, and expressed in the very same Stile, that there are in Pagan Writers. Secondly, I will shew that there are the same Grammatical and Rhetorical Figures in the Holy Book that we meet with frequently in those Authors. First, I will observe to you, that the Phrases and Terms whereby some of the choicest Notions in Ethicks are set forth to us, are alike in Divine and Humane Authors: As to begin with that common Expression, to wit, of calling our Course or State, or manner of Life in this World, a Way. The Hebrew Word Derek, Via, Semita, in almost innumerable Places in the Old Testament, signifies our manner of Life: and odos in the Greek Testament (which answers to it) is commonly used in the same Sense. In this latter Part of the Bible the Life of Man is particularry expressed and represented as a double Way; for our Saviour hath told us, that there is \* a Narrow and a Broad Way. This is even the manner of speaking among the best Moralists: they not only call the Life, Actions, and Conversation of Men a Way, (which is usual in all Languages) but they defignedly compare them to a Way, to a Path, and to walking in it. As in Walking, faith Epistetus, you take heed that you strike not your Foot against a Stone or a Nail, and wrench your Foot; so in leading your Life you are careful that you hurt not your selves, or do any thing that may offend and be prejudicial to your felves or others. And other Masters of Ethicks are wont to pursue this Comparison. Yea moreover, they make use of the same manner of Speech which our Saviour doth, that is, they

they divide this Way into a Narrow and a Broad one, Thus in Cebes's Table the Way to true Learning (which is the same with Vertue and Goodness, and the Moralist means no other thing by it) is reprefented by him to be narrow and unfrequented. He calls it a \* little Gate; and he describes the Passage before it as very uncommon; he tells us, that very few go into it; and that it appears to be a difficult, rough and craggy Path. This is also well decipher'd by Maximus Tyrius, - "Imagine (faith he) this Life to be a Way, a Way full of Passengers, 46 some of which are running, some are thrusting one another on; some labour, others rest; some lie down, others turn out of the way and wander, for there are many By-ways and false Paths, " (these are all but different Paths of the same Broad Way.) But there is || one Narrow Way, sleep and rugged, and trod by very few, and this " leads directly to the very End of the Journey: and this Journey some diligent and laborious " Souls are endeavouring to perform with much "Work and Difficulty, with great Pains and " Sweat. Thus that Admirable Platonist. And I could shew you how other Philosophers are delighted with this way of Expression and Similitude used by our Lord; but I will alledg no others at present. It is enough to have shew'd that the Stile of the Gentile Philosophers is not unlike that of our Blessed Jesus, our Infallible Teacher and Prophet, who thought it a fit way of setting forth the two kinds of Life which Men lead, and the different

† Νόμιζε δε κ) τό βίον δούν πνα είναι, &c. Differtat. 23. || Μία δε σε πε τενή, κ) ός τιΘ- κ) ] καχεία, κ) ε πολλοίε παιυ δλεύσμ. ... &c.

<sup>\*</sup> Mattli. 7. 14.

<sup>\*</sup> Θυεα πε μικεά, κὶ ὁιδε πεελε το Αυεας ήπε ε πολύ οχλάται, άλλα πάνυ ολίροι πορεύονται, &c.

Places and Ends they tend to, by naming one of them the wide and broad Way; and the other, the

narrow and streight one.

And if the Life of Man be a Way, then he is a Traveller; which invites me to speak of another Moral Notion, viz. that we are all Travellers and Pilgrims in this World: we are upon our Journey, and must behave our selves as those that are so, Epilletus and Arrianus use this Metaphor, and apply it handsomly to the Life of Man, especially to the Life of a Good Man, which is a Journey from Earth to Heaven. We are told that Anaxagoras pointed with his Finger to Heaven, and cried out, \* That is my Country. And Socrates professed himself to be a † Citizen of the City above: and every Man is to reckon himself to be such, he said. Tully's Words are most admirable, | " I go out of this World as out of an Inn, not a Manlion-house; for Nature nath not given us here a Place of long Continuance, but of a short Diversion and transitory En-" tertainment. And he had arrived to this Notion, and expressed it most bravely when he said, (\*) " Let us lift up our Eyes to Heaven as to our Country, to which we must think of returning " fome time or other. And fuch kind of Language you meet with in Antoninus and other felect Moralists. I will conclude with the Words of Seneca, (1) " Our Life is a Pilgrimage, (saith he;) " when

(\*) Erigamus in Cœlum oculos tanquam in Patriam, in quam nobis aliquando redeundum est.

(†) Peregrinatio est vita; multum cum deambulaveris, domum redeundum est. De Remed. Fort.

when we have travell'd and walk'd about a con-" siderable time, we must return home. This is the very Language and Notion of the Sacred Writers, and of the Holy Men whose Lives they record. The Old Patriarchs owned themselves to be Pilgrims; Gen. 47. 9. The Days of the Years of my Pilgrimage, &c. And that you may not think it is meant only of their travelling from Place to Place in those Days, you will find this was said by some of their Posterity after they were possess'd of the Promised Land, and were no longer in the unsettled Condition of their Predecessors. We are Strangers before thee, and Sojourners, as were all our Fathers, saith the Pious King, 1 Chron. 29. 15. And in the next Words he lets us know what he means; Our Days on Earth are as a Shadow, and there is no abiding : so that the whole Race of Mankind are all equally Pilgrims and Sojourners in this World are \* Strangers in the Earth, as the same devout Man often acknowledgeth: and this World is stiled by him + the House of his Rilgrimage. After the same manner St. Peter speaks, calling this Life I the time of our fojourning here: and he exhorts the converted Jews, to whom he writes, to deport themselves as Strangers and Pilgrims, 1 Pet. 2. 11. which I confess may have a more particular Reference to their being expell'd out of Judea their native Country, and dwelling in a strange Place, (whence he stiles them scattered Strangers, in the beginning of the Epistle) but notwithstanding this the Apostle might apply it to them in the more general Notion, and as they (with all other Christians) are Pilgrims travelling to another World. With respect to which the other great Apostle faith,

<sup>\*</sup> Lacrt. in Anaxag. † Πολίτης πόλεως τ ανωτάτης. Stob. Ex hac vità ita discedo tanquam ex hospitio, non tanquam ex domo: commorandi enim diversorium natura nobis, non habitandi dedit. Cic. de Senect.

<sup>\*</sup> Pfal. 39. 12. Pfal. 119. 19. + Pfal. 119.54. | 1 Pet. 1.17.

faith, Here we have no continuing City, but we fook one to come, Heb. 13. 14. We have no fix'd Habitation; we have no fettled Place of Abode; we (with other holy Men before us) must confess me are Pilgrims, Heb. 11.13. We belong to another Country, we are Citizens of the Jerusalem that is above; We look (as all the holy Pilgrims heretofore did) for a City which hath Foundations, whose Builder and Maker is God, Heb. 11. 10. We are passing through this World to that Heavenly Metropolis; we are travelling with our Caravan to that New Jerusalem, that Holy Land; and our Thoughts, our Wishes, our Desires, our Conver-

fation, are there already.

Again, that it may appear that Heathen Writers and the Holy Scripture have the same way of Expression. I will shew that they both agree to say, the Life of Man is a Warfare: Thus it is called in the ancient Book of Job, ch. 7. 1. for the word Tfaba, though it be rendred by us an appointed time. is as capable (if not more) of being translated a Warfare: And so St. Jerom renders it, The Life of Man is a Warfare on Earth. And again, cb. 14. 14. The Days of our Warfare are the Term of Man's Life. Such Holy Job found it to be. The War was warm, the Service was hot, the Battel was furious, and he was fet in the Front of it. Though this great Heroick Warrior fainted in the Conflict fometimes, yet his Valour was very eminent, and he fought it out resolutely, and won the Day, and was fignally rewarded by the great Arbiter of Battel, the Lord of Hosts. It cannot escape our Observation, that feveral Military Expressions are used by the Holy Ghost in Scripture, to set forth the Duties and Offices of Man's Life, and to let us know that it is a continual Combate and Fight. Yea, Tsaba,

Tsaba, militare, is applied to the Ecclesiastick Function and Ministry of the Levites in the Tabernacle: their service or Waiting is call'd a Warfare, Numb. 8. 25. and in the Verse before, a warring a Warfare, if we render it exactly according to the Hebrew. Especially this way of Speaking is applicable to the troublesome and afflictive Part of Man's Life, which is rightly call'd by St. Paul, the Fight of Afflictions, Heb. 10. 32. And with regard to this, without doubt, those comfortable Words were spoken to Jerusalem, Her War is accomplished, Isa. 40. 2. But more eminently in the New Testament this Mode of Speech is observable, where Christianity is represented as a Warfare, and the Christian Church as Militant here on Earth. St. Paul is pleased to stile our Saviour, \* the Captain of our Salvation; and himself and all his Fellow-Christians of Souldiers; and those especially who were assistant to him. in the facred Ministry of the Gospel, his | Fellow-Souldiers: He exhorts Timothy (\*) to war the good Warfare; and (1) to fight the good Fight of Faith; and that in imitation of himself, who (||) had fought this good Fight; though these latter Expressions refer more peculiarly to the Olympick Combates, as you shall hear afterwards. You read of the |||| Weapons of Righteousness, (as well as of Unrighteousness) belonging to this Spiritual War. And these Weapons (which are call'd the whole Armour of God) are particularly enumerated by the Apostle, Eph. 6. 13, 14. I could observe to you in that Exhortation of St. James, (a) Submit your selves to God; resist the Devil, and he will flee from you, that there are three Military Terms: 1. 'Y moral 2017, be subject

<sup>\*</sup> Heb. 2. 10. † 2 Tim. 2. 3. | Phil. 2 25. Philem. 2. (\*) 1 Tim. 1. 18. (†) Chap. 6. 12. (||) 2 Tim. 4. 7. Rom. 6. 13. (a) Jam. 4. 7.

to your Commander, observe his Orders, look for the Signal of Battel from him, keep the Station that is set you, be obedient to the Discipline of War, in all things be ruled by your General; for (as St. Paul faith very appolitely when he is speaking of the Christian Souldier) + He that warreth must consern himself in nothing else but the pleasing of him who hath chosen him to be a Souldier. 2. Altisute, withstand, oppose, engage the Enemy; be sure you give him Battel, make a resolute and vigorous Onset, charge through his whole Body, make a Lane through his thickest Troops. 3. 460 genui, he shall be put to Flight, he shall certainly be routed and defeated, and never be able to rally again; and so Victory at last crowns the Combate. But St. Paul more briefly tells us what is the Employment of a Christian Souldier, when he faith, | Indure Hardness as a good Souldier of Jesus Christ: for in that one word xanond Inou, he lets us underfland that he is one that is to fare hardly, that he is to be inured to Difficulties and Perils, to tedious Marches and continual Watchings, to Hunger and Thirst, and infinite Fatigues, and that he must converse with Dangers and Death: Every Battel of the Warrior is with confused Noise, and Garments roll'd in Blood. The Life of a Christian Souldier is painful and laborious, because he is to be exercised in denying himself, in crossing his sensual Appetite, in submitting to the hardest Duties, and undergoing the greatest Sufferings, Temptations and Perfecutions: He must be continually sweating, toiling, striving, fighting, grappling with Foes of all kinds, and encountring all forts of Hardships. Thus a Christian is a Spiritual Souldier; thus Christianity

† 2 Tim. 2. 4. | 2 Tim. 2. 3.

of the Holy Scriptures. is a Holy War; thus the Life of Man is a Warfare. And this is that which all the Great Masters of Morals inculcate in their Writings. One of the chiefest of them (who calls the Life of Man the Pilgrimage or Sojourning of a Stranger) gives it also the Denomination of a \* Warfare. Arrianus very excellently descants on this Aphorism, that † every Man's Life is a kind of Militia; and that we are with all Diligence and Faithfulness to discharge the Office of Souldiers, the chief Part of which is to do all that our Commander bids us. Another famous Moralist adorns this Subject with noble Reflections upon it; || Reckon upon this, faith he, that God is our Commander and Chief Captain, that this Life is a Military Expedition, that every Man is to be an Armed Souldier, &c. Seneca hath the same Conceptions of Humane Life, and once and again resembles it to (\*) the State of War, and the Exploits of Martial Men. As foon as we committee the World we open the Campagne, and in a short time after we draw into a Line of Battle, and we are continually making use of our Ammunition and Artillery, till at length Death raises the Camp, and discharges us from our Warfare. Several Pasfages might be produced out of other Pagan Writers, who frequently fall into this Comparison, and use this Excellent Metaphor, and very finely illustrate it: but what I have said is sufficient to shew what I aim at, viz. that there are the same

there are in other Authors. This I will further make good in another Excel-

Phrases and Expressions in the Holy Scriptures that

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Ο & βί@ πόλεμ@, κ) ξένε όπιδημία. Antonin. 7 είς έαυτόν. Executeia ils esiv ò BIO erdsu. Lib. 3. cap. 24.

<sup>|</sup> Noes wor, &c. Max. Tyr. Differt. 3. (\*) Vivere, militare est. Epist. 96.

lent Notion and Maxim, viz. that Good and Vertuous Men are Free, but that all Vitious Persons are Slaves. The Stile of Scripture runs this way, not only in the Old Testament, where David desires to be \* upheld by the free Spirit of God, i. e. by fuch a Divine and Generous Principle as would make him act with the greatest Freedom in the ways of Religion: and where Sinners and Ungodly Men are stiled Prifoners and Captives once and again; as in Zech. 9.11. Ifa. 42. 6, 7. ch. 49. 8, 9. ch. 61. 1. Nor is it to be doubted whether these Places speak of such Perfons, feeing our Saviour himself alledges one of them (which is of the same Nature with the rest) to this Purpose, and tells us, it is his Office to proclaim Liberty to these Captives, Luke 4. 18. i.e. to offer Pardon to Sinners. But in the New Testament also (and there chiefly) this is the Language of the Holy Ghost: the Freedom that accompanies Honness, and the Servitude of Sin, are expresly declared in those Words of Christ, The Truth shall make you free, John 8. 32. Whosoever committeeth Sin, is the Servant of Sin, ver. 34. If the Son shall make you free, ye shall be free indeed, ver. 36. The whole fixth Chapter to the Romans treats of this very thing, the Service of Sin, and the Freedom from it by Christ. The Servants of Sin mentioned here by St. Paul, are the same with of the Spirits in Prison whom St. Peter speaks of, as I have proved in another Place, and have shewed the Inconsistency of other Interpretations. Whilst Men continue in their Sins, and addict themselves to their Vices, their Spirits, their Souls are defervedly faid to be in Prison; their Persons are in Custody; they live in Durance and Thraldom; they are continually in Bonds

Bonds and Chains; they are fetter'd Slaves and Vallals: They may perhaps flatter themselves, and vaunt of Freedom, but they are Prisoners still; \* they promise Liberty, but are themselves Servants of Corruption. Whereas, on the contrary, True Religion enttates Men in a real and fubitantial Freedom; Christianity is + the perfect Law of Liberty: Where the Spirit of the Lord is, where Evangelical Sanctity is, there is Liberty. In short; no Man that loves to be vicious, and lives in the practice of Sin, can be said to be a Free-man; for he is wholly at the command of his Lusts: There is not a greater Slave in Algiers or Tripoli than fuch an one. This not only the Sacred Scriptures but Heathen Writers inculcate. Tully defends that Maxim, Quod omnes sapientes, liberi ; & stulti, servi : and enlarges on it most admirably. This Zeno and all the Stoicks maintain'd, as we learn from (\*) Laertius: and Isocrates more than once in his Orations to Demonicus and Nicocles, speaks thus. So doth (+) Epiffetus, who expresly afferts that Vice and Immorality are the greatest Drudgery and Slavery. So doth Arrianus, who tells us, that (||) he is a Freeman who lives as he willeth, i. e. who makes the Rational Dictates of his Will the Rule of his Life; which none but a Good Man doth. Horace's admirable Character of a Free-man is worth the confulting;

Quisnam igitur Liber? Sapiens, sibiq; imperiosus, Quem neq; pauperies, neq; mors, neq; vincula terrent: Responsare cupidinibus, contemnere honores Fortis, & in seipso totus teres atque rotundus.

And

<sup>\*</sup> Pfal, 51. 12. † 1 Pet. 3. 19.

<sup>\* 2</sup> Pet. 2. 19. † Jam. 1. 25. || 2 Cor. 3. 17. (\*) Μόν Θτορος, ελεύ θες Θτο οί θε φαυλοί, δίκλοι. In Zenone. (†) Cap. 20. (||) Ελέυθες Θτος οί ζων ως δύλετων. Lib. 4. c. 1.

Fear of God, is a Fool, in the Stile of Holy Writ;

The Fool hath Jaid in his Heart, There is no God.

And more he hath to the same purpose, which acquaints us what Apprehensions the Moralists had of Freedom. \* Free-men, saith Euripides, are very rare in the World; for there is scarcely a Man to be found who is not a Slave to his Wealth or Fortune, or some other thing. A Man that extremely loves his Money is † a Golden Slave, in Socrates's Language. || That is the worst kind of Servitude, faith Roethius, when the Souls of Men are given up to Vice, and are faln from the possession of their own Reason. (\*) There is no Man, saith Seneca, can be faid to be Free, that is a Slave to his corporeal and sensual Part. (†) You see, saith he in another Place, what a base and pernicious Slavery that Man hath brought himself into, who suffers unlawful Pleasures and Sorrows, those unconstant and impotent Mistresses, to domineer over him by turns. Thus 'tis the Stile of the Pagan as well as Inspired Writers, that Goodness is the true Freedom, and that Vice is real Bondage and Slavery.

So that Other Notion, that Good Men are only Wise, and that Sinners are Fools, is the Language both of Scripture and Profane Writers. Moses affures the Israelites, that to keep and do God's Commandments is their Wisdom and Understanding, Deut. 4.6. with which is parallel Job 28. 28. The Fear of the Lord, that is Wisdom; and to depart from Evil is Understanding. But on the contrary, he that

\* कहाँ, छेर हेड़ो Ountou उड़ाड हेड' हेर्रहा डिन्हि. "Η χειιμάτων γαις δέλ Φ εςτν ή πίχης, &c. + Stobæ. Serm. 22. || Extrema est servitus cum anima humanæ vitiis deditæ, rationis propriæ possessione ceciderunt. De Consolat. 1. 5. c. 11. (\*) Nemo liber est qui corpori servit. Epist. 82. (†) Vides quam malam & noxiam servitutem serviturus, sir quem Voluptates & Dolores, incertissimæ dominæ impotentissimaque alternis possidebunt. De vit. beat. cap. s.

And the following Words acquaint us that this Fool is one of a Vicious and Corrupt Life; + This their Way is their Folly, faith the same Pious King. And his Royal Son had learn'd to speak the same Language; whence in the Book of Proverbs Wicked Men and Fools are Synonymous, they are such | Fools as make a mock of Sin. So in the New Testament, the Man that studied nothing but his Unlawful Gain and Pleasure, is pronoune'd a (\*) Fool by Him who throughly understood the right Measures of Wildom and Folly. This is agreeable to the Language of the Moral Philosophers in their Writings, especially of the Stoicks, whose Wife Man (it is well known) is no other than the Vertuous. In

Cicero's Paradoxes this is exprelly maintain'd and

proved. Goodness and Integrity are (-) a stable

and folid Wisdom, faith Maximus Tyrius: and o. thers of the Platonick School talk after that manner. (||) He that lives as without God in the World; he that is irreligious and profane, is a Person void of Understanding, saith another Excellent Man. Nay, the Stoicks went further, and pronounced all Vicious Men to be Mad. The Founder of that Sect was wont to fay, as Diogenes Laertius informs us, that | all Fools are Frentick, i. c. all Wicked Men are fo. "A Man given to Vice is compared by (a) Maximus Tyrius to one whole Brain is disorder'd with Drunkenness or Madness: and though (as he faith) he hath his Intervals, and

Pal. 14. 1. f Pfal. 49. 13. || Prov. 14. 9. (\*) Luke 12. 20

(1) Zopla skoju ( 2) i felia. Distert. 13. (||) Tov avon jou avana ki a Seor avan ki A Seor avon rou. Hietots. || Hartill

A res Loevul quive dai, In Zenone. (4) Differt. 28.

now and then makes use of his Reason, yet his Head is extreamly disorder d. And About, who hath as many Excellent Moral Axioms as any of the Antients, speaks after this rate:

Stultus & infanus. Quid avarus?

Which is the very Stile of the Holy Scripture likewife: Madnefs in in their Fleart, Ecclef. 9. 3. which is explain'd in the words immediately foregoing, The Heart of the Sons of Men is field of Evik. And from the enfining Texts you may fee this made good, Ecclef. 2. 2. Jer. 50. 38. and 51. 7. Add 26. 11. where Excess of Wickedness bears the Name of Madness. Conformably to which it is faid of the Debauched Son in the Parable, that be came to himself, Luke 15. 17: which manner of Expression tests as know that he that runs into Excess of Riot is besides himself, and that an extravagant Sinner is a Bedlam.

And here I will make bold to interpret another Text to this purpose, (altho all those Commentators upon it that I have seen are pleased to be of another Mind) John 20. 10. which in Greek is thus, 'Awhhoov &v molhov mode course, and hath this Translation in English, Then they were away again to their own home: but it seems not to be rightly translated: For first, I wis not then but therefore, and gives a Reason of what went before. 2 dy. There is not any word in the Text that denotes frome, and therefore we cannot put that word into the English Translation. Eavior, which is the Greek Word, signifies themselves, not their own Hame. It should have been hadoves, the standard of they went to stone, as you find the Greek.

rendred in Mark 3. 19. Luke 15. 6. 3dly. We do not read that the Disciples or Apostles, of whom these words are spoken, went before to their Home, or that they came from thence: How then can it be faid that they went again? Wherefore I render it thus, They therefore came again to themselves, i. e. were reduced to a fober Mind. It is the fame Phrase with els tauldo eller, which is spoken of the Prodigal; be came to himfelf. For fometimes લે જાાં Abair is the same with દેમિલા venire, as is clear from \* Pinto and other Writers. And fo here, बंगारे। भेर क्लेंड हेवर्गे के la to come to themselves, i. c. to be of a right and found Mind. It is a way of speaking used by very good Authors: In . Arrianus and others es early fextadus bears the same sense. Yea, the word antexeadur, or analogiv, is as much as αંપાંદ્રસ્લ્યીલા, or લેપાંત્રિક્ષા redire, as we may inform our felves from | Suidas, who tells us that Thucydides takes the word in this fense. And so wode દેવામાં તેમ જેમદેવલાં answers exactly to ad fe redire, which is a Phrase among the (\*) Latins that signifies to come to a right Mind or Understanding. Or if you take & ripx & our for venire only, yet the Adverb πάλη being join'd with it, directs us to this very sense which I offer; for απελθαν πολλιν πρός ewilde, iterum ad se venire, is all one with ad se redire, to return to himself. So the Apostles Peter and John (of whom this Text speaks) returned to themselves, or came again to themselves, i. e. to a found Mind and Understanding, which they had lost for some time. For notwithstanding Christ had

modi quædam in mentem mihi veniunt ut eloquar. In Gorgia.
† Comment, in Epictet. lib. 3. c. 1. [] In verbo 'Απέλθη.
(\*) Gic p. Ver. Terent, in Adelph.

fo frequently \* told them, when he was alive, that he would rife again after his Death, yea and had fet the time of his Refurrection, viz. within three days; yet when they faw he was dead; they had no belief of any such thing, but utterly despaired of it. Herein they shewed themselves very Diff composed Persons, this argued them to be besides themselves, and that Conduct of Reason and Faith which might have been expected from them. But when they went into the Sepulchre, and faw the Linen Clothes lie by themselves, v. 6, 7. which was a plain fign that the Body was not stolen away, for then the Clothes would have been taken away too, because they would not have staid to strip the Body: When the Disciples saw this, they believed, v. 8. tho (as it follows) as yet they knew not the Scripture, that be must rise again from the Dead, v. 9. The meaning is, they were not induced to this Belief by confidering the Prophecies in the Old Testament concerning Christ's Resurrection, but they believed because they saw. The sight of the Linen Clothes and the Napkin left in the Sepulchre, cured them of their former Unbelief, and convinced them that Christ was really risen, and had thrown off those Enfigns of Mortality, and left them behind him in the Sepulchre. 'Απήλθον &ν παλιν, &c. therefore the Disciples came again to themselves. This word gives a Reason of what is here spoken of from what is faid before. St. Peter and St. John were heal'd of the former Distemper and Malady of their Minds which they laboured under by descending into the Grave, and feeing what was there. Now their Ignorance and Infidelity vanish, now they are brought to a due Composure of Thoughts,

# Mat. 12. 40, & 16. 21. & 49. 23. Mario. 310 Luke 9) 22.

which they wanted before. And indeed this is not the first time that these very Apostles were disordered in Mind. They knew not what they said ( Mat. 9. 6.) when they were on the Mount at Christ's Transfiguration: one or both of these discovered how disordered they were in their Practice as well as Notions, when they call'd for Fire from Heaven upon the Samaritans, Luke 9. 54. and at several other times they acted contrary to sober Reason and the right Apprehensions which they ought to have had of things. But they afterwards recovered themselves, and had better Notions of things, and acted more conformably to the Dictates of a Composed Intellect. Thus here, they recollected themselves, they came again to themselves. And thus લે πελθείν παλίν ωρος έαυ δς, or ωρος έαυ οι, is of the same import with έφ' έαυδον πα'λιν ύπεspέ la: in \* Philo. I conceive this may be the plain. sense of the Evangelist's words: however I propound it only by way of Conjecture, and am willing in this (as in other things) to fubmit to the Arbitration of the Wife.

I will mention another Instance of this Agreement of the Stile of Pagan and Inspired Writers. It is usually among the former to honour a Good Man with the Title of the Friend of God. At place is usual in Homer; and among the Philosophers, Plato especially, it is very frequent. Who are the Friends of God, is excellently discoursed of by this brave Man in his fourth Book of Lams. A Religious Man is a Friend of God, saith & Max. Tyrius, with whom concurs another || Eminent Moralist, directly asserting that Good Men are the Friends of the Deity. Episteus and Arrianus speak of God as

<sup>\*</sup> De Somniis. † Dissert. 4. | Plutareh. contra Epicur.

a Friend, and the Best Friend. Cambyses's Advice to his Son Cyrus was, Be thou the Friend of God, as \* Xenophon relates. In short, it was the common Stile and Language of the best Moralists, as Socrates, Antoninus, Seneca, Plotinus, (besides those before named) to call a Vertuous Person a Friend or one Beloved of God. Especially this Epithet was given him if he prospered in his vertuous Enterprizes, if he found Success in his laudable Endeavours. Yea, Epictetus ( that Excellent Stoick Philosopher, and Great Master of Ethicks) was honoured with this + Title, as the highest that could be, when he left the World, as we learn from his Epitaph. The same Expression we meet with often in Scripture; the same honourable Epithet is vouchfafed there to Holy Men. Abraham the Father of the Faithful is particularly fignalized by it, and that no less than thrice, 2 Chron. 20. 7. Ifa. 41. 8. Jam. 2.23. Of Moses 'tis said, that God spake to bim as to bis Friend, Exod. 33. 11. Solomon was named Jedidiah, i. c. the Beloved of the Lord, 2 Sam. 12.25. In that Mystical Book of the Cantieles this Name is attributed to both those entire Lovers, Christ and the Church. || Eat O ye Friends, drink O Beloved, faith the former : (\*) This is my Reloved, this is my Friend, faith the latter. In which places Rang and Dod are the like endearing Titles with Obeb, which was the word used in the Texts before-mentioned. And this further I could observe to you, that the words Obeb and Obebim, which are translated Friend and Friends in those places, might be rendred so in many (†) others where our Translators english them him, or those that love God. In the Evangelical Writings

Writings the same Stile is observable: thus those words in Luke 12. 4. are spoken by our Saviour, particularly to his dear Companions and Disciples, where he calls them his Friends. And in three Verses together these his faithful Followers and Associates, and with them all True Believers and Holy Men are stiled his Friends, Joh. 15. 13,14,15. And hear what an Honourable Epitaph our Saviour bestowed on Lazarus, John 11. 11. Our Friend

Lazarus Reepeth.

What is more usual in the Language of the New Testament, than to say, a Wicked Man is dead? This my Son was dead, faith the Father of the Prodigal son, Luke 15. 24. giving us to understand, that the Profligate and Debauch'd are morally dead. And so some think this Term is to be understood in the former part of those words, Let the Dead bury their Dead, Mat. 8. 22. Of the Widow given to Luxury 'tis faid, she is dead while she liveth, I Tim. 5. 6. And to be dead in Sin is in Scripture-Phraseology applied after the same manner, Ephef. 2. 1, 5. Col. 2. 13. And in feveral other places the like mode of Speech is observable. To which the Antient Philosophers were no Strangers, in whose account Vicious Men were reputed as dead. Hence an \* Antient Writer of the Church observes that even in the Barbarick Philosophy they were wont to call those Dead who abandon'd the right Sentiments of things which they had, and made their Souls flaves to the Animal Passions. Not only Pythagoras himself was wont to place a Coffin in the room of his outcast Scholars, as if they had been dead, but his Followers (and the Platonists in imitation of him ) had the same Practice among them.

<sup>\*</sup> De Cyri Institut. I. 8. + - oin@ adavaress. || Cant g. 1. (\*) Ver. 16. (†) Deur. 5. 10. Neh. 1. 5. Pfal. 122.6. Pfal. 145. 20. Prov. 18. 17. Dan. 9. 4.

For it was an acknowledged Notion that Vertue makes us live, and confequently that wicked Men do not properly live, but that in true Morality they are rightly said to be dead. \* There is wanting in them an inward Principle of Life, as the Spartan faid after all his trials of erecting a dead Body into a living Posture. Hence Vice is deservedly stiled † the Death of the rational part of Man, and | the Mortality of the Soul. With relation to which guise of Speech intermortui mores are in Plautus, Corrupt and Vicious Manners. And the like Phrase is used by the Jews; the Wicked (fay they) are Dead while they live : and again they tell us, that (\*) a Dead Carcase is better than a Disciple that is void of Knowledg and true Wisdom. And other such like Expressions there are not only among the Hebrews but the Arabians.

Once more I will observe how the Scripture speaks as the best Moralists do, viz. when it calls Death a Sleep. The Hebrew Verb Shacab fignifies to lie down to fleep, Gen. 19.4. and likewise to die, 2 Sam. 7. 12. Isa. 14. 8. whence to sleep with their Fathers is an usual Phrase in the Historical Books of the Old Testament. Thence the Grave is call'd a Bed, Isa. 57. 2. Gneres is both lettus and feretrum, the Bed of those that sleep, and the Bed or Bier of those that are dead, (as perhaps our Saxon word grave, or grab, as other Germans write it, is from grabatus.) The Pfalmist mentions the Sleep of Death, Pfal. 13. 3. And it seems this was the Stile of the Antient Arabs, as appears from Job 7.21. I shall seep in the Dust. If we descend to the New Testament, we shall read there that Lazarus seepeth, Joh. 11.11.

and of St. Stephen 'tis faid that \* he fell afleep, and of other holy Men that they steep in Jesus, and | are fallen asleep in Christ. When a good Man dies, he lays himself down to Rest, he betakes himself to his Repose: bidding the World good night, he shuts his Eyes, and opens them no more till the Morning of the Resurrection. The like Expression is in use among the Pagans: to sleep and to die are synonymous Terms with them. With the Prince of Poets Sleep is not only (\*) the Brother of Death, but it is the very word to express (†) Death it self. Nox est perpetuo una dormienda, faith Catullus. Perpetuus Sopor is Horace's Language: Nox perpetua is Propertius's; which is the same with Virgil's Nox æterna. Alluding to which Phrase is that of (||) Tully and other good Authors, decumbere to lie down, to betake himself to sleep, i. e. to die. Accordingly the Poets feign the Palace of Sleep to be bordering on the Infernal Regions of Hell and Death. Thus I have let you see in several Particulars (and many more might have been produced) that there are the very same Expressions in Scripture that we weet with in other Writers: and certainly it is some Satisfaction to intelligent Minds to observe the handsome Agreement between both.

<sup>\*</sup> Acts 7. 60. † 1 Thess. 4. 14. | 1 Cor. 15. 6, 18. (\*) Κασιγνή Θ θανά τοιο. Hom. Il. 14. (†) κοιμήσα το χάλκον θ' πνον. Il. 7. (||) Tusc. 2. & Philip. 1.

## CHAP. III.

There are in the Sacred Writ the same Grammatical Figures which are found in other Writers; as an Enallage of Person, of Number, of Time. One Bodily Sense is mentioned instead of another. There is an exchange of the Politive, Comparative and Superlative. A Negative is put for a Comparative: this shew'd in a great number of Instances in the Writings both of the Old and New Testament. An Hendyadis is usual in Scripture. So is a Prolepsis. And an Hysterosis. The reason which Monsieur Simon gives of this latter is refuted 1. By proving the Antiquity of Parchment-scrolls used in writing. 2. By shewing that they were well fastned together, so that they could not easily be misplaced and transposed, much less be lost, as this Writer imagines. Josephus's remarkable Testimony produced to confirm both these. The true reason and occasion of some Transpositions in the Old Testament assigned.

N the next place I will shew you that there are in the Sacred Writ the same Grammatical and Rhetorical Figures which are found in Other Writers. It will be very useful to insist a while upon these, because we cannot attain to a right understanding of the Scriptures unless we have some insight into them; yea we shall sometimes miserably mistake the sense and meaning of this Holy Book if we are not acquainted with the nature of the Scripture-Stile as to this very thing. I know these Figures (some of them especially)

are observed and taken notice of by \* feveral Writers: but my chief Design is to give some Instances of them which are not taken notice of by Others, and yet are very necessary to be known in order to the right understanding the Holy Scriptures. There are several of these I shall produce. You must know then that those Grammatical Figures or Defective Modes of speaking which are found in the Bible, are such as these. First, there is an Enallage of the Person, i. e. it is usual to put one Person in Grammatical Construction for another. Thus we is instead of they, Psal. 66. 6. your Heart for their Heart, Pfal. 22. 26. They for he, Eccles. 7. 29. they have fought out, which refers to Man in the preceding Clause. They instead of ye, Isa. 61. 7. They for The, as in 1 Tim. 2. 15. if they continue, i. e. if she, viz. the Woman spoken of before, cominue in Faith, &c. and she is expresty. mentioned in the Clause immediately foregoing. So in Gal. 6. 1. thy self should be themselves, for it refers to ye in the preceding words. But the Instances are almost numberless, wherein I might shew you this Change of Persons. It is enough to have hinted this at present, that you may continually take notice of this in the Stile of the Sacred Writers, and that you may direct your selves in the understanding of some places, which cannot rightly be interpreted unless we observe this Grammatical Alteration, and thereby guide our Thoughts to the sense of the words. And this also might be fuggested, that this way of Speech is used then generally, when there is a fudden Transition from one thing to another, or when there is a Distribution of the Matter treated of, and sometimes when there

Glassius, Joach. Camerarius, Westhemerus, &c.

is a Familiar and Easy expressing of things preasat other times, when a near Concernedness of the Her-

fons spoken of is to be taken notice of. Next you may observe the Change of Number; you will find it common in Scripture to express one Number by another, especially the Singular by the Plural. As 'tis faid the Ark rested on the Mountains of Ararat, i. e. on one of those Mountains, Gen. 8. 4. for it could not rest on them all. The Graves are ready for me, Job 17. 1. instead of the Grave, and fo in ch. 21. v. 32. according to the Hebrew, tho not in our Translation. A fingle River is meant when Rivers are named, as you read of the Gates of the Rivers, when Tigris only is meant, Nah. 2. 6. That Sacrifices is put for a Sacrifice in Heb. 9, 23. is plain; for the Apostle there speaks of the Sacrifice and Death of Christ. In these and many \* other places the Plural Number supplies the room of the Singular. And in fome other Texts the Singular is mentioned inftead of the Plural, as in Job 37.6. Cloud for Clouds. Psal. 9. 20. That the Nations may know themselves to be but Man (for so 'tis in the Hebrew) instead of Men. Ifa. 3. 12. Child (for fo you have it in the Original) for Children. Ezek. 31. 3. Branch (as the Hebrew hath it) for Branches. A Sheaf for Sheaves, Amos 2. 13. And the like is observable in the New Testament, that they may shave their Head, (so 'tis in the Greek) Alts 21.24. for Heads: Loin for Loins, Heb. 7. 5. Body for Bodies, Phil. 3. 21. Heart for Hearts, Rom. 1. 21. and the same Enallage is in 1 Cor. 4. 2. Thus it is the usual way of the Sacred Writers (who in this, as in many Other ·

other things are followed by the best Greek and Latin Authors) to exchange one Number for another hand it will be requilite for the Inquilitive Reader to observe this manner of speaking, because otherwise sometimes he will miss of the true Sense of the Place where this kind of Stile is made use of.

of the Holy Scriptures.

I pass to the Enallage of Time, which is very frequent in the Holy Writ. In the Prophetick Writers especially this is observable; there the Present. or rather the Præterperfect Tense (for the Hebrews have no Present Tense) is used very commonly for the Future, as in 1 Kings 13.2. A Child is born (according to the Hebrew) for shall be born. Isa. 9. 2. The People that walked in Darkness have seen a great Light, prophetically for shall see. Ch. 9. 6. Unto us a Child is born; unto us a Son is given, instead of shall be born, shall be given. So in the New Testament, in that Prophecy of Enoch quoted by St. Jude. ver. 14. The Lord cometh (or hath come) with ten thousand of his Saints; ηλθε supplies the place of Exturerai. I come unto you, John 14. 18. (for fo 'tis in the Greek) should in Propriety of Speaking be, I will come unto you; and therefore it is so tranflated in our English Bibles. In such like Places things are spoken in the Present or Præterperfe& Tense, to signify the Reality and Certainty of them, to let us know they shall as surely be fulfill'd as if they were so already. And as the Present or Præterit is put for the Future, so this is sometimes used instead of that, as in Exod. 3. 14. Ebjeb, ero, is instead of sum; and accordingly the 70 Interpreters render that Place eya o av; and we after them, I am that I am. The like Enallage you will find in Ila. 30. 32. And sometimes the Præterit is used where the Present Time is understood, as in

<sup>\*</sup> Σάββατα for σάββατον, Mat. 12. τ. Luke 4. 16. τα δεξιά for n Jegia, Mat. 26.64. Mar. 10. 5.

ving two Hands, &c. And in Mark 14. 21. Good

Rev. 3. 20. Isnuce, I base flood, which therefore we rightly translate I fland. Nothing is more common than this way of speaking in the Old and New Testament, infomuch that I need not have taken notice of fo frequent a thing, unless I had undertaken to give a short Specimen of all or most of the Observables relating to the Scripture-Stile. And as one Person, Number, and Tense, is put for another in the Holy Writings, fo it might be remark'd that one Bodily Sonfe is mentioned instead of another; especially the Use of the Sight is fre-20.18 quently put for Hearing, as inglifa. 2. 1. The Word The people that Ifaiah the Son of Amos fan, i. e. the Prophecy Saw hoise which he immediately heard from God's Month, and which he delivers in express Terms in the next Verse. To see the Voice, Rev. 1.12. is to hear it, unless you will fay, that seeing of the Person, whose Voice it was, is meant. Other Places might be produced where these two Senses are exchanged, but I will only here note, that this is common a-

> \* Sex etiam & septem loca vidi reddere voces Unam cum jaceres.

And another;

mong Profane Writers:

1 --- Nec quæ sonitum det causa videmas.

And vifa loqui is inflead of audita loqui in Claudian.

Sometimes you will find a Change of the Comparative for the Positive, as in Matth. 18. 8. according to the Greek, It is good for thee, i. e. it is better i.c. Better were it for that Man if he had never been born. So in 1 Tim. 3. 13. They that have used the Office of a Deacon well, purchase to themselves a Good Degree, i.e. a Better or Greater Degree, viz. of a Presbyter or Bishop. Sometimes the Positive or Comparative is mentioned when the Superlative is

understood, as in Marth. 22. 36, 38. The great Commandment, i. e. the greatest; and is explained there by begarn, the first. Those Words in Luke 7. 28. are generally reduced by Expositors to this Head, and therefore our English Version is [He

that is least in the Kingdom of God is greater than he]: but I do not fee any Reason to bring it under this way of speaking. For according to the Greek it should be, he that is leffer: and this is the true and natural Translation, the meaning of our Saviour's Words being this, I am leffer, i. e. in Age, I am Younger than John the Baptist, and am Lesser in the Estimation of the People than he is; but yet I am far Greater than he, for he was but my Forerunner, my Messenger, as he faith in the foregoing Verse. So Theophylatt interprets the Words; and our own Translators in another Place favour this Exposition, when those Words in Rom. 9. 12. which according to the Greek are [the greater shall ferve the leffer] are rendred by them thus, the elder

Mall serve the younger. So that you fee the lesser is interpreted the younger; and there feems to be good Ground to understand it so in this Place. And indeed this is according to the Stile of the best Latin Authors, among whom, major and minor natu, are the effer and younger. Nay, \* minor, absolute-

<sup>\*</sup> Lucret. l. 4. † Virgil. Æn. 3. | In Rufin.

Sed video herilem filium minorem huc venire. Ter.in Eunuch.

ly and without any Addition, is as much as junior. But in Phil. 1, 23. Having a Defire to depart, and to be with Christ, which is far better, it, is not to be questioned, I think, that the Superlative is changed for the Comparative; far better is instead of best of all. And so in Matth. 13. 32, the lesser of all seeds (according to the Greek) for the least; and accordingly we translate it so. Other Examples of this you have in Luke 9. 46. John 10. 29. These are the Grammatical Changes which are observable in the Holy Book; and any one that both perusal the

you have in Luke 9. 46. John 10. 29. These are the Grammatical Changes which are observable in the Holy Book; and any one that hath perused the Writings of Other Authors, especially of the Greck and Latin Poets, is not ignorant that the very same occur in them, and that very often; so that I thought it needless to present you with Parallels out of those Writers.

But among the several Enallages, i. c. Changings

But among the feveral Enallages, i. c. Changings of one thing for another in the Stile of Scripture, I will in the last Place mention this, viz. that a Negative oftentimes is put for a Comparative. The due observing of this will help us to reconcile many Places of Scripture, which feem to jar with some others. I will begin with Numb. 23. 21. He bath not beheld Iniquity in Jacob, neither hath be feen Perverseness in Israel: Which is a Text that the Antinomian Party lay great Stress upon ; for hence they fay 'tis evident that God fees not any Sin in his own People, and confequently that he is not difpleased with them for it; whence it will follow that they need not be displeased neither; their Sins (be they never so great and flagitious) are not to be the matter of their Sorrow, feeing God is not offended with them: which Doctrine foon opens a Door to all Licentiousness and Profaneness; but it is easily shut again by applying this Rule. that Scripture oftentimes, and here particularly, . Land to the state of the stat

speaks Absolutely, but is to be understood in a Comparative or Limited Sense. God beholds not Iniquity m Jacob, in his Chosen, as he doth in profligate Persons, and such as are given up to their Lusts; i. e. he beholds it not so in them as to reject them utterly, and to punish them eternally for their Misdoings. Thus if we compare God's beholding Sin in the one, with his beholding it in the other, he may be faid not to behold it in the former, i. e. in his own People and Servants. But God hates and puhisheth Sin in both forts of Persons, and more particularly in those that are his, according to what he declares in Amos 3. 2. You only have I known of all the Families of the Earth, therefore I will punish you for all your Iniquities. Thus God did not behold Iniquity, did not fee Perverseness in Ifrael; for we are affured by the Prophet \* Habakkuk, that he is of purer Eyes than to behold Evil, and cannot look on Iniquity. He cannot look on it long without punishing it, as well as he hated it always. Why then do some confidently aver, that God neither punishes nor hates Sin in his People, nor is displeased with them for it? Thus by making use of the foregoing Rule, we see what is the plain and natural Meaning of the Words. In a Comparative Sense, not in an Absolute and Unrestrained one, it is said, He beholds not Iniquity in Jacob: which is fo far from favouring the Antinomian Doctrine, that it clearly baffles and confutes it. I will pass to another Text; They have not rejected thee, but they have rejected me; 1 Sam. 8. 7. They did reject Samuel from being Judg, in that they chose a King over them: yet God faith, They have not rejetted thee, i. e. Comparatively

ratively they have not : they have not fo much by this Action of despising Samuel their Judg, rejected him, as they have manifested their Rejection of Me, who am their Chief Judg and Ruler, and who fet Samuel over them as my Deputy. They may be said to have rejected Me rather than him. The Plalmist faith, Against thee, thee only have I somed, Pfal. 51. 4. But though he speaks Exclusively, and in a manner Negatively, (for it is as much as if he had faid, Against thee have I sinned, and not against any one besides) yet he is to be understood Comparatively, for it is certain that he sinn'd against Others, namely, against Uriah in a signal manner, whom he made drunk, and whose Blood he shed, and whose Bed he defiled; yea, as he was King, he notoriously sinn'd against all his Subjects and People: But because he Chiefly and Principally finn'd against God who had raised him to the Throne, and done such wonderful things for him; because he had most of all offended God, he confesses that he had sinn'd against Him, and Him only, Him and none else. A Negative is used for a Comparative. And so it is in Hos. 6.6. I desired Mercy and not Sacrifice; which is explained in the next Clause of that Verse, and the Knowledg of God more than Burnt-offerings. Not is interpreted by more, God values Acts of Mercy and Charity, and such indispensable Duties of the Moral Law, more than all the Performances of the Ceremonial one. So that the Antithesis here is but in way of Comparison, as we may see in 1 Sam. 15. 22. To obey is better than Sacrifice.

The New Testament speaks after this manner, there you will frequently observe that our Saviour and his Apostles pronounce many things simply and absolutely, which yet we ought to understand and inter-

interpret with a Limitation. \* She is not dead, but fleepeth, faith Christ of Jairus's deceased Daughter. She was dead, that cannot be denied; therefore this Negative must be expounded so as to qualify the Sense: She rather sleepeth than is dead : Her Departure is a Sleep to her, and I will foon awaken her out of it, as you shall fee. Thus our Saviour must be supposed to have spoken. Again, he faith, & When thou makest a Dinner or a Supper, call not thy Friends, nor thy Brethren, neither thy Kinsmen nor thy rich Neighbours; but call the Poor, the Maimed, the Lame, the Blind. The meaning is, rather call these than them; yea, rather wholly omit these Fealts and Invitations, than forget to be charitable to the Poor. If the Necessitous be not excluded from your Charity, you may feast your rich Friends and Relations: but you must not feast Them, and neglect These; yea, you must chiefly and most of all take care of these. So is that other Passage of our Saviour to be understood, Labour not for the Meat which perisheth, John 6. 27. i. e. labour more for that Meat which endureth unto Everlasting Life (which he immediately after speaks of) than for this. You may labour for the perishing Meat, but let your greatest Care and Indeavour be for that which never perisheth. Still you see the Negative is to be explain'd by a Comparative. And so it is in John 16. 24. Hitherto ye have asked nothing in my Name, i. e. ye have asked little, which is comparatively Nothing. Thus the Apostle is to be meant when he faith, 2 Cor. 2. 5. He bath not grieved me, that is, me only, as is clear from the following Words, but in part all, for so it should be rendred according to the Greek, and a Parenthesis should be made.

<sup>#</sup> Mark 5. 39. † Luke 14. 12.

In part (saith the Apostle) he hath brought Grief and Trouble to you all; I say, in part, that I may not overcharge him, that I may not aggravate his Fault too much. But, to speak Comparatively, and with respect to the whole Church, he bath not grieved me, because I am inconsiderable in comparifon of all of you. The following Texts of St. Paul are to be explained thus: 2 Cor. 5. 4. We that are in this Tabernacle do groan, being burdened; not for that we should be unclothed, i. e. not for that only, or Comparatively not for that. Charity feeketh not her own, 1 Cor. 13. 5. i.e. not folely and chiefly. In respect of her generous Deligns of Good for Others, she may be fald not to seek her Own Good and Advantage. From which Sense of the Words we may know to interpret those other Texts; Let no Man feek his own, but every Man another's Wealth, 1 Cor. 10. 24. All feek their own, Phil. 2. 21. When this Apostle saith, We wrestle not against Flesh and Blood, but against Principalities, &c. Epb. 6. 12. the Sense certainly must be this, We wrestle not only or chiefly against those, but rather, yea most against these. Though we combate with our selves and our corrupt Natures, and with evil Men, yet our main Conflict is with the Spirits of Darkness, the Apostate Angels, who are hourly tempting and foliciting us to Vice, that they may bring us into the same State of Condemnation with themselves. So when we are told that the Law is not made for a righteous Man, but for the lawless and disobedient, for the Ungodly and for Sinners, &c. 1 Tim. 1.8. Wc must not with an Antinomian Gloss upon the Words exempt righteous and godly Men from their Obligation to the Motal Law ; for the Negative here hath not the Import of an Absolute Denial, but signifies only that the delivering of the Law,

Of the Stile

and especially the Commination of it, were not primarily and chiefly designed for the Righteous, for those that by the Grace of God observe the Precepts of it, but for those notorious Offenders particularly enumerated in the following Words; the Comminatory Part belongs to them. Women are bid to adorn themselves not with broidered (it should be broided) Hair, or Gold, or Pearls, or costly Array, but with good Works, 1 Tim. 2. 9, 10. With which we may join that of another Apostle, Whose adorning let it not be the outward adorning of plaiting the Hair, and of wearing of Gold, or of putting on of Apparcl, but let it be the bidden Man of the Heart, 1 Pct. 3. 3, 4. None can imagine that all outward adorning and wearing of Gold, or putting on Apparel or costly Array, are utterly unlawful, and consequently forbidden here; wherefore the Words are to be taken with fome Restriction; they are spoken Comparatively, that is, the Inward Adorning is far better, and much more to be look'd after than the Ontward and Bodily one. Christian Women must adorn themfelves rather with Humility and Sobriety than with these. They ought not to be so solicitous about Apparel, as about the Inward Ornaments of the Soul. Nay, whatever Apparel is light and vain, and any ways administers to Lust, or Pride, or Wantonness, is wholly to be laid aside, as sinful and unlawful. In the same Chapter of the forenamed Epistle to Timothy you read, that Adam was not deceived, but the Woman, ver. 14. We know that Adam was deceived, but yet in a Comparative way of speaking he was not, that is, his Deception was not so gross and inexcusable as that of the Woman. It is faid of the faithful Patriarchs, that they received not the Promise, Heb. 11. 39. i. e. in comparison of what we have since received. They

received it not in the full extent; else you cannot make fense of the following words, God buving provided, &c. In the foresaid Chapter of St. Peter tis said, Baptism doth also now save us, not the putting away the Filth of the Flesh, but the answer of a good Conscience towards God, ver. 21. This not seems to be Exclusive and Negative, and thence some have made use of this Text to null the sacred Ordinance of Baptism, which in Contempt they call Water-Baptism. But they err, not knowing the Scriptures, and particularly not attending to the fense of this place, where not is no Absolute Negative, but a Comparative. Not the putting away the Filth of the Flesh, i. c. not the Outward Baptism simply and only, or not that in Comparison of the other, viz. the Inward washing and purifying by the Spirit. And many other places it is impossible to understand aright, unless you make use of this Observation which I have here exemplified, namely that a Comparison is commonly in Scripture express'd by an Antithesis, or, which is all one,

In the next place, I might observe to you that an Hendyadis is very usual in Scripture, that is, two things are put severally to signify but one, as Let the Lights be in the Firmament for Signs and for Seasons, Gen. 7. 14. i. e. (as some Expositors think, tho I am not satisfied about it) Let them be for Signs of the Seasons. And they who read Psal. 17/13, 14. thus, Deliver my Soul from the Wicked by thy Sword, from Men by thy Hand, tell us here is and doubled or drawn, as if the Psalmist called upon God here to be his Champion, and to deliver him hy sighting for him. That in Mat. 3. 11. is of the nature of an Hendyadis; for laptizing with the Holy

Ghoft

Ghost and with Fire, is the same with baptizing with the Holy Ghost, who is as Fire; and so here One thing is express'd as if it were Two. Some think there is the same Figure in John 3. 5. Except a Man be born of Water and of the Spirit he cannot enter into the Kingdom of God. Water and the Spirit, i. e. say they, the Spirit that is like Water, cleanfing and purifying the Soul. But I conceive it may be doubted whether this and the former way of speaking be the same; for if Water in this place signifies Baptism, as the Antients interpreted it, then 'tis not the same thing with the Spirit, but distinct from it, and consequently here are not two things put for one. But doubtless there are in Mat. 24. 31. He shall send his Angels with a Trumpet, and a great Sound, i. e. with a Trumpet that hath a great Sound, that makes a great Noise, or, as our Translators render it, with a great Sound of a Trumpet. To this way of speaking may be referred John 11.33. He groan'd in the Spirit and was troubled, i.e. he groan'd in his troubled Spirit. And of this kind is Alts 14. 13. The Priest of Jupiter brought Oxen and Garlands, i. e. Oxen crown'd with Garlands, as was usual when they facrificed to Jupiter. One thing is here intended, the 'tis express'd by two. To this Figure appertains Ads 15.28. It feemesh good to the Holy Ghost and to us, that is, to us directed, moved and guided by the Holy Ghost. I doubt not but an Hendyadis gives the best account of 1 Cor. 2. 4. My preaching was in Demonstration of the Spirit and of Power, i. e. of the Spirit who was Powerful, and enabled me in an extraordinary manner to demonstrate the Truth of the Gospel, and to convince Gainfayers; and who also enabled me to work Miracles for the confirming of what I preached. This is the same with Rom. 15, 19. the

Japheth

the Power of the Spirit of God, by which (as he there tells us) mighty Signs and Wonders were effected. The like mode of Speech is observable in the Apostle's words, in 1 Thess. 1. 5. Our Gospel came unto you in Power and in the Holy Ghost, i.e. the

Holy Ghost shew'd himself Powerful in the Apostles Preaching and Miracles. Lastly, under this Head may be rank'd Col. 2.8. Philosophy and vain Deceit, that is, Philosophy which is both vain and

deceitful. To proceed, a Prolepsis is another usual Figure in Scripture, especially in the Old Testament. Such is that in Gen. 4. 16. Cain went and dwelt in the Land of Nod, where it seems to be implied that Nod was the Name of that place which he went to,

and that it was named so before he went to it: whereas it is most probable that that Place was not call'd by that Name at that time, for it had its Name of Nod given to it from Cain's going thither, who was a \* Vagabond. So there is a plain Prolepsis or Anticipation in Gen. 14. 7. They smote all the Country of the Amalekites, tho at that time there were no Amalekites, and therefore the Country could not be named from them. In 1 Sam. 4.1.

it is said Israel pitched beside Eben-ezer, but there was no place of that Name then, for you will find it given afterwards. Those words in Gen. 29. 20. Jacob served seven Years for Rachel, are spoken Proleptically, not as if he did not marry Rachel before the seven Years were expired. We read in Gen. 35. 19. and ch. 48. v. 7. that Rachel was buried in Retblehem, but it is well known that that was not the Name of the Place till after Moses. So Hebron and some other Names of Cities are mention'd in · the

the Pentateuch, and yet the Names were not given till after Moses's Death. In Jos. 4. 19. the Israelites are said to encamp in Gilgal, but that place is called so by Anticipation, for it had that Name afterwards, ch. 5. v. 9. And other Instances there are of this nature in the Book of Joshuah; the Names of several places mentioned in it are of a later Date than Joshuah's time. The Jews conjecture is very probable that Ezra after the Captivity, (when he collected the several parts of the Bible, and set them in order) lest out some of the antient Names of Places, and inserted some modern ones; that is, he added the Names of Places which were unknown, and not used in the days of Moses or of others who writ those Books: whence it is that we now read of the Names of Places which were not given at that time when they are mentioned, but are only by way of Anticipation in-

ferted into the History. Near of kin to this is Hysterosis, another Usual

Figure in Scripture, which is when the proper and genuine Order of the Words is not kept. And this is observable either in some single Words and Verses, or in some Chapters. Of the former sort is Gen. 10. 1. where the Sons of Noah are reckoned in this order, Shem, Ham and Japheth; yet Japheth was the Eldest Brother. It is true Scaliger holds the very order of the Generation which this Verse fets down, and faith Shem was Noah's First-born, and Japheth his youngest. But 'tis generally agreed on by the Learned that this is not the right order: for first, the Septuagint expressy say Japheth was the Elder Brother of Shem, v. 21. Again, Josephus in his \* Jewish Antiquities reckons them thus,

<sup>\*</sup> Nad Gen. 4. 12. from Nud vagari, errare.

<sup>\*</sup> Lib. r. cap. 7.

Fapheth the eldest Son, Cham the next, and Shein the youngest of all. Moreover, according to the Chaldee Paraphrast, who is of good Repute, this is the true Order. Lastly, you will find it obferv'd in the following Parts of this Chapter; the Generations begin first with Japheth, then pass to Cham, and end with Shem. All which shews that there is a Transposition in the first Verse, and that the true ranking of them is not there kept. We read in Gen. 11. 26. that Terab begat Abram, Nahor, and Haran; but the naming of Abram first of the three Brethren, doth not prove that he was eldest; but there is some Ground to believe that he was not. And as the true Order of Words in some Verses is not always exact, so neither is the true Series of History observed in some Chapters. Thus in Gen. 2. after God's resting on the seventh Day, v. 1. you read of God's forming Man and Woman, v. 7. & 18. which was the Sixth Day's Work, and therefore according to the True Order of things should have been part of the Contents of the First Chapter. So the Division of the Earth, which is the Subiect of the 10th of Genefit, is set before the Confufion of Tongues, spoken of in the 11th Chapter, notwithstanding this was before that, and was the occasion of it. And some Instances of this Nature are in those Historical Books of Samuel, the Kings, and Chronicles. The feventh and eighth Chapters of Daniel are misplaced; they should of right have been inferted before, viz. immediately after the 4th Chapter; for they speak of what happened in Bellhazzar's time, although the foregoing Chapter relates what was done by Darius after Bellhazzar was flain, and the Kingdom of Babylon became his. And in many other Places of the Sacred Writings there is a Transposing of things, and sometimes

good that

that is placed first which was done last To which purpose the Hebrew Doctors have long fince pronounced, that there is neither Before nor After in the Law. A || late Author tells us that the Reason is, because the Books of the Pentateuch and fome others were written upon little Scrolls or Sheets of Paper, not so well fastned together as our Books now are, and fo the Order of these Scrolls was changed. But this is an upftart Invention of this Gentleman's Brain, and hath no Foundation but his own Fancy; for as he mistakes Paper for Parchment, (there being perhaps no fuch thing as the former in those Days) so he is mistaken in his Conceit about fastning those Parchment-Writings together. First, I say, he proceeds upon a wrong Foundati-

on, because he asserts the antientest Books of the Bible to have been written on Paper, whereas it doth not appear that this Invention is fo old: and on the other side, there are undeniable Proofs of the great Antiquity of Parchment, and that it was made use of for Books to write upon. That which hath occasioned some Learned Men (and 'tis likely our present Author, who is most justly rank?d in the Number of the Learned) to think otherwise, was that Passage in Pliny's \* Natural History, where he reports that Ptolomee Philadelph, King of Egypt, forbad the exporting of the Papyrus (of which Paper was made at that time) out of his Territories. Whereupon Eumenes, King of Pergamus, found out another way of making Paper, of the inmost Skins of Beafts, which was call'd Pergamena, because twas invented in Pergamus first. But this was a great Overlight of Pliny, for that was not

the first Use of them; they were much antienter than that time. - Diodorus the Sicilian tells us. that the Persian Annals were writ in Parchment; which is a great Proof of its being very Antient. Salmuth, in his Commentary upon || Pancirol, thinks the Antiquity of this Membrana is proved from Jovis diphthera, the Skin of the Goat that suckled Jupiter, in which the Antientest Memorials of things in the World were thought to be written. And out of Herodotus, the great Father of Hiltory, he hath a very considerable Quotation, who relates that some of the Old Grecians made use of the Skins of Goats and Sheep to write in; and therefore \* they call their Books Skins. And he adds, that (1-) many of the Barbarians write in such Skins. Now we know who they were that the Pagans used to call Barbarians, viz. the Jews; and therefore it is probable these are meant here. It may have relation to their writing the Books of the Old Testament in Parchment. But if This, concerning the particular Reference of these Words to the Jews, be a Conjecture only, yet the other things which have been suggested, are a clear and evident Proof of the Antient Use of the Membrana, and we have no reason to question that the Bible it self was written in it. That it was fo, we learn from Josephus. who assures us, that Eleazar the High Priest sent away the 72 Elders or Interpreters to Ptolomee, with the Bible written in fine Parchment; and he tells us in (||) the same Place, (which is very remarkable, and to our purpose) that King Ptolomee was aftonished to see the Parchments so fine and delicate, and to observe the whole Form of them so exactly joined together,

ther, that no one could possibly discern where the Seams were. From which Testimony of this Learned Jew it is evident, that there was Parchment found out and used in Writing before the time that Pliny talks of, i. e. before Eumenes's time. And as for this Eumenes. (who is by some Writers also call'd Attalus, for it appears plainly that 'tis the same Man, the same King of Pergamus) he was not the Person that invented it, nor was it in his time invented; he only procured a great Quantity of it to be made, and so it became common in Greece and Asia: whence fome (and Pliny among the rest) thought he was the first Inventer of it. This was the Rise of the Mistake: But the Truth of the Matter is this. (which the Learnedest Men now agree to) that Parchments which were made of Sheepskins dress'd. were long before the Emulation between Ptolomee and Eumenes, (who both at the same time were ambitious to procure an Universal Library;) but when this Quarrel arose, Ptolomee forbad Paper to be sent out of Egypt, whereupon Eumenes caused Parchments to be made in greater Abundance than before, that so there might be no need of the Paper. Again, 'tis evident from this Testimony of Josephus, that the Books of the Old Testament were written in Parchment. And seeing we have proved that Parchment was long before, it is credible that the Bible was copied out at first into it. That Proverbial Saying, 'Aexaioπega διφ. Hogs, shews the great Antiquity of this fort of Writing-materials; for διφθέρα is Membrana, and it is also a Book made of the same, which they of old used to write in. I might take notice of the antient Practice of the Jews, viz. their wearing of Phylacteries, which were pieces of Scrolls of Parchment, whereon they wrote some part of the Law, and bound it to their Heads

<sup>†</sup> Lib. 2. || De Chartà. \* Tès βίβλυς διφθέσες γελύυσι.
In Terpfichore. (†) Πολλοί τ βαρβάζων ες πιάντας διφθέσες γερίφυσι. (||) Ansiq. Jud. 1, 12. C. 2.

were

Heads and Hands: whence we may probably gather, that the Books of the Old Testament were first of all Parchmens-Writings; for the Jews were Arich Observers as well as Admirers of Antiquity, and therefore their writing some Sentences of the Law in Parchments shews that the Bible it self. from whence they were taken, had been usually and of old transcribed into those very Materials. Much more might be faid, but I will only add, that the Jews Rolling up their Sacred Writings (whence their Books were call'd Megilloth, Volumina) is a plain Argument that they were not composed of Exyptian Paper, which was thin and weak, and confequently was not capable of this Rolling. But a Long and Broad Skin or Parchment would endure this without tearing, and therefore it is not to be doubted that this was made use of. The Sense of which (besides the common Report and Notion among the Jews) caused the Famous Rabbi Jonasban to fay in his Targum on Deut. 31. 24. that Moses writ the Law upon Parchment: Which shews, that it was the Opinion of the Learned Jews, that the Bible was originally written in Parchment, not on Paper. And the Talmud often mentions this Parchment-Writing as a known thing. It is rational then to believe and affert, that these Holy Records were written in Parchment: and though we are informed from sufficient Authors, that other Materials of old were used, as the Egyptian Papyrus, Leaves, as also the Inward Bark or Coat of Trees, &c. when they wrote but few Words, yet Parchment was the old and usual Matter on which they wrote when they had occasion to compose a whole Book: which confutes F. Simon's Notion, that the Old Testament was written in Paper; which, upon ferious Reflection, fo fearching a Per-

Egypt in that Monarch's Reign; and that before that time they wrote upon Leaves of Trees, on Wax, &c. Then in the next Place, it were easy to disprove this Ingenious Author's Conceit about the fastning, or, rather as he would have it, the not fastning of these Parchments together, whence he fancies it was that the Transpolition and Misplacing of some Parts of the Bible happened. He tells us, that heretofore they wrote upon Sheets or Leaves rolled together one over another, round a piece of Wood: and these being not well joined together, there was fometimes a misplacing of what was written in them, because their Order was altered. This may be partly true, and I cannot deny that it so happened sometimes, that is, when there was no Care taken to fow, or other ways to fasten the Leaves or Sheets to the Stick of Wood about which they were rolled, or to one another. But it was not so in the present Case; for you may be sure that they took all the Care imaginable to secure the Order of the Sheets, and they were not destitute of a particular way of doing it; fo that their Books were sufficiently fastned. But if he means that they were not bound as our Books are now adays, then his new Discovery is only this, that the Trade of Bookbinding was not fet up in Moses or Ezra's Days. Or, if he means that the written Sheets and Scrolls

he knows that Charta, Writing-Paper was not ge-

nerally used till Alexander the Great's time, as

\*Pliny himself acknowledges, who quotes Varro for

this, that the first use of Paper, made of the Cor-

tex of the Egyptian Papyrus, was found out in

fon

<sup>\*</sup> Nat. Hift. 1, 13. c. 11.

were loofe, and not well tack'd together, he wilfully speaks against his own knowledg of this Matter; for he knows very well that the Jews wrote in Rolls or continued Sheets or Skins, which were not liable to be separated, as our Writings are now. He is Antiquary enough to confute himself from what he hath read concerning their manner of making their Books or Volumes, their fixing the Sheets of Parchment at one end, by fowing or fastning the first Sheet between two Sticks or Pieces of Wood, their joining the several Sheets together, (as appears from the forecited Testimony of the Jewish Historian, who saith, the Parchments in which the Bible was written, were fo closely and firmly joined together, that 'twas not possible to discern the Seams or Places where they were joined) their Rolling them up close, and their keeping them in safe Repositories, (for they had places on purpose for all Valuable Books) fo that it was not likely, yea scarcely possible, that any of these Scrolls or Sheets (which were not little ones, as he suggests, but of a considerable size) should be put out of their places, much less lost: for he goes fo far as to asfert, that many of these Scrolls were embezzel'd and lost, and thence the Scriptures of the Old Testament are so maimed and imperfect. But we know the Man and his Design, which is to depretiate and vilify the Scriptures, thereby to advance the Credit of Tradition, and by that means to exalt the Church of Rome (though this is not fo forward to exalt him): This was it which made him give us this Specimen of his Wit and Invention (of which, it must be confessed, he hath no small Stock); this made him attempt by these Paper-Proofs to lessen the Authority of the Bible: Otherwise it is certain this Parifian Critick is a Person of great Worth and

and Learning; and it is his fingular Commendati. on that he is no Furious Bigot, but is Moderate and Discreet in many things, and is one that dores not on the Opinions and Affertions of the Catholick Doctors. But if you would know the true Reason or Occasion of that Transposition which you sometimes meet with in the Holy Writings, not only of the Old but New Testament, it is chiefly this, as I conceive; The Holy Writers study not Exactines, they are more intent upon the Thing and Matter which they write, than upon the due Order and Marshalling of it: they are not Nice and Accurate in giving every Occurrence or Event its right Place; whence it is that you meet with some things in these Writings that are transposed and out of Order: and it is left to the Diligent and Inquisitive Reader to amend and reform those Dislocations. Those who would fee farther Reasons of that frequent Metathesis and Misplacing which are in the Sacred Books, may confult the Learned Dr. Light2 foot in his Chronicle of the Times of the Old Testas ment.

## CHAP. IV.

There are not only Grammatical but Rhetorical Figures in the Sacred Volume. The Pfalmist's Words, Pfal. 120. 5. are Hyperbolical, though not generally interpreted to be such. So are our Saviour's Words, Matth. 13.32. though commonly expounded otherwise. Luke 19. 44. rejected from being Hyperbolical. John 21. 25. proved to be an Hyperbole. This way of speaking in Scripture is no Lie. Ironies are frequent in this Holy Book, of which foveral Examples are produced. Luke 22. 36: is shew'd to be of this sort. And so is Acts 23. 5. I wish not that he was the High Priest. This manner of speaking is not unworthy of the Sacred Penmen:" Synecdoches frequent in Scripture; proved from several Instances; Metaphors also common. So-. lomon's Metaphorical Description of Old Age in Eccles. 12. expounded in all its Parts.

Here are not only Grammatical but Rhetorical Figures in this Sacred Volume, the chief of which I will briefly speak of, not to fay that I have mentioned fome of them already. And though (as I faid of the former) they have been observed by several Writers, yet one Reason why I mention them here is, because I shall have occasion to reduce some Texts to these Figures which have not been to interpreted by other Authors. First, Hyperboles are not unusual in these Holy Writings; these are such Speeches as seem to surpass the bare Truth, either by augmenting or diminishing it. Thus a Great Caldron, one of the Vessels of the Tem-

ple that held a vast Quantity of Water, is call'd a Sea, a molten Sea, 1 Kings 7. 23. a brazen Sea, 2 Kings. 25. 13. It is faid, that the Cities were walled up to Heaven, Deut. 1. 28. and that Solomon made. Silver in Jerusalem as Stones, 1 Kings 10. 27. and that at his being anointed King the People rejoiced with great Joy, so that the Earth rent with the Sound of them, I Kings 1. 46. Upon which Places, and some others, the Jews found that Saying of theirs, The Law sometimes speaks Hyperbolically. The Description of Behemoth is full of this fort of Language; He moveth his Tail like a Cedar; his Bones! are as strong pieces of Brass, and Bars of Iron; he drinketh up a River, he trusteth that he can draw up Jordan into his Mouth, Job 40. 17, &c. Xerxes's Army was faid \* to drink whole Rivers dry, in that Hyperbolical Sense in which this is spoken of Rehemoth: which proves what I have afferted, that the Scripture symbolizeth with other Writers, or rather they with it. The like Hyperbolical Description you have of the Leviathan, Job 41.18, to the end. And fuch is that of the Locusts, Joel 2. 2-12. all which is indeed one Continued Hyperbole, wherein he elegantly and pathetically describes them as a well-formed Army, as Virgil in his Georgicks loftily doth the Ants, It nigrum campis agmen. So all is Poetical and Hyperbolical in Pfal. 18. 7-16. As for Pfal. 120. 5. We is me that I sojourn in Mesech, and dwell in the Tents of Kedar; few Expositors take it to be of this kind. Because Mefech lignifies protracting or prolonging, some interpret the first Clause thus, I have a LONG time dwelt: and because Kedar signisses Blackness, they

ple

<sup>\*</sup> Diodor. Sic. l. 11. Juvenal. Sat. 10.

of the Holy Scriptures. diab, ver.4. Though thou fet thy Nest among the Stars,

understand it of the Sadness of his Condition. Qthers would translate the pious King to those Places and Countries which bear the Name of Mesech and Kedar, thinking that he was for some time confined to those Places. And there are other Conjectures about the Words, but the true Import of them in my. Apprehention is this; David being banished from home, expresseth it as if he were among the barbarous Scythians, as if he were in the wild Defarts of Arabia. Or, if you take Mesech and Kedar to be both of them in Arabia, (as some do) then still the Sense is the same; I sojourn, I dwell, I inhabit among the inhospitable People of Arabia, call'd Scenitæ, because they lived in Tents, or in that part of the Wilderness where the Israelites pitch d in Tents when they travell'd to the Land of Canaan: There is my Abode at prefent, I am no longer one of Judea. This is an Hyperbolical Speech to fet forth the Nature of those Inhumane and Malicious People into whose Hands he was fallen, and with whom he was forced to converse at that time. To this fort of Speech we may refer Psal. 97. 5. The Hills melted like Wax. Isa. 34. 3. The Mountains shall be melted with their Blood. Ezek. 32. 6. I will water with thy Blood, &c. I will make the Blood of the flain so abundant, that it shall reach up to the very Mountains, and all the Rivers shall be fill'd with Blood; which is to be look'd upon as an Hyperbolical Description of Egypt's Destruction. So Ezek. 39. 9, 10. [They shall burn the Weapons with Fire seven Years; so that they shall take no Wood out of the Field, nor cut down any out of the Forests] is an elevated Strain of speaking, to express the Multitude of the Weapons and Spoils taken from the Enemy, and the vast Slaughter of them. At the first View those Words in Obadiab\_

must be acknowledged to be highly Hyperbolical. Neither is the New Testament without this kind of speaking; as to instance in Matth. 13. 32. which I grant is not reckoned by Writers among the Hyperboles of Scripture, but I appeal to the Learned, whether it ought not.' Of the Mustard-seed there in the Parable Christ saith, It is indeed the least of all Seeds; (for though mugóregov he the Greek Word, yet (as hath been noted before) it is here put for jungozu Tov, as is plain from its being joined with accorn, and so it is rightly rendred the least of all Seeds:) but this is not exactly true, for the \* Seeds of Sweet-marjoram and Wild Poppy are far less; and the Seeds of Tobacco are so small, that a thousand of them make not above one fingle Grain in Weight: but all must give place to the Seed of Moon-wort, which certainly is a Seed of the least size that is. And f another reckons among the smallest Seeds of Plants those of Reedmace, and of Harts-tongue, and of some sorts of Mosses and Ferns. And of these latter I have read that some of them are so small, that they cannot be seen without the Help of a Microscope. But our Saviour, to fet forth and magnify the wonderful Power of the Word of God, and the Increasing and Spreading of his Kingdom, though from very small Beginnings, compares them to a Grain of Mustard-seed; and by a Lessening Hyperbole calls this the Least of all Seeds, though in exact speaking it be not so. But if this way of interpreting Christ's Words, which I now offer, be not approved of, then you may expound them thus, that this Seed is one of the least of all Seeds; or you may understand

<sup>\*</sup> Dr. Power. + Mr. Ray's Wifdom of God in the Creation, p. 200.

thus; The World, that is, the Men of the World could

not contain, that is, conceive, comprehend and digest

the Books that should be written concerning our Saviour's

Deeds. Their Understandings are weak, and must

needs have been oppressed with so many Books on that Subject. So zween, the word here used, is to

be taken in Matth. 19.11. All Men cannot receive

(or contain) this Saying: and in this Sense it is used

by Philo, who, speaking of the Knowledg of the Nature of God, and how unsearchable it is, saith,

that if neither Heaven nor Earth are able to contain, i.e. to comprehend it. But a || modern Critick

thinks: paper here fignifies to entertain and approve

of; and accordingly his Gloss on the Words is this,

The whole World would scorn, reject and slight all the

Books which should be writ of Christ, it having despised

these that are already writ. The World hath other Em-

ployment, it would not read and peruse such Writings.

This feems to be the meaning of the Verb in 2 Cor.

7. 2. Apphonen hudle, receive, entertain, approve of

them spoken Respectively, that is, it is the Least of all fuch Seeds as extend to large Productions; no Seed so little sendeth forth Branches so wide, or bringeth forth its Fruit after that plentiful manner. Thus you may understand the Words, but in my Judgment the resolving them into an Hyperbole is the best way, though it be not made use of by Expositors. And how indeed could it, when they took the Seed of Mustard to be Absolutely the least of all Grains what soever? That of our Saviour in Luke 19. 44. They shall not leave in thee one Stone upon another; which is spoken of the Last and Final Devestation of Jerusalem, is generally supposed to be an Hyperbolical Expression, and consequently not true in Strictness of Speech; for can we think, lay fome, that the Roman Armies had nothing elfe to do but to pick out all the Stones in the Foundations, and throw, them away? Those who talk thus, do not remember what was done at feveral times towards the compleat and total Destruction of that Place. This Passage of our Blessed Lord seems to refer particularly and figually to the digging up the Joundations of the City and Temple, and the very ploughing up, the Ground by Titus's Command, (which the Jews themselves do not deny) and also to that Prodigious Earthquake in Julian's time, Whareby, the gamaining Parts of the Foundations were wholly broken up and feattered abroad. Here was an Exact fulfilling of Christ's Prediction without any Hyperbole. As for that Glole of St. John's Gospely Even the World it self could not contain the Books that Sould be written, chap. 21, 25 .. , \* Eufabius and St. Augustin 30. with land of all Seed and con may in an dam.

us. And |||| Dionyf. Halicarn. uses the word thus, faying, πολιν & χωρείν άνδι ρας άγαθες, the City admits not of, i.e. fcorns good Men. But though this and the other be the meaning of the Word fometimes, yet it is very Rare and Unufual: besides that it is Improper and Metaphorical; and in fuch a case it is more reasonable to choose and imbrace that Sense of the Word which is common and usual, as also genuine and proper; and then the meaning is, that the World, as capacious and wide as it is, is not able to hold or contain all the Books that might have been written concerning : m nat! Tremellius. + 'Ous' o ou unas seaves Te a upouto Junitariones De Monarch I. I. Heins Exercit. Sacr. 1 Lab. 8.

אי י Ouk אין אינט אַנופָפּוֹץ סוֹסְׁבּ דוּ דוֹן יוֹפֹּ אַפִנּבּ אַ אַנוֹפָסָסְטִי אַ אַנּבּעלְפָבּ דִינִי A Lagrandan & manus arben nor Blow . Eccl. Hillow 1. C. S.

Christ and his Works. But this cannot be the Sense here, you will fay, because then our Saviour's Words would not be true; for the World is able, is wide enough to contain, to hold those Books, and many more belides. I answer, I grant this to be true in the strict way of speaking, but the Evangelist St. John had a mind to conclude his Book with some Great Word concerning his Dear Mafter and Saviour, and therefore expresseth himself thus in a High and Hyperbolical manner, The World it felf could not contain the Books that should be written of him. As if he had faid, Though I and others have recorded the Sayings and Doings of the Blessed Jesus, yet this is nothing in comparison of what might be said on this vast Subject. There is unspeakably much more remaining than hath been told you. What he said and did was so Great and to Admirable, that Innumerable Volumes might he filled with enlarging on that copious Matter. may fay to you, the Whole World, as wide and ample as it is, is not able to contain those Immense Treatifes, those Infinite Discourses which might be written in relating all the Passages that concern'd our Blessed Lord, and in commendation of them. Observe it, the Evangelist saith, the World it felf, i. e. this Material Local World, therefore it cann't be understood of the Men of the World, as those of the former Opinions fancied. Besides, it is observable that he speaks not Absolutely here, but in a Qualified Manner; I suppose, I think, I conceive the World it self cannot contain, &c. which plainly shews that the Words cannot be meant in the former Senses. For what Sense can you make of this; I suppose, I think that all the Men in the World cannot comprehend the Books which should be written; or, I suppose all the Men in the World cannot enter-

entertain and approve of them? Whether he supposed it or not, it would be fo: and this is a thing not to be supposed, but really believed and directly afferted, if it be true. But if you admit of the plain Sense of the Words, which I have propounded, then his supposing may be very pertinent and confiftent here; for it is but a kind of a Supposition, not an Exact and Strict Truth which he here uttereth: it is a Lofty Strain or Hyperbole, which he shuts up his Gospel with; I think in a manner, faith he, that the Whole World it felf cannot contain the Books that might be composed and written on this Glorious Theme, which is so Various, so Voluminous. Thus you fee the Words must be understood in this way, for the others are not reconcilable to good Sense. And indeed this manner of Stile is but parallel with other Passages in Scripture, as Gen. 13. 6. The Land was not able to bear them, viz. Lot and Abraham, and their Flocks; which expresses how exceeding Numerous they were. 80 some understand Luke 2. 1. There went out a Decree that all the World should be taxed: which fets forth the Largeness and Vast Extent of the Emperor's Dominions; not that all the World (strictly speaking) was to be tax'd, for twas not all in his Power. It was faid of our Saviour, The World is gone after him, John 12. 19. which only expresses the Vast Numbers of People that flock'd to him wherefoever he went. Such is the Stile here, The World it self cannot contain, &c. The Evangelists and Apostles must in a manner have filled the World with their Writings concerning Christ; the Books would have been fo Numerous, that even the Whole World could scarcely have held them, that is, in plainer terms, there must have been an Incredible Number of Books to have con-

tain'd, all those Matters. There are, many other Instances of this Hyperbolical Manner of speaking in the Holy Writings, but my Design is only to give you a Taste of these and the like Figurative Expressions, in order to your being better acquainted with the Stile of Scripture. There is a | Learned Modern Divine, who thinks there is no fuch thing as an Hyperbole in Scripture; he will by no means grant that this way of speaking is to be found in the Sacred Writings, becapse it is a kind of Lie. But all that is to be faid in answer to him, is this, that it is impossible to give any other Account of some of the forenamed Instances, and several others, than by refolving them into an Hyperbole; which is no Lie, nor a kind of one, because it is not contrary to the Mind of him that speaks it, nor is it spoken to impose upon them that hear it. Yet it is to be granted, that there is a Moderation to be observed by us, as there is in Scripture, in using this fort of speaking. You meet with but few Hyperboles in the Holy Writers; and as they are rarely and sparingly used, so it is done in a sit and convenient Subject; and where there is no likelihood of their degenerating into a Lie; and where the Story or other subject Matter is not thereby fallly misrepresented. But it is otherwise where Writens immoderately affect an Hyperbolick Strain. for they make use of it in Matters where it is not fit to be used, and where the Truth and Reality of the Subject are endangered, and where it administers to Falshood ... Thus it is, in the Poems of that Historical Post Lucan, who is a Prodigious and Unfufferable Hyperbolizer, And thus it, is in Monfigur Balfac: An Extrayagant, Hyperbole, goes all some deal mode, on a condity of paralle along THOU I AS OF THE PARTY TO THE WAY OF THE WAY OF THE PARTY 1: || Paræus in Comment. in Epist. ad Roman. & Corinth.

Of the STILE

along through his Letters, though to the Greatest Persons, and Men of profess'd Gravity. A great Fault certainly it is in those Ingenious Pieces of his. But there is no fuch thing in the Sacred Writings, there is nothing there Romantick and Extravagant; the Hyperbole is feldom used, and when it is, it is Modest and Becoming, Fit and Convenient, and doth not in the least administer to Levity, or impair and endamage the Truth.

Again; in this Holy Book, as well as in Other Writings, there is that fort of Speaking which is call'd an Irony, i. c. when fomething is faid in way of Derision or Scoff, contrary to what is meant; as in that commonly observed Place, Gen. 3. 22. Behold! the Man is become as one of us, to know Good and Evil: which refers to Satan's Words to Adam, Te shall be as Gods, knowing Good and Evil, ver. 5. And so Man is here upbraided with his Belief of the Devil before the God of Truth. Look you now, is not Man become a God? Yes, this mightily appears indeed from what hath befallen him; he hath lost the Divine Image wherein he was created, and is become a Wretched Sinner and Apostate. Is not this Creature then become as one of us? or, now \* he hath been as one of us; he hath already experienced what it is to be like God: Hath he not? Thus he is justly derided for his wilful Folly by the Sacred Trinity. And if they think fit to speak after this manner, it will not unbecome the Sons of Men. This Ironical way of speaking you meet with in 1 Kings: 18. 27. Cry aloud, for be (that is, Badi) is a God: either he is talking, or he is put-Juing, or be is on a Journey, or peradventure he fleepeth; and must be awaked. Thus the Prophet Elijah

<sup>\*</sup> Hajab, fuit.

mocks those deluded Priests of Baal, he makes himfelf pleasant with them. Even Grave and Austere Elijab laughs at the Baalites invoking of a Deaf Deity: he plays upon their ferious but idolatrous Devotion. Whence I gather, that it is not light and unbecoming to scoff at Superstition, and jeer Idolatry. Those Words of the Prophet Micaiab to King Abab, 1 Kings 22. 15. Go and prosper, are a plain Ironical Concession. In this Sense those Words are to be understood, Job 5. 1. Call now, if there be any that will answer thee: and to which of the Saints wilt thou turn? And chap. 12. 2. No doubt but ye are the People, and Wisdom shall die with you. And that of Solomon to the Youthful Sinner, Rejoice, Q young Man, in thy Youth, &c. Eccles. 11.9, Which manner of speaking is more particularly suted here to the Humour and Genius of the Young Man, whose Fashion is immoderately to scoff, and to entertain himself and others with Pleasantry and Drollery, But that he might see that this was intended as a Rebuke to him, and that he might be fure that Solomon was ferious and in good earnest, notwithstanding this way of speaking, 'tis added in the Close of the Verse. Know that for all these things God will bring thee to Judgment: And he that conliders that will have no Reason to rejoice, i.e. to be loose and inordinate in his Mirth, but rather to be fober and retired, and to be preparing for ludg, ment, and to set about so great a Task betimes, and not fondly presume on Health, and Length of Days. No Man need question whether those Words of Isaiah, ch. 8. 9. Associate your selves, Q ye People, he not spoken Ironically: (which are parallel with Joel 3. 11. Affemble your felves, and come all ye Heathen, and gather your selves round about, &c.) And those in Isa. 50. 11. Walk in the Light of

your Fire, and in the Sparks that you have kindled, i. e. trust in those things that cannot help you, Sparke that give a short Light, and soon vanish. That is a terrible Biting Taunt in Jer. 22, 23. How gracious Shalt thou be when Pangs come upon thee, the Pain as of a Woman in Travail? And so is that other, Lam. 4. 21: Rejoice and be glad, O Daughter of Edom, the Cup (viz. of Vengeance) shall pass through to there. Who doubts whether Ezek. 20. 39. be not Sarcastical? Thus faith the Lord God, Go ye, serve ye every one bis Idols. The like Command we read in Amos 4.4.4. Come to Bethel and transgress, at Gilgal multiply Transgression, &c. That also in Mic. 5.1. must be reckon'd as spoken ironically; Now gather thy self in Troops, O Daughter of Troops, &c. i.e. O Allyrians, come and do your worst, with your joint Forces invade us, and most severely treat our Prince and People; yea, by all means destroy, extirpate, and even annihilate the Church of God: whereas the Prophet, who speaks this, intimates in the whole Chapter afterwards, that the Church shall flourish, and that it shall be impossible for its Enemies to do it harm. So that in Nab. 3. 14. Draw thee Waters for the Siege, fortify thy strong Holds, is said in way of Derisson to Niniveb, whose unavoidable Ruine is foretold in that Chapter.

And belides many such Sarcasms in the Old Tethament, there are several in the New, as that of our Blessed Lord to his drowzy Disciples, Sleep on now, and take your Rest, Matth. 26. 45. This is a downright Irony, because Christ here intends a different thing, nay contrary to what he saith: His meaning is, not that they should sleep, when both he and they were in so great Danger, but his Intention rather was, that they should watch and pray, as you read, ver. 41. By this way of speaking he corrects

was,

corrects them for their unseasonable Drowlines, that they could not watch at fuch a time as that, when he had just before foretold them that he was to be betrayed. That is another clear Text, Full well (xαλωs, fairly, as Sir Nort. Knatchbull renders it) ye reject the Commandment of God, faith our Saviour to the Pharifees, Mark 7. 9. Ye do very well and laudably in preferring the Traditions and Constitutions of Men before the express Commands of God. This is a commendable piece of Religion indeed: Is it not? Do you think that this will be acceptable to God? There is another Passage of our Saviour which feems to me to be perfectly Ironical, though Commentators generally carry the Sense another way: But now (faith Christ) be that bath a Purse, let him take it, and likewise his Scrip: and be that hath no Sword, let him sell his Garment, and buy one, Luke 22. 36. Which is thought by Expositors to be a plain and direct Exhortation to the Apoftles to furnish themselves with Money, Provision, and Swords. But this cannot be meant here, because, 1. Christ had declared against Fighting, for he tells Pilate, (John 18. 36.) that if his Kingdom were of this World, then would his Servants (i.e. his Apostles and Disciples) fight, that he should not be delivered to the Jews. Swords then were to no purpose. 2. When they brought two Swords to him, his Answer is observable, It is enough. If he had meant real Swords, he would not have faid that two of them were enough, for those could serve but two Men: They should all of them be appointed with that fort of Weapons, and have stood on their Guard. When therefore he faith, It is enough, he doth as good as fay, I do not mean Carnal Weapons: You mistake me, as you have often done, and dream of a Temporal Kingdom of the Messias.

g. It is evident that Christ meant not Swords in the usual Signification of the Word, because afterwards he sharply blamed Peter for making use of this Weapon, Matth. 26. 52. It appears that he had no Commission from our Saviour to draw his Sword. I cannot therefore subscribe to those who interpret these Words of our Lord in the direct and obvious Sense. But if we understand them to be spoken Ironically, they are very intelligible, and are consistent with what Christ saith at other times. And let no Man wonder that our Blessed Master uses this fort of Stile here, for I have shewed you before, in two undeniable Instances, that he made use of it, yea even when he was approaching to Death, as when he faid to his Apostles, Sleep on, and take your Rest. And so he speaks after the lame manner here, upbraiding his Apostles, who he knew were afraid of Suffering, and had fo often been talking of Christ's Kingdom on Earth, and of the Prosperous Times that were to accompany it. He now in a Sarcastick way chastises their fond and groundless Conceit, and bids them go and buy Swords, and lay in Provisions. If you are for a Temporal Reign, faith he, then fight for it. You are specially well skill'd in your Weapons without doubt, you are excellent Sword-men. This I take to be the Sense of the Words: and truly a Man might gather it from that one Passage before mentioned; It is enough, faid our Saviour to them, when they brought him a couple of Swords. This it self is an Ironick Quip; it is as if he had said, This is brave Armour indeed! Now you are well appointed furely. You are like to defend me and your selves against all that come against us. Two Swords amongst you all are a very great Armory. This plainly shews what our Saviour's meaning

120

was, when he bid them buy Swords, he handsomly check'd them for their Cowardice and Fear of Suffering: But yet I will not deny that something more may be included and comprized in these Words: he bids them make the best Provision they can against the Calamitous Times that were coming: he exhorts them to be provided with Spiritual Weapons, Faith and Patience, and the Sword of the Spirit, yea with the Whole Armour of God. This higher and spiritual meaning may be contained in what Christ here uttereth. But if you take the Words as they sound, and in the more direct and literal Tendency of them, I do not see that they can be interpreted in a better way than I have offered.

And as our Bleffed Saviour himfelf, fo the Apos ftle St. Paul sometimes uses this Figure which I am how speaking of. I am enclined to think that those Words in Acts 23. 5. I wish not that be was the High Priest, are to be taken in this Sense: He makes use of an Irony, and is to be understood as if he had said, Is this the High Priest? Alas, I did not know that this was that Reverend Gentleman. should have shewed my self more civil to him, if I had been acquainted that this was that Worshipful Man, that Gay Pontiff to whom you pay so great Veneration: But who would take this Person to be the High Priest, the Great Leading Officer of the Church, who is to be an Example of Mildness and Gentleness to all Men? His furious way of speaking and acting towards me, doth not discover him to be one of that High Character and Order: He doth not shew himself to be a Spiritual Man: Surely this cannot be He: This Behaviour speaks him to be another Person. So it is spoken in a jeering way. Nor is this Sense of the Words (as figne May

may think) too light and jocular for the Apostle, though he was before the Sanhedrim, the most Grave and Solemn Council of that Nation: For in several of the Instances before mentioned, we see this way of speaking hath been made use of before very Great and Venerable Persons, and in Causes that were exceeding Serious and Weighty. And whereas the Apostle immediately adds, For it is pritten, Thou shalt not speak Evil of the Ruler of thy People; which may make it feem incredible that St. Paul Spoke in a Sarcastick way, which is speaking one thing, and meaning another: for is it likely he would back this with a ferious Text of Scripture? I answer, It is likely, for hereby he lets them see, that there is Substantial and Real Truth at the bottom of this Sarcasin. He lets them know, that he is very Grave and in good earnest whilst he speaks to them after an Ironick rate: You are, faith he, very well vers'd in Scripture, I know; you are ready to quote that Place against me in Exodus, Thou Shalt not speak Evil of the Ruler of the People. This it is, Sirs, to be so well skill'd in the Law, you cannot but be very Good People certainly, and particularly you must be very Obedient to your Rulers, and are never heard to use any irreverent Language towards them. It is therefore an unpardonable Crime in me that I call'd your High Priest (your Painted Piece of Justice) a Whited Wall. Yea, 'tis an unfufferable Fault not to know that this Person (among all those that sit on this Reverend Bench) was the High Priest, especially when there are two of them at a time. O! by all means every Man and Woman is bound to know that this individual Person is the Jewish Pope, the Supreme and Infallible Head of your Church What a dull ignorant Creature was I that I wist not this? that I should not

not know that this was the Prince of this Reverend Senate, even this Worthy Gentleman, this Simoniacal Merchant that bought his Place of the Roman Governour? How should I understand that this Person is my Judg at this time? This, I conceive, may be the meaning of the Apostle's Words: he prudently orders them, and jirks his Adversaries, but with Safety to himself. And this Ironical way scems the rather to be that which the Apostle here chooseth, because you presently find (in the next Verses) that he pursues this prudential way of speaking, and cries out in the Council, Men and Brethren, I am a Pharisee, though he was none at that time; only he held the Doctrine of the Resurrection, which the Pharifees maintain'd, and so might be faid to be of that Sect, if of any. But there is an Ironical Strain in it, and so his Discourse is all of a piece. This is the Apprehension which I have of these Words, but I am not very forward to urge it upon any; only I will fay this, that I had not pitch'd upon this Interpretation, if some of those that are usually propounded had not displeased me. This Sense of the Words is certainly preferable to that of Oecumenius, who tells us in plain terms, that the Apostle \* dissembled. And St. Jerom blames him for his Conduct in this Business. Nor is there any Ground (so far as I see) for Dr. Lightfoot's Account of these Words, viz. that the meaning of them is either, 1. That St. Paul owns not Ananias for a lawful High Priest. Or, 2. He owns not any lawful High Priesthood now, Ananias being an Usurper, getting the Place by Money and Fraud. For though all this is true, yet it is utterly inconsistent with what follows; for it is written,

written, Thou shalt not speak Evil of, &c. where there is an Acknowledgment of his being the Ruler of the People. Besides, I wist not, and I own him not to be the High Priest, are two different things: So that this cannot be the right Import of the Words. Others therefore say, the Apostle is to be understood in the most plain and obvious Signification, viz. that he really knew not that Ananias was the High Priest, because it is probable, say they, this Great Man appear'd not at that time in his Pontifical Habit, coming to the Council perhaps in haste, which might incline the Apostle to think it was not He who fat there to judg him. But no Man can prove that the High Priest came to the Sanhedrim in halte, or that he was not in his Robes proper to his Office; and therefore this Answer is not satisfactory. But they tell us, that in those Days there were two High Priests, one bought the Place, and the other executed the Office; therefore it was no easy Matter to know which of the two was the High Priest indeed, which made St. Paul profess before the Council, that he wist not that the Person who commanded him to be finitten on the Mouth, was the High Priest. If he had known him (fay they) to be Him, he would not have spoken as he did of this Great Ruler of the People. But granting there were two High Priests at that time, yet it is likely that one (who executed the Office) was distinguish'd from the other in some manner that was easily discernible: So that St. Paul could not pretend he had no notice of him. However, St. Paul knew that this very Person who ordered him to be smitten, was one of his Judges, (for he expresly saith, that he sat there to judg him after the Law) and on that account was a Ruler, and confequently he was not to speak Evil of him, much less K 2

<sup>\*</sup> Hesomicita azvoiav. Comment. in Acts 23.5.

to curse him, for he was not to use Malediction towards any, as himfelf acknowledgeth, Rom. 12:14. This Interpretation therefore is not to be admitted. But if the Sense which I have before offered be disliked, then I know no other but this, that when St. Paul saith, be wist not that he was the High Brieft; the meaning is, that be remembred not, be considered not that he was such a Person, and so was unawares surprized and precipitated into Passion, and spoke unbecomingly of this Great Man. It was want of Confidering and Attending that hetrayed him to that passionate and unseemly Language: or, being moved and exasperated, he did not confider that he was before fo Great a Person, This is no improbable Interpretation, if you can be fure that there two Words, to know and to confider, are sometimes equivalent in the Stile of Scripture. But if you cannot fatisfy your selves as to this, I think you may fafely recur to the first Interpretation, and look upon St. Paul's Words as an Ironical Speech, especially if you consider that his Stile is very full of them.

Of the STILE

This I shall make good to you from feveral Infrances in his Epiftles; as that in 1 Cor. 111.6. If the Woman be not covered, let her also be shown: If she lays her Vail aside, and appears in the publick Asfemblies without a Covering, then I fay, let her also be shorn or shaved, let her Hair be cut close to the Skin, let her go like some of the Cropp'd Philosophers among the Stoicks. Not, that he would have her do so, but only by this Sarcastick way of speaking he signifies that one is as decent as the other. It is as diffraceful to be Uncovered as to be Shaved: for twas the landable Custom then in the Christian Churches for the Women to be vailed, and it was diffraceful and reproachfut for any

any/of that Sex to appear bare-fac'd in the time of Worship. Again, those Words in 2 Cor. 10. 12. We dare not make our selves of the Number, or compare our selves with some that commend themselves, &c. are spoken meerly in Derision of the False Apoftles and Teachers, who had gain'd upon the Corinthians and other Churches by their confident Boasting and vain Brags. I dare not presume, faith the Apostle, to think my self as worthy as they are, and so rank my self with these high-slown Teachers. Yet we know he commends himself in the beginning of the 11th Chapter; and again in ch.12. 11. which shews that these Words are said in an Ironical way. This is that which he feems to fay in ch. 11. 17, &c. That which I speak, I speak not after the Lord, but as it were foolishly in this Confidence of Boasting. Seeing many glory after the Flesh, (brag of their Parts and Attainments) I will glory also. For ye suffer Fools gladly, seeing ye your selves are wife. Which is all of the same biting Strain, and is as much as if he had faid, You that are fo great Admirers of the false Apostles, and are Men of fuch wife Heads, and of fo profound Capacities, I know it is below you to censure such a shallow Fool as I am, who cannot forbear prating of my Gifts and Abilities, of my great Feats and Exploits forfooth, which alas are nothing in comparison of what your famous Teachers and new Evangelists may glory in, and value themselves upon. But then in the following Verses he leaves off (and 'twas time to do so) this looser fort of Stile, and in a plain and close manner vindicates his Reputation and Dignity by vying with those bragging Impostors, Are they Hebrews? so am I, &c. That is a plain downright Irony in 2 Cor. 11. 4.

If he that cometh preacheth another Jesus, ye might well

K 3 (xanas,

Ridentem dicere verum

che,

(xahae, fairly and honestly) bear with him. As if he had faid, Yes indeed you Men of Corinth are a civil easy fort of People; if a new upstart Teacher should bring another Gospel to you, you would do very well to receive him and bid him welcome. Thus he in an Illusory kind of way rebukes their shameful Inconstancy and Levity. In the

fame Vein is that in 2 Cor. 12. 13. Forgive me this wrong. He had told them in the same Verse, that they were inferiour to no Churches in any thing, i. e. in any Privileges or Excellencies whatfoever, except it was in this, that he was not burdenfom to them; that is,

he put them to no Charges for his Preaching, he preach'd the Gospel gratis: For which great Wrong and Injury done to them he hopes, he faith, they will pardon him. A very smart and pleasant Irony. Thus it appears, that this Figurative way of Speech is frequent in the Holy Writings. Some perhaps would scarcely believe that there are so many Ironical Passages in this Holy Book; they may think it is below the Gravity of the Sacred Stile to use Expressions of this kind: But herein they are mistaken, for the Holy Spirit in the Scriptures uses feveral different ways of dealing with Mankind, and futes himself to the various Dispositions, Genius's and Inclinations of Men: and therefore among other ways of Address and Application he disdains not This in particular, because it may be made serviceable to very good Ends, and be fitted to the Purposes of Religion. Even in the Holy Tongue \* the same Word signisses to Deride and to Argue or Ratiocinate: Both these may go together when there is a fit occasion for them. A Man may

use his Rational and Risible Faculty at once.

1.34 ta 3 18 1.7 \* Lutz.

ful. Very excellent things may be suggested in a Scommatick way. For this Reason it is not unworthy of the Holy Ghost, it is not unbecoming the

A Man may laugh and speak Truth at the same

time. This Urbanity may fometimes be very use-

Gravity and Seriousness of the Holy Prophets, Apostles, and even Christ himself, to use this nipping fort of Raillery sometimes.

Quid vetat?

A Synecdoche is another common Figure in the Holy Writings, whereby the Whole is mentioned instead of a Part, and a Part instead of the Whole. Of the former, which is but rare, there are some Instances in Glassius, and such other Writers as treat of the Grammatical and Rhetorical Part of the Bible, which the Reader may consult if he please. Of the latter (which is most observable) there are various kinds, but it will be sufficient to mention these which follow. Sometimes the Soul, which is but one half of Man, is put for the whole Person: All the Souls that came with Jacob into Egypt were threescore and ten, Gen. 46. 26. i. e. so many Men and Women came with him: And there are abundant Examples of this fort, both in the Old and New Testament. Sometimes the other Moiety, the Rody, is expressive of the Whole Man, as Rom. 12. 1. Present your Bodies (i. e. your selves) a living Sacrifice. And Phil. 1. 20. Christ shall be magnified in my Body, i. e. by me, my whole Per-

fon. There is another Text which I will name, Luke 21. 34. wherein there is this kind of Synecdo-

K 4

Riden-

\* Horat. Serm. 1,

che, though I find not that it is observed by those that comment on it: Take beed to your selves lest at any time your Hearts be overcharg'd with Surfeiting and Drunkenness, and Cares of this Life; your Hearts, i. c. your selves. It must be meant of the Whole Man, Body and Soul, because not only Surfeiting and Drunkenness (which belong to the Body only) but Cares of this Life (which belong to the Soul and Mind) are expresly mentioned. Again, fome Parts of the World are mentioned for the whole, as in Zech. 8. 7. I will fave my People from the East Country, and from the West Country, i. e. from all Regions and Parts of the World. And in other Places two or three of the Cardinal Points stand for them all. To the Synecdochical way of speaking belongs the using of an Even Number for an Odd one; or a Round Number for one that is lesser or greater. So \* some think the Year of Jubilee is call'd the Fiftieth Year, Lev. 25. 10. meerly for the Evenness or Roundness of the Number, and not because full Fifty Years go to every Jubilee; for they hold that Forty nine Years make a Jubilee, or rather that the forty ninth Year is the Year of Jubilec. And truly it is adjusted to Reason and the Discovery we have concerning this Matter: for the Jubilee is the Great Sabbath of Years, and is composed of seven times feven Years, which is exactly forty nine, the last of which is the Jubilæan Year. Odd Numbers are not regarded fometimes. The Scripture is not fo minute and critical as always to reckon precisely. It is not unusual to omit a small Number of Years in a greater and bigger one. In Numb. 11.24. the Elders are said to be seventy, though two of the Number be wanting, as is plain from ver. 26. But others

\* Cunæus, Jac. Capellus, Beroaldus, &c.

others folve this by faying, the full Number of them was feventy two. It is recorded that the Persian King reigned over a hundred and twenty seven Provinces, Esth. 1.1. But in Dan. 6.1. the odd Number feven is omitted, and fo in other Places the imperfect Number is left out. Some Parts only of the Twelve Tribes are call'd the Twelve Tribes; Alls 26. 7. Our twelve Tribes instantly serving God Day and Night. And St. James directs his Epistle to the Twelve Tribes, whether there were that Number extant at that time or not. So a Round Number is used for an odd one in John 20. 24. where Thomas is call'd one of the Twelve: yet there were but Eleven Apostles then. But because the Number of the Apostles was twelve before Judas's Apostacy; and afterwards, when Matthias was chofen in his room, the Number was filled up, therefore they are call'd the Twelve by the Evangelist, but 'tis in a way of Synecdoche. He was Jeen of the twelve, faith St. Paul, I Cor. 15.5. Yet there were not above Eleven at that time. The true Account of this is, that the Greatest Part hath the Name of the Whole. And sometimes an Uneven Number is put for an Even one, as in Mark 16. 14. He appeared to the eleven, when there were but ten present, for Thomas was not there, and Judas had hang'd himself. Thus the strict Number of Perfons and Things is not made use of sometimes in Scripture. It was generally believed by the Antients, that the seventy Disciples mentioned Luke 10. 1, 17. were in strict speaking feventy two: and indeed some Greek Copies have it \* so, and the Vulgar Latin renders it + accordingly. So the famous Interpreters of the Old Testament were fe-

<sup>\*</sup> Еворинкорта во. † Septuaginta duo.

venty two, (six out of every Tribe) but are generally known by the Name of the Septuagint. This is not unfrequent in Profane Authors, and in our Common Discourse, as those that are call'd the Seven Stars are according to some Astronomers but Six. Yea, this was taken notice of long ago by \* Ovid:

Qua septem dici, sex tamen esse solent.

So we call them the Cinque Ports, which are more in Number; for the Privileg'd Ports in England were three at first; afterwards two were added, and then they were call'd the Cinque Ports. Yet after that, when two more were added, still they retain'd the former Name: nay, another was added, which made eight, and yet they are to this Day call'd the Cinque Ports. And several other Instances there are of this fort of Synecdoche, but my Design is not to enumerate all of them, nor of any Others that belong to the rest of the Figures, but only to give you some few Examples of them, that you may thence know how to difcern the rest, and by all together understand the Nature of the Scripture-Stile.

But of all the Figurative ways of speaking in Sripture, there is none so common as the Metaphorical one, which is when the Words are translated from their proper and genuine Signification to another. Thus you read of trusting in the Shadow (i.e. the Protection) of Egypt, Isa. 30. 3. Thus the Pfalmist complains that the Plowers plowed upon his Back, and made long their Furrows, Pfal. 129. 3. i. e. they exceedingly troubled, vex'd and plagued

\* In Fast.

him. So the Jews are call'd the threshing, and the Corn of the Floor, Isa. 21. 10. i.e. a People that had been extremely harassed and persecuted by their Enemies. And that is another Metaphorical Description of Affliction; Psal. 42.7. All thy Waves and thy Billows have gone over me. And a great Multitude of fuch like Expressions there are every where in the Old Testament. Sometimes there is a double Metaphor, as in Pfal. 97. 11. Light is fown for the Righteous. The Joy, the Comfort which is promised to Good Men, is here in a borrowed Stile called Light; and not only so, but Seed, precious Seed which is covered for a time, (hid under Ground) but shall in due Season sprout forth: and they that fow in Tears shall reap in Joy; which is still a farther Instance of this kind of speaking. Sometimes there is a Continued Metaphor, as in Hos. 10. 12, &c. Sow to your selves in Righteousness, reap in Mercy; break up your Fallowground: for it is time to seek the Lord, till he come and rain Righteoufness upon you. Ye have plowed Wickedness, ye have reaped Iniquity, ye have eaten the Fruit of Lies. Here is a Heap of Metaphors taken from the Field and Husbandry. Throughout the whole 23d Pfalm, the Metaphor of a Shepherd is carried on with relation to all the Particulars of his Pastoral Charge, as I may have occasion to shew at another time.

But at present I will choose to insist upon that excellent Description of Old Age which Solomon gives in the 12th Chapter of Ecclesiastes, and which is made up all along of an admirable Chain of Metaphors, This is that Time of a Man's Life which is rightly called his \* Evil Days, ver. 1. and that both in regard of his Mind and of his Body. The Wife

Man

Atas mala. Plaut.

Man here begins with the former, deciphering that black and diffinal State of Mariking by fuch Expressions as these, The Sun, and the Light, and the Moon, and the Stars are darkned, ver. 2. That Noble and Illustrious Part of Man, the Sould is the Glorious Sun and Light of this Little World: and the Moon and Stars fitly denote the several bright and shining Faculties of it, which are all darkned and clouded by Age. The Intellectual Part is miferably obscured and impaired by the Clouds of Ignorance, Prejudice, and Mistake, which insensibly increase upon those who are much declined in Years, especially if they had not laid in a considerable Stock of useful Notions before. The Memory becomes weak and faithless, so that they let slip many Notices and Observations which they were once Masters of, and they cannot Retain those which are now daily administred to them. The Imagination, another Radiant Power of the Soul. is corrupted: they are grown Conceited and Fantastick; they are (as the Philosopher observes of them) \* suspitious of Evil, and backward to believe any Good. They nourish wrong Apprehensions, and have a false View of things. Notwithstanding this they are not desirous to correct their Errors and Misprissons, and to be better taught:

· Vel quia nil rectum nisi quod placuit sibi ducunt, Vel quia turpe putant parere minoribus, & quæ Imberbes didicere, senes perdenda fateri.

Thence it was a kind of Proverb with Diogenes. || To cure a Dead Man and instruct an Old one, are the

the lame. The Reason is, because their Wills (another Mental Endowment) are strangely perverted and distorted. Where the Divine Grace hath not the Predominancy, they generally are wayward and testy, froward and stubborn; they are dispeased at what others (especially their Inferiours in Years) fay or do, and nothing scarcely is acceptable but what they speak and act themselves. because they will it, and because they affect it; which reminds me of the gross Darkness which hath. invaded another Faculty, viz. that of their Affedions r. This is wholly spent in Solf-Love, in an Eager Defire of lengthning out their Days, and in an Extravagant Doting on the things of this Life. They must soon die and leave the World, (which they detest. so much as to hear of) and yet they do as itwere hug it the more. They are shortly to bid adien to it, and therefore they more carneftly defire and pursue it, as we are most busy in faluting and imbracing those Friends that we must part with presently. Though there is a Period to all their other Labours, yet \* they are not wearied with getting Gain. In nothing else but this do they feem to possess their Youthful Vigour again. In brief, all their former Passions are swallowed up in Avarice and Concernedness for the Profits and Advantages of this present World. The longer they are here, the more enamour'd they are with it; for as of One hath observed, The more a Man drinks of the World, the more it Intoxicates. Thus the Sun, and Moon, and Stars are darkned: Thus the Minds of Aged Persons are vitiated and corrupted: These are the particular Desects and Failings which they are generally liable to, (and there-

<sup>\*</sup> Kauomon ne z amșve. Aristot. Eth. † Horat. de Art, Poet. Nenego ialgeven ni recoura verelan rautor est. Diog. Lacre 1.6.

Legdelivov Tes covor à nomion. Plat. + Lord Bacon's Effiys.

fore are made part of their Charafter here) I mean when a Divine Principle and a Lively Sense of Vertue and Holiness do not actuate them, when Religion hath not had its due Operation on their Hearts, and their Lives are not reformed by the Influence of the Holy Spirit. For otherwise it is certain that Years administer to Vertue, and are an excellent Help to Religion. The bravest and noblest Actions that have been atchieved, have been from the Counsels and Directions of Men of Long Experience in the World; for now their Minds and Judgments are arrived to the utmost Maturity: like Old Wine, they are the more Generous and Refined. This Stage of Life (of all others) is most calculated for the serious Practice of Goodness and Piety, and the very Height and Perfection of all Vertues, when it is season'd with Divine Grace, and assisted by the supernatural Aids of the Holy Ghost. But the Wise Man here speaks of it under another Capacity, and as this part of a Man's Life is generally and most commonly incident both to natural and moral Defects of the Soul. This is the darkning of this glorious Sun: these are the unhappy Clouds that obscure its Light. Yea, (as it follows) the Clouds return after the Rain; for this belongs to what was faid before, and fo refers to the Soul, which so frequently in the Close of Mens Days is overwhelm'd with Ignorance, Dotage, Forgetfulness, Conceitedness, Wilfulness, Self-Love, and other Distempers which cast a Scum over this Sun, and hinder it from shining forth. And accordingly as the Unhealthful and Sickly Years of their Lives come faster upon them, these Clouds increase, and grow thicker and darker, and so the Sun is overspread at last. One Mental Evil succeeds another in this Concluding Stage of

Mens

Mens Pilgrimage: There is a Circle of these Maladies; as Clouds produce Rain, and Rain falling on the Earth begets new Vapours, and from these proceed Clouds again: So it is here, there is a continued Succession of Evils; thus the Clouds return after the Rain. Hitherto you have the Character of Old Age, as it hath respect to the Soul of Man, for fo I understand it, though Expositors are pleased to go another way. But I would ask this, Is it not most unlikely that Solomon undertaking here the Description of Old Age, would give so lame and imperfect an Account of it, as to relate some Inconveniences and Defects which have reference to the Body, and wholly to pass by in silence those that appertain to the Other and more Considerable Part of Man? Again, I would ask whether there could be any Words in the World that are fitter and apter to express the Defects of the Mind, the Nobler and Brighter Moiety of Man, than these which the Wife Man here useth? Wherefore I doubt not but this first Part of his Character is to be understood as I have represented it to you. And indeed fince my finishing this Part of my Discourse, I have found that some others, as \* Glassius, and an Ingenious Person of our own Nation, interpret Solomon's Words after this manner.

From the Soul he passes to the Body and Outward Man: and that it may appear the better that this is a distinct Partition from what went before, he inserts these Words, [in the Day when] ver. 3. and doth not repeat them any more afterwards; which shews he begins a New Head, and that these Words are only to mark out here to us this Division which I am speaking of: which Commentators not at-

tending

<sup>\*</sup> Rhetor. 1. 20. † Dr. Smith kis P. of Old Age.

tending to, have miltaken the Sense of the second Verse, (which I have been explaining) and have applied it to the Evils of the Body: Whereas Those are now in the next Place enter'd upon, and I will endeavour to give you a particular Account of them. First, he tells us, that the Keepers of the House tremble, ver. 3. where the Body is compared to a House; and what more fitly can be faid to be the Keepers of it than (as Castalio and Grotius exnound it) the active Hands and Arms, which were made on purpose to guard and defend the Body, and therefore on all Occasions officiously bestir themselves, and are lifted up or stretch'd forth to preserve it from harm, to keep and secure it from Danger? But even these Nimble Guards, these Stout and Brawny Keepers, shake at the Arrival of Old Age, and with a Paralytick Trembling confess their Inability to discharge their Office, to keep and defend the House (the Tabernacle of the Body) from Assaults and Injuries. Yea, these once-Trusty Guardians, who were wont to make use of Staves and other Weapons for their Defence, now use the former only for a Support. With this they knock at the Earth at every Step, as if they call d on their Graves: Or, as the Spanish Proverb hath it, The Old Man's Staff is the Rapper at Death's Door. And the firing Man, i.e. according to Vatablus and Grotius, the Legs and Thighs, which are placed in another Extremity of the House, to be its Security, and which are particularly taken notice of for their Strength, Pfal. 147. 10. and which Strong Men fo much glory in, these bow themselves, i. e. become weak and feeble with Age; yea, they really bend and give way, they are fo far from being able to support the Body they belong to, that they can hardly sustain themselves. These born,

these

these soop towards the Place where they are shortly to take their Reft. Next, it is faid, that the Grinders cease, because they are few; i. e. the Teeth with which we grind and chew our Meat fail us at last, and are not able to do their Office, because not only the Strength but the Number of them is diminish'd: yea, sometimes the Toothless Jaws (as well as other Defects) shew that Aged Persons are a second time Children. It follows, those that look out of the Windows are darkned: for he had compared the Body to a House, and so here he continues that Metaphor, as well as goes on with several others. The Windows of this House are the Holes or Sockets wherein the Eyes are placed, (the two Bonny Cavities where these precious Lights are fafely enclosed, to defend them from Hurt) which are faid to look out of these Casements, i. e. there (as Drusius and Grotius well interpret it) they were appointed by Heaven to exert their Visive Faculty for the Use of Mankind. But Length of Years impairs or hinders their looking out: the Vifual Nerves and the Spirits which are derived to them decay; the Humours dry up, the Coats wear out, the Muscles flag, and so 'tis no wonder that the Sight is dim and imperfect, and that there is a necessity of using some Artificial Helps to amend it. Whence Gejerus and some others have fancied, that those that look out of the Windows are fuch as are forced to use Spectacles: but this Gloss, I think, will hardly be admitted till it be proved that there was fuch an Invention in Solomon's Days.

of the Holy Scriptures.

The House of our Body hath a Door, and therefore is said in the next Place, the Doors are shut in the Streets, ver. 4. And what can more properly be called the Door of this House than the Mouth, the Throat, the Wind-pipe, the Lungs, the Stomach,

ear.

all those Vessels that are to let in Air or Food? These Doors are in the Street, that Great Passage and Hollowness in the Body which is like a Street or High-way, and by which there are other Passages into several Parts of the Body. These Doors are shut, when by reason of Age they are obstructed, when they are clogg'd with excessive Colds and Catarrhs, when the Jaws and Throat are inflamed, when the Muscles of them are swell'd, whereby the Ways of Breathing and Swallowing are stopp'd; when the Lungs are impeded by Asthma's, and fail in their reciprocal Motion of Inspiration and Respiration; when the Chyle is not duly separated, and the Ferment of the Stomach is vitiated; and other the like Distempers, which the Aged are subject to, invade those Parts. But more especially and signally the Mouth is the Door of this House, which I confirm from what follows, when the Sound of the Grinding is low; that is, this Door is shut, or but seldom opened, when their great Weakness and Indisposition will not fuffer them to take any food, or but an inconsiderable Portion of it, then the Sound of the Grinding is low. And it is low, not only because of this Weakness of the Body, but because (as was faid before) the Grinders are few, they have not a fufficient Number of Teeth for Mastication. Hence tis that there is none or little Grift brought to the Mill. I know fome have thought, that the Doors being shut in the Street, signifies here, that those Persons who are of Great Age desire to keep up, and come not into Company, as before. The thing it felf, I grant, is true; the Indispositions which some Aged Persons labour under, cause them to shut up their Doors, especially when the Winter approacheth, and they appear not till a very

very Warm Sun invites them to shew themselves. Then they get to the next Sunny Bank, and there lie basking in the comfortable Rays which they feel. But if we consider that the Royal Preacher had been comparing the Body of Man to a House, affigning its Keepers, yea its Grinders, (the most necessary Office of old in a Family) its Windows, its Doors, we must needs look upon this Interpretation as foreign and impertinent, and especially when we take notice of the Design of this Inspired Writer, in this Chapter, which is to infift upon those Evils and Maladies which belong to fuch and fuch particular Parts of the Body, as will appear in the Sequel. Yea, by looking into the very next Words we shall find that this Clause, which I have been now speaking of, is to be understood in this Sense, and no other; for it is to be joined with those Words, (because of its Affinity with them) he shall rife up at the Voice of the Bird: which I interpret thus; his Appetite declining, and his Stomach nauseating Food, especially all coarse Fare, all common and vulgar Sustenance, he will yet be desirous of Dainties, some \* small Bird or Fowl; for Tsippor, which is the Word here used, signifies the lesser fort of Birds (and particularly a Sparrow) and also such as are + pleasant and delicious. This (if any thing) is most acceptable to his squeamish Stomach, he rifes up at the Voice of the Bird, that is, at the very naming of it; for the Hebrew Word Kol (whence the Greek raheir, and our English Call) denotes so much in this Place. Or at the very Word, the very Sound of that word Tjippor, the small delicate Bird, he rifes up very chearfully to

<sup>\*</sup> Tippor aviculam fignificat, nec de majoribus avibus dicitur. Mercer. † Tippor, avis quæ in deliciis est. Bochart. Hieroz. Par. 2. C. 22.

eat it, or some part of it at least. The Hebrew Verb Kum, which is used here, most frequently fignifies to stand up, or lift up one's felf. This the fickly Old Man is observed to do when some Delicious Fare is set before him, when some choice Dish, some delightful and savory Morsel is prefented to him. This is part of the genuine Defcription which may be given of the Aged Persons Condition, and therefore 'tis no wonder that Solomon brings it in here. A little Food serves him, but he longs for that which is Uncommon, and may please his Taste, and provoke his Appetite at the fame time: He rifes up with Complacency at the very mentioning of some dainty Bird, some beloved Bit. This is my Conjecture on the Place, and I do not (I must needs say) see any Ground why it should be rejected, for I have shewed you that it is to the purpose which Solomon is speaking of; it exactly agrees with the preceding Words, but the Vulgar Exposition doth not so.

To proceed, our Royal Author having reprefented the Defects of Old Age, as to the Weakness of the chief Limbs and Joints, as to the Paucity of Teeth, Dimness of Eyes, and the Evils incident to those Parts which are call'd the Doors, he lets us know next, that this Portion of a Man's Life is as defective, in respect of Other Useful Organs of the Body, and particularly that of the Ear: all the Daughters of Musick are brought low: that is, the Ears, which were made for Hearing, and particularly delighting themselves in excellent Notes of Musick, whether Vocal or Instrumental, are now indifposed, and rendred uncapable of that Pleasure which before they were so charm'd with: Now these Daughters of a Song are grown deaf, as the Vulgar Latin renders it. As Old Barzillai's zillai's Complaint was not only, \* Can I taste what I eat or drink? (which refers to the former Particular we just now spoke of) but likewise, Can I bear any more the Voice of singing Men and singing Women? Even these Daughters of Musick are brought low, their most exquisite and ravishing Harmony is no longer delightful, they are vile and of no account: for the Youthful and Mercurial Spirit is exhausted: in this Foggy Cloudy Weather of Expiring Age the Quicklilver subsides in these Old Weather-Glasses, and will never ascend again. I might add also, that the Veffels and Organs that properly belong to the forming of the Voice, those Daughters of Singing, are by Age disabled and weakned. Next, it is said, ver. 5. They are afraid of that which is high: The plain meaning whereof is this, that Aged Persons dare not ascend any high or steep Place; their Breath is short, and therefore they avoid climbing. And when they tread on low Ground, and walk in a fmooth Path, yet even then Fears are in the way, i. e. they are afraid of stumbling and falling, because their Feet are infirm, and their Steps unfleady, which they therefore indeavour to fix with a Staff. To which the Hebrew Masters allude when they say, Two are better than three: that is, the Feet of Young Men are better to walk than those of the Old, though they are usually three. Another Member of this Defcription is, that the Almond-tree flourishath, i. c. (as it is expounded by & Grotius, and by the Generality of Commentators) Gray Hairs, which are the usual Badg of Decrepid Years, appear; the Head now grows white and hoary, like the Blof-

<sup>\* 1</sup> Sam. 19.35. † Albefeir caput ficut flores amygdali. In loc.

foms and Flowers of the Almond-tree, whose Fruit was call'd'xoguvov, the Head, saith Athenaus, as if it had relation to this Part. Again, 'tis added, the Grashopper is a Burden, i. e. the least, the lightest thing (fay Expositors generally) seems to be heavy and burdenfom to the Aged, because of their Faintness and Weakness: Or rather, I should interpret it thus, (with reference to what was faid before, and is so noted and common an Indication of Declining Years) the Grashopper, as little as it is, lies heavy on their Stomachs; for you will find in Lev. 11. 22. that the Grashopper is reckoned among the Clean Meats, and was commonly eaten in those Days. And this here mentioned is of that very Species, as the using of the same Hebrew Word both here and there lets us know. Even this light kind of Food was a Burden to their weak Stomachs. What can be more obvious and plain than this Exposition of the Words? especially when it follows, Desire fails; as all other Inclinations that were vigorous in them in their juvenile Years do now flag, fo this towards Food more fignally doth fo. And that this was thought to be

the meaning of this Clause of the Words, is apparent from the \* Version of the LXX and the † Vulgar Latin, both which express Defire by Capers, a known Fruit, whereby an Appetite is excited. So that this way of speaking is metaphorically used to denote the Defect of Appetite in Aged Men, whose Stomachs are depraved. And this is no wonder, because they go to their long Home, and the Mourners go about the Streets; they are hastning to the Grave, and shall in a short time be carried out by the Mourners to their Funerals.

But

But yet before this Day arrives, they have farther Evils to undergo; For, faith the Wife Man, when this great Number of Years is gone over their Heads, they will find that the filver Cord is not lengthned; for so the Hebrew hath it; the word Rachak (which is here used) signifying elongari, longe effe: and then in the General this may be the Sense of this Clause, the Thread of Life (that is the Precious Cord or String of Silver) begins now to be cut short; they must not expect to stay many Days in the Land of the Living. But we may rather follow the Interpretation of \* those who apply this Passage to some particular Part of the Body, (as the other Members of this Verse seem also to be restrained) and so the silver Cord is loosed, (as we translate it) i.e. the Spinal Marrow, which is white like Silver, and lengthned out like a Cord or Rope, decays and grows loofe: and then the Nerves, which are derived from it, and confequently the whole Body, feel the ill Effect of it in Pallies and Convulsions, and an universal Weakness. Thus it is when the Body is worn out with Age; when these evil Days come, all things portend Ruine and a final Period. The House, as the Body is faid to be, is falling; and all things belonging to it are hastning to their Destruction. Not only the filver Cord is loofed, but the golden Bowl is broken; by which some think is here meant the Cranium, or Pan, in which that choice Viscus of the Brain is contained and fecured; or perhaps the Semicircular Membrane which is next to this Bowl, and is it self lined with a thinner Membranous Substance, is here designed. Vatablus and Drusius, and others, interpret the Words thus, and tell us, that thefe

H rate muels. † Capparis.

<sup>\*</sup> Munster, Vatablus, Drusius, Grotius.

Meninges are said to be of Gold, not only by reason of their Colour, but because of their great Worth and Value, in that they are a Guard and Covering to the noblest Part of Man's Body: Or the Brain it self may be here meant, the Seat and Throne of the Rational Soul, and the Origine of all the Nerves. And then observe here, that the Golden Bowl and the Silver Cord are fitly joined together by this Divine Writer, for the latter is but an Appendix of the former; the Marrow of the Back-Bone is but the Cerebrum extended, the Brain lengthned out; or it is according to Solomon's Stile here a Rope, a Cord of Brains. But the Head and Beginning of that Medulla is that which is properly called the Brain, the Great and Only Laboratory of the Animal Spirits, from whence they are diffused by the Nerves into the several Members of the Body, in order to all the Functions and Operations of Life. This gullath bazahab, this Golden Bowl, this Lordly Dish, this roundish Mass of choice Matter is at last broken: which is as much as to fay, this upper and nobler Part of the Body shares in the Ruines which Old Age makes: whence it is that the Clogging of the Passages of the animal Spirits with indigested Humours, the Obstructions or Relaxations of the Nerves, Pains in the Head, Melancholy, Giddiness, Drowliness, yea Lethargies and Apoplexies (which impair or wholly defroy both Sense and Motion) are the dangerous Maladies of this Part of the Body, and are more especially the mischievous Companions of the Aged.

And as the Animal, so the Vital Parts feel the Decays which a Long and Sickly Life brings with it, which the Wise Man means when he adjoins, the Pitcher is broken at the Fountain. The Pitchers (for

(for the Plural is intended when the Singular is mentioned, as I have shewed to be frequent in Scripture-Stile) are the Veins and Arteries, whose Office it is to carry and recarry Blood to and from the Heart, (that is the Fountain) as Pitchers or Buckets are first let down into the Well, and then convey Water thence. Through these Vessels the Blood continually passes, and that swiftly, beginning its Course from the right Cavity of the Heart, through the Arterious Vein, the Branches of which are difpersed through the whole Lungs, and joined to the Branches of the Veiny Artery, by which it passes from the Lungs into the left side of the Heart, and thence it flows into the Great Artery; the Branches of which being spread through all the Body, are united to those of the Hollow Vein, which carry the same Blood again into the right Ventricle of the Heart. But these Vessels by length of time become disordered and shattered, these Pitchers are broken at the Fountain; the Heart it self, as well as they, decaying and declining in its Office; whence proceed Faintings, Swoonings, Tremblings, Palpitations, and other Distempers, which are the Product of an undue Sanguification.

Lastly; 'tis said, the Wheel is broken at the Cistern, which an \* Ingenious Person understands of the Circulation of the Blood, (for that he thinks is intimated by the Wheel) and its being obstructed by the Indispositions of Old Age. But it is much to be questioned, whether Solomon, as Wise a Man as he was, knew any thing of the Circular Motion of the Blood throughout the whole Body. I have no stronger a Belief of his Knowledg in this kind, than that his Ships went to the East or West-Indies, though

<sup>\*</sup> J. Smith, M. D.

Of the SILLE though I find both of these asserted by different Writers. However, I conceive this Circulation is not meant in this place; for the word Bor, Ruteus. or Cisterna, baffles this Notion, for this Authormakes the Cistern here to be the Left Ventnicle of the Heart; whereas the Heart, with both its Ventricles, is rather a Fountain than a Ciftern: yea, he had himself applied this Word to the Heart, in his Exposition of the former Clause of the Verse; and there was Reason for it, because the Waters do fpring and flow in a Fountain, but they lie dead and moveless in a Cistern or Pit under Ground, which is the fame thing. Wherefore I conclude that this Ciftern must be something of another Nature; and what is that but the Urmary Vessels, especially the Bladder? This, without any fanciful straining, must be acknowledged to be the Cistern of the Body, it being a Vessel situated beneath, on purpose to receive and keep the Water that comes from the Ureters. And here, as in those Receptacles in the Ground, the Water gathers a Sediment, and grows muddy; the evil Effects of which are too well known to Mankind. This Vesica then, which is made to gather and hold the Urine, is properly Bor (the word in this Place) Puteus, Cisterna. And the Wheel is faid to be broken at this Cistern, when those Vessels and Organs which were appointed for the Percolation of the Blood, that is, the feparating the serous Humour from it, and for the transmitting it through the Emulgent Arteries into the Ureters, and thence carrying it to the proper

Vessel (the Cistern) which is made to receive it; when (I say) these are put out of order, and disturb'd, then they cease to perform their proper Administrations in the Body; whereupon imme-

diately are produced, in these dark and narrow

Passages,

Passages the Painful Stone and Gravel in the Kidnies and Bladder, all other Rephritick Distempers, Ulcers, Inflammations, the Strangury, and sometimes a total Suppression of the Urine, together with the undue Evacuations of it. Thus the Wheel is broken; thus the whole Periodical Series of Operation in those Parts is spoiled and destroyed. And perhaps this particular Phrase is here used by Solomon, because the great Work at Wells and Cisterns (or Pits for retaining of Water for a time) was performed by Wheels. So much for this excellent Delineation of Old Age, which is it self a Disease, a constant and inseparable Malady, and is attended with many more. And as the Bodies of the Aged are the Scene of Weakness and Infirmities, of Pains and Languishments; so their Souls are usually decayed and distempered. Of both these Solomon gives us a particular Account, (and perhaps too much from his own Experience, for tis probable that the Miscarriages of his Youth had enfeebled Nature; and we read, that towards the Close of his Days, he degenerated from his former Piety;) and fo we have here a Full and Compleat Description of the Defects, which too often accompany this Last Declension of Life, which are fet forth by Variety of Metaphors, which I have made it my Buliness to explain to you.

of the Fioly Scriptures. 155

## CHAP. V.

The Writers of the New Testament are delighted with the Use of Metaphors. Here is sometimes a Complication of them. Ephel. 6. 13, &c. Take unto vou the whole Armour of God, &c. largely infifted upon. The Olympick Games and Prizes administer religious Metaphors. The Antiquity, Names, Kinds, the Laws and Observances of these Grecian Combates, (before, in, and after them) the Judges, the Rewards, and all other things appertaining to thefe Athletick Enterprizes, distinctly consider'd; 'tis shew'd how they are all applied to Christianity in the Apostolick Writings. Hence is inferr'd the Gracefulness of the Sacred Stile: Notwithstanding which some have vilified it, whose Character is represented. Proverbial Sayings used by other Writers, especially the Jews, are frequently mentioned by our Saviour in the New Testament. To which is reduced his bidding the Apostles shake off the Dust of their Feet, Mat. 10.14. concerning which the Author adds his particular Sentiment.

F we pass to the New Testament, we shall there find that those Inspired Penmen are much delighted with the use of Metaphors. We have 2 Complication of them in John 15.1, &c. I am the true Vine, and my Father is the Husbandman, &c. In 1 Tim. 6.9, 10. the extreme Dangers which Men are exposed to by the Sin of Covetousness, are expressed by a Snare, by drowning, by piercing through, as with Thorns and Briars. In those Words, Eph. 5.14. Awake thou that sleepest, and arise from the Dead, and Christ shall give thee Light, there are like-

likewise three Metaphors together, for Sin is call'd a Sleep, Death, Darkness: yea, if we be exact, we shall find three more; for if Sin be a Sleep, then Grace or Conversion is Awakening out of that Sleep, (and this is expressly mention'd in the Place) if the one be Darkness and Death, the other is Light and Life, and Rifing again. But as before I chose out a remarkable Place of the Old Testament, to enlarge upon under this Head, fo I will now do the like in the New, and infift upon that choice Passage in Eph. 6. 13, to ver. 18. Take unto you the whole Armour of God, &c. which under that one Great and General Metaphor of Armour comprehends several other particular ones. Christians are represented as Souldiers in other Places by this Apostle, and here he lets us know what is their Armour, what Weapons they must fight with: which are thus metaphorically expressed.

1. They must be careful to put on the Girdle of Truth, which some Expositors have thought is meant in opposition to Error and Heretical Perswafions: To be girt about with Truth, is the same, they think, with holding fast the Form of sound Words, or the embracing of the pure Doctrine of the Gospel. But this Exposition is not to be admitted. because it confounds this piece of Armour with another that is afterwards mentioned; it makes the Girdle and the Sword (which is the Word or Doctrine of God) the same. Therefore it is more reasonable to affert, that Truth here is fynonymous with Faithfulness or Sincerity, and that it stands in opposition to Hypocrify. Thus Sincerity and Truth are equivalent Terms, 1 Cor. 5.8. and in several other Places. Wherefore when the Christian Souldier is commanded to have his Loins girt about with Truth; the plain Import of it is, that he ought to

he that is Sincere and Faithful will do fo. This is the first Martial Accourrement of the Christian Souldier, and tis of indispensable Use and Necessity in the Holy Warfare: as among the antient Warriors there was no fighting without the Militaey Girdle or Belt. Whence Cintus, simply, without any Addition, is as much as \* Miles. And we read that it was a † Punishment inflicted on delinquent Souldiers to expose them without their Girdles, to make them stand Ungirt in some publick Place. This piece of Warlike Furniture, zawn, was so considerable of old, that is was a word (as || Raufanias testifies) to fignify all forts of Weapons for War. It is often mentioned by Homer Synecdochichally for the Whole Military Armour; and Caruchai is as much as to be compleatly Armed. The Girdle of Truth, which this Great Commander

Marcell. de Test. † Alexand. ab Alex. I. 1. c. 20. Sueton. in Octavio. | In Bæotic.

here enjoins us, is as requisite in the Christian War-

fare: there is no Fighting without it, because this

fastens all the other Parts of our Spiritual Armour:

of the Holy Scriptures. a Sincere and Upright Heart is of universal Influence in the Life of a Christian.

2. The next Accourrement is the Breast-plate of Righteousness, i.e. a Holy and Pious Conversation, Impartial and Universal Obedience to the Will of God. This guards the Breast against all Assaults, as we see in the Example of our Apostle, 2 Cor. 1. 12. for he had this as well as the foregoing piece of Armour on when he said, Our rejoicing is this, the Testimony of our Constience, that in Simplicity and godly Sincerity, not with fleshly Wisdom, but by the Grace of God, we have had our Conversation in the World. And again, I have fought a good Fight, I have finished my Course, I have kept the Faith, 2 Tim. 4.7. And in other Places he defends himself against the malicious Cavils of others, by appealing to his own Innocency, his Sanctity and Exemplary Life. This perhaps may have particular reference to Isa. 59. 17. He put on Righteousness as a Breast-plate. But this Breast-plate of Righteousness must be covered with another, viz. that of our Bleffed Redcemer, which is Compleat and Perfect, and will amply protect and secure us from all Dangers. The Inherent Righteousness of the best of Men is exceedingly defective, and cannot shelter them from the Divine Wrath; this Breast-plate is too narrow, too thin, too little, too mean to cover us; but that of the Meritorious Righteousness of Christ Jesus is great and large enough, and is able to hide all our Defects, and perfectly to defend us from the Anger of our offended God. This Evangelical Breaftplate must be put on by Faith, of which afterwards.

3. The Shoe of the Preparation of the Gospel of Peace is an Allusion to that Military Provision which the Infantry, among the antient Warriors, made for their

of the Holy Scriptures.

their Feet, to defend them from what was offensive in their way. For the Armies heretofore (as appears both from Greek and Roman Authors) were wont to fix short Stakes, or cast Gall-traps in the way before their Enemies, to wound their Feet, and to cause them to fall. Wherefore it was usual

to have Harness for their Legs and Feet: they wore a particular fort of Shoe or Boot to secure them from being hurt and gall'd. So the Christian Souldier

ought to have his Feet shod, and that with the Preparation of the Gospel, i. e. he must be sitted and prepared by the preaching of the Gospel for all Hardships and Distresses. I do not much like St. Augustin's way of proving this Interpretation, viz. by telling us, that by the Shoe the Preaching of the Gospel was meant when the Psalmist said, Over Edom will I cast out my \* Shoe, Psal. 60. 8. which he labours to confirm from Isa. 52. 7. How beautiful are the Feet of him that bringeth good Tidings? And this Pious Writer is so fanciful as to say, that when

our Saviour bid the Disciples be shod with Sandals, Mark 6. 9. he meant the open and free Preaching of the Gospel. But waving this weak fort of Proof, yet I am satisfied, that in this place, the Christians Military Shoe is the Gospel, and the Preaching of it: he is then shod with the Preparation of it, when he is enabled to make his way through all Hindrances and Dissiculties whatsoever, by vir-

ordinary Helps which this affords him. And 'tis fitly added, the Gospel of Peace, because the Consideration of that Peace and Reconciliation which

tue of those Excellent Principles which the Gospel

hath discovered to him, by virtue of those Extra-

the Gospel tenders through the Blood of Christ, mightily influences upon his Spirit, and gives Courage and Valour amidst all the Hardships he meets

with in his Christian Warfare. 4. The Shield of Paith is another necessary part of Spiritual Armour. And it is signally added, that we must take this above all, which it is probable is faid with allusion to what was the sense of the Old Warriors, viz. That their Shield was their Principal Armour. This they prized above all the rest, and were most careful in keeping it: of which we have several Instances in Antient History: and there was a Remarkable Punishment inflicted on those (saith \* Plutarch) who lost their Shields in Battel. Much more Valuable is this Evangelick Armour, our Faith, a Firm Assent to all Revealed Truths, a Steady Belief of the Promises of Eternal Life, through the bleffed Undertakings of our Lord; a Hearty Compliance with the Gracious Terms of the Gospol, which enjoins Universal Obedience to the Laws of Christ, a Well-grounded Trust and Affiance in the Mercy and Goodness of God, a firm and unshaken Dependance on the Merits of our Redeemer and Saviour. This is that Hardned Shield wherewith we keep off and beat back all the furious Infults of the Evil Spirit, that Implacable Enemy whom we are to encounter with in our Spiritual Warfare. His Temptations are here call'd Darts, with allusion still to the antient way of fighting, which was with Darts and Arrows. And they are call'd fiery Darts, with reference perhaps to the Heat which those Weapons acquired

by their swift flying: or they may be said to be fiery, because they are sent in an Hostile manner,

\* In Pelopid:

<sup>\*</sup> Cujus rei calceamentum nisi Evangelii? De Cons. Evang. l. 2. c. 30.

the word being as 'twere appropriated to Fighting. as among the Greeks dais is both fax or tada, and pugna; and daig is both ardens and pugnax: and among the Latins ardere is particularly applied to War and Battel: as in Virgil, Ardet in arma magls. - Instant ardentes Tyru. - And besides, these Darts, these Suggestions, when they are very fierce and raging, do as 'twere inflame the Heart and Conscience, they set the distracted Soul on fire. But by Faith the Christian Soldier is able to quench them, as the Apostle excellently phraseth it; by a vigorous exerting of this Grace he defeats the malicious Attempts of Satan, he stifles all his hellish Darts; alluding to the known use of the Shield, which was to repel the Arrows shot by the Enemy. And these were sometimes Poisoned, and thereby became hot and inflaming, to which some have thought the Apostle here might have glanced when he speaks of fiery Darts. This is certain that a Shield is for Defence, and fuch is our Faith, whereby we defend our felves from the inflamed Darts of the Wicked, which he flings at us with the utmost Indignation and Fury. We quench, we extinguish, we utterly frustrate all his Assaults by a firm Trust and Reliance on our Blessed Jesus, who baffled him himself, and will effectually teach us by the guidance of his Spirit to do the like. \* This is our Victory that overcometh the Devil (as well as the World) even our Faith.

5. We are to take the Helmet of Salvation, i. e. (as St. Paul himself explains it) if the Hope of Salvation, the certain Expectation of the Evertasting Reward in another World, which is brought to light by the Gospel of Christ Jesus. The Christian Souldier

Souldier is unspeakably animated by this: he hath the Triumph in his Eye: this makes him fight with undaunted Valour and Resolution. He is safe whilst he is cover'd with this Helmet: nothing can hurt him whiles he is inspired with this Victorious Hope. Having this Armour of Defence, he defies his insulting Adversaries, he sears not their Blows, he shrinks not at the Batteries of his siercest Enemies. This also seems to be borrow'd from Isai. 59. 17. He put on an Helmet of Salvation on his Head.

6. The Sword of the Spirit, which is the Word of God, is another part of the Christian Panoply, which every Spiritual Souldier ought to be appointed with. This is the two-edged Sword spoken of in Heb. 4. 12. and Rev. 1. 16. This our great Captain dexteroufly made use of when the Infernal Spirit assaulted him, Mat. 4. 4, 7, 10. And the same Weapon was brandish'd and managed by the whole Army of Martyrs and Confessors, by all the Servants of Christ in the feveral Ages of the Church. By this they have done great Execution, and put their Spiritual Enemies to flight. They have in their most pressing Straits repair'd to the Holy Scriptures, and thence furnish'd themselves with those Divine Consolations, and applied those Sacred Promises, whereby they foon vanquish'd their Ghostly Assailants. And this is that Weapon which we must all of us in our Holy War learn to wield: but let us be careful to make use of it faithfully and fincerely, remembring that the first Piece of Armour and This last must be joined together, for the Warlike Girdle or Belt is in order to wearing the Sword, which is to hang at it.

The last Weapon the Apostle mentions is Prayer, Praying always with all Prayer, &c. We must fight

<sup>\* 1</sup> John 5. 4. + 1 Thess. 5. 8.

on our Knees, we must constantly invoke the Divine Aid, and with importunate Cries solicite the Eternal Father that he would teach our Hands to War, and our Fingers to Fight.

These are the Spiritual Weapons, which are called here Πανοπλία, The whole Armour, because ?tis fitted for every part of the Christian Combatant. He is here armed at all Points, he is provided with Military Accourrements for all Assaults. And you may observe, that the Spiritual Armour answers to the Bodily one, that is, it is both Defensive and Offensive. Our Weapons are both pularilina. fuch as defend and preserve our selves, and also Auviliera, such whereby we beat off the Enemy; both which are express'd in those two Military words, Standing and Withstanding, ver. 13. The first fort are the Girdle of Truth, the Breast-plate of Righteousness, the Shoe of the Preparation of the Gospel, the Shield of Faith, and the Helmet of Salvation; of the Second forture the Sword of the Spirit, and Prayer, which two likewise are both for Defence and Offence, not only to guard our selves, but to oppose our Enomies. This is the Panoply of the Gospel, the whole Armour of God which the Apostle here commends, and which I have briefly descanted upon in prosecution of what I propounded, viz. to give you some account of the Metaphorical Terms in Scripture.

In other places the Olympick Games and Prizes administer to the Apostle very Religious and Devout Metaphors: those Grecian Combates being made use of by him to set forth the Laborsous Life and Undertakings of a Christian. I will in farther pursuit of this part of my Discourse concerning the Arike of Scripture, let you see what Excellent and Divine things are comprized under those Agonifick Phrases.

We must know then, that the Olympick Games were of very great Antiquity, being instituted (as it is faid) by Hercules, and restored by Iphitus, who at the same time began the Accompt of the Olympiads, that famous Epoche of the Greeks which commenced A.M. 3173. in the time of Uzziah King of Judah. They had their Name from Olympia, a City of Achaia, near to Elis, on the Plains whereof these Exercises were celebrated, and they were in honour of Jupiter Olympius. And there were Sports of the like Nature in other parts of Greece, as those that were call'd the Isthmian, because they were begun in the Corintbian Islamus: and as the Olympick Plays were dedicated to Jupiter, so these were in honour of Melicerta; others say, of Palamon. The like Exercises in other adjacent Towns of Greece were call'd Pythian, in memory of Apollo Pythius; and others Neman, (call'd fo from the Nemaan Wood, near which they were) and these were in honour of Archemorus, the Son of Lycurgus. But all these were in imitation of the Olympick (as being the Antientest) Combates; and because they were so like them, they sometimes go by that Name. Great Numbers of People flock'd from all parts in Greece to these Solemn Diversions, either to try their Skill, or to be Spectators. And I question not but St. Paul, before his Conversion, had been present at these Exercises, and observ'd their Customs and Practices: whence it is that he so often in his Writings makes use of them. And these Games were very well known to the Corinthians particularly, as being celebrated in their Isthmus, not far from Corinth; whence it is that the Apostle speaking to these People, saith emphatically, Know ye not that they which run in a Race, &c. 1 Cor. 9. 24. and therefore the frequent Metaphors

Metaphors taken from them by St. Paul, were the better understood by them. There were five forts of these Gymnastick Entertainments in use among the Grecians, which \* Eustathius reckons up in this order, "Αλμα, πολλιν, δίσκουμα, ακόνδιον R) σ ρόκιον. And † Simonides comprehends them in this Verse, in the First Book of his Epigrams;

## Αλμα, ποδοκείνν, δίσκον, ακονία, πάλην:

that is, 1. Leaping, or exercifing the Legs and Arms by Jumping. 2. Running or Racing. 3. Coyting or hurling the Bar. 4. Casting the Dart, or throwing the Spear. 5. Wrestling: to which afterwards was added woyur, Pugilatus, Fifty-Cuffs: and after that they struck with Battoons and Leaden Pellets. These five Grecian Excercises were call'd by one name, Πένταθλον; and he that was skill'd in them all, or won the Prizes at them all, was stiled Hév-Ταθλω, (though the Epithet is sometimes taken in another Sense, as when 'twas given to Democritus, because he was the Master of five noble Accomplishments). Of these several Olympick Conflicts, the chiefest and most renowned was their Running or Racing, for which the Grecians were fo famous and eminent above all others; and therefore St. Paul, who had been a Spectator of their Races, principally borrows his Metaphors from this Manly as well as Applauded kind of Sport, as you may fee in his Epiftles, which abound with Expressions taken from this Athletick Exercise. But he fometimes alludes to Wrestling, and the other Agonistick Enterprizes which the Grecians In those days were celebrated for. He frequently

uses the Terms which are proper to these Undertakings, as when he faith, \* He herein exerciseth himself to have a Conscience, &c. where the word aoueiv is taken from those Combates among the Gentiles, and is applied to Sacred things. The same may be observed of ableiv, 2 Tim. 2. 5. and αθλμσις, Heb. 10. 32. and αχών, 1 Tim. 6. 12. 2 Tim. 4. 7. and αρωνίζεωθαι, which is another Olympick word, and is used in very many places by our Apostle. So jumidzen, Heb. 12. 11. is an Athletick word, and properly fignifies that Exercife which Wrestlers or the like Combatants are train'd up to by long Use and Discipline. And this occurs again in 1 Tim. 4 7. γύμιαζε σταυτό, Exercise thy self unto Godliness, i. c. he as eager in pursuit of Piety, as those who are train'd up to the Olympick Exercises, are in their Wrestling and other Strivings for Victory. And therefore I am of opinion, that those next words, Bodily Exercise profiteth little, are to be understood of those Olympick Games, which I find Expositors do not take notice of, but interpret them of External and Bodily Religion, some outward Austerities and Acts of superstitious Worship. But the Apostle (as I conceive) refers here to the immediately foregoing Expression which he had used, yourage σταυθού, which he was apprehensive was taken from the Olympick Combates; and accordingly he adds, ouμαίτικη γυμνασία, Bodily Exercise profiteth a little, (for so I would translate it) i. e. as I apprehend the words, that Athletick Exercise of their Bodies is useful to fome ends, they have some small advantage and profit by it, viz. as to Health, encreasing their Strength and Courage, gaining Repute and Credit, \* Acts 24. 16.

uses

<sup>†</sup> Jul. Poll. Onomassic. 1. 3. c. 30.

Art.

Credit, winning the Prize: But alas (faith he) these are mean and inconsiderable Things in comparison of that Solid Profit which accrues by Godliness, for this is profitable to all things, procuring all Benefits not only to our Bodies but our Souls, advancing both the Temporal and Eternal Interest of those who study and practise it. There are three Agonistical terms together, in Rom. 9.30,31. disher, to pursue or follow after; xalahauedver, to attain to; podvew, to be foremost in the Race, to come first to the Goal; but our Translators render it to attain. And in several other places the Gymnastick words are made use of; especially in 1 Cor. 9.24, to the End of the Chapter, and in Phil. 3,12, to the 17. v. which are a Continuation of the Metaphor of the Grecian Exercises so much in use at that time. By these the Apostle sets forth the Laws and Rules of an Evangelical Life, by which all the

Followers of Christ are to direct themselves. This then we are to take notice of, that there were certain Laws observ'd in the Agonisticks, there were peculiar Rules and Orders which they tied themselves to, which the Apostle means when he faith, If any Man strive for Masteries, he is not crowned except he strive lawfully, 2 Tim. 2.5. i. e. (as S. Chryfostom rightly explains it) except \* he observe all the Laws of the Striving, and omit nothing required of him. This was νομίμως αθλείν, and accordingly there was the Kneve, the Crier, an Officer on purpose to acquaint the Combatants with the Laws of the Place. So in the Exercise of a Christian Life we must strive lawfully, we must carefully act according to the Orders of our Holy Institution, for our Great Agonotheta hath pre-

\* Πάν α τ τ αθλήσεως νόμον φυλάτων. Hom. 4. in 2 Tim.

fcrib'd

scrib'd us certain Laws which we are to follow with all exactness. And these we shall find express'd according to the Stile of the Athleticks, who had Laws to direct them what they were to do before the Combate, what in the time of it, and what afterwards.

First, They had certain Observances which related to their behaviour before the Combate, and they were fuch as these, as you may find them briefly fumm'd up by Epictetus (who compares the Life of a Good Man to these Bodily Exercises) " \* An Olympick Gamester, saith he, must order " himself aright before the Contest, he must some-" times force himself to take food, at other times " he must by force abstain from it, especially " from what is dainty and delicious: he must use " himself to his Exercises, though he finds himself " unwilling; and this at a fet and fix'd time both " in Summer and Winter: he must not be permit-" ted to drink cool Liquor, or any Wine, as he "thinks fit. In short, he must deliver up himself to the Master of Fences as to a Physician. Galen on the 18th Aphorism of Hippocrates very well describes this Abstinence of the Athleticks. And Tertullian gives this short account of their Austerities, + "They are set apart, saith he, to a " strict Discipline, that they may be at leisure

" to mind the building up of their Bodies (as

"twere) and to make them strong according to

<sup>\*</sup> Δα σ' ευτακίαν, αναγκοί φοριν, ολπέχεδαι πεμικάτων, γυμναζεδιαι περός αναγκην, εν ώρα τεραγικόη, εν κευμαρι, εν לעולפו עון לעאפטי שונפון, עון פונטי, שול בעולפוי משאשל, שב विशिष् मध्यतिकीक महिष्या σεαυθον τις όπισάτη. Cap. 35.

<sup>†</sup> Athletæ segregantur ad strictiorem disciplinam ut robori ædificando vacent: continentur à luxuria, cibis lautioribus, à potu jucundiore. Exhort. ad Martyr.

Art. To which purpose they are kept from all Luxury, they are forbid all delicate Meats. " and all sweet Drinks. But the Apostle hath contracted this into fewer words, yet as full and fignificant, 1 Cor. 9. 25. Every Man that striveth for the Mastery is temperate in all things; movie eyeq-Tolierres, he observes all the Laws of Abstinence and Continence which are prescrib'd him. And there was an Overseer for this purpose, one that took care of dieting them, and faw that they duly kept their other Rules. A Christian must herein imitate the Grecian Combatants and Racers, he must manage himself with great Caution and Circumspection, he must suffer himself to be order'd and disciplined, he must strictly observe the Laws of Sobriety and Temperance, and abitain from fleshly Lusts which war against both Soul and Body. Thus the Apostle pursues the Metaphor in the following words, v. 27. I keep under my Body, and bring it into subjection: i.e. I am careful to get a good Temper of Body as well as of Mind: as the Cuffers and Wrestlers labour to beat down and keep under their Antagonists Bodies, so I do with my own: for ὑπωπιάζειν (which is the word here used) is a known Metaphor taken from the practice of the Grecian Combatants, who beat their Adversaries down with their Fists, and sometimes with Clubs, and will not fuffer them to rife. In the same manner, saith he, I beat down and keep under my Body, I severely chastise it by Temperance and Sobriety. I am as exact as those Combatants were, who before the Contest dieted themselves for certain days, that they might attain to a good habit of Body. Again, they took care to rid themfelves of all Incumbrances what soever: they stript themselves of their Clothes, and generally came naked

naked to the Conflict, (whence they were call'd Gymnasta) that they might be the more nimble and agile. To which the Apostle plainly refers, and applies it, Heb. 12. 1. Let us lay aside every weight, and the Sin which doth so easily beset us, and let us run with Patience the Race that is set before us. In this our Christian Race, we must throw off whatever we know will be an Impediment to us in our course, especially we must discard those Vices which we have been most accustomed to, and which have had the greatest Ascendant over us. And it is to be observed, that the word öyno-(which the Apostle here useth) is applied by Galen and other Greek Authors to the Corpulency, the weight of Flesh which the Olympick Strivers were to bring down and macerate.

In the next place, we are to order and manage our felves aright in the time of the Spiritual Combate: And here likewise the Apostle leads us by the same Metaphor. For.

1. The Combatants were careful to act, to strive, to labour to the utmost. \* "There is a Force and Violence put upon them by themselves, they are cruciated and tormented, they are tired and worn out: and (as the same Author adds) the more they labour in their Combats, the greater is their hope of Victory. This Excessive Pains and Labour are express'd in the Writings of the Apostle by several terms, as following on (for so Signess should be translated, and not following after, that being a bad word in Racing) and reaching forth, and pressing on (or following on) for the Apostle

<sup>\*</sup> Coguntur, cruciantur, fatigantur: quanto plus in exercitationibus laboraverint, tanto plus de victoria sperant. Tertull. Exhort. ad Martyr.

tiles the same Greek word again. These Agoniflick terms, which are used particularly in Running, are in a Religious manner thus applied by St. Paul, that Eminent Christian Racer, \* Not as though I had already attain'd, but I follow on: this one thing I do, forgetting those things which are behind, (not looking back in the Race to see how much

Ground I have ran already, but) reaching forth unto those things which are before, I still press on. The meaning of which is, that he was extremely In-

meaning of which is, that he was extremely Industrious and Laborious in his Christian course, he

not only ran with Patience (Patience of Body and Mind) this Race that was set before him, (as he speaks in an 4 other place) but he exerted all other Ver-

and faithful discharge of all Christian Duties. Or perhaps discours research, imports all the hard-ship he underwent in his Christian Race; for

resolved) is a word applied to the Athletæ, (as Peter Faber observes) and is expressive of all the Fatigues in that Exercise. So in their Wrestlings and Fencings (two other great Employments of the

Grecian Agonists) they acted to the height of their Art, to the utmost of their Strength. Their Blows were directed with the greatest Skill, and

laid on with the most lively Vigour: to which the Apostle refers, when he saith, So fight I, not as one that beateth the air, 1 Cor. 9. 26. Tollow, (Which

is a known word used among the Olympick Gamesters) I fight, I fence, I cuff, saith he, not as they that brandish their Weapons for Sport-

fake, or to exercise their Limbs, or to divert the Spectators, as it seems was usual before they

fell

\* Phil. 3. 12, &c. † Heb. 12. 1.

fell on in good earnels. Whence \* Virgil faith of Dares, a Great Fencer,

Brachia protendens, & verberat istibus auras.

But I fight, faith the Apostle, as one that is actually enter'd into the Combate, and is used to the Olympick Combates, where there is no vain beating of the Air, but a ferious falling on. The Champions there come not to flourish, but to fight with one another. Accordingly they were wont to cast Dust upon one another, that they might take the more fure Hold: and the Place was strowed with Sand, that they might stand the more steadily to their Work. This Place therefore was called spens. their Station, as appears from falian. They stood here all the time they fought, and would not quit it whatever they endured. Thence &TPERTOI and aniverse are Epithets given by Philostratus and Pausanias to the Athleta. This was the particular Commendation of the Olympian Combatants, that they never flinch'd from the Ground which they first stood upon, as Alian tells us. To which it is most probable the Apostle alludes when he exhorts the Christians to stand, Eph. 6. 13. and so again, ver. 14. and to stand fast, and quit themselves like Men, and be strong, 1 Cor. 16. 13. and to stand fast, - friving together, Phil. 1. 27. where ouvxed Sizes is an Agonick Term as well as sinere. But this latter is a very noted Word among those that write concerning the Olympick Concertations. Further, I might remark, that it was a Rule with them gene. rally in these Encounters, not to leave off till they were.

<sup>#</sup> An. lib. g. + Var. Hift. 1, 4. c. 19.

Ath-

were wounded on one fide or other: yea, 'twas look'd upon as a shameful and base thing to yield before Many Wounds had been given and taken. This however was agreed upon among them, that they must draw Blood of each other: Whence that of the Apostle, Heb. 12. 4. Te have not yet resisted unto Blood, striving against Sin: for both the Verbs άντικα Sisum and άντα γανίζομαι belong to the Athletick Exercises.

2. In their Running they minded the Mark that was before them, and distracted not themselves with taking notice of any thing else. This is referr'd to in Phil. 3. 14. M σκοποι διώκω, I press towards the Mark, the Goal, where the Prize is fet up to be seen: and in Heb. 12.1. Let us run the Race that is fet before us, looking unto Jesus. And again, in the next Verse, Consider bim, have an Eye to him, who himself look'd unto the Joy that was set before him. Gaze not on the World, and what is tempting and alluring in it, but with an intense and vigorous Aspect look on that Inheritance which Christ hath purchased for you, fix your Eye on the End of your

Faith, even the Salvation of your Souls: and thus you will rightly perform your Christian Course. 3. The Olympick Racers had certain Limits and Bounds set them, and these they very accurately observed. There was a particular Place where the Match was run, which was by those Greeks call'd soldion, and is so call'd by the Apostle, 1 Cor. 9. 24. Orer sadio les yours, which we render those that run in a Race, are those that run in a certain Plot of Ground set out for that purpose, for that is the proper Notion of saddov; 'tis a certain measure of Ground, shorter or longer, as they were pleased to appoint it. This Stadium was mark'd out with a Line, from the Place where they fet forth, to the

of the Holy Scriptures. the End: and of this the Apostle makes mention three or four times in 2 Cor. 10, 13, &c. We will not boast of things without our measure, but according to the measure of the Rule, or the Line, as 'tis rendred, ver. 16. xavàv, (which is the Greek Word here used, and was a common Athletick Word, as appears from \* Paufanias, and + J. Pollux, and other Writers that speak of the Olympick Strivings: and Linea is a Term used by Statius in the same Sense) was the White Line that bounded or mark'd out the Path where the Greek Racers run: in Allusion to which the Apostle's particular Province is call'd by him μετρον κανόν, the measure of the Rule which God had distributed him. The Apostle had first converted the Corinthians, and therefore those he calls his Proper Line. And because each of the Racers had his particular Path chalk'd out to him, thence he speaks of αλλότρι συκών, ν. 16. another Man's Line. And Phil. 3.16. is an unquestionable Reference to this Grecian Custom, whereto we have already attained, (in as much as we have gotten the Start, for so the Greek Word signifies, and are before others, and have attain'd some Degrees of spiritual Proficiency) let us walk by the same Rule, navovi, the Line we are to run by, the same Path: for tho there was but One Racer run in the same Track among the Grecians, yet 'tis supposed that many Christians run together in one and the same way. I question not but those Words in Heb. 12. 12. have a reference to what we are now speaking of, Lift up the Hands which hang down, and the feeble Knees, and make streight Paths to your Feet, lest that which is lame be turned out of the way, but let it rather be healed. The whole Period is perfectly

<sup>\*</sup> Eliac. lib. 2. 1 Onomastic.

Athletick; but more especially Igonal ophal alludes to the Racers that ran right, forward, a Line being drawn on both fides; so that their Paths were freight or direct.

4. The Wrestlers and Racers were to continue in the Combat to the end; otherwise they had no Advantage of it: which the Apostle hath respect to when he faith, & άρωνα & καλδυ ήδωνισμαι, I have fought a good Fight; & Spokeov Tetshuxa, I have finished my Course, 2 Tim. 4. 7. I have fully Compleated my Race, I have with Constancy and Perleverance accomplished that great Work, that is, (as I conceive) through a very strong Faith he was affured that he should do so; for when he writ these Lines, he had not done it. And here also I could observe that maken (which the Apostle uses here), and teal (which he makes use of in other Places) are borrowed from the Athletick Exercifes, as we learn from those Writers who have occasion to speak of them, and express them in their proper Terms. Thus I have mentioned some of the Chief Laws and Observances among those who strove for Masteries in the Grecian Plains. And with respect to all these our Divine Author faith, So run that ye may obtain, 1 Cor. 9. 24. So. in such manner, and according to the Laws and Orders of that Exercise, see that you discharge this

Having thus spoken of the Laws and Conditions of the Olympick Games, I will add fomething concerning the Judges: for after the Combate was over, they proceeded to judg who had got the better. These Arbitrators, or Judges, were call'd 'EMurodinger and: 'Azwro Kiner, and sometimes Beg-Beumi, whose Business was to determine whether the Agonists had observ'd the Laws, especially to order

Duty.

order and appoint the Reward: which is taken notice of, and religiously applied in 2 Tim. 4. 8. where after St. Paul had with rejoicing professed that he bad finished his Course, (which is, as hath been faid, a palpable Allusion to the Athletick Enterprizes) he adds, There is laid up for me a Crown of Righteoufness (of which I shall speak in the next Place) which the Lord, the righteous Judg, shall give me at that Day; even that Judg, that Beach this, who gives the Prize to those faithful Christians who persevere in their Course to the End. In the last Place, then this Prize, this Reward is to be considered; which is called Beg. peior, 1 Cor. 9. 24. Phil. 3. 14. the very same Name that was given by the Grecians to the Recompence of the Victors after the Athletick Strivings. This is call'd a Crown, 2 Tim. 2.5. because the Olympick Conquerors were rewarded with Crowns or Garlands, made of the Leaves of Bays or Lawrel, or sometimes of Flowers. Generally they were deck'd with Wreaths of Olive in the Olympicks, of Pine in the Ishmian Games, of Palm-Branches or Oaken Boughs, or some such forry thing in other Places; and yet (as the Roman Orator observes) \* these Masters of Exercife reckon'd one of these Prizes won at those Games, as honourable as the Roman Confulship was of old. These the Apostle well deciphereth when he tells us, that they that strive for the Mastery do it to obtain a Corruptible (a fading, withering) Crown, 1 Cor. 9. 25. To which another Apostle opposeth a Crown of Glory that fadeth not away, I Pet. 5. 4. i. e. fuch a Crown as is not made of these perishing Materials. This is the Crown of Life mentioned by St. James, ch. 1. 12. in contradiffinction to the mither'd

<sup>\*</sup> Cic. Tufc. Quafl.

fagç

ther'd dead Crown of the Olympick Strivers. This is that Crown of Righteousness which the Righteous Judg, the Great Arbitrator of the Christian Combates, bestows at the great Day of Recompence, 2 Tim. 4. 8. This is that Prize which St. Paul pressed towards the Mark for, Phil. 3. 14. (alluding to the Crown, the Garland which hung over the Mark or Goal, and was given to the Victor by the Judges) and which he there calls the Prize of the High Calling of God in Christ Jesus, i. e. the Heavenly Reward to which he was call'd from above by God, through Christ his Saviour. It is a plain Allusion to the Judges of those Grecian Sports, who were placed on a bigh Seat to behold the Performance, and then the Crier or Herald, called the Combatants to appear before them, and receive their Sentence. And as foon as the Prize was adjudged to them, they used to snatch at it, and take it from the Place (where it was hung up) with their own Hands, as Alian, Pollux and Caffiodorus The \* last of these particularly saith. they did rapere pramia: which gives Light to i Tim. 6. 12. Fight the good Fight of Faith, a will's αγωνά; and lay hold on eternal Life, 6πλα68; imitate the Victors at the Olympick Games, who prefently lay their Hands on the Crown, and take it, and wear it. The gaining of this Prize is call'd in 1 Cor. 9, 24. Obtaining: and in Phil. 3. 12. Attaining or receiving, as 'tis in the Greek; and Apprebending, which is of the same Import, it being a laying hold on, or receiving the Reward: which all are Gymnastick and Agonick Terms.

And lastly, I might observe that a Shung. which is another Word used here on this Occasion.

Variar, lib. 11. c. 35.

1 Cor. 9. 27. is to too: I keep under my Body, (faith he) I am always prepared for the Christian Combate, I run, I fight, I strive that I may not be a Castaway, a Reprobate, one that loseth the Prize: for he that ran, or wrestled, or performed any other Exercise at the Olympick Games, and upon trial was rejected; he that fell short of the Victory, was άθεμμω: As on the contrary δεμμω is he that strives as he ought, and obtains the Victory. Accordingly St. James, speaking of the Blessed Man that indureth Temptations, faith, when he is tried (when he is Sommo, approved of) he shall receive the Crown of Life; he shall have the Reward of a true Christian Combatant bestowed upon him, as the Olympick Strivers were rewarded with a Crown. Thus you fee how this Sacred Author makes use of the Olympick Sports, to set forth the Life of a Christian, and the Eternal Rewards of it. A Good Man is stilled by the Royal Philosopher, \* an Athletick that is exercifed in the greatest Conflicts. † Maximus Tyrius resembles the Life of Man to these. And | Epithetus compares the Study of Philosophy to the Hardships of the Olympick Algonies: and Seneca makes all Vertuous Men of the Number of the Athleta, and that very frequently. And even St. Paul (as I have shewed) resembles Christianity it self to these Encounters and Hardships, and calls the Christian Conflicts by the very same Names that are given to them. Year the Rewards laid up in Heaven for faithful Souls, after all their Pains and Labours here, are compared to the Beacheia, the Garlands of those Grecian Combatants. And in the Close of all, to add one Place more, I am inclined to think, that that Paf-

<sup>\*</sup> Aβλητης άθλη το μερίεν. Antonin. † Differtat. 35. || Cap. 35.

fage in 2 Thess. 3. 1. [that the Word of the Lord may run and be glorified, τεέχη κ, δεξάζηται] hath respect to the Applause, the Acclamation, the Glory, which were part of the Reward of those who got the Victory at the Olympick Exercises, and particularly the Racing. Δοξάζεωλαι, as well as Τρέχειν, is a Gymnastick Word. Δόξα is used by \* Lucian to express that great Honour and Applause which was the Recompence of the Victors in those Combates. And Gloria is the word used by A Tertullian when he speaks of these things. It is peculiarly applied and appropriated, as 'twere, to this purpose by Classick Authors, as || Tacitus and (\*) Seneca. It is no wonder then that running and obtaining Glory are here join'd together by the Apostle. The Word of the Lord, i. c. the Preaching of the Gospel. is faid to run when it hath its free and undisturb'd Passage; and it is glorified when it proves Prevalent and Victorious in the Hearts and Lives of Men. If I had been Curious in citing what those (1) Authors who have writ concerning the Agonisticks, have delivered on the several Particulars above mentioned, I might have enlarged this part of my Difcourfe. And it might have been beautified and adorned from what occurs in those (||) Fathers who have spoken of the Olympick Exercises, and the Manners belonging to them. But I was rather defirous to be brief, and to suggest something of my own on this Subject, than to be beholden altogether to others. And in the whole I have endeayour'd to avoid the Fault of that Learned Frenchman Peter Faber, and some others, who have stretched this Metaphor too far, and have perswaded themselves that the Apoltles use it, when they never thought of it.

But this is certain, that both in the Old and New Testament, the Metaphorick manner of speaking is very usual, as it is also among all Writers: for indeed we may observe, that words in their Primitive and Proper Signification, are not fo much used by the best Writers, as they are in their Metaphorical and Improper Sense. Our Business only is to discern the way of their Speaking, and not to miftake an Improper for a Proper Signification. In the Holy Writings especially we ought to take notice of this, and to observe when words are to be understood in their Primitive and Genuine fense, and when not. And with the like Caution we should observe when the other forenamed Figures are used by the Inspired Writers, (which was the Delign of my mentioning them here) that we may carefully distinguish between a Proper and a Figurative Speech, and that (as \* St. Augustin long since advised) we may not take one for the other.

There are many Other Rhetorical Figures in the Sacred Volume (as Metonymies, Profopopæias, Epanorthoses, Aposiopeses, &c.) which likewise the Choicest Authors abound with: but it shall suffice to have mention'd the foregoing ones, the explaining of which is sufficient to give us an account of the Stile of Scripture, so far as it is Figurative. And from what hath been said, we may gather that these Divine Writings come not short of the

<sup>\*</sup> In Anachars. † In Scorpio. || Annal. lib. 12. (\*) Epist. 89. (†) Pausanias, Athenæus, Plutarch, J. Pollux, Lucian, Philostratus, Ælian, Pindar, Virgil, Statius, Ovid, Lucan, Horace. (||) Dionys. Arcop. Tertullian, Chrysostom, Greg. Mazian. Ambrose, Basil, Theodoret, Hidor. Pelus.

<sup>\*</sup> Cavendum est ne figuraram locutionem ad literam aecipias. De Doctr. Christ. 1. 3. c. 5.

most Applauded Pieces of the Greek or Latin Orators; for here are those very Schemes and Modes of Speech which imbellish those Authors Works; here are all the Graces and Elegancies which enrich and adorn them. Therefore in that place beforementioned, where Origen faith, the Scriptures are not written Politely; his meaning is, that that is not the Scope and Design of those Writings, and that it is not the thing that is purfued generally, there being a Greater and Higher Delign; yet in many places there are very Excellent Strains of Oratory, there are very Artificial Periods and Sentences, there are Words, Phrases and Expresfions in a very Rhetorical Drefs. But where you find others that are, as you think, Inartificial, Uncouth, and no ways Graceful, you must remember this (to take off your prejudice against the Scripture-Stile) that the Eastern Eloquence is vastly different from ours in the West. The Mode and Guife of their Oratory were unlike that of the Greeks and Romans, and of Ours at this Day, and therefore we are not to expect that they should be fitted to it. It is certain (though we perceive it not) that their Stile was Graceful and Fashionable: which is clear from the considering the Persons that were the Penmen of some parts of Scripture; namely, Moses, David, Solomon, Isaiah, Daniel, Men of great Improvements and Accomplishments, and Masters of the Language they spoke. Neither are the Scriptures in some parts of them Defective in the Western Oratory: they abound with the Choicest Schemes of Speech, with the Greatest Ornaments of Language, with the Chiefest Elegancies which Greece or Rome were famous for.

Yet, notwithstanding this, there are those who have vilified the Stile of Scripture. Some Pretenders to Criticism, but of debauched Minds, and loose Lives, have endeavour'd to render it very Mean and Despicable. You have heard of the Canon of Florence, who preferr'd an Ode of Pindar before the Pfalms of David: though he could not deny, as Caspar Peucer tells us, that there were Excellent Sentences, Histories, Examples, and Figures of Speech in this Divine Poem. Yet fuch was the Sottishness of Politian (for that was his Name) that he profess'd he never spent his time worse than in reading this and other parts of the Bible: and at last he desisted from reading any further, because of the Barbarity of the Stile. But observe what Character \* Ludovicus Vives (a Man of his own Religion) gives him: he represents him as a Person, who, though he had more Polite Learning than was frequent in those Days, made but ill nse of it, and employ'd it wholly in the worst fort of Criticism and Playing with words. It was this Busy but Idle Critick that spoke so contemptibly of the Bible; where, because he met with some things unfutable to his Grammatical and Critical Genius, he cenfured and condemned all. Of the same Profane Disposition was Domitius Calderinus, who advis'd his Friends, especially those that were Youthful, not to read the Bible; for it would be of no use to them. But what it was that these two Persons were employed about, which wholly estrang'd their Minds from that Sacred Book, may be guess'd from the + Shameful Epigram which the former composed, and the || Obscene Comment N 4 which

<sup>\*</sup> De verit. sid. 1. 2. † In laudem Præposteræ Veneris. In Virgilii Priapæa.

which the latter made, both which they publish'd to the World. It is no wonder fuch Men difrelish'd the Sacred Truths contain'd in the Inspired Writings, and found fault with the Language and Stile of them: this proceeded from their aversion to that Purity and Holiness which those Holy Writers urge upon the Practices of Men, and which these two Vile Italians knew were directly contrary to what they both loved and acted. Who would not think the better of this Holy Book, because it was despised and vilified by these Men? Who would not highly esteem those Writings which by such Dissolute Wretches as these were fcorn'd and trampl'd under Feet? If it was an Argument that Christianity was Good because Nero persecuted it, then we may with as much reason infer, that the Bible is an Excellent Book, because this pair of Lewd Varlets disparaged it. This certainly was founded in the Wickedness and Profaneness of their Lives. They could not think or speak well of those Writings which contradicted their beloved Lusts and Vices. It was thus with Jerom and Augustin, whilst they were wicked and unreclaim'd Persons: the Scripture-Language seem'd very harsh and unpleasant to them; so far were they from discerning any Elegancy in it. The former of these tells his Eufoobium, that he us'd, when he awaked in the Night, and could not fleep, to read Plautus: and if after that he read the Prophets, as sometimes he did, their Speech feem'd to be \* horribly rough and unpolished, devoid of all Fineness and Eloquence. And the † latter of these Persons freely confesseth, that

that before his Conversion, the Stile of Scripture was deemed by him very Rude and Unstudied, and as having nothing Neat and Delicate in it. This is the apprehension which those Men have of it who are not Competent Judges: and they are not fo, not because they have not Understanding enough, but because they have an Inward Abhorrence of the Sacred Verities which they find in that Book. This is the true Reason why so many in this Age, yea, within our own Borders, scoff at and ridicule the Language of the Bible. The Matter of this Volume makes them dislike the Stile of it. Nothing can be Eloquent which speaks against their Vices. But let it offend none that this most Excellent Book is depretiated by some Vitious, or by some Half-witted Men, for there are no other that ever spoke against it. In the Stile of this Book of God, there are no Blemishes but what are approved of in the Best Classical Authors, as those who were of the greatest Skill in Grammar and Rhetorick have fully demonstrated: therefore the Bible is not a Book to be disparag'd, no, not by the greatest Grammarians and Rhetoricians. The Excellent and Choice Wording of the Scripture is commended by St. \* Chrysoftom. When I read the Bible, faith St. + Augustin, I find that as nothing is more Wisely said, so nothing is more Eloquently spoken than there. And particularly, I have thew'd that it is beautified and enrich'd with many Figures. Thus I have largely proved, that the Stile of Scripture is generally of the strain of Other Approved Writers as to its Phraseology, or manner of Expression. I proceed, and add,

<sup>\*</sup> Sermo horrebat incultus. Epist. 18. ad Eustoch. † Confest. lib. 3. cap. 5.

<sup>\*</sup> Hom. 36. in Gen. † De doctr. Christ. 1. ult. c. 6.

3dly. This Observation, that Proverbial Sayings and commonly received Adagies used by other Writers, are mention'd also in the Holy Scriptures. This is abundantly proved by \* those who have Purposely writ on this Subject. I will remit you to them, and at present only confine my self to the New Testament, and there to the Jewish Proverbs only. Our Saviour in his excellent Sermon on the Mount, makes use of that Usual Saying among the Jews, which was used in a Proverbial way, No Man can serve two Masters, Mat. 6, 24. which he applieth to a higher purpose than they defigned it, Ye cannot, faith he, serve God and Mammon, it is impossible you should be Servants to these two Masters. No Man can devote himself to God's Service as he ought, and yet at the same time pro-Secute with the utmost Zeal and Concernedness the things of this World; especially the Riches or Profits of it: for either he will hate the one, and love the other; or he will hold to the one, and despise the other: he cannot ferve both with equal care and zeal. Again, it was a Common Proverbamong the Hebrews, & Measure against Measure; and in the Talmud more than once it is spoken by way of Adage, | With the Measure that a Man measureth, they measure to him again. Which is applied by our Blessed Teacher, to Mens Censuring and Judging of others; With what Judgment ye judg, faith he, ye shall be judged. With what Measure ye mete in this kind, it shall be measured to you again, Mat. 7.2. If you be rash and unadvised in the Doom which you pass on your Neighbours, you may expect that the like Sentence may pass on you. And in Luke

of the Holy Scriptures. Luke 6. 37. this very Proverb is spoken with reference to Giving and Forgiving; as much as to fay, if you withhold your Charity from others, cither in relieving their Wants, or passing by their Offences against you, you shall one time or other experience the same your selves; you shall neither be relieved nor forgiven: thus with the very same Measure that ye mete withal, it shall be measured to you again. In this Sermon he useth again another Jewish Proverb, which was to this purpose, \* Pull the Beam out of thine Eye, v. 5. applying it to the former Subject of Judging others. Why beholdest thou the Mote that is in thy Brother's Eye, but considerest not the Beam that is in thy own Eye? Why art thou fo Sharpfighted abroad, why fo quick in differning the lcast Fault in others, when at the same time thou art Blind at home, and canst not see those gross Miscarriages which thou thy self art guilty of? This is too Evident an Argument of Hypocrify; therefore Christ adds, Thou Hypocrite, first cast out the Ream out of thine own Eye, abandon those Visible Enormities which are in thy own Life, and then shalt thou see clearly to cast out the Mote out of thy Brother's Eye, i.e. then thou shalt be more fit to judg of other Mens Failings, and to correct them for them. Again, ver. 6. Christ useth this Common Saying which was usual among the Jews, Give not that which is holy unto Dogs, neither cast ye your Pearls before Swine: in which without doubt was included an Excellent Lesson, and such as was very seasonable at that time, viz. That his Disciples (for to them chiefly he speaks in this Sermon) should Prudently dispense the Gospel, and where they' faw it was obstinately refused by any, there they thould

<sup>\*</sup> Scotranus, Delrius, Drufius, לתרה נגר מרה + א || Sanhedrim : & Sota.

<sup>\*</sup> מרל סבין עיכוך tolle ab oculis tuis trabem. Talmud.

188 I

should not expose themselves to Dangers, when they perceived that they could do no good among fuch Persons. They must not throw away Pearls among such Swine that would trample them under their feet, and turn again, and rend them, as our Saviour adds there. It was an Old Hebrew Proverb, near of Kin to the former, It is not good to throw the Childrens Bread to Dogs: which you find made use of by our Saviour in Mat. 15. 26. When the Woman of Canaan befought him in behalf of her Daughter, who was grievously vexed with a Devil, he put her off, by telling her, That he was not fent but unto the lost Sheep of the House of Israel; and she being an Alien from the Common-wealth of Israel, had no right to the Privileges which were to be dispens'd to these alone. It is not meet, saith he, to take the Childrens Bread, and cast it to Dogs. But this Woman would not be put off fo. but wifely retorted his Proverb by another Common and Acknowledged Truth, that the Dogs eat of the Crumbs which fall from their Master's Table. If she might not have the Childrens Bread, she requested he would not deny her that Common Allowance which fell from his bountiful Hand, and which she firmly believed he would not keep from her. This great Faith of hers made her capable of receiving this, and a higher Bleffing from our Compassionate Master. The Talmud uses that Proverbial Saying, An Elephant cannot go through the Eye of a Needle; but Christ instead of an Elebhant (which was an Animal that few faw in that Country) mentions a Camel, which was a Creature well known; and he expresseth himself after a Proverbial manner thus, It is easier for a Camel to go through the Eye of a Needle, than for a rich Manto enter into the Kingdom of God, Mat. 19. 24. which

in plainer terms he had faid in the Verse before, A rich Man shall hardly enter into the Kingdom of Heaven; which is explain'd further in another place, It is hard for them that trust in Riches to enter into the Kingdom of God, Mark 10. 24. It is hard, yea, it is impossible, for you may as well draw a Camel through the Eye of a small Needle. Those are said to be Jewish Proverbs, The Disciple is not above his Master, nor the Servant above his Lord, Mat. 10. 24. They are blind Leaders of the Blind, Mat. 15. 14. Te strain at a Gnat, and swallow a Camel, Mat. 23. 24. A Prophet hath no honour in his own Country, John 4. 44. These and many other Proverbial Speeches among the Jews, are applied by our Saviour, he being pleas'd to conform to the Language as well as the Rites and Usages of his Countrymen. Any one that hath read the Books of the Mishuah, where the several Sayings and Sentences of the Jewish Rabbies are recorded, knows how near they come to fundry . Speeches and Expressions used by our Saviour. That was an Old Hebrew Proverb, (though used fometimes by Pagans) The Dog is returned to bis Vomit again; and you find the fame in St. Peter (1 Ep. 2. 22.) who had it originally from Solomon's Proverbs, Chap. 26. 11. where it is used to express a Fool's return to his Folly.

To the Proverbial Sayings among the Jews, I may refer that of our Saviour's bidding the Apostles shake off the Dust of their Feet, Mat. 10. 14. or under their Feet, Mark 6. 11. which I have referv'd for this place, because I will more distinctly speak of it than I have of the rest. It was Christ's Injunction, that when they came into a Honse or City, and found not reception, they should behave themfelves in this manner; and he further tells them

what

what they must say, Luk, 10.11. Even the very Dust of your City which cleaveth on us, we do wipe off against you. Some imagine, that this shaking off the Duft of the Feet or Shoes hath affinity with the Jewish Rite of pulling off the Shoe, mentioned Deut. 25.9. Ruth 4:7. which was a Ceremony of Difgrace, performed by the Relict of the Deceased Brother to the Surviving one who refused to marry her. But this Opinion hath but few Abettors. and indeed, 'tis a wonder it hath had any, for there is a vast difference between the shaking off the Dust of the Feet, and the plucking off the Shoe. Others think this Practice is of the same Nature with shaking the Lap or Garment, which was an ufage among the Hebrews; and they would by this flow that they wish'd or pray'd that such an one might be shaken, removed, deprived of his Goods and Possession. Thus Nebemiah used this Rive against those that exacted Usury of their Brethren. Neb. 5. 13. And this shaking of the Rayment was practis'd by St. Paul against the blaspheming Jews, Alls 18.6. But this is a quite different thing. from what we are speaking of, unless we can prove that Dust and Clothing are convertible. But \* Dr. Lightfoot refers this Passage to that particulav Saying of the Jews, That the Dust of a Heathen Land defiles a Man and makes him Unclean. So that our Saviour bad the Apostles shake off the Dult from their Feet, to sliew how they reputed those People, viz. as Heathenish and Prophane, and confequently they were not to be convers'd with. The Apostles scorn'd to have any thing to do with them: and as a Sign of that,

they would not carry away any thing that belonged to that Place, no not so much as the Dust of it. But, if I may be permitted to offer my Thoughts, there is something more in these Words than this. It is true, this is fignified that they would not hold Correspondence with those unworthy Persons that, rejected the Gospel, they would not fusfer the very Dust of the Place to adhere to the Soles of their Feet: but that is not all. It is, further and more particularly fignified, that the Apostles were to leave the Place speedily. When they are commanded to shake off the Dust of their Feet, the more especial Meaning is, that they must stay no longer in the Place, but be gone from it. with all the Expedition they can, and they must not carry so much as the Dust to burden them. It is fomething related (as I apprehend) to that other Counsel of our Saviour, in the very same Chapter; or rather, it seems to be the same, but mentioned again in other terms, (as is usual with our Lord) When they persecute you in one City, flee ye into another, ver. 23. with what Speed you can depart from the Place where you are so ill used. When you find that your Preaching is wholly despised, make no Delay, but hasten away, that you may be in a Capacity to do good in some other Places, where you may be kindly received. As foon as you fee your Message is scorn'd and rejected, shake the Dust. off your Feet, and be gone away immediately. This feems to be the genuine Tendency of the Words; for we must know that Judea (some part of it especially) was a dry, hot and dusty Countrey, whence it was a Custom among them to have their Feet wash'd as soon as they came into a House: this was part of the Welcome which they look'd for; and when this Ceremony was omitted, they gathered

<sup>\*</sup> Hor. Hebr. in S. Matth. And the Harmony of the New Teffament, on Acts 14.

thered thence that they were Unacceptable Guests. Therefore, faith Christ, if you find not this Welcome, if your Feet are not wash'd, and the Dust wiped off by some of the House, do this part your felves, (that thereby you may be somewhat refresh'd) lightly shake off some of the Dust, and go your way, and leave the Habitation forthwith. So that these Words denote Haste and Expedition: which may be confirmed from that Saying of the Jews, which they used in Traffick, Whilst the Dust is on your Feet (before 'tis all wiped off') fell what you bave, i. e. fell quickly. So Pie-Powder-Court among us, which is incident to every Fair and Market (as a Court Baron to a Mannor) is that where Causes are tried curforily and in haste. This Dusty-foot-Court is so call'd to signify the Quickness of Dispatch in it. Thus among the Greek Lawyers amaiding. 5% (rendred by the Latins Pedaneus Judex) was a forry, mean, inferiour Judg, a Pedant in Law, that judged standing on foot on the plain Ground, and had not a Chair or Tribunal: he judg'd, as it were, in transitu, passing, going on foot. He was a Judg of the Court of Pie Powder, pedis pulverisati, as our Lawyers call it, because they came to it in haste, and had no time to wipe off the Dirt which they contracted in their Travels. Thus there is fome Analogy between this way of speaking, and that which I am now treating of. Our Saviour adviseth his Travelling Apostles to use Prudence, to be gone, as fast as they could, out of those Cities and Towns where the Inhabitants were wholly averse to the Preaching of the Gospel, and especially when they faw it would be attended with Perfecution. And we read that the Apostles put this in practice when they were at Antioch, where they were severely handled, and saw they should be expell'd

pell'd out of those Coasts, they shook off the Dust of their Feet against them, and came to sconium in all haste, Atts 13. 50, 51. This was a Sign of Speed: and so the Meaning of Christ's Injunction was, that when they perceived the Gospel was rejected, and themselves were in great Danger, they should prefently depart from the Place, and stay no longer among fuch vile People. But withal, I deny not that this was to be for a Testimony against them, as tis said, Mark 6. i 1. it was to bear witness against the Despisers of the Gospel, and the Persecutors of the holy Professors of it. And moreover, it was a Token of Contempt and Abhorrence, and (with reference to a Jewish Saying before mention'd) might be spoken in a Proverbial way. Lastly, it might be shewed here, that many of Christ's Parables (of which I have treated before) were borrowed from the Jewish Doctors. That of Dives and Lazarus is cited in the Gemara on the Babylonian Talmud, The Parable of the Labourers in the Vineyard is mentioned in the same Place, in the Title Beracoth: and that of the five wife and five foolish Virgins is spoken of in the Book of the Sabbath: and fome others might be instanc'd in, but I will add no more under this Head.

## CHAP. VI

There is in Scripture a great and delightful Variety of Languages. Some Chapters and Verses of the Old Testament are in Chaldee. Here are Persian, African, Arabick, Syriac, Phoenician Words. In the New Testament there are some Hebrew and Persian, many Latin and Syriac Words. Hebraisins, i.e. Phrases proper to the Hebrews, are not only in the Old Testament, (where many Examples are produced) but in the New; where (besides many other Hebrew Modes of Speech) the Use of God's Name to augment and inhanse the Sense, the Use of the word Sons or Children, not only applied to Persons but Things; the Import of the word first-born or first-begotten, and of those Expressions, the Son of Man, a Weight of Glory, are chiefly insisted upon. There are no Solucisins in Scripture. St. Jerom, Erasmus, Calvin, Beza, Castellio, Dr. Hammond censured for afferting the contrary. Sir Norton Knatchbull salves the Grammatical Part , of the New Testament, and clears it of Solocisms. The same things which some call Solwcisms and undue Syntax, are found in the best Classical Authors. There are Chasms, Expletives, Repetitions, and at other times unexpelled Brevity, seeming Inconsistencies and Incoherencies in the best Greek and Latin Authors. The Propriety and Excellency of the Sacred Stile may be justified from the Writings of the most celebrated Moral Philosophers, Orators, Poets, &c.

4thly. T Further offer this to your Observatino, that there is in the Scriptures a great and delightful Variety of Tongues and Languages.

guages. There are in the Old Testament, besides the Hebrew, (of which it is composed) many Chapters written in Chaldee: as in Ezra, part of the 4th Chapter, all the 5th and 6th, with part of the 7th. in Daniel, the greatest part of the 2d Chapter, and all the rest that follow till the 8th: in Jeremiah, one fingle Verse, viz. the 11th of the 10th Chapter. And besides these greater Portions, there are many Chaldee Words dispersed up and down in several Places, as Chartummim, Magicians, Astrologers, Gen.41.24. used also in Dan.1.20. ch.2.2. Nishtevan, an Epistle or Letter, Ezra 4.7. Pithgam, a Word or Decree, Esther 1. 20. Sethav, Winter, Cant. 2. 11. Saga, to magnify, Job 36. 24. Tiphfar, a Captain, Jer. 51.27. and some think Macha, Numb. 34. 11. is a Chaldaick Verb. Other Words are of Persian Extraction, as Pardes and Pardesim, Eccles. 2.5. Cant. 4. 13. Orchards or Gardens; whence the word Paradise; for so the Persians call'd their Orchards, Gardens and Parks, faith \* Philostratus: and we read the like in ful. Pollux. Partemin, Nobles or Princes, Efther 1. 3. is a Word borrowed from the Persians, and is proper to that Country. So is Pur, a Lot, Esther 3. 7. and Achashdarpanim, Lieutenants or Governours of Provinces, Esth. 3. 12. ch. 9. 3. and Chiun, Amos 5. 26. passes for a Persian Name among some Learned Men. From Egypt (with which the Hebrews had great Commerce) feveral Words are borrowed, as Zaphnath Pagneah, Gen. 41. 45. the Title of Honour which King Phayaob conferr'd on Joseph, which fome interpret a Revealer of Secrets, (as both Jonathan and Onkelos render it, and most of the Rabbies) but others, with St. Jerom, translate it the Saviour

<sup>\*</sup> In vità Apollonii. † In Onomassico.

of the World. But whatever the meaning of it is 'tis not to be doubted that 'tis Egyptian, for a Title given by an Egyptian King was certainly such. And some think the same of the word Abrech, Gen. 41.43. the Term of Applause and Acclamation which the Egyptian People made use of when Jofeph was advanced to be the Second Man in the Kingdom, and rid in Royal State through the Streets. Zephardegnim, Frogs, Exod. 8. 3. and Zephardeang a Frog, Psal. 78. 45. are of Egyptian Race: and fuch is Ob an Inchanter, Deut. 18. 10. if we may credit the \* Learned Kircher: and Manor a Weaver's Beam, 1 Sam. 17.7. and Sarim an Eunuch, 2 Chron. 18. 8. and Sarisim Eunuchs. 2 Kings 20. 18. and feveral other Words were brought with the Israelites out of Egypt, or were learn'd by Converse. Totaphoth, Frontlets, Exod. 13. 16. Deut. 6. 8. is a compound Word (as + Scaliger thinks) from Tot and Photh; the first an Egyptian Word, the second used in some other part of Africa. Atad a Thorn, Psal. 58. 9. is also reckon'd an African or Punick Word. From Arabia others are fetch'd, as Raphelingius and Golius, and other great Linguists have observ'd: especially in the Book of Job they find several Arabick Words. for he was of that Countrey. Leviathan is of this fort, faith Bochart, and fignifies a Dragon, and any Great Fish. Seranim, Lords, 1 Sam. 6. 18. and Cabul, 1 Kings 9.13. and many others, are look'd upon as Phænician. Zamzummim, Giants, Deut. 2.20. is purely an Ammonitish Word. Gnerabon. a Pledg, Gen. 38. 17. is Syriac: and Sharbit a Scepter, Esth. 4. 11. ch. 5. 2. (used here, and no where else) is such, rather than a pure Hebrew Word. The

\* Nomenclar, cap. 15. + In Elec.

The Names of the Months among the Hebrews (several of which occur in the Old Testament) are generally taken from other Languages. And many other foreign Words are brought into the Hebrew Tongue, and mix'd with it, (which was caused by Correspondence with other Nations, of whom they were taught these Words, and particularly by Traffick and Importing of foreign Goods, as \* Avenarius has observed, the Things and the Names being brought at the same time from foreign Parts) and accordingly we find them in the Writings of the Old Testament. Here that of the Rabbies is true, (though they applied it, as I have shewed before, in another Sense) The Scripture oftentimes speaks in the Language of the Sons of Men; it hath Words which are used in other Tongues, and borrowed from other Nations.

Thus likewise it is in the New Testament; there is a Variety of Languages in it. For though the main of it be Greek, yet there are fundry Words there of a different Original. Some Hebrew ones are made use of by the Holy Ghost, as Allelujah, Rev. 19. 1, 3, 4, 6. Sabaoth, Rom. 9. 29. Jam. 5. 4. Amen, Rom. 1.25. Epb. 3.21. and in feveral other Places; and maga, Mark 14. 16. and often used in the Gospels; and in 1 Cor. 5. 7. Heb. 11. 28. is originally Hebrew. These Words were so much in use among the Faithful, that the Apostles thought fit not to translate them, but to retain them as they are. Again, some Words in this Part of the Bible are Persian, as μάγοι, Matth. 2. 7, 16. γάζα, Alts 8. 27. ἀγίαρευειν, Mat. 5. 41. Mark 15. 41. and a Sorder D, Paradife, Luke 23.

<sup>\*</sup> Cum rebus exoticis vocabula etiam peregrina important tur. Heb. Lex.

198

43. 2 Cor. 12. 4. Rev. 2. 7. is of Perfick Extract. Remphan, Acts 7. 43. is thought by some to be Egyptian. It is certain that there are a great many Latin Words Grecized, as Quadrans, Matth. 5.26. Legio, Matth. 5. 9. ch. 26. 53. Censius, Matth. 17. 25. Prætorium, Matth. 27. 27. Alts 23. 35. Phil.1. 13. Custodia, Matth. 27. 65. ch. 28. 11. Spiculator, Mark 6. 27. Centurio, Mark 15. 45. Opfonium, Luke 3. 14. Rom. 6. 23. Modius, Luke 11. 33. Sudarium, Luke 19. 20. Colonia, Acts 16. 12. Semicinstium, Acts 19.12. Sicarius, Acts 21.38. Macellua, 1 Cor. 10. 25. Membrana, 2 Tim. 4. 13. And φελένη, in the same Verse, is a Greek Word made out of the Latin one Penula, with a Metathesis. Which Words (and many more without doubt) came in with the Roman Conquest over the Jews, for Conquerors carry their Language with them; and hence it is not to be marvell'd at that many Roman Words were in use among the Jews, and that some of them were inferted into the New Testament. There are likewise several Syriac Words used by the Evangelical Writers, and generally interpreted in the Places where they are: as Raka, Matth. 5. 22. Golgotha, Matth. 27. 33. Sabachthani, Mat. 27. 46. Boanerges, Mark 3. 17. Talitha cumi, Mark 5.41. Corban, Mark 7.11. Ephphatha, Mark 7.43. Abba, Mark 14.33. Rom. 8.15. Mammon, Luke 16. 9. Cephas, John 1. 42. Gabbatha, John 19. 13. Akeldama, Acts 1. 19. Tabitha, Acts 9. 36. Maran-atha, 1 Cor. 16. 22. And aceasta, Eph. 1. 14. 2 Cor. 1. 22. is also of Syriac Original. Nor is it a wonder that we find a great Number of these in the Greek Testament; for after the Return of the Jews from their Captivity in Babylon, their Language was mix'd of the Hebrew and Chaldee, and named the Syriac Tongue, from the Regions where

of the Holy Scriptures. it was used. As for the Old Pure Hebrew, the Priests and the Learned Jews only understood it, but this Mix'd Tongue was that which was generally spoken and understood by all the Jewish Nation. Therefore in this Tongue Christ made all his Sermons to the People, and the Evangelists and Apostles preach'd the Gospel to them in it. Yea, because the Syriac succeeded in the place of the Hebrew, (the Jews having lost this, and taken up that) therefore that Tongue is sometimes call'd the Hebrew Tongue in the New Testament, as in John 19. 13. where it is faid, Pilate fat down in the Judgment-seat, in a Place that is called the Pavement, but in the Hebrew Gabbatha. This is a Syriac Word, or a Dialect of the Chaldee, (which is the same) but it is call'd Hebrew here, because Syriac was become the Vulgar Language of the Hebrews; yea, was their Mother-Tongue in our Saviour's time. So when 'tis faid, that the Title on the Cross was written in Letters of Hebrew, Luke 23. 38. 'tis probable that the Syriac is meant, i. e. the Superscription was written in Syriac Words, though in Hebrew Letters.

5thly. It is useful to observe what a considerable Number of Hebraisms, i.e. of Phrases proper to the Hebrews is made use of in these Holy Writings, not in those of the Old Testament only, but in the Greek Writings of the New. Indeed the Books of the Old and New Testament being written by Hebrews, we cannot expect but that they should use the Hebrew way of speaking. Such is that in Gen. 40. 13. Pharaoh Shall lift up thy Head. To lift up the Head, is to Account or Reckon, for (as some tell us) they used to cast Accompts with Nailson Pins, stuck in a Table with Holes, and these Pins were call'd Heads: by the lifting them up, or re-

O.L

moving.

Critical

moving them out of one Hole to another, they performed their Arithmetick. Therefore Moles expresses it thus, He lifted up the Head of the chief Butler and chief Baker, ver. 20. that is, he Reckoned with them, and then differently dealt with them, viz. according to their Deferts. The same Phrase is used in Exod. 30. 12. When thou takest the Sum of the Children of Israel, Hebr. When thou liftest up the Head : And so in Numb. 1. 2. take the Sum. Hebr. Lift up the Head. It is a peculiar Mode of Speech to fignify to reckon, to gather the Sum of all; to which answer the Greek ἀνακεφαλαιδν, and the Latin recapitulare, to bring all to one Head, which were borrowed from the Hebrew Stile. To fill the Hand, Exod. 28.41. ch. 29.9. Numb. 3.3. is a way of speaking proper to the Hebrews, and we fitly render it to confecrate, because, perhaps, when they Consecrated Persons, they delivered into their Hands the Badges and Instruments of their Office. Another peculiar Phrase is used in 2 Kings 10. 21. ch 21. 16. Ezra 9. 11. which, according to the Hebrew is, from Mouth to Mouth, or Mouth to Mouth, but it particularly denotes a Place to be full of People; and accordingly is so rendred, perhaps for this Reason, because when it is so, they stand close together, as it were Mouth to Mouth. To give the Hand to one, was heretofore a way of Expression proper to the Eastern Countries, the Hebrews especially; and it was as much as to submit or yield to one, 1 Chron. 29. 24. Jer. 50. 15. Zam. 5.6. and it is applied in a spiritual way, 2 Chron, 30. 8. give the Hand, or yield your felves unto the Lord. The same Phrase is used by Gentile Authors: τω χέιςε τος σέχεωναι, dare manu, is to tonfess one's self to be overcome.

The Form of Wishing among the Hebrews is fingular, and not used by others, Who will give? Exod. 16. 3. which we translate would to God: So Numb. 11. 29. Deut. 28. 67. Job 13. 5. O that ye would! Benjamin is call'd a Lad, Gen. 43. 8. though he was Four and twenty Years old, and had Children: the Idiom of the Hebrew Tongue solves it. To this peculiar manner of phrasing things may be referr'd Gen. 49. 10. -Nor a Langiver from between his Feet. For so the Hebrews modestly express the place of Generation, stiling it Rage. lim, the Feet: and so the word seems to be meant in Exod. 4.23. Deut. 28. 57. Isa. 6. 2. and thus the Masorites, for the word which is used for Urine, read in the Margin the Water of the Feet, 2 Kings 18. 27. And sometimes instead of Feet, the Hebrews use the word Thigh, Gen. 46. 26. Exod. 1. 5. and Loins, Gen. 35. 11. and in a multitude of other places. Moreover, the peculiar way of using the word Sons among the Hebrews is remarkable; as in Prov. 31. 5. Sons of Affliction, i.e. the Afflicted: Sons of Destruction, ver. 8. Such as are appointed to be destroy'd, as we render it: Sons of Oil, Zech. 4. 14. i.e. the anointed ones. So we read of the Son of the Morning, Isa. 14. 12. and the Sons of Belial, Judg. 19.22. 2. Sam. 23.6. And sometimes 'tis' applied to Things as well as Persons, as in Job 5. 7. [As the Sons of the burning Coal, i. e. the Sparks, fly upward.] Whatever is the part of a thing, or whoever belongs to any thing, or is partaker of it, is in the Hebrew Idiom call'd a Son.

Again, the Name of God after the Hebrew manner, is wont to be added, to Magnify and Augment the Signification in several places of Scripture. There have been some Instances of this fort produced by

202

Critical Writers on the Bible, but I will endeavour here (and afterwards) to make a confiderable Addition to them. But first I will take notice of a place or two which have been brought under this Head, but in my judgment belong not to it. Such is that, Gen. 10.9. He was a mighty Hunter before the Lord, where (faith \* One) the Name of the Lord is added to heighten the sense, as is frequent in the Hebrew Stile. But two things I here urge to enervate this Interpretation: First, It is not the bare Name of God or Lord that is here added. as in other Texts. The exact rendring of Lipni Jehovah (which are the words here) is ad facies, ad conspectum Domini, and is well translated before the Lord, which fignifies the bold and impudent Usurpation and Tyranny of this first Monarch. This hardned Oppressor had no regard either to God or Man; yea, he committed his Violences and Ravages in defiance of the Great Lord and Soveraign of the World: this is to be a Hunter, a Persecutor, a Tyrant before the Lord: and so you fee it is not that Hebraism we are now to treat of. Secondly, There was no need of that way of Speech here, for the Greatning and Heightning of the sense, were before express'd by the term Gibbor, mighty: wherefore there was no occasion to add the Name of God as a mark of Intention. If you observe the Instances which I shall afterward produce, you will find that God's Name is used when there was no word to express Greatness or Eminency in the preceding words. For these Reasons, I expunge this first Text out of the Number of the Instances which ought to be mention'd here. And after the same rate I must deal with that other, Prov.

Prov. 20. 27. The Spirit of Man is the Candle of the Lord: where the last word is asserted by a late \* Learned Critick to be added (in which he follows Drusius in his Hebrew Proverbs) as an Auxesis, that is, only to augment the sense: and therefore he faith; the Candle of the Lord is no more than a most Excellent Candle or Light. But if we consider the words aright, we shall not find such an Hebraism in them. The Text is easie and plain, without any thing of this Nature; for the Wife Man here acquaints us, that the Spirit of Man, his Nobler and Divine part, the Intellect especially, that Bright and Glorious Faculty was given to him by God, on purpose to be a Light and Guide to him, to make him capable of enquiring into and attaining a knowledge of the Profoundest Truths, the most remote and recondite Mysteries either in Nature or Religion: that is meant here by fearthing all the inward Parts of the Belly. Thus the Sagacious Mind of Man is the Candle or Lamp of the Lord; the word Lord here fignifying to us the Author and Giver of this Noble Faculty. And therefore I something wonder at what this Learned Writer adds in the same place, viz. That our English Translation [the Spirit of Man is the Candle of the Lord] is an odd Expression, and somewhat difficult surely to make a good sense of; whereas the same Expression is used in the Scripture in other places, and bears a very good sense, as you have heard. Some have thought that Musical Instruments of God, 1 Chron, 16, 42. and Instruments of Musick of the Lord, 2 Chron. 7. 6. denote the Loudness or Excellency of the Temple-Mulick; but this Fancy arose from their not attending to the true Reason which

<sup>1 \*</sup> Druftus in Proverb. Hebraic. Class. 2.

<sup>\*</sup> Sir N. Knatchbull Amotat. on 2 Cor. 10.

is given in the latter of these places, where after Instruments of Musick of the Lord is immediately added, which David the King had made to Praise the Lord; therefore they were so call'd. Nor can I be perswaded that a Man of God, which we often read of, imports only an Excellent Man, as some have suggested; but it speaks his more particular and peculiar Relation to God as a Prophet.

I come now to offer some Examples where the Hebrew way of Speaking, by mentioning God to fignify the Greatness or Excellency of a thing, is very apparent and unquestionable; as Gen. 30.8. Wrestlings of God, according to the Hebrew, i. e. great, strong and vehement Wrestlings: 1 Sam. 14. 15. a Trembling of God, which we rightly translate a very great Trembling: 1 Sam. 10.5. the Hill of God: Psal. 36.6. the Mountains of God, i. e. the great Hills and Mountains. Cedars of God. Pfal. 80. 10. rendred goodly: the Trees of the Lord. Pfal. 104. 16. i. e. exceeding great or high Trees. To which Texts (that are generally acknowledg'd to bear this sense) I will presume to add another, viz. Pfal. 65. 9. the River of God, i. c. a Vast Great River. And what is that? The Clouds or Rain. which are poured down upon the Earth in great abundance. For if you read that part of the Psalm, you'll see it speaks of the great Blessing of Rain, Thou visitest the Earth, and waterest it, thou greatly enrichest it with the River of God, &c. to the end of the Psalm. This Vast Mass of Waters is according to the Hebrews stiled a River of God: it is as 'twere a Great Excellent River flowing down from Heaven: Though I do not exclude the other sense contain'd in it, that 'tis from God, and that 'tis a fingular Argument and Token of God's Care and Providence. Cant. 8. 6. is a place

little taken notice of, the Flame of the Lord, i. c. (as we truly translate it) a most Vehement Flame. So the Voice of God, Ezek. 1. 24. & 10. 5. that is, a very loud and terrible Voice. The Breath of God, Job 37. 10. i.e. a Vehement sharp Wind. And it is not unlikely that Ifa. 59. 19. is to be understood thus, Ruach Jehovah, (not, as we translate it, the Spirit of the Lord, but) the Wind of the Lord, i.e. a great tempestuous Wind. I gather this to be the meaning from what went before, when the Enemy shall come in like a Floud, then (saith the Prophet) the Almighty Power of God, like some Great and Vehement Wind, shall drive it back, shall put it to flight, as we see great Waters and Floods are oftentimes beat back (as well as violently thrust forward) by mighty Winds. Another place which hath not been observed, is Job 15. 11. Are the Consolations of God small with thee? which are Eliphaz's words wherewith he'reproves Job for undervaluing the Confolatory Arguments which had been offer'd to him by himfelf and his other Friends: and these Topicks of Comfort were not mean and ordinary, but of a very peculiar Nature. Job's Fault is aggravated from this, that he despised and slighted so Great Comforts when they were tender'd to him: and Great they were, (as you read in the 9th and 10th Verses) because they were offer'd by Persons of great Onderstanding, Age, and Experience. And the Antithesis which is here, doth shew this to be the sense of the place; Are these Great Consolations, saith he, Small with thee? Dost thou look for Greater and Stronger Arguments to support and cheer thee than these are? I am of opinion therefore that Tanchumoth El, the Consolations of God; are the fame

same with Great Consolations. Jon. 3.3. is a known Text, where it is faid, Nineueb was an Exceeding great City, Hebr. great to God. A Land of Darkness of the Lord, Jer. 2. 31. is as much as a. Land of very great and fignal Darkness; for Mathel is here compounded with Jah, to express the Superlative Degree of Darkness. So in the words Erel, Ifa. 33. 7. Pravalidus, & Ariel, Leo fortis, 2 Sam. 23. 29. El the Name of God is added to inhanse the Signification. So Jacob was Sirnamed Israel, i. c. a Prince of God, which is equivalent with a Great Prince, one that mightily prevail'd, even with God himself. Hither perhaps may be reduced the Sous of God, Gen. 6. 2. Great Men, of high Stature, the Giants mention'd ver. 4. but call'd here the Sons of God, according to the Idiom of the Hebrews, who fet forth the Greatness and Largeness, as also the transcendent Worth and Excellency of Rerions and Things by joining the Name of God to them. To this way of speaking, I refer Tardemah Jehovah, 1 Sam, 26. 12. english'd by our Translators, a deep Sleep from the Lord; but according to the Hebrew, it is a Sleep of the Lord, i. e. a Great Sleep, Sopor webemens, as Arius Montanus renders it, a Profound Sleep, out of which a Person is not easily awaked. Therefore a deep Sleep, or a very deep Sleep will be sufficient, without adding from or of the Lord, To this also may be referr'd lechem abirim, Pfal. 78. 25. she Bread or Food of Angels, i.e. Excellent Food: for what is Excellent, is faid to be Angelical as well as Divine. And indeed these are the same here. for Abirim is of the same import with Elobim, and as the Name of God, is used to augment the sense. Whence the Pagan Writers have borrow'd this manner

manner of Speaking, as when by \* Stor Teaching, the Food of the Gods, they, in a Proverbial way, mean very Choice and Exquisite Dainties: and by of Deorum cona, they express a very Sumptuous and Delicate Entertainment. Virgit and other Poets (yea, Cicero sometimes) by the Epithet of Divine, understand that which is Eminent, Remarkable, Excellent. Bordering on which is the use of the word Sacred sometimes, whereby that which is Great is express'd: Sacra anchora is the greater, and consequently the stronger and safer Anchor, the last and only hope of the Ship and Mariners. And some Criticks have thought that Sacra fames is the same with ingens, insatiabilis; for those things which are Great are faid to be Sacred, and to be of God. 'Igeg' and beia among the Greeks are sometimes magna: So ieeg voo (Morbus sonticus) is a Vehement Disease, of greater Malignity than ordinary, but more fignally 'tis applied to the Epilepsy. Plutarch mentions an Old Physician who call'd his Choice Sovereign Medicines decov xeegs. And in Galen there is Jupiter's Trochisk. They give these Names to their Medicaments to shew the Excellency of them; for all Great and Excellent things were by the Antients counted Holy, and sometimes they put the Name of God upon them. So among the later Physicians and Botanists, you may observe that they make use of the Name of || God, of \* Christ, of the + Apostles and Saints, to fet forth some things which they have a great Esteem of. They mention the most Sacred things to extol and magnify their Simples and their Medical Applications. All this feems to be derived from

<sup>\*</sup> Suet.in Nerone. † Hor. 2 Serm. Sat. 6. || Allelujah. \* Oculus, manus, palma, lachryma Christi. † Unguentum Apostolorum,

from the antient Stile of the Hebrews, by whom that which is Greatest in its kind is call'd Divine, and accordingly (as R. D. Kimchi notes in his Comment on 1 Sam. 16.) the Sacred Scripture. when it would magnify a thing, joins with it God's Name.

of the STILE

But it is endless to insist on the Old Testament: and therefore I will confine my self to the New. and briefly shew you that this part of the Bible, though written in Greek, abounds with Hebraisms: (and yet here still I shall have occasion to refer to the Writings of the Old Testament all along.) The Reason why the Evangelists and Apostles writ in Greek, was, because this was the Tongue generally used by all sorts of Nations, but you will find that they accommodated it to the guile of the Hebrer Tongue; that is, they retained many of the Hebrew Idioms, and made use of them in the Greek Language. Thus to be called and to be are the same among the Hebrews, and this latter is frequently in \* the Old Testament, expressed by the former. Accordingly these are oftentimes expressive one of another in the New Testament, as in Mat. 5. 9. they shall be called the Children of God: and ver. 19. he shall be called the least in the Kingdom of Heaven. 1 Joh. 3. 1. - that we should be call'd the Sons of God. To be called here and in other places is really to be, and it is so express'd according to the Hebrew way of speaking. There is the like fignification of the word [arise], as in 2 Sam. 11. 20. if the King's Wrath drife: Esth. 4. 14. Enlargement and Deliverance shall arise to the Jews: Prov. 24. 22. their Calamity shall rife suddenly. In all which places the word [arise] signisses no other

200 than actual Being or Existing, according to the Hebrew Idiom. And thence it is used so in the New Testament, as in Luke 24. 38. Why do Thoughts arise in your Hearts? i. e. why are they there? Mat. 24. 24. There shall arise false Christs, i. e. there shall actually be at that time such Persons, according to my Prediction. So [to be found] is among the Hebrews of the same import with the forementioned Expressions, and accordingly in the Old Testament one is put for the other, as in 1 Sam. 25. 28. Evil hath not been found in thee: 2 Chron. 19.3. Good things are found in thee: Isa. 51.3. Joy and Gladness Shall be found therein: Dan. 5. 12. An Excellent Spirit was found in Daniel. In these and other Texts נמצא and השתכח inventus eft, are as much as היה fuit. As in the Writings of the Jewish Doctors you may observe that wind is the fame with to dv, ens. In imitation of this Hebraism έυρίσκομαι is used for sum or existo in the New Testament, as in Luke 17. 18. There are not found. that returned to give Glory to God save this Stranger. Acts 5. 39. Lest haply ye be found to fight against God. 1 Cor. 4. 2. - that a Man be found Faithful. Phil. 2.2. being found in fashion as a Man. Heb. 11. 5. Enoch was not found: which is the same with Enoch was not, as is evident from comparing this place with Gen. 5.24. to which it refers. That of St. Peter, 1 Ep.2. 22. Neither was Guile found in his Mouth, is taken from Isa. 53. 9. Neither was there any Deceit (or Guile) in his Mouth. From whence it appears, that evelonewas is as much as piredus in this, as well as the other Texts beforenamed. Which manner of Speech is borrowed from the Hebrews, who use this way of expressing themselves, and from whom some Heathen Authors have derived it, as may be feen in some of their Writings. Next.

<sup>\*</sup> Isa. 60. 14, 18. Ch. 61. 3. Ch. 62. 12. Zech. 8. 3.

Next, we may take notice of that Hebraism in the New Testament, which I observ'd before to be in the Old one, Az. the using of God's Name to augment and inhanse a thing. Of this Nature seems to be that in Alls 7. 20. Moses was fair to God; for so 'tis according to the Greek, but is fitly rendred by our English Translators [exceeding fair:] for the Name of God being here adjoined advanceth the sense, and denotes to us that Moles was transcendently and superlatively Fair, he was a Child of Extraordinary Beauty, he was (as the French Version hath it) divinement beau, divinely beautiful, of most Astonishing and Divine Features. The like Expression, I conceive, is that of the Apostle, when he saith, The Lord shall descend from Heaven with a Shout, with the Voice of the Archangel, and with the Trumpet of God, 1 Thell. 4. 16. This Trumper of God may be that kind of Hebraisin whereby the Greatness and Wonderfulness of a thing are expressed, by adding the Name of God to it. And accordingly in Mat. 24. (which gives us an account of the Signs of the Day of Judgment) you read that the Son of Man shall send his Angels with a Great found of a Trumpet, ver. 31. which is the same that the Apostle calls the Trumvet of God. Of this fort is 2 Cor. 10. 4. Strala નહીં ઉદદેશ, Exceeding Powerful, as Sir N. Knatchbull rightly translates it: and 2 Cor. 11.2. (nhã des (nhw, I am zealous toward you with a Zeal of God. i. c. I exceedingly affect you, in an extraordinary manner I am Zealous for you. So the Harps of God, Rev. 15. 2. are Excellent Heavenly Musick. And I will offer one Place more (which I think may be referr'd to this Head) John 6. 28, 29. the Works of God, i. c. Some Great and Eminent Works of Religion, which surpass all others. Thus you

fee that God's Name is used in the Sacred Stile, as an Intensive Term, and to Aggrandize the thing which is spoken of.

So in Conformity to the Hebrew Phrase in the Old Testament (mention'd before) we read of the Sons or Children of this or that : which fignifies, according to the Hebrew Propriety of Speech, that they are Sbarers or Partakers of fuch a thing, or that they are obnoxious and liable to it, or that they have great Inclination and Dosire towards it, or are Conversant in it, or much given and additted to it, or do in a special manner belong and appertain to it. In one or other of these Senses the following places are to be understood, the Children of the Bride-Chamber, Mat. 9. 15 i. e. those that belong'dto it, and had the favour to be admitted into it; those that were invited to the Marriage, and were interested in the Bridegroom and Bride; the Children of Hell, Mat. 23. 15. i.e. those that are liable to it, and shall partake of its Torments: or it is as much as the Children of the Devil, i. e. those who have given themselves to him by a voluntary addicting themfelves to Vice: the Children of Wisdom, Luk. 7. 35. those that are conversant in it: the Sons of Peace, Luk. 10. 6. fuch who addict themselves to Peace, or who shall be sharers in the Blessing of Peace: the Children of this World, Luk. 16.8. those whose Inclinations and Delires are chiefly after this World; to whom are opposed, in the same Verse, the Children of Light, they who despise this dark World here below, and breath and long after the Light and Glory of another State, of a future Life: the Children of the Refurrection, Ink. 20. 36. those who have a part, a share in the blessed Resurrection to Life everlasting : Children of Disobodience, Eph. 5. 6. Col. 3. 6. those that give themselves up wholly to DifoDisobediencce, the same with Sons of Belial, beforementioned: the Sons of Perdition, John 17. 12. 2 Thess. 2. 3. those that are certainly liable to Perdition and Destruction: Children of Promise, Rom. 9. 8. Gal. 4. 28. those who shall share in the Promise: Children of Wrath, Eph. 2. 3. those who are liable to God's Wrath. This is the Hebrew way of speaking: he is call'd the Son of this or that, who hath some special relation to it. That also savours of the Hebrew Idiom, Are ye able to drink of the Cup that I shall drink of? Mat. 20. 22. & Joh. 18. 11. The Cup which my Father hath given me, shall I not drink it? If it be possible, let this Cup pass from me, Mat. 26. 39. And you read of the Cup of the Wine of the fierceness of God's Wrath, Rev. 16. 19. And again, Chap. 14. 10. & Ch. 18.6. The Cup fignifies with the Hebrews any thing good or bad that befals a Man: because those of the same Family or Table drink of the fame Cup or Veffel; every one hath his part and share of it, better or worse, as the Drink is. And so the Phrase denotes either the Good or Evil that happens to us, but most commonly the latter. Or perhaps, the occasion of the Phrase was this; the Guests had antiently their certain Quantity and Measure of Drink and Meat appointed them at Feafts, by the Master or Governour of the Feast: from which Custom of distributing a certain Portion, God is said to Give or Distribute his Cup: and the Cup and Drinking are used for the Calamities and Sufferings which he is pleased to allot them. So our Saviour's Words are .to be understood; the Cup which he was to drink, and which his Father gave him, was the Sufferings which he was to undergo. The Cup of the Wine of the Fierceness of God's Wrath, was no other than the Plagues and Judgments which were to be inflicted

on Mystical Babylon. This manner of Speaking was taken from the Old Testament, where you read of the Cup of God's Fury, and the Cup of Trembling, Isa. 31.17. and many such \* other Expressions there are in the Books of the Prophets. That of the Apostle in 1 Tim. 1. 17. is a pure Hebraisin, Now to the King Eternal, or, as 'tis in the Original, to the King of Ages: which is an Expression to fet forth Eternity. Accordingly the Pfalmist saith, Thy Kingdom is a Kingdom of all Ages, which we rightly translate, an everlasting Kingdom, Pfal. 145. 13. In the Lord Jehovah is the Rock, or Strength, of Ages, Ifa. 26. 4. which is truly rendred Everlasting Strength. And that in Isa. 9. 6. the Father of the Age, or of Eternity, or the everlafting Father, (as we translate it) is something like it. Bread is the general word in the New Testa. ment, to fignify all Food and Provision for the fustaining of Man's Life, as in that Prayer which our Lord taught his Disciples, Give us this Day our daily Bread, and in Mark 7. 2, 27. Luk. 7. 37. Ch. 9. 3. Ch. 14. 1. 2 Theff. 3. 12. and in other places: which is according to the Idiom of the Hebrews, with whom all Food is call'd lechem, Bread, because this is the most Common and Universal Food, and the most necessary for the Life of Man: and this word with them denotes all the Necessaries and Conveniencies of Humane Life.

According to the Hebrew Stile, a Sword hath a Mouth, or the Edg of the Sword is call'd a Mouth: Luk. 21. 24. They shall fall by the Mouth (we rightly render it the Edg) of the Sword. Heb. 11. 34. -escaped the Edg of the Sword, in the Greek soua, the Mouth of the Sword. So you read of a Two.

f Jer. 25. 1 5,26, Cb. 51.7. Lam. 4.21. Ezek. 23.33. Hab. 2.16. ?

Two-mouth'd Sword, Heb. 4. 12. for it is Sixus in the Greek. Which is the Hebrew Phraseology, as you may fatisfy your felves from Judg. 3.16. Pfal. 149. 6. Prov. 5. 4. A Sword is faid to have a Mouth because it Deveurs: So lacham is both to Fight and to Eat.

As I observed before that Drinking was applied to Calamity or Suffering, fo now I will remark that Eating and Drinking are sometimes meant of Holy Instruction, of Divine Grace, and the most Excellent things of Religion. Eat up the Book, Rev. 10. 9. i. e. Study it diligently, understand the Contents of it. Our Saviour expresses his Holy Doctrines, his Gifts and Graces, the Favour of God, and all Spiritual Comforts, yea, Himfelf too by Meat and Drink. I have Meat to cat which ye know not of, faith he, John 4. 32. My Meat is to do the Will of him that sent me, ver. 34. He advifeth to labour for the Meat which endureth to Everlasting Life, John 6. 27. And in four Verses together in the same Chapter, he uses this Phrase, Except ye eat the Flesh of the Son of Man, and drink his Blood, ye have no Life in you. Whoso eateth my Flesh, and drinketh my Blood, bath Eternal Life. For my Flesh is Meat indeed, and my Blood is Drink indeed. He that eateth my Flesh, and drinketh my Blood, dwelleth in me. Ver. 53, &c. And he promiseth his Apostles, that they shall eat and drink with him at his Table in his Kingdom, Luk. 22. 30. All which is according to the Language of the Antient Hebrews, who by Eating and Drinking express things of a Spiritual and Divine Nature, as in Prov. 24. 13, 14. Chap. 25. 27. Ifa. 55. 2. and other Texts. \* R. Hen. Maimon tells us, That this was the Stile of

of the Holy Scriptures. the Jewish Doctors and Rabbies: in their Writings, faith he, Eating is to be understood of Divine instruction and Wisdom. This is observ'd by Philo, who lets us know that \* Eating is a Reprefentation of the Spiritual Nourishment.

The using of the word First-born or First-begotten in the Writings of the Apostles, is conformable to the acception of it among the Hebrews. The due attending to which will lead us to a right understanding of some Texts which have been generally mistaken by Expositors. I shall consider it here only as it is applied to our Blessed Saviour, which is done no less than four times: first in Col. 1. 15. where he is call'd the First-born of every Creature. Erasmus read it recolorous, the first producer of all Creatures: and he had it from + Isidore of Pelusium, who evaded the Arians Asfaults by this means. But this is an undue Expedient, because it alters the received Accent of the Word without any warrant, and because in other places where this Word is, and is applied to Christ, this alteration is not admitted by those that make use of it here. Gregory Nazianzen and others, interpret the First-born of every Creature thus, He whom God the Father begot before he created any thing: He that existed before all Creatures. But this feems not to be the sense of the words, because to be begotten before all Creatures, and to be the First-born of them, are two different things. Others think the First-born here is Synonimons with the Regimer or Author, (which falls in with the Interpretation of St. Isidore before mention'd) and accordingly they quote that as a pa-

In More Nevochini. .:

<sup>\*</sup> To 28 payen σύμβολόν ές τ τζεςτίς ψυχικίς. † Lib. 3. Epist. 31.

rallel Text, Rev. 3. 14. where Christ is call'd the beginning of the Creation of God, i.e. the Cause and Author of all Creatures, say they. But this (though it be very true) is not agreeable with the sense of the word neare touch, which is no where found to be taken thus. Nor is Grotius's Gloss to be allowed of, who expounds it thus, Christ is the first in the new Creation: for the Context shews that there is relation to no fuch thing. But if we confult the antient acception of the Word among the Hebrew Writers of the Old Testament, we shall discover what the genuine meaning of it is in this place. The First-Born is as much as Excellent, Choice, Beloved, as in Jer. 31. 9. Ephraim is my First-born. The Chiefest and most Eminent of Persons and Things have this Name; thus the First-born of Death, Job 18. 13. is the most fignal and mortal Disease, or the cruellest kind of Death. The First-born of the Poor, Isa. 14. 30. is the poorest of all. I will make him my First-born, Pfal. 89. 28. i. e. I will make him a Great and Eminent Person, bigher than the Kings of the Earth, as it is explain'd in the next words. Answerably to this fort of speaking, Christ is said here to be the First-born of every Creature, i. c. the Chief, the Prince, the Lord of all Creatures. For we must know that this manner of Expression refers to that Dignity and Pre-eminence which were claim'd by the First-born under the Law. Primogeniture carried with it the Right of Superiority and Government. In allusion to which, our Saviour is call'd the First-born (that is the Lord) of every Creature: or mãoz ulios may better be rendred the whole Creation. He made, he created all things; and therefore is Lord of the whole Creation. Accordingly it immediately follows, For by him were all things created. This for

gives us to understand, that this Verse is the reafon and account of what went before: St. Paul had stiled Christ the First-born of every Creature, and now he gives this fatisfactory account of it, because by Him all things were created; because of this he is deservedly stiled the First-born, the Lord and Sovereign of the Creation. You must either conclude that the Great St. Paul did not fpeak Logically and Argumentatively, or that this is the genuine Interpretation of the place. If the Apostle's Words were to the purpose, (as be fure they were) then this sense which I have offer'd is so too, which is as much as I can desire: And that this is the meaning of the word First-born, is evident from that other Text in this Chapter, ver. 18. where he is call'd the First-born from the Dead, not (as some think) because he is the Author of the Resurrection; or (as Grotius, with most of the Pontificians) because he was the first that rose to Immortal Life and Glory: or (as others) because he was the First that rose from the Dead, as 'tis faid Alfs 26. 23. viz. by his own Power: but because he was the Chiefest of all those that rose from the Dead, because he was the Head of them all, as it follows, that in all things he might have the Preeminence, that it might appear that he was Lord of all. This is to be the First-born of the Dead, or of the Number of the Dead; for fo it should be rendred, the Preposition on fignifying here so much. A third Text might be alledged, viz. Rom. 8. 29. that he might be the First-born among many Brethren, i. c. that he might be the Chief, the Supream of all the Children of God; for he was Predestinated as well as they, he was fet a-part as the First-born among Men (who were the Choicest of all) were, who were more immediately deftined and devoted

to the Service of God, Exed 12. 2. And lastly, I will mention Rev. 1. 5. where Christ is call'd the First-begotten of the Dead, which bath the same import with those words in the Epistle to the Cahossians before alledg'd, for it is explain'd to us by what follows in the next Clause Eand the Prince of the Kings of the Earth: ] to let us fee that the word First-boxm or First-begotten, hath the Signification which I have offer'd, it being the use of the Hebrews to apply it to those Things or Persons that are the Chiefest and most Excellent. In which sense likewise First-fruits are taken in, Jam. 1. 18. where the Saints are call'd the First-fruits of the Creatures of God, i. c. they are the Chief of the Creation, they are the Flower of Mankind, they are more figually and eminently defign'd to fet forth the Glory of God in the World. So Christ is the First-fruits of them that slept, 1 Cor. 15. 20. he is the Principal of all those that rose from the Dead. This way of Speaking is taken from the Jewish notion of First-fruits, which were the Choicest of all their Fruits and Incomes, and from the Hebrew manner of expressing themselves, that is, calling those things which are Chief and most Eligible First-fruits, Amos 6. 1. Mic. 7. 1.

Moreover, I take that Expuession which our Saviour so often useth concerning himself, viz. his stiling himself the Son of Man, to be a way of Speech proper to the Hebrews, and therefore is to be explain'd by what we meet with in the Old Testament. A \* Person well skill'd in Hebrew Criticism tells us, that Exskiel is very often (about a hundred times) call'd Son of Man, because of the extraordinary Visions and Revelations which he had, where-

\* Mr. Weemes's Exposition of the Judicial Law.

wherewith he was highly honour'd above others: So that Son of Man is the fame with an Excellent or Dignifled Man. And that this is the frequent Language of the Pfalmist, hath been very lately observ'd and amply proved by our \* Incomparable Paraphrast on this Sacred Book. Besides several other Excellent Discoveries made by him in that Choice Work, (which will gain him an Immortal Honour among the Pious and Wife) he hath particularly set us into a right apprehension of This Expression so often used by the Holy Penman. From feveral places in this Book (as alfo from others which he produceth out of the Sacred Writings) he evidenceth that Son of Man is the fame with an Eminent Person; and he is the first Writer I have met with that hath establish'd and fully cleared this Notion. From this Discerning Author we may observe, that in Psal. 49. 2. there is a difference made between bene adam and bene ish, the former signifying there Mean Inferiour Persons, but the latter Men of Considerable Rank and Quality: wherefore our Translators give us the sense very fully in rendring it low and high. Or perhaps adam in this place is the same with adamah Earth, and so the Sons of Man are opposed to the Sons of the Earth, My Lucis, as the Seventy render it; terrigenæ, according to the Vulgar Latin. In Pfal. 4. 2. bene ish, the Sons of Man, is applied to Princes and Rulers, for of fuch that place speaks. And I translate it the Sons of Man, not of Men, (as 'tis in our English Bibles) for so the Original hath it: and we ought to take notice of it, for there is a vast difference between the one and the other.

Sons

<sup>\*</sup> Dr. S. Patrick (now a Reverend Bishop) in his Paraphrase on the Psalms, and particularly in his Preface.

Sons of Men in Scripture are all that are of the Race of Adam, but Son or Sons of Man, are Perfons of some Dignity and Rule in the World. But fometimes indeed bene adam, is the same with bene ish, and then they are opposed to enosh or ben enosh, as in Psal. 8. 4. What is Man - and the Son of Man? i. e. (as I conceive) what is the Lower and the Higher Rank of Men, that Thou visitest them. that Thou shewest thy self so Bountiful to them? So Sons of Man, Pfal. 58. 1. is meant of Judges and Great Men, as is evident from the former words of that Verse. And in Pfal. 80. 17. Son of Man is the same with the Man of the right Hand, and the Man that is made Strong. Again, in Pfal. 146. 3. Princes and the Sons of Man are synonimous, for [in Princes, in the Son of Man] are by way of Apposition in the Hebrew, to acquaint us that they are identified. And further it is to be observed, that this Title of the Son of Man is particularly and by way of Eminency affix'd to the Messias, as in that foremention'd place, Pfal. 8. 4. (for we shall find that in Heb. 2. 6. it is referr'd to him by the Apostle in the Secondary and Mystical sense). but more fignally and directly in Dan. 7. 13. Behold, one like the Son of Man. On which words Rabbi Saadiab is very peremptory, and faith, This is the Meffias our Righteousness. And Solomon Jarchi, and other great Rabbies declare, that by the Son of Man is meant the Messias. There is reason therefore to affert, that when Christ fo frequently gives himself this Title, he takes it from the Old Testament, where it signifies a Man of Eminency and Rule, and more especially from Daniel, who by this Epithet expresses the Messias, the Prince, the Lord of Heaven and Earth. And to any considerate Man it is evident, that our Sayiour particularly

of the Holy Scriptures. cularly referr'd to that place in Daniel, [Behold, one like the Son of Man came with the Clouds of Heaven] when he pronounced those words, Hereafter shall ye see the Son of Man sitting on the right hand of Power, and coming in the Clouds of Heaven, Mat. 26. 64. Neither would the High Priest have thought that our Saviour spoke Blasphemy, and thereupon rent his Clothes, if he had not apprehended that he referr'd to those words of Daniel, and consequently owned himself to be the Meffias, who hath the Title of the Son of Man given him because of his Excellency, Preeminence, and Authority. And this is yet more clear from our Saviour's words, Job. 5. 27. where he assigns the Reason why the Judgment of the World is committed to him by the Father, He hath (faith he) given him Authority to execute Judgment, because he is the Son of Man, because he is Head and Ruler of the Church, because all Government and Authority in this lower World are devolv'd upon him, because he hath all Rule and Dominion put into his Hands, This is the true account, as I conceive, of the Expression; this Title was attributed to him to signify his Authority and Exaltation, and not (as is commonly faid and believ'd, and as the Learned \* Grotius defends it) his Meanness, Condescension and Humility: though I will not exclude Other Reasons which may be consistent with this, as that he is call'd the Son of Man, to attest the reality of his Manhood, to ascertain us of the Truth of his Suffering in our Humane Nature, to assure us of his Sympathy with us, and that he is touch'd with the feeling of our Infirmities. I will only add this, That whereas it is generally faid by Writers, and

<sup>\*</sup> Annotat. in S. Matth. 8. 20.

even by the Critical \* Grains among the rest, that this Epithet is given to our Saviour by Himself only, and not by any other in the New Testament, this is a Mistake, for in Alls 7.56. he is call'd by St. Stephen the Son of Man, and so he is twice by St. John, Rev. 1.13. Chap. 14.14. The Original of which must be fetch'd (as I have shew'd) from the Hebrew Stile in the Old Testament.

And so must that Expression which the Apostle uses 2 Cor. 4. 17. a Weight of Glory. Belet here answers to the Hebrew cabod, a Weight, and yet is rendred Glory, Gen. 31. 1. and the Tongue is call'd cabod, Glory, Pfal. 57. 8. So the Verb cabad fignifies both to be weighty, and to be glorious or honourable, Ifa. 66. 5. Prov. 13. 18. And the Adjective cabed approaches to this sense, as is clear from Gen. 13. 2. Thus it is with the word jakar, gravis fuit: but it is understood in a treble sense, as if there were a threefold Gravity, viz. of Weight, Price and Honour. Accordingly it fignifies, 1. To be heavy, weighty. 2. To be precious, Ifa. 43.4. 3. To be in Honour and Glory, Job. 31. 26. as also to glorify and honour; and therefore the word is rendred by Sofales in the Version of the 70. Thus you see that after the manner of the Hebrews, Glory or Greatness is express'd by words that denote Weight: and thence it is that page des is here us'd by the Apostle to denote that Superlative Glory which is the attainment of the other World. And tis not improbable that ev Bolen gwar. 1 Thest. 2.6. is to be understood thus, and should not be rendred to be Burdensom, but f to be Honourable, or | to be in Authority or Dignity: which our English

English Translators were fensible of when they rendred it in the Margin to ufe Authority. This I take to be of Hebrew extraction, and in imitation of the use of the words cabad and jakar. And hence also in the Seventy's Translation of the Old Testament, seasos imports Grandeur or Glory, and is applied in several places to a Royal Train, and to a Mighty Host, 1 Kings 10. 2. 2 Kings 6. 14. Chap. 18.7. 2 Chron. 9. 1. So бук , a Weight or Burden, is equivalent with Honour or Splendor in \* one of St. Chrysoftom's Homilies. I could remark that divos gravis, and div gloria, differ but in the Accents: and among the Latins bonos and onus are not unlike: Vir gravis is used by the Latin Orator for a Person of Authority and Worth. And Graves viri in the old Roman way of Speaking, are Men of Authority and Eminency. And Baro (which comes from Bagus) is used by 1 Tully as a Name of Dignity, and is as much as Patrioius a Nobleman: though I know some Criticks interpret the word in another fense. Thence our word Baron, a Lord, a Person of Greatness and Authority. And Grave answers to Baron, whence Palfgrave, Landgrave, Margrave, Burgrave: for Grave among the Germans fignifies a Magistrate, a Ruler. And we in England heretofore used the word Grave or Greve in the same sense: thus Portgreve was the Name of the Chief Magistrate of the City of London till King John's time, who turn'd it into that of Mayor. These things I here mention only to intimate the Affinity that is to be observ'd in Languages, not only the Learned ones (as they are call'd) but others, and to shew you the particular cognation betwixt Gravity and Honour

<sup>\*</sup> Christus nunquam hoc modo nisi à seipso appellatur. Annor. in Mat. 8. 20. † Honorabiles esse. Syr. || In authoritate, in dignitate esse. Calvin, Erasmus.

<sup>\*</sup> Hom. 32. Tom. 5. † Ad Attic. lib. 5.

even by the Critical \* Groties among the rest, that this Epithet is given to our Saviour by Himself only, and not by any other in the New Testament, this is a Mistake, for in Ass. 7.56. he is call'd by St. Stephen the Son of Man, and so he is twice by St. John, Rev. 1.13. Chap. 14.14. The Original of which must be fetch'd (as I have shew'd) from the Hebrew Stile in the Old Testament.

And so must that Expression which the Apostle uses 2 Cor. 4. 17. a Weight of Glory. Bale here answers to the Hebrew cabod, a Weight, and yet is rendred Glory, Gen. 31. 1. and the Tongue is call'd cabod, Glory, Pfal. 57. 8. So the Verb cabad fignifies both to be weighty, and to be glorious or honourable, Isa. 66. 5. Prov. 13. 18. And the Adjeclive cabed approaches to this sense, as is clear from Gen. 13.2. Thus it is with the word jakar, gravis fuit: but it is understood in a treble sense. as if there were a threefold Gravity, viz. of Weight, Price and Honour. Accordingly it fignifies, 1. To be heavy, weighty. 2. To be precious. Ifa. 43. 4. 3. To be in Honour and Glory, Job. 31. 26. as also to glorify and honour; and therefore the word is rendred by Sofalew in the Version of the 70. Thus you see that after the manner of the Hebrews. Glory or Greatness is express'd by words that denote Weight: and thence it is that page Solfus is here us'd by the Apostle to denote that Superlative Glory which is the attainment of the other World. And tis not improbable that ev pages gival, 1 Thess. 2.6. is to be understood thus, and should not be rendred to be Burdensom, but f to be Honourable, or | to be in Authority or Dignity: which our English

English Translators were fensible of when they rendred it in the Margin to ufe Authority. This I take to be of Hebrew extraction, and in imitation of the use of the words cabad and jakar. And hence also in the Seventy's Translation of the Old Testament, sagus imports Grandeur or Glory, and is applied in several places to a Royal Train, and to a Mighty Host, I Kings 10. 2. 2 Kings 6. 14. Chap. 18.7. 2 Chron. 9. 1. So бук , a Weight or Burden, is equivalent with Honour or Splendor in \* one of St. Chrysoftom's Homilies. I could remark that divos gravis, and aw gloria, differ but in the Accents: and among the Latins bonos and onus are not unlike : Vir gravis is used by the Latin Orator for a Person of Authority and Worth. And Graves viri in the old Roman way of Speaking, are Men of Authority and Eminency. And Baro (which comes from Bagus) is used by 1 Tully as a Name of Dignity, and is as much as Patrions a Nobleman: though I know some Criticks interpret the word in another fense. Thence our word Baron, a Lord, a Person of Greatness and Anthority. And Grave answers to Baron, whence Palsgrave, Landgrave, Margrave, Burgrave: for Grave among the Germans fignifies a Magistrate, a Ruler. And we in England heretofore used the word Grave or Greve in the same sense: thus Portgreve was the Name of the Chief Magiltrate of the City of London till King John's time, who turn'd it into that of Mayor. These things I here mention only to intimate the Affinity that is to be observ'd in Languages, not only the Learned ones (as they are call'd) but others, and to shew you the particular cognation betwixt Gravity and Honour

<sup>\*</sup> Christus nunquam hoc modo nisi à seipso appellatur. Annot. in Mat. 8. 20. † Honorabiles esse. Syr. || In authoritate, in dignitate esse. Calvin, Erasmus.

<sup>\*</sup> Hom. 32. Tom. 5. + Ad Attic. lib. 5.

Honour or Authority, betwixt Weight and Glory, which it is probable was derived first of all from the Hebrews.

The Writers of the New Testament sometimes make use of the word anongive Dai, in the same fense that the Hebrews use the word gnanab, respondere; that is, not to fignify a Person's Anfwering or Replying to what another had faid, but only to denote his going on with his Speech, his proceeding in what he had faid before. Perfons are said to Answer, though there be no Question put to them, though there be no Reply intended, as Jesus answer'd, and said, Mat. 11.25. Then answer'd Peter, and said, Mat. 17. 4. The Angel answer'd, and said, Mat. 28. 5. One of the Elders answer'd, saying, Rev. 7.13. which is (as appears from the Context) no more than this, They spake, and said; for this oftentimes is the acceptation of that word in the Hebrew Writings, and particularly in the Book of Job, Chap. 3. ver. 2. Job answer'd, and said, though no body had spoke to him, or asked him any Question. The words therefore import no more than this, Job spake, and faid; and so our Translators render it. I might further observe, that the Preposition ev in the New Testament, hath by an Hebraism the force of all the Prepolitions, it answering to the Hebrew 2. Lastly, I am inclined to think that what is faid of St. Paul in Alts 9. 15. is spoken after the Hebrew manner: for the Hebrews call any thing that is Choice and Delectable \* חמרה vas desiderii; and the Rabbins accordingly call the Law by this Name viz. a Desirable Vessel, or a Desirable Instrument or Utensil; for Cheli is of a

vast Latitude, and signifies whatever is for the use of Man. Answerably to which St. Paul is said to be જાલ્લાં ને ને તેમ જ તેમ તે chosen Vessel or Instrument, It is spoken after the Propriety of the Hebrews, with whom a Thing or Person that is made use of to some Excellent Purpose, is not only stiled a Vessel, bur, to denote yet further the Worth of it, is called a Vessel of Desire, which is of the like Signification with a Veffel of Choice; for what is defired is chosen. Thus in a few Instances I have shewed, that the Evangelical Writers do Hebraize; and in many more I might have done the same : For tho the New Teframent hath not fo many Hebraisms as is imagined by some Criticks, yet it is not to be doubted that Christ and his Apostles used them very frequently, It is evident that a great part of the Phrases of the Mew Testament are according to the Hebrew Propriety; yea, sometimes they agree more especially with the Rabinical and Talmudick way of Writing, as \* Ludovicus Capellus, and others, have endeavoured to demonstrate. Thus the Pillar and Ground of Truth, 1 Tim. 3.15. is the Title by which the Great Sanhedrim of the Jews was ordinarily fliled; faith Dr Lightfoot. Raca, which is used, Matth. 5. 22. as a Word of Reproach, is common among the Talmudick Doctors, (for their -- or or , is the same with the Syriac (רכא and fignifics a vain empty Fellow. Christ follows the Language of the Rabbins and Talmud Its when he uses the Word Heaven for God, as in Matth. 21.25. he ask'd the Jews wliether John's Baptism was from Heaven, i. c. from God, or of Men. I have sinned against Heavien, i.e. God, faith the Prodigal Son to his Father, Like 15.18. This was the Stile of the Eastern Ped-

<sup>\*</sup> Nahum 2. 9.

<sup>\*</sup> In Spicilegio.

People, and of the Jews particularly, as you find in Dan. 4: 23. 1 Macc. 3. 18. And this was the ufual Language of their Rabbins, they used Shamajim instead of God. And in other Instances it might be flewed, that the Sense of several Places in the New Testament is manifested and illustrated by the Knowledg of the Hebrew Phrase and Stile. For which Reason it was necessary to say something of this Matter, having undertaken to discourse of the Stile of Scripture. We must remember that there are frequent Hebraisms in these Greek Writings. the Authors themselves being Hebrews, and they likewise making use of the Stile of the Old Testament, and fetching thence feveral Expressions which are purely Hebrew. Thus they must needs retain the Hebrew Idiom and way of Speaking: and thus the Old Testament and New agree the better; and the former gives constant Light towards the understanding of the latter.

othly. Though there is a Great Variety of Words and Phrases in the New Testament; and though this Part of the Bible was not written in Attick. but Hebrew Greek, yet this is to be afferted, that there are no Solæcisms in it. I add this here, because some of old, and others of late, have unadvifedly fuggested the contrary, and have been so hardy and prefumptuous, as to aver that the Sacred Scripture, especially the New Testament, abounds with Solwcisms. This is particularly said \* of St. Paul's Epiflics by an Antient Father, whose Unhappiness it was to speak several, things too daringly and presumptuously: "That & Cilician Currier, " faith he, (for so he calls St. Paul) that forry

of the Holy Scriptures. "Tradesman, was skill'd only in Hebrew, (which was as it were his Mother-Tongue to him) and therefore hath many Solocifius and Barbarifius in Greek. And the same Author, in \* another Place, speaks to the like purpose, and taxeth this Apostle for want of Grammar and Syntax. Among the Moderns you'l find Erasmus charging not only St. Paul, but the rest of the Apostles with this Defect in their Writings. There are many Solwcisms, faith he, in their Stile, by reason of the frequent Hebraisms which are used by them. And those worthy Reformers, Luther and Calvin, were not afraid to talk after this rate. The former, after his bold manner, imputes false Grammar to the Evangelists and Apostles, as you may see in his Writings. And the latter expresly avoucheth, that ||| the Greek of the New Testament is Defective, and particularly he holds that St. Peter writ false Greek, as in 1 Epist. cb. 3. v. 20. where ਕੇਲ਼-அன்ன is instead of வீண்டுக்லாரு, a Dative for a Genitive Case: And he fastens this Grammatical Solcecisin on him merely to evade the Doctrine of Purgatory, which cannot but greatly scandalize the Papists when they shall consider that this Great Reformer is not ashamed to disparage and vilify the Scriptures, that he may thereby evade a Popish Doerine: yea, this must needs be offensive to all others likewise, who cannot but see that there was not the least Reason for his fancying the Change of one Case for another in this Place; for away Shown exactly anfwers to, and agrees with william: fo that if άσειθέντων had been the Word here, it had indeed been false Greek: but now 'tis impossible for Cal-

<sup>\*</sup> Paulus, qui Soloccismos facit in loquendo. Hieronym. in Pfal. 81. † Coriarius Cilix. in Epist ad Ephes.

<sup>\*</sup> In Epist. ad Algasiam. + Annotat. in Act. 10. || Tom.3. p. 78. | Institut. cap. 7. Sect. 12.

vin, or any Man else, to make it such. Beza follows his Master, and outdoth him, for he every where finds fault with the Greek of the New Testament, and holds that the Stile is diffurb'd and corrupted; yea, that there are frequent Solocisms in it: norte Sioutes, Mark 12. 40. should have been κατιωνίοντων, he faith, and therefore he condemns it for naughty Grammar: Whereas any unprejudiced Man may see, that there is only an ordinary Ellipsis in the Words; the Verb elow is understood. as it is in several other Texts. But the unsufferable, Boldness of this Writer is partly founded on that Perswasion of his, that the Spirit did not dictate Words to the Prophets and Apostles, but only the Matter, which I have shew'd before in another Difcourse to be an incredible Assertion. Castellio, though of a different Judgment in other things from Calvin and Beza, agrees with them in this, that there are feveral Ungrammatical Passages in the Apostles Writings: Upon Rev. 1.5. κ, άπο '14σ8 χεις &, ό μάρτυς ό πισός, he noteth that ό μάρτυς is put for 78 matrice. This is a Solweism, faith he, but fuch do often occur in St. Paul. Cannot this Author be content with the Credit and Reputation of having turned the Bible into neat Latin, unless he condemns the Apostles for their false Greek? And where, I pray, is this false Greek? Not in this Place which he mentions, and confequently it is not reafohable to believe that it is in any other. In this Place any impartial Eye may see that o is put for os, one Relative for another, which is a common thing among Writers. I could shew him forty Places in the Best Greek Authors, where the like Change is made: And that 33 is frequently left out in the most Approved Writers among the Grecians, cannot be denied by any Man that hath had any Acquaintance

quaintance with them; yea, 'tis often left out in the New Testament, and no fault is found with the Stile where it is so. Why therefore should we think it a strange thing that it is omitted in this Place? Here is Good Grammar, and no Shadow of Solwcifing when this Divine Writer faith, And 78 1408 xpist, ό μάρτυς ό πιτές, that is, ός μαρτύ, όξην ό πιτές. One of our own Annotators liath pick'd up this false Notion concerning the Stile of Scripture, viz. that it is not reconcileable with Grammatical Syntax in fome Places: two especially he takes notice of, Eph. 4. 2. Col. 3. 16. In the former he observes that it is ave your or in the Greek, whereas it should have been are youlders, the Nominative being put instead of the Accusative. But by this Worthy Annotator's leave, ανι χόμεροι may, yea and certainly doth refer to το ξαχαλώ in the former Verse; and so it is but inferting fra hit, and then the Grammar is falved, Το καλά ίνα μτε άνη χόμιλιοι άλληλων, I befeech you that you forbear one another. And if you fay it should have been drexton, because of of-माद्र प्रमेजवा in the former Verse, it is cally answered that the Apostle might express himself in the way of a Subjunctive as well as an Infinitive, feeing it could be done by either of them, as this Learned Critick cannot but acknowledg. In the latter Place alledged by this Learned Man, he takes notice that Sideonortes is misplaced instead of Misconson, a Nominative for a Dative Case, which is a great Flaw in Grammar. But this is foon taken off by referring Sisting not to view in that Verse, (as the Doctor doth) but to canifur and pire de in the Verse just before; for to these it hath reference, and not to that, and so the Grammatical Concord is very good and found.

of the Holy Scriptures.

In feveral other Places (where there have been the like Objections made) you will find the Sense rendred intire by the industrious Pen of that Learned Knight Sir Norton Knatchbull: Though, to speak freely and impartially, he fometimes represents the Stile of the New Testament more perplex'd and diflurb'd than I can believe it to be: and though he fancies Trajettions in some Places where there are none; yet, to the perpetual Honour of this Worthy Gentleman it must be said, that he hath discovered several Trajections or Transpositions, Parenthefes, Transitions, Ellipses, and Changes of Numbers and Persons, with other Enallages, which were fcarcely taken notice of before: he hath rectified fome Comma's and Stops, he hath fet the Words and Periods right, he hath cleared the Syntax and Grammatical Construction, mended the Sense in several Places, removed the Difficulties, shew'd the Propriety and Emphasis of the Words, discovered the Coherence of the Texts: In short, he hath cleared the New Testament of Solacisms, and particularly the Writings of the Great Apostle St. Paul. So that though Tarfus, the Apostle's Birthplace, was in the same Province with and a Neighbour to Sole, the Country of those that corrupted their Language, (whence came Solwcisms) yet it appears that there is no fuch thing in the Apostle's

But suppose these Texts above named could not have been reconciled to the exact Laws of Grammar, yet one would think the Transcribers might better have been blamed than the Writers themselves: the Greek Copy should have been found fault with rather than the Holy Ghost: the Mistake might have been imputed to the Amanuenses, and not to the Apostles. I must profess to you plainly, that it is

bor-

bordering upon Blasphemy, to say that the Holy Spirit, from whom was the Gift of Tongues, dictate Barbarisms and Solecisms in these Sacred Writings, which were immediately inspired by him. Again, suppose, or rather grant that some Periods of the New Testament are not exactly adjusted to Grammar-Rules, yet this will not justify the Language of those Men who charge this Book with Solecisms and Barbarisms; for they will be unwilling to grant that there are such things as these in Homer and Virgil, and fuch approved Authors. Or, if they will grant that there are fuch, then they have no Reason at all to find fault with the like in Holy Scripture. And this is that which I maintain, and which no knowing Person can deny, that the same things which some call Solocisms and Undue Syntax in the New Testament, are to be found in the most Noted and Celebrated Authors among the Greeks and Latins. Criticks have taken notice of several of these in Homer and Plndar especially among the Greek Poets, and in Herodotus and Thucydides among the best Historians that have writ in that Language, and in Demosthenes among the Noted Orators. These do not always observe Grammatick Laws; they lay them aside sometimes, and speak Irregularly, as \* one of the Greatest Criticks of this last Age hath acknowledged. Profane Writers have Solocistical Phrases, Botches, Fillings up, Repetitions. Lucian long fince obferved, that Epithets are not always used by Poets, - because they are fit and convenient, and sutable to the purpose, but to help out the Matter, to fill up the Gapings, to prop up the Ruines of a Verse. And

<sup>\*</sup> Heinfius in Prolegom, in Exercitat. Sacr. + 'En 28 Nov-

them

And both Plutarch and Eustathius (who were more ferious Men than the other) have taken notice of this in Good Authors. Sometimes the Poet is at a stand, and his Muse is restive: thus Virgil hath Broken and Half-verses, which the Criticks excuse by faying that he had not time to finish his Book, or that he did it on purpose to stop his Readers in the Gareer, that they might stay and consider the thing he is speaking of. This Account they give of his Blanks and Chasms. But Homer suffers not his Muse to make a halt, but then (which is as bad) he fills up his Verses with such Expletives as ea, vu, me, voi, &c. and besides these lesser Particles he pseth entire Words and Phrases in many Places only to supply his Verse. We have nothing of this sort in the Sacred Writings, nothing that is really fuperfluous. But there are some Words indeed that are look'd upon as Redundant, and not absolutely Necessary, especially in the Old Testament, which is Poetical in many places. The Lord rained Brimstone and Fire from the Lord, Gen. 19.24. where the last Words [from the Lord] seem to be redundant. So it is in a Tim. 1. 18. The Lord grant unto him that be may find Mercy of the Lord in that Day. Thus in Pfal. 90. 10. The Days of our Years are threefcore Years and tene We may look upon the first Word as an Expletive, for the Divine Poet means this only, that the ordinary Term of our Life extends to feyenty Years: so that the word [Days] might have been left out. The same Pleonasm you read in 2 Sam. 19. 34. How many Days are the Years of my Life? for fo it is according to the Hebrew: and it is the Hebrew way of speaking, and therefore cannot be blamed. Yea, to speak strictly, there is nothing redundant in the Stile of Scripture. All those Words which seem to be Expletives, are Significant

nificant, and fometimes very Emphatical. The Repetitions (which some think to be needless Tautologies) are very useful as well as elegant. What is more frequent in Homer, the Father of Poets? There you meet with Verses and Half-verses over and over again: and the Commentators on those Places tell us, that his frequent using the same Words is an Argument that his Stile is Natural and Genuine, (as in common Discourse we are wont to fay the same things again and again) and that it sheweth the Intenseness and Earnestness of the Speaker, that it argues the Necessity of the Matter as well as the Certainty of it, that it is to conciliate Attention, and that sometimes it is a great Ornament and Elegancy, besides that it was the Mode of speaking then in use, and accordingly is to be found in all Good Authors, more or less.

Why then should we not satisfy our selves after the same manner, when we find the same things repeated in the Bible, especially in the Old Testament, and more particularly in the Books of Moses, which far exceed Homer in Antiquity? That Reiteration of the Words in Gen. 1. 27. God created Man in his own Image, in the Image of God created he bim, should not offend us: nor that in Moses's Song in Exod. 15. 16. Till thy People pass over, O Lord, till thy People pass over: nor those many Reduplications in the Song of Deborah and Barak, I will fing unto the Lord, I will sing unto the Lord God of Israel, Judg. 5. 3. The Mountains melted from before the Lord, from before the Lord God of Ifrael, ver. 5. The Inhabitants of the Villages ceased, they ceased in Israel, until that I Deborah arose, that I arose a Mother in Israel, ver. 7. Awake, awake Deborah, awake, awake, ver. 12. The Kings came and fought; then fought the Kings, ver. 19. The River of Kishon swept

them away, that antient River, the River Kishon, v.21. At her Feet he bowed, he fell and lay down: at her Feet be bowed, he fell; where he bowed, there be fell down dead, ver. 27. To Sisera a Prey of divers Colours, a Prey of divers Colours of Needle-work, of divers Colours of Needle-work of both sides, ver. 30. This Repeating and Reduplicating the Words, is so far from being any Blemish to this Antient Song, that it is to be accounted a great Elegancy, and a fingular Grace to it. This Anadiplosis is deemed a very becoming Figure (and might have been mentioned with those before) in the best Classical Writers: and there is no Reason why it should not be so here. If the repeating the same thing be in them a Sign of the Naturalness of the Stile, and of the Seriousness and Fervour of the Speakers, of the Weightiness of the Subject, and the like, we cannot deny it to be the same in these Antient Writings of the Bible. It is observable, that as in the Sacred History of this Book, so in Homer, the Meslenger, whether he be fent from God or Man, relates his Errand verbatim, in the same Words and Syllables usually that it was delivered to him; so that he alters it not in the least. In this, as in several other things, that Antient Poet comes near to the Simplicity of the Stile of Scripture. I could remark unto you also, that that excellent Emperor Antoninus hath many things in his Book which are conformable to the Stile of the Holy Writ, and feem to resemble it: His way of Writing is like an Emperor, Short, but Pithy and Sententious. Many things are not express'd, which must be supplied and understood. He sometimes useth Words and Phrases as he pleaseth, not as Other Writers are wont. He hath unufual ways of expressing himself, and sometimes he coins Words (as it was his Royal Prerogative

tive to do fo with Money.) He hath feveral abrupt and incoherent Periods; he is generally neglectful and not studied. This is some Resemblance of the Stile of Holy Scripture, where there is a Princely Brevity, wherein more is contain'd than express'd: where are (as I shall shew you anon) either New Words, or those which are usual are applied in a new and unheard of manner. The Stile feems in fundry Places to be inconfiftent and independent, and in most Places it is careless, and no ways elaborate. But as no Wise Critick ever defamed the Emperor for his particular Strain of Writing, so neither can any Man of Judgment disparage the Penmen of Holy Scripture, whom he doth as 'twere imitate. In brief, the Bible hath something in it of all manner of Stiles, and partakes of the Excellencies of all Authors: and where you fee any Defect in the strict Rules of Grammar, even there it is not unlike to Other Writers.

This may fatisfy us, amidst the Cavils of some Censurers of Scripture, that it hath in it the same Phrases and Modes of Speaking, and manner of using them, that are in the best Greek and Latin Writings. Let us come then to the Reading of Scripture, as we see Men do to Homer and Virgil. This is a fair Request, any Man will say, and it must needs be granted. Now, you see, that if any thing less Grammatical or Elegant occurs in those Writers, the Course which is taken is this, Lexicons and Dictionaries are confulted, the Masters of Grammar and Rhetorick are advised with, Interpreters are fearch'd into, Other Authors are compared with these, and their Business is to reconcile them, and to make Sense of these Poets, and by all means to make them speak well. And shall we not do thus with the Sacred Writings? Shall we not

indeavour by all those Ways to vindicate the Credit of them, and to justify the Propriety and Excellency of their Stile, when we are able to do it by Great Examples from the Best and most Celebrated Writers among the Moral Philosophers, Orators, Poets, Historians? The Worthy Knight beforementioned hath done his Part here very laudably; he hath falv'd the Grammar of the New Testament in many Places, and hath shewed that its Stile (where it seems to be strange and uncouth) is parallel with very Good and Approved Authors. And lastly, if any find fault with the Holy Writings because they are Immethodical, because neither the Mosaick Law, nor other Parts of the Old Testament, nor the Christian Doctrine in the New, are reduced to Method and Artificial Order, the like Answer may be given, viz. that this was not the way of Other Writers in those Times. It is evident that it was not the old way of the Jews; their Books of Religion and Morals were not Orderly disposed, but generally made up of Historical Pasfages, and Wife Aphorisms and Sentences. And as for the Gentiles, most of their Learning was not more accurate and reduced. You can descry nothing in their Writings of that Method and Order which have fince been observed. But my Business here chiefly is not to confider whether the Scripture be Methodized, but to defend the Propriety of its Language. Or rather, it is not my Business now, because I have sufficiently dispatch'd it. I hope. I have let you see that those are no impartial Judges of Scripture-Stile, who cry out of its Barbarisms; but the Truth is, they betray both their Ignorance and Irreligion at once, in giving fuch a Judgment of it; their Ignorance, in that they shew themselves unacquainted with the Best Authors,

of the Holy Scriptures. thors, who are not always wont to bind themselves to the strict Observation of Grammatical Rules. To this purpose the Learned Henry Stephens's Animadversions and Appendix at the End of his Thesaurus Gr. L. are worthy of the Perusal of all Curious Persons that would be fully acquainted with the Genius of the Attick Phrase and Idiom; and the reading of these will abundantly satisfy them that the New Testament is like other Greek Writers, and that the most Classick Greek Authors speak in the same strain that this doth. This Accomplished Critick shews that there are pure Atticisms sometimes in these Holy Writings, and particularly that an Ellipsis, which is so frequent in them, is a common Atticism in the best Grecians. If those who raise Objections against the Stile of the New Testament would converse with These, they might fee that those Passages which feem not so proper or elegant in Scripture, and that whatever looks like Solucisms, and savours of Rudeness or Defect of Language in these Holy Writings, may be parallelled with what they meet with in the most Applauded Authors. Their Irreligion Ilkewise is discovered in this, that nothing pleaseth them in the Holy Book; and that what is not thought Improper or Rude in other Writings, is accounted such in These; yea, that what are Solecisms in a Sacred Writer, are look'd upon as Atticisms and Elegancies in a Profane One. Having hitherto been in pursuance of this, that the Holy Scripture hath many things in it according to the Strain of Other Writers, I am to pass to the next Proposition.

The Scripture-Stile bath some things in it that are not in common with Other Writers, but are proper and peculiar to it self. The LXX's Greek Version and the New Testament, bave words that are not extant in any other Authors. Highen Mark 14. 3. was coin'd by the Evangelist: Its true Signification enquired into. Inward Goodness or Righteousness is express'd by Terms which are unknown to other Writers. Instances of several other Peculiar ways of Speaking. Some Profane Authors differ from the rest as to the use of some particular Words and Phrases. Ecclesiastical Writers bave Words proper to themselves. The Difficulty of Scripture proceeds partly from the Different Acception of Words which we meet with there. Many Instances in the Old and New Testament. The various Significations of the Word Spirit enumerated, and reduc'd to distinct Heads. The Author confines himself to the Hebrew Verbs of the Old Testament, and shews bow Different the Senses of the same words are, and endeavours to remove the Ambiguity of them in the several Texts which he cites, and to determine the Sense which is Proper to those particular Places. The like he attempts in those Texts where Hebrew Nouns of a different meaning occur.

THE Third Proposition is, That the Scripture-Stile bath some things in it that are not in common with Other Writers, but are Proper and Peculiar to it felf. For though it is true some Other Authors have words proper to themselves, which are not found in others, (thus in Pindar,

Plato.

of the Haly Scriptures. Plato, Isocrates, Homer, Aristophanes, Hippocrates,&c.

there are some particular Words and Phrases peculiar to them alone) yet the Bible hath Words and Expressions which are not to be met with in any of these, nor in any other Writers. Original Hebrew hath greater choice of Words than any Book extant in that Language; it is the most Copious Vocabulary that is in the World, and all Hebrew Writers of note borrow from this. Septuagint have words peculiar to themselves, as ορθρίζειν, ἀκετίζειν, ἐνωτίζειν, &c. Ἐυδοπία is proper to them, and was made on purpose to answer to the Hebrew רצון: and the Writers of the New Testament took it from them. They also made the word caxaedist, Cant. 4.9. to express the Hebrew word לכם. Περιέσιω, Ex. 2.5. is of their coining, and the Apostle thought sit to use it, Tit. 2. 14. And some have thought the word સ્વ્રીલિંગ્યફાર, as it signifies Sleep or Slumber, Isa.29. 10. was made by them, as if it were from wif. This word is also used by the Apostle, Rom. 11.8. The New Testament in Greek hath words never heard of before, as & nison, in the Lord's Prayer, a word which was first used by the Evangelists. And St. Luke's nexapilousin in the Angels Salutation of the Virgin Mary, Luk. 1. 28. is a new Greek word which the Evangelist himself made, \* as fome have thought: but that is a Mistake, because the Apocryphal Writer had used it before, Eccles. 18. 17. Yet this is not to be denied that the word is no where to be found in any other Greek Author, i. e. any Prophane one, but St. Paul useth

it (viz. the Active χαριίοω, though not the Passive χαριδωμαι) in Eph. 1.6. મહા αλλά πεωαι and διαλ-

λάθεωθαι

Beza & Caninius in locum.

ત્રેની દાઈલા in the Passive Voice have a peculiar Signification in Mar. 5. 24. Rom. 5. 10. 1 Cur. 11. 7: 2 Cor. 5. 20. which is in no other Writer, faith Grotius upon Mat. 5. 24. That likewise in Mark 14. 3. and John 12. 3. is scarcely used by any Writer whatsoever, and therefore the Grammarians and Criticks know not well how to assign the meaning of it, some deriving mann (which is the word there used, and joined with vago (6) from πίσε, and so it denotes that Ointment to have been faithfully prepared and compounded, for mgiven (according to this Etymology) is as much as άληθής, άδολω, δόμμω, true, pure, not adulterated, approved, it being rightly and faithfully made. This is according to the Syriac Version: and 'tis approved of by \* St. Jerom and + Theophylast. Others think mann is put here for au. κάτη, the vulgar Latin having it Spicata, and fo it is translated Spikenard by us. Beza and Camerarius are of this Opinion, and think the Ointment had this Name, because it was made è spicis nardi, that is, of the choicest part of Nard. A third fort (among whom Casaubon is Chief) tell us, that it is the same with missi potabilis, a mive, and so fignifies such a Liquid Ointment as might be drank. And lastly, some have thought that many is for 'Omigini, as if it were call'd so from a place, vizi Opis, a City not far from Babylon, whence the best Nard came.

This is || Hartungus's Notion, but then the word should have been Opick, not Opistick. Thus the Etymology of the Word hath been disputed, but we are certain of the Thing, the Nard it felf, or rather

tather the Dintment which was made of it, which was very Precious, and in great Esteem of old, [It was made of several Ingredients, (as we learn from Pliny, and other Writers) viz. the sweet-Cane or Rush, Castum, Amomum, Myrrh, Balfam, and other Simples, When this Precious, Compound, this Excellent Aromatic, which was very Costly, and used only by Rich and Wealthy. Persons, was made up as it should be, it was then माज्ञामां एळावन (from मांजड fides) fincerely and faithfully prepared, it had all its Ingredients, it was of the best fort. This feems to be the most elegible Derivation of the Word; but so far as we know it was of the Evangelist's making, for there

is no fuch Greek Word in any other Authors. And as the New Testament hath its peculiar words, so you may observe it hath a peculiar way of using some words which yet are common in other Writers. Thus Inward Holiness or Inherent Righteousness are express'd by such terms as These, (which have no such Signification in any other Writers) Circumcision, Col. 2.11. Crucifying; Rom. 6. 6. Gal. 6. 14. Mortifying, Rom. 8. 13. Col. 3. 5. Dying, Rom. 6. 2, 8. Col. 3. 3. Refurrettion, Eph. 2. 6. Eph. 5. 14. Col. 2.12. Regene-

ration, or being born again, John, 3. 3.1 Tit. 3. 5. 1 Pet. 1.23. Renovation, Rom. 12.2. Eph. 4. 23. the Nem Man, and New Creature, 2 Cor. 5. 17. Gal. 6. 13. Eph. 4. 24. Washing, John 13. 8. 1 Cor. 6. 11. Rev. 7. 14. The way of using and applying these words is proper to the New Testament. There are other peculiar ways of speaking in this part of the Bible, which are altogether unknown

to other Writers, as the Engraffed Word, Jam. 1.21,

. Nat. Hift. 1. 13. c. 2.

... R. Children

<sup>#</sup> In Marelf. 26. + In Mare. 14. || Cricie. Dec. 2. e. 6.

Gbildren of Light and of the Day, Luk. 16. 8. Eph. y.8. Theff 5. 5. the Sword of the Spirit, Eph. 6, 176 the Savour of Death, 2 Cor. 2. 16 the Body of Sin, Rom, 6.6. the Body of Death, Rom. 7.24. the Law of Sin and Death, Rom. 8. 2. a Law in the Members, Rom, 7. 23. Who over met in any other Author with these Expressions, Conscience of un Idola 1 Cor. 8. 7. the Earnest of the Spirit, 2 Cor. 5. 5. the Unckion of the Spirit, 1 Joh. 2. 20, 27. Circumbision of the Heart, and of the Letter, Rom. 2.29. a Jew ourwardly, and a Jew inwardly, in the same Verse? Who ever read of the foolishmess of God, and the weakness of God, I Cor. 1. 25? Keidai ev Tas πονηρώ, is a Phrase proper to Scripture: and so are these, to mortify the Members on Earth, Col.3.5. to put off the Old Man, and put on the New Man, Eph. 4. 22. to sow to the Flesh, to reap of the Flesh; to sow to the Spirit, to reap of the Spirit, Gal. 5. 8. to walk after the Flesh, Rom. 8. 1,4. Who ever spoke after the following rate, to eat and drink Damnation to himself, 1 Cor. 11. 29. to be justified by Faith, Rom. 3. 28. Gal. 2. 16. to be clothed upon with an House from Heaven, 2 Cor. 5. 2? And what strange and unheard-of Expressions are those, to be baptized or washed with Fire, Mat. 3. 2. to be salted with Fire, Mark 9. 49?

Thus the Sacred Penmen of Scripture differ from all others in their Stile. And yet herein also they agree with them, for even some of those Writers differ from the rest, as to the use of some particular Words and Phrases. Some of them take a word or more in a fense that it is not taken in by any Others. There are words in Homer that are not in Aristophanes; and some in Lycophron, that are not in either of these; and there are some in these three which are not found in any other Writer whatsoever. Plato,

(as 'tis \* observ'd of him) useth words in a way different from other Authors, as the word pound for Simplex, and in other places for Pulcher, and fometimes for Parvus. And as the same word is used by him to denote several things, so he uses different words in the same sense and meaning, as his ida and others. Nay, he brings in the word and Mildr (as the same Author observes) to express contrary things fometimes. There are some Ecclesiastick words (for they may be thus differenc'd from others, because they have a peculiar Interpretation as they are used by Ecclesiastical Writers) as ourages and Synaxis, which among Christian Writers fignify either the Sacred Meetings and Assemblies of the Faithful, or the Lord's Supper: and Kupiand is a Temple among the same Writers, and Kupiann hath the same Signification sometimes: but they have no such sense in other Authors. So ca maryflor, which is a Towel or Napkin, is used in some of the Greek Fathers, to denote the express Image or likeness of a Person. And from other Examples it might be made good that the Profane and Ecclesiastick use of a word are far different. There are Thousands of words otherwise taken in the Greek Fathers than in Classick Writers: and you in vain look for the meaning of them in He-Sychius, Phavorinus, Suidas, in Scapula, Constantine, or Stephens. Yea, the words themselves which occur in Ecclesiastick Writers are not to be found in Profane ones: many of them are omitted in Lexicons, Onomasticks, Etymologicks, and Glosfaries.

And shall not the Inspired Writers have the same liberty, viz. to use peculiar Words and Phrases of

<sup>\*</sup> Diog, Lacrt. in Platone.

Soldier.

of their own? or to use Words in a singular meaning, and proper to themselves? If a Catachress the Abuse of Words, be reckon'd by the Greek! Orators an Embellishment of Speech, certainly! we must account it no Disparagement, but rather an Ornament to the Language, when the Holy Ghost in the Scriptures alters the use of some Words. He may make use of what Words he pleaseth: He that bestow'd the Gift of Tongues, knows how? to apply them. Hence in these Writings you meet with some New words and Singular ways of Expression, as I have let you see in some Instances: and many more I might have added, wherein the peculiar Phraseology of this Sacred Book is observable. The very Words in the Holy Stile are precious. Antiquaries and Criticks spend much time in mere Phrases, but they never employ it fo well as when they are fearthing into These. There are several Other things might be noted as to the Peculiar Stile and Idiom of the New Testament, but this shall suffice at present. As I have shew'd before that the Stile of Scripture is like that of Other Writers, so you see it is not inconsistent with what I have now asserted. that the Holy Stile is not like that of Others 31 that is, the Scripture hath Words and Phrases proper to it felf, it hath some things extraordinary, and which are unusual with the rest of Authors. But I will infift no longer on this here, because I may have occasion in my next Discourse (viz.) concerning the Excellency and Perfection of Scripture) to suggest several things which will discover the Peculiar Strain of the Bible.

The Fourth Proposition is, That there are some shings Obscuratand Dissionly in the Stile of Scripture.

I will give you un account of this in the sound lowing

lowing Particulars: 1. Obscurity and Difficulty may arise from the Different Signification of the same words in Scripture. 2. From the Contrariety of the same words as to their Signification. 3. From Other Causes relating to the Matter it self spoken of, and the Time, &c. Under which Heads I intend to prosecute that Design which I formerly was upon, viz. An Enquiry into several Remarkable Texts of the Holy Scripture which contain some Difficulty in them. I shall have occasion here to discover the Grounds of that Difficulty, and to shew how it may be removed. And when the Sentiments of others are not satisfactory, I will make bold to interpose my own Judgment.

First, Sometimes in Scripture there are Words of Different Signification, whence it comes to pass, that it is very hard to understand those places where these words are. And it is impossible to satisfy our selves about the meaning of them in the Texts where we find them, unless we take pains to examine the particular Congruity of one Sense rather than another to that particular Thing or Person to which it is applied. Yea, sometimes when we meet with such a Doubtful Word, we shall find it reasonable to make use of both the Senses of it, that is, to propound them both, and to leave it free to Persons to make choice of which they please. I will give some Instances of this; as that in Gen. 39. 1. Captain of the Guard, which may as rightly be translated (according to Josephus, Antiq. 1. 2. c. 3.) Chief of the Cooks, for the LXX render it 'Aexudyero, and the Hebrew Tabbach (the Plural whereof is here used) is a Cook, 1 Sani. 8. 13. Ch. 9. 23, 24. and is so translated. The truth is, the genuine rendring of Tabbach is Mattator, a Slayer, and so is applicable either to a Cook or a

Soldier. The double sense of the Word occasions some doubt about the Translation, but it is of no moment at all: for we are not to be concern'd whether Potiphar was Pharaob's Head-Cook (which without doubt was an Honourable Place) or the Captain of bis Guard, or Army (as the Vulgar Latin gives it.) So in Gen. 41. 43. [they cried before him Abrek the word Abrek may be differently rendred, viz. either according to Aben-Ezra, Aquila, the Vulgar Latin, and our own English Translation [bow the Knee ] deriving it from barak, genu flexit : or according to Solomon Jarchi, and the Paraphrases of Onkelos and Jonathan [Father of the King ] (for Rek in the Aramaan Tongue is Rex, and thence perhaps this Latin word:) or according to the Jerusalem Targum [Father of the King, and tender in Years], or according to Symmachus, [tender Father] (from Ab Pater, and Rech tener sen delicatue,) because Joseph was as to his Prudence a Father, as to his Age a Tender Youth. Thus this word being of a dubious Signification, according to the different Etymologies it hath, may be diverfly translated, and every one is at liberty to choose which of these Senses he most approves of. I cannot see how the Doubtfulness of fuch words as this can be wholly taken away, and confequently the Scripture as to fuch words, must remain Dubious and Obscure, that is, as to the particular and close import of them. But 'tis fufficient that we have the general fense of them, as here, though we are ignorant of the right and only Derivation of the word Abrech (and after all the foremention'd Surmifes, it is most probable (as hath been fald before) that itis an Egyptian word) yet this we are certain of, that it was a word of Acclamation and Honour that the People

uscd

used toward Joseph: and 'tis not requisite to know any more in order to the understanding of the Place.

It is thus in the New Testament; it is said of Judas that he went and hanged himself, Mat. 27. 5. So we translate it indeed, and very well, but the Greek word amygalo is of a more general import, signifying that he was strange'd or choak'd, which may be done either by a String (which is properly Hanging) or by Excessive Grief, which stifled his Spirits: and accordingly we may render the Word either of these ways, viz. Actively, [he hanged himself] i. e. he ended his Life with a Halter, or Passively, [he was Choaked] namely, by a sudden stopping of his Breath, and Suffocation of his Spirits through Melancholy and Grief. Either of these Senses may be admitted, yea both of them, as I have shew'd in another place. Wherefore the best rendring of the words is, I conceive, this, Judas strangled bimself, or was strangled, because this takes in both. It is faid of the Pharisees, Mark 7. 3. Except they wash their Hands oft, they eat not: where the word moyun, which is translated [oft] hath different Significations, and accordingly may be rendred diversly. First, wypur signifies the Fist or Hand closed, and so here is meant their way of Washing their Hands by thrusting the Fift into the Palm of the Hand. Secondly, The Greek word fignifies also the Elbow, and then avyun is as much as up to the Elbon, and denotes another particular way of Washing among the Conceited Pharifees, by letting the Water drop from their Hands (being held up) to the very Elbows. Thirdly, The word may be rendred [diligently,] or according to the Syriack [accurately,] and fo fignifies to us that great Care and Exactness

they used in their Ceremonious Washings. Lastly, Our Translators, according to another acception of the word, and following the Vulgar Latin, render it oft. Any of these four ways the word may be taken; and the Dubiousness of it should not in the least trouble us, because we understand the grand thing contain'd in the words, viz. That the Jews, but especially the Pharisees, were very superstitiously addicted to their Washings, and placed the greatest part of their Religion in that and the like External Observances. I could instance in 2 Tim. 2. 19. The Foundation of God standeth fure, baving this Seal, &c. which Text may admit of this Translation also, The Covenant of God standeth sure, having this Inscription; for Sepublic fignifies not only a Foundation; but a Covenant or Infrument of Contract: and opedies signifies an Infoription as well as a Seal. There were two Parts of the Covenant, I will be your God, and ye shall be my People: So here in the following words, The Lord knoweth them that are bis: And, Let every one that nameth the Name of Christ depart from Iniquity. See further in Dr. Hammond.

Next, I will mention that of the Apostle, Heb. 12. 1. The Sin which doth so easily beset us. So we translate it, and so the word tompical fignifieth: but it bath three other Significations, and according to them may be differently rendred. S.\* Chry-Jostom gives the sense thus, Ethe Sin which madeasily be avoided for meilsaual, from whence the word comes, hath fuch a Signification: and then the meaning is, that not only the great and heavy Sins (hyn ( , the weight ) but lighter and leffer Sins must be carefully avoided. There o the avrided fire administ Hom. 28. in Epift. ad Hebr. + deligao, devita, Tit. 3. 9.

is another Signification of eunepisal क कंपलहांक, viz. That Sin which hath fair Arguments and Pretences for it felf. \* Anteisal & is applied when there are no megiscoeis, no favourable Circumstances, no plausible Reasons and Arguments to commend a thing: sumreisal then signifies that which hath Goodly Circumstances and Arguments to recommend it. Such have some Sins especially, as those that are accompanied with much Profit or Pleasure; against these therefore the Apostle exhorts us here to arm our felves : he would have us in a more especial manner to beware of those Vices which are so Tempting. There is yet another rendring of the words according to Theophylast; for he observes, that megisting is Periculum, Discrimen: and indeed the Stoicks generally use the word in this sense. negistans is according to Hesychius, θλίψε, ἀνάγκη, μέριμνα: it fignifies Affliction, Necessity, Trouble. And the Fathers sometimes use it thus in their Writings. So that the Apostle adviseth us here to shun those Sins especially which bring us into great Dangers and Difficulties, those that are accompanied even with bodily Calamities and Judgments, as some kinds of Sins generally are. Those Vices that are thus circumstantiated, are to be avoided with singular Caution. But, I confess, I do not think this to be the meaning here, for the Adverb eu join'd with megisal, rather shews that the Circumstances are good. And of the other three Interpretations, I look upon the first to be the best, because it is according to the clearest and most obvious fense of the Greek word; and withal it agrees with the Mind of the Apostle in the whole Verse, where

In Hesychio. † In Locum.

where taking his Metaphor from the Olympick Races, he exhorts the Jewish Converts to run with Patience the Race that was set before them, and in order to that to lay aside every Weight or Incumbrance, as the Racers were wont to do, and the Sin which did so easily beset them, compass them about, hinder and retard them in their Christian Course, as Long or Heavy Garments are an hindrance to those that run; for any observing Eye may see that he continues the Metaphor. Thus you see words have Different Senses, and so may be translated differently; and hence the true Meaning is difficultly to be reach'd sometimes.

I will mention one Instance more, which is to be found both in the Old and New Testament. There we often read of the Spirit: no word is more usual with the Sacred Writers than this, and it is as true that no word hath more Various Significations; whence sometimes doth arise no small Difficulty in interpreting some of those places where this word occurreth. Suffer me then to give a full and ample Account of the Different Significations of it, that it may not administer occasion of Obscurity in the Stile of Scripture.

First, The word Spirit is applied to God, and particularly to the Third Person in the Undivided Trinity, who is Emphatically call'd the Spirit in the Old Testament, as in Gen. 1.2. Gen. 6.3. and in almost iunumerable other places; and the Holy Spirit or Holy Ghost, by way of Eminency in the New Testament, Mat. 3. 16. John 1. 32. Rom. 8. 14. Ge.

Secondly, It fignifies the Gifes, Grates, Fruits, Effects, and Operations of the Holy Spirit; as 1. Any Signal Qualities or Endowments what soever, any Skill or Ability to do things well and laudably.

Thus

Thus Bezaliel was filled with the Spirit of God, (Exod. 31. 3, 5.) to work in all manner of Workmanship. And Gifts of any fort are call'd the Spirit in other places. 2. The Saving Graves of the Holy Spirit, as in Jude, ver. 19. having not the Spirit, and in feveral other places. 3. The Power of the Spirit to accomplish some very great and extraordinary thing: thus Caleb had another Spirit, Num. 14. 24. i. e. he had Power to effect those things which he could not do before. Joshuah was a Man in whom was the Spirit, Num. 27.18. Thus the Spirit of God and the Spirit of the Lord are said in Scripture to come upon, to fall upon, to be poured out, to be put upon Persons; that is, they had an unusual and extraordinary Power to do this or that. To be moved, and to be led by the Spirit are in the same Signification, viz. to be enabled to enterprize and atchieve fome Wonderful Thing. 4. Those Extraordinary and Miraculous Gifts which were conferr'd on the Apostles and other Christians in the Infancy of the Gospel, as Healing all manner of Discases, Speaking strange Languages. These are express'd by this Word in 1 Cor. 14. 12. Te are zealous of Spirits, i. c. Spiritual Gifts, the Extraordinary Vouchsafements of the Spirit, whereby they were able to do things above Humane Power: Hence you read of Speaking in the Spirit, Praying with the Spirit, and Singing with the Spirit, 1 Cor. 14. 14, 15. And in the fame Chapter there is mention of the Spirits of the Prophets, ver. 32. i. e. the Gifts of Prophecy which they were indued with, and enabled to exert in the Publick Congregation. Before Christ's Ascension these Gifts were not bestow'd in a very large and liberal manner, and that is the meaning of John 7. 39. the Holy Ghost was not yet given. And

And even after our Saviour's Afcention, the Ephofian Christians had not beard whether there was any Holy Ghost, Acts 19.2, that is, they knew nothing of thele Extraordinary Gifts beltow'd on some in the Church. Wherefore we read there that by the Imposition of St. Paul's Hands the Holy Ghost came upon them, and they spake with Tongues and prophesied, yer. 6. This latter Clause explains the former, letting us fee that by the Holy Ghost, is here meant the Miraculous Endowments of the Spirit, such as speaking with strange Tongues, and Prophefying in an unufual manner. Of these chiefly the Apostle is to be understood in 1 Thess. 5.19. Quench not the Spirit. 5. Extraordinary Revelations and Discoveries (whether under the Old or New Testament) are express'd by this Word. Thus tis said, there is a Spirit in Man, Job 32. 8. which is explain'd in the next Clause by the Inspiration of the Almighty. So David in Spirit, Mat. 22. 43. is David Inspired. I will pour out of my Spirit upon all Flesh, Acts 2. 17. (taken from Joel 2. 28.) i.e. I will bestow the Gift of Prophecy and Revealing of Mysteries upon them, for of This it is principally understood, as you may learn from the following words, Your Sons and your Daughters shall prophefy; and ver. 18. On my Servants and on my Hand-maids I will pour out of my Spirit, and they shall prophesy. So in Rev. 1. 10. I was in the Spirit, is as much as if he had fald, I had great Revelations imparted to me.

Thirdly, The Dispensation and Preaching of the Goffel, especially as it is opposed to the Law, and as it contains the more hidden Mysteries of Christianity in it, is stiled the Spirit. Thus the Evangelical Preachers are call'd Ministers not of the Letter, but Spirit, 2 Cor. 3, 6. i.e. not of the Law, but

of the Holy Scriptures. of the Gospel, not of mere Externals of Religion but of the inward and Hidden Secrets of it.

Fourthly, The Spiritual meaning of what Christ. speaketh is call'd by this Name, as in John 6. 63. It is the Spirit that quickneth, the Flesh profiteth nothing the Words that I speak unto you they are Spirit, and they are Life. As if he had said, you must not understand me in a gross and carnal sense, when I tell you that you must edt my Flesh and drink my Blood, ver. 53, 54. My meaning is not that you hould turn Canibals, and feed upon Man's Flesh. No: this Eating and Drinking which I have spoken of to you, are to be interpreted in a Spiritual Sense, and in no other. My Words have an Abstruse and Mystical meaning, I am Spiritually to be Eaten and Drunk, that is, by a Lively Faith only. It is the Spirit that quickeneth, that enliveneth: that which is comprehended in the Spiritual import of my Words, is the thing that is most Active and Powerful in Religion, and in the Lives of Men.

Fifthly, By Spwit is meant the Person that is Inspired, i John 4. 2. Every Spirit that confesseth that

Jesus is come in the Flesh, is of God. Nay,

Sixthly, He that pretends to the Spirit, but really is not inspired by the Holy Ghost, is thus called: as in the next Verse, Every Spirit that confesseth not that Jesus Christ is come in the Flesh, is not of God: and in the first Verse of that Chapter, Believe not every Spirit; but try the Spirits, i. e. Teachers that pretend to the Spirit and Inspiration, who are call'd False Prophets in the same place, and Seducing Spirits, 1 Tim. 4. 1. Therefore discerning of Spirits, 1 Cor. 12. 10. was that Gift in the Church whereby they knew who were truly Inspired, and who not; who were True, and who False Prophets.

And

Know-

coveries from the Spirit are thus stiled, so the feigned Discoveries or Revelations themselves, which they boast of, are called Spirit, 2 Thess. 2. 2. Seventhly, The word Spirit in Scripture is meant of the Soul of Man, and its different Functions, Operations, Dispositions, Inclinations; and in short, the whole Frame and State of it. 1. I say that Distinct Part of Man which is call'd his Soul, hath the Denomination of Spirit, and that very justly, because it is a Spiritual or Immaterial Being. Into thy Hands I commit my Spirit, faith the Pfalmiff, Pfal. 31, 5. i. e. I trust thee with my Soul. It is call'd the Spirit of a Man, Prov. 18. 14. cb. 20. 27. Eccles. 3. 21. This is the Spirit that shall return to God, Eccles. 12. 7. Wherefore this was the Language of our dying Saviour, Into thy Hands I commend my Spirit, Luke 23.46. and of that expiring Martyr, Alts 7. 59. Lord Jesus, receive my Spirit. The Souls of the Saints are stilled the Spirits of just Men made perfect, Heb. 12.23. and those of the Wicked, the Spirits in Prison, 1 Pet. 3. 19. And hither is to be referr'd that of St. James, ch. 2. 26. the Body without the Spirit (i. e. without the Soul) is dead. 2. The Vital Principle, which is the immediate Operation of the Soul, is termed the Spirit, the Spirit of Life, Gen. 7. 22. especially the more Active and Vigorous Operation of the Soul and Body is so called, Josh, 5. 1. Nor was there Spirit in them. Whence you read of the reviving and coming again of the Spirit, Gen. 45. 27. Judg. 15. 19. and of the Spirits being refreshed, 2 Cor. 7. 13. and giving Spirit, i. e. Life to the Image of the Beast, Rev. 13. 15. 3. The Understanding is often call'd the Spirit, and the Spirit of the Mind; and when you read of

Soul and Spirit, this latter generally denoteth the

of the Holy Scriptures. Intellectual and Rational Part of Man, and the more exalted and refined Operations of it, as it tefpects Religion, Luke 1.47. 1 Theff. 5.23. Heb. 4. 12. 4. That Function of the Rational Soul which is called Conscience, hath this Name. A wounded Spirit who can bear? Prov. 18. 14. The Spirit (i. c. the Third Person in the Sacred Trinity) beareth witness with our Spirit, that is, with our Consciences, Rom. 8. 16. 5. The Will and Affettions are commonly fet forth by this Expression: Thus you read of ruling the Spirit, Prov. 16. 32. that is, subduing and well-ordering Those Faculties of the Mind especially: You read of a New Spirit, Ezek. 11. 19. cb. 18. 31. of a contrite and broken Spirit, Pfal. 34. 18. Psal. 51. 17. a right Spirit, Psal. 51. 10. which are principally meant of the Will, the Passons and Defires of the Soul. And another Spirit, Numb. 14.24. may be understood in this Sense as well as in that above-mention'd. In the New Testament our Saviour pronounceth those Bleffed that are poor in Spirit, Matth. 5.3. He tells us, that we must worship the Father in Spirit, John 4. 23. St. Paul professeth, that he ferved God with his Spirit, Rom. 1. 9. and exhorts us all to be fervent in Spirit, Rom. 12. 11. In all which Places the word Spirit fignifies either the Will, or the Hearty Affections of the Soul, or both of them. 6. In a more general way it lignifies the Nature and Temper of a Man: Ye know not of what Spirit ye are, Luke 9.55. And this Large and General Acception of the Word is very usual in the Holy Stile. 7. More particularly and especially it denotes an Effectual and Operative Inclination, Power and Ability to some particular Good or Evil: Whence you read of the \* Spirit of

Knowledg, + Understanding, | Wisdom, of (\*) Meekness, of (+) Fear: and on the contrary, of the (||) Spirit of Slumber, of ||| Whoredoms, of (|||) Antichrist, and of a \* perverse Spirit. 8. The Rational and Regenerate Part of Man is emphatically stiled the Spirit, and is opposed to the Flesh, which is the Senfual and Unregenerate Part of Man. The Spirit is willing, but the Flesh is weak, Matth. 26. 41. That which is born of the Spirit is Spirit, John 3.6. The Flesh lusteth against the Spirit, and the Spirit against the Flesh, Gal. 5. 7. This is the frequent Acception of the Word in the New Testament, Yea, 9. Not only the Holy and Godly Nature, the Renewed Disposition and Temper, but even that which is Unholy and Ungodly, the Old and Unregenerate Principle of Man, is also known by this Name. The Spirit, faith St. James, that dwelleth in us lusteth to Envy, ch. 4. 5. where by Spirit is meant the Sensual and Carnal Part of Man. And so before we took notice of the Spirit of Slumber, of Whoredoms, and the like. Thus much of the word Spirit, as it hath reference to the Soul of Man and its Faculties. Only I will add this, that this Word applied either to the good or evil Operations of the Mind, signifies to us the Reality and Efficacy of them, and represents their great Vehemency; for they proceed from the Spirit of Man, which is vigorous and active. Though this Word likewise may refer to the Original and Source of these Actions, for there is in Men a Double Spirit, a Good and an Evil one, the Spirit of the World, and the Spirit which is of God, 1 Cor. 2.12. Hence in the Stile of Scripe

ture

ture good and evil Actions are frequently attributed to some Spirit; for they are Results either of the Good or Evil one that inhabits in them.

Eighthly, Angels, both good and bad, are signified by this Word: First, the Good ones, Heb. 1.7. He maketh his Angels Spirits, which is taken from Psal. 104.4. Are they not all ministring Spirits? Heb. 1. 14. Secondly, the Evil ones, who in the Old Testament are call'd Evil Spirits, and Lying Spirits; and in the New Testament, Unclean, Foul, Familiar Spirits, Spirits of Divination. Rejoice not, faith our Saviour, that the Spirits (i. e. the Devils, as appears from ver. 17.) are subject unto you, Luke 10. 20. The Spirit that worketh in the Children of Disobedience, Eph. 2. 2. is no other than Satan. I question not but that the wolfmanxa of mountias, in Eph. 6.12. are no other than συδυματα το πουμρίας, Spirits of Wickedness, which are faid to be in high or Heavenly Places, because these Wicked Spirits are fo hardy as to encounter often with the Good Angels; they labour to wrest the Souls of the Faithful out of their Hands, whilst they are conducting them through the Ethereal Regions, to the Mansions of Glory in the highest Heavens. And if they have the Confidence to grapple with those Blessed Spirits, certainly they will not fail to assault Us weak and finful Creatures: Wherefore (as the Apostle adjoins in this Place) we must take unto us the whole Armour of God, that we may be able to wrestle against these spiritual Wickednesses, or rather wicked Spirits. So in Rev. 16. 14. Spirits of Devils are as much as Devilish Spirits, or Evil Angels.

Ninthly, The same Word is used to express an Apparition or Seeming Shape of a Body without real Corporeity, as in Luke 24.37. They supposed they had feen a Spirit. They had a Notion of a Spirit's ap-

pearing,

<sup>†</sup> Ifa. 11.2. | Eph. r. 17. (\*) Gal. 6. 1. (†) 2 Time 1. 7. (||) Rom, 11.8. III Hol. 5. 4. (III) i John 4. 3. \* Ifa. 19. 14.

pearing, though as a Spirit it was impossible to be feen of it felf, for being void of Matter and Quantity, it could not be the Object of the Bodily Senfes: which true Account of a Real Spirit our Saviour gives them in these Words, A Spirit hath not Flesh and Bones, ver. 39. i.e. it hath nothing Corporeal belonging to its Nature and Essence.

Which brings me to the next, the Tenth Acception of the Word; and that is this, it signifies a Spiritual Immaterial Substance, wholly devoid of all Matter. John 4. 24. God is a Spirit, i. c. he is a Substance in which there is nothing of Body or Quantity; he is an Intelligent and Thinking Being: which high Privilege and Excellency no Material

thing is capable of.

Lastly, A Breath, a Wind, a Blast, are synonimous with Spirit in the Holy Stile, as in Ecclef. 11. 4. Thou knowest not what is the way of the Spirit, i. e. of the Wind, as is evident from the former Verse, where the word Ruach is so tendred. And in Ezek. 37.9. the four Spirits or Winds are the same: and so the word is used twice more in that Verse. Thus tis in the New Testament, in John 3. 8. The Wind bloweth where it lifteth: The word wreund, which is generally translated Spirit in the New Testament, is here translated Wind, and that very rightly, according to the Interpretation of feveral of the Antlent Fathers: Particularly the Air, the Wind or Breath, which is drawn in and fent forth by the Lungs, hath this Denomination: Thus in Job 34. 14. Spirit and Breath are the same. And those Words in John 19. 30. He gave up the Ghost, or Spirif, are expressed thus in another Evangelist, &6wrevet, be expired, he yielded up his Breath, Luke 23. 46. To this refers 2 Theff. 2.8, Whom the Lord Mall consume with the Spirit, i. e. the Breath of

bit Mouth: which is the same with Isa. 11. 4. With the Breath of his Mouth shall he slay the Wicked. To conclude, the \* three Words in the three Learned Languages for Spirit fignify Wind or Breath, and that in the first and original Sense of them. This alone is the Proper Signification of the Word: but as for ealthe other Acceptions of it before-mentioned, they are secondary and improper. The word spirit is improperly applied to the Person, and to the Gifts of Graces of the Holy Ghost: it is improperly attributed to the Souls of Men, and their Faculties, and Operations: it is improperly spoken of Angels or Devils, or of any of those other things afore named, except the last. But these are the Different Acceptions of the Word in the Sacred Writings, according to that Observation which I have made of it at several times; and perhaps there are some Other Denotations of this Word, which I have not taken notice of. I instanced in This (whereas I might have instanced in many more) to let you fee how Large and Extensive the Meaning of some Words in Scripture is, and thereby to give you some Account of the Difficulty and Perplexity of the Holy Stile in some Places, which yet you fee we may render very intelligible and plain by a diligent Enquiry into, and Comparing those Places where these dubious Words occur.

But still to give you a farther Account of the Different Acceptions of Terms, I could sufficiently prosecute this, the I confin'd my self to the Hebrew Words of the Old Testament. It would most fully appear that the same words in this Holy Volume signity Different things. I shall only propound the several Particulars, and leave them to be diffinftly applied

חוות שייני אה, Spiritus.

the

upon occasion, by those that have leisure to do it. It is well known that Vau is a Conjunction Copulative, but it is of other Use in several Places, which indeed is common to it in part with the Greek & in the Septuagint Version, and in the New Testament. Sometimes it is Conversive, (as they call it) it changes the Tense: and sometimes it is Interrogative: At other times it is Adversative, and is equivalent to but or although: Not unusually it hath the Force of an Adverb of Time, and is as much as when, then, now. It is also a Comparative Particle, and is the same with fo. Oftentimes it is put for the Relative Pronoun asher, which. Sometimes it is Emphatical, (as the Greek it is) and is of the like Signification with even in English. Again, it feems to be Redundant, as when it begins a Chapter or fome New Matter, without reference to any thing before. Thus not only fome of the Books of Mofes, but those of Ezra and Jonah, begin with a Vau. But it is certain that this Particle is not merely Expletive here, as the Learned Jews acknowledg. Lastly, Many times in the Hebrew Stile it is not Copulative, but Disjunctive, and it is accordingly rendred or and nor, by our Translators, as in Gen. 26. 11. He that toucheth this Man or his Wife: and in Exod. 21. 15. He that smiteth his Father or his Mother: and in Exod. 1. 10. and in several other Places of Scripture the Hebrews acknowledg that the Conjunctive Particle is a Disjunctive; as the Aspect of Conjunction in the Sun is sometimes among Astronomers call'd Opposition. Thus this Vau is of great Latitude, which causes Variety of rendring many Places: but those that are very Observing and Curious (as it was intended by Providence that we should be in reading the Bible) will foon know how to make a Difference, and to discern the proper mean.

meaning of this Particle. Likewise the Hebrew Prapositions are of various Signification, and one is put for another very often, which makes the Sense not a little difficult. Who sees not that these Prasizes or Prapositions 2, 2, 2, 3 are differently used, and at one time are applied one way, and another another? And who knows not that sometimes they seem to be unnecessary, and to signify nothing at all? though even then without doubt they are of some Significancy and Use. But to know this aright is not easy: a great Learning in the Tongue is requisite to discover it.

The Hebrew Verbs also are very Equivocal, and have very Different meanings. In their divers Conjugations, they have divers Significations, whence it proves a very hard thing sometimes to know which of them is meant. A word in Kal may bear one sense, in Piel another, in Hiphil a Third, &c. But if we apply our felves with that Care and Industry to the searching into the Scriptures which are required of us, we shall either be able to difcern which Particular Sense is meant in the places before us; or where we cannot attain to this, we shall find that our Ignorance is not prejudicial to us, because the Controversy is not about any thing which we ought necessarily to know. The Verb Chalal fignifies to begin and to profane, according to its different Conjugations. Of the former Signification, there are Instances in Gen. 6. 1. Num. 17. 11. and many other places; Of the latter in Num. 30. 3. Ezek. 39. 7. and abundance of other Texts: whence there is some dispute about Gen. 4. 26. some rendring the word Huchal Men began, others Men profaned. Both the Chaldee Paraphrasts understand it in the latter sense, and so do the Hebrew Rabins generally. They take the meaning of

the place to he this, Then the Name of God was profuned, then Religion began to be corrupted; then they call'd on God's Name to as to diffenour and pollute it, viz. by their Oaths and Blasphoinies. R. Solomon Jarchi, \* Maimonides, and other Jewish Doctors understand it of the rise of Idolatry: they tell us, that Mofes gives us an account here of the first beginning of the fetting up of New Gods. And from this Text + Mr. Selden, who always adheres to the Circumcifed Doctors, endeavours to prove that idolatry was in those days. But it is more reasonable to believe that this was not of so early a Date, and that there was no fuch Vile Defection at that time in the World. This is the Judgment of the famous | Jewish Historian and Antiquary: and most of the Antient and Learned Fathers of the Christian Church give their Suffrage to it; and that with good reason, because if at this time that Generation had been guilty of this most Abominable Trime, it would certainly have been mention'd, and that plainly: as you fee afterwards, that as foon as this Horrid Sin began to be practised in the World, the Holy Scriptures record it, and at the same time decry it. But it is not to be question'd that Impious Cain and his Party corrupted the True Religion and Worship of God, and labour'd to bring in Universal Profaneness. Wherefore the Family of Holy Seth, and Godly Enoch and his Affociates zealoufly relifted their Attempts, and took a course to suppress the prevailing Corruption. Accordingly now they began in a peculiar manner to meet together, and to join their Devotions

Lib. de Cultu Srellar. † De Dis Syr. Proleg. | Antiqu

Devotions more folemnly, and to call upon God. They more especially exercis'd themselves in Prayer, that indispensible act of Divine Worship. They began more fignally and openly to be Religious. Thus Men began to call upon the Name of the Lord, or (as it may be rendred) to call themselves by the Name of the Lord, to entitle themselves after the Name of Jehovah, as we call our selves Christians after Christ's Name. They profess'd themselves to be the People of God, and Worshippers of the Most High. Thus to call on the Name of the Lord, and to be call'd by his Name, amount to the same, and signify that at that particular time the Faithful invoked God, and worship'd and serv'd him in a more solemn manner than before: and they publickly own'd themselves to be the Sons of God, and the Servants of the Great Jehovah. Thus Men began to call on God's Name, and thus Aben Ezra and other Modern Rabbies (who have better consider'd of it) understand this Text in the plain Sense of it. And it is likely it had never been otherwise understood, if the ambiguity of the Verb Chalal had not given occasion; for this in the Conjugation Niphal, signifies to profane, and to be profaned, but in Hiphil and Hophal (as here) to begin: which some took no notice of, and so mistook the Sense.

To proceed, the Hebrew word Pathab signifies to enlarge and perswade, whence there is some difference in the Translation of Gen. 9. 27. God shall enlarge; others read it, God shall perswade Japheth. But yet if you take either of the Readings with the following Words, the Sense is not varied, the meaning is the same; for the whole Verse contains God's Promise, that Japheth should dwell in the Tents of Shem; that is, that the Gentiles, who sprang S 4

from Japheth, should be converted to Judaism, and that both they and the Jews, who came from Shem, should embrace Christianity; and this enlarging of Japheth's Borders should be done by Perfivafion, by the mild and gentle Methods of the Spirit, by the perswasive Power of the Gospel preach'd to them. Thus I decide the quarrel among Grammarians and Criticks about the Hebrew word, by joining both the Senses of it together. And this we shall find to be a good Expedient some other times. The Hebrew word Chush signifies to make haste, and to be ashamed, faith our Learned \* Pocock; and thence that place in Ifa. 28. 16. he that belieweth shall not make haste, is otherwise worded in Rom. 9. 33. he that believeth on him shall not be ashamed. If there be this Different acception of the Verb, it is impossible without a Revelation (which we have no reason to expect) to tell which Sense is peculiarly designed by the Prophet, for we have no Light at all from the Context to help us. But feeing the Word is capable in this place of both Significations, let us (as before) unite them together: for it is certain, that he who belieweth will neither make haste, nor be ashamed. Kaphatz is claudere, and also transilire, viz. è loco suo: whence you may read the word in Job 24. 24. either thus, they are shut up, viz. in Destruction, or in the Grave; or, they are taken out of the may. The Subject Matter will permit both Translations. The Signification of Rad is both dominari and plangere, plorare: whence there may be a double Interpretation of that place. Gen. 27. 40. when thou shalt have the Dominion, or when thou shalt have Mourned: and both Sentences are applicable. Sacal is intelligere, & pro-Sperum.

fperum, felicem esse: therefore it is hard to determine whether the word in Jos. 1.7, 8. Isa. 52.13. fer. 23. 5. be to be rendred in the first or the second Sense. But neither in this nor the foregoing Text is any Point of Faith concern'd. The meaning of the word Shanah is not only mutare but errare, and accordingly it is no wonder that the word in Eccl. 8. 1. be differently rendred, and may be so in some other places. Dam or damam fignifies either to be filent, or to wait and expett; consequently Pfal. 62. 1. may be rendred my Soul is silent, or waiteth on God. Mahar is festinare, and dotare or donare; and therefore in Psai. 16. 4. Maharu may be either english'd they hasten or they give Gifts, i. e. they bring Sacrifices and Oblations, viz. to another God. And we may suppose these zealous Idolaters bastned to bring these Gifts, these Sacrifices, and then both Senses are reconciled. Palal, according to the different Conjugations it is in, signifies to pray and to judg: thence Pfal. 106. 30. is differently translated, viz. Then stood up Phineas and pray'd, according to our Old Translation of the Pfalms, or executed Judgment, according to the later Version. We may join both the Senses, for it is probable this zealous Man join'd Prayer with this eminent act of Justice. Ashar is to walk, and to pronounce bleffed, (so discrepant are the meanings of some words;) whence Prov.4.14. may be english'd either go not in the way of Evil Men (as we render it) or, bless not in the way of Evil Men, i. e. account not, pronounce not thy self Blessed or Happy, whilst thou art in the way of Evil Men. And so Veasher in Prov. 23.19. may be tranflated either dirige or beatifica. Either of these Versions yield us a good notion of the place. So because of the ambiguity of the word Tizachar, which

may be rendred masculum unscetur or memorabitur, that Text Exod, 34. 19. may be differently transfluted. The double Signification of Puach is flare, spirare, & illaqueari: so that 'tis doubtful whether this word in Psal. 12. 5. should be rendred puffeth at him, or ensnareth him: but the Sense is not impaired by either. Chalam is not only somniavit, but sanus fuit, convaluit: which makes the Original Psal. 126. 1. to be capable of either of these Transflations, we were like to them that dreamed, or we were like to them that are restored to health: both which Versions admit of a very good Sense.

To instance in some words that have more than two Significations; Seeing the word Pharang fignifies to be abandon'd and lost, and likewise to be stript naked, and moreover to rebel, as also to be idle, it is not to be wonder'd at that a Clause in Prov. 29.18. be rendred by our English Translators the People perisheth, by others (as Cocceius) the People is made naked, by some (as Arias Montanus) the People is rebellious, and by others (as Pagnin) the People are idle. In fuch variety of Significations, we cannot be Certain which to take sometimes. It is sufficient that we choose that which we find most agreeable to the place. Batzar in Kal is vindemiavit, in Niphil abruptus est, sublatus est; in Piel munivit, & arduum fecit. In these several Significations 'tis taken in Scripture, as it were easy to shew out of the Hebrew Concordances. Bagnar according to its different Conjugations signifies to burn, to feed, to be furious, to be stupid or brutish, to take away or remove; and this cannot but occasion some difference in Translations. The Verb Pakad, and the Nouns that are derived from it, are of very dubious Signification in Scripture: which must needs cause sometimes a disagreement among Interpre-

ters.

ters. Aman in Kal is mutrivit, educavit; in Niphal, verax fuit, fidelis fuit; in Hipbil, credidit: there are divers Examples of this in the Bible. Shur is canere, intueri, munera deferre: from which triple Signification of the Word, I could shew that some Texts are capable of different Versions. Tsalat is obumbrari, opacum reddi, Ezek. 31. 3. mergi, Ex. 19. 10. tinnire, palpitare, 1 Sam. 3. 11. Hab. 3. 16. Sacan is wonderfully diverlified as to its Significations: Sometimes it signifies to help or profit, fometimes to attempt or make trial, fometimes to accustom one's self to a thing: whence there may arise some difference in translating some places; but in none of these is any Grand Point of Religion concern'd. Nasa hath no less than Eight Significations in the Bible, as ferre, portare: auferre, tollere: elevare, attollere: accipere: honorare: consumére, comburere: condonare, remittere: pronuntiare, nominare, jurare. And many \* Other Verbs there are in this Language which have more Senfes than one, and therefore those Texts where they occur, are not so easy as others to understand. There is a great variety of Significations in the Greek Verbs, some whereof (as the Verb of doien) have strangely discrepant meanings, but they are not to be compared with the Hebrew ones, whether you respect the Multiplicity of them, or the Unlikeness and Inconsistency of the Significations among themselves. This therefore must be affigned as one reason why the Sense of some Texts is dubious.

of the Holy Scriptures.

As it is with the Hebrew Verbs, so it is with the Nouns; there are many of them that have different Senses,

<sup>\*</sup> See at the End of the Book. † It bath 4 Significations, were, discere, dividere, convivio excipere.

Senses, and those such as have no Agreement or Affinity one with another, which oftentimes occafions Diversity of Readings in those Places where they are found. Not but that the Hebrew Tongue is copious, as is evident from that Variety of Names which is for one thing. There are feven Words for Gold, as St. Jerom long fince observed, Zahab, Phez or Paz or Ophaz, Charutz, Kethem, Ophir, Baser, Segor; though some think that Kethem is the more general Name, and the rest are several kinds of Gold. There are fix Words to express Giants, as Nephilim, (from falling or falling on) Emim, (because they are Terrible) Gibborim, (from their Strength) Anakim, Zamzummim, Rephaim. There are as many Words to fignify a Lion, as \* Buxtorf reckons them up distinctly, with the Places of Scripture where they occur: Yea, Mercer adds a feventh. A Son in Hebrew is Ben, Nin, Manon, Bar, though indeed this last be rather a Chaldee or Syriac Word. Anger hath these Denominations, Aph, Charon, Zagnaph, Chagnas. Sleep is either Tarmedah, or Shenah, or Tenumah. Three Words there are for the Sun, as Cheres, Shemeth, Chammah; and as many for the Earth, Eretz, Tebel, Adamah. A Virgin is called Almah, (or Gnalmab) Naarah, Bethulah. To fear is expressed by three Verbs, viz. Gur, Jare, Pachad. The same Hill is call'd Horeb and Sinai: and Zion and Hermon are two Names of another Hill: but of these afterwards. Thus the Hebrew Tongue hath many Synonimous Words.

But that which is more usual and remarkable (and which we are concern'd to observe at present) is that one Name or Word serves for Different things,

things, which often renders the Interpretation doubtful. Thus Job 4. 18. we read thus, his Angels he charged with Folly; but it may as well be read, be put Light into his Angels; and so Tremellius and the Gallick Version have it : for [Toholah] (which is the Word here used, and comes from a Hebrew Verb, which sometimes signifies to shine) denotes both Light and Folly. And accordingly Expositors (to whom I refer the Reader) labour to defend either of these Senses. But so far as I can discern the Meaning of this Place, the Hebrew Word hath a third Signification, which feems to be peculiarly designed here: For this Noun is derived from Halal, the primitive and known Signification of which is laudare, gloriari, and so Toholah is as much as Tehillah, laus, gloriatio. Accordingly I render the foresaid Clause thus, Nec in Angelis suis ponet laudem seu gloriationem: for the Vau in this Place (as in several others, which I have hinted before) is Disjunctive, and is the same with nec. And you fee the Words run this way, i. e. in the Negative, He putteth no Trust in his Servants, nor doth he put Praise or Boasting in his Angels; i. e. those Glorious Spirits who now inhabit the Celestial Regions, (for I do not think, as some do, that the Fallen Angels are here spoken of) even these in comparison of God, who is infinitely pure and perfect, are blameworthy and guilty. So that this rendring of the Words amounts to the Sense of the English Version: but I do not see any Reason to translate the Hebrew word Folly; for the Verb from whence it comes directs us not to it, and we have Instance of it in Scripture. It is well known that the Noun Dabar signifies both a Thing or Astron, and a Word, and for that reason the rendring of it in Scripture is fometimes uncertain. The like may be observed

<sup>\*</sup> Lexicon. ex Talmud. in Sanhedrim.

appoint-

the Word acquaint us, that it is well applied in the

of enua in the Greek Toftament, which is applicable to Actions as well as Words, in imitation of the use of the word Dabar. But both in the Old and New Testament the Matter spoken of will direct us sufficiently unto the peculiar Acception of the Word. Shephuttaim are either the Lots and Portions of a Man's Life, and especially his ill Lot and Misfortune; or the Word fignifies those Ross, some fay those Ranges which are used about the Fire, and are covered over with Dust and Smoke. Accordingly Pfal. 68. 13. may be translated thus, Though ye have lain in those evil Lits, i. e. though you have been in great Distress: or thus, Though ye have lain among the Pots of Ranges, which amounts to the same Sense with the former, and expressen the Distressed Condition of the Persons spoken of. The word Belin (which is often used) is of a double Signification; for some derive it from Beli, non, and Gnol, jugum, and then it denotes one without à Toke, that is, impatient of Discipline, one that casts off all Laws and Restraints. Others deduce it from Beli, non, and Jagnal, profuit; fo that it should regularly be Belijagnal, but the middle Letter being struck out, it is Belial (which way of Contraction is not unusual, as we see in the word Hosanna, corruptly from Hosignanna: so Path is a Contraction of Pathab, frangere; Rab of Rabab, multiplicari; El of Ejal, potentia; Jordan of Jeordan, as some think from Jeor a River, and Dan a City; because this River had its Rise about that Place: and there are almost innumerable Instances of this Abbreviating of Words, both in the Bible and \* other He-

brew Authors.) If we thus shorten the word Beli-

al, it is equivalent to Inutilis, homo nequam, nullius

frugis:

Scripture to very Lewd and Profligate Persons; yea, even to the Infernal Spirit himself. Marphe in Prov. 14. 30. may be derived either from Rapha, sanare, or Raphah, lenem effe, and accordingly is both sanitas and lenitas; and so that Text may be read, a found Heart, (a Healthful Constitution) or a mild Heart (a placed and sedate Temper) is the Life of the Flesh, is a Procurer of long Life to a Man. Both the Senses are coincident. Netfeach fignifies Victory and Eternity, (as the Greek vin allu doth) and therefore Ifa. 25.8. admits of this double Version, He will swallow up Death in Victory, (he will conquer and bafflle its Force) or in Eternity, i. e. Death shall be absorp'd, destroy'd for ever. The Sense is alike. The Signification of Bochal is probatio and munitio: thence Eben bochal, Isa. 28. 16. may be rendred a tried Stone, or Stone of Trial, or else a Stone of Fortification. Migreshoth may denote either Suburbs (as the word in the singular Number Migrash often doth in Scripture) or Waves: and therefore in Ezek. 27. 28. we cannot certainly tell which Word to render it by, nor is it material whether we do or no. Whether Hamon, Ezek. 7. 11. should be translated a Tumult or a Multitude, is not to be decided; because if the Word comes from Hamah, tumultuatus est, then the former Version is the genuine one; but if from Haman, multiplicavit, then the latter. Whether Chajil, Ezek. 37.10. is to be translated an Army (as we english it) or People, cannot be determined, because the Word signifies both in several Places of Scripture. Because Zaba denotes both a determinate Time, and military Order, that of Job

7. 1. may be rendred either thus, Is there not an

<sup>\*</sup> See Buxtorf de Abbreviat. Hebraic.

quirer to the proper Denotation of the Word in

each Place. Pagnam is a Blow, a Stroke, Judg. 5.

Words

appointed time to Man? or, Is there not a Warfare to Man? And so in ch. 14. 14. you may read it, All the Days of my appointed Time, or, all the Days of my Warfare. In all these Places there is no point of Religion endanger'd, if you take the Words in either Sense. There must needs be a double Reading in Josh. 11.20. because the word Techinnah signifies Grace or Favour, and likewise Prayer or Supplication: so that we may translate it either that there might be no Favour for them, or that there might be no Supplication for them. Both which Senses may be united thus, that there might be none to pray for Grace and Favour for them. And so both the Tranflations meet. There is a great deal of Difference between the Rain filleth the Pools, and the Teacher is fill'd or cover'd with Bleffings; and yet Pfal. 84. 6. (the latter part of the Verse) may be read either of these ways, because the word Moreh is pluvia and doffor, and Beracoth is both piscina and benedictiones. These two have but little Affinity, [he hath given you the former Rain moderately] and [he hath given you a Teacher of Righteousness . ] and yet the Hebrew Words in Joel 2. 23. are capable of being rendred either ways, and accordingly our English Translators imbrace the former, and the Vulgar Latin the latter Sense. The Reason is, because Moreb is a Teacher and Rain. The word beged is perfidia, Jer. 12. 1. and also vestis in above a hundred Places. is an Ise, Job 22. 30. a Region or Province, Isa. 20.6. a Bird or other Animal that frequents Islands, Isa. 13. 22. Cir signifies a Laver, Exod. 20. 18. a Hearth, Zech. 12.6. a Scaffold or Pulpit, 2 Chron. 6. 13. Chajah is the Soul, Life, a Beast, a Company, a Village: wherefore 'tis no wonder that the Word in these Places admits of different Constructions, Pfal. 68. 30. Pfal. 74. 19. Ifa. 57. 10. but

the

28. a Foot or Footstep, Pfal. 85. 14. an Anvil, Ifa. 41. 7. and moreover it hath the Force of the Latin vice, or hâc vice, this once, 1 Sam. 26. 8. How vastly different are the Senses of the Word Tsir? viz. Grief, Isa. 13.8. a Hinge, Prov. 26. 14. an Ambassador or Messenger, Prov. 25. 13. Idols, Ifa. 45. 16. So the Word which we translate Frost Pfal. 78. 47. is of a large Import, and fignifies not only Frost, But vebement Hail, and therefore in the Margin of our Bibles is rendred great Hail-stones: Avenarius renders it Thunder or Thunder-bolts: R. Chasen understands by it not a Meteor, but an Insect, and reads the Place thus, He destroyed their Sycomore Trees with the Locusts. Tzitz hath five distinct Rendrings, a Flower, Isa. 28. 1. a Feather or Quill, or Wing, Jer. 48. 9. a Plate, Exod. 28. 36. a Fringe, Numb. 15. 38. a Lock of Hair, Ezek. 8.3. The words Bad and Baddim signify Linen or Linen Cloth, Ezek. 9. 3. Branches, Ezek. 19.14. Bars, Exod. 27. 6. Greatness or Strength, Job 18. 13. Members or Joints, Job 41. 3. Liars and Lies, Jer. 50. 36. Isa. 44. 25. Job 11. 3. Here are six different Senses of one Word, and there is not any Affinity or Resemblance between any of them. Basar (to which answers oweg in the Greek) is subject, in the Sacred Writings, to as great a Multiplicity of Meanings, as might easily be proved. And to conclude, the word Jad is of a vast Latitude; I know none that equals it as to its wonderful Variety of Senses. It is to be understood and applied at least twenty several ways in the Old Testament: but yet, though it is sometimes difficult, it is never impossible to distinguish the Senses. These

Words and many \* more are Proofs of what I at first asserted, that there is a great Number of Words in the Scripture of Different Significations, and that the Hebrem Tongue especially abounds with such. For the Hebrews have but sew Words, very sew in comparison of what there are in other Languages; but they make their small Stock go as far as it can, by making one Word serve for diverse things, so that oftentimes the subject Matter must determine the Signification. I need say no more. Look but

into the Margins of the English Bible, and there you may be fully satisfied from the Diversity of rendring the Texts, that many Nouns as well as Verbs have different and unlike Meanings, which we must needs apprehend to be the Cause why some Places are Obscure and Difficult.

274

CHAP.

## CHAP. VIII.

Many Hebrew Nouns whereby the several forts of Brute Animals are signified, admit of different Interpretations, which is one Reason why some Places of Scripsure are obscure and difficult. The Great Fish, Jon. 1.17. which devour'd Jonas, was a Whale, properly and strictly so called: but perbaps the Belly of this Fish is not to be understood in a strict Sense of the Abdomen or lower Venter, but of the Wide and Capacious Mouth of that Animal. The proper Names of some Birds and Insects are ambiguous. The Author's particular Opinion concerning Kirjonim, 2 Kings 6. 25. the Doves Dung that was fold at so dear a rate at the Siege of Samaria. What the Locusts were that John Baptist fed on in the Wilderness. The Names of Flowers, Trees, Plants, mentioned in the Bible, are somewhat uncertain. So are the Words for Minerals, Precious Stones, Musical Instruments. Tet this is so far from being a Blemish to the Sacred Writings, that it is a Commendation of them. . The Hebrew Measures (whether of Longitude or Capacity) are another Instance of the Difficulty which arises from our being ignorant of the exalt Significations of some Words in the Bible. The Words whereby the Hebrew Weights are express'd are something dubious. And so are those whereby the Jewish Coins are denoted. Likewise there is Uncertainty in the Greek and Roman Coins mentioned in the New Testament.

IN farther Profecution of this I will observe, that many Hebrew Words which signify Brute Animals (whether four-footed Beasts and other Creatures

<sup>\* \*</sup> See at the end of the Book.

Llle

tures on the Earth, or Fishes, and Birds, and Infects) admit of Different Interpretations, and may be applied to Animals of divers kinds. It is acknowledged both by the Antient and Modern Jews themselves, that they have no certain Account of the Proper Names of divers of those Animals which are mentioned in the 11th Chapter of Leviticus, fome of which were forbidden, others allowed to be eaten by that People. When they come to speak of some of them particularly, they exceedingly difagree about them, and variously determine what they are. Sus is the known Hebrew Word for a Horse, and yet it is the Word for a Crane in Isa. 38. 14. Reim or Reem, which we translate Unicorn, Numb. 23. 22. Job 39. 9. and Pfal. 92. 10. and in other Places, is thought by \* some to be the Monoceros or Indian Ass: but & Bochart dislikes it, and with great Industry endeavours to prove it to be another Beast, viz. an Oryx, a kind of wild Goat, with very sharp Horns. It is rendred a wild Bull, Deut. 33.17. in our Margin, because perhaps the Text fpeaks of Horns in the Plural, which our Translators thought could not be attributed to the Unicorn. But when we read there of the Horns of an Unicorn, (for fo' 'tis in the Original, though 'tis translated Unicorns) why may we not fay that the Plural is put for the Singular, as is very usual? There is an Unicorn properly so call'd, if we may credit | Antient Writers; and fuch an one was feen in the last Age, if Faith is to be given to || Modern Writers. An Unicorn, faith a late Traveller, is an African Creature, only known in the Province of Agars, in the King-

Kingdom of Damotes; though perhaps heretofore it was no Stranger in other Parts. I will not difficult here how the Unicorn and Rhinoceros differ, or whether they do at all, which Mr. Ray denies, and thinks he hath sufficient Ground for it from Modern Voyages: but 'tis enough for our understanding the foresaid Texts of Scripture, that it is the Name of a sierce strong Animal, samous for its Horn or Horns. If it be the Rhinoceros, its Horn ariseth out of its Trunk, and turns up: if it be the Monoceros or Unicorn, properly so call'd, the Horn is in the middle of its Forchead, and exalted. St. Jerom sometimes renders it an Unicorn, and sometimes a Rhinoceros, and we may suppose it to be cither.

Very strangely different are the Significations which are assigned of that Name, which the Wise Man gives to an Animal that he commends for its going well, calling it Zarzir Motnajim, Prov. 30. 31. which in express Terms in English is girt in or about the Loins; which our Translators render a Greyhound, according to R. David, and several other Hebrew Writers, who affirm that this Creature is here meant, because it is slender in the Loins, girt up as 'twere in those Parts. According to the Chaldee Paraphrase and Vulgar Latin, it is a Cock: acccording to R. Levi, a Leopard, that heing a Beast that is slender and strong in the Loins. R. Aben Ezra, and some others, think it to be a Bee, that brisk and nimble Insect; and some fancy it to be a Starling. But Junius and Tremellius and Buxtorf, who render it a Horse, seem to me to bid fairest for Truth here. Nay indeed, what fitter Epithet could there be to express this Animal than this Zarzir Motnajim, Girt about the Loins? It is a Creature of great

<sup>\*</sup> Vatablus, Munster, Drusius. † De Animal. sacr. l. s. | Plin. Nat. Hist. l. 8. c. 20. l. 18. c. 21. Solin. cap. 43, & 65. Strabo. Geogr. lib. 15. Ailian. Hist. Animal. l. 6. c. 20. | Scaliger. Exercit. 205.

Use and Service in Journeying, a pierefore often-times girt for that purpose: it is generous Beast, and uteful in War, and therefore girt for riding. Which I take to be the meaning of a Horse tied (for it is in the Singular in the Hellew 2 Kings 7. 10. i. e. girt for the Battel, for the fext speaks of War-horses. And then, going well (for which it hath particular Commendation here) is the known Property of this Animal for the most part: fo that without any straining, we must acknowledg this toxthe Periphrasis of a Horse, a Girted Animal. Jacmur in Deut. 14. 5. we trafflate a Fallow-Deer; but according to the LXX, St. Ferom, and Pagnin, it is a Buffle or Wild Oxe: it is a kind of a Goat, fay R. Kimchi, and Jonah, and Bochart : it is a Wild As, faith Forster. But what particular Species of Beafts it is, perhaps no Man can exactly tell, nor is it at all necessary that he should Our own Tranflation, which agrees with that of Junius and Tremellius, seems to be most eligible. If Bochart may be credited, Cate (wild ones he heans, not those that are tame) are specien of in Scripture: for though 'tis difficult, is not imp lible, to determine what fort of Creatures is munt by Zijim and Ijim, Ifa. 13. 21. Ch. 34. 24. Jer 50. 39. yet he by the former will needs have cati, feles, to be understood: but truly he might as well have assigned any other Wild Animal. Koach Lev. 11. 30. is. translated a Chamelton, according to the Septuagint and Latin Version, but it a fixard according to Pagnin and Bochart. Some think 'tis a Weefel, others a Frog or Toad, some a mail: and thus they run divisions, when perhaps mere's no ground for any of them; for the Name of Animals are

Et nova velocem cingula lædat Equa. Ovid de Remed.

very uncertain, and dubious, and therefore it's great folly to be very folicitous, especially to be peremptory about them. Moses's Rod was turn'd into a Crocodile, saith the Learned Lightfoot, for he holds, that that is the meaning of Nacash in Exod. 4. 3. The Leviathan described in Job 41. is a Whale, fay Interpreters generally, and very truly, I think: but Pagnin holds it to be a Sea-Scrpent or Dragon: and Beza, and Bochart, and Deodate, say 'tis a Crocodile. And Behemoth is join'd with the Leviathan, because (as one of these Writers thinks) it was its Fellow-fish and Companion in the same place. If the former was the Crocodile of Nile, this is (faith he) the Hippotamus or River-Horse there. But if we peruse the Description given of this Creature, we shall find that it belongs rather, if not only, to a Land-Animal; and therefore I take the cart of the Old Interpreters, who by Behemoth understand the Elephant, the greatest that we know of Terrestrial Beasts. If it be not that Creature, it is not now known what it is.

A Whale is generally believ'd to be that \* Great Fish which swallow'd up Jonas: but the f Author I last named, and Aldrovandus and some others hold that it was a Carcharias or Lamia, a fort of Dog-sish which hath a vast Gullet, so that a Man may pass through it, and accordingly Men have been often sound in the Bellies of this kind of Fish. But as for the Whale, it hath (as all Creatures that have Lungs, and do breathe) a narrow Gullet, became a strait passage is more convenient to let out the Air, and draw it in with greater force and vehemency; and therefore (say they) this could not be the Fish that swallowed Jonas. That this is the T4

<sup>\*</sup> Dag gadol. Jon. 1. 17. + Mr. Bochart, De Ceto Jona.

particular Make of this Fish I do not deny, for \* Scaliger affirms upon his own Inspection and Knowledg that a Whale hath a narrow Throat, scarce half a Foot in compass. Aldrovandus and other Natural Historians attest the straitness of these Parts. But as for the Inference which these Persons draw from such Premises, I cannot admit of it. Nor could these Learned Men have done so, if they had considered that Jonas's being swallow'd up by this Fish was an Extraordinary thing, and fuch as was in the way of a Miracle. It is faid, the Lord prepared this Fish to swallow up Jonas, ver. 7. God in an unusual and wonderful manner effected the Deliverance of the Prophet, by appointing this Whale to receive him, and rescue him from the raging Sea. He fitted and prepared him to take him down into the Caverns of his Belly, he fo framed his narrow Throat that he was able to swallow him down whole. The Parts were so stretch'd at that time, that a greater than Jonas might have pass'd through. There is no reason then to object the Natural Frame and Make of the Fish. But we may rest in the Septuagint's rendring the word, who expresly call it wiro, a Whale; and especially we may be satisfied with our Saviour's Determination, who hath limited the Signification of those words [a great Fish,] and hath expresly told us that Jonas was in the Whale's Belly, Mat. 12. 40., Though the Book of Jonas mentions not a Whale, yet here we Fired that it was that very Fish which was made the happy means of the Prophet's preservation. And yet here may be some Uncertainty still, for a Whale perhaps may not be taken frittly in this place, but may only fignify one of the Ceta-

Contra Cardan, de balanis.

have Lungs, as the Dolphin, Seal or Sea-calf, Porpus, Pristis or Saw-fish, Tuny. We may hold that some other Fish of the Nature of a Whale, but not of that particular Frame as to its Throat, is here meant, and so the former Objection vanisheth.

But I think there is a way to reconcile this, and yet at the same time we may affert, that our Saviour means a Whale properly and strictly fo called; that is, as 'tis credibly said to be, a Great Fish with a Little Throat, so little besure that a Man cannot have any passage through it, and consequently that Jonas had not. If I may be allowed to offer my particular Opinion, I conceive that when 'tis faid by the Prophet Jonas concerning himself, that he was in the Belly of the Fish, Chap. i. 17. and when it is faid by our Saviour that he was in the Belly of the Whale, Mat. 12.40. the word [Belly] is not to be understood in a strict Sense. The \* Hebrew word in the former place is of a Large Extent, and denotes rather the Rowels than the Belly; i.e. it is oftentimes in the Sacred Writ understood of the Inward and Unseen Parts of any thing, which are call'd the Bowels. The Greek word in the latter place is not rashe but noihia, which is of a larger Signification, and imports any middle, or any inward and deep place, as in John 7.38. especially some remote bollow place; and so here we are to understand by it some hollow parties the Fish's Body, and consequently it may denote to us not the Lowest Ventricle, which is usually call'd the Belly, but the Mouth, which is a Concave Part of the Body. And this is here most Emphatically applied

ceous

in visceribus.

plied to this particular Fish, because (as we are certainly informed) it hath a Mouth, (by which I mean all that large place on both sides and in the middle between the Lips or outward Mouth and the Passage down the Throat, all which is of a most Wonderful and Prodigious Magnitude) it hath, I say, a Mouth of so vast a Capacity, that it may rather be call'd a Belly than a Month, and therefore is not unfitly termed fo, although in propriety and strictness of speaking, it is not the Belly, but the Mouth. We must take notice then, that this is the Language and Idiom of the Sacred Writers: So Beten, venter, fignifies not always the Belly properly, but the inward Parts in general, as in 1 Kings 7. 20. and Prov. 22. 18. which latter we translate within thee. Kereb likewise, which is another word for venter, is usually rendred medium, intimum, intestinum: the word is used as \* outpands among the Greeks, and as Umbilious among the Lauins, for the middle of any thing. That Belly or Bowels are used to signify what is inmost and bidden; is clear from Pfal. 40.8. Thy Law is in the midst of my Bowels, shut up and reposited within my Heart : and fo in Job 15. 35. Ch. 30. 27. The Belly in the Stile of Scripture, and in other Writers, is usually mentioned to express any Inward Receptacle or Place to receive and contain a thing. Among Anatomists it hath been made use of in the latitude of the word, to fignify not only the bany properly so call'd, but the other Cavities of the flody, the Head and Breast. So in that Comparison which our Saviour made between himifelf and Jonas, you may nomember that of the Heart of the Earth answers to the Whale's Belly, to let you fee

"Орранов ist Эннаств. Hom. Od. не. † Mat. 12. 40.

fee that both these words xagdia and noilia are the same, and signify some dark and remote Receptacles, where Things or Persons are laid up for a time. As Jonas was in the Whale's Belly, so Christ was in the Heart of the Earth; to acquaint us that as the word Heart is not understood here strictly and properly, so neither is the word κοιλία, as it fignifies Belly. The Heart of the Earth was the Grave or Sepulcher where our Saviour lay, though twas not strictly speaking the Heart: so by the Whale's Belly is meant the place where Jonas was held and imprison'd, though it was not the Belly in the strictness of Speech. But as the Grave is to the Earth, so is the Mouth to the Body: our Saviour was hidden in the one, Jonas was preferv'd in the other, viz. in the Mouth of the Whale. And the word noilla is used in Job. 7.38. to denote, not properly the Relly, (though we render it so) but the inward Part of Man. Out of his Belly shall flow Rivers of living Water, alluding to the Cisterns or Vessels of Stone, dyseia, call'd by the Seventy Interpreters, Prov. 5. 15. out of which by certain Pipes or Cocks they let out the Water in abundance. And further it might be observ'd, that those words which express these inward and invifible Parts have their Denomination from the bollowness of them, as Kebab, ventriculus, is from Kab or Kabab, cavavit: and so Kobab (of the same Signification) is from the same Root, and is so named from its Cavity, and that for this reason, because these inward Vessels and Parts are able to hold and contain things, and also are Channels and Passages to convey and transmit them. These are properly noixiai, and are call'd fo from their hollowness and capaciousites. Thus in the Matter before us, though we do not restrain noilia to the Abdo-

its proper and genuine Denotation, that is, as it fignifies that Vast and Wide Cavity of the Whale which Jonas was taken into; in this noilia, in this Capacious Hollowness was the distressed Prophet lodged three Days and three Nights. In this Belly of Hell (for so likewise he calls it, Chap. 2. 2. and by this Phrase we further see that the word noisia, which is the word here used by the Septuagint, is not properly taken, but signifies some Dark Invisible Receptacle) he was both tormented and preserved: and at last, as we read in the Sequel of this History, when the Lord spake unto this Fish, it vomited out Jonah on the dry Land, Chap. 2. 10. which (let me observe to you) further intimates to us the truth of this Notion which I have prefented to you, for Vomiting is an Emission of something, not out of the Belly, but out of the Mouth or Stomach. If Jonas had been in the Belly or Entrails of the Fish, he had been emitted another way, not by Vomition. Thus I have briefly given my Conceptions of that Text of Scripture, and from the whole it is evident that it speaks of a Whale properly so call'd, (for our Blessed Saviour positively and expresly determines it to be such) and of the Vast Cavity of its Mouth and Jaws, which in respect of their huge extension, may deserve the Name of a Belly, rather than of those Parts. I know that the Almighty God, who made the Creature at first, could afterwards have framed and disposed its Throat, or any other Passages, as he pleas'd: With the greatest Reverence I acknowledg this. But if we can folve the Works of God and his Providence in a natural way, I think we are obliged to do it, and at the same time we adore the God of Nature. Although it must be confess'd,

of the Holy Scriptures. confess'd, that if we respect the Power and Soveraignty, the Providence and Will of God, it might be the Belly of this Fish properly so denominated, which was the Place where the Fugitive Prophet was lodged; yet seeing Naturalists have given us this Account of the Whale, that the Passage of its Throat is so strait, that a Man's Body cannot be convey'd through it; and feeing we are not fure that God alrer'd the Frame and Disposition of this Part; and seeing likewise that the Word which the Holy Ghost useth is capable of a double Sense, we may be invited on these Considerations to think that it was the Vast Mouth of this Fish which is here meant. And truly the Wonderfulness of the Occurrence is not at all hereby abated; for to preserve Jonas so long in the Whale's Mouth, was as great a Miracle (if we consider all things) as to preserve hint in its Lower Belly.

Then as for Fowls, Birds, and Insects, there is a great Ambiguity in the Old Testament, as to some of these. Tsippor is a common Name of all Fowls, as in Pfal. 104. 17. and other Places: but sometimes it is more particularly taken for a Sparrow, as in Pfal. 102.7. So in Pfal. 84.3. some certain Species of Birds are signified, because the Swallow is mentioned in the same Place. Kore, 1 Sam. 26. 20. which we translate a Partridg, is a Night-raven, according to the 70 Interpreters: It is a Woodcock or Snipe, faith \* One whom I have often quoted. Ajah, Lev. 11.14. Job 28: 7. is rendred in the Septuagint and Vulgar Version, and in ours, a Vulture: but according to Arias Montanus, it is varia avis, i.e. a Pie: according to others it is a Crow; and tis thought by others to be a Kite: But we need not

<sup>\*</sup> Bochart, de Anim, Sacr.

be folicitous to know which of these it is, for it is likely we can never attain it; or if we could, it would be of little Advantage to us, for the Sense of these Places of Scripture depends not on our knowing what fort of Animal this or that is. Deror. Pfal. 84. 4. is in our English Translation a Smallow, but according to the Greek and Latin it is a Turtle, and so Bochart indeavours to prove. Kippod, which we translate a Bittern, Isa. 14. 23. ch. 34.11. is according to R. Solomon a kind of Owl; but Luther will have it to be an Eagle. Yea, some rank it among other Species of Animals, for according to the Vulgar Latin and Pagnin it is a Hedg-hog; according to R. Kimchi and R. Joseph, a Snail; according to others, a Beaver. Avenarius comes nearest the Truth, who tells us it is the Name of a Fowl unknown to us in these Parts. But this we are certain of, (and we need not look any further) that it is some Fowl or other Animal that frequents defert and desolate Places, because of these the Text speaks. So when the Psalmist complains that be is like a Pelican in the Wilderness, and like an Owl of the Desart, Psal. 102.6. we need not be inquisitive whether the former word Kaath be rightly tranflated, or whether it should be rendred a Bittern, as 'tis by Jerom and Bochart; nor are we to care whether that latter word Kos certainly signifies that flying Creature which we call an Owl, or whether it be an Houp, έποψ, Upupa, according to Symmachus; or a Night-raven, according to the Seventy, and St. Jerom; or a Falcon, according to R. Solomon and Pagnin; or a Pelican, according to some others. J. R. Kimchi was in the right, who faith, 'tis the Name of some unclean Bird not known to us. But this is enough, that it was fome Solitary Creature of the feather'd Order that kept in remote Places,

because it is said to be an Inhabitant of the Defart; and so it is used here to set forth the present Solitude and mournful Condition of the Pfalmist. Chasidab, which we translate a Swrk, Psal. 104. 17. and Jer. 8. 7. is, according to St. Jerom, a Kite: but the same Word in Job 39, 13, is rendred by us an Offrich; and so 'tis in the Vulgar Latin; which shews the Ambiguity of the Word. Tachmas, Lev. 11. 16. is translated by us a Night-bank; by the Targum, the Seventy, St. Jerom, and Arias Montanus, an Owl; by the Arabick and Avenarius, a Swallow; by Bochart, an Offrich. The like Disagreement is there in rendring the word Tinshemeth, Lev. 11.18. which we english, a Swan; but according to Arias Montanus, it is Porphyrio; according to R. Solomon, a Bat; according to Bochart, a Chameleon: Some fay 'tis a Bittern; others an Owl; others a Daw. And to let you fee the Uncertainty of the Word, in the very same Chapter it is reckon'd among the Creeping things, ver. 30. and is rendred a Mole. To add one more, viz. Anaphab, which we render a Heron, Lev. 11. 19. but according to the Seventy it is needless; according to the Vulgar Latin Charadrios, i. c. a Sea-bird, call'd by some Icterus. It is a Kite, say the Talmudists and Targum. It is a Ring-dove, a Pie, a Lapwing, a lesser fort of Owl, fay others. It is a Bird call'd avenue, Anopea, (which perhaps comes from Anapha) faith Bochant. It is probable that this and several others of those Fowls (as well as other Animals) mentioned in Lev. 11. and Deut. 14. are not exactly rendred; but we cannot tell when they are, or when they are not. And I do not see there can be any help for this in the World, unless you can suppose that some Criticks are infallible. This only we can do; after a diligent and impartial Search into the

give

the different Opinions concerning the Words, we may acquiesce in that which we think to be most reasonable.

Here I will infert lomething concerning that Paffage in 2 Kings 6. 25. The fourth part of a Cab of Doves Dung was sold for five pieces of Silver; which refers to a known fort of Fowls, but hath been much controverted by Critical Expositors. What is the true Import here of Kirjonim (which we translate Doves Dung) is not easy to determine; for some derive the former part of the Word from Charar, siccitas, calor, exustio: and others from Chur, which hath various Significations, as Whiteness, a Hole, or bollow Place, a Paunch, Dung. And the Talmudifts read it Dibjonim, because for sooth 'tis a modester Word. Some think it to be Dung, properly fo call'd, the Execrement of Pigeons; but then they much differ about the Use of it. Rabbi Jonas, one of the chiefest of the Jewish Doctors, hath this Conceit, that in the time of the Siege they used Doves Dung dried to kindle their Fires: this ferv'd the People of Samaria instead of Sticks, which now were not to be had. But this feems to be an extravagant Fancy, because (besides that 'tis questionable whether this could be made serviceable for Fewel) the Text speaks of Scarcity and want of Food, not of Firing. The Famine was fo great, that not only an As's Head, but this Kirjonim (which was some Edible) was sold at an excessive rate. Others fay they used Doves Dung, in the time of the Siege, instead of Salt. But this is as groundless as the former Opinion, for (not to dispute whether the thing be practicable or no) Persons are not solicitous in a raging Famine for Salt, but for Meat. Another tells us, that it was to dung their Fields within the City, that they might have a Harvest

at home the ensuing Year, if the Siege should last; and they were not permitted to go abroad. But this is no ways credible, for either they had much Ground within the City for that purpose, or they had but little. The former is wholly improbable, for in frequented Cities (fuch as Samaria was) their Habitations take up the greatest part of the Place; fo that there is but little left for Arable. And if there was but little, it was not worth their Time and Pains to bestow Compost upon it. Moreover, 'tis reasonable to think that those distress'd famish'd Creatures were eager about relieving their present Wants, but were not concern'd to provide against the ensuing Year. Another of the Jewish Rabbies understands this Kirjonim, of that which was contained in the Crop or Maw of Pigeons, the Corn they had pick'd up in the Fields; this (saith he) was taken out when they return'd back, and was eaten for want of better Food. But this Rabbi forgot that when the Famine was fo grievous and pressing, it is likely the Pigeons were feized on in their Houses, and not suffer'd to fly abroad. Or supposing this latter, yet we are to remember that the Fields about Samaria were stripp'd of their Corn at that time, and therefore those Animals could not return home with that Prey. Others think the Guts and Entrails of Pigeons are meant here by this Word; but why they rather than the Garbage of Other Fowls should be mentioned, is not accountable. Monsieur Bochart, the Great Goliah-Critick, tells us, it signifies none of these, but he gives us an Invention of his own, viz. that this Kirjonim is a fort of Cicer, a Coarse kind of Food, but such as the Jews sometimes did eat. It is the same, he saith, with Kali, in 2 Sam. 17. 28. and this is the Name that the Arabians

give it. But this Learned Author may receive a Confutation from that Text it felf; for if this Kali had been any Coarse contemptible Food, 'tis not at all probable that the Persons there mentioned would have made a Present of it to King David. Questionless they brought of the best Provision to him, and this sufficiently appears from the other Gifts which are in the same Place enumerated. This Kali is rendred by the Vulgar Latin Polenta, and by our Translators parched Corn; perhaps parch'd and dried after it had been foak'd in Water, and was a kind of an early Essay of Malt. But whatever it was, it is evident that it was some Choice Present, and therefore this last Interpretation is not to be allowed. But what is, is very hard to tell. I subscribe to this Learned Writer in this, that Grain or Corn is here meant. But it was not any one particular fort of them, nor could it be that Kali before-mentioned. Wherefore, if I may have leave to give in my Conjecture, (after all that hath been faid) it is this, that this Kirjonim was the Offals or Refuse of all forts of Corn and Grain, which was wont to be given to Pigeons at such time of the Year, when they had nothing abroad to feed on. For the Jews tell us, that they antiently kept Pigeons in certain Houses and Places built on purpose for them, (as 'tis with us at this Day) and there relieved them with Food when there was occasion. This Refuse-Grain, this Tail-Corn, these Sweepings of the Floor, these vile Remains, are here call'd Dung, by way of Contempt. This comports with the Stile of Scripture, which uses the word \* Dung to denote the Baseness and Vileness of a thing: and here it is join'd with

Of the STILE

an Afs's Head, which was the Vilest fort of Food; and therefore both together do fully express the Extremity of the Famine at that time. And also this Vile Drofs and Siftings of all kind of Grain imght be called Dung by them, because these being very groß, yielded abundant Matter for Excremehts. This seems to me to be a very plain and obvious Interpretation of the Hebrew Word, but let the Reader be Judg. It is certain it can't be meant of Pigeons Dung, strictly so call'd, for neither humane Excrements, nor any others, are capable of being Food. If we meet with any thing to the contrary, as in Isa. 36. 12. 2 Kings 18. 27. it is spoken in an Hyperbolical Strain. But no more of this ungrateful Subject. Perhaps we have lost the true Meaning of Kirjonim. Such Words and Names of things as these, which are of no frequent Use, by reason of their great Antiquity, are forgot, and not known by us. And this is not peculiar to the Hebrew Tongue alone; the very fame happens in other Languages, which are not fo Antient, as it were easy to demonstrate.

Then as to Infects, the Word which we render a Spider, Prov. 30. 28. is Stellio in the Old Latin Version: and the Inquisitive Bochart labours to make it probable, that that is the Creature there meant, viz. an Ewet, a little Spotted Animal like a Lizard. I will mention here the Locusts, Lev. 11. 22. (rank'd with the Beetle and Grashopper) which the Jews were allowed to eat: and I will take occasion thence to speak of the Angides, the Locusts, which John Baptist fed upon in the Wilderness, Mat. 3. 4. Mark 1.6. they being the very same fort of Food which are mentioned here in Leviticus among the Species of Creeping Fowls. I know there are other Opinions concorning them. The Ebionites of

old, as \* Epiphanius relates, held that anpides was a Mistake for equesors, which Word is used Exod. 16. 31. and Numb. 11. 9. But this wild Interpretation hath no Bottom at all, and therefore hath been universally rejected. Some have thought, as I One tells us, that these ancides were Sea-Fish, either Crabs or Lobsters: and why not Shrimps? But guess how likely 'twas that St. John should meet with Sea-Fish in the Wilderness; besides that these were a dainty fort of Food, and not so besitting this mortified Hermit. Others take these angides not to be Sensitive Creatures, but Vegetables, which was the Sentiment of some of the Fathers. | Theophylact thought them to be a certain kind of Herb. some particular distinct Species of Plants. But (\*) others of the Antient Christian Writers took them to be Tops of Shrubs and Trees; and among the Moderns this is held by Theophrastus and Paracelfus. (1) One Author is very particular in giving his Judgment of this Word, for he saith it signifies, 1. Little Shoots and Tendrels of Trees. 2. Young Sprouts of Plants. 3. Asparagus. Baronius and Erasmus understand the Word of the uppermost Parts or Toppings of young Trees, which they think St. John cropp'd: and our Dr. Hammond favours this Opinion; but (||) Sir. N. Knatchbull very heartily defends it. But I fee no Foundation at all for it, for the Words and angides have no fuch Signification in any Author whatfoever. It is true, augis, io, and the Plural augies (used by Homer) signify the Tops of Mountains: but what is this to the purpose, unless they think

the Baptist had such a Miraculous Stomach as first to remove the Tops of Mountains, and then to eat them? I can't imagine any other occasion of this Opinion than this, that aupov is summum, extremum, whence some fancied that the Word signisied the Tops or Extremities of Plants. And besides, the Antient Writers (from whom the later received the Notion) thought not of the Locusts, which were the ordinary Food of the Eastern People, (as is evident from the foresaid Place in Leviticus) and were much bigger in those Countries than in others. These are the aupides mentioned by St. Matthew and St. Mark; these are the Locusts which the Holy Baptist made his Repast whilst he lived in the Defart: for that those of meaner Rank, and such especially in the Eastern Countries as convers'd in the Fields and Defarts, fed on this fort of Meat, is sufficiently testified by Aristotle, Elian, Solinus, Pliny, and other Natural Historians, who speak of this kind of Insects: also by Strabo and \* Diodorus Siculus, who report that some People were call'd 'Aupidopayor, because they fed generally on this Food; and by the Learned Father of St. Jerom. But the most satisfactory Author on this Subject is Ludolphus, in his Ethiopick or Abysfine History, who proves that Locusts are an agreeable Food to the People of Africk and the Southern Parts of Asia; and that they are of very great Bulk, and not like those in Europe: and in short, that they were the usual Sustenance of some People in the East. What then can be plainer than this, that St. John fed on these Animals in the Wilderness, it being a sort of Food that the Hebrew People were no Strangers to, and consequently that this

<sup>\*</sup> Contr. Hæres. 1: 1. † Druthmarus in loc. 
¶ In Matth.
cap. 3. (\*) Clemens Alex. Chrysostom. Nicephorus, Hist. 1:
c. 14. Isidor. 1: 1. Epist. 5. (†) Hieron, Montius de Tutelà selubritatis. (||) Annotat. in, Matth. 3. 4.

<sup>\*</sup> Lib. 4. c. 3. + Advers. Jovinian.

this is the true Interpretation of Mat. 3. 4.? But it must be acknowledged, that Other Texts are not so easily understood: there is a great Ambiguity in those words whereby Animals are expressed, and it is somewhat difficult to reach the true meaning of them, as may sufficiently appear from what Monsieur Bochart hath said of those Animals that are spoken of in Scripture: though truly I am of opinion, that that Great Man hath sometimes (if not often) rais'd Doubts about them when there was little or no Ground for it, as 'tis the fault of almost all Great Criticks to render Words and Things dubious when there is no occasion for it.

In the next place, I will observe that the Names of Flowers, Plants and Herbs among the Hebrews are scarcely known to us. Otherwise certainly the Hebrew word which in our English Bibles is vendred a Rose, Cant. 2. 1. Isa. 35. 1. would not have been translated a Flower in the former place, and a Lilly in the latter by the Vulgar Interpreter, And \* Buxtorf was so sensible of the ambiguous Sense of this word, that he tells us, it is either a Rose or a Lilly. The + Plant which God prepared. for yours, to be a Shade to him, is rendred by some a kind of Vine, by others a Cucumber, by the Seventy Coloquintida, or the Bitter Gourd, wellone'vou, (call'd by the Botanists the Apple of Calon quintida, and the Gall of the Earth, and the Death of Plants) by the Vulgar Latin an Ivy, by others (as Mercer and Montanue) that Plant which we call Palma Christi, and by our English Translators (according to the Arabick) a Gourd. So discrepant are the Judgments of Interpreters about this Mat-

ter. And the Geneva, Helvetian and Danish Bibles retain the Hebrew word Kikaion, because they knew not what to make of it. Nor are Authors less divided about the Gopher-wood, Gen. 6. 14. of which the Ark was made, for no less than Seven or Eight forts of Trees are mention'd by them on this occasion. Some fay it is Square-Timber, because 'tis rendred by the Greek Interpreters ξύλα τη εφρωνα: others think it to be Smooth-Timber, because in the Vulgar Latin it is Ligna levigata. According to the Chaldee Paraphrasts, and most of the Rabins, it is Cedar: according to Munster and the Geneva Translation, it is a Pine-Tree. Junius thinks it is a middle fort between this and a Fir. Bochart and Fuller vote it to be a Cypress: and the \* latter hath this fanciful notion concerning it, that among the Gentiles the Cypress was always held to be a Fatal Tree, and was used at Funerals, because the Ark at the Flood. in which Noah was shut up as in a Sepulcher, was made of this Wood. Some take it for a Fir, and others for a Turpentine-Tree. And Pererius (that he might say something singular, and different from all the rest) fancies it was not the Wood of one fort of Tree, but that it was made of divers Kinds. But the Translators of the English Bible retain the Hebrew word it self, because they were not satisfied with any of these Significations. Eolah and allah and allon, Ezek. 6. 13. Josh. 24. 26. Isa. 6.13. according to different Interpreters are rendred not only an Oak, but an Elm, an Alder-Tree, a Turpentine, a Lime, or Teil-Trec, a Pine, a Chefnut. What kind of Trees Algummim or Almuggim, 1 Kings 10. 11. 2 Chron. 2. 8. Chap. 9. 10, 11. were,

<sup>\*</sup> Lexic. Hebraic. † Jon. 4.

<sup>\*</sup> Miscell. 1. 4. c. 5.

Whether

unintelligible.

of the Holy Scriptures. Whether the Hebrew Bedolach, Bdellium, Gen. 2. 12. be a Tree or a Stone, or a Gum, or a Pearl, is disputed. Pliny and Diascorides mention Bdellium as Wood or a Tree, and Junius (upon the place) is of the same Mind. Others, and particularly \* Josephus, understand it to be an Aromatick Gum, or the Juice of some Odoriferous Tree. The Jews generally hold it to be a Precious Stone; but some of them think it is a Crystal, others a Jasper, and others of them a Carbuncle, it being fo rendred by the Septuagint. Bochart and some other Moderns tell us that Bedolach is not Bdellium or any other Precious Stone, but a Margarite, a Pearl of the Sea, which is usually fetch'd up in that Maritime Part of Arabia which is call'd Havilab in the foremention'd Text. And to corroborate this Opinion, he further adds, that Manna is said to be (Numb. 11. 7.) of the colour of Bdellium, i. e. white, which is the fingular Ornament and Beauty of a Pearl. It might be observed here, that the words for Minerals and Precious Stones are very ambiguous. I will mention only one, viz. Nophek, the first Precious Stone in the second Order of those in the High Priest's Breast-plate: this is rendred by St. Jerom a Carbuncle, by Onkelos an Emerald, by some Interpreters a Topaz, and by others a Ruby. And there is almost the like difference in interpreting some of the other Words whereby other Stones are fignified. For indeed, it is the Confession of the Hebrew Doctors, as Buxtorf and others tell us, that the Names of Precious Stones in Scripture are unknown to us. There is such a discrepancy, saith a || Learned Hebrician, about these

Annorar, in Exod. 3, 23.

<sup>\*</sup> Antiqu. 1. 3. c. 1. † 'O 218025. H Paul. Fagius in Exod. 28. 20.

these among all Interpreters, whether Christians or lews, that no Man is able to determine any thing certain.

The same may be said of Musical Instruments mention'd in Scripture : which have employ'd many Criticks and Grammarians, but with little Satisfaction. But I have faid enough for my prefent purpose, viz. to shew you that the Hebrew Names of divers things are not well understood, which sometimes begets a misunderstanding concerning the things themselves. There are indeed among the Greeks and Latins a great number of words of Different Senses, but the number is far greater in Heliter, by reason of the paucity of words in this Tongue! for there being many Things, but few Words to express them, it will follow that fundry of them must be of various Significations, and consequently that it is no easy matter to distinguish between them. This may be the reason why the Septuagint have inserted several Hebrew words into their Version, namely, because they could not tell how to express them in Greek, their Signification being fo Doubtful. Hence alfo some Proper Names are translated by these interpreters as Appellatives; which is done also sometimes by the Vulgar Latin, because those Names are feemingly and as to their sound no other than Appellatives: however, the Dubious meaning of them prompted the Translators to take them as fuch. Nor are we to think that this Ambiguity is any Blemish or Disparagement to the Bible, and that for this reason, because we find it no where but in those Matters which are Indifferent, and the Knowledg of which is not indiffenfably required of us. Nay, on the contrary, this Difficulty which we meet with in many Words and Passages in these Holy

Holy Writings, is so far from disparaging them, that it is an undeniable Proof of the Unparallell'd duriquity of them. We are affored hence, that they have the Priority of all other Books; we may rationally gather that a great part of this Volume at least was composed and written before any other Writings were extant. If this Sacred Book were of a later Date, we should have had few or none of those Difficult Terms that it abounds with pow. We could not then have a more Convincing Argument of its being Exceeding Antient, than its being Dark in some places. And therefore instead of complaining of the Obscurity of these Writings, let us reverence and admire its Matchless Antiquity, and congratulate our own Happiness, that the Divine Providence hath entrusted us with the First and Oldest Records of Truth in the World.

I will go on then still with my present Undertaking, and hew in other particulars the Dubious Import of fome words in these Sacred Writings, and attempt to clear some of them. I will here speak of the Measures, Weights, and Coins mention'd in Scripture, which are another Instance of the Difficulty which arifes from our being ignorant of the exact Significations of some Words in the Sacred Molume. The Hebrew Measures are either of Application or of Capacity, i. e. fuch Measures as are applied and laid unto things, or fuch as holdand contain things. To the former fort belongs chiefly the Gubit, the famous Standing Measure of the Hebrews. But this is twofold, either Common or Sacred: the former is the length of the Arm from the Elbow to the end of the middle Finger, according to the Dimensions of Men of the greatest Stature; and it is generally agreed that this is a Foot and a half, or (which is the fame) half a Yard.

Yard. This was the Measure for ordinary things, as Ogg's Bedstead, which was \* in length nine Cubits, i. c. thirteen Foot and a half, or four Yards and a half, and in breadth four Cubits, i. c. fix Foot, or two Yards: by which it appears, that he was fuch another Giant as Goliah was, i whose height was fix Cubits and a Span, i. e. nine Foot and nine Inches, or three Yards and almost a Foot: for we must suppose that his Bedstead was a fourth part or thereabouts longer than his Body. But belides this ordinary Cubit, call'd the Cubit of a Man, Deut. 3. i.i. i. e. of a Man's Proportion, from the Elbow to the Fingers end, the Common Cubit, there was the Sacred one, which is as much again, viz. a Yard. By this were measured those things which were Extraordinary and Unusual, or which were Holy and Religious. Some think the length, breadth and height of the Ark is measured by this Cubit, Gen. 6. 15. otherwise they cannot make room for all the Creatures of every Kind that were to be lodged in it. Yea, two of the Antient Fathers think that the Cubit by which the Ark was built, was the Geometrick one, which is fix times longer than the Ordinary Cubit, i. e. it contains nine Foot: for they thought that the Ark otherwise could not hold all the Beasts. But the contrary is stifly maintain'd by (\*) Others, who reject the Geometrick Cubit, because there is no mention of it in Scripture, and because the Fabrick of the Ark would have been of two vast a proportion if it had been measur'd by this. These Men with the Ordinary Cubit make that Vessel large enough to hold all the different Animals that were order'd

of the Holy Scriptures. to be preserved in it. Buteo more especially hath undertaken this, and perform'd it as well as the thing would bear. He insists that Moses speaks of the Cubit that was most in use in his time, which by consent of Writers contain'd a Foot and a half in length: and accordingly he endeavours to make the whole Business of the Fabrick and Capacity of the Ark for receiving the several Creatures, to be accountable on this Hypothelis of the Common Cubit. When the Mosaick History relates that the Longitude of the Ark was three hundred Cubits, we must understand it, he saith, of four hundred fifty Foot in length: when it describes its Latitude to be fifty Cubits, there are meant feventy five Foot: and when the Altitude is faid to be thirty Cubits, we must reckon forty five Foot. This was the Proportion of that Antient Fabrick, of that great Swimming Coffin, for its Figure agrees most with that Shape. But whereas we read that it consisted of three Stories, ver. 16. this Author assigns four, telling us, that the first (which he adds) is not mention'd, because it was a Sink or Sewer to receive all the Filth that came from the Stalls of the Animals: the next to this, was the place where the Terrestrial Animals were lodg'd: The Third was the Storehouse for Provision let down to the Creatures below through Racks: In the Fourth were the Men and Birds. And these Rooms and Apartments, with their Accommodations, are reduced by him to that number of Cubits which Noah affigns. So that the Cavils of Celsus, and of the Gnosticks before him, against the incapacity of the Ark for so many Beasts, are sllene'd by the Undertaking of this Ingenious Writer, who hath proved that this Structure was able to hold very Well

<sup>\*</sup> Deut. 3. 11. † 1 Sam. 17.4. | Origen, Hom. 2. in Gen. Augustin. de Civ. Dei, l. 15. c. 27. (\*) Buteo de Arca Noc. Kircher Arc. Noë.

usual

Well all Species of Animals, i. e. of Creeping things. which according to Gesner and Aldrovandus, are not above thirty, and of Four-footed Brufts, which

are a hundred and thirty, (for Anthopes begot between a Hart and a Goat, Mules the Product of an Horse and an Ass, Jackals of whom a Wolf is the Sire, a Fox the Dam, and some other fuch Mungrel Creatures are not to be reckon'd in the Number) and of Fowls of the Air about a

hundred and fifty. As to the Tabernacle and Temple, it is granted that the Dimensions of them are taken by the Holy Cubit, which is as long again as the Com-

mon one. But then, whether the Utenfils and Vessels, and other things belonging to the Temple are to be measured by the Common or the Sacred Cubit, is often disputed among those who have treated of this Matter. And it must needs be so, because the word Animah, cubitiu, is ambiguous; for though it never fignifies in Scripture the Geometrical Cubit, which is three Yards, ver it is left uncertain in many places whether

the Common or Sacred Cubit be meant. Measures of Capacity among the Hebrews are elther of things that are Dry, or of those that are Liquid. Of the first fort are, 1. The Cab, 2 Kings 6, 25. the Least of Dry Measures used by the Jews. about a quarter of a Peck of our English Measure. 2. The Ephab, Lev. 5. 11. of the same Quantity with the Bath, (of which afterwards) only the one is for dry things, the other for wet. "It may be call'd the Hebrew Bushel, because it is much about that Quantity,; though some will have it to be much more, and others a confiderable deal less, viz, half a Bushel and a Pottle. Some fay it contains about feven Gallons, others nine. So that we cannot

of the Holy Scriptures. cannot tell the precise Quantity of this Measure; which neither the Greek Interpreters knew, it is likely, though they were Jews, for they render the word Ephah differently, fometimes metrov, sometimes πέμμα, and at other times υφί and ύφει, and οιφεί. 3. An Homer, Παπ, Chomer, Ezek. 45. 14. which is ten Baths or Ephahs, i. e. ten Bushels, fay some: but others set it higher, making it sourteen Bushels; and others bring it lower, reducing it to about eight Bushels. Perhaps the English word Coumb or Coume, which now fignifies but half as much, denoted a greater Quantity heretofore, and was originally taken from the Hebrew Chomer, But is since corrupted in the Pronunciation. Note that this is the Greatest (however the just and exact Quantity be disputed) of all Dry Measures. 4. An Omer, עמר, Gnomer, Vulgar Latin Gomor. It is true, some Writers (and of no mean Note) have confounded these two, Homer and Omer, and the Seventy Interpreters did so long before, calling both of them popule, but they are two Distinct Measures. For we are assured that an Omer is the tenth part of an Ephah, Exod. 16. 36. i. e. the tenth part of a Bushel, or thereabouts; and therefore is call'd by the Jews Gnisharon, decima, a tenth-deal, Numb. 15. 4. whereas the Homer contained ten Ephahs or Baths, i. e. ten Bushels. But yet this is an Equivocal Word, as appears from Lev. 23. 10. Te shall bring a Sheaf of the first Fruits of your Harvest unto the Priest. It is the word Omer which is here translated a Sheaf, (a far different thing from a Measure) and by the \* Septuagint and Vulgar + Latin Version a Maniple or handful, which indeed is a fort of Measure, but greatly disagreeing with the

<sup>†</sup> Manipuli spicarum.

Gold-

usual Signification of Omer. But in all other places the Hebrew Name it felf is retain'd in the Greek and Latin Versions as well as in Ours; and I have told you what it is generally thought to fignify. 5. The Cor, 1 Kings 4. 22. which is made by some a distinct Measure from those before-named: but you will find, that according to the Vulgar Latin, a Cor and an Homer are the fame, Ezek. 45. 13, 14...

The Measures for Liquids among the Hebrews were a Log, Lev. 14. 10. which contain'd about half a Pint: however, this is fure that it was the least of Liquid Measures. Next, a Hin, Numb. 15.4. which was somewhat bigger than a Log: some say it held ten Logs, a Great Gallon I may call it. A Bath, Ezek. 45. 11. was yet bigger, and contain'd fix Hins, i. e. about fix Gallons: others say, four Gallons and an half. And yet it is said to be of the same Capacity with the Ephah, i.e. a Bushel, and consequently should hold eight Gallons. The Homer was also a Measure for Liquor, as well as for Grain, and it contained ten Baths, as is evident from Ezek. 45. 14. Ten Baths are an Homer. But because a Bath is more or less, according to the different Determinations of Writers, we cannot assign the exact Quantity of an Homer. (which I before mentioned as the same with the Chomer, and the greatest of Dry Measures) is also a Measure for Liquids, 1 Kings 5. 11. Ezek. 45. 14. But 'tis no wonder that we have not an exact Knowledg of these Jewish Measures, for even those that are mentioned in Greek and Latin Authors, and very much fall short of the Antiquity of these, are but little known by us. Next, if we proceed to the Words whereby the

Hebrew Weights are expressed in Scripture, which are the Shekel and the Talent, we shall find them fome-

dung (like the Cubit) is faid to be either Common or Sacred, the Profane Shekel, or that of the Sanffuary. And here there is Diffention among Writers; but according to the most moderate Accounts, the former is faid to be in Weight a quarter of an Ounce, the latter half an Ounce Troy. Others affirm, that there was no Profane Shekel, different from the Sacred one; but that the occasion of the Opinion was the Scripture's mentioning the Shekel of the Sanctuary, Lev. 27. 25. and Numb. 3. 47. which is so call'd, because the Weights which were laid up in the Sanctuary were the Standard of all Weights. The other Weights in use among the People were tried by These, and if they were found lighter, they were condemned. As for the contrary Opinion, it is look'd upon by some (but I cannot subscribe to it) as an Invention of the Rabbies. The other Weight is 725, Exod. 25. 39. 1 Kings. 20. 39. rendred by the Greek and Latin Version (as well as Ours) a Talent; which is either Common or Sacred; the first, according to some of the Rabins, is fifty Pounds; others fay, fixty; others, fixty two in weight. The fecond, accorde ing to some, is an hundred; according to others, an hundred and twenty; and in others Estimation, an hundred twenty five Pounds Weight, i. e. about as much again as the Common Talent. But it is difficult to tell in which particular Places of Scripture the Common Talent is meant, and in which the Sacred one. Only this we know, that a Talent was the greatest Weight among the Hebrews, And this we may rest in, as very probable, that there was a Difference of the same Weights among the Jews, as among us there is Troy Weight used by

Goldsmiths and Apothecavies, and Averdipies by those who deal in grosser things. Then as to the Coins, these generally followed

the Weights, because they weighed their Money for the most part: Hence the Shekel and the Talent were not only Weights but Coins among the Hebrews. The Shekel of the Santhuary was in strict Value two Shillings four Pence of our Money, but is generally reckon'd two Shillings fix Pence, our Half-Crown: but the Ordinary Shekel was but half as much, i. e. as to the most strict Value fourteen Pence, but more generally esteem'd to be fifteen. This is to be understood of the Shekel of Silver; but then we must know there was another of Gold, which was of a much higher Value, fifteen Shillings at least. Now because the word Shekel is often mentioned without any Addition in Scripture, we may be mistaken as to the right Value of it, because we are uncertain which of the Shekels is to be under stood, that of Silver or that of Gold. And some times it happens by reason of the Shekels being Both a Weight and a Com, that the one is mistook for the other. As probably in 2 Sam. 14.26. where 'tis faid that Absalom at every Year's end polled his Head. because the Hair was beauty on him, and be weighed the Hair at two hundred Shekels; which is gefierally understood as if the Hair of his Head, be ing cut off every Year, weighed two hundred Shekels, i. e. fifty Ounces, which is four Pounds and two Ounces, if you reckon by the Leffer Shekel; but if you make your Computation by the Greatet one, which was double in weight, his Hair weighed eight Pounds and four Ounces. But this cannot be for though his Hair was heavy, (as the Toxt restifies) yet it is no ways credible that it was of this

vast Weight. Two hundred Shekels of the lesser Weight are more ponderous than the Fleeces of two ordinary Sheep. You may imagine then what the Weight doubled will be, i.e. if you understand the Place of the Greater Shekels. Wherefore by Shekels here is meant Coin, and not Weight: the Meaning is this, that Absalom's Hair growing excessively, and being very heavy, he yearly cut it off; and when it was weighed, it was found to be worth two hundred Shekels, that is, according to the Common Shekel, twelve Pounds ten Shillings in our English Money, but much more according to the rate of the Greater Shekel. The Price or Value of his Hair, not the Weight of it, is here spoken of. So much Money he could have had for the yearly Loppings of his Hair, and so much and more they made of it to whom he gave it, viz. his Servants, who parted with it at a dear rate to the Ladies of Jerusalem, who were ambitious of adorning their Heads with the Hair of the Beautiful Abfalom, with the Locks of the King's Son; especially if what a \* Learned Man from the Jerusalem-Talmudists suggests hath any Truth in it, viz., that he was a Temporary Nazarite, (as some among the Jews were; yea, 'tis my Opinion that they were all at their Liberty) and let his Hair grow from Year to Year, because of his Vow: for then some of the better disposed Females might in a Religious way buy up these Reliques of Nazaritism, and look upon them as Sacred. If this Interpretation of the Place be not admitted, then one of these two things must be granted, either that his Hair was of that Prodigious and Incredible Weight which we mentioned, (which will hardly be received) or X 2

<sup>\*</sup> Dr. Lightfoot Hor. Hebraic.

else that we are mistaken in the true Value of a Shekel in this Place; and if so, we may be mistaken in others.

We might likewise consider the Value of a Talent, which is either the Great or the Lesser: the Value of the former is two hundred thirty three Pounds Sterling; and of the latter one hundred feventy five Pounds Sterling, according to some good Authors. But others will have four hundred Pound Sterling to be the true Estimate of the Greater Talent, and they value the Lesser at half as much. Again, the Scripture speaks of a Talent of Silver. and a Talent of Gold, and these also are differently understood; for some value the former at one hundred eighty seven Pounds ten Shillings; others at three hundred seventy five Pounds: the latter is esteemed to be two thousand two hundred and fifty, by some; and four thousand five hundred by others. In short, (as \* Budæus hath observed) Talents are according to the Use and Value of several Countries, Babylonian, Syrian, Egyptlan; yea, the Greeks, who first used this Value of Money, did vary themselves in their Talents, having some greater, and others lesser, some worth two hundred Pounds, others only one hundred Pounds Sterling with us. From & Julius Pollux we briefly learn what a great Difference there was in Talents; "The Attick Talent, faith he, made six "thousand Attick Drachma's; the Babylonian "Talent seven thousand; the Æginæan ten thou-" fand; the Syrian a thousand and five hundred. What we read in 2 Sam. 12. 30. concerning the King of the Ammonites Crown, that the Weight thereof was a Talent of Gold, is to be understood of a

Talent, as it signifies Coin, not a Weight, for we can't imagine that that King, or David, (on whose Head it was afterwards set, as you read there) could wear a Crown that weighed a Talent. It is spoken therefore of the Value of the Crown: when 'tis said it weighed so much, the meaning is, that it was worth so much in Money, for they weighed their Money in those Days.

If you look into the Roman and Greek Coins mentioned in the New Testament, you will find great Uncertainty there. The least piece of Money is a Mite, λεωτέν, Mark 12.42. the seventh part of a piece of Brass Money among the Romans, say some; much less than the Greeks yev, or the Hebrews Gerah, Exod. 30. 13. Lev. 7. 25. (which might have been mention'd before.) It is vulgarly reckoned the eighth part of an English Penny, or half a Farthing, because it is said, two Mites make a Farthing, Mark 12.42. But noslestrans, quadrans, is the word which we here render a Farthing, which is not one of our Farthings, but is the fourth part of an As, a small piece of Brass Coin among the Romans, a fourteenth part of a Denarius; others hold it to be the \* tenth part of it. But still we are not certain what it is, because we are not sure what the Denarius or Roman Penny is, which is the next Coin. This we read was the Days-wages for the Labourers in the Vineyard, Matth. 20. 9. They received every Man a Penny. The Aromatick Ointment of Spikenard might have been fold for more than three hundred of these Pence, Mark 14.5. This was the Penny which was shew'd to Christ, as part of the Tribute-Money, Matth. 22. 19. But it is not easy to tell the exact Value of it, though we translate it a Penny;

<sup>\*</sup> De Affe. 4 Lib. 9. c. 16.

<sup>\*</sup> Denarius, à denis æris.

ny; for the Roman Denarius was greater and lesser; the first was one Shilling Sterling, the second was

fix Pence or seven Pence, or seven Pence half Penny in our Coin. Others distinguish thus, there was either the Old Denarius, which was twelve Pence, or the Latter one, which was of the same Value with the Drachm, (of which next) or another between these, valued at eight Pence. Thus we are partly at a loss what a Mite, (that nummorum famulus among the Romans) or what a Farthing, or what a Penny was, that is, what we translate fo

really was. Nor is there greater Certainty in the Greek Coins, as ded x un, Luke 15.8. the piece of Silver (for fo 'tis translated) which the Woman lost, and afterwards found. This is faid by most Writers to be feven, or feven Pence half Penny of our Money, being the same with a quarter of a Shekel, or with the Roman Denarius. But the true Value of thefe being doubtful, (as hath been faid) this must needs be so too. And consequently the διδιραχμα, Matth. 17. 24. rendred by our Translators the Tribute-Money, (because they knew not how else to render it) cannot very well be defined; for if the just Value of a Single Drachma be not known, how can we tell what a Double one is? But the generally received and most approved Account is, that a Drachm is seven Pence half Penny, and consequently a Didrachm (which is the Word here) is fifteen Pence, i. e. a Common Shekel. This, faith the Learned Lightfoot, was a yearly Tax paid by the Jews towards repairing the Temple; but after the Jews became subject to the Romans, they paid it to the Emperor. And as for de wein, Marib. 26. 15. Alls 19. 19. which we translate Pieces of Silver, it is uncertain whether they are an Hebrew or Greek Coin. Some are of opi-

nion,

of the Holy Scriptures. nion, that when they are put absolutely, and without Addition, (either in the Old or New Testament) they fignify Shekels, as in the former Place, They covenanted with him for thirty pieces of Silver, i.e. thirty Shekels, which after the rate of the Great Shekel is three Pounds fifteen Shillings in our Money. But the latter Place which speaks of the Value of those Books of curious Arts, which were brought forth and burnt, and faith, the Price of them was found to be fifty thousand pieces of Silver, cannot be understood of this Shekel, it being improbable that they amounted to fo great a Sum as fix thousand two hundred and fifty Pounds Sterling in our Money; for so much is contained in fifty thousand great Shekels or Half-Crowns. But it is more likely that this Place speaks of some Greek Coin of a lower Value, as the Drachma before mentioned. But as for the 50 rip, Matth. 17. 27. which we translate a piece of Moncy, (the same which was found in the Fish's Mouth) it was of the same Value with the Hebrew Great Shekel, and contained four Drachms, i. e. two Shillings fix Pence in our Money. Where-

fore you may observe that the Stater in the forenamed Place serv'd to pay the Double Tax, for our Saviour and St. Peter: Take it, faith Christ, and give it to them (i. e. the Collectors) for me and thee; fifteen Pence for me, and fifteen Pence for thee. But then it must be remembred, that there was not only a Silver Stater, but a Golden one, the Value of which was thirteen, some say sifteen, others sixteen Shillings, others eighteen; for of this as well as of other Coins there were different forts. Or if we could tell which of these kinds is here meant, yet it will be a hard Task to adjust it to the Value of our English Money. The same may be said of Other Coins, and also of Weights and Measures in use here-

heretofore among the Helrews, Greeks and Romans, some whereof are mention'd in the Holy Writings: There is no little Difference among the \* Learned Authors, who have purposely treated of them, especially when they indeavour to reduce them to the Modern Coins, Weights and Meafures in use among us. In expressing things of this Nature (not in the Great and Weighty Matters of Religion) the very Words which are used in Scripture are uncertain and doubtful; which is one reafon why some Places are not interpreted with the same Facility that others are.

ÇHAP.

## CHAP. IX.

Two or three Different Names are given to the same Person in different places of Scripture, which may occasion Difficulty sometimes. Exemplified in séveral Texts, but more especially in Mat. 23. 35. Zacharias Son of Barachias. The Old Testament sometimes gives one Name to a Person, and Profane Writers another. Sometimes there is not properly Another Name attributed to the same Person in the Old Testament, but only a Name a little changed. In the New Testament also, the same Persons have Different Names, or somewhat Altered. Again, both in the Old and New Testament different Persons have sometimes the same Name. Further, sometimes the same Name is given to Persons of both Sexes. Moreover, one Name Jerved for all the successive Kings of a Country, or at least for several of them. Lastly, the same Places which we read of in Scripture have different Appellations, which sometimes causes Obscurity. Or some Names of the same Place differ but a little, i. e. as to a Letter or two,

TN the Profecution of the foregoing Head, viz. 1 the Different acception of words used in Scripture, I might here take notice that two or three Different Names are given to the same Person in different places of Scripture, which hath occasion'd no little difficulty in understanding some Texts. But yet when we consider that this is a very usual thing in the Sacred Writings, the Difficulty must needs vanish. By comparing 2 Sam. 14. 27. with Kings 15.2. we find that the same Daughter of Absalom

<sup>\*</sup> Georgius Agricola, Budzus, Alciar, Poreius, Glareanus, Fuschius, Waserus, Brerewood, Dr. Cumberland.

Barachias.

Absalom was named Tamar and Maacha. The Perfon who is call'd Jozachar in 2 Kings 12.21. is named Zabad in 2 Chron. 24. 26. Azariah and Uzziah are the Names of the same King of Judah, 2 Kings 15. 1. Ifa. 1. 1. 2 Kings 14. 21. compared with 2 Chron. 26. 1. The fame King was called Zedekiah and Mattaniah, 2 Kings 24. 17. 1 Chron. 3. 15. Thus Jehoiakim and Jechoniah are the Names of the same King: which occasions that difficulty in Mat. 1.11. Josias begat Jechonias; it appearing from 1 Chron. 3. 16. that Josias begat Jeboiakim. But if it were usual for the Kings and others among the Jews to have a double Name, then it is likely that Jehoiakim had so too, and thus the Difficulty is salved: Jehoiakim was called Jechoniah. It is true, there is another way to reconcile this, by observing that in Christ's Genealogy (of which we shall speak afterwards) sometimes a Person is said to beget another who is not properly his Son, but one at a distance from him, his Grand-child, or some of his Lineage farther off; and so the words in St. Matthew may refer to a Jechonias that was afterwards, 1 Chron. 3. 16. But from the places before mention'd, and feveral others which I shall produce afterwards, it is evident that some of the Jewish Kings and Other Persons belides them had two Names. Which may give a Solution of that controver-

ted place, Mat. 23. 35. That upon you may come all the righteous Blood shed upon the Earth, from the Blood of righteous Abel unto the Blood of Zacharias Son of Barachias, whom ye slew between the Temple and the Altar. Our Saviour without doubt here refers to the Old Testament, but we find no express mention there of Zacharias the Son of Barachias's being slain between the Temple and the Altar.

There-

Therefore some are of the opinion that the word Zacharias is crept into the Text, but should not be there. But this is an ill way of folving the Difficulty, because after this rate we may expunge what word we please out of the Bible, to make good our own Interpretation of the Place. Others think this Zacharias was the last but one of the Twelve Prophets, who is expresly faid to be the Son of Barachias, Zech. 1. 1. But, 1. We read not in Scripture or any other History that this Prophet was slain by the Jews, and therefore there is no ground to believe that it was He who is spoken of here. 2. He could not be stain between the Temple and the Altar, for at that time, viz. the Return of the Jews from Babylon, neither the Temple nor Altar were erected. Or 3. Suppose they were, yet the Jews, so soon after their Captivity, were not arrived to that height of Wickedness to put their Prophets to Death. Again, Baronius endeavours to prove out of some of the Antients, that this was John the Baptist's Father, whose Name we know was Zacharias, Luke 1. 50. and that he was slain by Herod because he refused to deliver up his Son the Baptist into his hands to be put to Death by him. But first, though this be mentioned by some Writers of old, yet we find them not forward in attesting and confirming this Narrative; because, without doubt, there was no Evidence of it. Belides, as I suggested before, our Saviour feems to refer to fomething recorded in the Old Testament. Moreover, if Zacharias had been put to Death by Herod, it is highly probable that the Evangelical History would have taken particular notice of it, and have related the Death of the Father, as well as of the Son. Lastly, There is not the least hint that this Zacharias was the Son of

ceive that this Zacharias is he who is mentioned

Barachias. Next, It is said by Dr. Hammond and others, that Christ speaks here of Zacharias the Son of Baruch, mention'd by \* Josephus, who was kill'd a little before the final Overthrow of 78rusalem: For the Words of Christ relate not to any one who had been slain already, but they are a Prophecy concerning the last of all the Martyrs of the Jews, who should be put to Death before the Destruction of the last Temple, and the Dissolution of that Nation. Such a Zachary, the Son of Baruch, was kill'd in the middle of the Temple, as the Jewish Historian assures us. But first it is plain that Christ speaks of something that had already happen'd, not of something that was to come. It is not on povolione, but on epovolionele, not a future but an aorist, and so denotes what hath been done before, not what shall be done afterwards. Therefore Christ's words are to be understood of one that had been in time past kill'd. by the Jews. Secondly, It is unquestionable that Christ speaks of some very Holy Man, whose violent Death is recorded in the Old Testament; for you find this Zacharias joyn'd with Abel, of whom you! read in Gen. 4. 8. and for that reason we may infer that this Baruch is not meant here. Thirdly, It is doubtful whether the Blood of this Person whom Josephus speaks of, may be call'd righteous Blood, as this is here: for it was upon a Civil Account that that Son of Baruch was put to Death, viz. because he was thought to take part with the Romans, and so he cannot be well parallell'd with:

You fee how improbable the foresaid Opinions. are: therefore I choose to imbrace that of St. Je-

in 2 Chron. 24. 20. And the Spirit of God came upon Zechariah the Son of Jehoiada the Priest, who stood above the People, and said unto them, Thus saith the Lord, Why, &c. And they conspired against him, and stoned him with stones at the Commandment of the King, in the Court of the House of the Lord. Thus Joain the King remembred not the Kindness which Jehoiada bis Father had done to him, but slew his Son. This is the Person whom our Saviour speaks of, and the shedding of whose righteous Blood he imputeth to the Jews of that Age. Him ye flew, faith he; for though 'tis said, the King slew him, because he commanded him to be flain, yet 'tis faid likewise, the People slew him, because they not only conspired against him (as you read) but actually stoned him. And this they did in the Court of the House of the Lord, which is the same with what our Saviour faith, between the Temple and the Altar. And his Blood may justly deserve the Epithet of Righteous, and he may justly be reckon'd with Righteous Abel, because he lost his Life in a Righteous Cause, because with great Boldness and Zeal he reproved the People for their Sins, but especially for their Idolatry, and foretold them what Misery these would certainly bring upon them. For this zealous Freedom of his they took away his Life. This was a very Eminent Man among the Jews: There are in their Writings remarkable Stories concerning him, not only relating to his Life, but his Death. They kill'd him being both a Priest and a Prophet, and before the Temple, and on the day of Expiation; and from several other Circumstances his Murder

Abel.

<sup>\*</sup> De bel, Jud. 1. 4. c. 18,

<sup>\*</sup> Grotius, Dr. Lightfoot.

is aggravated in the Talmud. This was Zacharial the Son of Jeboiada, but called here the Son of Bararbias, because it was common to have two Names among the Jews. His Father's Name being both Teboiada and Barachias, he is call'd in the Chronieles the Son of Jehoiada, and by our Saviour, the Son of Barachias. But in this it is likely Christ had reference to the words of Ifaiab, Chap. 8.2. [Zachariah the Son of Jereberechiah] or Barachiah; as the Septuagint and Vulgar Latin give it us. It appears hence, that Barachiah as well as Jehoiada was his Father's Name, as our Christian Rabbi makes it clear. Thus our Saviour's words are reconciled with those in the Chronicles, by attending to what I before observed, viz. That it is usual in Scripture to affix two Names to the same Person: one is given him in one place, and another in the other.

So that in Mark 2. 25, 26. may be understood, Have ye never read what David did when he bad need, and was an hungred? how he went into the House of God in the days of Abiathar the High-Priest, and did eat the Shew-bread? If you look into I Sam. 21. vou will see that it was in the days of Ahimelech the High-Priest : which Abimelech, it feems, was call'd also Abiathar, otherwise our Saviour would not have used that Name. Which I will yet further confirm to you by some other Instances. Jerubbaal and Gideon are the same Man, Judy. 6. 32. Ch. 7. 1. Achish and Abimelech are tone Person, 1 Sam. 21.11. and the Title of the 34th Pfalm. So are Araunah and Ornan, 2 Sam. 24. 1 Chron. 21. Caleb and Carmi are the fame, 1 Chron: 2.18. Ch.4.1. So are Joah and Etham, 1 Chron. 6.21,41. Amminadab and Izbar, 1 Chron. 6.2,22. Joel and Vashni, 1 Sam. 8. 2. 1 Chron. 8. 28. The fame is to be

faid of Daniel and Chileab, 1 Chron. 3. 1. 2 6am. 3.3. Of Ammiel and Eliam, 2 Sam. 11. 3. 1 Chron. 30 5. Thus Jeboiakim & Eliakim, 2 Kings 23.34. 1 Oliron. 3. 19: Abaziah and Azariah are Names of the fame Kings, 1 Chrm. 3:11. and 2 Chron. 22. 6. Eshdant and Ishboshetb are the same Son of Saul, 2 Sam. 2. 82 in Chron, 8. 33. Mephibosheth and Merib-baal, are the same Son of Jonathan, 2 Sam. 4. 4. 1 Chron. 8. 34. Zimri and Zabdi are one Man; 1 Chron. 2. 6. Jos. 7. 1. Esar-haddon and Asnappar are the same, Exr. 4. 2, 10. So are Salmanaffar and Shalman, 2 Kings 18. 34. Hof. 10. 14. Zerubbabel and Shefhbazzar are the same Person, Ezra 1.8. compared with Ezra 5. 14. Jehoahaz and Shallum are the Names of the same King, as appears from comparing 2 Kings 23. 30. with Fer. 22. 11. The King of Affyria who is called Sennacherib, 2 Kings 18. 13. is called Sargon, Ifa. 20. 1. Yea, we find three or four Names given to one, as Moses's Father-in-Law is call'd Jethro, Exod. 3. 1 Ch. 4.18. Jothor by the Septuagint, Exod. 3. 1. Raguel by the fame Interpreters, Exod. 2. 18. Revel in the fame place, according to the Original: Hobab, Numb. 10. 29. And I remember \* Josephus faith, his Name was Jethlegé. Of Solomon the same is observable; besides that Name, he hath three others given him; for we find that he is call'd Jedidiah, 2 Sam. 12.25. Lemuel, Prov. 31.1. Coheleth, Eccl. 1.1. which last is rendred Ecclesiastes and Preacher; and a great deal of dispute there is why Solomon is call'd fo, especially in the Feminine Gender: but if we take it to be his Proper Name, then all Queflions of that nature are at an end; for neither the Derivation of the word, nor the Termination of

of the Holy Scriptures.

<sup>\*</sup> Antiqu. l. 2. c. 5.

it are to be infifted upon. Nay, some think Agur is a fourth Name given him, Prov. 30. 1. from the Participle agur, collectus, receptus; because he recover'd himself after his Follies, and was receiv'd into God's Favour. And some have thought he is call'd in the same place the Son of Jakeh, i.e. of the Obedient, to express further his Repentance and Reformation.

Here it might be observ'd, that the Old Testament gives one Name to Persons, and Profane Writers another. He that is call'd Nimrod in the former, is named Belus in the latter, it is likely. He that is Assur in Scripture, is Ninus in Gentile History; for \* he built Nineve, which bears his Name. This was the Chief Seat of the Affyrian Empire, call'd so from this Assur, Son of Shem. That Assyrian King that is call'd Belochus in Profane Story, is Pul in the Sacred one, 2 Kings 15. 19. And in other Instances it might be shew'd that 'tis common to have two Names, one in the Bible, the other in Heathen Writers. Artaxerxes is the same with Abasuerus, Esth. 1. 1. according to the LXX's Version, and Josephus: but whether this be true or no, 'tis certain that other Kings had different. Names among Jews and Pagans. In Pagan Authors there is no mention of Salmanassar, Tiglath-Pileser, Sennacherib, Nebuchadnezzar: The Greek and Latin Historians have not the Names of these Assyrian Kings, who are celebrated in Scripture: but it may be they are represented under other Names in those Writers; for the Names of Kings vary according to the Language of different Countries: which occasions some disagreement between Profane and Sacred History.

Further?

22 f Further, I add that sometimes in the Old Testament there is not properly another Name given to the same Person, but only a Name a little Changed, by the Alteration or Addition of some one Letter or more; as that Great Captain who conducted the Israelites into Canaan is call'd Josua, Jebosua, Numb. 13. 16. Oshea, or Hoshea, Deut. 32. 44. (belides that in the New Testament he is called Jesus, Acts 7. 45. Heb. 4. 9.) Ahimelech, 2 Sam. 8. 17. (who is the fame, as I faid, with Abiathar) is called Abimelech, 1 Chron. 18.10. Jehosaphat, 1 Kings 15. 24. is Josaphat, Matth. 1.8. Jehoram, 1 Kings 22. 50. is Joram, Matth. 1.8. Rehoboam, 1 Chron. 3. 10. is Roboam, Matth. 1. 7. So we read of Achar and Achan, Josh. 7.18. 1 Chron. 2. 7. Ram and Aram, 1 Chron. 2. 10. Matth. 1. 3. Hamor and Emmor, Gen. 33. 19. Acts 7. 16. Caleb and Chelubai, 1 Chron. 2. 9, 18. Absalom, 2 Sam. 14. is Abishalom, 1 Kings 15. 1. Uzziah (who, as you have heard, was the fame with Azariah) is call'd Ozzab, 2 Kings 21. 26. and Ozias, Matth. 1. 8. Jehoiachim, 2 Kings 23. 24. is (with the altering of one Letter only) Jehoiachin, 2 Kings 24. 8. Jechoniah in contempt is named Coniah, Jer. 22. 24. He that is call'd Rerodach in 2 Kings 20. 12. is the same with Merodach in Isa. 39. 1. So Nebuchada rezear (with the like literal Alteration) is written Nebuchadnezzar. If you look into the New Testament also, you'l

see that the same Persons have Different Names: as he who was nominated for the Apostleship is called Joseph, Barsabas, and Justis, Acts 1. 23. And Joses and Barnabas are Names of the same Apostle, Aff 4. 36. Yea, all the rest of the Apoftles, except John, had more Names than one: But sometimes the Name is only somewhat altered,

<sup>\*</sup> Gen. 10, 11,

but can't be faid to be another Name, as Simon and Simeon, 2 Pet. 1. 1. Acts 15. 14. Annas the High Priest is call'd ananias, Acts 23, 5. and is called so by Josephus the Jewish Historian. Silvanue and Silas are the same Name, I Thess. 1.1. Acts 15.22. ch. 16.19. ch. 17. 42.15. So are Prisca and Priscilla. Alls 18. 2. Tib. 4, 19. and Epaphras is the same with Epaphroditus, Col. 1. 7. ch. 4. 12. Phil. 2. 25. ch. 4. 18. Shall I take notice likewise that some times the Names of the same Persons mentioned in the Old Testament and the New, differ only as to the Greek or some other Termination which is given them in the latter? As Hannab, Elkanab's Wife, and Anna a Prophetes, Luke 2. 36, Miriam, Aaron's Sifter; and Marie, or \* Mariam a frequent Name in the New Testament. Elisheba, Aaron's Wife, Exod. 6. 23. and Elizabeth the Wife of Zacharias, and St. John the Baptist's Mother. Johan nan, 1 Chron. 3, 15, and John. These four are New-Testament as well as Old-Testament-Names. but with a small Alteration. | And to these may be added Jacob and James, which are the same in the Greek. A varying as to some Letter in the begin, ning or ending of Names is observable also in Kish, 1 Sam. 9.1. and Gis, Acts 13.21. Immanuel, Ifai7.14. and Emanuel, Matth. 1.23. Hofea and Ofee, Rom. 94; 25. Noah and Noë, Luke 17. 26, Korah and Core, Jude 11. Elijab and Elias, Matth 16.14. Elifba and Elizeus, Luke 4, 27. Jonab and Jonas, Matth, 13.39.

But as we have before observ'd that the fame Men have different Names, so it is not altogether unworthy the remarking that different Persons have the same Name in Scripture: for by taking notice of this we shall be invited to attend to their

\* Maerole, Marth. I. 20. ch. 19: 49. Luko 1. 27 and fina ral other times in the same Evangelist.

Particular Characters, and the Different Relations which they have to the Texts where they are mentioned, whereby we shall avoid confounding one with the other, when we peruse the Holy Writings. Jehoram was the Name of two Kings of Israel and Judah that reign'd at the same time, 2 Kings 1.17. Jehu was a noted King, and Jehu is a Prophet, 2 Chron. 20. 34. There were two Nebemiabs, he that was Chief of the Jews after the Captivity, Neh. 1. 1. and another, Neh. 3. 16. ch. 7. 5, 7. Mephibosheth is the Name not only of Jonathan's but Saul's Son, 2 Sam. 4. 4. 2 Sam. 21.8. There is not only Daniel the Prophet, but David's Son by Abigail, I Chron. 3. 1. There is Abimelech King of Gerar, Gen. 20. 2. and one of the Israelites Judges, Judg. 8.31. and also a High Priest, 1 Chron. 18.6. There is in the New Testament mention of three Herods; 1. He that was furnamed the Great, and was the Son of Antipater the Idumæan; he was call'd the Ascalonite, from the particular Country where he was born. He was made King of the Jews in the tenth Year of Augustus's Empire, and reign'd thirty seven Years. In his time our Blessed Saviour was born, Matth. 2. 1. and this was he that barbaroufly massacred the Infants of Rethlehem, Matth. 2. 16. 2. Herod furnamed Antipas, and call'd the Tetrarch, Matth. 4.3. he murdered John Baptist, Matth. 14. 10. he set at mought and mocked our Saviour when he was brought before him to be judged, Luke 23. 11. and he scornfully sent him back to Pilate. . 3. Herod Agrip. pa, the Son of Aristobulus, and the Nephew of Hered the Great; he killed St. James, and imprison'd St. Peter, Acts 12. 2, 3. and was at last devoured by Worms, ver. 23. There was also another Agrippa, who was the Nephew of this Herod, and it

is probable was called Herod, whose Incestious Wife (for the was his Sifter) is mentioned Acts 25. 13, 23. There was an Ananias who was struck dead, Alts 5.5. There was another of that Name who was a Disciple at Damascus, and was sent to Sdul, Acts 9. 10. There was a third that was High Priest, Acts 23. 2. Besides Simeon the Patriarch in the Old Testament, there is in the New one of that Name who was a devout Man of Jerusalem, and prophesied of Christ, Luke 2. 25. There is Simeon called Niger, a Teacher of the Christian Churchat Antioch, Acts 13. 1. and Peter also is call'd by that Name, Alts 15, 14. There are fix or feven 70sephs; he that was one of the Patriarchs; one of those that had married strange Wives, Ezr. 10. 42. a Priest that went up with Zorobabel, Neh. 12. 14. the reputed Husband of Mary, the Virgin Mary: also a Wise Counsellor of Arimathæa, Matth. 27. 57. Foseph called Barsabas, Acts 1.23. besides two others that were obscure Persons, Numb. 13.7. 1 Chron. 25. 2. Simon is a Name of yet a larger Extent, but is found only in the New Testament, where by this Name is call'd the Apostle Peter, Matth. 16. 17. Luke. 4. 38. and in many other Places: another Apostle call'd the Canaanite, Mat. 10.4. and Zelotes, Luke 6. 18. also one that was a Leper, Matth. 26. 6. call'd a Pharisee in Luke 7. 36. likewise the Father of Judas Iscariot, John 12. 4. ch. 13. 2. moreover, a Man of Cyrene, the same who hore Christ's Cross, Matth. 27. 32. and is "call'd the Father of Alexander and Rufus, Mark 15. 21. Further, the Sorcerer of Samaria, Acts 8.9. and lastly, a Tanner of Joppa, in whose House St. Peter lodged a considerable time, Alts 9.43. There, are four or five Judases or Judes mention'd in the New Testament. 1. He that was the Good Apo-

of the Holy Scriptures. stle, the Brother of Simon Peter. 2. The Traitor call'd Iscariot. 3. One furnamed Barsabas, a Companion of Silas, Acts 15. 27. though some think this to be the Apostle. 4. The Mutineer, Judas of Galilee, Acis 5.37. 5. One in whose House St. Paul was, Alfs 9. 11. There were three Gaius's, one of Derbe, Acts 20. 4. the other of Macedonia, Alts 19. 29. the other of Corinth, 1 Cor. 1. 14. Of Women the same may be observed, viz. what different Persons of that Sex have the same Name, as that of Deborab is common to Rebecca's Nurse,

Gen. 35. 8. and to the famous Prophetess and She-Judg, Judg. 4. 4. Abigail is the Name of Nabal's Wife, 1 Sam. 25. 3. and David's Sifter, 1 Chron. 2. 16. so that David had a Wife (for Abigail was married to him after the Death of Nabal) and a Sister of the same Name. By the Name of Tamar is called the Wife of Er, Judah's incestuous Daughter, Gen. 38. 6. Kuth 4. 12. and inserted into our Saviour's Genealogy, Matth. 1. 3. so is named Absalom's fair Sister, ravish'd by Amnon, 2 Sam. 13.1. likewise Absalom's fair and only Daughter, 2 Sam. 14.27. There are more Maries than one in the New Testament, and to distinguish them aright is of very great Use. Besides Mary the Mother of John, whose Surname was Mark, Alts 12. 12. and another Mary, whom St. Paul greets, Rom. 16.6. there are thought by some to be five more of that Name, viz. the Bleffed Virgin, the Mother of our Lord: Mary the Sister of Martha and Lazarus, Luk 10.39. Joh. 11.1. Mary Magdalene, Mar. 15.40. Mary the Mother of James and Joses, Matth. 27. 56. Mar. 15. 40. Mary the Wife (or Daughter) of Cleophas, Joh. 19.25. But others reduce these to three, for Cardinal Baronius and our Learned Rabbi Dr. Lightfoot, hold that Mary Magdalene was the same with

Mary

And

Mary the Sister of Lazarus. And the most profound Dr. Poarson avers, that Mary the Mother of James and Joses, and Mary the Wife of Cleophas, are the same: She had the former Denomination from her Sons, and the latter from her Husband John or Cleophas. These three Maries are particularly mention'd in John 19.25. There stood by the Cross of Jesus his Mother, and his Mother's Sister Maty the Wife of Cleophas, and Mary Magdalene. In the rest of the \* Evangelists we find at the same place Mary Magdalene, and Mary the Mother of James and Joses: And again at the Sepulcher, A Mary Magdalene and the other Mary. Wherefore, faith this Learned Writer, this other Mary, by the Conjunction of these Testimonies, appears to be Mary the Wife of Cleophas, and the Mother of James and Joses: and thence he infers that James and Joses who are faid to be Christ's Brethren, were not the Sons of Mary his Mother, but of the other Mary, and are call'd his Brethren, according to the Language of the Jews, because the other Mary was the Sister of Christ's Mother, she was our Blessed Lord's Aunt on the Mother's side. And so the right understanding of these Places where the Maries are mentioned, may lead us to a true Notion of Christ's Brethren spoken of in the Evangelists, whereby we may know whether they were the Children of Mary the Blessed Virgin by Joseph, or of the Virgin's Sister, or of some other Mother akin to her, and therefore call'd the Brethren of Christ, because they were his Kindred.

Again, I could observe that sometimes the same Names in Scripture are given to Persons of both Sexes, as among us Francis and Philip, and some other Names are common to both Men and Wo-

men.

Matth. 27. 56. Mark 15. 40. † Matth. 27. 616

men. Not to mention Gen. 5. 2. he call'd their Name Adam, whence it is evident that Adam was the Name of both our first Parents at the beginning, though afterwards the Woman had another Name given her by her Husband, and he took the Name Adam as proper to himself, Gen. 3. 20. There are other plainer Instances in Gen. 36. 2, 41. and in the same Chapter, ver. 12, 40. and 1 Chron. 1.36. where you will fee that Abolibamah was the Name both of a Man and of a Woman, and so was Timna. I find that Noah is the Name of a Woman, the Daughter of Zelophebad, Numb. 36. 11. but I confess the words differ in the Hebrew, one is Noach, the other Nognah. John and Joanna, especially the Greek '1ωάννης and '1ωάννα, (Luk. 3. 27.) are in a manner the same Name, but belonging to different Sexes. And further, to let you fee how differently the same Names are bestowed, I could observe that Michael is the Name both of a Man, 1 Chron. 7. 3. and of an Angel, Dan. 12. 1.

Moreover, under this Head it might be more material to observe that One Name served for all the Successive Kings of a Country, or at least for feveral of them. All Historians agree in the Catalogue of the Kings of Persia, viz. Cyrus the First, Cambyses the Second, Darius Hystaspis the Third, Xerxes the Fourth, Artaxerxes Longimanus the lifth, &c. Yet in the Book of Ezra we read, that These five were successively, viz. Cyrus, Ahasuerus, Artaxerxes, Darius, Artaxerxes. How is this to be reconciled? Both by faying that the fame Persian Kings had different Names, and also that several of them had one Name, which are both very true. One of them was call'd Cambyfes and Abajuerus, another had the Name Darius and Artaxerxes, a third was call'd Xerxes and Darius.

Of the STILE And besides this, they were all call'd by one Genefeems truly to be confirm'd from that passage in ral Name; that is, Artaxerxes was a common Gen. 41. 44. I am Pharaoh, which is as much as to Name of the whole Race of the Persian Kings. fay, I am King, I am Supreme Ruler, I will not Many of the \* Learnedst Jews were of this Opipart with this Name, i. e. 1 will not lose my Royal nion, and it is the more probable, because this hath Dignity and Power. And accordingly he retain'd been usual in other Kingdoms and Countries, as we this Name himself, and gave Joseph another, as learn from the Sacred Records. There we find that there was one Common Name for all the Kings of Philistia or Palestine, and that was Abimelech, as is clear from Gen. 20. 2. Ch. 26. 1, 4. Ch. 34. 1. 1 Sam. 21. 11. and also from the Title of the 34th Psalm it appears that this was the Universal Name of the Kings of the Philistines. So Agag was the Common Title of all the Kings of the Amalekites, as may be inferr'd from Numb. 24. 7. 1 Sam. 15.8. It is probable that Hiram was the Catholick Name of the Kings of Tyre: but that Pharaoh was so of all the Egyptian Kings of old is undeniably clear from Gen. 12.15. which speaks of a Pharaob in Abrabam's time: from Gen. 39. 1, &c. where we read of another of that Name in Joseph's days. And in Exodus there is frequent mention of that Pharaob that enflaved the Ifraelites and order'd all their Male-Children to be drowned, and of another whose Heart was hardned, and who was drown'd in the Red Sea. There was a Pharaoh in Solomon's time,

1 Kings 3. 1. and in Josias's, 2 Kings 23. 29. In

Isaiab we read of a King of Egypt of this Name,

Ch. 19. 11. Ch. 30. 2, 3. So in Jeremiab, Ch. 25.

19. Ch. 44. 30. Ch. 46. 17. and in Ezekiel very

often. That this was the constant Title of the

Egyptian Kings is attested by Suldas, Eusebius, and

Josephus: yea, if we may believe this flast, Pha-

raohin the Egyptian Tongue fignifies a King. Which

R. Solomon, Aben Ezra, e. . + Antiqu. 1. 81 c. 8.

you read in the next Verse. It might well then be the General Name of their Kings, it signifying Royal Authority and Rule. But after the time of Alexander the Great, the Kings of Egypt were generally called Ptolomees: and after the renouncing of the Greek Emperour they were a long time call'd Caliphs: for the General of the Saracens, whom the Egyptians took for their King, was named Calipb: whence the succeeding Kings were denominated after his Name. To proceed in this Subject, Attalus was a Standing Title to all the Kings of Pergamus, though it is true fome of them had a particular peculiar Name besides; whence that King of Pergamus, who was thought to be the Inventer of Parchment to write upon, is call'd Attalus by Ælian and St. Jerom, but Eumenes by others. Antiochus was generally the Name of the Syrian Kings, and Mitbridates of those of Pontus. All the Kings or Dynasts of Edessa in Syria had the Name of Abgarus. Herod was the Name common to all the Successors of Herod the first; as we learn from the Gospels and the Acts. \* Candace gave the Denomination to all the Queens of Ethiopia, or of one part at least of that Country: Arsaces to all the Kings of Parthia, Sylvius to those of the Albans, i. e. the Latin Kings of the Trojan Race. Chagan was antiently the common word to express all the lo pauline. Communi pomine Candacæ appellaræ funr. Plin. Nar, Hift.

the Kings of the Hunns. Cafar was the Title for all the Roman Emperours after Julius Cafar. Cosroe or Kosroes was the Appellation of the Kings of Persia heretofore, (after that of Artaxerxes) as Sophi of late: and Sultan is the distinguishing Title of the Turkish Empire: and Miramolin or Miramomolin of all the Princes of Mauritania. Thus briefly I have shew'd, that it was usual for all the Kings of a Country to have the same Name, for a very considerable time at least. The observing of which may be of some use to us in reading the Sacred History, when it refers to any of those Kings whom I first named, and in reading Profane Authors who mention any of the others.

Lastly, I could observe concerning Places in Scripture, the same that I have concerning Persons, viz. that sometimes they have different Names, which we ought carefully to heed in reading this Holy Book. One eminent Mountain in Palestine and the adjacent Parts, hath several Denominations; it is call'd Zion, Pfal. 2. 6. and frequently in other Books of the Old Testament. It is also named Moriah, 2 Chron. 3. 1. the same Mount where Moses saw the Burning Bush not consumed, and where Ifaac was offer'd, and where the Temple afterwards was built. This Name was so celebrated, that from this the Land of Canaan is call'd the Land of Moriah, Gen. 22. 2. The same Mountain is named Hermon, as is evident from those express words, Deut. 4. 48. Mount Sion, which is Hermon. It is also call'd Sirion, Deut. 3. 9. Which Name was given it by the Sidonians. And in the same place it hath the Name of Shenir, which was given it by the Amorites. This Multiplicity of Names may, I conceive, be grounded on this, that Sion or Hermon (or call it by any of the other Names)

Names) is, properly speaking, a long Ledg of feveral Hills that go through Palestine and a great part of Arabia. Some add Gilead and Seir, and Lebanon (the famous Alpes of the Holy Land, upon the North and East part of it, noted for its snowy tops, its lofty Cedars and other Trees, and its fragrant Herbs and Plants.) Some, I fay, add thefe to the foregoing ones, and rightly determine that they were but one continued Mountain with divers Names: as Mount Taurus (though far greater) is a ridg of Hills that hath feveral Names according to the different Parts of it. Hence Pfal. 133.3. and some other places of Scripture mention some of those Names before spoken of, as if they belong'd to different Mountains: and the reason is, because though they are the same Mountain, yet those Names refer to the different parts of the fame great ridg of Hills, and so are accounted as it were different Hills .: and accordingly the great Mass of Dew which was in part distill'd on Mount Hermon (one division of that great Mountain) did partly also fall on Mount Zion (a neighbouring part of the same Mountain:) which I take to be the true and genuine meaning of those words of the Pfalmist, which have exercised the Brains of fo many Interpreters, As the Dew of Hermon that descended (as it is according to the Hebrew; or as we translate it, As the Dew of Hermon, and as the Dew that descended) upon the Mountains of Zion: both which Translations are reconciled by this Exposition, and the Sense is rendred entire and perfect. The Dew which descended on both these places was the same, for some of it fell on this part of the whole Mountain, and some on that; to that successively Hermon and Zion were partakers of this Blessing. Part of that Fructifying Moisture which

And S

which came down upon the one, foon after came down upon the other. In the Defarts of Arabia, the Mountain whence

God gave the Law to Moses is call'd Sinai, Exod. 19. 18. and in other places: yet in Deut. 4. 10. and elsewhere, frequently Horeb is the Name of the fame Mountain. Though St. Jerom is of a contrary Opinion, and thinks they are two distinct Mountains, or at least two ridges of one Mountain. The like may be faid of Mount Nebo, the Arabian Parnassus, which had two Tops, Pisgab and Hor: and by these Names as well as by the other it was call'd, Numb. 20.23, 25. Ch. 27.12. Deut. 34.1. and it was named also Mount Abarim, Deut. 32.49. Numb. 27. 12. It may not be improbable that Aaron and Moles died on the same Mount, though they are represented under different Names. But it is most apparent that a double or treble Name is given to several other places: thus the Salt-Sea, Gen. 14.3. Numb. 34.3. the Sea of the Defart, Deut. 3. 19. and the Sea of the Plain, Deut. 4. 49. fignify one and the same place, viz. the Sea of Sodom, which is call'd by others the Dead Sea, the Lake Asphaltites, which was caused by the Destruction of Sodom. The Sea or Lake of Chinnereth, Numb. 34. 11. of Genesareth, Luke 5. 1. of Tiberias, John 21.1. of Galilee, John 6. 1. are but one Lake. Who doubts that Assyria, Chaldea, and Babylon are sometimes promiscuously used for the same Region, and that Melopotamia; Charan, Padan-Aram are one Country? So Galilee and Decapolis are the same: so are Sichem and Sychar, Gen. 33. 18. John 4. 5. And the like is to be faid of Egypt and Sibor, Isa. 23. 3. Thus Places have more Names than

one in the Holy Writings: which we ought carefully to attend to, lest we run into Mistakes, as

fome have done by this Diversity of Names given to the same Place. And this Difference of Names might be observed in other Instances, which are frequent in Gentile Writers, as Sparta and Lacedamon, Troy and Ilium, Thracia and Romania, &c. V And this likewise is to be noted, that some

Names of the same Place differ but a little, i.e. as to a Letter or two, and no more, as Haran, Gen. Yaccording to 12. 5. and Charran, Acts 7. 2. are the same : solice fferent are Sechem, Shechem, and Sychem, Gen. 33. 18. Josh reading of them, 20. 7. Acts 7. 16. The same is to be said of Shiloab, Ifa. 8.6. Siloab, Neh. 3. 15. Siloam, John 9.7. Luk. 13. 4. all three the fame: as Kidron, 2 Sam. 15.23. and Cedron, John 18.1. are the same Brook. So Zarephath, 1 Kings 17. 9. and Sarepta, Luk.4.26. are the same Town: Megiddo, 2 Kings 9. 27. and Megiddon, Zech. 12. 11. the fame Valley : Zin, Numb. 13. 21. Deut. 32. 51. and Sin, Exod. 16.1. Numb. 33. 12. the same Wilderness: (though fome have thought these two latter words denote different places.) Concerning some things mention'd in Scripture we should distinguish between them, though they differ not much in Writing and Pronunciation, especially when they are of the same Species, as Sardine, Rev. 4. 3. Sardius, the same precious Stone, Rev. 21. 20. but Sardonix is a Stone different from that, Rev. 21. 20. Though some Names differ a little, yet they fignify the same thing, as Sycamine, Luk. 17.6. and Sycamore, Ch. 19.4. But these are small things, and in which there is no great danger if there should be any mistake, and therefore I will not entertain you any longer with thefe, but hasten to more important Matter. But

having spoken so largely of this First Head, I will

be brief in that which followeth.

12 112

## CHAP. X.

There are Words in the Hebrew Text which have not only Different but Contrary Significations; which is another cause of some Difficulty in Scripture. This exemplified in several Hebrew Nouns, more especially Tiagnir, Mic. 5. 2. which signifies both little and great, and accordingly this place is reconciled with Matth. 2.6. Likewise Hebrew Verbs bear a Contrary Sense, of which fundry Instances are given. More particularly, the true import of the Verb Barak, Job 2. 9. is narrowly search'd into, and the Author's particular Sense concerning that Text is propounded and defended. Some Greek words in the New Testament signify Contrary things. And the like Discrepancy is observed in some words in other Greek Authors, and in Jome among the Latins.

\* Proceed in the second place to observe, That L there are words in the Hebrew Text which have not only Different but Contrary Significations, which cannot but render some parts of the Scripture difficult. That is, they will be so till we have throughly examin'd the words, and found out the peculiar Signification which they have in the Texts that are before us. Thus Shethum is rendred open. as in Numb. 24. 3. [the Man whose Eyes are open .] and yet this Hebrew word fignifies in all other plakes of Scripture (where it is) shutting of the Eyes. Chefed denotes Beneficence, Goodness, Piety, and the height of them, and also Cruelty, Malice, and all Excess of Evil, and whatever is Reproachful and Ignominious in the Life of Man: thence \* some render

\* R. Levi, Mercerus, Cocceius.

of the Holy Scriptures. render those words Vechefed leummim chattab, Prov. 14. 34. The Piety of Nations is Sin, because whilst they worship Idols they think they serve God; and others understand the place according to our Translation, Sin is the Reproach of any People: which is much to be preferr'd before the other Version, because it, exactly answers to the former Clause. The word Cherem is both that which is consecrated to God, and that which is Accurfed and devoted to the Devil, as I have shew'd in another place. An impure Catamite, a Sodomite is call'd Kadesh, from Kadash, sacer fuit : and Kedeshah, which is no other than Sanstificata, is taken for a Common Prostitute. The word Tfagnir is both little and great, and accordingly Mich. 5. 2. may be rendred either Thou Bethlehem Ephratuh, thou art little, or art great among the thousands of Judah. The not attending to this, hath occasion'd no small trouble among Expositors, whilst they labour to reconcile this Text with Mat. 2. 6. where it is quoted by the Jewish Doctors and Priests, and Bethlehem is said to be not the least. But the Learned Dr. Pocock on the place faith, that he had it from a very Understanding Jew, that the Hebrew word Tfagnir fignifies both little and great, and others that have good Skill in that Tongue affert the fame. It is to bewinderstood in this place in the latter Sense, and so the words ought to be rendred thus, Thou Bethlehem Ephratah, thou art great among the Thousands (or among the Princes) of Judah; for the Principalities were divided into Chiliads or Thousands, Judg. 6. 15. 1 Sam. 10. 19. Thus the Prophet Micab and the Evangelist Matthew agree, for great and not the least are here the same. And certainly it is a far better way of reconciling them,

"Con-

them, than that which a \* Late Writer propounds, viz. That whereas we read it Tfagnir, it should be read rugre progredere, go forth: for (besides that this somewhat marr's the Sense of the place) if we go this way to work, we may alter a great many places in the Old Testament, and in the New too. and substitute one word for another when we please, and so we shall lose a great part of the Bible in a short time: this therefore is not to be allow'd of by any means. There are other' Nouns of a Contrary Signification, as Terugnab, which is both a Joyful Shout, Psal. 33. 3. and a Mournful Cry, Jer. 20. 16. Chefel is not only Inconstancy or Levity, but Constancy or Stedfastness and Confidence. And there is a very great Difcrepancy, if not Contrariety in the rendrings of the word Deshen, which is sometimes Ashes and sometimes Fatness. But if we be mindful of the Subject Matter spoken of, we can't miss of the true Senfe.

But the Verbs which bear a Contrary Sense, are most remarkable: thus Sakal is lapidare, lapidibus obruere, commonly: also elapidare, lapides amovere, Isa. 62. 10. Chafar is confecrari, Psal. 18. 26. and execrari, Prov. 25. 10. Salah is aftimare, Job 28, 16,19. and spernere, conculcare, Pfal. 119.118. Shub is reducere, convercere; and avertere, rebellare . both Senses are common in Scripture. Japhang is to be bright and shining: yet it may seem to be taken in a contrary Signification, in Job 10. 22. according to Pagnin's Translation, tenebrescit sicut caligo, and the Vulgar Latin favours it. Tamam or tam, hath a contrary Sense, for it signifies to perfect or finish,

Sir Norton Knatchbull's Annotations on St. Matthews

as in Dan. 9. 24. to finish the Vision: and also to consume, as in Ezek. 22. 15. I will consume thy Filthiness out of thee. Kadash, in the usual Import of it, is to fantify, but it is used in a quite opposite Sense in Deut. 22. 9. lest the Fruit of thy Vineyard be defiled. So for other Verbs, it is common to find them in Contrary Meanings; as Jarash, to possess or inherit, commonly in Scripture; and to disposses or disinherit, to expel, reject and impoverish, Gen. 45. 11. and in other Places; both which contrary Senses occur together in Josh. 23. 5. He shall expel them from before you, and ye shall possess their Land. Expelling and Possessing are the same Hebrew Verb; though in different Conjugations. So Chata in Kal is to sin, but in Piel to expeate or take away Sin. There is no other way to know the proper Rendrings of these and other Words before-mentioned, but by a diligent attending to the Scope and Design of the Texts where they are. And thus we shall perceive which of the Senses is designed, though sometimes this is done with some Difficulty. I will make choice of a Text to enlarge upon

to this purpose. The Instances are very usual in Scripture. Barak fignifies both to blefs and to curfe, and in some Places it may seem not very easy to tell which of these is intended, as in those Words of Job's Wife, Job 2. 9. ברך אלהים, which the Vulgar Latin renders benedic Deo, bless God. Arias Montanus and Munster follow this Version, and so doth the Learned and Pious Mr. Perkins, and accordingly he renders the Verse thus, Dost thou still retain thine Integrity? bless God, and die; and makes this to be the Sense of it, "Thou being now forely afflicted by God, and brought even to Death's Door, begin now at length to cast away thy

Conceitedness of thy own Righteousness, ac-"knowledg God's Hand upon thee for thy Sins, " confess those Sins before him, pray for the Pardon of them, and so end thy Days. This was good Counfel, (faith this Worthy Person) al-"though the applying of it was mix'd with Mistake and Folly; and therefore Job told his Wife, "that she spoke like one of the faolish Women. But the Septuagint seem to take the Words in Another and Contrary Sense, and render [Barek Elobim] by είπου τι είημα els Kugiou, speak some Word, or say something against the Lord, (for the Præposition es may fignify so) which approacheth towards Our Translation, Curse God: As if Job's Wife had said to her Husband, Thou hast no reason to speak well of God, thou hast been undeservedly tormented by him; thou hast been an upright and righteous Man, and yet none hath met with fuch Calamities and Plagues as thou hast done; therefore my Advice to thee is, that thou wouldst even curse and blaspheme God himself, and then make an end of thy miserable Life, by laying violent Hands on thy felf. In this Sense the word Barak is thought generally to be taken in 1 Kings 21.13. Naboth did blasphome God, though even there the Greek Interpreters render it, he bleffed God a unless by Eudover (which is the Word used by them) be meant Blacomuein which very \* Good Authors tell us is the Sense of the Word sometimes by an Antiphrasis. Thus as the + Apostle saith, with the fame Tongue, with the same Mouth, so with the same Word we both bless and curse: for the same Word both in Hebrew and Greek is used sometimes for both. But it is my

not be rendred either bless or curse, but that there is a middle Signification of it there. That we may apprehend this the better, we must know what the first and original Sense of the Verb Barak is, which I perceive few have enquired into. It appears from the best Hebrew Grammarians and Lexicographers that I have met with, that this Word primitively signifies to salute, or greet; in which abstract Sense it is used twice in 2 Kings 4.29. If thou meet any Man, Salute him not: and if any Salute thee, answer him not again. The Hebrew Word which we translate salute, is Barak. So in Gen. 47., 7, 10, this Word is used to express Jacob's solemn Saluting of Pharaoh at his coming before him, and at his going out of his Presence: Jacob saluted (we render it bleffed) Pharaoh. But because Kneeling Was a Posture of Salutation, Barak signifies also to kneel, or to falute one with bowing the Knee. And thence Berek a Knee, and thence some have imagin'd the word Abrek comes, which we read was proclaim'd before Joseph when he rid forth in State, fignifying (as they think) that the People ought to salute him most humbly, and even to bow the Knee to him. This is certain that \* Rarak is a general Word for Saluting (whether at meeting or parting) either by Word or Gesture, and is equivalent with the Greek word as ma Zewan. And because at such times they generally used to bow the Knee, it hath that particular Signification; as in 2 Cheon. 16. 13. miniew 671 Ta youara, according to the LXX. So in Dan. 5.10. xaun Ten Gri To yourne. And in Gen. 24.11. the Kneeling down of Ca-1 Wylen.

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Eurognau' i Ghaognueser. Suldas. 'Eurognou, หู หลา αντίφεαση εβλασφήμησεν. Helych. † Jam. 3. 9.

<sup>\*</sup> Significat vel genu vel sermonem flectere ad aliquem alloqui cum geniculatione. Forfter. Heb. Dict.

Of the Stile. 340 mels to take up their Burden is expressed by it. Yea, the word Barak is sometimes transferr'd from its fignification of Civil Respect and Kneeling, and applied unto Religious Worship, as in 2 Chron. 6. 13. Solomon (when he pray'd) kneeled upon his Knees, &c. And in Pfal. 95.6. Let us kneel before the Lord our Maker. The Word is here made use of to denote bending the Knee in Divine Worship, and prostrating themselves before God. So that this word Barak in the Original Denotation of it answers to the word Nashak, which signifies to salute in a lowly and humble manner, to submit and do Obeisance: and more particularly Kissing is expresid by it, which was a Token of Homage and Subjection of old, I Sam. 10. 1. But from this first and simple import of the Word another arifeth, which is this, viz. to take leave of one, because Salutations and Greetings at last end in this. Men part and go their way after a short saluting and accosting one another. Thus the Word is taken in 2 Sam. 14. 22. Joab fell to the Ground on his Face, and bowed bimfelf, and thanked the King: Hebr. leberek, he took his leave of him; he made that Salutation which was becoming at his going out of his Presence. In which Notion it likewise answers to Nashak, which (belides its former Sense) signifies to take leave of, to bid adieu to one, as in Gen. 31.28. therefore that Valedictory Salutation of Kissing Was call'd Neshikah Parashah, osculum separationis, the Kissat parting or taking their leave of one another. And then there is another derivative Sense of the Word, which flows both from this and the

former meaning of it, and that is twofold; for

Persons are wont at Saluting and taking Leave, to

wish well or ill to one another, and to express these

by good or evil Words; whence it is that Barak is

either

of the Holy Scriptures. either bene or male precari, it imports either to blefs or to curse. This, as I take it, is the true and exact Account of the Word: and so you see what is the primary and more restrain'd Acception of it, and what is the fecondary and more general Sense of it. Now that which I offer is this, that the Word in that Place of Job is to be understood chiefly in the first and most proper Denotations of it, i.e. as it signifies bumbly to falute, to bow down and do Obeisance: or, as it signifies, to take one's leave. According to the former Acception of the Word Job's Wife speaks thus to him, Do not continue to retain thine Integrity, or to hold fast thy Pcrfellion, (as it is in the Original) Do not justify thy self before God, as if thou wert void of all Guilt, but with humble Reverence bow thy felf before the Lord, adore and worship the most High, and submit thy felf to him, and acknowledg thy Meanness and Sinfulness: Do thus, and then thou mayst die with Peace and Comfort. In this only she might incur the Imputation of speaking foolishly, because she (like Job's Friends afterwards) had wrong Apprehensions of this Good Man, and imagined that he justified himself, and was in his own Thoughts a Sinless Person. Or else this was the Worser Language of that Woman, Take now thy leave of God, and die, i. e. feeing thou art in this miserable Condition, smote with fore Roils from the Sole of thy Foot to the Crown of thy Head, (ver. 7.) think not of living, but rather defire to quit this World, and to be gone: Bid God adieu, take your Farewel of him, and only beg this of him, that you may die as foon as may be. Or, you may suppose this Woman's Language, or Meaning rather, to be much worser yet, even after this fort, Take your last Vale of Heaven, utterly renounce God, (as well as your  $Z_3$ Intec

Integrity) shake him off, and have nothing to do with him, since he deals so severely with you; abandon him for ever, and hasten out of the World. Though this be not so harsh as downright Cursing of God, yet this was indeed speaking like one of the foolish sottish Women, as he roundly told her, v. 10. The Stile was something too rough to say, Curse God. She would not speak after that rate to her Pious Consort; but she impiously counsels him to take his Leave of God and Religion, and to bid an eternal farewel to both. In three other Places in this Book the Word is taken in this latter Sense, (for it is most probable that in this particular Book the Word is always used in the sentence.

the Word is always used in the same Meaning) as in ch. 1. 5. It may be my Sons have as 'twere taken their leave of (i. e. tacitely renounced) God in their Hearts, in the midst of their Pleasures and Entertainments: it may be they have had an Aversion to God, they have in some measure departed from him! for it is not likely that Job's Children openly blasphemed, or (strictly speaking) oursed God. So that part of the 11th Verse of this Chapter, and of the 5th of the next, which we translate, he will eurse thee to thy Face, seems to be too harsh a Reprefentation (even from the Mouth of the Devil) of that Holy Man's Carriage; for though he curfed. the Day of his Birth, he never curs'd and blasphemed the Almighty, and that to his Face, i.e. openly and audaciously: but he might be said in some Degree to have forsaken and abandoned God, and to have turned himself from him, by indulging too much tollmpatience and Murmuring. And not only these Places in Job, but that in ' Kings before-mentioned, which we translate thus, Naboth blafphemed

or surfed God and the King, may be understood in

this Sense. He by certain Actions discovered (as

was pretended) that he had for saken God, and revolted from his Duty to the King. But I submit this to the Judgment of the Learned. Thus you see that Words of Different, much more of Contrary Significations, occasion some Difficulty in interpreting the Texts where they are sound. There are many \* Other Hebrew Words in Scripture which signify Contrary things; the Sense sometimes as well as the Letters, must be read backwards.

Nor is the Greek wholly destitute of such Words, as in Tit. 1. 12. 105 fees agrai may be rendred either flow or quick Bellies, for agyos is both piger and celer. The Cretians, of whom this is spoken, might be faid to be Slow Bellies, because they were given to Idleness and Gluttony; or they might be call'd Quick Bellies, because they were Greedy and Fierce Eaters. Other Greek Words (some of which occur in the New Testament) might be taken notice of, which have both a good and a bad Sense, and fo come under this Head: as 'ΛάαΙΟ (a remarkable Word, beginning with three Alpha's) is valde noxius and innoxius: Φλέγμα is Inflammatio and Pituita, a cold Humour: Holwhov bears a good Sense in its Primitive Acception, and is no more than any Likeness or Image: but it also (and that most frequently) signifies such an Image or Representation to which is given Religious Worship. Thequeeράζεωαι and πτείεερον, were at first used to signify only Curiosity, but afterwads they were taken in a worse Sense by some Authors, and particularly by St. Luke, Acts 19. 19. Meoven five fignifies to have more than another; but withal, to have more than one ought to have, to defraud and circumvent: yea, to defraud and injure by Adultery, as St. Chrysoftom and Dr. Hammond observe on 1 Thess. 4. 6. So αγαπη-Z 4 Tai.

was

<sup>\*</sup> See in the End of the Book.

mi, which is an honest Word, was applied heretofore to a bad fort of Women, little better than Concubines; yea, Harlots, as we read in \* Theodoret and - Epiphanius. And so and m was abused, as St. | Jerom complains. The same is commonly faid of weaven, which was a good Word at first, and signified a King, but afterwards a Tyrant. 20ous was a Profesior of Wisdom, and one that excell'd in any useful Science; but at last it signified a mere Pretender to Art. So φάρμακον is a wholefome Mediome, and a deadly Poison. Me Ster, though it is well known it hath an ill Sense, yet (\*) fometimes (like the Hebrew (ל) is no more than ubertim copleri. So among the Latins, the same Word sometimes hath a Contrary Meaning; thus Expers is one that hath not Experience or Skill, and one that hath. Religio is taken for downright Superstition and Bigotry, as well as the Duc Worship of God. Sacer, by an usual Antiphrasis, is made to fignify that Person or Thing which is so far from being Holy, that it is most Profane and Desecrate, most Cursed and Detestable, most Perniclous and Destructive. So ignis sacer is reckon'd among the most Dangerous sorts of Ulcers by (||) Celsus: it is also the Name of the Erysipelas, call'd by ||| Pliny Zoster, and was thought to be extremely pernicious and fatal when it encompassed the Part. And the Jacer ignis in the Close of Virgil's third Book of Georgicks is interpreted to be the same by some Commentators; by others the έρπης έωθομένω, and call'd facer, because of its Great Malignity. Villanus was once an honest Ruflick, (as Budæus observes) but now is a Name of Infa-

Infamy. So Missa, the Mass, was an innocent Word at first, and signified no other than the Service of the Church, but afterwards it degenerated into a very bad one, and is appropriated to the Idolatrous Worship of the Church of Rome. But enough of this.

## CHAP. XI.

Some Difficulties in Scripture arise from the Matter or Manner of things delivered, wherein prejudiced Minds fancy some Repugnancy or Contradiction. The Cavils against Gen. 4. 14. largely and fully answered. Numb. 14. 30. reconciled with Josh. 14. 1. ch. 22. 13. The feeming Repugnancy of 1 Sam. 16. 22, 23, to chap. 17. ver. 55. removed. The Geometrical Scruple about the brazen Laver, 2 Chron. 4. 2. dispell'd. Another Objection concerning it founded on 1 Kings 7. 26. compared with I Chron. 4. 5. answered. The Contradiction which some fancy in 2 Chron. 14. 5. compared with 1 Kings 15. 14. taken away. A fatisfactory Reply to the Cavil against Matth. 27.9. The double Repugnancy conceived by some to be in Acts 7. 15. plainly folu'd. John 5. 31. considered with ch. 8. ver. 14. shew'd to be void of Contradiction. The same proved concerning our Saviour's Words in Matth. 10. 34. Heb. 9. 4. is not contrary to 1 Kings 8. 9.

In the third Place I will shew, that not only from the Different and Contrary Significations of Words, but from Other Causes, viz. relating to the Matter it self, or the Manner of what is spoken of, or the Reference of one Text to another, or the Duration

<sup>\*</sup> In Epift. ad Philem. v. 2. + Hæref. 78. || Epift. 22. ad Eufloch. (\*) John 2. 10. (†) Gen. 43. 34. (||) Lib. 5.

ber

of Time, or some other Circumstances, the Stile of Scripture becomes Dark and Perplexed. Here I will produce some particular Scripture-Difficulties which arise on these Accounts; and I will endeavour to resolve them. First, There seem to be in the very Matter and Manner of things deliver'd in Scripture (for I will promiscuously speak of them both) very great Absurdities, Repugnances, and Contradictions. There seem, I say, i.e. to prejudiced and vitiated Minds there appear to be fuch; but no Man of deliberate Thoughts and an honest Heart will look upon them as fo. I will not regard them so much as to insist long upon them, but a few I will mention, that they and the rest may not be thought Insuperable Difficulties. I will begin with Gen. 4. 14. which I find alledged by some as a great Blemish in Scripture, It shall come to pass that every one that findeth me shall slay me. I begin, I say, with this Passage of Holy Writ, not because it is really Difficult, but because it is represented such by some ill-minded Men, who thereby think to invalidate the Truth of the Sacred History. Mr. Hobbes, and others of the same temper, have taken notice of fuch Passages as these in the Bible, and endeavour by the exposing of them to diminish the Authority of the Scriptures, and at the same time to shake the Credit of the whole Body of the Inspired Writings. For thus they vent their Cavils against that place, " How could Cain fay, that Every one who found him " would flay him when there was nobody at that " time in the World but his Father and Mother, " and his Wife? Had the World been peopled, "then indeed the guilty Man (if we may call him " so) might have had occasion to fear that some " hody would feek to revenge the Death of Abel. " But

" But there could be no ground of Fear when " the World was so empty as we read it was: wherefore these words of Cain contradict the plain History of Moses. When he faith, Every one that finds me, &c. it is implied that there " were a great many at that time in the World, "which disagrees with what the same History " delivers, viz. That there were no more than " Adam and his Wife, and their Son Cain and his

" Wife then extant. To which I answer, 1. It is with too much Confidence averr'd by these Objectors, that there were but four Persons at that time in being. For this is a thing which they can never prove: and the reason is, because Adam might have more Children than Cain and his Wife, though they are not mention'd; and these Children might have Sons and Daughters: So that it is not improbable that Mankind was then considerably increas'd. He knows nothing of the Stile of Scripture who knows not this, that some things are supposed, others are touched upon only, and there are others that are fully set down, and sometimes repeated. I am now speaking of the first fort of things: we must necessarily suppose them to be done, though there be no mention of them at all. A great many things (and those very considerable) as the Creation of Angels, the Covenant enter'd into between God and our First Parents, the celebrating of the Seventh Day, the instituting of Sacrifices, and such like are omitted in the Book of Genesis. And when you observe that Moses in the fix first Chapters of this Book (and those but brief ones) compriseth the History of the World from the Creation to the Flood, i. e. the Transactions of Sixteen hundred Years and upward, you cannot but acknowledg that a vast num-

her of Passages which happen'd in that time are wholly left out. This in part we may gather from the Writings of the New Testament, where some particular things are mentioned that refer to the Affairs of the Old Testament, but we find them not named there. As Enoch's Prophecy concerning the Last Judgment is spoken of by St. Jude, ver. 14. but there's not a word of it in that place of Genesis which speaks of him. The particular Persons that withstood Moses, viz. Jannes and Jambres, are mention'd in 2 Tim. 3. 8. but their Names are not set down in Exodus, which was the proper place for them. The famous Contrast of the Good and Evil Angels about the Body of Moses, i.e. the burying of it, is recorded by St. Jude, ver. 9. but there is not a word of it in Deut. 34. where there is particular mention of his burying, ver. 6. Whence it appears, that many things were done in those times concerning which Moses wrote (or Whosvever it was that made a Supplement to his Writings) which are not recorded. Nor are we to find fault with the Sacred History for this, for if it be part of the Work of an Historian (as one who was fuch acquaints us) \* to know what things are to be committed to History, and what things are to be past in silence, to know from whence to take his beginning, and how far he is to go, certainly Moses, who was skill'd in all other Learning as well as that of the Egyptians, and who moreover was an Infpired Person, knew what belong'd to this part of an Historian, inserted into the Pentateuch those things only which the Holy Spirit thought fit to be committed to writing, and the rest (which were exceeding numerous) were passed by. But

of the Holy Scriptures. though they were fo, yet we have no reason wholly to disbelieve them, but where they are fairly intimated or supposed in the Sacred History, we ought to credit them as if they were particularly and expresly mention'd. Thus, in the present case, though tis not expressy recorded in the Fourth Chapter of Genesis, that there were any more Persons at that time on the Earth than those four, yet it is reasonable to think that there was a greater number, because we know that the History of Moses is very short and contracted, and is wont to leave out ieveral considerable things, which we of our felves may gather and infer from what is in express terms set down. There might then be, and it is most probable that there were more People in the World at that time than those whose Names we meet with. Moses gives us but two Genealogies, one of Cain, the other of Seth, but it is likely there were some other Descents, whereby Adam's Race was increas'd and multiplied. Wherefore notwithstanding the small number of Persons named by this Writer, it is rational to believe that there were many more living on the Earth. We read presently after, ver. 17. that Cain built a City, Which would employ a confiderable number of Men's yea, though we suppose it to consist of some rude and slight Structures, and wall'd perhaps with Mud. This makes it probable that the number of Persons was greater than the Objectors imagine. Besides, Cain and his Wife there might be many other Sons and Daughters of Adam; and there might be many Sons of Abel, who this Murderer might justly fear would avenge their Father's Blood. Wherefore Cain had reason to fay, Every one that finds me shall slay me.

<sup>\*</sup> Dionys. Halicarn.

tells

Of the STILE But, Secondly, Not granting but only supposing that there were then no more Persons in the World than Adam and Eve, and their ungracious Son Cain and his Wife, yet it is not to be wonder'd that he cried out, Every one that finds me, &cc. for this is to be thought of, that his Guilty Conscience was able to make more Men in the World than there were. This Vile Murderer might be afraid of his Life, although we should grant that, there were none in the World to take it away. The inward Fears and Horrors of his own Mind could present those things to his Imagination which really were not, and then dis not flrange if he fancied every where Assassines and Murderers, as the just Recompensers of that improper and righteous Blood which he had most barbarously spile It is weakly faid by some in behalf of Gain, that he did not intend to kill his Brother, although he purpos'd to do him some harm, because (ga,\* One represents their Opinion) he did not know when ther there was any fuch thing as Killing of no: he was ignorant of Mortality, having never feen an Example of it. But though he had not feen fuch an Example, yet it doth not follow thence that he understood not what Death on Killing was: for then it may as well be faid, that Adam knew not the meaning of God's Words, when he said to him. Thou shalt die the Death, because at that time he had no Example of it before, his Eyes Besides, it is not to be question'd that there were Examples of it, though not in his own kind; for 'tis likely he daily beheld his Father Adam flaying

of Sheep or other Animals, in order to the facri-

ficing of them, (for Sacrificing was the first Wor-

of the Holy Scriptures. ship in the World) and he saw his Brother Abel do the like, as is expresly recorded in this Chapter, where tis faid, that he offer'd the Firstlings of bis Flock, and of the fat thereof, ver. 4. which he could not do without killing them first. So that Cain had an Example of Killing and Death just before he practis'd the same on his Brother. Yea, perhaps the one suggested to him the other, and being enraged with Anger against his Brother, he resolv'd that he should fall a Sacrifice to his Fury. And this Bloody Fact of his can admit of no Excuse, because it was the product of perfect Malice, as appears from that account which an Inspired Writer hath given of it, telling us, that Cain was of that wicked one, viz. Satan, and therefore slew his Brother, because his own Works were Evil, and his Brother's Righteous, 1 John 3.12. The grand Aggravations of his Murder were, that he kill'd his Own Brother, and that he kill'd him because he was Good and Righteous. Now, we may reafonably think that this Guilty Wretch, when he came to entertain serious Thoughts, and to reflect on his Execrable Paricide, grew very Black and Melancholick. Though God reprieved this Malefactor as to his Life, yet he severely animadverted upon him by that Terror and Distraction of Mind, by that Horror of Conscience which he inflicted on him. He had Pashur's Doom of Magor Missabib, i. e. Fear round about, Jer. 20. 3. but especially (as it follows there) he was a Terror to himself. That this hath been the Fate of Murderers is evident from such Instances as these: Herod, who commanded John Baptist to be beheaded, was afterwards miserably tormented with the thoughts of it, and fancied that Holy Man was risen from the Dead, and was alive again, Mark 6. 16. Tacitus

Dr. Brown's Vulgar Errors.

of the Holy Scriptures. can bear.) So that he anticipated the Miseries of

tells us of the Emperour Tiberius, who was a Man of Blood, and under whom our Bleffed Lord was crucified, that he was so troubled and haunted. \* that neither his great Fortunes, nor the Retirement which he fometimes made trial of, could silence those Tortures which he felt in his Breast.

Nero, that Bloody Villain, after he had put to Death his Cousin German, his Mother, his Wife, his Tutour, knew not what to do with himself;

the was affrighted with Specters, beaten by Furies, and burning Torches were flung at him, especially he was molested and plagued with the Apparition of his Mother's Ghost, whom he had

inhumanely and unnaturally murder'd. Theodorick the King of Gothes, was constantly haunted after the Murder of Symmachus and Boethius, and fo ended his days in that torment of Mind. Charles the Ninth of France (as a | faithful Historian acquaints us) after the Parisian Massacre was a continual Terror to himself, though he used all Arts to divert his Thoughts, and when he awakned in the Nights, labour'd to chase away his Affright-

ments by Musick, which he constantly call'd for. These are some of the Transcripts which History affords us of that First Murderer's inward Terrors and Disquietudes. Mine Iniquity, saith he; is greater than can be forgiven, ver. 13. (for fo the words may be rendred: ) the Guilt of that Horrid Crime which I have committed is unpardonable, I utterly despair of the Divine Mercy. And this Despair was not only his Sin but his Punishment: (wherefore some read it, My Punishment is greater than I

Til erium non fortunz, non folitudines protegebant quin pectoris tormenta suasq; ipse poenas fateretur. Annal. E. di Foucton. in Nerone, cap. 34. || Thuanus:

the Damned, (of whom he was the first of Humane Kind) and was in Hell while he was here on Earth. Now it was that Dreadful Mormo's and Phantoms possess'd his restless Brain, and he encreas'd his Terrors by Imagination. He was afraid of his own Father and Mother, and of his Female-felf; and his diforder'd Fancy represented many more Persons to him: for a Troubled Conscience fears where no Fear is, it fears Men where there are none in being. Whence fuch Language as this is very accountable, Every one that finds me shall flay me. This is a fatisfactory Answer, upon Supposal (for I proceed only on that here) that there were no more Men in the World at that time than are expresly mention'd in Genesis. A Disturbed Mind hath a Creating Power, and can make more Inhabitants on the Earth than God hath made.

Thirdly, Supposing still that the Number of Men was not greater than it is represented in the Sacred Records, yet this Speech of Cain is very accountable, for we may understand it of People that were not yet born, but to come afterwards. Observe therefore that 'tis spoken in the future Tense, le shall come to pass, that every one that findeth me shall flay me. Cain being reprieved, and fuffer'd to wander up and down, and consequently to live some Years afterwards, it may be rational to think that he refers in these words to what should be in those days. When Mankind shall be propagated, and the World be peopled, then I shall go in fear of my Life, then every one that finds me will flay me. And unto this the next words may have relation, Whosoever slayeth

Cairl, Vengeance Shall be taken of him sevenfold: And moreover, The Lord set a mark upon Cain, lest

where-

any finding him should kill him, ver. 15. Lest in aftertimes any one hearing of this bloody and curfed Fact of his should be excited to revenge it on his own Head, there was a Mark fet upon this Vile Wanderer, to distinguish him from the rest of Mankind: but what it was we know not, though the Jews have many idle and foolish Conjectures about it. And a Penalty was threatned to be inflicted on the Person who should dare to kill him: he was to be punish'd seven-fold, ver. 15. or in the feventh Generation, as Munster and some others interpret it: which implies, that Cain was to be a Long-liver, to continue seven, i. e. many Generations. So that we may look on these Words as having reference to the Times that were to come, and not to the present Season wherein they were spoke. It shall come to pass, saith he, that in future time, when the World is increased, every one who finds me shall be greedy to take away my Life, because I most inhumanely bereaved my Brother of his. Thus there is no Contradiction or Inconsistency in the words, when 'tis said, Every

But in the fourth and last place, it might be answer'd, (if what I have said already be not satisfactory) that this word Kol, every one, hath not reference to Men but to Beasts. Every one is every Wild Beast. He was afraid, faith \* Josephus, left while he wandred up and down in the Earth, (which was part of his Punishment) he should fall among some Beasts, and be slain by them. God bids him not fear any such thing, for he would fet fuch a Mark on him, that the very Irrational Animals should be capable of knowing and discern-

Antique le te ce 3.

ing it. Every one is not necessarily to be understood of Men or Women, but may be meant of the -Brutes which were then upon Earth, and might be Executioners of the Divine Vengeance on him who was so savage and brutish. It will be very hard for any Man to disprove this, and therefore it is sufficient to take off and null the Cavil of the Objectors. But, I confess, I rather think it is spoken not of this fort of Creatures, but of those Inhabitants of the Earth that were Intelligent. Thus you fee there is no Absurdity or Inconsistency in those words which Cain utter'd, and which are fet down by the Sacred Historian, whether you understand them of the then instant time, or of that which was afterwards. Some Men of Profane and Atheistical Spirits, and who have studied to impair the Truth and Authority of the Holy Scriptures, and particularly of Moses's Writings, have

exposed this Place as disagreeing with the rest of the Sacred Story concerning the first Rife and Propagation of the World. But this is a very stallow and vain Attempt, and grounded chiefly on Prejudice and Ill-will against the Inspired Volume of Scripture. I have made it clear, that there is no Absurdity, or any thing that looks like it, in the words above-mentioned: and I defy that Man who pretends to give any Satisfactory Answer to the Particulars which I have offered in defence of them.

Again, 'tis said, That none save Caleb and Joshua should come into the Land of Canaan, Numb. 14. 30. and yet we read that Eleazar and others entred into that Land, Jos. 14. 1. Chap. 22. 13. This is objected by some as a Passage in Scripture derogatory to the Truth of it. But if we will read the Holy Book with the same Candour and Ingenuity

one that findeth me, &c.

wherewith we read other Authors, we shall not be offended at this, or the like Passages, For nothing is more common in the most serious and considerate Writers, than to speak things by way, of Restriction and Limitation, (as those words are spoken) and yet to leave them to be understood with some Latitude, which shall afterwards be express'd and explain'd when they speak of the same Matter. So here we read that none but Caleband Tolhua entred into the Land of Promise, this being spoken of the Chief Leaders that had that Privilege and Honour; but then, if we confult other places, where this thing is more particularly related, we shall find that a Larger meaning was not excluded. We cannot think that the Tribe of Levi were denied entrance into that bless fed Land, because 'tis evident, from the History, that they murmured not, and tis as evident that 'twas threatned to the Murmurers only, that they Should not see the Land which God swore unto their Fat thers, Numb. 14. 22, 23. therefore Eleazar and Phineas being Priests, are excepted. Again, it cannot be meant of those that at that time were gone to fpy the Land of Canaan, for they were none of the Murmurers, and therefore that Threatning before cited doth not reach them, and confequently. those words are consistent with what we read in other places relating to this matter. But That in 1 Sam. 16. 22, 23. is cried out a

gainst as an unanswerable Repugnancy to Chap. 17. 55. for in the former we are told, that Davido tame to Court, and stood before King Sanl, i.e. waited continually upon him, and play'd upon the Hart before him, and was greatly beloved of bim, and became his, Aymour-bearer: and yet in the latter we read that Saul did not know David, but ask'd

of the Holy Scriptures. who he was, Whose Son is this Youth? These seem to be very repugnant to one another, but there is really no such thing: all is clear and obvious, for in Chap. 17.15. it is faid, David went, and returned from Saul, to feed his Father's Sheep at Bethlehem. He stay'd not long at Court, either because he liked not that manner of Life, or because Saul was weary of him. David then having been absent from Sdul a confiderable time, and following a Country-Life, and now appearing perhaps in his shepherd's Weeds, it is no wonder that Saul did not well know him. This, I think is sufficient of it self, and clears the Text of all Contradiction: though I know there are other Solutions used by the Learned, as that of our English Rabbi, Saul (saith he) asked whose Son David was, not that he was ignorant who he was; but he only enquired who that was that had such a Son. The question is not of David's Person, but Parentage. So Lightfoot.

Others are more Curious in their Objections, as thus, Whereas the Diameter in respect of the Circumference, is as feven to two and twenty, this is not observ'd in 2 Chron. 4. 2. speaking of the brazen Laver, and by consequence the Geometry of Scripture is faulty. In answer to these Men who are fuch Well-willers to the Mathematicks, I say first, That the Proportion of a Diameter to its Circle is not exactly as feven to two and twenty: therefore these Gentlemen are not exact themsecondly, I say this, that the Scripture oftentimes speaks after the Vulgar manner, (as I have shew'd elsewhere) and it is likely it doth so here, and then we must not expect Accuracy of Words or Things. The Bible was not calculated for them only that can square a Circle, or that

under-

understand all the Mysteries of Algebra. Thirdly, If this doth not satisfy, I answer, that the Circumference of the brazen Sea was not exactly Round, but it may be towards an Oval Figure, which makes some alteration as to the Proportion of the Diameter. It was ten Cubits from brim to brim, and a Line of thirty Cubits did compass it round about, saith the Text: but if it had been quite orbicular, the Circumference must have been one and thirty Cubits. Or, perhaps in this place (as in several others) a round Number is express'd, and the remainder being so since is omitted.

But further 'tis Objected, that this Molten Sea or Laver is said to contain 2000 Baths, 1 Kings 7. 26. but in 1 Chron. 4.5. we read that it received and held 3000 Raths; therefore some infer, that one of these places is faulty, and ought to be corrected. I answer, there is no need of it; because both these are consistent. The Laver was of that vast dimension, that it could hold 3000 Baths of Water, but it generally and usually contained but 2000. In a Synagogue of the Jews at Amsterdam, there is one of these Lavers, and thence we may folve the feeming difficulty: they fill it up to the Neck, but not higher: but if they would fill it higher, it would contain much more. The Neck is large and of another figure, and is capable of receiving a third part more.

Another Place which they alledg, cannot, they will tell you, be answer'd any of these ways, for it plainly Contradicts another place of Scripture. It is said of Asa, 2 Chron. 14:5. he took away the high Places; but in 1 Kings 15:14. it is expresly recorded that the high Places were not removed by lim. I answer first, there were two sorts of high Places,

Places, namely some where they worship'd Idols and False Gods, others where they worthip'd the True God. The former were taken away, as is intimated to us when itis faid, he took away the bigb Places and Images, i. e. the high Places where those Images were adored: but the latter were not taken away, the Reformation which he had fet on foot had not gone so far. Besides, 'tis obfervable that he took away the high Place's out of all the Cities of Judah; which signifies to us that he removed them out of all the Chief Places of his Kingdom, though he had not time to effect it in fome other less considerable places; and so the meaning of those words [the high Places were not removed may have reference only to these latter, and shew that he had not expell'd Idolatry out of every part of the Kingdom. The short is, this Good King took away very many, he removed most of the high Places, but not all. Where now is the Contradiction?

But in the New Testament perhaps they will be more fuccessful. They are pleas'd to make or find there a great number of Contrarieties, as in Mat. 27. 9. this Evangelist quotes Jeremiah the Prophet, yet it was not Jeremiah but Zechary that spoke the words which are there quoted. Some have answer'd this by faying, here is a Mistake of the Transcribers, they have writ Jeremiab instead of Zechariah. But this is not to be allowed, feeing there is no need of flying to fuch a forry Refuge as this. A Learned \* Critick of our own, tells us, that it is an overfight in the Evangelist, it is a slip of his Memory; but this is much worse than the former: and if we should once admit any such thing, the Truth and A a 4 Autho-

<sup>\*</sup> Sir Norton Knarchbull on Mat. 27. 9.

Authority of the Bible (as I have shew'd in a Former Discourse) are endanger'd. But one of these three Answers may remove the difficulty. 1. Grotius on the place falves it thus; many of the Old Prophets Sayings were not written down, but preferv'd in Memory, and deliver'd down to those that came afterwards, of which he gives some Instances: so that it is probable Zechary makes use of one of these Sayings and Oracles of Jeremy: but when our Saviour quotes this Passage, he mentions the first Author of it, viz. the Prophet Jeremy. The short is, though the words are in Zechary, yet he had them from Jeremy, that is, there was a Tradition, it is likely, that they were his. Which is confirmed by that Saying of the Jews, that the Spirit of the Prophet Jeremy rested on Zechary. For this reason, those words of Zechary may be faid to be spoken by Jeremy the Prophet. 2. Those words are jointly to be found in Jeremy ad Zechaiy: but the former speaks only of buying the Field, Jer. 32.9. the latter makes mention of the Price, Zech. 11.12. But neither are these the very words which are in Zechary's Prophecy, but are recited with some considerable alteration (as is not unusual in Scripture, as you shall hear afterwards.) If then the Substance of the words be taken out of both the Prophets, the Evangelist might quote one of them only without any Error and Mistake, and particularly Jeremy might be named as the more known and eminent Prophet. 3. Dr. Lightfoot reconciles it another way, afferting, that there is no Mistake of Transcribers here, but that Jeremy was the Name first used in this place by St. Matthem, and yet Zecharias is not excluded, but intended. This he makes good from the ordering

and ranging of the Books of Scripture in use among

the Jews, in which this Learned Author was well skill'd. Jeremiab had the first Place among the Prophets, and he is mention'd above all the rest, because he stood first in the Volume of the Prophets: Therefore when St. Matthew produced a Text of Zechary under the Name of Jeremy, he cites the Words out of the Volume of the Prophets under his Name, who stood first in that Volume, that is the Prophet Jeremiah. Any of these Answers may fatisfy a Man whose Mind is not tainted with Prejudice against the Sacred Writings. Those Words of St. Stephen, Acts 7. 15. Jacob

went down into Egypt, and died, he and our Fathers, and were carried over into Sichem, and laid in the Sepulcher that Abraham bought for a Sum of Money of the Sons of Emmor the Father of Sichem, feem to have a double Repugnancy in them to what is recorded in the History of Moses; for first we read there, that not Jacob but Joseph was carried to Sichem: And secondly that Abraham bought the Sepulcher not of the Sons of Emmor, but of Ephron the Hittite, Gen. 23. 17. ch. 49. 30. This latter is the greater Difficulty, and feems to be most inextricable, because 'tis so positively express'd, that Abraham purchased the Field of Ephron the Son of Zoar, and that Jacob bought the Field of the Children of Emmor, Gen. 32. 19. Josh. 24. 32. How therefore can it be said in the Alts, that Abraham bought the Field for a Sepulcher of the Children of Emmor? Grotius takes away this Repugnancy, by bidding us write Ephron for Emmor: but this way of answering the Scripture-Difficulties is not to be tolerated, as I have fuggested already on the like occasion. Besides, this Alteration will not be sufficient to take away the Difficulty, because Ephron was not the Father of Sichem, which is here added. A late

Sagacious Critick tells us, that those of whom St. Stephen here speaks, viz. the Patriarchs, were part of them buried in Siebem, and part of them in the Field that was Ephron's. They were carried over into Sichem, i. e. faith he, our Fathers, not Jacob, were carried thither. And the Sense of the next Words he thinks he salves by a Parenthesis thus, [and laid in the Sepulcher (which Abraham had bought for a Sum of Money) of the Sons of Emmor the Father of Sichem.] So that this Place doth not fay, the Fathers were laid in the Sepulcher which was bought by Abraham of the Sons of Emmor; no, for that contradicts the Sacred History, which assures us, that he bought it of Ephron the Hittite, but only they were laid in the Sepulcher of the Sons of Emmor. So Sir Norton Knatchbull. This doth in part fatisfy the Scruple, but in my Judgment the best and shortest Solution of it is that which I have be-

fore suggested, and abundantly proved, that 'tis usual for Persons in Scripture to have two Names. So here, Abraham bought a Field for a Burial-place of Ephron the Son of Zohar, Gen. 23. 8, 9. and yet he bought it of the Son & Sons of Emmor; for this Zobar and Emmor were the same Man, only with two different Names which he was called by, as was very common among the Hebrews. This is a plain and easy resolving of the Doubt. And if there seems to be any Repugnancy as to the Places of Burial, Sichem and Hebron, I offer this, that the Bodies of the Patriarchs might be translated from the first Place, where they were deposited, to another, i. e. they might be entomb'd at Sichem the Sepulcher of the Sons of Emmor, and afterwards be carried to Hebron, and laid in a Sepulcher there. If we admit of this, athen

Moses's History concerning their Burial might

refer to one Place, and St. Stephen's to another.

Those Places also may seem to be Contradictory, If I bear witness of my self, my witness is not true, John 5. 31. and though I bear Record of my self, yet my Record is true, ch. 8. 14. But the Resolution is easy, Christ's Testimony concerning himself was not true, i. e. valid in the Opinion of the Cavilling Jews to whom he spake, because their Law required two Witnesses: but his Testimony concerning himself was true, was authentick and valid, because he was an Extraordinary Person, even God Himself, and because likewise his Testimony concurr'd with that of his Father, and so there was a Double Witness. Thus he explains himself in John 8. 16. My Judgment is true, for I am not alone, but I and the Father that sent me. And again, ver. 18. I am one that bear witness of my self: and the Father that sent me beareth witness of me. Therefore it is plain that Christ doth not absolutely exclude his own Testimony concerning himself, and consequently the Texts above alledged do not oppose one another. This also may be referr'd to what we observed in the beginning of this Discourse, viz. a Negative is often put for a Comparative.

And that of our Saviour, Think not that I am come to send Peace upon Earth, I came not to send Peace, but a Sword, Matth. 10.34. may feem to be repugnant to other Texts of Scripture which represent Christ as a Man of Peace. But this ariseth from our misunderstanding his Words: his Meaning is, not that he directly intended, or primarily design'd a Sword or Fire, (as \* another Evangelist expresses it) i. e. Persecution and Division.

\* Luke 12.49.

refer

his Words are to be understood as those in John 9. 39. I came into this World for Judgment, i. e, Occafionally and by Accident his Coming would prove to be for Condemnation. But this was not his Defign, as he faith, God fent not bis Son into the World to condemn the World, John 3. 17. And again, I eame not to judg the World, John 12. 47. You hear what our Saviour faith, he came for Judyment, and be came not for Judgment. In fuch a different Sense be came to fend a Sword, and be came not to fend a Sword: that is, it is Accidental, and not by Defign that Slaughter and Contentions happen by Christ's Coming. These are not the natural Effect and Confequence of his Doctrine, and of Christianity it felf, but they proceed from the corrupt Nature and evil Dispositions of Men, who will not entertain fo harmless and innocent an Institution. but are resolv'd to oppose it. The Sword which Christ is here said to send, is managed and wielded by the Hands of Irreligious and Prophane Men: the Fire is blown up and kindled by the Breath of Anger and Pafflon, the Fuel of it is our own wicked Nature, inordinate Lusts, and corrupt Manners. In a word, the Doctrine of Christ meeting with the Vices of Men, becomes an occasion of Quarrels, Divisions, Bloodshed and Persecution.

When Christ sent forth his Apostles, he forbad them to provide Staves, Matth. 10. 10. yet in Mark 6.8. he permits them to take thefe for their Journey. But this feeming Inconfiftency is removed by remembring that there is a Necellary Staff, a Staff to support them in their Travels, and there is an Offensive Staff to encounter the Enemy with. The latter was not allowed them, because they were not to use any Violence, especially at this time, when The sent them forth. So in the foresaid Place of St.

St. Maishen, Christ forbids them the wearing of Sloes, yet in that of St, Mark he permits them Sandalis. Some fort of Fence to their Feet they were not denied, but they must not be careful for the better fort of it; nay, they must not be solicin tous about any, it becomes them not to be thoughtful. for any kind of Provision; that is the plain Meaning of our Saviour's Words. But when he bids them buy spards, Luke 22. 36. which may feem to he contrary to Matth, 26, 52, it is (as I have shewed) an transcal way of Speaking, and fo there is no Repugnancy,

That of the Apostle, Hab. 9. 4, is reckon'd by fome as a gross Mistake; for speaking of the Ark of the Covenant, he tells us, that there were in it the Golden Pot that had Manna, and Aaron's Rod that budded, and the Tables of the Covenant: and yet we read that there was nothing in the Ark save the two Tables of Stone, 1 Kings 8. 9. To which Theophylad, upon the Place, aniwers, that though there was at first nothing in the Ark but the two Tables, yet it may be afterwards the Pot of Manna and Aaron's Rod were put into it; and this perhaps the Apostle had by Tradition from the Jews, faith he. But Grotius tells us, that it was the Opinion of the Old Rabins, (in which he also acquiesces) that the Manna and the Rod were in the Ark in Mases's Days; but afterwards, left they should be mouldy and putrify, they were taken out, and deposited in some subterraneous Vaults. But first this disagrees with the former Solution, and yet the Jewish Doctors are quoted for both. Again, I ask, were the Rabins fure that these Holy Relicks were kept from moulding in those low Cells or Receptacles of the Earth? otherwise twas in vain to take them out of their old Place, and lodg them here. Therefore I

look upon this as a mere Invention of the Rabinick Tribe, as tis well known they abound with fuch. Besides, we learn from the fore-cited Text in the Kings, that these Sacred things were not in the Ark, even in Solomon's time; and if they were not there then at all, it is not likely the Apostle would have said, Wherein (i. e. in the Ark) was the Golden Pot of Manna, and Aaron's Rod; for who can think that he refers to some after-Practice of the Jews, and not to what is fo plainly recorded to have been at that time? therefore I look upon these Answers as groundless. Another is wont to be given, and it is this, that ev h refers to owned, and not to விதவிஞ ; which doth fully assoil the Difficulty, if you can be perswaded that is hath reference to a Word so far off, when there is another nearer to it, to which it may well agree. The Consideration of this made \* Drussus, who once rested in the foresaid Solution, to quit it afterwards, and to find out another, viz. that I which is rendred by in, signifies here ad, prope or juxta: so the meaning is, that near the Ark stood the Pot of Manna: But he checks himself for this afterwards, apprehending it to be forced and strained. Wherefore, to avoid all these Inconveniencies, I reconcile that Place in the Epistle to the Hebrews, with the former one in the Kings, thus, The Ark is taken strictly in that former Place, but largely in the latter one. In the first Sense, that is, as it signifies the Principal Part or Division of the Ark, it had nothing in it but the Tables, for the Chief Apartment was designed for these, and therefore 'tis observable that the Ark hath its Name from them, and is call'd the Ark of the Covenant; by which

which is meant the Two Tables, as you'l fee in 1 Kings 8 21. But as the Ark is taken largely, that is, as it signifies the Whole Body of the Ark, and all its Receptacles and Boxes, it contain'd in it other things besides the Tables, viz. the Pot of Manna, and Aaron's Rod. This I propound as a plain and easy Solution of the two fore-cited Texts. The Manna and the Rod were in the Ark, and they were not in it, viz. in different Respects: they were in it, if you understand by it the Whole Sacred Chest; but they were not in it, if you mean by it the Chief and Eminent Part of it, which oftentimes gave a Denomination to the Whole.

## CHAP. XII.

Answers to Objections against the Arithmetick of Scripture, as Gen. 46. 27. All the Souls of the House of Jacob which came into Egypt were threescore and ten, compared with Acts 7.14. where they are faid to be threescore and sifteen Souls. Numb. 25. 9. saith, that those that died of the Plague were twenty and four thousand: but we read in 1 Cor. 10. 8. that there fell in one Day three and twenty thousand. David is his Father's eighth Son, 1 Sam. 16. 10. yet he is reckon'd the feventh Son, 1 Chron. 2. 15. Other Numerical Difficulties in 2 Sam. 24. 9. 1 Chron. 21. 15. and in i Kings 4. 26. 2 Chron. 9. 25. cleared. A Resolution of several Geographical Scruples, as about the Place of Abraham's Nativity, Gen. 11. 28. ch. 24. 10. Joseph was fold to the Ismaelites, Gen. 37. 28. yet in the same Verse, and afterwards (ver. 36.) 'tis said, he was fold to the Midianites. Moses's Wife is call'd an Ethiopian, Numb. 12. 1.

Commentar. in Pentateuch.

of the Holy Scriptures. 369

though she was of the Land of Midian, Exod. 2. 15, 16. She that is call'd a Woman of Candan; Matth. 15. 22. is said to be a Syrophoenician Mark 7. 26., The Chorography of the Scripture is sometimes different (i. e. it seems to be so) from that in Prophane Authors, because several Places mentioned in Holy Writ have not the fame Names which they are known by in other Writers. Whether the Queen of Sheba came from Arabia or Ethiopia is uncertain. Ophir is unknown to us : So is Ararat: But Tarshish is so named from Tarsus, a Noted Town on the Mediterranean. How East and West in Ezekiel are to be understood. Different Meanings in Scripture arise from the Relation which certain Words have in Texts to the adjoining Chapters and Verses. Some Instances of this largely prosecuted.

TO UT a great Cry there is that the Scripture is D defective, or in plain Terms false in its Arithmetick: and here many Places are muster'd up, as That in Gen. 46. 27. All the Souls of the House of Jacob which came into Egypt, were threefcore and ten. And again, Deut. 10. 22. they are faid to be threefcore and ten Persons: so many they were, teckoning Jacob and Joseph into the Number. But liow doth this agree with St. Stephen's Account in Alls 7. 14. Joseph font and call d his Father Jucob to him, and all his Kindred, threescore and fifteen Souls? Here is an Addition of five to the former Number. But the Agreement of these Texts is not difficult, because we may say that Mosts only reckons Jacob's Children and Grand-children, and not his Daughters in Law, the Wives of Javob's Sons, which in all are seventy five. Or it may be said that St. Siephen rockons up how great the Number of Jacob's

Family was before he came into Egypt, and so takes in Jacob's Wives and Judab's Sons, although then dead: Or thus, that he reckons some into the Number who were begot before they came into Egypt, but born after their coming thither. There might be such a Tradition as this among the Jews, and Stephen here makes use of it. Any of these But by no means must we approve of Grotius's shift, inserted five more than he should have done.

Again, some ask what Agreement there is between those two Places, viz. Numb. 25. 9. Those that died in the Playue were twenty and four thousand; and 1 Cor. 10. 8. (which speaks of the same thing) There fell in one Day three and twenty thousand. Here is a Thousand short of the former Account: But if you look into the Context, you'l foon reconcile these two different Numbers, by taking notice that there were two different Judgments or Plagues upon the People at that time. The Apostle numbers those only that were kill'd with the Plague from Heaven, but Moses reckons those also who were kill'd with the Sword, and hung up by the Levites, ver. 4, 5. Or, I conceive, the Difference between the Numbers may lie in this, that St. Paul speaks only of what was done in one Day: the Emphasis may be in those Words, and so liere is not excluded the other thousand which fell at another time. Some are diffatisfied because they read in 1 Sam. 16. 10. that David was his Father's eighth Son: and again in 1 Sam. 17. 14. they find that he is call'd the youngest Son of eight: and yet in 1 Chron. Lig. he is reckon'd the seventh son. But the Anfixer is short and plain, namely, that in this latter Place, where there is a particular Enumeration of

Jaffe's Sons, one of themais omitted, and it concerns us not to know why. Only we know that fach Omissions are not unusual in Seriptufe.

Another Numerical Difficulty is in 2 Sam. 24.19. where the Sum of the Number of the People which Josh gave pp, is: faid to the eight [ hundred thousand, viz. in Ifrael, and five bundred thousand in Juliah, in all thirteen bundred thousand fighting Men: but look into the Accompt in a Chron, 21.19. and you will find a wast Difference between it and the former. But why should this feem strange, feeing there might be feveral Reasons why these sums vaxy? I will mention one. Joab had not finished his numbring of Ifrael, but left off, because the Anger of the Lord was kindled against Ifrael, and sto brought David the Number only which is mentioned in Samuel. \* Josephus is more particular, and faith, that Joab left out the Tribe of Benjamin, and the Tribe of Levi, which two helhad not at that time reckon'd: for David in the mean time (when this Number was taking) repented of what he did, and call'd back foab before he had finish'd the Sum: But the Captains who were fet about this Work in the remoter Parts, numbered three hundred thousand besides, which being put to the eight hundred thousand in Israel, makeup exactly the Number in the Chronicles: and the same may be faid of Judab. That Place dikewise is objected, Solomon bad four thousand Stalls for Horses, & Chron 9.25. whereas we are told that he had forty thous fand, 1 Kings 4.26. If we distinguish between Stalls and Stables, the Difficulty ceaseth; and we have reason to do so, because there is a Difference in the † Hebrew Words used in these Places.

latter fignifies distinct Stalls for Horses, where they flood afunder by themselves, one single Horse in a Stally But the former fignifies Stables or Stalls, wherein ten Horfes were placed: therefore there is a Jod, which is a Note of the number ten, inforced into this Word, to distinguish it from the other. There were half a score Horses in every one of these Stables, and so they amount to forty thousand Abarbanel and some other Hebrew Doctors determine thus, that there were forty thoufand Horses in four thousand Stables. Or if it were the very same Word in the Hebrew, yet it might be differently taken, and fignify Stalls in one Place, and Stables in another, and so the Controversy is ended, i. e. every Stable or greater Place for Horses contained in it ten thousand distinct Stalls. We may fay there were four thousand Great Stables which contain'd forty thousand Lesser ones. Thus far in answer to those that charge the Scripture with want of Truth as to Numbers.

Others complain that it is erroneous and false in its Geography, that is, as to the Places and Countries that are mentioned in it. Thus we find that Ur of the Chaldees is call'd the Land of Haran, and consequently of Abrabam's Nativity, Gen. 11.28; And in Gen. 15.7. God reminded him that he brought him out of Ur of the Chaldees: therefore that was the Country which he first dwelt in. But if we confult Gen. 24, 10. we shall see that Mesopotamia was Abrabam's native Country: and in Jof, 24. 2, 3. we read that he dwelt on the other side of the Flood, i. e. of Euphrates, (which is frequently call'd the Flood, by way of Eminency, in Scripture) and this parted Canaan, where Abraham afterwards Awelt, from Mesoporamia in Syria. This is the Rif Wer which Abraham poffed over, Gen. 31. 21. When

Bb 2

Antique l. 7. c. 101 † Uraoth: Urajoth.

he came into Canaan out of his own Country, and from which passing over he had his Name, as is thought by many of the Learned. So that when tis faid he dwelt on the other side of the Flood. it appears thence that he came out of Mesopotamia. which was divided from Canaan by that Flood. But how are these two consistent, viz. that he was a Chaldean and a Mesopotamian, i. e. a Syrian, when these have reference to two distinct Countries, Chaldea and Syria? This hath puzzled Jews and Christians: But the Answer which most of them acquiesce in is this, that Mesopotamia (Aram Maharaim, (as 'tis call'd in the foremention'd Place in Genesis, and in Judg. 3.8.) Syria fluviorum, because situated between two Rivers, Euphrates and Tigris, and call'd by the Antient Latins Mediamna. which answers exactly to the Greek Word) is taken in Genesis and other Places in a large Sense, and comprehends Chaldea. So the Arabian Geographers also refer Chalden to Mesopotamia, saith \* Ludovicus de Dieuz And they might very well do so, for + Pliny comprehends all Assyria under Mesopotamia: and in another Place tells us, that the whole Country of Mesopotamia belonged to the Assyrians, and in general speaking was part of Rabylon! And truly this is no unufual thing to take the Names of Places somtimes in a stricter, sometimes in a more lax Sense: Wherefore Melopotamia in the general and large way of speaking (and tis likely in the Estimation of the Hebrews) took in some other Places which were not within the Rivers of Euphrates and Tigris. This is a true

the Rivers of Euphrates and Tigris. This is a true

Au
TIEVITA

Not. in Act. † Mesopotamia tota Assyriorum solic, act.

Nac. Hist. is 61 c. 6. | Reliqua pars Mesopotamia Assyriaque

Babylonia appellata cst. 2

Answer, and a very good one: But I rather think this to be the plainest Solution of the Difficulty, viz. that Mesopotamia, as distinct from Chaldea, was Abraham's native Soil, and that Ur was a City or Town in that Country, (thus \* Ammianus rec-. kons it there, and not in Chaldea) and that this very City was the Birth-place of Abraham: and yet this Ur is said to be of the Chaldees, because it was possessed by the Chaldeans at that time. Perfons have thought it was a part of Chaldea, and properly belonged to it, because they read it to be of the Chaldees: but this is a Mistake, for the true Import of this Addition to the Word is only this, that this part of Mesopotamia, as well as the rest of it, was under the Jurisdiction and Power of the Chaldeans, and was inhabited by them: as Hebron is call'd the Land of the Hebrews, Gen. 40. 15. because the Hebrews dwelt there. Besides, I might add, that this Place was defiled with the Idolatry of the Chaldeans, and therefore for that reason also is call'd Or of the Chaldees. And from what hath Been said, we may have a right understanding of those Words in Acts 7. 2, &c. The God of Glory appeared unto our Father Abraham, when he was in Mclopotamia, and said unto him, Get thee out of thy Country: \_\_\_\_then came be out of the Land of the Chaldeans .. It is plain that Mesopotamia and the Land of the Chaldeans (in a large Sense) are the same, which is according to what we find in the Old Testament, and particularly in the Places beforementioned: Yet Mesopotamia and Chaldea (strictly speaking) were not the same: but the former was gunder the Power of the Chaldean Kings, and for Withat reason was rightly call'd the Land of the Chal-Bb a deans.

\* Lib. 25.

dedits. 55 that Grotius needed not to have gone about to reconcile this Hext, by telling us, this St. Luke's Memoryfail'd himiasto the exact Defignation of the Place: which vis as much as to fay, that this Inspired Writer was mistaken, and fine palpable Error; and that is as much as to fay, the was not inspired; and so he contradicts himself, as well as defames the Holy Writings.

Another Geographical Scruple arifes from Gen. 37. 28. where we read that Joseph was sold to the Ife maelites; and in the very fame | Verfe, and after-(wards, (wer. 361) we are told that he was fold to the Midianites. How could the be fold to both? Very well , for these are Names of the same Rebthe of Arabia, either the Defact or Stony, or both, for there is a Dispute about this. Or if there were some Difference between the Innaelites and Midianites, (as 'tis inot unlikely) yet they were near Neighbours, and so passed for the same People: thence the Kings of the Ismaelites are call'd Kings of Midian, Judg. 8. 24, 26. Thus in the Gospel the Gaddrens, Luke 8. 37. and the Gergasens, Mat. 8. 28. are represented as the same People, because Gadara and Gergefa were neighbouring Towns, their Fields lay close together. Every one grants that the Inhabitants of Arabia had several Names according to the Places and Regions they were feated in: they are call de Kenites, Numb. 24. 21: and frequently in other places Modbites, Ammonites, Edomites, Hagarens, (as in Pfal, 83. 6.) from Hagar Sarab's Maid-servant, the Mother of Ismael, (which Name they have long fince chang'd into that of Saracens, choosing to be called rather by the Name of the Mistress than of the Maid.) And here they are stiled Ismaelites and Midianites, the former inhabiting in one part of that Country, and

the latter in another. Joseph then may be truly faid to be sold both to the Ismaelites and Midianites, because the Company of Merchants who bought him, consisted of both, it is probable: they were joint-Traders, and did traffick in common. Thus we see here is nothing inconsistent.

Some object against the Geography of Scripture, because Zippora, Moses's Wife, is call'd an Ethiopian, Numb. 12. 1. although the was of another Country, namely, the Land of Midian as appears from Exodi 2. 15, 16. In answer to which Josephis would perswade us that Moses had two Wives, one an Ethiopian, the other a Midianitess or Arabian: But there is no Foundation at all for this, and therefore some other Answer is to be given, Some are of opinion that Cushith, which is the Word used in the Book of Numbers, should not be translated an Ethiopian, but an Arabian Woman; for Arabia was call'd Cush, because the Seat of Cush, the eldest Son of Ham, was there, saith Sir W. Raleigh. Whence he concludes that Moses's Wife was not an Ethiopian, though a Woman of Eulh, but an Arabian. And Rochart afferts the same, and on the same Ground, viz. because Cush was feated in Arabia, not in Ethiopia. But this Opinion hath found but little Reception among those who have further enquired into this Matter. and have found that sometimes the word Cush in the Old Testament must necessarily be understood of Ethiopia. Therefore it is more reasonable to adhere to those Authors who affirm that Cush is an ambiguous Word, and that not only Arabia but. Ethiopia is expressed by that Name. Or rather. there is a double Etbiopia; one in Africa, beyond Egypt, under the Torrid Zone; the other in Asia, and particularly in some part of Arabia. And that

of the Holy Scriptures. fometimes faid to belong to them, and to be Parts

that there are both these Ethiopia's, is testified by Philostratus, Herodotus, and Paufanias. This latter, viz. the Afiatick Ethiopia, is meant in the forenamed Place, where 'tis faid, Moses married a Cushite, an Ethiopian Woman: She was not of the African but the Asian Cush or Ethiopia; and so it well agrees with the other Text, where we are told she was a Midianite. Nor is this to be wondred at, that Cush is thus differently taken; for it. might be proved from several Examples, that one and the same Name is given to two or three Countries. Thus there is Cafarea in Palestine and in the Lesser Asia: There is Antioch in Syria, in Pisidia, and in Caria: There is Babylon in Chaldea and Egypt: There is Thebes in Baoria, in Egypt, and in Cilicia: There is Heliopolis in Egypt, in Cælosyria, and Cilicia: There is Albania in Greece and Armenia: And so in our neighbouring Countries there is Zeland in Denmark and in the Netherlands. And not only in France, but England, several Places have the same Name. But although this be very satisfactory, yet I am inclined to offer another Resolution of the Place, viz. that Midian was divided from Ethiopia only by the Red Sea, a short Passage, and by reason of this Nearness Midian is call'd in Scripture the Land of Cush on Ethiopia; and thence Zippora is call'd a Cushite; 'Al 96 mood, according to the Seventy Interpreters, an Ethiopian. She is called fo, I fay, because the Midianites dwelt near to the African Ethiopians, and thence fometimes had their Name communicated to them. Addian being a neighbouring Country, was call'd Ethiopia; and those other Parts of Asia and Africa that lay about the Red Sea had the like Denomina. tion; as at this Day, among Cosmographers, some Places that porder on other Countries are

of them, and are call'd by their Name. Thus Tyre and Sidon of old were reckon'd both in Syria and Palestine. And in our modern Geography some Places in the Netherlands and Gormany are sometimes rank'd among those of France. Some Geographers place Lorain in Germany, others in France. The Alpes are divided among the Germans, Italians, French, and fo are faid to belong to all of them. Piedmont is reckon'd both in France and Italy. So it is in the present Case; the Vicinity of the Place to some other, causes the Name to be communicated to both. The Midianites and other People, because they bordered on Ethiopia, were call'd Ethiopians: hence Cushan or Ethiopia, and the Land of Midian are join'd together, Hab. 3.7. Lastly, it is probable that Gush, the eldest son of

Cham, was feated not only in Ethiopia, but in those Parts of Arabia which were bordering upon it : and thence it comes to pass that a Cushite or a Woman of Cush is an Arabian as well as an Ethiopian property She that is call'd a Woman of Canaan, Mat. 15. 22: is: call'd a Syrophoemoian, Mark 7. 26. which

fome imagine are inconsistent, and therefore they think the former Word should be Cana, which was a Town in Phænisia, and was known by the Name of the Greater Cana, for there was a Leffer in the lower Galilee, where \* Christ Kirn'd Water into Wine. But there is no Necessity of changing the Word, because Canaan and Phænicia are but different Names for the same Region. Thus in Gen. 161 35. the Land of Canaan is called fourier by the LXX. and in Exod. 6.15. a Canaanitiffs

fome-

<sup>\*</sup> John 2. 1.

tife Woman is novigon. We mult know then that the whole Country of Palestine is a part of Syria. and Phonicialisa pant of Palefine, and Syro-Phoes nifig as well as Phonicia in the Mouth Part of Ca. ngay. But aspecially the Reople of this Country that lived on the Sea-coasts were call'd Phoenicians. and that in a poculiar manner, so is evident from Smalo, Pliny and others ... You and those were properly and strictly of old coll'd Canaanites that dwelt at Tyre and Siden, and inhabited near the Sea, 11 The Conganizes dwell by aber Sea, Numb. 13,29. and some guote 166123, 8: where the Fyrian's are call'd Canagnimi So this Wondo who come out of the Coasts of Tyre and Sidon (as is expressy faid yer 31.) is rightly kiled bond a Ganaanite by St. Matthew, and a Rhoenician or Sura-Phoenician by St. Marks for the word Syrian is added (as Growing wellingtes) only to diffinguish the Asian Phonicis gas from those of some Colonies in Africk. Thus there is no Reason to find fault with the Chicograpby of the Bible. Charles Broke British .

Here, for the better clearing some Passages in the Holy Writings, and the removing some Cavils which ill-disposed Men are want to raise, I will further remark that the Chorography of the Scriptures is cometimes different from that in Proface Authors, Several Places mention d in Holy Writ have not the same Names which they are known by in other Writers, (of which the Learned \* Mr. Selden hath taken notice) which may fometimes occafion Dispute about certain Places in Scripture. Buhylon is call'd Shinar, Gen. 1112. Egypt hath the Name of Ham, Rial. 78. 51. & 105. 23. and Rabab, Pfal. 87. 4. 8, 89. 10. Of old On and Beth-

**Shemesh** 

Shomesh evere the Names of that Place in Egypt, which fince is call'd Heliopolis, Gen. 41. 45, 50. Fer. 43018. Some gather from Gen. 2. 13. that Nile was at first call'd Gibon. Memphis had the Title of Naph, Ifa. 10, 13. Jer. 46, 14. The City of Alexandria (call'd so from Alexander the Great, who bullt it after it had been laid waste by the Chaldoans, and gave it that Name) was at first tall'd No, Har. 46. 25. Ezek. 30. 15. Nahum 3. 8. The antient Name of Mesopotamia was Padan A: ram, Gen. 125,120. ch. 28.6. Before Cyrus's time the Country which is now call'd Persia was known by no other Titles than Cuth and Elam, Ifa. 11. 11. ob. 22. d. but afterwards it had that new Denomination from Paras a Horse, because the Perlians were great Riders on Horse-back. Canaan and the Holy Land are Torms in Scripture for that known Country which is stiled Syria and Judea by the Greek and Roman Writers. Jerusalem was first call d Salem, then Jebus, then by putting both stogether \* Jebusalem; and afterwards, for better found sake Farusalem. I might proceed, and obferve this Change of Names in other Regions of the World, yea in our own: Thus Albjon was the antient Name of this Ille, then Britain, then England. This I mention to remind us that there is a great Alteration of Names as to several Places and Countries. Either by Conquest or otherwise it hath come to pass that the former ones by which they were known are worn off, and new ones are come in their room. Whence it happens some. times that we have no Help from Profane Historians to understand many Places mentioned in the Bible; and we are not able to know to what Countries

Joseph Antique 1. 1.

De Dis Syr. Proleg. c. 1.

tries and Nations some of those Names refer which we meet with in these Antient Records.

This I will more largely infift upon in some few particular Instances: And first that in 1 Kings 10. 1. doth partly belong to this Place; there is mention of the Queen of Sheba, who is call'd the Queen of the South by our Saviour, Mat. 12. 42. but whether she came from Arabia or Ethiopia, both which Countries are South of Judea, is as much controverted, as whether Moses's Wife was an Arabian or an Ethiopian. Monfieur Bochart and some others fay she was the former, for there was a Saba or Sel ba (as \* Strabo informs us) in that Country. Some tell us it is the Metropolis of Arabia Felix, now call'd Zibet, whence the Zivet-Cat hath its Name. The Inhabitants of this Place were antiently call'd A Sabai by the Latins. But for my part I cannot think that this was the Country whonce this Royal Visitant came, and that for this one good Reason, because our Saviour himself hath informed us that If the came from the utmost Parts of the Earth, which cannot be faid of her if the came from Arabia, for that was near to Judea. (\*) Josephus saith, he found the antient Name of Merce in Africa to be Saba, and thence he affirms that the was an African, viz. the Queen of Egypt and Ethiopia: and others more particularly vouch her to belong to the upper Ethiopia, i. e. the Kingdom of the Abyffines & and tis certain (as a late Inquisitive || Writer hath informed us) that the Abasiyne People challenge her for theirs. But now if we come to examine things, and to make some Proof of this latter Opinion, viz. that the Quech who took a

of the Holy Scriptures. long Journey to visit King Solomon, and behold his Glory, was an Ethiopian, we are not able to effect any thing, for we cannot trust to the Jewish History rian, who had little Skill in foreign Matters; and we cannot rely upon Pliny's \* Saba Æthiopica, or gather any thing certainly thence. And a more Authentick Writer tells us, that there was not only a - Sheba but a Seba: so that that Saba might refer to this latter rather than to the former. We have then no fure footing, but all that we are able to say is this, that there was a Nation of this Name in some very distant part of the World in a Southerly Position from Judea: but we have no Geographer to acquaint us what particular Region it was, and what the Name of it is at this Day; and consequently we cannot determine the Place whence that Brave Woman came. What the aforesaid | Jewish Historian observ'd hath great Truth in it, that the Names of Nations have been chang'd by new Comers, who with new Manners brought a Language of a resembling Quality, and alter'd the former Names of Places,

This we find true in another Instance, viz. Opbir, the Place that King Solomon's Navy went to, and from whence they furnish'd him with Plenty of Gold, 1 Kings 9. 26, &c. ch. 10. 11. But in what part of the Earth this Ophir was is hotly disputed. Some say it was in that Region of it which we now call America. They think that the Phanicians or Tyrians, (for 'tis faid that Hiram, the King of Tyre, fent in the Navy his Servants, Shipmen, that had Knowledg of the Sea, with the Servants of Solomon, I Kings 9. 27.) they think, I say, that these Tyrians (who were famous for their Skill in Navi-

gation)

<sup>\*</sup> Lib. 16. + Virgil. Georg. 1, & 2. || 'Ex 7 meginu & yns. Mit. 12. 42. (') Antiqu. l. 2. c. 3. | Ludolph. Hist. Echiop.

<sup>\*</sup> Nat., Hist. 1. 6. c. 29. | Pfal. 72, 10. | Antiqu. h 1. c.6.

382 of the Stile gation) fail'd to those semote Parts in Solomon's time, palling through the Mediterranean to this Ophir: which some imagine to have been in the Pheisick Sed in the Southern Part of America, for there is an Mand in that Sea, which the Spaniards call'd the I/le of Solomon, because they thought that was the Place which Solomon's Ships were fent to for Gold. \* Arias Montania; and fome others, are perswaded that Ophir is the same with Peru: and indeed there are the fame Radical Letters in both, only with a Metathelis. And from Peru is the dual Panvajim, 2 Chron. 3.6. as the foresaid Author thinks; which is a very Ingenious and Learned Conjecture, but is entertained but by few. because 'ris thought that Columbus was the first that found out the Western World. But whether that betrue or no, it is not probable that they had Skill enough in Solomon's Days to conduct a Navy to the West-Indies. Navigation was not so perfect at that time, that they could find a fafe Passage thither. Hercules's Pillars (which are now the Cape of Good Hope) were faid to be the Limits of their Maritime

Travels. Before the Use of the Compass it was

impossible to havigate cross the Ocean; and confequently Solomon's Mariners could not find Peru. which is in America. Besides, some think that the Quality of some of the Commodities, viz. Wood and Ivery, which were brought home in the Ships,

argues that they came not from that Western Quarfer of the World. Again, 'tis added by fome, that if solomon had fent for Gold to the Well-Indies, he would have fet out his Fleet for that Voyage from some Port of the Mediterranean, and not of

the Red Sed, as we read he did, I Kings 9. 26.

Indies: Ophir was do call'd from Ophir the Son of Jelkim, Gen. 10. 29. who, as \* Josephus Hith, Inhabited in the East. Wherefore it is likely (faith this sewish Antiquary) that solomon's Fleet and to these Parts, and particularly to the Golden Chirsonife, and other Golden Regions there. It is an Island in the East-Indies, faith & Bothart, which is named Zeilan. But others of lave are inclined to believe that it is that Island or Mands in this Bastern Part of the World, which are call'd the Moluccas; but the Reasons which they alledg have no Cogency in them. || Kircher is more general, and avers, that Ophir was India; for this is not, he faith, an Hebrew (as hath been thought) but an Egyptick or Captick Word, and among the Egyptians of old was the Name for India: But we have only his Word for this, and no more. Others hold it to be neither in the East nor West-Indies, but in Africk, which feems to me to be the most probable Perswasion. Ortelius and Purchas are of

this Opinion, and they fay it is an Island in the Ethiopiok Sea, and is at this day call'd Sophala: But they might as well have assign'd any other Place and Name in this Country, if they had pleased, for here is no firm Ground to go upon; we have no Chard to direct us. Ophir is a Name not known to any Geographers: no Pagan Writers make mention of it. This happens because several Places have chang'd their Names, they are not the same now that they were heretofore. And how is it possible then that we should arrive to a certain Knowledg of them? And what though we do not? There is no reason why we should be troubled at it,

<sup>\*</sup> Antiqu. 1. 8. c. 2. † In Phaleg. || China illustrata.

In Phaleg.

of the Holy Scriptures.

much less that we should be displeased with the Bible Yea, rather we may make use of this to commend the Holy Writings, for this is an undeniable Argument of the unparallell'd Antiquity of them, (as fath been suggested before) and of their Transcendent Worth and Excellency, in that they record those Names of Places as well as Things which other Writers say nothing at all of.

To these Instances I will add one more, the Mountains of Ararat, Gen. 8. 4. on which the Ark rested. They are not mentioned under this Name by any Heathen Authors; and thence it is difficult to give an Account of them, i.e. to know where they were, and confequently where the Ark landed. The Hills of Armenia the Greater, call'd the Gordican Hills, are meant, fay Josephus, St. Jerom, Bochart, Grotius: and before these Berosus held the fame. But there is another Opinion maintain'd by Goropius Becanus, Sir W. Raleigh, and Dr. Heylin, viz. that the Ark rested on Mount Taurus, but especially on the Top of Mount Caucasus (which is a Part of it) in the Confines of Tartaria, Persia, and India; which they think they prove from Gen. 11.2. where we read that they who enter'd into the Valley of Shinar came from the East, i. e. from those Parts of Asia, on the South of Caucasus, which lie East from Shinar. And this is thought to be a sufficient Confutation of the former Opinion, for it is impossible they should come from the Mountains of Armenia, the Gordizan Mountains, because those lie not only full North of Shinar, but many Degrees unto the West. This Caucasus was part of the Mountain Taurus, the biggest Mountain rain in the World; or rather (as hath been faid in another Place before) a continual Ridg of Mountains croffing all Asia from East to West, and diand the man bed that the season is prividing

vidingitas the Equator doth the Globe, into North and South. So that in short, Ararat, which according to these Authors is the Scripture-word for Taurus, is no more One Mountain than any one Hill among those that divide Italy from France is call'd the Alpes, or any one of those that part France from Spain is the Pyrenaan. But as thefe, being Continuations of many Hills, keep one Name in divers Countries, fo all that long Ledg of Mountains (which Pliny calls by one Name Taurus) are of one general Name, and are call'd the Mountains of Ararat. These are the two different Opinions of the Learned about this Matter; but it is my Perswasion that a Man may easily compromise them: For, according to that Description which Sir. W. Raleigh gives us of this great Multiplicity of Hills, the Gordiean ones may be taken in among those that make up Taurus, for this Learned Knight himfelf acknowledgeth that these Mountains (which go through so many diverse Countries and King. doms) feem to take their Rife from Armenia, or thereabouts. So that it is probable the Gordinan Hills, and those of Taurus, are to be reckon'd together. Thus we may moderate between these Dissenting Writers: but when all is done, juis impossible to define exactly what Mountains are meant by those of Ararat. This only is unqualition nable that they were in the East; but as so their particular Situation, and whether they answer to the Gordinan Hills, or to Caucasus, we are wholly ignorant. And there is no Remedy for it, because Arayar is not a Name that we can find in any other Authors; and we are not certain that any other Denominations in Pagan Writers refer to it! Thus it must needs be, seeing the old Names of several Places are extinct, and others are introduced: fo' .

Ge and moins that

that on that Account we can't expect to know fome of those Places that are mention'd in Scripture. Nor is it necessary that we should, for it is a Matter of small Moment, and not worth the contending about.

Some dream of a great Geographical Difficulty in the word Tarshish, so often used in the Old Testament; but there is no reason for it, because it plainly refers to a known Place, and fuch as is exprefly mention'd by Lucan and other Writers, belides those of the Bible, and that is Tarsus in Cilivia. The Sea which washed the Shores of this Cilicia, had its Name from this Metropolis of it, viz. Tarfus, and was usually called Tarshish. This is the first and more restrained Acception of the Word in the Old Testament, where we read that Solomon had a Navy of Tarshish, 1 Kings 10.22. i. e. a Navy that frequented the Mediterranean or African Sea, especially that part of it which was near Cilicia, and was for noted for its Merchandizing. Thus when 'tis Taid that Solomon's Ships went to Tarshish. 2 Chron. 8.21. \* Josephus interprets it that they went to the Mediterranean Sea. where they traffick'd, and for the Goods they exported brought Gold and Silver, Oc. But we are to obferve that it is faid there, the King's Ships went to Tarshist with the Servants of Hiram, i.e. as I conceive, they went to those Ports which the Twian Navy (with whom they were to go to Ophir) tel forted to, and those were in the Mediterranean. And that the Ships of Tarshish belong properly to Tyrow lemanifell from T/a. 231 111 otherwise Primit wishing Tariffilh could not be part of the Burdenior Doom of thet place as we find quisson so in mult needs be, feeing the old stimes of feveral Places are ediad, and others are sure inced: 60 Jan Antiqu. 1. 8. c. 2. 2. 3

the Kings of Tarshish, Pfal. 72. 10. are those Kings properly that were seated upon the Mediterrane. an, especially that Part which was over against Tarsus, or Cilicia, which it washed. Secondly, the word is taken more largely for the Sea in general; for the African or Mediterranean Sea being the only Noted Sea to the Hebrews, they call'd all other Seas, and the Ocean it felf, Tarsis, as the Latins call any Sea Pontus, though that word be proper only to one particular Sea. Thus Tarshish is used in Psale 48.7. thou breakest the Ships of Tarshish, (i. e. of the Sea) with an East Wind; and in Isa. 2. 12, 16. The Day of the Lord of Hosts shall be upon all the Ships of Tarshish, Dalásous, according to the Seventy, And in several other Places it hath this large Signiz fication; but not in Jon. 1. 3. (as is faid by many Interpreters) for Tarshish here is the City call'd Tarfus, a noted Place in Cilicia. Though Tarshiff. be a common Word in Scripture to fignify the Sea, (as hath been faid) yet here we must take it in the most restrained Sense of all, we must understand by it the Town of that Name; and from whence the Sea, but more particularly the Phoenician and . Tyrian Sea, had the Denomination of Tarshish. The Reason which I give of this Interpretation is this, because in that Place of Jonab, Tarshish and the Seq are expresly distinguish'd, and that not once. but often, as you may fatisfy your selves by perusing ver. 3. and the rest that follow in that Chapter, where you will fee that Hajam the Sca, is mentioned nine or ten times in distinction from Tarshish: whence I gather that this latter Word is not to be translated the Sea, but that it denotes that known City Tarsus of Cilicia. To this Place the Timerous Prophet had a mind to flee, because it was fufficiently remote, and also because there

of the Holy Scriptures.

was a safe Harbour to put into. There need not then be any Dispute about the word Tarshish, for where-ever it is used in Scripture (except in this Place last mentioned) it signifies either the Sea in general, or more especially the Phænician or African Sea, which the Tyrian Merchants were most wfed to: but it hath its Name from Tarsus or Tarfis, near to which was the most famous Port of all the East Country, from whence they took Ship for Africa and India, and the most remote Parts of the World.

To close up all the Geographical Scruples, I will only adjoin this concerning the mentioning of East and West in the Old Testament, that these are generally to be understood according to the Situation of Judea, more especially Jerusalem, and as the Places spoken of had respect to these; but in the Prophecy of Ezekiel it is for the most part otherwife, because Ezekiel writ in Babylon; and thence it is that East and West are contrary here to what they are in other Prophets. This I thought fit to add to prevent Cavils against the Sacred Writ.

I might in the next place take notice of the different Meanings which arise from the Relation which certain Words in some Texts have to the neighhouring Verses. Thus it is said, the Pour Shall never cease out of the Land, Deut. 15. 11. yet it is implied, if not expressed, ver. 4. that there shall be no Poor among them. But the Answer is, that this 4th Verse refers to the releasing and forgiving their Debtors, ver. 2, 3. They must not by their exacting make their Neighbours poor; yea, they raust do what lies in their power, that there may be no Poor: but as to the Event, there will be Poor, and always shall be.

Their Strength is to sit still, faith the Prophet, Ifa. 30. 7. which [their] if you refer to the Egyptians who are named in that Verfe, then the Sende is, Their Strength, their Aid, their Affistance is to no purpose; they had as good six still as help the Jews. But if you refer their to the Jews, then the Interpretation is this, The Jews had best to se ftill in their own Land, and not to require Aid from Egypt, for it shall not prosper. This is the true Sense of the Words, because their Proper Reference is to the Jewish People; which we are sure of, because these are the subject Matter of this Part of the Chapter. The right fixing of the Relation of the Words, especially of the Pronoun their, leads us to the true meaning of the Place. And this is put out of all Controversy by ver. 15. In Roturning and Rest ye shall be saved; in Quietness and Confidence shall be your Strength: which is a plain Comment on the former Words, and shews that we have pitch'd upon the true Reference.

I interpret those Words in Heb. 12.24. The Blood of Sprinkling, which speaks better things than that of Abel, by observing what they particularly refer to, viz. ver. 4. of the foregoing Chapter, By it be being dead yet speaketh. I conceive that this Speaking is referred to by the Apostle in the other Place; and so by searching into the true Meaning of Aa-Adda, he speaks, we shall be able to arrive to the true Sense of the other. This Word hath been variously interpreted; for Grotius seems to think it is meant, that he (i. e. Abel) Speaks in the Book of Genesis, which speaks of him. But this is very dilute, because the Apostle mentions not here what Book speaks of Abel, but by what he himself speaks, viz. his Faith. Others say his Faith and Righteonline's speak, i. e. call to us to imitate and practile.

them:

them: but this might have been faid of any of the other Worthies mentioned in this Chapter, and therefore is not peculiar to Abel alone. Others take the word in a Passive Sense, and expound it, he is yet spoken of, his eminent Faith and Innocency are to this Day (as in several Generations before) spoken of, celebrated, praised, remembred with Horlour. But this (as well as the former) is common to all the other Holy Patriarchs and Worthy Saints named in this Chapter, and therefore this doth not reach that particular and proper Meaning of the Place. Much less doth that odd Exposition of Sir N. Knatchbull, who reads it thus, For it (i.e. his Faith) he is yet faid to be dead; for he will have Evan to be inserted after am Davav, and then the meaning is, As Abel suffered at first for his Faith, To he is still to this Day said to have died for his Faith. But besides the needless inserting of eval, and thereby making very bald Greek, he joins in Construction N' auths with and Davar, whereas according to the usual way of Trajection (which he so often takes notice of in other Places, though he overlooks it here) it belongs to λαλέται, and fo the Words are to run thus, By it be, though be be dead, yet speaks; that is, because of his Faith and Holiness he yet speaks aloud, or cries unto God for Vengeance against his Brother Cain, who inhumanly murder'd him; for he barbaroufly and maliciously took away his Life, because he was a faithful and righteous Person. Thus I interpret the Words, because I discern that the Apostle alludes to Gen. 4. 10. The Voice of thy Brother's Blood crieth

unto me from the Ground. Wherefore when he

faith, Abel yet speaketh, it is as much as if he had

faid, bis Blood speaketh or crieth. It spake long

ago, and it yet speaks, like the Souls under the Al-

of the Holy Scriptures. tar, Rev. 6. 10. How long, O Lord, boly and true, dost thou not judg and avenge our Blood? Now by this Text I expound that other in Heb. 12. 24. The Blood of Sprinkling that speaketh better things than Abel, for fo it is in the Greek. The Apostle having said before, [Abel yet speaks] i. e. the Voice of his Blood speaketh or crieth to Heaven for Vengeance; he here with particular reference to that Passage affures us, that Christ's Blood speaks better things than Abel, or than the Blood of Abel, which was flied by his Brother: for whereas that spoke and cried for Punishment, this pleads for Mercy and Pardon. Christ's Oblation of himself on the Cross, by the Effusion of his Blood, speaks better things, doth more atone and appeale the Wrath of God than the Blood of Abel (who was spitefully murder'd) did incense and provoke it. Thus this is a good way of interpreting Scripture sometimes, by comparing one Text with another, and observing their mutual Relation. Many obscure and less intelligible Pasfages are clear'd by this Means.

I will content my felf with mentioning one Place more, viz. Mat. 24. 34. This Generation shall not pass till all these things be fulfilled: Which remarkable Words of our Saviour may feem to have been mistaken by those Interpreters that I have met with, and merely because they have not minded the Reference of the Words. Some have taken this. Generation for the Generation then in being in our Saviour's time; and for they apprehend him to speak of something that was foon after to be accomplish'd, not unlike some of St. John's Visions which? \* were to come to pass in a short time; and consequently that those Signs of his Coming, which he had room of the solo Solo Solo Solo Solo Solo Solo and phino Word to built and 1 Rev. 1. 1.

foretold in that Chapter were to be every Day expected; and if they were meant of his General and Rinal Coming, then that the Overthrow of year rusalem, and of the World, should be about the same time. And that same of the Signs mentioned by Christ are to be understood properly and peculiarly of the Jewish Nation, seems to be clear from that one Passage in ver. 20. Bray that your Flight be not on the Sabbaib day; which intimates that the Jewish Reople were particularly concern'd, who folemnly observed that Day. By this Generation then they understand the present Generation of the Jews which was at that time: and to confirm this, they observe that this Generation is applied by Christ to the Jews of that Age, Mark 8. 12. The Meaning then of [this Generation shall not pass, &c.] according to this Acception of the Word, is this, that whilst the Jews then living were upon the Earth, those things which our Saviour had foretold in that Chapter, yea all those things should be fulfill'd. This were a very good interpretation of the Words, if the thing it felf could be proved, that is, that within so short a time all those Predictions of Christ were accomplished. But the contrary is wery evident, for the Chapter speaks of the Day of Judgment as well as of the Devastation of Jerusalom; wherefore all the things that our Lord spoke of were not fulfilled within the Compass of that Generation, and confiquently that Period of Time could not be means when it is faid, This Generation Shall, &c. Secondly, therefore others who are fensible of the invalidity of this interpretation, understand this Generation in a wider and larger Sonfe, and think that by it is meant the Evangelical Dispensation, the whole Series of Time from Sur Saviour's Days to the End of the World, the it it wall final

final Upshot of all things. And this is a good Exposition of the Text, and salves the Sense very well; only there is no Proof that yeved fignifies the last Age of the World. This Period of Time is call'd by \* St. John, the last time; by f St. Peter, the End of all things; and by | St. Paul, the Ends of the World; but I do not find that it is any where stilled this Generation; therefore I do not see any good Ground to apply it here in that manner, as some Expositors have done: Wherefore I will offer another Interpretation, which I hope will not be unacceptable to the Learned; though I confess I do not expect it should be presently received, because it is wholly new and unheard of. But let Impartial Minds judg of it, who will not fuffer the mere Novelty of an Exposition to hinder their imbracing of it. reved is as much as Hiveous, the Generation of the Heaven and Earth, the Whole Creation of the World, this vast Mundane Fabrick: So St. James uses the word yevens, ch. 3. 6. making it the same with urlos in Rom. 8. 19, 22. And even among Profane Authors yeven hath sometimes the like Acception, and is rendred Seculum, the World. This shall not pass, i.e. be destroyed, till all these things be fulfilled: as if our Saviour had faid, The World shall continue as it hath hitherto done, till all these things which I have foretold, but especially these concerning my Last Coming, be accomplished; but immediately after the fulfilling of them, this Generation of the Heavens and Earth, this Frame of the World shall be set on Fire, shall be confirmed. And that yeved hath this Signification here, i. c. that it imports this System of Heaven and Earth, I gather from the Reference of this Verse

<sup>\* 1</sup> John 2, 18, † 1 Pet. 4. 7. | 1 Cor. 10. 11.

Verse to the immediately ensuing one, Heaven and Earth Shall pass away, but my Words shall not pass away: where you see juved is explained by is sea-vos it in in; This Generation of Heaven and Earth shall pass away, but my Words (my Predictions concerning future things, which you have just now heard from my Mouth) shall not pass away. Which is as much as if he had faid, This great Structure of the World shall perish at last, Heaven, and Earth shall be destroyed by a general Conflagration; but new Heavens and a new Earth shall arise in their room, which shall be a Building not made with Hands, not of perishing Materials, but such as shall last eternally. And of this Nature is my Word and Promise, such are all my Predictions, and particularly this of the Signs of my Coming, it shall never be null'd and abolish'd. The applying of the words shall pass to the Heavens and Earth immediately after, shews that Christ means by this Generation the whole World, expressed by Heaven and Earth. You see then how sitly 'tis said here, Heaven and Earth shall pass away, it referring to this Generation's passing away, viz. at the End of the World, the final Close of all things, when there shall be new Heavens and a new Earth, as \* St. Peter informs us. Our Saviour here fignifies the Time when the things he spoke of last (ver. 24, 25.) shall be accomplished. When this Generation, this present Creation of things shall be dissolved, then and not before all thefe things shall be fulfilled: Then shall be verified all those things which were said concerning the Dissolution of the World; yea, all the things mentioned in this Chapter: For we must know, that even the Predictions concerning the Destruction of

2 Epift. ch, 3. y. 13.

Jerusalem, shall be most signally fulfilled in the sinal Dissolution of Heaven and Earth, because that was deligned to be a Type and Representation of this. And as for the word this, which is join'd with Generation, if any cavilat it, I can prove out of \* abundance of Texts, that it is sometimes of the same Import with the: and so you might read it the Generation, viz. of the Heavens and Earth. But here, as I conceive, it is an Emphatical Word, and refers to the things spoken of before, viz. the Sun, Moon, Stars, Earth and Sea, ver. 29. of this Chapter: and Luke 21. 26. which further confirms the Acception of this Word which I propound, viz. that it is meant of the Works of the Creation, Or perhaps our Saviour did cast his Eyes about, and intentively beheld the Heavens and the Earth, and then pronounced these Words, This Generation, this Fabrick of the World which I now behold, and all the Works in it, shall not be dissolv'd till that very time, when these my Predictions shall be verified. The fulfilling of my Words, and the Period of all things, shall happen at the same time. And lastly, I will not conceal my Conjecture that these Words of Christ refer to what he had said in his Excellent Sermon on the Mount; and if fo, then this Interpretation which I have offered, will be thereby exceedingly confirmed. His Words there are these, Verily I say unto you, till Heaven and Earth pass, one fot or one Tittle Shall in no wise pass from the Lam, till all be fulfilled, Mat. 5. 18. And his Words here run thus, Verily I say unto you, this Generation shall not pass till all these things be fulfilled: Hea-

<sup>\*</sup> Mat. 26. 13. this Gospel: Acis 9. 20. this Life: and other Places. So that, Mat. 7. 22. 1 John 3. 12: and thefe, 1 Cor. 12. 2. 2 Tim. 1. 12. are prefixed, where there is no reference to any

396

Heaven and Earth shall pass away, but my Words shall not pass away. By comparing which Texts it plainly appears, that there is the same Air and Aspect in them, the same Stile and Mode of Expression are used, so that we are hereby invited to expound one by the other. First, they begin with the same solemn Preface, Verily I say unto you. Secondly, the Verb magelexedui is used in both Places in the very fame Signification, (for as Grotius observes on Mat. 5. 18. παρέρχεωθαι is as much as interire, perire; and so it is here, it signifies to be destroyed, to perish, to be anull'd). Thirdly, that confiderable Passage, έως αν πάνια χάννιαι, till all be fulfilled, is in both Places, and refers to the very fame things, viz, the Law, or Words, or Predictions of our Saviour. what he had faid, or what had been faid of him. And, Fourthly, the Works of the Creation, the Fabrick and System of the World, are spoken of in both Places, though under different Expressions; for in the former they are stilled Heaven and Earth in the latter the Generation. But that they are of the fame Import, and express to us the same thing, is clear from this, that maperxeadar is exactly applied to both: for though we make some little Difference in our English Translation, rendring the Greek Word by passing in one Verse, and passing away in the other, yet the Verb it felf is the same in the Original, and accordingly should (if we would be accurate) be rendred alike in both Verles. Whence it appears that the Generations passing, and the Heavens and Earth passing, are Synonymous, which is the thing I aim'd at, and which puts a Key into our Hand to open these Words, viz. that this Generation in ver. 34. is the same with Heaven and Earth in very 34, and that their passing is the very fame. If it be faid that this Generation, in forme

some other Place, is applied to that present Age and People of the Jews, and therefore it must be so understood here; the Consequence must be denied, and that with very good Reason, for (besides what hath been faid already) in feveral Places we find that the same Words and Expressions are not used and applied after the same manner, and to the same purpose. He is a Stranger to the Bible, and particularly the New Testament, who knows not this. And therefore from the Identity of Words we cannot necessarily infer that the same thing is intended. But we are to examine the Ambiguity of Expressions, and to apply them as we see occasion. This we must do here, and if we have Respect to the Context, (as we ought to have) we shall apply this Generation after the aforesaid manner. And indeed the Connection of these two Verses was that which led me first to this Interpretation, for the mention of Heaven and Earth passing, in this latter Verse, fuggested to my Thoughts, that it had some Cognation with the like Expressions in the foregoing Verse; which, upon farther Examination, I found to be so indeed. Christ proceeds in ver. 35. to speak of Heaven and Earth passing, because he had in ver. 34. been speaking of the same thing; which gives us Assurance of what I propounded, that this Generation's passing, and Heaven and Earth's passing, are exegetical of each other. This is the Expolition which I give of this Place, and I submit it to the Censure of the Considerate and Judicious. This I only fay, that as tis a fair Construction, and contains nothing inconsistent in it, so I deem it to be the best Solution whereby we can cleverly avoid the Quarrels of Expositors about the Words, especially about the Meaning of is yeved auth, which (as several other Places of Scripture) is misunderstood,

flood, because the due Reference of the Words is not attended to.

## CHAP. XIII.

Chronological Difficulties fully reconciled, as Gen. 15. 13. Thy Seed shall be a Stranger in a Land that is not theirs, and shall serve them, and they shall afflict them four hundred Years. Nay. thirty Years are added to this reckoning in Exod. 12. 40. whereas'tis confess'd by all, that the Israelites Bondage in Egypt did not last above two hundred and fifteen Years. It is said, Acts 13.20. After that he gave them Judges about the Space of four hundred and fifty Years, until Samuel the Prophet: Tet, according to the usual Computation, there were but three hundred and thirty nine Tears from the first Judy till Samuel, God gave unto them Saul by the Space of forty Years. Acts 13. 21. yet no Man thinks that he reigned so long. This falves many Chronological Difficulties, that the Kings of Israel often made their Sons Kings. in their own Reign. Other Doubts in Chronology are cleared by Interregnums, by omitting the Years of Bad Kings, and of the Years of Oppression, Captivity, and Anarchy. The Difficulties in our Saviour's Genealogy in Mat. 1. & Luke 3. resolved. viz. how it can be said, Ozias begat Joatham, A Scruple about the three Tesseradecads, Another about Jechonias's begetting Salathiel. How Cainan came to be inserted, How either of the Genealogies in St. Mark or St, Luke can be faid to be Christ's, when they both give an Account of Joseph's Pedigree. How one may be said to be Joseph's, and the other Mary's Genealogy. How Joseph can be the Son of Jacob

Jacob and of Heli. Several Occasions (besides what have been mention'd before) of the Difficulties in Scripture, viz. it was writ by Different Persons: It refers to Antient Practices now almost unknown or forgot, (where the Author's Conjecture about the μεμβεσίναι in 2 Tim. 4. 13. is propounded). The Hebrew Text especially hath some things proper to it felf, which render it obscure in some Places. It is the way of the Hebrews to express things briefly, concifely, abruptly. Their peculiar Idiom admits not of an exact Translation. Order and Time are not always observed. The Abstrusity of Scripture in some Places is an Argument of its Worth and Excellency.

T Will now, according to my propounded Me-I thod, speak of those Difficulties which arise from the Duration of Time wherein such and such things were done, or came to pass. The first Chronological Doubt which I shall mention is that in Gen. 15.13. Thy Seed shall be a Stranger in a Land that is not theirs, and shall serve them, and they shall afflict them four hundred Years. So long the Ifraelites were to serve the Egyptians, and be afflicted by them: Which is confirmed in ver. 16. In the fourth Generation they shall come hither again, that is, after four hundred Years (mentioned before) the Israelites shall be delivered from their Slavery in Egypt, and shall return to Canaan. But it is well known and confess'd by all Men, that the Israelites were not in Egypt so long a time, and consequently did not ferve them, nor were afflicted by them fo many years. It is generally acknowledged that their Bondage in Egypt did not last above two hundred and to Year at most: And so the \*Jewish Historian him-

<sup>#</sup> Antiqu. 1. 2. c. 6,

himself computes it. Here then seems to be a great Mistake as to Time: But really there is none, but those rather who think the forementioned Words are spoken wholly of the Time of the Israelites Servitude in Egypt are mistaken, which we shall the better apprehend if we take notice of the Text as it is quoted by St. Stephen in Alls 7, 6, God Spake in this wife that his Seed should sojourn in a strange Land, and that they should bring them into Bondage, and intreat them evilly four hundred Years. The four hundred Years, as you may observe, refer not only to the latter but the former part of the Verse, viz. to the sojourning in a strange Land, which may be applied to Canaan as well as Egypt: fo that this Term of four hundred Years includes all the Time from Abraham's leaving his own Country till the Departure out of Egypt. In all this space of Time Abraham's Seed were Sojourners and Pilgrims, were evilly intreated, and fuffer'd Bondage and Perfecution. But the Difficulty is renewed by what we meet with in Exod. 12. 40. The fojourning of the Children of Israel, who dwelt in Egypt (the last eminent Place of their fojourning) was four bundred and thirty Years. Here are thirty Years added to the former Reckoning: how shall we reconcile this? Very well, for this latter Account is precise and exact, but the former was not, which is no unufual thing in Holy Scripture, as well as in other Good Writers. The Years are not always precifely fet down, the odd and lesser Numbers are omitted, and the great round Number only is mentioned. Thus in the forenamed Places the round Number of four hundred is put for four hundred and thirty, which latter is the whole time of the fojourning both of Abraham and his Seed in Canaan. • and afterwards of their Posterity in Egypt. This · Exact

Exact Number is mention'd by the Apostle, whose Words will give us farther Light into this Computation; The Covenant, faith he, that was confirmed before of God in Christ, the Law (which was four hundred and thirty Years after.) cannot disanul. It is certain that the Covenant he here speaks of, is that Covenant which God made with Abraham, (as the preceding Verses shew) and the Law is that Body of Moral Precepts and Prohibitions which was given on Mount Sinai, in the very Year of the Ifraelites coming out of Egypt. Hence we plainly discover the beginning and ending of the four hundred and thirty Years: they began when Abraham left his own Country, (for then God entred into Covenant with him) and they ended when the Israelites left Egypt, and thereupon received the Law from Moses on the Mount. Though it be true then that the Israelites Servitude in Egypt was not above two hundred and odd Years, yet the full time of their whole Peregrination was four hundred and thirty; which is to be reckon'd from the Calling of Abraham, and his coming out of Ur, until the Israelites leaving of Egypt under the Conduct of Moses. This is the full and exact Account, and the other beforementioned fell short of it, because the odd Numbers were omitted, as is frequent among the belt Writers. And indeed a great many Difficulties in Chronology are answered by this, that a Great or Round Number is oftentimes used in Scripture for an Odd or Imperfect one, though it be more Exact: Thus it is threatned and foretold in Numb. 14. 33. that the Murmuring Israelites should wander in the Wilderness forty Tears: yet if you compare Numbi 33.3. with Josh.4.19. you will fee that some Days, if not Weeks, were wanting to make up the Number: But because forty Years was a round and coni-

Ðď

pleat

pleat Number; and because in so many Years a few Days were inconsiderable, therefore Moses delivers it in this manner. The like you may observe in Judg. 11. 26. where you read that the Israelites dwelt in the Land of the Amorites three bundred Years; whereas from the first time that the Israelites began to enter upon the Land of Canaan (when Joshua was made their Leader) to Jephthali's Reign, there were not above two hundred threefcore and seven Years. But because the other was a Round Number, and becauses tiswas nearer to three hundred than to two hundred, it is thus express'd. And other Instances of this fort might be produced, (which I now wave) wherein the lesser and more imperfect Numbers are omitted; respecially in very Great Sums the small Number is neglected, and comes not under any Account.

There is another difficult Passage in Chronology, and that respects the time of the Judges, Alts 13. 20. After that he gave them Judges about the space of four bundred and fifty Years, until Samuel the Prophet: yet, according to the usual Computation, it is generally faid, that there were but three hundred and thirty nine Years from Othniel the first Judg, till the beginning of Samuel's Government, who was the last: So that hence it appears there are above a hundred Years too much in that Account. Beza and some others lay the Fault on the Amanuensis, telling us, that Aseamoois is written instead of Telancolois, four hundred for three hundred. But this Expedient for taking away the Difficulty is not to be approved of, and I have given the Reason of it before. Some think to help it by infifting on the Particle as in the Words, which signifies about, or as it were, and so implies a Latitude in the Chronology. It is true, this shews that the time is not

punctually determined here: but then any Man may see that the vast Difference between four hundred and fifty, and three hundred thirty nine Years, is not decided by this. Grotius on this Place tells us, that the four hundred and fifty Years began from the going out of Egypt, and ended at the time when David expell'd the Jebusites out of Sion; for fo long it was before the Jews were fettled in that Seat which God designed for them. But this doth not in the least clear the Doubt, for St. Stephen's Words are, After that, i. e. after the seven Nations were destroyed, and the Land was divided by Lot to the Israelites, as you read in the foregoing Verse. Meτα Ταῦτα, after these things he gave them Judges about the space of, &c. Therefore the Calculation cannot commence from the going out of Egypt. \* Others, though of very great Learning, are yet more extravagant, for they refer these Words to those in ver. 17. of this Chapter, The God of this People of Israel chose our Fathers; which was about the Birth of Isaac, in whose Family the Covenant was to rest. And they proceed to compute thus; from Isaac's Birth to that of Jacob fixty Years; from thence to their going into Egypt one hundred and thirty Years; from thence to their coming out two hundred and ten; from thence to their Entrance into the Land of Canaan forty; and from thence to the Division of the Land, and settling the Government by Judges, seven Years: in all four hundred and forty feven. But besides that this falls short three Years of the intended Number, viz. four hundred and fifty, this also is to be faid, that it is nothing to the purpose, for the Text we are treating of speaks of the Time of the Dd 2 Judges;

\* Usher, Knatchbull.

Judges; but these Interpreters run back as far as Isaac's Birth, which was above four hundred Years before there were any Judges.

In the next Place therefore, This and only this can reconcile the Difference, viz. that the Apostle follows the Ordinary and Vulgar Accompt in use among the Jewish People, who made the Number of Years from the coming out of Egypt till the building of the Temple to be about an hundred and twelve more than is express'd in 1 Kings 6, 1. as appears from Josephus, who makes the Distance between the one and the other to be five hundred and ninety two Years; the which Enlarging of the Accompt arose from their computing the Years of the Oppressions of Israel between the times of the Judges, as distinct from the Years which are allowed to each particular Judg. The Apostle, who intended not Accuracy in Chronology, but spoke as the Vulgar, follows this Computation; and by putting the Sum of both these together, viz. the Years under the Judges and under the Oppressors. he makes up the just Number of four hundred and fifty Years; particularly thus, he joins with the three hundred and thirty nine Years of the thirteen Judges, one hundred and eleven Years in which the Israelites were in Trouble and Servitude under several Enemies; which Years are numbred in the History by themselves, Judg. 3.8. & 3. 14. & 4. 3. & 6. 1. & 10. 8. & 13. 1. Now these being added to, or included in three hundred and thirty nine Years of the Judges, make up exactly four hundred and lifty Years.

As to the forty Years Reign of Saul, which is mentioned by the Apostle in the next Verse, Ass 13.

21. God gave unto them Saul by the space of forty Years, it may feem very hard to reconcile it with 1 Sam.

1 Sam. 13.1. where Saul's Reign feems to be terminated within three Years; some think within two Years. I answer, that Place is misunderstood, Saul reigned one Year, and when he had reigned two Years over Israel, he chose him three thousand Men, &c. Here is not assigned the full Term of Years in which Saul reigned; but all that is meant is this, that at that time when the Thunder in Harvest happened, (of which you read in the preceding Chapter, v. 18. and to which the beginning of this Chapter refers) Saul had been King one Year, namely, since his first anointing by Samuel, to his second anointing; and that when he had reign'd another Year, or two Years more; he chose him those three thoufand Men to be his Guard. This is all that can be gather'd from those Words, and therefore none can infer thence that Saul reigned but three Years in all. But still the greatest part of the Dissiculty remains; for though Saul reigned more than three Years, yet it is impossible he should have reigned forty, which is the Space of time that the Apostle here assigneth him: for if he was King so long, it would certainly follow that there were almost five hundred Years from the Departure out of Egypt to the building of Solomon's Temple: neither could Saul be a young Man when he was elected King (as we read he was:) nay, it would follow that David was not born at that time when he is faid to have vanquish'd Goliah: and other such Consequences might be drawn thence. How then did Saul reign forty Years? I answer, this may truly be said, because with Saul's Government Samuel's also is computed in this Place of the Apostle. How this forty Years is to be divided betwixt them is not agreed. \* Josephus comes pretty near to the space Dd 3..... ot

\* Antiq. Jud. 1. 6. c. 15.

Father Jehofaphat; for Jehoram reign'd as Vice-roy

in his Father's time, or he reigned with his Father,

and so his Father's Years and his are reckoned too.

But when, upon the Death of his Father, he came

to reign alone, then 'tis faid, Jehoram his Son reign-

ed in his stead, 2 Chron. 21. 1. So Jotham reigned

fixteen Years, 2 Kings 15.33. yet mention was

made before of his twentieth Year, ver. 30. which

we reconcile thus, Jotham reigned alone fixteen

Years only, but with his Father Ozziah (who was

of Time mentioned here, afferting that Saul reigned eighteen Years with Samuel, and twenry Years afterwards. An \* Ingenious Man of late hath fo adjusted the time, that he concludes Saul to have reigned ten Years of this forty, and he allows the remaining thirty for the Government of Samuel. Others make up the forty Years between them in another manner. But all is Conjecture, and we know nothing certainly here. This only we may rest in as a very great Probability, that the times both of Samuel and Saul's Government are joined together in these forty Years. Samuel was Judg of Israel, and being set over them by God, was their rightful Governour. They had no Authority to depose him, and to choose a King in his room, and therefore Samuel might be look'd upon as their True and Lawful Governour as long as he lived. Yet this time of his Rule is made here a part of Saul's Reign, because he was forced at last to anoint him King, and because he suffered his own Government to be swallowed of his. Hence it is that the forty Years assigned to him by St. Paul do include Samuel's Judicature, that is, Samuel and Saul reigned forty Years together.

This also will falve many Chronological Differences, that the Kings of Ifrael did often make their Sons Kings in their own Reign, to fettle them in the Kingdom before their Death; and fo the time of the Reign is sometimes set down as it respects the Father only, sometimes as it respects the Son, and sometimes as it includes both. Jehoram is faid to have reigned eight Years in Jerusalem, 2 Kings 8. 17. but by Collection out of the Text it is clear that either feven of those eight Years, or

tion of some Kings Reigns by Interregnums or Va-

\* Mr. Abraham Cowley in his Davideis.

the Sons are made Kings with their Fathers, and the Years of their Joint Reign are put together: At other times they are spoken of as ruling separately; and hence it comes to pass that the Years vary. We are concern'd then to take notice that in the foresaid Books the Reigns of some Kings are mentioned twice; first as they were Contemporary and Sharers with some others; and then as they ruled alone. We may fometimes folve the Doubts about the different Account which is given us of the Dura-

reign by himself. It was common both with the Kings of Judah and Israel to take their Sons into

was eight Years old when he began to reign with his Father, but he was eighteen when he began to

Partnership with them in the Throne. This is the

way of refolving other Places of the like Nature

in the Books of Kings and Chronicles. Sometimes

old when he began to reign, and 2 Chron. 36. 9. He was eight Years old when he began to reign: that is, he

tween 2 Kings 24.8. Jehoiachin was eighteen Years

ment) four Years before, which makes twenty. Thus we take away that feeming Repugnancy be-

a Leper, and therefore unfit for the fole Govern-

cancy

cancy of Kingly Government for few or more Years, which was not unufual. Thus of King Abaziah, who succeeded Jeboram in the Throne, it is recorded (2 Kings 8. 26.) that he was two and twenty Years old when he began to reign: but in 2 Chron. 22. 2. it is said, he was forty and two Years old when he began to reign. If this latter Account be true, then besides that it is a contradicting of the former, it will follow hence that the Son was two Years older than the Father; for of Jehoram, who was his Father, it is faid in 2 Chron. 21. 20. Thirty, and two Years old was he when he began to reign, and he reign'd in Jerusalem eight Years: whence it appears that he was forty Years old when he died: but of his Son who fucceeded him in the Throne it is faid, He was two and forty Years old when he began to reign, 2 Chron. 22. 1. This is thought to be so great a Difficulty, that Malvenda and others cry out, it is not to be folved. But why, I pray? Because, say they, according to this Relation the Father died at forty; and the Son, who immediately fucceeded him, was above forty: fo then Jeboram begat his Son two Years before himself was born; which to affert, is as ridiculous as the thing is impossible. But those who talk after this manner make Difficulties, and then complain there is no possibility of answering them. They affirm that Abaziah immediately succeeded Jehoram; whereas they find not this afferted in the History. There might be an Interruption of the Royal Government, Abaziah might be kept from the actual Posfession of the Throne a long time. So then it is truly faid, He was two and twenty Years old when he began to reign, if you reckon from his Father's Death, for then a King's Heir is said to begin his But if you compute from the time when Reign.

he was peaceably settled in the Kingdom, he was two and fonty Fears old when he began to reign: for by that time he got fecurely to the Throne, twenty Years were expired: and after this he reigned but one Year, as we read in the same Place. Thus (besides that it might have been said, that Abaziah reigned with his Father twenty two Years) the Difficulty is answer'd by supposing an Interregnum for several Years, which was very frequent in those Days: and there is Reason sometimes to grant this Vacancy to have been, although it be not expresly mention'd in the Place; for many things of this kind are omitted in the Sacred History, and are left to be inferr'd from the Reasonableness of the thing it self, and from the Circumstances which attend it.

Again, there are those who avoid some Scruples in Chronology, by holding that the Years of Bad Kings are sometimes omitted, as if they had not reigned at all. So some have interpreted that Place, 1 Sam. 13. 1. which speaks of the two Years Reign of Saul; not but that he reign'd many more, which are not there reckon'd, because of his evil Government. Thus Solomon, they fay, reigned many more Years than are fet down, for the time of his finful and idolatrous Reign is suppressed. Lastly, it hath been observed (in order to the taking away those Doubts which arise about the different Assignation of Time in the Old Testament) that the Scripture gives us the Computation of the Times of the Jewish Republick or Kingdom, but altogether omits the Spaces of Servitude, Oppression, Captivity, and Anarchy, excepting only the time of the Egyptian Bondage, which is reckoned by Moses. The Author of Seder Olam, and Other Jewish Writers, and the Learned Brough-

ton \*, from them give an Account of some Chronological Disputes by adhering to this Expedient. With whom agrees of Dr. Lightfoot, who hath admirably performed this Task, adding feveral things of his own Observation, whereby the Differences in Chronology are fully reconciled. The Refult then of what we have said is this, that if in some Places of Scripture the Years feem not to be rightly fet down, we may recur to the foregoing Resolutions, and fatisfy our felves with them, but not condemn the Text as corrupted and fallified; nay, as if it had had Mistakes and Errors in it at the first. This latter is Mr. Hobbs's way, but we may plainly see that he makes it his Business to expose the Scripture, and to represent it as a Book fraught with many Inconsistencies and Falsities. If he had dealt thus with Virgil or some other Writer of that strain, if he had impeach'd that Poet's Chronology in making Aneas and Dido contemporary, it had been tolerable, yea laudable, for some are of Opinion that Dido was not in being till above a hundred and fifty Years after Aneas's Death. It was high Poetical Fiction to make that Queen fall in love with the fugitive Trojan so long a time after he was dead. But in the Sacred Writings there is nothing that looks like such Defect in Synchronism: both Time and Place are truly assigned, though fometimes by reason of the things before mentioned we cannot presently discover the Truth of it, and make it appear how it is.

Lastly, I conclude all with those Genealogical Difficulties in Mat. 1. and Luke 3. I begin with our Saviour's Genealogy, as 'tis drawn up in the first Chap-

\* Concent of Scripture. + The Chronicle of the Times of the Old Teffament.

Chapter of St. Matthew. Here some Heretick Christians of old, (as the Ebionites and Manichees) here some of the Notablest Pagans (as Celsus, Julian, and Porphyrius) found Matter of Cavil; and some of late have thought that here are such Knots as are impossible to be dissolved. As first, the Genealogy runs thus in ver. 9. Ozias begat Joatham; whereas tis clear from 1 Chron. 3. 11, 12. that Joash, Amaziah, and Azariah, were between Ozias and Joatham. The Answer is, that this Genealogist reckons sometimes per saltum: when he saith such a Person begat another, it is not always meant of Father and Son properly, but he is faid to beget another from whom that Person or others proceed at a distance. An immediate Generation (fuch as the Father's is in respect of his Son) is not to be understood in this Place, nor indeed in some others in this Genealogy, where you cannot but observe that fundry Persons are wholly omitted. It is evident therefore that the Design of St. Matthem was not to be strict and accurate in this Pedigree, and to give us a compleat Enumeration of Persons, but only to present us with a general and loose Draught of Christ's Descent. And this should teach us not to be over-curious in scanning the Parts of this Genealogy; for if the Evangelist was not Critical and Exact in composing it, why should we shew our selves so in examining it?

Again, 'tis objected that the Genealogy is faid to be divided into three Fourteens, and yet in one of them there are only thirteen Persons to be found. This is folved by some Manuscripts, which insert Jachim into ver. 11. thus, Jolias begat Jachim, and Jachim begat Jechoniah: and others interpose Abner in ver. 13. as thus, Eliakim begat Abner, and Abner begat Azor. But there is no need of flying

to Other Copies in this case; for the plain Resolution of the Difficulty is this, that in ver. 11, 12. under one Name, viz. Jechonids, two Persons, viz. the Father and the Son are understood: for that Jechonias, mention'd in ver. 11. had two Names. and was called fehoiakim (as you read in 1 Chron. 3. 15, 16.) who was the Father of that Jechonias mention'd in ver. 12. The first Jechonias was the Son of Josias, the second was the Father of Salathiel, and the Son of the former Jechonias. Now if the former Jechonias, the Father of the latter. be numbred in the second Tesseradecad; and if the latter Jechonias, the Father of Salathiel, be inferted into the third Tesseradecad; or, which is the same thing, if the Father be meant in ver. 11. and the Son in ver. 12. the Difficulty vanisheth; for here are thrice fourteen Generations, according to this way which I have propounded. And the way is obvious and easy, and cannot seem strange to any Perfon who observes the manner of the Lineages in this Genealogy, which are not fet down with Accuracy, but fomething is left to be supplied by us in the several Branches of it, and particularly in this which I last mention'd. But it is further Objected, how could Jechonias beget Salathiel, (v. 12.) when 'tis said concerning him, Write ye this Man childless, Jer. 22. 30? If Jechonias was childless. Salathiel could not be his Son. But I answer. 1st. [Childless] may import no other than this, that he should be bare, solitary, desolate, distressed, as the Greek renders it, and as the next Words may be thought to explain it [a Man that shall not prospor in his Days]. Or, 2dly. the meaning is, that Jechonias's Children should be cut off, and not one of them succeed him in the Throne, as is said likewise in the following Words, [No Man of bis Seed Chall

shall prosper, sitting upon the Throne of David, and ruling any more in Judah. This is here to be child-less: and so though Jechoniah had a Son, viz. Salathiel, yet this Son was not his Successor in the Kingdom.

Then, as to the Genealogy of Christ from Joseph upwards, which we have in Luke 3. it is Objected, that Cainan is inserted between Arphaxad and Sala, ver. 36. but is not so in Gen. 11.12. where these Generations are first recorded. I answer, Cainan is omitted by Moses for Brevity sake, and Arphaxad is faid to beget Salab, that is, not immediately, but Cainan intervening. But what was left out in the Hebrew Text the Septuagint supplied, who in their Greek Version expressly mention Cainan: and St. Luke following this Version put Cainan into the Genealogy. And it was better to do fo than to alter it according to the Hebrew Original, because the LXX's Version was in great Repute: and if he had alter'd it, it would have given Offence to the Weak. Besides, this might be by Tradition among the Jews, (as St. Paul's Jannes and Jambres, 2 Tim. 3. 8.) and that gave farther Occasion to him of inferting it, though it was not in the Hebrew.

But how can either of these Genealogies (in St. Matthew and St. Luke) be said to be Christ's, when they both give an Account only of the Lineage of Joseph? To satisfy this Scruple we must know that the Virgin Mary's Genealogy is included in that of Joseph, and consequently Christ's Descent is here set down because he sprang from the Virgin Mary. Joseph being a Good Man, and an Observer of the Law, would not marry one that was not of his Tribe or Family; so that Mary's Genealogy is in a manner his, because she was of the same Family and Stock with him: which is partly intimated in the

Close

Per-

Close of that Genealogy which is in St. Matthew, ver. 16. Jacob begat Joseph the Husband of Mary; giving us to understand that Mary and Joseph were of the same Family and Descent, viz. of the Stock and Lineage of David; for according to the Mofaick Law and Custom one Tribe and Generation did not mix with another, but they were to match together: wherefore in giving the Pedigree of one, that of the other also is given at the same time. Hence Christ is call'd the Son of David, Mat. 1. 1. and in other Places; not that he was so in respect of an Earthly Father, for he had none, but by reafon of his Mother, who was of the House of David. So then the Genealogy of Joseph and Mary is to be reckon'd as the same, and that is the reafon why one of them only, viz. Joseph, is distinctly mentioned.

And yet you may observe a very Great Difference in the Genealogies of St. Matthew and St. Luke: and one may be said to be Joseph's, and the other Mary's Genealogy; that is, those Persons from whom more immediately Joseph descended, are mention'd by the former Evangelist, and those from whom more directly Mary descended, are mention'd by the latter. St. Matthew's Genealogy gives Joseph's Pedigree from the House of Solomon, and St. Luke's gives the Blessed Virgin Mary's from the House of Nathan. The one, saith St. \* Hilary, sets down the Royal Stock of Christ by Solomon; the other shews his Priestly Lineage by Nathan. Grotius speaks more consistently, telling us, that St. Matthew takes notice of the Right Succession in his Genealogy, but St. Luke hath regard to the Right of Confanguinity. The short is, we have Christ's

\* Comment. in S. Matth.

Christ's Genealogy, not only as it respects his Reputed Father, but his Own Mother. Matthew being a Jew, doth, according to the Legal way, deduce the Line of Joseph, the supposed Father of Jefus. Luke being a Gentile, follows the Law of Nature, and writeth Mary's Descent, from whom (being his Mother) Christ really came. And yet after all this, and much more which hath plausibly and probably been faid by Writers on this Subject, we are certain of this, that both St. Matthew and St. Luke's Genealogy derive Christ's Line from Jofeph. We find that both of them terminate expresly in Him. Jacob begat Joseph, saith St. Matthem, ver. 16. and so ends the Descent. St. Luke, who reckons another way, yet makes the lineal Descent of Christ from Joseph, Jesus being (as was supposed) the Son of Joseph, Luke 3.23. This we must assert, and we can't do otherwise, because the thing is so plain before us, that he that runs may read it in express terms. Moreover, this was according to the constant Custom of the Jews, who always deduced the Pedigree from the Father: and we know that Joseph was our Saviour's reputed Father. But then you will fay, if both the Genealogies belong to Joseph, what is the reason that they differ so much? Whence is it that the same Names and Persons are not mentioned in one that are in the other, if they be the same Genealogy? This Query hath been warmly purfued, and divers Anfwers have been return'd to it. But the true one is this, that where there were so many Names and Persons, the Evangelists might pitch on whom they pleased. It being their Design only to draw up a Pedigree in a desultory way, and not to mention all from whom our Saviour descended, it was in their choice to take who they thought fit, either

Persons nearer or further off; they might insift on this or the other Stock as they law convenient. It's is no wonder then that the Names and Number of the Persons in the two Genealogies vary, for St. Matthewand St. Luke proceed in a different way. and derive the Pedigree from distinct Stocks. This is the true reason why there is so great a Difference in the two Genealogies; why the Persons: whence the Lineage is drawn, are not the same in! both the Evangelists. Yet it evidently appears? from both ways of framing the lineal Destent, that our Lord sprang from the House of David. which was the main thing designed and aimed at in > these Genealogies. Now, this is effectually done by propounding of Joseph's Descent: for seeing no : Genealogies were reckon'd among the Jews by theo! Woman's fide; and feeing the Pedigrees of World men were not wont to be recorded among them, (no de more than their Age; whence the only Woman is whose Years of her whole Life are recorded in to Scripture is Sarab, Gen. 23. 1.) it is manifest that when Joseph's Lineage is set down, that also of his Wife, and confequently of her Son, is fet down in also: Which is grounded on what I said before viz. that the lews generally married within their Tribes, that the Inheritances might be preserved in the same Tribe they were in, and not be transon flated to another. The Injunction was plain and politive. Numb. 36.6. To the Family of the Tribe of the their Father shall they marry: and though afterwards a special Reason is given, viz. because the Inheria tance should not be alienated, yet the Injunction " was General, and concern'd both Rich and Poor 4 416 and though there be some Examples of a contrary "6 Practice in the Sacred History, yet we cannot " Thence argue that the Law was not General. Yea. ...! the

the Jewish Masters tell us, that the Woman, after the Contract of Marriage, though she was before by her Family of another Tribe and Lineage, different from her Husband, yet by virtue of that Contract she was adopted into the same Tribe with him to whom she was espoused, and so was ever after legally reckon'd to be of that Tribe: and the Progeny which afterwards was born of this Woman, was accounted to be of the same Tribe. Thus it is plain that when Joseph's Lineage is described by the Evangelists, that also of the Blessed Virgin Mary, and of our Lord himself is determined. We have reason then to assert this Proposition, that the Pedigrees mentioned by St. Matthew and St. Luke, are both of them properly the Genea. logies of Joseph: Which I find most Writers on this Theme are loth to acknowledg; yea, they tell us politively, that one is Joseph's, and the other is the Virgin's Genealogy. But if we will make use of our Eyes, and behold and read the Pedigrees themselves as they are delivered by the Evangelists, we must be forced to confess that Joseph is in the beginning of the Gencalogy in St. Luke, and in the end of that other in St. Matthew; only in this the one differs from the other, that the former reckons Joseph's Lineage upward, and the latter downward. But this is common to them both, that in the Line of Progenitors which they fet down, they skip over many Persons; and when this or the other Person was the Son of such an one, tis sometimes meant, that at a great Distance he was his Son, or rather his Kinsman, as the Hebrews use the word Son. If we take but this one thing along with us in our perusing of these Pedigrees, it will help us to go through most of the Difficulties we meet with in them: and this you will find Еe made

made use of to this purpose by those that have travell'd with great Industry in this Point, and have undertaken to folve the hard Passages in either of the Genealogies.

Of the STILE

If it be Objected in the last Place, How can Jofeeb be the Son of Jacob in one Genealogy, Mat. 1. 16. and the Son of Heli in the other, Luke 3. 23. it is answer'd by some, that because Joseph married Mary, Heli's Daughter, therefore he is call'd his Son, i. e. his Son by Marriage of his Daughter. Others fay, Heli and Jacob were Brethren, and the former dying without Children, the latter married his Widow, as the Law in that case required: fo that Jacob was the Natural Father of Joseph, and Heli was his Father-in-law. This is the Sentiment of several of the \* Antients and + Moderns. and we have no Argument to confute it. This was a Tradition among the Jews themselves, as you may fee in Grotius on Luke 3. Or if this be not satisfactory, we may quash the Difficulty by what I have formerly propounded, viz. that 'tis usual among the Jews to have two Names. It may be Jacob and Heli are Names of the same Person; and St. Matthew makes use of one, and St. Luke of the other. To conclude, though we were not able to reconcile some Passages in the foresaid Genealogies, yet we have no reason to take occasion thence to question the Truth and Consistency of them, for 'tis ridiculous to think that St. Matthew would expose this Genealogy, and in the very Entrance of his Gospel, unless he knew it was true, and as to the main unexceptionable. And the very same we may fay of St. Luke, who without doubt would not

Jul. African. Greg. Nazianz. Augustin, Jerom, Eusebius, Ambrose. + Baronius, Jansenius, &c.

not have offer'd to publick View a Pedigree wherein the Chiefest of that Nation were concern'd, if he had not been assured that it was impossible to confute it. And suppose we are not able to give an Account of some part of it, yet let that of Grotias be thought of, viz. that the Jews had a way of drawing up Genealogies, which is as to some things unknown to us: they reckoned the Generations in a manner that was different from what is now in uſe.

So much touching the Difficulties of the Stile of Holy Scripture, and the Occasions of them. No intelligent Person could expect but that it should contain in it some things hard to be understood, if he ever weighed the Particulars before specified in this Discourse, and if he consider moreover, that,

1. The Scriptures are a Collection of Different Writers, some of which leave out those Passages which others put in, and upon that account there seems sometimes to be a Discrepancy among them, and one is thought to affert that which another feems to deny.

2. We are ignorant of many Particulars relating to the Names of things, to Rites, Opinions, Customs, Proverbs, and peculiar Circumstances of those Times of which the Holy Writings speak: and this is another Reason why several things in them are obscure and unintelligible. We read of the Synagogue of the Libertines, Acts 6. but whether it was fo call'd because twas built by Jews that were made free of Rome, or from a Man or Men of that Name, or from a Country and People of that Denomination, or whether Libertine be corruptly for Labratheni, (because as

\* Fr. Junius observes, Labratha was the old Word for a Syndgogue or School) no Man can tell: For we must needs be ignorant of the true occasion of Words and Things, if there be no particular Record concerning them. How were it possible to understand the Psalmist's Complaint, Psal. 119.83. I am become like a Bottle in the Smoke, if we had not read that Antiently the Eastern People used to hang up the Skins, of which Bottles were made, in the Smoke, to dry and harden them? Besides, if they were long hung up in the Fire or Smoke, they were subject to be parched and shriveled. I am dried up and wither'd like such a Bottle, saith he. We could not reach the Sense of those other Words of his, The Rod of the Wicked shall not rest on the Lot of the Righteous, Psal. 125. 3. which is an Allusion to the dividing of the Lands among the Jews, if we did not know that the Rod or Staff was used in Scrittion, the assigning of Land or Ground by Lot. Again, let not the Reader be surprized when I add, that it may be when St. Paul orders the mempedian, to be brought to him from Troas, 2 Tim. 4. 13. he means the Skins which he was to make use of in his Trade of Tent-making: For he was brought up to a Trade, (as was usual in those Days, and in those Eastern Countries) and particularly to this, as we read in Alls 18.3. And therefore when at other times he acquaints us that he labour'd with his Hands, it is not to be question'd that he means his working in this Calling in which he was skill'd, and was bred up to. And this questionless was a considerable Employment, and fufficiently gainful, because there was great use of Tents

Tents and Booths in those open and hot Countries: and they were much bought up by those whose Employment was in the Fields, especially they were useful for Souldiers. Now the great Materials which were used in this Occupation, were skins or Hides of Beasts dress'd. Accordingly we read that the Covering of the Tabernacle of Testimony was made of Skins, Exod. 35. 23. Yea, Skins are simply and absolutely put for Tents or Tabernacles in these following Places and others, 2 Sam. 7. 2. I Chron. 17. 1. Cant. 1. 5. Hab. 3. 7. Jer. 10. 20. Jerignah is constantly rendred Pellis by the Latin Interpreter, because the Tents were made of Skins. And that Tents and Pavilions were made of these, we may fufficiently inform our selves from Pagan History. This we learn from Q. Curtius, who tells us, that \* fuch kind of Membranous Tents were used in Alexander the Great's Camp. And Arrianus is very positive in this Matter. These Tents of Skins or Hides were not only among the Greeks but Romans, and both | Livy and (\*) Florus pretend to assign the Date of them. (+) Cæsar mentions this fort of Tents, and (||) Valerius Maximus tells us, that those Souldiers who behaved themselves amis, had this as part of their Punishment, ne tentorium ex pellibus haberent, they were not suffer'd to lie in these Tents in the Field. Hence in Tully we shall find, that || fub pellibus effe, is to be safely entrenched, and lie secure in their Tents. It is probable that St. Paul fent to Timothy to bring or convey to him these Skins wherewith he made his Ee 3 Tents,

<sup>\*</sup> Academ. cap. 2.

<sup>\*</sup> Sœpe pellibus tabernaculi allevatis, ut conspiceres hostium ignes. Hist. lib. 7. † Tentoria militum erant ex pellibus, &c. De gest. Alex. M. l. r. || Decad. r. lib. 5. (\*) Lib. 1. cap. 12. (†) Le Bello Gall. l. 3. c. 4. (||) Lib. 8. cap. 2. ||| 4 Acad.

Of the STILE Tents, and at that time especially when he was in Bonds at Rome, (the time of his writing this Epiftle) and had no other way to gain a Livelihood, than by exercifing himself in his Calling. Wherefore he writes to his beloved Timothy, to fend him not only his Cloak and his Books, but μάλιςα, chiefly, especially his mentodrac, his Skins for Tent-making, which he most of all wanted at that time: for he was unwilling to be burdenfom, and to rely wholly on the Charity of the Christian Brethren. These were fome choice Skins which he had left behind him at Troas, when he wrought in this his Trade there. And if it be objected that Troas was a great way off, the Answer is, that they might as easily be brought to him as the Books he writ for, if these were of any confiderable Number and Bigness. And this Notion is yet more probable, if you confider that St. Paul was in way of Contempt call'd by the Pagans one rolomy and Coriarius, because he cut out Hides and Skins in order to the making of Tents. Yea, some of the Christian Writers give him the foresaid Titles, thereby to magnify the Grace of God towards him. He is often stiled one reloud by \* Theodoret; and so he is by † Chrysostom. Coriarius Cilix is the Epithet given by | Jerom. This makes it not improbable that the fore-mention'd Text hath reference to the Practice of those times. the making of Tents, or the covering of Booths and Pavilions with Skins. These, it is likely, are the member dvai St. Paul speaks of. As he sends for his Cloak to defend himself from the Cold, so he

\* Therapeut. + Hom. 5. in 2 Tim. Comment, in Epift.

fends for the Skins (which he left behind him) to

mako

make Tents to shelter and keep others warm. For though it is granted that one Signification of Membrana is Parchment, of which I had occasion to speak before; yet it also fignifies the Uppermost Skin or Hide of any Creature, as appears from that of \* Lucretius;

Membranas mittunt vituli de corpore summo.

And it hath its Denomination from Membrum, faith + Priscian, because it covers the Limbs and other external Parts. Nay, you must note that this is the first and most proper Signification of the word Membrana in Tully, Pliny, and other Authors: whereas afterwards in a fecondary Sense it came to fignify Parchment. These things may render my Interpretation of this Place not improbable. However, I presumed to offer the Criticisin on the Word, and let the Learned entertain it as they please. But this is not to be question'd, that the not attending to the Usages and Practices of old in the Countries to which some things spoken of in Scripture belong, is one Reason why we miss of the right Sense of some Places.

3. The Hebrew Text (which is the greater part of the Bible) hath some things proper to it felf, which render it perplex'd and obscure in some Places, for in Hebrew there are no Moods in the Verbs but the Indicative and Infinitive: no Tenfes but the Past and Future, Participles being made use of to express the Present Time, which oftentimes renders the Meaning obscure and intricate. Instead of an Interrogative Point the Hebrews make

Ec 4 use

<sup>\*</sup> Lib. 4. † Voss. Etymolog.

use of their Interrogative He; otherwise there are no Notes or Marks of Interrogation, which is another Cause (as might be shew'd) of misunderstanding the Text sometimes. There are frequent Rarentbeses in the Hebrew Bible, and if they be not diligently observed, they mar the Sense, as to instance but in one Place at present, Isa. 9. 3, &c. The 3d, 4th and 5th Verses are a Parenthesis; you must join the 6th Verse to the 2d, and then you will see how the word for in the off Verse comes in, not otherwise. But there are no Marks or Characters whereby we may know when there is fuch a Parenthesis, which cannot but trouble the Sense very much, and confound the Meaning of the Place, unless it be with extraordinary Care taken notice of. And I might add, that the Paufes and Periods in the Hebrew Copies are not so distinct as might be wished. The greater ought our Care and Diligence to be in peruling and studying this Holy Book.

4. It is the way of the Hebrews (and indeed of all the Eastern Writers) to express things in a brief and concife manner, which renders the Place fometimes dark and confused. In the second Rfalm several Persons are introduced speaking, but it is not in the least intimated that there is this Change of Persons, but all is express'd in a short and promiscuous way. The whole Psalm is a Dialogue, wherein the Church speaks, ver. 1, 2. then the Enemies of the Church, v. 3. the Church again, v. 4, 5. then God, ver. 6. then Christ the Son of God, ver. 7, 8, 9. And lastly the Pfalmist ends with his own Exhortation. All which Parts, if we do not take notice of, (though they are not distinguish'd for Brevity fake) the true Import and Scope of the

The Pfalm are lost. It is common to recite Words which are faid by Persons, and yet to bring them in abruptly, and not to fignify that they are faid or spoken by them. As in Pfal. 22. 8. He trusted in the Lord, i. e. they faid fo: but this is not here express'd. Thus in Ifa. 33. 14. Who among us, &c. i. e. the Sinners in Zion, mentioned in that Verse, faid those Words. In v. 18. where is the Scribe? &c. to make the Sense perfect you must insert, thou shalt say. So in Isa. 49. 24. these Words [say the Enemies] must be inserted. In Jer. 6.4. these or fuch like Words are left out [the Enemy shall say]. The like is observable in Jer. 22. 28. ch. 31. 20. In Hof. 5. 15. the word [ saying ] is necessarily implied, for the first Verse of the next Chapter contains the Words which were to be faid. Sometimes this is supplied by the Translation, though it be not in the Hebrew, as in 2 Sam. 2. Ifa. 64. 11. But in Obadiah, ver. 1. before Arise ye the word faying is to be supposed. In 1 Cor 15. 45. but is left out: otherwise you can't understand the Apostle. And many other Words are omitted in the Old and New Testament, and ought to be supplied by the diligent Reader, who on that account is obliged to be very Attentive when he reads these Sacred Writigs, for their short and contracted way of speaking makes them the less intelligible; whereas when Matters are amplified by Words, they become more clear and plain.

5. There is in the Hebrew Language a certain Peculiar Idiom or Force of Signification, which when it comes to be translated into another Tongue, is wholly lost; at least a great part of its Vigour and Elegancy is taken away; and at the same time it is not so well understood, because it is

a Strange Idiom, and no ways agreeable to our manner of expressing our selves.

6. Order and Time are not always observ'd in these Holy Writings, which too often begets Mistakes. Upon these several Accounts, and others, there must needs be some Obscurity and Difficulty in the Stile of Holy Writ. But you may observe that this happens, through the All-wise Providence of God, in those Places: where the Great and Momentous things of Religion are not concern'd, where the Grand Truths of the Law. and the Gospel are not in the least endanger'd. And when in other Parts of the Bible we meet with Hard and Dark Passages, we ought to be so far from blaming and disparaging this Divine Book, because of these, that we should rather reckon them an Ornament to it. The Dubiousness of Scripture in some things is part of its Excellency. It is a great Commendation of this Sacred Volume, that it is not destitute of Abstrusities and Difficulties; that we are not wholly tied up and confined in our Interpretation of it; that there is a Freedom of Disquisition allowed us; that in several Places every Man is at his Liberty to imbrace what Sense he pleaseth of the Words, so it be according to the Analogy of Faith, and the Tenour of the other Parts of this Inspired Book. This gives us an opportunity of exciting our Care, of exerting our Industry, of improving our Knowledg, of enlarging our Faculties by continual Refearches and Examinations. Thus the Obscurity of some Parts of Scripture is of great and excellent Use. But then where-ever the Indispensible and Necessary Points of Faith and Manners are treated of in these Writings, their Stile is fuffi-

fufficiently clear and plain, and the Matter which is expressed by it is easy to be understood. In brief, the Scripture is plain where it should be fo. But if in some other Places there be Controverfy and Perplexity, if some Texts seem to oppose and clash with one another, let us remember this, that the Scriptures were inspired by the Holy Ghost, and therefore there can be no real Oppositions or Repugnancies in them, because Truth cannot contradict it felf. By impartial Study and Enquiry let us dive into the Meaning of these Antient Writings, and by the Helps which I have tendred in the foregoing Discourse, endeavour to reconcile those Places which seem to differ: but let us never be fo daring as to accuse the Scriptures, which were endited by God himself, of Contradiction.

FINIS.

(428)

ADDENDA.

Refer this to Page 267. Line 19.

TIEBREW Verbs of different Significations: 1. Those of two Significations; Anah in Kal, to grieve or mourn, Isa. 3. 26. ch. 19.8. in Piel to deliver up, Exod. 21. 13. Dabar in Kal to speak, in Piel to reduce into order. Chalatz in Piel to fave. in Hiphil to arm. Jaal in Hiphil to will or desire in Niphal to be foolish or mad. Pala in Niphal to be admired, in Piel to separate. Alam in Niphal to be filent, in Piel to gather. Mashal in Kal to rule, in Niphal to be compared with or likened to any thing or Person. Sabar in Kal to consider, in Piel to expect, to hope for. Rakab in Kal to fpit, in Hiphil to attenuate. Tanah in Kal and Niphil to hire, in Piel to discourse with. Gaal in Kal to redeem, in Piel to pollute. Bara in Kal to create. in Hiph. to make fat. Cacash in Kal to be lean, in Piel to tell a Lie. Lamad in Kal to learn, in Piel to teach. Cabad in Kal to be heavy, in Piel and Niph, to be honoured. Puk to stumble, to produce. Gnarak to ordain, to esteem. Saphak to suffice, to clap Hands. Shabar to break, to buy. Kut to loath or abominate, to contend. Katar to offer Incense, to bind. Kam or Kum to stand or rise. to be dim-fighted. Ragang to quiet, to break or cut asunder. Ramah to dart, to deceive. Shaal to request, to borrow. Panab to behold, to remove. Nakab to bore or make a Hole, to curse. Samach to rejoice, to shine. Pharash to separate, to inter-1 pret. Luiz to laugh, to argue or dispute. Zachab to be innocent, to overcome. Lacham to eat, to fight.

fight. Gnatsam to strengthen, to shut. Gnatsab to disturb, to fashion or form. Gnaraph to cut the Throat, to distil. Gnathak to wax old, to be removed. 2. Those of three Significations, Rab or Rahab, (and so the Verb Rahah) to be many or much, to shoot Arrows, to educate. Ragal to fearch, to calumniate, to walk, or make to walk orago. Halal to praise, to shine, to be mad, Shalam to be peaceable, to be perfect, to recompense. Gnabar to pass, to be with Child, to be angry. Nashab to forget, to let out Money upon Interest, to put out of joint. Gnur, to be watchful, to make blind, to make naked. Alaph to learn, to teach, to make or produce a thousand. Ruang to do Evil, to break or bruise, to make a great Noise. Charash to plough, to think, to be filent. Gnarab to be emptied or poured out, to make naked, to adhere. Mahar to make haste, to be liberal, to be foolish or inconsiderate. Gur to travel abroad, to gather together, to fear. Damah to be quiet, to be like to one, to consent. Pharang to be open or naked, to be free, to vindicate. Aphab to boil, to bake, to fry. Zur to abhor, to fneeze, to compress. Gnanab to answer, to humble, to commit Adultery. Shar to fing, to walk, to observe. Shalah to be quiet, to be fortunate, to err or be faulty. Kutz to rife or awake betimes, to be weary of, or nauseate, to fummer, or fpend the Summer-time. Kara to call, to read, to meet one. 3. Verbs of four or more Significations; Natzah to bud forth, to fly, to fight, to overcome. Salad to strengthen, to warm or heat, to harden, to desire or beg. Kalal to be light or vile, to curse, to destroy, to polish. Shagnah to behold, to be aftonish'd, to abstain or defift, to shut. Pathach to open, to engrave, to We have all markey plough,

plough, to expose, to loose. Carab to open, to pierce, to dig, to prepare, to entertain one with a Fealt, to traffick or merchandize. Chalat to begin, to profane, to bring forth Young, to wound, to mourn or grieve, to cut or bore, to leap. Lastly, no Verb in the Holy Tongne hath so many different Significations as Gnarab, the Import of which is to mingle, to negotiate, to be sweet or pleasant, to undertake for, or be Surety, to be dusky, as in the Evening, &c.

## Refer this to Page 274. Line 1.

Hebrew Nouns of two Significations; Ed a Vapour, Calamity. Siach a Shrub, Speech. Tagnar a Whetstone, a Sheath. Goel a Redeemer, a Kinsman. Sheber Corn or any Food, interpreting or unriddling. Racham the Womb, a Girl, (10 Mother hath this double Signification with us). Labat a Flame, the Edg of a Sword. Refit a Fool, a certain Constellation. Aven Iniquity, Vanity. Nagnal a Shoe, a Glove. Nouns of three Significations; Nachal an Inheritance, a Floud or Torrent, a Valley. Allupb a Teacher, a Prince, a Bull or Ox. Keren a Horn, Strength, Splendor. Gevah Pride, Excellency, a Body. Nouns of four or more Significations; Chebel Corruption, Grief, a Rope or Cable, a Croud or Multitude, besides other collateral ones, as an Inheritance, &c. Shebet a Rod, a Staff, a Scepter, a Tribe, a Stroke or Plague, a Quill, a Writing-Pen. Charutz cut off, industrious, Gold, pretious, a Ditch, a Flail, a Rake.

Refer

## Refer this to Page 343. Line 7.

Hebrew Words that have Contrary Significations; Nacar to be known, to be unknown. Kalas in Piel to slight or disesteem, in Hithpael to praise or extol. Rayang to move and roll up and down, to rest or be quiet. Sharash to take root, to eradicate or extirpate. , Taab to defire in Kal, to abominate in Piel. Gnuph to shine, to be obscure. Natzar to fave, to destroy. Gnazab to desert, to help. Batzar to rob or prey, to defend one's felf from Robbers. Bara to make or create, also to remove or destroy. Salah to tread under foot, to esteem. Garaph to gather, to disperse. Asaph to gather or preserve, also to remove or destroy. Nacham to grieve or repent, to abandon Grief, or to be comforted. Chissed to consecrate, to desecrate.

There are instances of all or most of these (viz. the same Hebrew Verbs and Nouns, which have not only Different but Contrary Senses) in the Writings of the Old Testament, which the Reader may consider at his leisure, and thereby be help'd to a distinct understanding of the Words in those

Texts where they occur.

# BOOKS written by the Reverend Mr. JOHN EDWARDS.

A N Enquiry into several Remarkable Texts of the Old and New Testament, which contain some Difficulty in them, with a Probable Resolution of them: In two Volumes, 8°.

A Discourse concerning the Authority, Stile and Persection of the Books of the Old and New Testament, Vol. I. with a continued Illustration of several Difficult Texts throughout the whole Work, 8°.

A Discourse concerning the Authority, Stile and Perfection of the Books of the Old and New Testament, Vol. II. wherein the Author's former Undertaking is further prosecuted, viz. An Enquiry into several Remarkable Texts which contain some Dissiculty in them, 8°. All sold by Jonathan Robinson, John Everingham, and John Wyat.

Imprimatur, CAROLUS ALSTON, R. P. D. Hen. Episc. Lond. à facris.

Jan. 10.

1694.

# DISCOURSE Concerning the

Authority, Stile, and Perfection

BOKS

OF THE OF THE DID and New Testament.

Vol. III.

Treating of the Excellency and Perfe-

Wherein are also several Remarkable Texts interpreted according to the Author's Particular Judgment.

By JOHN EDWARDS, B. D. fometime Fellow of S. John's College in Cambridge.

LONDON,
Printed by J. D. for Jonathan Robinson at the Golden Lion, John Taylor at the Ship, and John Wyat at the Rose in St. Paul's Church-Yard. MDCXCV.

TO THE

Most Reverend Father in God,

His Grace

# THOMAS

Lord Archbishop of Canterbury,

Primate and Metropolitan of all England; and one of his Majesties most Honourable Privy Council.

May it please Your Grace;

Imbrace this welcome Opportunity of congratulating Your late Accels to the Highest Station in our Church, which all Wise and Good Men look upon as an Happy Omen of the suture Felicity of these Realms. For in Your Grace conspire all those things A 3 which

Odob. 13. 1694.

I judg the Reverend Author shall do well to print the following Discourse, wherein he hath Learnedly demonstrated the Excellency and Persection of the Books of the Old and New Testament:

Jo. Beaumont, D. D.
The King's Professor of
Divinity in Cambridge.

which can render us, by the Divine Blessing, a Prosperous People, viz. Your unstained Faithfulness and Loyalty to his Majesty, Your most Ardent Love to Your Country, Your Great Ability for Publick Counsels and Affairs, Your perfect Abhorrence of all Immorality and Debauchery, Your Zealous Concern for the Church of England, and in that for the whole Protestant Religion. Of this last You have afforded the World luch an Illustrious Proof as will give an immortal Reputation to Your Name. For you have not only with Your Learned Pen encountred the Idolatry of the Church of Rome, and therein vindicated the Reformed Cause, but in all Your Actions You have demonstrated Your singular Care for this latter, and Your Deteltation of the former. Especially, when in the late Reign this Idol began to be set up again, and too many fell down to it, You with the utmost Zeal, Vigour and Courage, remonstrated against this Practice. You bore

bore the Insolencies and Insults of the Enemy with an unimitable Bravery; You withstood their Boldness with a Confidence becoming the Goodness of Your Cause: You obviated their Folly and Madness with a profound Wisdom and Prudence: You defeated their Diligence by a more unwearied Industry: And, in brief, You were the Successful Maul and Scourge of the Hectoring Jesuits that lifted up their Heads in that Day. For this You were hated and defamed, and are so at this Hour, by all the sworn Friends to the Pontifician Interest, who look upon You (and that justly) as their most Dreadful Enemy. But this very thing deservedly makes Your Grace to be loved, admired and honoured by all Sincere Protestants and True English-men.

Lam one that glory in being of that Number, and accordingly I now attempt to express my infinite Regards and Veneration of Your Grace's Transcendent Undertakings in behalf of our

A 4 Reli-

Religion and our Church, and of the Whole Nation. And, as a Testimony of my Resentments and Duty, I here offer to Your Grace a Discourse of the Perfection of the Holy Scriptures, which was designed to be presented to Your Lordship before you were advanced to this Supreme See, to which Your Merits have called You. Wherefore I having then consecrated it to Your Name, I hold it unlawful now to alienate it; especially it being the Choicest and Noblest Subject that I have yet treated of, and therefore I hope not unworthy of Your Grace's Patronage. I submit the Work wholly to Your Grace's Judgment, and beg leave to have the Honour of professing my self to be

Your Grace's most Humble

and Obedient Son and Servant,

JOHN EDWARDS.

## The PREFACE.

Now present the Reader with that Part of my Discourses concerning the Holy Scriptures, wherein I have attempted to display the matchless Worth and Perfection of those Divine Records. Besides the Great and Important Remarks which I have offer'd, I could have mention'd other things barely Critical; and which, though they be of an inferiour Nature in comparison of those which I have insisted upon, are deemed to be Excellencies and Embelishments in other Authors of good Rank. Thus some Criticks have observed concerning that of Virgil, En. 8.

Quadrupedante putrem sonitu quatit ungula (campum;

That in the very Sound of the Words the swift Career of the Horses beating and shaking the Ground with their Hoofs seems to strike the Ear. The Poetick Feet are so form'd that they express those of the Steeds. And so in the same Writer, Æn. 5.—Procumbit humi bos, is thought to be a great Elegancy and Pulchritude, as if it represented in a lively manner the Dull and Heavy Fall of that Creature. Both in this and the for-

mer

mer Instance the very Noise of the Words, the very Composure of the Syllables, are justly applauded by the Admirers of that Poet. The like I could have observ'd in the Inspired Writings, especially those that are Poetical, among which I reckon the Book of Isaiah to be one; for tho it be not in Verse, yet a Poetick Genius and Strain may be observ'd in most Parts of it. Those Words, ch. 21. v, 5. Prepare the Table, watch in the Watch-Tower, eat, drink, arise ye Princes, anoint the Shield, express the Speediness of the Preparations made for Babylon's Fall. They are so order'd that the Quickness of the Dispatch is signified by them. There are six Parts or Divisions in this Verse without a Copulative, meerly to signify the Celerity of the Undertaking. And the Vision wherein this Speedy Ruine of that Nation is foretold is thus represented, v. 7. He faw a Charior, a couple of Horsemen, a Chariot of Asses, a Chariot of Camels. There is Expedition in the very Words, there is no Conjunctive Particle to retard them. You may in the very Frame of the Words perceive the Chariots running speedily. But if we look into those Parts of the Bible which are strictly and properly Poetical, that is, which consist of certain Measures and Numbers, we shall find Examples of this fort very frequently. The Egyptians furious Pursuit after the Israelites is thus express'd in Moses's Song, Exod. 15.9. I will pursue, I will overtake, Oc, Where there are fix Verbs denoting Action

Action and Expedition, and not one Conjunction between them. In the Conciseness and Roundness of the Words, especially if we consult the Original, which is more Emphatick, we may discern the Speediness of the thing it self spoken of. The like might be taken notice of in the Song of Deborah, Judg. 5. and in several Places of the Psalms, and the Lamentations. Thus, if we would be very Curious, we might parallel the Inspired Poetry with that of the best Masters in that Art among the Gentiles.

But because these things are but mean in re-

spect of those Weightier ones wherein the Bible's Excellency doth appear, I have not inserted them, or any other Observations of the like Nature, into the ensuing Discourse; and the rather, because it was my Design to mention only those Particulars which are of Universal Use, and which may without Exception be acceptable to all Persons who have a due Esteem either of True Learning or Piety. Those who value the former, and are well acquainted with it, will most readily give their Suffrage here, and proclaim to the World that Scripture-Learning outvies all others, that the Original of most Arts and Sciences is to be fetch'd hence, that a Library without the Bible is an imperfect thing. Those who have a Sense of the latter will be as forward to asfert the Prebeminence of this Sacred Volume, for here is the Source of all Religion; and no Man can be Devout and Pious who is a Stranger

യ

Page 3 kg

A CATALOGUE of most of the Texts of Scripture which are interpreted in the fallowing Discourse, according to the Author's

Particular Judgment. GENESIS.

WHE whole first Chapter. Chap. 3. v. 7. They made themselves A-ons. What the word Chagoroth signi-

p. 23,5, Ver. 21. Unto them the Lord God made Coats of Skins. Why fo called. D. 237 Ch. 4. v. 20. Jabal was the Father of such as dwell in

Tents. Ch. 18. v. 7. He took the Calf which he had dreffed, and set it before them. p. 117 Ch. 24. v. 22. The Man took, a Golden Ear-ring. What is meant by Nezem zahab.

Ch. 50. v. 2. Joseph commanded the Phylicians [Rophim] to embalm his Father. The large Extent of that Word is fully shew'd. EXODUS.

Ch. 21. v. 7. His Master shall bore his Ear through with an Awl, and he shall serve him for ever. p. 247 N.U.M.B.E.R.S. Ch, 21. v. 14. — The Book of the Wars of the Lord.

Besides several other, Texts from which some indeayour to infer that some part of the Writings belonging to the Bible is loft. P. 453

JOSHUA. Ch. 2. v.4. The Woman took the two Men, and bid them. p. 153 Ch. 7. v. 26. They raised, over him a great Heap of

p. 280 Stones.

Wherefore when, with a becoming Regret, I saw that the Sense of Religion and Piety is generally lost among us at this Day, I apprehended that the best way to retrieve it, is to read and peruse the Scriptures: And that this may be done with Success I thought it requisite to set forth the Excellency and Perfection of this Holy Book, that thence Persons might be effectually invited to acquaint themselves with it. And I hope, how meanly soever I have performed this Task, some who light upon these Papers will from them be inspired with a hearty Regard and Reverence, an entire Love and Veneration of the Holy Writ, and be reminded from what is here fuggested, to converse more intimately with it themselves, and to encourage others to follow their Example. This would in a short time make a great Change in the World, and the Bible it felf would be read in the Lives and Behaviour of Mankind. Wherefore with great Seriousness and Importunity I request the Reader that he would entertain such Thoughts and Perswasions as these, that Bible-Learning is the Highest Accomplishment, that this Book is the most Valuable of any upon Earth, that here is a Library in one single Volume, that this alone is sufficient for us, tho all the Libraries and Books in the World were defroyed. And this is the Grand Truth which I have laboured to demonstrate in the following Papers.

AMOS.

Ch. 2. v.8. They lay themselves down upon Clothes, p. 134

ter.

p. 275

St.

expounded and resolved.

ΧV

St. LUKE.

Ch. 10. v. 42. Mary bath chosen the good Part. p. 141

ACTS.

Ch. 7. v. 22. He was mighty in Words and in Deeds. p. 312, &c.

CORINTHIANS, 1 Epift.

Ch. 5. v. 9. I wrote unto you in an Epistle. p. 467 Ch. 7. v. 6. I speak this of Permission, and not of Command. p. 472

Ver. 12. To the rest speak I, not the Lord. ibid.

CORINTHIANS, 2 Epist.

Ch. 3. v. 17. Now the Lord is that Spirit.

Ch. 8. v. 8. I speak not by Commandment.

p. 434
p. 472

Ch. 11. v. 6. Though I be rude in Speech.

Ver. 17. I speak not after the Lord.

p. 437
p. 472

St. JAMES.

Ch. 4. v. 5, 6. Do you think that the Scripture faith in vain, The Spirit that dwelleth in us lusteth to Envy?

But he giveth more Grace.

p. 463

St. PETER, 2 Epift.

Ch. 3. V. 5. This they are willingly ignorant of, that by the Word of God the Heavens were of old, and the Earth standing out of the Water, and in the Water.

p. 62

St. JOHN, 2 Epist. Ver. 12. I will not write with Paper, Sià 2dels, p. 167

Besides sundry Texts mention'd in that Part of the Discourse where the Emendation of the present English Version of the New Testament is attempted.

## ERRATA.

P. 133. Γ. as. P. 110.1. 5. Γ. Founders. P. 117. 1. 28. Γ. Greeks. P. 121. 1. 33. Γ. as. P. 110.1. 5. Γ. Founders. P. 117. 1. 28. Γ. Greeks. P. 121. 1. 33. Γ. cas. P. 140.1. 27. Γ. require either of. P. 159. 1. 15. Γ. recorded that the Letters of their Alphabet were. P. 188. 1. 14 after Times dele (1). 1. 15. after thest dele (1). P. 196. in the Margin Γ. vnerder. P. 206. 1.20. Γ. this. P. 216. 1. 1. Γ. which we read of in; P. 230. 1. 15. Γ. Places. P. 244. 1. 27. Γ. which we, and include the following words (which is rendred τεθχασκοι, i.e. rotulæ, by the LXX) in a Parenthesis. P. 322. 1. 33, 34. Γ. the former, and s. were τ. was. P. 336. 1. 30. Γ. might. P. 340.1. 3. Γ. the deadly. P. 361. 1. ult. Γ. Livis. P. 432. 1. 1. after thus insert (2). P. 433. 1. 3. before but leave out (1). P. 491. 1. 13. Γ. that. P. 493. 1. 4. Γ. in the, P. 504. 1. antepenult. Γ. Θυμώσικ. P. 554. 1. 32. dele all. P. 558. 1. 9. Γ. they did. P. 562. 1. 5. Γ. for one. P. 563. 1. 30. Γ. mist. P. 455. 1. 15. Γ. are. The Faults in the Hebrew are less to be corrected by the Learned.

# The CONTENTS of the Several CHAPTERS.

## CHAP. I.

HE different Esteem and Sentiment of Perfons concerning the Authors they make choice of to read. No Writings can equal the Bible. It hath been highly valued in all Ages by Men of the greatest Learning, Wit and Judgment. A Scheme of the following Discourse briefly propounded. The Holy Scriptures are the perfect Rule of Faith: They are the best Conduct of our Lives and Actions. They are the only Ground of solid Consolation, Joy and Happiness. This Perfection of Scripture is opposed by many of the Rabbins. An Account of their Cabala and Oral Law. The Papists by preferring their Traditions before the Scriptures, and by indeavouring to keep these latter in an unknown Tongue, deny the Perfection of them. So do Familists, Quakers, and all Enthusiasts. pag. i.

The Rible is furnish'd with all forts of Humane (as well as Divine) Learning. Hebrew, wherein the Old Testament was written, is the Primitive Language of the World. The True Origine of the World is plainly recorded in no other Writings but these. The first Chapter of Genesis is a real History, and records Matter of fast. It is largely proved that the Mosaick History gives us a

par=

## xviii The CONTENTS.

particular Account of the first Rise of the several Nations and People of the Earth, and of the Places of their Habitation. Also the true Knowledg of the Original of Civil Government, and the Increases of it, and the different Changes it underwent is derived from these Writings. The Courts of Judicature, and the several kinds of Punishment among the Jews distinctly treated of. The Government among the Heathen Nations. The four Celebrated Monarchies or Empires of the World. P. 45

## CHAP. III.

In these Sacred Writings we have the first and earlieft Account of all useful Employments and Callings, wiz. Gardening, Husbandry, feeding of Sheep, preparing of Food. The antient manner of Threshing, Grinding of Corn, and making Bread is enquired into. What was the Primitive Drink. The Posture which they used at eating and drinking. Sitting preceded Discubation. The particular manner of placing themselves on their Beds. Eating in common not always used. Discalceation and Washing the Feet were the Attendants of Eating and Feasting. So was Anointing. They had a Master or Governour of their Feasts. Who were the first Inventers of Mechanick Arts. The first Examples of Architecture. Houses were built flat at top, and why. p. 111

## CHAP. IV.

The first Original of Letters and Writing is recorded here. The several kinds of Materials they wrote upon of old. The Instruments with which they formed their Letters or Characters. The Antientest (as well as the most Excellent) History is in the Bible. So is the Antientest and most Admired Poetry. The first Invention and Practice of Musick,

## The CONTENTS.

and on what Occasions it was wont to be made use of. The Rife of Natural Philosophy, and who were the first Founders of it. The Knowledg of the Holy Scriptures necessary in order to the due Study of Natural Philosophy. The first Instances of Anatomy, Medicks, Chirurgery, Embalming, and the Apothecaries Employment, are in the Old Testament. Here are the first Examples of Shipping and Navigation. An Enquiry into the Place whither Solomon's Navy went every three Years: A Conjecture concerning Ophir. Astronomy and Judiciary Astrology mention'd in Scripture. Of War and Skill in Arms. The Nature of those Military Weapons which are spoken of in Scripture, particularly and distinctly enquir'd into. The Antiquity of Martial Enfigns and Standards. The vast Numbers which the Armies of old consisted of. The Scripture is not silent concerning Sportive Diversions and Exercises: some of which, but especially Dancing, are considered. p. 157

#### CHAP. V.

We are furnished in the Bible with the Knowledg of the first Usages relating to Matrimony. Of Nuptial Feasts; and other Antient Feasts. We have here the first Notices of Buying and Selling, and the Antient use of Money. We learn hence what was the first Apparel, and what Additions there were afterwards. The chief Ornaments of Men and Women, viz. Crowns, Mitres, Frontal Jewels, Ear-rings, (the occasion of wearing these at first, and among what Persons and Nations, together with the Abuse of them) Chains, Bracelets, Finger-Rings and Signets. Changes of Garments. The Antient Use of White Apparel. Fullers Earth. Looking-Glasses. Rending of the Garments. p. 225

## CHAP. VI.

Here we are informed concerning the Primitive Institution of Burying. Graves and Sepulchres were generally in the Fields, and without the Walls of Cities. They usually embalmed the dead Bodies. Why they Sometimes burnt them. Burning also signifies Embalming. There was a Difference between the Funcral Burning of the Jews and of the Heathens. The Manner and Time of Mourning for the Dead. Both Vocal and Instrumental Musick used at Funcrals. The Antiquity of Funeral Monuments. The old way of erecting great Heaps of Stones over the Dead. Stone-heng is a Sepulchral Monument, and in imitation of it. Anah's Invention of Mules. Writers borrow from one another. The Bible only is the Book that is beholden to no other. Here is the Antientest Learning in the World: and that of all Kinds. 'Tis common with Authors to contradict themselves, and one another: they are uncertain, lubricous, and fabulous. But the Divine Writers alone are certain and infallible. How strange and improbable soever some of the Contents of this Holy Book may seem to be, they justly command our firm Assent to them. p. 263

## CHAP. VII.

A particular Distribution of the several Books of the Old Testament. Genesis (the first of them, together with the four following ones) being written by Moses, his ample Character or Panegyrick is attempted, wherein there is a full Account of his Birth, Education, Flight from Court, retired Life, his Return to Egypt, his conducting of the Israelites thence, his immediate Converse with God in the Mount, his delivering the Law, his Divine Eloquence, his Humility and Meekness, his Sufferings, his Miracles, and

## The CONTENTS.

and his particular Fitness to write these Books. A Summary of the several Heads contain'd in Genesis: to which is added a brief but distinct View of the Six Days Works, wherein is explained the Mosaick Draught of the Origine of all things, and at the same time the bold Hypotheses of a late Writer (designed to confront the First Chapter of the Bible) are exposed and refuted. The Contents of the Book of Exodus: to which is adjoined a short Comment on the Ten Plagues of Egypt. A Rehearsal of the remarkable Particulars treated of in Leviticus, Numbers, Deuteronomy. That Moses was the Penman and Author of the Pentateuch, notwithstanding what some have lately objected against it.

p. 305

## CHAP. VIII.

A short Survey of the Books of Joshua, Judges, Ruth, (which is a Supplement to the History of the Judges)
Samuel, the Kings, Chronicles, Ezra, (which is a Continuation of the Chronicles) Nehemiah, Either.
The Author, Stile, Composure, Matter of the Book of Job discussed. An Enquiry into the Penmen, Subjects, Kinds, Titles, Poetick Meter and Rhythm of the Psalms.

P. 350

## CHAP. IX.

The Book of Proverbs, why so call'd. The transcendent Excellency of these Divine and Inspired Aphorisms. Some Instances of the Different Application of the Similitudes used by this Author. The Book of Ecclesiastes, why so entituled. The Admirable Subject of it succinstly displayed. The particular Nature of the Canticle or Mystical Song of Solomon briefly set forth. It is evine'd from very cogent Arguments, that Solomon died in the Favour of God, and was saved. The Books of the Four Great Prophets, Isaiah, Jeremiah, with his Lamentations,

## xxii The CONTENTS.

Ezekiel, Daniel, are described. So are those of the Twelve Lesser Prophets, Hosea, &c. p. 379

#### CHAP. X.

An Account of the Writings of the Four Evangelists; the peculiar Time, Order, Stile, Design of their Gospels. The Acts of the Apostles show'd to be an Incomparable History of the Primitive Church. The Epistles of St. Paul particularly delineated. He is proved to be the Author of the Epistle to the Hebrews. An Enquiry into the Nature of this Apostle's Stile and manner of Writing. The excellent Matter and Design of the Epistles of St. James, St. Peter, St. John, St. Jude. An Historical Series or Order is not observed in the Book of the Revelation. P. 415

#### C HAP. XI.

None of the Books of the Holy Scripture are lost: Not the Book of the Covenant: Nor the Book of the Wars of the Lord: Nor the Book of Jasher: Nor the Acts of Uzziah. An Account of the Book of Samuel the Seer, the Book of Nathan the Prophet, the Book of Gad the Seer, the Book of Iddo, the Books of Shemaiah, Jehu, &c. What is to be thought concerning the Books of Solomon, mention'd I Kings 4. 32, 33. Objections drawn from Jam. 4.5. from Luke II. 49. from Acts 20. 35. from Jude v. 14. from I Cor. 5.9. from Col. 4.16. fully satisfied. Other Objections from I Cor. 7.6, 12, 25. 2 Cor. 8. 8. & II. 17. particularly answer'd.

#### CHAP. XII.

A short View of the Eastern Translations of the Old Testament, especially of the Targums. The several Greek Translations, more especially that of the LXX Jewish Elders. The impartial History of them, and their

## The CONTENTS. xxiii

their Version. Some immoderately extolit; others as excessively inveigh against it. The true Grounds of the Difference between the Hebrew Text and the Greek Translation of the Septuagint assigned, viz. One Hebrew Vowel is put for another: One Confonant for another, sometimes both Vowels and Consonants are mistaken: The Difference of the Signification of some Hebrew Words is another Cause: Sometimes the Sense rather than the Word it self is attended to: Some Faults are to be attributed to the "Transcribers: Some, because the LXX are Paraphrasts rather than Translators; they take the liberty to insert Words and Passages of their own. The Greek Version hath been designedly corrupted in several Places. Why the Apostles in their Sermons and Writings made use of this Version, though it was faulty. Sometimes the Sacred Writers keep close to the Hebrew Text, and take no notice of the Seventy's Translation of the Words. At other times in their Quotations they confine themselves to neither, but use a Latitude. The Greek Version is to be read with Candour and Caution: and must always give way to the Hebrew Original. The chief Latin Translations of the Bible, especially the Vulgar, examined. Modern Latin Translations, and lastly our own English one, consider'd. P· 477

#### CHAP. XIII.

Our English Translation shew'd to be faulty and defective in some Places of the Old Testament. But more largely and fully this is performed in the several Books of the New Testament, where abundant Instances are produced of this Defect: and particular Emendations are all along offer'd, in order to the rendring our Translation more exact and compleat. The Date of the Division of the Bible into Chapters and Verses.

P. 532
C H A P.

1)

## CHAP. XIV.

The Reader is invited to the Study of the Bible, as he values the Repute of a Scholar and a Learned Man. That he may successfully study this Holy Book, he must be furnish'd with Tongues, Arts, History, &c. It is necessary that he be very Inquisttive and Diligent in searching into the Mind and Design of the Sacred Writers: In examining the Coherence of the Words: In Comparing Places together: In observing and discovering the peculiar Grace and Elegancy, and sometimes the Verbal Allusions and Cadences of the Holy Scripture, of which several Instances are given. He must also be Morally qualified to read this Book, i. e. be ought to banish all Prejudice: He must be Modest and Humble: He must endeavour to free bimself from the Love of all Vice: He must with great Earnestness implore the Assistance of the Holy Spip. 569

ΟĚ

## OF THE

## Excellency & Perfection

OF THE

## Holy Scriptures.

## CHAP. I.

The different Esteem and Sentiment of Persons concerning the Authors they make choice of to read. No Writings can equal the Bible. It hath been highly valued in all Ages by Men of the greatest Learning, Wit and Judgment. A Scheme of the following Discourse briefly propounded. The Moly Scriptures are the perfect Rule of Faith. They are the best Conduct of our Lives and Actions. They are the only Ground of folid Consolation, Joy and Happiness. This Perfection of Scripture is opposed by many of the Rabbins. An Account of their Cabala and Oral Law. The Papists by preferring their Traditions before the Scriptures, and by indeavouring to keep these latter in an unknown Tongue, deny the Perfection of them. So do Familifts, Quakers, and all Enthusiasts.

T may be observed that the Minds of Men have been differently disposed as to the choice of the Authors they would read; and their Esteem and Value of them have been as various. It hath been usual for Persons to express a

par-

particular Kindness for one Writer above another. Thus Homer of old was excessively magnified by those famous Warriors Agesilaus and Alexander the Great: The former read him continually at home and in the Camp, and whenever he had any time to spare for Reading: The latter could not sleep without his Iliads under his Pillow. Scipio, firnamed the African, had a great Opinion of Xe-

nophon's Institution of Cyrus, and was always confulting it, and valued it at a high rate. So among Christians, St. Cyprian was a great Admirer of Tertullian; and when he had a mind to read him, his usual Saying was, Give me my Master. Charles the Great was hugely taken with St. Augustine de Civitate Dei, and had it constantly read to him, yea even at Supper. King Alphonsus in all his Expeditions, and at all other times, carried Julius

Cafar's Commentaries, others fay Livy's Hiltory, with him. Theodore Gaza gave his Vote for Plutarch's Works, and was so pleased with them, that he protested if he could have but one Man's Writings, he would certainly choose His before all others. Thomas Aquinas was no less in love with

St. Chrysostom on St. Matthew, and expressed his high Electm of him by faying, he preferr'd him before the goodly City of Paris. Charles the Vth gave a greater Deference to Comines than to any other Writer, and perpetually conversed with him. Scaliger would rather be the Author of the ninth Ode of Horace than be Emperor of Germany.

And to come down yet lower, Grotius gives Cija? cius the Preserence to all the other Commentators on the Imperial Laws. Salmalius admired no Divine fo much as Calvin, and particularly preferred his Institutions. And the Reverend Mr. B. Oley tells us,

if he were to be confined to one Author, he would choose

choose Dr. Jackson's Works. Thus have Mens Sentiments and Esteems been various about Books, some preferring one Writer, and some another, according as their Genius or Studies led them.

But when we mention the Bible, i.e. the Book of Books, we are certain there is no Comparison between This and any others what soever. This Sacred Volume is emphatically, and by way of Eminence, call'd Tà Bishía, as if other Books in respect of This deserv'd not the Name. For in what other Writings can we defery those Excellencies which we find in This? None of them can equal it in Antiquity, for the first Penman of the Sacred Scripture (who relates the Origine of the World, and whose Writings contain the Acts and Monuments of the Patriarchs) liath the start of all Philosophers, Poets and Historians, and is absolutely the Antientest Writer extant in the World. No Writings are equal to these of the Bible, if we mention only the stock of Humane Learning contain'd in them. Here Linguists and Philologists may find that which is to be found no where else. Here Rhetoricians and Orators may be entertained with a more lofty Eloquence, with a choicer Compositre of Words, and with greater Variety of Stile than any other Writers can afford them. Here is a Book where more is understood than expressed, where Words are few, but the Sense is full and redundant. No Books equal This in Authority, because k is the Word of God himself, and dictated by an unerring Spirit. It excels all other Writings in the Excellency of its Matter, which is the Highest, Noblest, and Worthiest, and of the Greatest Concern to Mankind. Lastly, (to name no more at present, that I may not anticipate what is intended in the following Discourse) the Scriptures tran-Ba stend

scend all other Writings in their Power and Efficacy. This Word of God is pure, enlightning the Eyes, irradiating Mens Minds with Supernatural Truth, affecting their Hearts and Consciences, subduing the Refractoriness of their Wills, transforming their Lives, and changing them into other Perfons. Thence it is that all Men of well-disposed Souls find a plain Difference between their reading This and other Books. When they read those, it is true they are something affected and pleased, the Stile or the Matter give them some Satisfaction; but if they read them often, and confine themselves to them, their former Pleasure and Satisfaction abate, and the Authors feem not to be so entertaining and acceptable as they were before, and at length they become burdenfom and nauseous; and hence it is that some Writers grow out of fashion, and other New ones are called for. But it is far otherwise with this Holy Book: the Affection and Pleasure which you feel in the reading it are lasting and durable, because this Blessed Word sinks down into the Center of the Soul, and is always prefent with it. Though you lay this Book aside, and afterwards take it up, and do so again and again, yea never so often, you will not find it grow worse, but much better, i. e. it will yield you greater Delight and Satisfaction; and the oftner you converse with it, the more you will discern the Worth of it, yea the more pleasing will the very Words and Syllables of these Divine Writings be to you. For what the Great Critick observes of Homer's Poem; that there is a certain kind of Peculiar Easiness and Sliding in his Verse, which are not to be found in any other Poets, is eminently true of the Holy Scrip+

Scriptures, if compared with other Authors: there is a peculiar Sweetness, a matchless Softness and Pleasantness in the Stile of these Holy Books; the Words as well as the Matter are Winning and Ravishing, and all pure and fanctified Minds have a clear Perception of this, yea the clearer, because they so frequently converse with these Inspired Writers. We may then on this Account, as well. as on others, challenge the World to shew us where there is any Book like this, where there is any Author comparable to it. In all Humane Writers, there is fomething wanting, fomething imperfect; but in this Sacred Volume there are all things, and every thing here is compleat. To the Holy Scriptures therefore all other Writings must vail, to this Best of Books they must all submit, and acknowledg their Meanness and Inferiority.

Hence it was that the Wisest and Best Men (as we may observe) did always extol the Scriptures. 3. I adore the Plenitude of the Scripture, said Tertullian; and to him have ecchoed the relt of the Antient Fathers, especially St. Cyprian, Jerom, Augustine, Chrysostom, who have highly magnified the Writings of the Prophets and Apostles, and have been very Rhetorical in their Panegyricks upon them. These and some other Brave Men in the first Ages of the Church fignalized themselves by their Reverence and Esteem of the Scriptures; and fome of them confecrated their Wit and Poetry to this Noble Caufe. Nor have these latter Ages been destitute of Persons of the most Celebrated Parts and Learning that have adored the Fulness and Perfection of the Scripture, and have used their Wit and

Lib. advers. Hermogenem. 4 Juveneus, Nazianzen, Bisil, Sedulius, Prudentius, Arator, Rusticus Elpidius.

and Eloquence in fetting forth its Praises. Adjroin lius Ficinus, that Great Philosophick Soul, and the Noble Pious Mirandula, who was the best Linguist and Scholar of his Age, two as Learned Italians as that Nation ever bred, (and who may more than compound for those two other walians mentioned in my former Discourse, who so impiously vilified the Sacred Writings) after they had read all good Authors, reflect in the Bible as the only Book; and particularly it was pronounced by the latter of them, that now be had found the Time Eloquence and Wifdom. Yea, these last Times have produced Men of the Choicest Brains, of the Briskest Parts, of the Greatest Humane Learning, who have employod these excellent Talents in embelishing the Sacrod Scriptures; witness Castollio, who hath turned the Whole Bible into Puro, Terfe, Elegant Latin, able to tempt us to read this Book: And Grotins hath incomparably affected the Propriety and Elegancy of the Sacred Stile; and many Other excollent Persons who have defended this Holy Book against the Infults and Cavils of Profune Men. We could name Others of the most Sparkling Wit and Fancy, who have exercised their Poetick Genius in defeateling either on the Sacred Hiltory of the Bible, or on those Divine Matters which are contained in it, and have thought their Pens, yea Rootry it felf, enobled by fuch a Subject. We could mention others of the molt Serious Thoughts and of the most impartial Judgment, not only among those that are Professed Divines, and that have adorned the Sacred Scripture by their Learn-

Du-Bartas, Buchanan, Bistiop Hall, Sir Giorge Sandys, Dr. Donne, Mr. Grashette, Mr. Highert, Dr. Beaumont, Mr. Cowler, Mr. Aditon, Dr. Afers, Mr. Navis, Mr. Woodford, Dr. Patrick, Vida, Westy.

ed Expositions, Comments, Annotations, Paraphrases, Lectures, Sermons, Discourses, but also among Perfors of another Rank and Capacity, who have given the Bible the Pre-eminence of all Writings. I will at prefent mention only Mr. Selden and Judg Hale: the former was one of the greatest Scholars and Antiquaries of this Age, and made a vast Amassment of Books and Manuscripts from all Parts of the World, a Library perhaps not to be equall'd, on all Accounts, in the Universe: This Man of Books and Learning holding fome ferious Conference with Archbishop Osher a little before he died, professed to lim, that "notwithstanding be had possessed himself of that vast Treasure of Books and Manuscripts in all antient Subjects, yet he could rest his Soul on none but the Scriptures. And hear what the other Gentleman of the same Studies and Profession declares. I have been acquainted somewhat with Men and Books, and have had long Experience in Learning and in the World. There is no Book like the Bible for excellent Learning, Wisdom and Use: and it is want of Understanding in them that think or speak otherwise. This is sufficient to shew that the most Noble and Refined Wits, the most Knowing and the most Judicious Heads, bear the greatest Regard and Esteem for the Holy Scriptures, and prefer them before all other Writings in the World. It may pass for a Certain Maxim, that the more learned any Man is, the more he prizeth the Bible, the greater Regard he hath for these Sacred Records. It was faid of old, that it was a Sign of a great Proficiency in Good Letters to love Tully's Writings. It is much more a Sign of our Improvement in true

In his List. ' Judg Hale, in his Letter to one of his Sons. Ciceronem amaile, profecisse est. Quintil.

Learning that we delight in the Holy Scriptures, and love them above all Writings whatfoever. We shew our Proficiency by reverently esteeming the Bible, and preferring it before all other Authors. We discover that we have a Sense of True and Useful Knowledg, when we value this Book wherein it is contained, when we admire this Volume where all Excellencies meet together.

To evince this, I will undertake these following

I. To shew the matchless Usefulness of the Bible in respect of Spiritual, Divine and Supernatural Matters.

II. To demonstrate its Transcendent Excellency in regard of things Temporal and Secular, such as are for the Improvement of all kinds of Humane Learning, and for the Use of Life. III. To give a Proof of this Excellency and Per-

fection, by a particular displaying of the several Books contain'd in this Holy Volume.

IV. To let you see that this Persection is not impaired by what is objected and alledged.

1. Concerning the Loss of some Books which had formerly been a part of the Old and New Testament.

2. Concerning the great Difference between the Hebrew of the Old Testament and the Greek Translation of the Seventy. Where I will endeavour to discover the true Grounds and Foundations of those Mistakes that are in the LXX's Version, and shew whence it arises that there is such a Discrepan-

cy between that and the Original Verity.

V. I will attempt an Emendation of the present

English Version, which in several Places seems

to me to be defective; that I may hereby reftore the New Testament (for of that I shall chiefly speak) to its native Persection and Lustre.

Lastly, I will invite and solicit the Reader to the Study of the Bible, and direct him in so laudable and worthy an Employment.

First, I will demonstratively prove the Transcendent Excellency of these Writings in respect of the things which are Divine, and have an immediate relation to Religion. Thus they are the only Canon of our Faith, the exact Standard of our Lives, and they mark us out the Way to solid Comfort, Peace and Happiness. These are the three things I will insist upon.

1. This Holy Book is the Absolute and Perfection Rule of our Faith. This comprises in it every thing that is the Object of our Belief, the Maker of our Assent. Here we are taught to believe a God, an Immortal, Independent, All-sufficient, Self-fublishing Spirit; who is infinitely Wife, Powerful. Just and Merciful: who though he was ineffably happy in the fruition of his own immense and transcendent Perfections; yet, that he might communicate his Goodness to others, was pleased to frame the World, with all the excellent Furniture which we behold in it. By the Word of the Lord the Heavens were made, and all the Host of them by the Breath of his Mouth, Pfal. 33.6. He laid the Foundations of the Earth, and gave to the Sea his Decree, and set a Compass on the Face of the Deep, Psal. 104. 5. Prov. 8.27,29. We are affured from these Writings, that God's Providence governs the World, and all things in it, whether great or small, Pfal. 147.8, &c. Matth. 10. 29,30, &c. And that he doth what-

The Excellency and Perfection 10

whatfoever he pleaseth both in Heaven and Edith, Pfal. i 19.3. But more especially the Divine Oracles acquaint is, that this Divine and Benign Author, gave existence unto Man, the Choicest of all the Creatures of this lower World, whom he created in bis own Image, after his Likeness, Gen. 1. 26, 27. that is, in Knowledg, Righteoughess and true Holiness, Col. 3. 10. Eph. 4. 24. And we are told in these Sacred Writings, how Man lost this Image, and miferably defaced and corrupted his Nature, viz. by liftning to the Temptation of Satan, and by wilful disobeying the Divine Command. Here alfo we are informed, that all Flesh is defiled and polluted by this Transgrellion of our First Parents in Pavadife, and that their Sin is become the Sin of All Mankind, Rom. 5.12. Hence we learn moreover, that the Merciful Creator, out of his infinite and boundless Philanthropy, vouchsafed to promise, that the Seed of the Woman, the Blessed Jefus, who was to be born of a Virgin, flould Built Savan's Hedd, Gen. 3. 15. and lave and redeem 1611 Mankind, and restore them to their former State of Happiness. Here is taught the RITE of Religio on and the Church, which began with our Penitent First Parents, and their Children; of whom Abol was the Chief. Their first and early way of expressing their Devotion and Religion, was by Offerings and Sacrifices unto God, Gen. 4. 4. To which end, without doubt, they erected Alears, though these are not mentioned till after the Flood, Gen. 8. 20. We are told at what time there was established an Open and more Solemn worshipping of God, viz. in Seth's days; then it was that Men began to call upon the Name of the Lord, and to form a Visible Church, Gen. 4. 26. i. e. an Orderly and Solemn Society of Men, gather'd and

chosen

chosen out as a peculiar People to serve God. For as Men encreased, they began to embody themselves into Communions, and to worship God more signally and openly, and with a joint Consent. Here (and no where else) we have an Account of the Church's Progress and Increase, under the good Patriarchs, Noah, Abraham, &c. Here we are informed what were the feveral Defections and Restorations of Religion in the first Ages. Here we have an Account of the Erection of the Levitical or Mofaick Service; the whole System of Religious Rites and Ceremonies, unto which the lewish Church was obliged. This yields abundant Matter of Contemplation and Enquiry to the Studious, who will find that these Observances were instituted after the Ifraelites had been a while in the Wilderness, and had shew'd themselves inclinable to commit idolatry. Then it was that God by Moles gave them these Laws, and prescribed them these Usages, which he knew would be the hest Antidote against the Idolatrous Practices of the Nations that were round about them. And withal, if we look into these Ceremonies with a difeerning Eye, we shall see that they had a farther End, and were Prefignifications of the great and wonderful Transactions of the Evangelical Dispensation, that they obscurely pointed unto the Messias, and his Blessed Undertakings for the Redemption of Mankind. They were Forerunners and Harbingers of the Bleffed Child Jesus, that Child that was to be horn, that Son who was to be given, and on whose Shoulders the Government was to be settled, Isa. 9.6. And we are ascertained that in the fulness of time, God actually sent forth this his Son, made of a Woman, Gal. 4. 4. that He so loved tho World, that he gave his only begotten Son, that whoseever

ever believesh in him should not perish, but have everlasting Life, John 3. 16. All me like Sheep have gone aftray, we have turned every one to his own way, and the Lord bath laid on him the Iniquity of us all, 1sa. 53.6. He bare our Sins in his own Body on the Tree, Pct. 2. 4. He was wounded for our Transgressions, be was bruised for our Iniquities: the chastisement of our Peace was upon him, and with his Stripes we are healed, Isa. 53. 5. The True Nature, the Admirable Method, and the Inestimable Worth of this Healing and Saving us, are the main Subject of these Inspired Writings: where we are taught likewise, that this Salvation is Free and Undeserved, and founded on the Meer Grace and Bounty of God, and is not the Acquist of any Merit and Worth in us. We are justified freely by his Grace, through the Redemption that is in Christ Jesus, whom God bath set forth to be a Propitiation for Sin, through Faith in his Blood, Rom. 3. 24. And in the Evangelical Hiftory, we. are told, that this Blessed Redeemer, who laid: down his Life for us, took it up again, rifing from the Grave by the irreliftible Power of his Godhead, and after a few Days Ascended gloriously into Heaven; from whence He shall come at the last Day to call the whole World to an Account :: for He hath appointed a Day in which he will judg: the World in Righteousness. Then all the Dead shall hasten out of their Dormitories, and stand before that Great Tribunal, and receive Sentence according to their past Behaviour. These are some of the Grand Principles of our

Faith, these are the Fundamental Verities of our Religion: and they are originally setch'd from this Sacred Volume, and are established and confirmed there, by unanswerable Arguments and Demonstrations. Behold here the Eminency of Scrip.

ture-Notions, see the Transcendency of these Excellent Truths, which are contain'd in the Bible! Here are things of a higher Nature than any Moral Writings afford us. These say nothing of the Gracious Occonomy of the Gospel, of the Incarnation of the Son of God, of Satisfaction made for Sins through the Blood of Christ, of Instification by his Rightcousness, and other the like unparallell'd Discoveries, which are to be learn'd out of Scripture only. In short, the Bible is the Standard of all Notions, Propolitions and Articles in Religion: it is the Rule and Square of all our Opinions, Discourses and Arguments relating to Christianity; and all our Conceptions, though they feem never fo fine and plausible, are of little worth and nie, unless they be regulated according to This. If there arise any Disputes and Controversies concerning Matters of Christian Faith. This is the Judg that we must have recourse to, or rather This is the Rule by which we are to judg: for every Man is to judg and choose, and the Rule whereby he is to guide his Judgment and Choice is the Scripture. It is true, Reason or Conscience is our Immediate Guide or Rule: but then we must have a Mediate Rule; that is, a Guide or Rule for our Reasons and Consciences. and That in all Sacred and Religious things is the Word of God, and That is the only Rule. By This, and This alone, all Controversics of Faith which are necessary to be decided, may, and ought to be decided. And it is the Excellency and Perfection of this Rule, that it is Infallible. This is that more fure Word of Prophecy, which St. Peter preferreth before Eye-Witnesses and Voices from Heaven, 2 Pet. 1. 16, &c. Yea, though an Angel from Heaven (bould preach any other Dollvine than-

The Excellency and Perfection than what the Apostles preach'd, and afterwards committed to Writing, St. Paul pronounceth hint accursed, Gal. 1.8. These Infallible Records, these undoubted Oracles of the Holy Chaft in Scripture, are the standing Rule of Belief to all Christians, even to the End of the World. On this they may rely with Confidence, as on an Uncrring Guide; for it is not like other Books which are made by Men, and therefore are not void of Errors and Mistakes; but the Author of it is God, who is Truth it felf, and can neither deceive, nor be deceived. Thus the Canonical Books of the Old and New Testament, are the Compleat and Abfolute Rule of our Belief, and of all Supernatural Truth.

2. They are the Perfect Rule of Life and Manners: they contain all things to be Done as well as to be Believed. Here is the Decalogue, the Sum of all our Duty towards God and Man; and the Necessary Precepts of Life, comprised in it, are often repeated, enlarged upon, and explained, through the whole Sacred Book. To these are added the Evangelical Duties of Self-denial, Mortification, Poverty of Spirit, Purity of Heart, Brotherly Love, Heavenly-Mindedness, Circumspect Walking, Redeeming the Time, Abstaining from all appearance of Evil, Giving no Offence to any, and many others of the like Nature. The Writings of the Gospel forbid us to be Carnal, Senfual and Earthly, and call upon us to converse with Spiritual and Celestial Objects, to set our Affestions on things Above, and to work our Minds to such a Temper that we may defire to depart out of this Body, and to be with Christ, which is far better than groveling here below. And Christianity promotes this Heavenly-mindedness by giving us a

Power

Power over Our felves, by restoring us to a Government of our Bodily Appetites and Passions. so that the Soul thereby becomes Pure and Defecate, purged from all mundane Drofs and Filth. fitted for Heavenly Joys, and therefore most earnestly breathes and longs after them. Here we learn, that Christianity is repugnant in all things to Satan's Kingdom, and delignedly promotes the Kingdom of God; it bids us not feek our felves. and aim chiefly at worldly Respects, but it enjoineth us to Humble and Debase our selves, and to Glorify God in all, to advance his Honour in the World, and next to that, to look after the Salvation of our own and others immortal Souls. These are the Noble and Worthy Designs of Christianity, and the Laws of it: their Business: is to take us off from those low and mean Brojects which Men of the World carry on, and to set the Soul of Man in a right Posture, and to fix it on right Ends. The Christian Precepts reach to the Hearts of Men, they restrain the secret Thoughts and inward Motions of the Mind, they curb the inordinate Desires and Wishes, they temper the Affections and Passions, especially they forbid Revenge, Malice, Hatred; and they direct us to love God, and to bear Love to all Men for his Sake. The Christian Laws give Rules for our Words and Speeches, and will not allow them to be Idle and Vain, much less Prophane and Impious; but they command our Discourse to be almays with Grace, feafon'd with Salt, to favour of Goodness and Piety, and to be for the Edifying of those we converse with. The Commandments of the Gospel do also govern the Outward Actions of our Lives, and bid us be Holy in all manner of Conversation: They enjoin Chastity and Continence,

tinence, Temperance and Sobriety; they forbid Lust and Luxury, Pride and Sensuality: They teach Courtely, Affability, Meekness, Candour, Gentleness towards our Brethren: They bid us be Kind and Charitable to all, and even to love our Enemies. Christianity is a Religion that is exactly Jult, and gives the strictest Rules of dealing Honestly and Uprightly with our Neighbours. Even Morality, which is the very Foundation and Ground-work of All Religions, is most Illustrious here. Christianity hath the Impress of Reason. Civility, and all Acceptable Qualities. It forbids nothing that is Fitting and Decorous, it countenances all that is Manly and Generous, it is agreeable to the Law of Nature and the Reason of Mankind. In these Sacred Writings the Duty of Christians is set down not only as they are Single, but as they stand in relation to others, and as they are Members of the Community. There are Peculiar Lessons for Persons in every Condition, for Husbands and Wives, for Masters and Servants, for Parents and Children, for Superiours, Equals and Inferiours. They are all provided here with Instructions and Directions proper to that State they are in. They are very Remarkable Words which a 'Reverend Divine of our Church uttered; " Would Men apply their Minds (saith he) to stu-" dy Scripture, and observe their own and others " Course of Life, Experience would teach them "that there is no Estate on Earth, nor humane " Business in Christendom this Day on foot, but " have a Ruled Case in Scripture for their Issue and

" Success. This is a Great Truth, and is no mean

Demonstration of the Excellency of these Holy Writings which I am speaking of. Here are also the most Notable Instances of all those Vertues and Graces which adorn the Life of Man. Here is the Example of Abel's fincere and acceptable Devotion; of Enoch's walking with God; of Noah's untainted Faithfulness amidst the Temptations of the corrupt World; of Abraham's Faith and Self-denial, when he offered his only Son on the Altar, of Joseph's Resolved Chastity, when he once and again relifted the lustful Solicitations of his Mistress. Here is the Example of Moses's Publick Spirit, who defired his Name might be blotted out of the Book of Life rather than that Nation should perish. Here you read of Aaron's submissive Silence; of Reuben's fraternal Commiseration; of Rabab's Seasonable Wisdom, which was the Effect of her Faith, in concealing the Spies that were fearch'd for. Here we may observe Phineas's Active Zeal; Eli's Entire Submission to the Divine Pleasure; Job's Invincible Patience; Josiah's Early Piety; his and Jehosaphat's Care to reform the Church; Jonathan's entire Friendship; Manasses and Peter's Repentance; John Baptist's Austerity; the Centurion's Faith; Stephen's Charity to his Enemies at his Death. Briefly, here is commemorated the Religious and Holy Demeanour of all Ranks and Degrees of Persons, whether in Prosperity or Adverfity; whether in Youth, Manhood, or Old Age, or in whatsoever Condition of Life they were placed. Where can we find fuch glorious Atchievements as the Sacred History recounts unto us? Where are there such Perfect Paterns of Vertue? Where do you meet with such Noble Acts as some of the Holy Patriarchs, Prophets and Apostles are celebrated for? The Great Heroes spoken

of in the Writings of the Pagans are generally but Ideas of Vertue, and a kind of Harmles Romances to preach Goodness to Men. Virgit's Eneas, Xenophon's Cyrus, Curtius's Alexander, Pliny's Trajan, are rather Ingenious Portraictures and Images of Worthy Princes than Real Characters of them. They represent rather what they should be than what they are. They imitate some Limners who study not to draw the Face exactly like that of the Person they are to pourtray; so they make it Fair, they think it is enough. But the Sacred Writers have not done so, they have no ways flattered or misrepresented the Originals they drew. They have fet them before us in their proper Features, native Lineaments, and genuine Colours. What we read of the Worthies mention'd in the Bible, is Certainly True, and Real Matter of Fact: Such was their Incomparable Spirit, that they did braver and greater Actions than Others ever thought of, witness the matchless Valour, Fortitude and Conduct of Joshua, Jephthab, Gideon, yea of those Masculine Women Deborah and Jael; witness all the Other Eminent Instances of Heroick Undertakings in the Sacred Records; witness those Exact Paterns, those Accurate Examples of the rest of the Vertues which we read of there. And to illustrate and set off these, there are added very Signal and Memorable Examples of all forts of Vices, as of Cain's Perfidious Murdering his Brother, Laban's Fraud and Ingratitude, Efau's Unruly Appetite, Reuben and Judah's Incest, Pharaoh's impious Obstinacy, Abimelech's unnatural Cruelty to his Brethren, Dinah's wanton gadding, Amnon's Rape, Achitophel's evil Policy, Shimei's Railing, Haman's revengeful Pride, Rabshakeh's Blasphemy, Belshazzar's sacrilegious Debauchery. Pouphar's Wife is

an Example of the Impudence and Outragiousness of Lust when it is repulsed; Eli is an Instance of Fond Indulgence to his Children; Absalom, Achitophel, Sheba and Zimri, of Treason and Rebellion; Samson and Solomon of an Undue Love of Women: And in the New Testament the Hypocrisy of the Pharisees, the Treachery of Judas, the Timorous Compliance of Pilate, the Malice of the Jews against our Saviour, the Apostacy of Demas, the Ambition of Diotrephes, are notorious. And innumerable other Examples there are of all manner of Immorality and Wickedness.

And with these are mixed the most Signal Instances of the Punishment of Vice, and the Reward of Vertue. Here are abundant Proofs of God's Extreme Severity and Vengeance against profligate Offenders; and here are as frequent Tokens and Assurances of the Divine Love and Kindness towards those that lead a holy and religious Life. Here are set before us the most Conspicuous Acts of God's Providence in reference both to Bad Men and Good, that by the former we may be discouraged, yea deterred from continuing in the ways of Vice, and that by the latter we may be inconfaged, yea as 'twere bribed to be Vertuous and Good. Here we may observe and admire God's Wonderful Care of his Servants in all Ages of the World; and here we may take notice of the Variety of those Evils and Miseries which he inslicteth on those who wilfully decline his Service, and give themselves up to their Lusts. There are no where such Eminent Examples of this Nature to be found as these which we meet with in the Sacred Volume of the Bible. No other Writings can produce fuch Remarkable Discoveries of God's Will towards Men, and of his Dealings with them:  $C_2$ Where-

Wherefore These must needs be the Best Conduct of our Lives and Actions, the Best Reformers of our Ways and Manners: Which is the Meaning of the Psalmist in Psal. 119.9. Wherewith shall a young Man cleanse his way? By taking beed thereto, according to thy Word, i. e. by making the Holy Scripture his Rule, and by adjusting all his Actions to it. If the Youthful and Passionate Sinner may be reclaimed and reformed by attending to God's Word, and that only the Pentateuch or the Laws of Moses (for this was all the Inspired Scripture extant at that time, which we certainly know of) then we cannot despair of the Success and happy Influence of the whole Body of the Scriptures upon Others. It will throughly change and amend their Lives by making a full Discovery to them of all their Lusts and evil Affections, by representing Sin to them in its own native Deformity, and by setting before them the Beauties and Excellencies of a Religious Life, by being a Faithful Monitor and Guide to them whenever they undertake any thing, by shewing them the true Boundaries of Good and Evil, and by directing them how to accomplish the one, and to avoid the other. The Sum of all is, that these Inspired Writings acquaint us with the Whole Will of God, whether it refers to our Belief or to our Practice, and consequently that not only our Faith, but our Manners are to be regulated by this Holy Book. Especially by the Principles and Laws of the New Testament they will more conspicuously be exalted, and all Righteousness and Godliness more visibly promoted in our Lives. For here is the most Perfect and Consummate Exemplar of Holiness; in the Evangelical Writings the Blessed Jesus Rill speaks and lives: In these you may hear what he faid, and see what he did;

and know how you are to conform your Lives according to His. Whence you have Reason to infer, that as these Writings are the Compleat Canon of our Faith, so they are the Adequate Rule of our Actions.

Nay, although we should suppose some Mistakes in them by the Fault of Transcribers, (which yet no Man can certainly prove, nay it is not by any means to be allowed, and therefore it is the most culpable thing in Sir N. Knatchbull, that he is feveral times finding Faults in the Transcribers of the New Testament, which if we once grant, we bid farewel to the Certainty of Scripture: But if we should, I say, suppose some Slips in the Copying out of the Books, yet) still they retain the same Character, because those supposed Mistakes are not of Moment, and belong not to Faith or Manners. Neither do the Obscurity or Difficulty of Scripture hinder it from being our Rule, because all the Matters in it which relate to our Salvation, are clear and easy. For when I say it is an Adequate Rule of Faith and Manners, the Meaning is, that it is so as to such Matters of Faith and Manners as are Necessary to be believed and practifed by us. Now nothing is Necessary but what is absolutely requisite to our Salvation. This then is the thing which we maintain, that the Scriptures contain in them either in express Terms, or by just Consequence, all things to be afferted and done by us in order to our being Saved. The Reason of which is evident, namely, because the End for which the Scriptures were written was this, to direct us how to be Saved. This is the grand Design of it, and therefore there must be in it all things that are requisite to this great End and Design. Which is expressed thus in the Words of Our Church,

Church, " " Holy Scripture containeth all things " necessary to Salvation; so that whatsoever is not read therein, nor may be proved thereby, " is not to be required of any Man, that it should " be believed as an Article of the Faith, or be thought requifite or necessary to Salvation. And this is a sufficient and solid Proof of a thing's not being Necessary to Salvation, that it is not contain'd in Scripture. This then we affert, that these Writings are Plain and Perfect as to all Matters that are Necessary, and accordingly are able to put an End to all Controversies which relate to Salvation: And if Men will not end them with This Rule, they will never do it with any. This is the Chief Perfection of Scripture, that in it the whole Will of God, as to those things that have a necessary Tendency to our Happiness, and consequently are the only Necessary Things to be known and done by us, is plainly revealed. The New Testament particularly is the last Revelation of God's Will and Counfel, and nothing is to be added to it or taken from it, which makes it a Perfect Standard of Belief, and a Compleat Rule of our Lives, in which there is nothing short and defective, nothing supersuous and redundant. Here are all the Principles of True Religion, and all the Measures of Holy Living: fo that whilst we proceed according to this Perfect Canon, we are infallibly certain of the Truth of what we believe, and of the Restitude and Lawfulness of what we act. On this fole Account the Holy Writ excels all Writings in the World belides.

3. Wc

3. We are to adjoin this, that as it is a Light to our Understandings, and a Rule of our Lives, so it is the grand Procurer of our Comfort, Joy and Tranquility. Alas, they are Cold Topicks of Consolation which the Writings of the Bell Moralists afford us. When our outward Distresses and Miseries, much more when our inward and spiritual Maladies increase upon us, Epictetus and Seneca, with all their Spangled Sayings, are too mean Physicians to take us in Hand. The Great Cicero, when in the Close of his Life he was reduced to marvelous Difficulties, declared that his Learning and his Books, afforded him not any Considerable Arguments of Comfort; that the Disease of his Mind, which he lay under was 'too great, and too strong to be cured by those Ordinary Medicines which Philosophy administred to him. There must be some greater Traumatick, some more powerful Application to these Wounds to work a perfect Cure. And this Divine Book is able to furnish us with it. This alone can remove our Pains and Languors, and restore us to an entire Health. 'This, saith the Psalmist, is my Comfort in my Affliction, Thy Word hath quickned me: And again, ' Unless thy Law had been my Delight, I should then have perished in my Affliction. It was this which upheld and chear'd him in his greatest Straits, and yielded him Light and Joy, when all things about him look'd black and difmal. If but a small part of the Bible had this blessed Effect, how powerful and successful will All of it prove, if we duly confult it, feriously meditate

Article the 6th, viz. Of the Sufficiency of the Holy Scriptures

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Imbecillior est Medicina quam Morbus. Epist. 16. ad Actic. Lib. 10. 2 Psal. 119. 50. 2 v. 92.

24

of the Holy Scriptures.

meditate upon it, and give it admittance into our Hearts? If the Apostle could say, Whatsoever things were written afore time in this Book, were written for our Learning, that we through Patience and Comfort of the Scriptures might have Hope, how much greater Hope must needs be administred to us, in all Conditions of Life, but more especially in the Day of Trouble and Calamity, when we have the Scriptures, not only of the Old but New Testament to repair unto? This latter especially will be a never-failing Spring of Contentment and Joy to us. In these Books we have a true and perfect Landskip and View of the World: Here is unmask'd and laid open the Vanity of it. Here we are assured that many of the Gay things which it presents us with, and which fond Minds so dote upon, are but empty Bubbles, deceitful Phantoms and Apparitions, mere Conceits and Castles in the Air. Here we are informed that a Prosperous State is not really Good, that an Overplus of Riches and Worldly Abundance does frequently prove a Clog to vertuous Minds, and that Excels of Pleasures is too fulsom and luscious, and takes away that purer Relish of spiritual and heavenly Delights; yea, that Men generally find a worse Effect of them: for when they are gorged and elogg'd with them; they revolt from God; when they are waxen fat, they kick against Heaven. So their Worldly Plenty is turn'd into the worst of Punishments, and this Plethory is their Disease. On the other fide, we are taught in these Writings, that Crosses and Afflictions are not evil in themselves, yea, that they are Good and Medicinal, and advance our spiritual Health; that they

are so far from being a hindrance to our Happiness, that they are a part of it, for otherwise the Afflicted would not be so often pronounced ' Blessed: That God's Afflicting a Man is ' Magnifying of him, and fetting his Heart upon him. It shews, that God is greatly concern'd for his Good, and that the Almighty hath more care of him than he hath of himself. Here we are instructed that we have ground to suspect our Condition, if we be wholly exempted from the Distresses of this Life; and that not to be Chastised is a Mark of Bastardy. Here we learn the true use and end of all those Adverse Dispensations which we meet with, viz. that they were defigned to try us, to make us know our felves, and to inform us how evil and bitter a thing it is to offend the Divine Majesty; to awaken us out of our Sloth and Security; to hold us in Action, to keep us in Breath and Exercise, as Carthage was useful to rouze Kome's Valour; to abate our Pride and Haughtiness. and make us humble and fubmissive Creatures; to check our immoderate Passions and Pursuits after earthly things; to difintangle us from these Snares, to free us from these Charms, to keep us from being suck'd in, and swallowed up in the powerful Circle and Eddy of this World; as who knows not that it is True Philosophy that the World is made up of Vortices? to cause us to look after Better Things when these are taken from us, to reclaim us from our evil Courses, and to reduce us unto Vertue and Goodness; to excite us to a Renunciation of all Trust and Considence in our felves, and the transitory Enjoyments of this World,

Job 5. 17. Pfal. 94. 12. Prov. 3. 11. Matth. 5. 10, 11. Ads 14. 22. Rom. 5. 3. & 8. 17. Jam. 1, 2, 12. Job 7. 17.

World, and to depend upon God alone. this Book whence we are acquainted that our Sufferings make us conformable to Christ our Master, and therefore are Honourable Badges of Christia. nity: That the Curfe which usually attends outward Crosses, is taken away by our Saviour's Death: That the Calamities of the Faithful are Chastisements, rather than Punishments: That no Adverse Accidents can do us any hurt, if we believe in Jesus, and abandon our Sins: That the Pressures of this Life are serviceable to make us pity those that are in Misery, to know and relish the Love of Christ in suffering for us, to inhanse the Comforts of a Good Conscience, to commend the Favour of God to us, to prepare us for Heaven, and to increase the Happiness of it. Thus the Scriptures reconcile our Minds to those Disappointments, Dangers and Calamities, which are our Allotment in this World; thus they allay the evil Spirit of Discontent, they effectually cast out and vanquish those Legions of Impatient and Tumultuous Thoughts, which are the frequent Attendants of Advertity: They affure us that these Afflictive Dealings of Heaven towards us, are intended for our real Advantage; that they are the greatest Kindness and Favour that can be shew'd us; that they are undeniable Tokens of Divine Love; and in brief, that Good Men are happier in their worst Circumstances, than others are, or can be, in their greatest worldly Felicities. Upon these rational Grounds, the Holy Scriptures become the most effectual Anodynes to take away, or at least to mitigate all our Pains and Sorrows. They fuccessfully remove all those Murmurings and Discontents which ruffle and imbroil the Soul, they quash and defeat all those trouble-

of the Holy Scriptures. fome Passions which embarass and plague the Mind. By the help of these Divine Instructions which the Holy Writ affords us, we are enabled to encounter the greatest Evils, with courage and bravery to receive the Shock, to weather the Storm, to bear all the Infolencies and Infults of our Enemies, to break through all Difficulties, to have Peace within though we find none without, to keep a Sabbath in our own Breasts, to entertain our selves with the Serenades of a Good Conscience. This is the Patience and Comfort of the Scriptures, and no Writings in the World can bless us with them but these.

And indeed this necessarily follows from those foregoing Assertions, viz. that Scripture is a Perfect Rule of Faith, and also of Manners. As it is the former, it is a fure Basis for us to rest upon: we know whom we have believed, and so we are fixed and determined; which doth effectually contribute towards our Peace and Solace. As it is the latter also, we cannot but receive Comfort from it. because being a Certain and Unerring Guide in all our Actions, it must needs administer great Satisfaction and Joy to us through our whole Lives. when we consider that we have a Stable Rule to walk by, and that we cannot do amiss if we follow that; but especially, when we restect on our Manners, and fee that they are adjusted to this Canon, and that 'we have in Simplicity and godly Sincerity had our Conversation in the World. This will be our Rejoicing and Exultation. Again, the Scripture yields an inconceivable loy, by prescribing the Best Means for attaining Peace and Unity, which are Comfortable Blessings of this Life; by allowing

fome

28

allowing us all Innocent and Harmless Delights, fuch as will neither destroy the Peace of our Souls, nor impair the Health of our Bodies; by throughly convincing us that Christianity in it self is most Satisfactory to our Minds, and is made to convey Joy and Peace into our Hearts; by teaching us Contentedness in all Conditions; by assuring us that Christianity provides for our greatest and most Important Wants, and supplies our most Urgent Necessities, and therefore we ought to acquiesce in it, and solace our selves with it. Thus it administers the most Chearing Cordials: and so it doth by directing us to the Worthiest Ends, by fetting before us the Strongest Motives, the most Powerful Perswasives to our Duty, whereby we are enabled not only to undertake it, but to discharge it with Chearfulness and Delight; by propounding and presenting to us the Best Rewards, viz. Forgiveness of our Sins, Assurance of God's Love, and Eternal Life and Blessedness: For as a Great Man faith, ' No Book in the World but this Shervs a Man the Adequate End of his Being, his Supreme Good, his Happiness, nor directs the Means of acquiring it. The Bible is the Great Instrument (as it was emphatically call'd by the Fathers) of our Salvation and Happiness. By these Writings we hold our Everlasting Inheritance: And these are the Great Deeds and Evidences whereby we prove our Title to it. In a word, as these sustain and support us in all Conditions of our Life, and give us a happy Prospect of a better State, so they render Death welcom and joyful to us, they enable us by virtue of those Sacred Truths contained

' Judg Hale, in his Discourse of the Knowledg of God and of our Stlves.

tained in them, to expire our last Breath with Peace and Tranquillity. On all which Accounts we must acknowledg them to be the greatest Support and Relief of our Souls, yea the Only Source of Comfort and Content. Thus if you consider the Holv Scriptures as they dictate the Best Principles, as they beget in us the greatest Holiness and Purity. and as they are the Solace of our Lives, we must be forced to acknowledg their Incomparable Excellency.

These three Particulars, wherein I have endeavoured to display the Persection of Scripture, are to be found together in Pfal. 19.7, 8. where These Properties are ascribed to the Law of God, namely, that it enlightens the Eyes, and so is a Director of our Faith; that it converts the Soul, and so is a Reformer of the Manners; and that it rejoiceth the Heart, and so is the Fountain of True Comfort. You find all these in conjunction in that other remarkable Place, 2 Tim. 3. 16. All Scripture (whereby we may understand not only the Old Testament, but part of the New, viz. St. Matthem's Gospel, which was extant when Timothy, to whom the Apostle here speaks, was a Child, v.15.) is given by Inspiration of God, and is profitable for Doltrine, for Reproof, for Correction, for Instruction in Righteousness. It is not to be doubted that Do-Grine refers to the Understanding and Belief, and Reproof and Instruction in Righteousness to the Will and Manners: and then emavog Dwors, Rectifying, restoring, setting all streight again, (as the Word imports) includes in it that Comforting and Chearing which I spoke of. These are the Main Contents of the Holy Scripture. First, it is a Body and System of the Best and most Consistent Notions: it regulates the Apprehensions, and presents

us with True Conceptions of things. Here is nothing delivered that thwarts our rectified Understandings, or is a Contradiction to the most refined Faculties of our Minds. Moreover, it most successfully conducts us into the Ways of Piety and a Holy Life. The Delign of it is to perfect humane Nature, to exalt Men to the highest Pitch their Condition is capable of, both by Moral and Revealed Truth, (the latter of which none but the Blessed Redeemer was able to communicate) to bring them to the Noblest Improvement and Exaltation of Vertue which they can possibly arrive to

on this fide of Heaven: In brief, to make us act not only as Rational but as Divine Greatures, yea even to render us like God Himself. And lastly, it not only inspires us with Excellent Principles, and promotes the Practice of Holiness, but administers the greatest Matter of Joy imaginable. This raises our Spirits, and fills our Souls with Delight and Pleasure; this strengthens and supports us under our heaviest Crosses, and makes our Life Happy, whatever befals us. All which are undeniable Arguments of the Perfection of Scripture, whence we are enabled to Believe aright, to Live well, and to Rejoice. Thus these Holy Writings were endited, that we might be Perfect, throughly furnished unto all good Works. And thus Scripture must needs be Perfect, because its Design is to make us fo. But I am sensible that several Devout and Practical Writers have enlarged on this Subject, and therefore I will fay no more of it, because my present Discourse is designed to be chiefly Criticals Let it suffice that I have briefly afferted the Per-

fellion of the Holy Scriptures as to the three fore-

mention'd

of the Holy Scriptures.

mention'd Particulars, and that I have shew'd that this Perfection is not communicable to any Other Writings under Heaven. Such is the Peculiar Excellency of the Bible.

Wherefore it behoveth us to take notice and beware of those Men who oppose, or rather deny this Excellency and Perfection. First, the Circumcifed Do-Gors shew themselves great Oppugners of it, whilst they excessively magnify their Traditions, and even prefer them before the Sacred Text. We must know then that the Jews talk much of their ' Cabata, or (as that Word fignifies) the Received Do-Grine among them, which was propagated by Oral Tradition and Continual Succession. This their Embala is twofold; First, that which deals in Mysterious Criticisms and Curiosities about Words and Letters, to which belongs the Masoreth, which (as I have shew'd in another Discourse) is serviceable for the Preservation of the Bible. Secondly, that which by them is call'd the Oral Law, or the · Law delivered from one to another, as an Exposition on the Written Law. It may not be impertinent to give the Reader a short Account of this Oral Law which they so much boast of. This was either before Moses, and was the Doctrine of the Parriarchs, propagated by Word of Mouth before the Law was committed to Writing; it consisted of the Seven Precepts of the Sons of Noah; of the Apothegms, Sentences and Paradoxes of the Wife Men in the first Ages; or it was in and after Mofei's time, who is reckon'd the Great Author of the Cabala, because he deliver'd it viva voce to the Jews, fay the Rabbins, at the same time that he gave them the Decalogue and the Other Written

à kibbel accepit, quia à Majoribus accepta est.

Laws. This Torab gnal peb, (as they stile it) this Oral Law is the Exposition of those Written Laws, and is meant, they fay, in Deut. 4. 14. The Lord commanded me at that time to teach you Statutes and Judgments. And for this they alledg Deut. 12. 21. which they tell us refers to some Special Command of God about Killing; and seeing we read no such Special Command about it in the Written Law, it is reasonable to conclude that it is to be understood of the Oral one: that must be the Sense of those Words there, As I have commanded thee. That Moses received this Law on Mount Sinai, Rabbi Bechai proves by the same Token that he knew by this Law how long time he was upon that Mount; for when God taught him the Written Law, then he knew it was Day, (because he could not write in the Dark) but when God gave him the Oral Law, he knew then that it was Night. A most profound Answer to the Difficulty, how Moses could tell that he was 40 Days and Nights on the Mount. Well, God (they fay) delivered this Law to Moses, Moses delivered it to Joshua, Joshua to the Seventy Elders, they to Ezra, who (some say) committed it to writing, for he was the Chiefest Cabalist next to Moses; but the Books which he composed of this Matter were lost, and so it went on after the old way again, viz. by Tradition, and came to the Prophets, of whom Zechary and Malachi were the last, and from them the Great Sanhedrim had it; and at last it was made into a Book, that it might not be lost by reason of the Dispersion of the Jews. He that compiled this Volume or Book was Rabbi Judah, who for the fingular Holiness of his Life was call'd Hakkadosh the Sain't:

of the Holy Scriptures. Saint: He flourish'd in the Days of the Emperor Antoninus Pius, about a hundred and twenty Years after our Saviour's Passion. The Title which he gave to it was Mishnah, i.e. the Repetition of the Divine Law, or a Larger Explication of it given immediately to Moses by God, and by Tradition derived to the Jews. This Deutecons, this Iterated or Second Law is divided by him into fix general Sedarim, i. e. so many Heads or Subjects of which it treats: and every Sedar is divided into Books, every Book into Chapters or Pirka's. About a hundred Years after this famous Rabbi had reduced the Traditions of the Jews into one Volume, the Learned Doctors began to comment upon it; and first the Jerusalem Talmud (call'd so because 'twas made for the lews that lived in Judea, especially in Jerusalem) was finish'd by R. Jochanan about A. D. 240. The Comment which he and the other Rabbies made on the Mishnah is call'd the Gemara, the Supplemental Exposition of that Volume of Jewish Traditions. Next, the Babylonick Talmud was put forth by the Learned Jews at Babylon, who gathered their Traditions into a more Compleat and Exact Body (as they thought) for the Benefit of their Country-men in those Parts of the World. It was compiled by Rabbi Aje and his Companions about A. D. 500. and consisteth (as the former Talmud) of the Mishnaioth and the Gemara: the one is the Text, the other is the Comment, or the Decisions of the Doctors on the Book of the Mishnah. So then the Oral Law, which the Jews so much boast of, and fet so high a Value upon, is contained in the Two Talmuds, which are made up of the Mishnah and the Gemara: The Mishnah is that which R.

Judah compiled; the Gemara's are the Work of R.

<sup>1</sup> In Deuteron, cap. 34.

a Compleat Body of the Civil and Canon Law of the Jews. Whoso nameth the Talmuds nameth all Judaism, saith Lightfoot: These (as he adds) are the Jews Council of Trent, they are the last and fullest Determinations which they have about all their Religious Opinions, Rites and Usages. Thus I have exhibited a brief Account of the whole Talmudick System, wherein the Oral Law is comprized, explained, and descanted upon. And it is not to be denied that there may be a very excellent Use made of this Collection of Jewish Traditions, it may be serviceable in sundry Instances to expound the Mosaick Law, to acquaint us with the Jewish Antiquities, to illustrate several Places in the Old Testament, yea to interpret many Passages in the New, which have reference to the received Practices and Usages of the Jews.

But the Jews (who are the Persons whom I am now blaming) make very ill Use of it, because they immoderately extol these Traditions, calling them Torah shebegnal Peh, their Infallible Oracle, and esteeming the Authority of them equal with that of the Bible. For as the Canonical Scriptures were dictated by Divine Inspiration, so these Laws they hold were from God Himself, and are of the same Authority with those Scriptures. They make no difference between the Inspired Writings of the Old Testament and the Books of Mishnaioth or the Talmuds, which are in truth an Amassment only of the Traditions of the Jews, and of the Diverse Decisions of the Schools of Hillel and Shammai, of the Different Determinations of R. Akiba and R. Eliezer, of R. Simeon and R. Joshua, &c. bandying against one another: or rather, if we speak plainer, they are a Rhapsody of Idle Dreams, Groundless Fables, Cursed Errors, Superstitious

Rites

Rites and Practices, yea (if we should instance in the Babylonick Talmud) of Horrid Blasphemies against Christ, of Obloquies against the Mosaick Law it felf, and of Contradictions even to the Law of Nature. These are part of the Books so highly prized by the Jewish Masters, these go along with their Oral Law, which was first given by God himfelf, and consequently is of the same Original with the Canon of Scripture. But they go yet higher; for they do not only equalize these Traditions with Scripture, but they prefer them before it. They do not only fay in a Proverbial Manner, that ' they cannot stand upon the Foundation of the Written Law without the Help of the Unwritten one, i. c. the Oral Law which they talk of; and that the Words of the Law as they are found in the Text are poor and wanting, but as they are expounded by the Doctors have great Riches and abundance in them: And again, that <sup>3</sup> very Great and Weighty Matters depend upon these Little Traditions which they contend for: but they are so bold and presumptuous as to proceed further, and give a far Greater Deference to these Traditions and Doctrines of their Wise Men (as they call them) than to the Holy Scriptures themfelves. For they tell us, that their Doctors have done more good (viz. as to strengthning and confirming of Religion) by their own Sayings than by the Words of this Holy Book it self. And accordingly their Advice is, 'My Son, attend more to what the Scribes say than to what is said by the Law, (though I

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Impossibile est stare super Fundamento Legis scriptæ nisi benesicio Legis ore traditæ. 'Verba Legis in loco proprio egena sunt, in alieno verò locupletissima. 'Magni montes dependent à pilo. 'Sapientes suis ipsorum verbis robur secerunt majus quam ipsis Legis verbis. 'Fili mi, attende magis ad verba Scribarum quam ad verba Legis.

know this may admit of another Sense, viz. that we ought to look more to the Sense of the Law than the bare Letter of it). But that in the Talmud is plain, and can have no other Meaning, To read the Holy Scripture, and to be studious in fearching out the Sense of it is good, and not good, (i. e. it is not of any considerable Advantage) but to turn over the Mishnah Night and Day is a Vertue which will have a great Reward bereafter; and to loarn the Gemara is an incomparable Vertue. Yea, the Jows blasphemoully say that God himself studies in the Talmud every Day. Here you see they prefer their Delivered Law before the Written one: they make the Infallible Scriptures truckle to the Fabulous Traditions of the Mishnah. To this purpose it is a Noted Saying of the Hebrew Rabbies, that: the Text of the Bible is like Water, the Mismab. like Wine, and the Six Books of the Talmud are like the Sweetest Honey'd Wine, Thus, to magnify the Traditions of their Fathers, they vilify the Scriptures. They are not content with the Rites and Injunctions written in the Law, which in way of Contempt they call \* the Precepts of the Lam, but they admire those most which are taken from their Wise Men, which they call the Precepts of the Rabbins, and which are summarily contain'd in the Talmud: these they hold to be of greater Value than the other. The Persons that are skill'd in these are filed by them Tannaim, Profound Masters and Doctors; but they that study the Scriptures only are but Karaim, Poor Readers, and Men of the Letter. All this shews how these Men depretiate the Written Word of God, and exalt above it their Oval Law, which is a mere Fiction and a

and Forgery, (as to the pretence of its being given to Moses by God) and therefore is not owned by the Karaim among them, who stick close to the Text, nor by some of their Perushim, their sobrest fort of Expositors, who think those Traditions are derogatory to the Holy Scriptures.

Secondly, Papists as well as Jews disparage the Holy Scriptures, and deny its Perfection. (Nor. by the way, is this the only thing wherein they agree with the Jews, a great Part of their Religion being no other than Jewish Rites and Ceremonies.) These Modern Talmudists will not own the Sufficiency of the Sacred Writings, they have their Cabala, the Doctrine Received from their Ancestors: they are for their Oral Law delivered from one to another, they supply the defect of Scripture (so they are wont to speak) with their Traditions. They are of the same Mind with the lews. that ' there must be a Fence made about the Law, that it must be hedged in with Traditions. The Scripture is not a Perfect Rule of Faith and Manners, fay they: but the things which are necessary to Salvation, are partly contained in the Scripture, and partly in unwritten Traditions. A very abfurd and wild Doctrine! because they have no way to prove any thing to be necessary to Salvation, but by proving it to be found in the Scripture. Whatever was or is necessary for the Universal Church is revealed in these Writings; and no New Doctrine necessary to Salvation, is delivered since to the Church or any particular Person. But notwithstanding the Absurdity of this Tenent, they hold it fast, and make it a Great Article of their Belief.

Lib, dict. Baya Mexiah, cap. 11. 2 Bustorf. Synag.

נשר סיג לרורר facite lepem Legi. Prov. Jud.

Belief. For they are taught by an Oecumenical Council (as they repute it) that Unwritten Traditions are of equal Authority with the Scriptures, that they are to be received 'with the same pious Affection and Reverence (those are the words) wherewith the Infallible Writings of the Prophets and Apolities are to be entertained, and consequently they are to be made a Rule of Faith equal with the Scriptures. But they rest not here; they not only equal Humane and Ecclesiastical Traditions with the Written Word of God, but following the Steps of the Old Talmudists, they proceed yet further, preferring Traditions before Scripture. Thus a Renowned Divine in their Church tells us plainly, that Traditions are exceeding necessary for the welfare of the Church, yea, that they are more requisite than the Scripture it self; and this he endeavours to make good. With him concur several others of their Writers, whom we find extolling Traditions, but at the fame time speaking very meanly and slightly of the Holy Writ. Hence they blasphemously call it a Nose of Wax, and a Leaden Rule; and many fuch vilifying Terms are used by Pighius and Melchior Canus, and other Great Doctors of that Church. We deny not the Usefulness, nay even the Necessity, nay the Perpetuity of Tradition, viz. That Tradition whereby the Doctrines which were entrusted in the Church's Hands by the Prophets and Apostles, shall by her be deliver'd

ver'd over to her Children to the World's End, which way of Transmission is the great Prop of our Religion. Besides, the Apostle enjoins the 'Thessalonians to hold fast the Traditions which they had been taught, whether by Word or his Epistle: for he had used two ways of delivering the Truth to them; namely, Preaching and Writing: and other Apostles committed the chief and necessary Heads of their Doctrine to Writing. So that the Traditions meant here, are the Revealed Truths of the Gospel delivered by the Apostles and Evangelifts, and are no other than what Christ deliver'd to them, according to that of St. Paul, 'I delivered to you that which also I received: whence they have the Name of Traditions, i. e. they are Evangelical Doctrines delivered to us from those that were taught them by Christ. And whether they were imparted by Word or by Epiftle, by Preaching or Writing, they are the same, the same as to substance, tho otherwise there may be some difference. But that which we condemn (and that most justly) the Papists for, is this, that they magnify and rely upon Traditions which have no affinity with the Doctrine of Christ and the Apostles, yea, which contradict it in many things; and yet they equalize these with the Word of God, and sometimes prefer them and the Authority of the Church, before that of the Sacred Writings of the Old and New Testament. Thus One faith, "" The Church sometimes "doth things contrary to the Scriptures, fome-"times besides them: therefore the Church is the D 4 "Rule

Pari pictatis assecut & reverentia. Conc. Trid. Sest. 4. \* Est Traditio imprimis ad salutem Ecclesia necessaria, atque adeo magis quam ipsa Scriptura. Salmeron in Epist. St. Pauli, Dispur. 8. 3 Tapperus, Huntlaus, Petrus a Soto, Bellarminus, Costerus, &c.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;2 Epist. Ch. 2. v. 15. 2 1 Cor. Ecclesia visa est ali quando facere contra Scripturas, aliquando præter Scripturas: ergo ipsa est Regula corum quæ traduntur in Scripturis, ergo credimus Ecclesia contra formam Scripturarum. Caranza.

to Rule and Standard of the things that are delivered in the Scriptures, and therefore we becilieve the Church, though she acts counter to the formal Decisions of the Scriptures. And an 2 other Famous Doctor gives it for good Divinity, that the Decrees and Determinations of a Council are binding, though they be not confirmed by any probable Testimony of Scripture, nay though they be beyond and above the Determination of Scripture. Thus the Holy Writings of the Bible are most impiously disparaged and vilified by the Pontificians. Whereas there is nothing

defective or redundant, nothing wanting or superfluous in these Writings: they affert in the open face of the World that they are short and imperfeet, and therefore have need of being supplied by Traditions, which in some things are of greater Value and Authority than they. Again, that the Church of Rome oppugneth or

rather denieth the Perfection of the Scriptures, might be evinced from their constant care and endeavour to keep them in an Unknown Tongue. It is true they have translated them. But, 1. There was a kind of necessity of doing it, the Protestants having turned them into so many Tongues. By this means they were compelled as it were to let some of their People see what the Bible was in their own Language, But, 2. It is so corruptly translated that it is made to patronize several of their Superititious Follies and Errors: And yet, 3. They dare not commit these Translations to common View. Although in all Countries where People were converted to Christianity, in elder times the Scripture was turned into their Language, and

Stapleton Relect, Controvers. 4. qu. 1.

of the Holy Scriptures. every one was permitted, yea exhorted to read it, (as is proved by many Writers, 'the Learned Dr. Stilling fleet particularly) yet the Church of Rome denieth the common People the Use of it, as a thing hurtful and pernicious. The Bible, as some Bad Book, is tolerated to be read with great Caution and Restriction, in some Countries only, and by some Persons. It is, like the Sibyls Prophecies of old among the Romans, not to be look'd into without the Permission and Authority of the Senate; none can read it without a Licence from their Superiours: so dangerous a thing is the Bible. From this Practice the People generally imbibe a strong Prejudice against the Scriptures, and believe they cannot be good for them, because the Pope and their Pastors tell them they are not. Wherefore, as ' one who was once of the Communion of the Church of Rome, hath well observed. As foon as ever any Man imbraces Popery, he prefently throws the Bible out of his Hands as altogether useless (to say no worse). Which unreafonable and wicked Behaviour of theirs was one great Reason or Motive (as he professeth) of his returning to the Church of England again. For what Considerate Man can think That to be a True Church which teacheth its Members to flight and reject the Word of God, which is the Source of all Divine Truth, and without which we can neither believe nor practife aright, we can neither have Comfort here, nor arrive to Happiness hereafter? This indeed is not only to null the Perfection of Scripture, but to abolish the whole Body of Scripture it self.

<sup>1</sup> The Council of Trent examined, pag. 46, 47. 2 W. H. M. Dr. in a Letter lately written by bim.

A third fort of Persons that are Opposers of the Perfection of Scripture are Enthusiasts, and fuch who act out of a truly Fanatick Principle. Such were the Familists heretofore, whose Pretences to the Spirit were fo high that they excluded and renounced the Letter of Scripture, which according to their Stile 'was a dark Lanthorn, a liveless Carcass, a Book shut up and sealed with seven Seals, the Scabbard (not the Sword) of the Spirit: or, if it be a Sword, it is the Sword of Antichrift, wherewith he kills Christ. This was the impious Jargon of these High-slown Men, who made no other Use of the Bible than to Allegorize it, and to turn it all into Mystery. These have been followed by Others of a like Fanatick Spirit, who have made it a great part of their Religion to despise and reproach the Sacred Writ. A late Enthuliast, or rather one that pretends to be such, but designs the Overthrow of all Religion, tells the World that the Bible is founded in Imagination, that God's Revelations in Scripture are ever according to the Fancy of the Prophets or other Persons he spoke to, and that all the Phrases and Speeches, all the Discoveries and Manisestations, yea all the Historical Passages in the Old and New Testament are adapted to these. The Quaker comes next, and refuseth to own the Scripture to be the Word of God, and the Perfect Rule by which we are to direct our Lives. " It is a great Error and Falsity, (saith ' one of the most considerable Persons of that Perswasion) " that the Scriptures are a filled " up Canon, and the only Rule of Faith and Obe-" dience in all things, and that no more Scriptures " are to be writ or given forth from the Spirit of

Sebast. Franc. Paradox. 2 In lis Tractat. Theologico-Poli-

" the Lord. With whom agrees another of as great Repute among that Tribe; " I fee no Necessity (saith he) of believing that the Canon of " Scripture is filled up. And again, "The Scrip-" tures (faith he) are not to be esteemed the Prin-" cipal Ground of all Truth and Knowledg, nor wet the Adequate Primary Rule of Faith and Manners, but they are only a Secondary Rule " fubordinate to the Spirit. And accordingly he adds, " That the inward Inspirations and Revela-"tions which Men have, are not to be subjected to "the Examination of the outward Testimony of "the Scriptures, but are above them. Thus these bold Men, out of a pretence of Inspiration, vilify the Sacred Volume of the Bible. Thus abfurdly and irreligiously these deluded Persons, out of an Enthusiastick Heat, prefer their own private Spirit before the Holy Spirit of God speaking in the Scriptures. The Men hold themselves to be Perfect. but the Scripture must by no means be so: it is weak and imperfect, and ought to give way to the Inward Impressions in their Minds, which, according to them, are that more sure Word of Prophecy, whereunto they think they do well to give heed as unto a Light shining in a dark Place: But we see that they are thereby led into groß Error and Darkness. And as to this particular Perswasion concerning the Meanness of the Scriptures, they therein (as in feveral other things) symbolize with the Church of Rome, whence they had their Original: They confound Natural Light or Reason with Revelation, they hold that Pagans are in as good a Condition as Christians; they make their private Dictates as Authentick as the Bible; yea

Barclay in his Apology, p. 59, 60.

they must needs hold that there is no Infallible Rule of Truth or Practice but their own Notions and Sentiments, which some of their Writers call Canonical.

I might observe to you that besides Jews, Papists and Enthusiasts, there are Others that deny the Excellency and Perfection of the Holy Scriptures, as Atheists and mere Politicians, who indeavour to perswade the World that all Religion is a Cheat, and that This Book is so too: Likewise the Generality of Hereticks, Seducers and Impostors, who (it is no wonder) debase that which they design to pervert. But the bare mentioning of these Perfons is sufficient to beget a Dislike of them with all that are Wise and Sober, and who are convinc'd of the Scriptures Perfection from those Topicks which I have propounded. It may be faid of most Books as Martial said of his, ' There are some good, and some bad things in them, and some of a middle Nature. But in this Divine Book there are no fuch Allays; all is pure and uncorrupt, entire and unmixed: there are no Defects, no Miftakes in this Infallible Volume given us from Heaven. Shall the Turks then when they find a Leaf or any part of the Alcoran on the Ground, take it up and kiss it, and deposite it in some safe place, affirming it to be a great Sin to fuffer that wherein the Name of God and Mahomet's Laws are written, to be trodden under Feet? And shall not we Christians highly value and reverence the Sacred Volume of the Bible, the Writings of the Old and New Testament, which contain the Words of God Himfelf, and the Laws of the Blessed Jesus, which enrich us with

with that Sublime and Supernatural Learning which is the Rule of our Faith, the Conduct of our Manners, and the Comfort of our Lives?

## CHAP. II.

<del>राज्य प्रतिक</del>

The Rible is furnished with all forts of Humane (as well as Divine) Learning. Hebrew, wherein the Old Telament was written, is the Primitive Language of the World. The True Origine of the World is plainly recorded in no other Writings but these. The first Chapter of Genesis is a real History, and records Matter of fall. It is largely proved that the Mosaick History gives us a particular Account of the first Rise of the several Nations and People of the Earth, and of the Places of their Habitation. Alfo the true Knowledg of the Original of Civil Government, and the Increases of it, and the different Changes it underwent is derived from these Writings. The Courts of Judicature, and the fevieral kinds of Punishment among the lews distingly treated of. The Government among the Heathen Nations: The four Celebrated Monarchies or Empires of the World.

Proceed now to the Second General Head of my Discourse, viz. the Universal Describes of the Bible as to things that are Temporal and Secular. Not only all Religious, Divine and Saving Knowledg is to be fetch'd hence, but that likewise which is Natural and Humane, and belongs to the World and Arts. Many believe the former, but can't be induc'd to credit the latter; for they think the Bible was writ only for the saving of Mens Souls, but that all other Knowledg and Discove-

Sune bona, sunt quædam mediocria, sunt mala multa.

ries are to be derived wholly from other Writers. I have sometimes observed that Persons who have had a good Desire to Learning, and were greedy. Devourers of all other Authors, yet have no regard to the Scriptures, and fondly imagine there is: no Improvement of Mens Notions, no enlarging of their Understandings, no Grounds of Excellent Literature from the Sacred Writ. They perswade themselves that the Bible may serve well enough for the Use of those that study Divinity, or make Sermons, but that the Writings of Profane Authors must be wholly consulted for other things. But this is a gross Surmise, and possesses the unthinking Heads of those only that consider not the Matchless Antiquity of the Bible, or that on a worse Account resuse to acquaint themselves with these Writings, and care not for that Book which fpeaks fo much of God and Religion, and checks the Diforders of Mens Lives. All honest, industrious and impartial Enquirers into Learning know that the Scriptures are the Greatest Monument of Antiquity that is Extant in the whole World, and particularly that the First and Earliest Inventions of things are to be known only from the Old Testament, especially the five first Books of it. In vain do you look for these in the Writings of other Men; for though some of them relate very Antient Occurrences, yet they are not io old as these: and as for those Writers who pretend to some Greater Antiquity, and have been so impudent as to think that they could impose upon the World, they have been exploded by all Perfons of Sobriety and ferious Thoughts. In Pagan. Writers we have some wild Guesses at the Origine. of things, and the First Inventors of Arts; but he that is defirous to have Certain and Infallible In-

formation.

formation concerning these, must consult the Writings of Moses and other Books of the Old Testament. From these alone we learn what were the Antientest Usages in the World, and what was the first Rise and Original of them. Wherefore I may fafely pronounce that no Man can have the just Repute of a Scholar unless he hath read and studied the Bible: for in this one Book there is more Humane Learning than in all the Books of the World besides. And therefore here by the way I cannot but look upon it as a very Scandalous Mistake, that the Knowledg and Study of the Holy Scriptures are for Divines only, as if these were not to be skill'd in any Humane Learning. They that talk after this rate, understand not what the Study of Divinity and True Scholarship are: for there is no Compleat Divine that is not well vers'd in Humane Literature, and there is no Compleat Scholar that is not skill'd in the Bible. Wherefore this is that which I intend very particularly and largely to infift upon, viz. that the Scriptures are the Antientest Storehouse of Good Letters and Learning, and that here are All the Sorts of them, which I conceive will be a full Eviction of what I have undertaken, viz. to demonstrate the Pre-eminence of the Inspired Writings before all others whatsoever.

of the Holy Scriptures.

First; I begin with the Language in which the greatest part of the Bible, that is, the Old Testament, was written, which is Hebrew, and was the First and Original Tongue of the World. This certainly inhanses the Worth of the Hebrew Text, and renders the Bible preferable to all other Books. It is true there are other Languages that pretend to Priority; but when we come to examine their claim, we discover it to be a mere Pretence in-

deed.

49

with-

deed. We are told by 'Herodotus, that Pfammetia cus King of Egypt had a mind to make an Experiment about this, and accordingly caus'd two Children to be nourish'd and bred up by two She-goats, and suffered none to speak a Word to them. At last they were heard to utter the word bec, which it seems signifies Bread in the Phrygian Diales. whence it was concluded that that was the First Language. But upon Enquiry it was found that this Experiment was fruitless, for bec was an insignificant Pronuntiation which the Children learnt of their Goat-Nurses, to whom (and all other Animals of that Species) that Sound it feems was natural. ' Theodoret thought Syriath was the First Tongue. Philo the Jew was of Opinion that Chaldee was the Primitive Language, and that what we call Hebrew is truly the Tongue which the Chaldean Abraham brought out of Chaldea. And Capellus in his Sacred Chronology forms to espouse this Assertion. But there is little Ground for it, if we confider that the Chaldee is borrowed from the Hebrer, and is a different Dialect of it. The Scythian is the Primitive Tongue, faith Boxborn. Goropius Becanus fetches all Words from the Teutonick or High Dutch, and would perswade us that this is the Mother-Tongue of the World: but he hath given so slender Proof of it, that he hath gain'd but few Proselytes to his Opinion. The Learned Bochart derives all Words from the Phanician Tongue; but any impartial Judg may discern that he is too extravagant in his Derivations, witness that of Phanicia or Phanix from ben Anak the Son of Anak, (making the Old Phanicians his Posterity) or by Contraction Beanak, then Pheanak, and to Phanix, and hundreds

dreds more of the like Nature; which straining to maintain his Opinion is unacceptable to wise Men. A late Author hath publish'd an Historical Essay (as he is pleased to call it) of the Probability of the Language of China being the Primitive one, and among other Offers towards it he hath this, that the first Expression we make of Life at the instant Minute of our Birth is by uttering the Chinois Word Ta or Tab. But by the same Reasoning I can prove that the first Tongue was Hebrew, because Tab (for so most Hebricians pronounce it) is one of the Hebrew Names of God: and how proper is it for Infants to mention and acknowledg their Maker as foon as they come into the World? I allow the Author to be very Ingenious, yet I believe he is so wise himself as not to think he hath brought any solid Proof for what he undertook. Such another Attempt is his, who commends the British or Welsh Tongue to us as the Antientest of all. This Glory is due only to the Hebrew, which certainly was the Language that Adam spoke, and was that peculiar Form of Speech which was given to him by God, and which he taught his Children, and which lasted incorrupt (there being no other Tongue to be its Rival) till the Confusion of Tongues at Babel, and the Dispersion which was the Consequent of that. Of this those Words are meant, Gen. 11. 1. The whole Earth was of one Language, and of one Speech, viz. Hebrew: which withbut doubt was no small Benefit to Mankind; this dentity of Speech having fuch an Influence on Soelety, and contributing to the Increase of their riendship and Familiarity, whereas now we must e a long time learning to make those of other Countries understand what we say, we must go to chool to be Friendly, and we can't be sociable

The Excellency and Perfection without a Dictionary. But this Primitive Bleffing. was not of very great Duration, for the Infallible Records inform us that a notable Confusion of Languages happen'd to the World when it was yet in its Minority and Childhood, and had not long learnt to speak, if we may reckon the Age of it from the Deluge. By the Fault of Man, and the Judgment of God, the One way of Speaking was changed into diverse. But we are not to think that this Change introduced into every Colony or Plantation a Different Language, but only a particular and peculiar Dialect: For the Difference of the Idiom was sufficient to beget a not-understanding of one another, as we see at this day the Germans, Danes, Swedes, Norwegians, Dutch, English, understand not one another when they speak, though they have not properly a Different Language, but only Several Dialects, for they all speak Teutonick. The Confusion of Tongues then was not New Tongues, but a confiderable Variation from the Primitive one, viz. Hebrew. Hereupon the Babel-Builders (who before spoke and understood this Language, it being their native one, as it was of all the rest of Mankind) were so confounded, that they were forced to lay aside their Tools, and leave off working. And that this Confusion was not an Introduction of really Distinct Tongues (as fome have thought) is evident hence, that there is a Great Affinity between Tongues, especially the Eastern ones (for as for others, they have had their Rife fince, and we are not to imagine that at the Babylonick Confusion they spoke Italian, Spanish or French, or that afterwards there were any of the Plantations that understood English, Dutch or Irish). I speak then concerning the Eastern Languages, and affert them to be Different Dialects or

Modes

Modes of the Hebrew Tongue: which is sufficiently proved from the Harmony and Cognation between them. I remit the Reader to Skickard, Hottinger and others, for the particular Eviction of this. He will from them be perswaded that Tongues were not Multiplied at Babel, but Divided; and that that One Language which had been in use ever fince the beginning of the World, received there an Alteration and new Modification: the Diversity of which was the Cause, that Persons could not understand one another.

Now that the First Tongue which Adam and Eve spake, and was used before the Division of Languages, and was the Original from whence all the other Languages are but Variations, was Hebrew, is apparent from that foresaid Cognation between the Hebrew and other Oriental Tongues. We find that this One Language hath spread it felf more or less into all others. We may discern in them some Words either purely Hebrew, or of near alliance with it. It is well known that the Chaldeans and Syrians have abundance of Hebrew words in their Tongue, only there is some difference in the inflection of them. The Arabick likewise hath great affinity with the Hebrew, and fo have the Punick and Ethiopick, as the Learned Bochart hath demonstrated. And this you may observe (which confirms the thing I am establiffing) that the nearer any People were to the Hebrews and their Country, the greater Number of Hebrew Words and Idioms they retained in their Languages: and on the contrary, the more remote any Nation was from them, the fewer Hebrew Words have they, and the greater Strangers are they to their manner and way of Speaking. But there are some Reliques of that Primitive Tongue

72 The Excellency and Perfection every where: all Languages have borrowed from this, as 'St. Ferom long fince observed; and Mercer and other Learned Moderns take notice, that Sac and some other Hebrew Words are to be found in all Languages, and thence argue that Hebrew is the Mother-Tongue of all. Again, where should we look for the Original Language, and where should we hope to find it, yea, where is it possible to find it but among the First People of the World, and the immediately fucceeding Generations of Men before the Flood and Confusion of Tongues? Accordingly we discover that Hebrew was that Language which was in use with them. The Book of Genesis abundantly testifies this, where are the Names Adam, Ishah (Woman) Chavah or Eve, Cain, Abel, Seth, Noah, and a Multitude of other Words of Hebrew Extraction, which are Arguments that Hebrew was the Language of those sirst People, and therefore the Primitive One. The Etymology and Derivation of these Words do irrefragably prove this, for there is no other Tongue that hath these Words from whence these Names are taken but the Hebrew; therefore this was the First Tongue. And this was it which Noah carried into the Ark with him: and if he did fo, no Man questions that he brought it out with him, and that it was univerfally used till the Babel-Conspiracy. Otherwise it could not be said (as we have heard) that the whole Earth before that Confusion was of one Lip (or Language) and one Speech. This Text is peremptory, and therefore it is to be wondred that a 'Learned Man contents himfelf with faying, [There feems to have been One Tongue

Tongue before the Flood till the building of Babel. 1 And in another place he understands one Lip and one Speech, of their mutual Concord and Agreement: which Interpretation of his is refuted from what follows, Let us go down and confound their Lips, that they may not understand one anothers Lip, v. 7. Where we see the Confusion of Lips is opposed to one Lip and one Speech before mentioned. It is evident then from this Text that there was only One Language in use at first: and that could be no other than Hebrew; for I have shew'd before that this Language was spoken, and therefore if there was but One Language on the whole Earth, This must be it: for there was no Alteration as to Language till the building of Babel: whence we infallibly gather that the Language which was used before the Flood and the Erecting of Babel, was Hebrew, and confequently, that the forementioned Writer who holds 'that the Hebrew Tongue is no more Primitive than any other Oriental Tongue, is under a Mistake; and that his Learned Country-man who afferts 'that the Hebrew was one of the Tongues that arose out of the Confusion of Tongues at Babel, is grossly overseen. For it is a flat Contradicting of that plain Text above named, which acquaints us that there was One Universal Language in the World at that time, and no more; which from what I have fuggested appears to be Hebrew. And as this was the Common Tongue of the World above feventeen hundred Years, (viz. from the Creation to the building the Tower of Babel) so we are to obferve further, that the Curic of the Confusion of Tongues

Lingua Hebraica omnium linguarum matrix. Commentar. in Sophon. Cap. 3. 2 J. Cleric. Dill. 1. de Ling. Hebr.

<sup>2</sup> Prolegom. ad Commentar. . Commentar. in Gen. 11. 1. Huer. Demonstrar, Evangel, C. 13. Prop. 4. in Ger.

Tongues fell only or chiefly on those People that were at Rabel, and concern'd in that Wicked Exploit, viz. the Inhabitants of Shinar and the neighbouring Places, those impious Troops of Men that were the greatest Admirers and Flatterers of Nimrod and his Government. The Sons of God, the holy Posterity of Noah assisted not in the building of the Tower, and therefore among them and their Posterity, and those that learn'd it of them, was the Primitive Tongue preserved. Which some think had its denomination of Hebrew from Heber, who was none of the Babel-Builders, and therefore the Original Tongue was preferv'd entire in his Family. This is the general Opinion of the Jewish Writers, and it hath been receiv'd by many Christians. More especially the Learned Bochart is of this Opinion, but is contradicted by some other Learned Pens, who tell us that the Hebrew Tongue was call'd fo from Gneber Transiit, i.e. from Abraham the Traveller or Passenger, Gen. 14. 13. But Mr. Selden, whose Learning was equal to any of these, ' suspends his Judgment in this Controversy, though at the same time he declares that he is more prone to the Opinion of those who deduce it from Eber Transitus.

This is a short Account of the Antiquity of the Hebrew Tongue, and we may rationally conclude from it, that it was the Primitive and Original Speech, and that from the corruption of this was the Generation and Production of other Tongues. And that Worthy Critick himself, who makes the Phænician the First Tongue, agrees to what I here assert,

Geograph, Sac. Pars 2. Assensum tamen retinemus; pam non liquer. De Dis Syr. Proleg. Cap. 2.

affert, though he feems to oppose it: for if we scan what he faith, we shall see that even according to him the Phanician and Hebreware the same, which appears from this, that he holds the Canaanites and Phænicians to be the same People. He proves that the Phænicians or Punicks, or Syrians, or Sidonians, (for they were known by all these Names) were formerly the Inhabitants of Canaan, but being expell'd thence by Joshua when he subdued that Land, they carried Colonies into most parts of the World, and their Language is found in all Languages of other People, as he endeavours to shew. This is the Hebrew Tongue he confesses, abating the Difference of Dialett; and therefore Hebrew (he faith) is call'd the Language of Canaan, Isa. 19. 18. If then the Punick was in its first Purity Hebrew (as some others befides Bochart grant) it follows that in proving the former to be the Original Tongue, he doth in effect prove that the latter is so, because they are the same. And truly it is no hard task to evince the Language of the Canaanites to have been Hebrew, for all the Proper Names of Men and Places reckoned up in Scripture in those Nations are purely Hebrew, as Salem, Jerusalem, Hebron, &c. To which a Learned Scots-man gives his Suffrage, expresly vouching that the Canaanites spoke Hebrew, and that the Hebrew Tongue is call'd the Language of Canaan, because 'twas the native Language of those that possess'd that Land: to prove which he produces the Names of Persons and Places among them, as Melchifedek, Abimelek,

Kirjath-fepher, Jericho, &c. and thence infers that Hebrew was the native Tongue of the Canaanites

E 4 or

geogr. Sac. Canaan. Weems,

infused a new Language or Idiom. So that we

need not wonder that Hebrew was the Language

of the ungodly Canaanites. Though truly, if I

or Philistines. And if this be true, then the Great Selden, and with him many others are miftaken, who affirm, that the Hebrew Tongue remained pure in the Family and Posterity of Abraham only, and that Abraham brought that Tongue first into Canaan. The contrary appears, viz. that this Tongue was preserved even in Canaan. But Monsieur Bochart goes too far when he adds, that Hebrew was not retain'd in the Families of Heber and Abraham, but that this latter learn'd this Tongue of the Canaanites when he lived with them in Canaan. I do not see this clear'd by him, and therefore I am enclined to believe that the Hebrew Tongue was both in Abraham's Family, and among the Canaanites. Though Abraham was a Chaldean, and Chaldee was the Language of the Country, yet by the fingular Providence of God, the Hebrew might be kept up and spoken by him. Nor did this hinder his converse with the Chaldeans, because the Chaldee is a Dialect of the Hebrew. If it be objected that Canaan, and confequently the Canaanites were from Cham, who was a Babel-Builder, and how then was the Primitive Hebrew among them? It may be hard to refolve this, and perhaps it is the only confiderable Objection against Bochart's Opinion. I am not now obliged to shew why it was so, but I am only concern'd to attend to the Matter of Fact, viz. that the Canaanites fpoke Hebrew, and confequently kept their Tongue notwithstanding the Confusion at Babel. And (that I may not wholly difmis it without giving a Reason of it) this might very well be, because the Canaanite speaking Hebrew

was as much a Barbarian, and as little understood

may fpeak freely, I do not fee that this is firmly built on that Text in Isaiab before cited; for 'tis manifest, that that is a Propliccy concerning the Conversion of the Gentiles, and particularly the Egyptians, to the True Religion and Worship, viz. that of the Jews which was then in Being; and confequently This (and not the Antient Speech and Dialett of Canaan) is here meant by the Language of Canaan. Or supposing the very Speech of that Country to be meant, yet we can't thence absolutely infer that the Canaanites spoke Hebrew, but only that Hebrew is called the Language of Canaan: which might be for this reason, because the Ifraelites who spoke Hebrew had posses'd the Land of Canaan about eight hundred Years when this was faid by Isaiah. But this doth not prove the Language of the Jews and the Old Canaanites to be the same. Yet, notwithstanding this, from what hath been before alledg'd, we have good reason to conclude (as several Learned Writers have done) that the Hebrew Tongue was the fame with the Language of Canaan, i. c. the Language which the Canaanites spoke. From all which, laid together and compared

with what hath been said, we are confirm'd in this Affertion, that Hebrew was the only Language that was in use before the Confusion of Babel, and so was the First Tongue, and the Mother of all other Eastern Tongues. This is so evident that it hath heen the universal belief of the Jews, who are ve-

De Dis Syr, Prolegom. C. 2.

<sup>!</sup> Scaliger, Capellus, Erpenius, Vossius, Grotius, Bochart.

ry positive here; and it hath been held and defend! ed by the Learnedest Christians who have treated on this Subject. This is the Language which God himself spoke, as is manifest from abundant Instances, some of which have been referred to; and there are many others, as God's changing of the Names of Abram, Sarai, Jacob, &c. and several Names and Memorials in the forty Years abode of the Israelites in the Wilderness, testify this. This is the Antient and Holy Tongue that was used by our First Parents; and without doubt it was immediately taught them by God: for he that bestow'd upon them other excellent Benefits, denied them not Speech. Therefore this was a special Gift of the Creator: this was one of the first Donatives conferr'd on Adam and Eve. And it was enjoyed by them, and by all the Antediluvians, yea all Noah's Posterity, till the Confusion at Babel. In this first and antientest Language was the Pentateuch, and even all the Old Testament written, and that in those very Hebrew Letters which we have at this day, for the Samaritan ones (which by some are cried up for the Antientest) are but a corrupt Imitation of these. In this Holy Language and Characters (both of his own Institution) God would have the Sacred Mysteries of his Religion express'd and recorded. In this Book alone are the first Names of Men and Beasts in that Tongue, denoting their particular Natures and Qualities: which I might have mentioned before, to shew the Antiquity of this Tongue. Nay, we are to remember this, that this first way of speaking among Mankind, is no where preserved but in these Writings: for after the Babylonian Captivity

Captivity (which was about three thousand and four hundred Years after the Creation) this Original Speech was no longer the Language of any particular Nation; for the Captive Jews lost this Tongue at Babylon, (a Place fatal to Hebrew, at first in the Confusion of Tongues, and afterwards in this Peoples forgetting their Language there) insomuch that at their Return home they could not understand the Book of their own Laws but by an Interpreter, Neb. 8. 7, 8. for they had chang'd their Hebrew into a Mixt Language (compounded partly of Hebrew and partly of Chaldee) which was afterwards call'd Syriack. But in the Old Testament the pure Hebrew is kept entire and uncorrupted, and is extant at this day in no Writings but these. If any Grammarians and Criticks could fay the like concerning the Greek or Latin Tongue, that there is One Book wherein either of these in its first Purity is wholly contain'd, they would be very lavish in their Encomiums of that Volume, and the Prelation of it to all others should not want fetting forth. Behold here the Whole Hebrew Tongue, and that in its native Lustre, comprised in the Old Testament! In no one Book upon Earth besides this is there lodged a Whole Language; which should invite all Admirers and Lovers of Antient Literature to prize it, and the Books written in it. Certainly this is a high Commendation of these Sacred Writings, and gives them the Preference to all others what soever. Secondly; They rightly claim this, because they

of the Holy Scriptures.

Secondly; They rightly claim this, because they acquaint us with the true Origine of the World, which we find recorded in no other Writings. For tho the Beginning of all things, and some Circumstances which appertain to it, are obscurely intimated in some Pagan Historians and Poets, and thereby (as

(as I have lately shew'd on another occasion) Testimony is given to the Authority of the Sacrif Writings, yet none of them give us a plain and particular Account of this Beginning and Original of the Mundane Fabrick. Yea, the very Philosol phick Men among the Gentiles in a most wild and rambling manner talk of the Rife of all things, and at the same time baffle themselves. Thus the Epil cureans tell us a fenfless Story of the Eternal frisking of Atoms; which yet, if they were Eternal, had no Beginning or Rife at all. Pythagoras and his Disciples, and Plato and some of the Peripateticki held that Men were always, and that there was an Eternal Succession of them, and consequently no Original of them. Others who believ'd they had a Beginning, had strange and monstrous Fancies concerning it, as that Men were form'd out of Fishes, which was Anaximander's Conceit: Others imagin'd they shooted out of Trees; some out of Eggs; others out of Wombs affix'd to the Earth. as Epicurus and Lucretius: Others (as the fabulous Poets) conceited they were produced out of Stones: and 'Cicero relates concerning some of the Philosophers, that they thought the Original of Mankind was from Seed falling from the Stars, and impregnating the Earth. This stumbling at the Threshold, these extravagant and groundless Notions concerning the very first Original of things, were too ominous a Prefage that these Philosophers would grofly mistake about other Matters, and give us but a forry Account of the other Works of Nature. But Moses confutes all these fond Surmises about the Nativity of the World, and of Mankind; he quashes all those wild Conjectures, by alluring

affuring us that Man had his Origine from the Earth, by God's peculiar framing him out of it; and that the World it felf had its Being by Creation, i.e. by being made out of Nothing by the Infinite Power and Wisdom of God. Wherefore it was rightly faid by an Understanding Person, 'I am perswaded (saith he) that in the first Chapter of Genesis Moses taught more than all the Pagan Philosophers and Interpreters of Nature. And that this first Chapter of the Bible is an Historical or Physical Account of the Creation of the World, and is no Allegory, is not to be question'd by any Man of a sober Mind and confistent Reasoning. For thus I argue, It is highly fitting that the Doctrine of the First Rise of the Universe, the Production of all things, should not be left doubtful, but be convey'd unto us in fuch a way as may best preserve the Memory of so weighty and considerable a Matter. For this is of fuch Concern that our Belief of Providence and the true Nature of God is comprised in it. Now a Thing of this Quality ought not to be fo deliver'd that it may be liable to Imposture, or suspected of Falshood or Uncertainty. As for private and personal Revelations (which some may here suppose) these can only satisfy the individual Persons to whom they are communicated: and as for Oral Tradition, it is not so certain but that it may leave fome Scruples in Mens Minds. Hence it is reafonable that the History of the World should be digested into such Records which may assure us of what is to be believed, and therefore it is fit that they should be Plain and Simple, and properly to be taken and understood, so that they may be reckon'd as an Indubitable Account of the World's Pro-

d De Legib. 1. 1.

<sup>1</sup> Neiremberg, de orig. Script, l. 2. c. 7.

Production; therefore fuch is this Relation which Moses hath left us, which is a Perfect Diary of the First Work of the Almighty.

But I will attempt yet further to prove that the History deserves that Name, i.e. that it relates what was really done. If this be acknowledged by some Sacred and Inspired Author, I conceive that will be a fair Conviction to those who believe that Author to be inspired, and to deliver things that are really true. That St. Peter then in the third Chapter of his second Epistle (where he briefly describes the Make and Frame of this World, as it was formed at the first Creation) refers to this Mosaick History, and also fully confirms it, will appear in the Perusal of that his Description, where you will find those very Terms which Moses in the first of Genesis makes use of. This they are willingly ignorant of, saith the Apostle, that the Heavens were of old, i. e. from the Beginning, which in the Verse before is called de zi uriows, the Beginning of the Creation, which agrees exactly with the first Words of Genesis. And these Heavens were by the Word of God, which is a reference to God faid, which Moses expresly mentions, chap. 1. 6, 14. Next to the Heavens he makes mention of the Earth, (as Moses doth) telling us, that it stood or consisted out of the Water and in the Water, which is the same Account of it which we have in Genesis, viz. that it was partly above Water and partly under, i.e. it was above the Seas, Fountains, Rivers, orc. but under the watry Mass of Clouds. So that any Man of unprejudiced Thoughts cannot but fee that those Words [the Earth standing out of the Water, and in the Water ] plainly relate to the Mosaical History, where we are told that the Globe of Earth included in it a heap of Waters call'd the Deep, or ·the

of the Holy Scriptures. the Abyss, which was afterwards gathered into one Receptacle or Channel. This is call'd the Water under the Firmament, i. e. under the Expansion of the Air, as the Water above the Earth, viz. the Clouds are call'd the Water above the Expansion, Gen. 1. 7. Thus you fee all this is alledged and acknowledged by St. Peter as True History, and accordingly is made use of by him: Wherefore we are ascertain'd from his infallible Pen, that the Mosaick Account of the Creation is no Fiction, no strain of Poetick Fancy, but is perfectly Historical, and to be taken in a real, proper and literal Sense, which was the thing to be clear'd. Wherefore Origen, and the rest of the Allegorists who despise the Letter of this Chapter, and rely chiefly on some Myflick and Symbolical Meanings, are confuted. And so likewise are they that adhere to the foolish Dreams of Philosophers concerning the Eternity of the World, or its being made by Chance, or the Existence of More Worlds. All these are inconfiftent with Moses's Account of the Creation, besides that they affront other Principles establish'd by the Holy Scriptures, and bid defiance to Reafon and the greatest Evidence of things. So that it is to be wondred that any Person who pretends to own the Divine Authority of the Bible, should publickly disown Moses's Relation of the First Original of the World, and look upon this first Chapter of Genesis (as well as he doth on the third) as not True, i.e. not giving an Account of Matter of Fact. But there was a kind of Necessity upon him to form such Thoughts as these concerning this Entrance of Moses's Book, because he had in his Theory of the Earth run counter to that Relation of it which Moses gives. This is the bold Man that afferts the Primitive Earth to have been without

instead of a History we are here presented with a Parable, with an Ethical Discourse in an obscure way. This Philosophick Romancer turns the Holy Scriptures into Asop's Fables, and seems with his Friend Spinofa to hint that the Writings of the Prophets are only high Flights of Imagination. God forbid that I should fasten any such thing upon him, (or any the like Imputation on any other Man of Learning) or so much as suspect it unless there were some ground for it. I appeal therefore to all Persons of correct Thoughts, whether his asserting that Moses the Prime and Leading Propher is so fanciful that he presents us with mere Allegories and Parables, even when he seems to speak of the Creation of the World, and the Fall of our First Parents, whether (I fay) this doth not argue that the rest of the Prophetick Writers (who could not do amiss in imitating so Great a Guide) are led wholly by Imagination, and dictate not things as they really are, but as they fancied them to be. Nay, he not only overthrows the Truth and Reality of Moses's Writings, but he blasts the Integri-

Sea, and without Mountains, and the Airy Expandity of the Penman himself, telling us, that he was fion to be without Clouds, which are a plain cone a Crafty Politician and Dissembler, one that did all tradicting of Moses, who faith, the Waters were gan to comply with the People, one that cheated the ther'd together, and were called Seas, ver. 10. and in ignorant Jews with a thing like an History, merely forms us that there were other Waters above the Fir. to please them, whilst in the mean time it is nomament or Air, ver. 7. and in another Place lets thing but a piece of Morality in an Allegorized us know that all the bigh Hills and Mountains were cod way, and is to be understood so by us. Certainly ver'd by the Waters of the Deluge, Gen. 7. 19, 20. Moses needed not to have been Inspired by the Ho-Thus it must needs be ill philosophizing in defiance by Ghost (as I suppose most grant him to be) to of Moses, the first of the Philosophick Order. This have merited this Character. But I have animadis Confutation enough of his Hypothesis; and verted on him with some Freedom in a former herein I am satisfied that the Excepter against his Discourse, and therefore I will not say any more Book is in the right. Now to support his own there. Nor should I have said any thing then, or Opinion, and to run down Moses, he tells us, that now, if I had not been verily perswaded that the Credit of Moses, and of the Scriptures themselves, and consequently of our whole Religion, lay at stake: for if this is Chapter of Genesis, together with the rest which follow, which have all the Marks of History upon them, be not Literal and Historical, we know not what Judgment to make of any other Places of Scripture which recite Matter of Fact, we can't tell whether any Text bears a Literal Sense or no, and so we throw up the whole Bible into the Hands of Scepticks and

Atheists. After all that I have said under this Head, I would not be thought to mean any fuch thing as this, that the Scripture was designed for Philosophy: No, there are Nobler things that it aims at. Yet this is most certain, that here is the Best Philosophy, both Moral and Natural. It is the latter I am now speaking of, viz. the Knowledg of the Works of Nature, God's creating of the World, which is the first step to all Natural Philosophy. This is to be learnt in the Beginning of this Holy Book, whose Excellency and Perfection I am treat-

ing of. Here the Birth and Original of all thing are distinctly set down, which is a Subject that all the Philosophers are defective in. I grant what Cyril, speaking of Moses, faith, 'that he design'd not to play the Philosopher in a subtile and curious manner, and to be accurate in his Discourse of the First Principles of things: but notwithstanding World teacheth us the True Origine and Age of the World, the Epoche of the Universe, the Particular Order and Method of the Creation, and more especially the manner of the Production of ny: and Mela speaks of the Comari. The Peo-Mankind, but This. By this alone we are fixed and ple that dwelt in this Tract were (as Herodotus and determined in these Points, and we have no longer other Antient Historians testify) call d Cimmerii, any Reason to doubt and waver. We may plainly and had their Name from Gomer, if we may give discern from these Sacred Writings the Invalidity Credit to some of the Learnedest Criticks, such of those Notions which some Philosophick Heads who are not wont to rest in fanciful Derivations. have entertain'd, viz. the Eternity of the World, They tell us that Gomeri, Comeri, Cumeri, Cimbri, the Production of it by Chance, or the Mechanical Cimmerii, are the same. The Old Germans are Rife of it by virtue of mere Matter and Motion. thought by them to have been a Colony of these All these fond Conceits are silenced by this Sacred Cimmerians or Gomerians, for German is but a Author; an Happiness which we could not have Corruption of Gomerman. The Old Galls were had if this most Antient and Authentick Book another Colony of the Gomerians, (who by the

of Nations and People in the World, but from the Cimbri or Cimmerii were the antient Inhabitants of Mosaick History. Here, and only here, we have an Old Gallia. And our Ancestors the Britains were Exact Narrative of the dividing of the Earth among the Sons of Noah and their Posterity. It is in the the Galls or Celtæ, who were the Gomeri or Cimbri Tenth Chapter of Genesis that we have the History of old, our own Learned Antiquary Mr. Cambden of the First Plantations. A Choice Monument of attempts to prove from their Religion, Manners, Antiquity, and to be priz'd by all Lovers of An-Language, &c. The Inhabitants of Cumberland tient Learning, those that delight to enquire into (as he thinks) retain the Name still: they were the First Originals of things. Here we are in the true Britains, i. e. Cimbri or Cumbri, or (as af-

form'd that Japheth, the eldest Son of Noah, and his feven Sons, were the first that peopled that part of the World which is call'd Europe, with a part of Asia the Less. His Sons are reckon'd up in this manner; 1. Gomer, whose Progeny seated themselves in the North-East part of that Lesser this, it is an undeniable Truth that no Book in the Mia, which contains Phrygia, Pontus, Bithynia, and a great part of Galatia. These were the roμαgeis, saith Josephus, call'd by the Latins Galatæ; among whom is the City Comara, according to Pli-Grecians were call'd Γαλάται οι Καλάται, and con-Thirdly; We have no Account of the first Rise tractedly Kénnzi, Celta) for it appears that the

of the same stock; for that they descended from form'd sterwards they were call'd) Cambri. But this lat-

Lib. I.

<sup>. &#</sup>x27;Ου σεβθετε φυσιολογείν λητώς, &c. cont. Julian.

fecond

68 ter Denomination was more especially applied to those of them that fled into the British Cimmeria or Cambria, now call'd Wales, in the time that the Saxons raged in the Southern Parts of this Isla I will here particularly mention Gomer's three Sons and take notice what Places are assign'd to them To Alhkenaz belong'd Troas, or Pbrygia the Lesser, where is the River Ascanius, and a Country call'd Ascania, which it is probable took their Name from him; and hence are the Ascanian Port, and the Ascanian Isles, in Pliny. And the Name Ascanius (as is observ'd) was much used in those Parts Riphath (the second Son) was seated in Pontus and Bithynia, especially in Paphlagonia, whence (as the Jewish Historian remarks) the Paphlagones were call'd Riphatha, and afterwards by Contraction Riphai: and in 'Mela there is mention of Riphaces, To Togarmah (another of Gomer's Sons) was allow ted Phrygia the Greater, and part of Galatia Thence the Phrygians were known by the Name of Tygrammines, saith Josephus.

But I proceed to speak of the Plantations of the other Sons of Japheth. The second of them was Magog, from whom were the Scythians that dwelt on the East and North-East of the Euxine Sea; for Scythopolis and Hierapolis, which those Scythian took when they conquer'd Syria, were ever after call'd ' Magog, faith Pliny. And Ptolomee grants

that the proper Name of that Place was Magog.

3 Josephus confirms this, when he saith the Scythian

were call'd Magogæ by the Grecians, and thence in-

fers that the Scythians had their Original from Ma-

\* Cælofyria urbem habet Bambycen, quæ alio nomine Hierapolis vocatur, Syris verò Magog. Nat. Hist. 1.5. C.23. 3 Antiq. Jud. l. 1. c. 7.

gog the Son of Japheth. The third Son was Madai, from whom were descended the Medes; for Madai is the Hebrew Word for Media, as is evident from Isa. 21. 2. and other Places. And another Seat of his Offspring was Macedonia, antiently call'd Amathia or Amadia, which is the same with Madia; for 'tis usual in the changing of a Name out of one Language into another, to prefix a Vowel or Dipthong. And a People of this Place are call'd Missel or Moussel. The fourth Son was Javan, who 'tis likely came first into Greece, upon the Division of the Earth among Noah's Children. and then afterwards into the more Western Parts of Europe. From this Javan ('Ιωύαν according to the LXX) the Jones or Jaones (as Homer and Strabo call them) the first and original Grecians were derived. 'Josephus is peremptory, 'And 'i lauárs Ίωνία κ, πάντις "Ελληνες γεγόνασι, from Javan came Jonia and all the Greeks. And Greece is expresly

call'd Javan, Dan. 10. 20. & 11. 2. So that there is no Reason to doubt that this Son of Japheth was the Father of the Grecians. Moses goes on, and mentions the four Sons of Javan: 1. Elisa, whose Portion was Achaia, and part of Peloponnesus, as some of great Learning have inferred from this, that in this Country they meet with Ellas, and the Elysian Fields, and the City Eleusis, and Elisa City of Peloponnesus, who took their Names from Elifa. Some also make him the Parent of the Aoles in Greece. 2. Tarshish, from whom the Country that he inhabited is call'd Tarsis, on the Coast of which is the Great Sea or Mediterranean. whence Tarshish is the Word to signify the Sea. It is reasonable to think that the Place where this

Antiq. 1. 1. c. 7.

fecond Son of Javan was feated is Cilicia, the chief City whereof was Tarfus, call'd fo by his Name And it appears that the Cilicians of old were known by the Appellation of the People of Tarfue 3. Kittim or Chittim, whose Dwelling is thought to have been Lycia and part of Pamphylia; for the Country Cetis and the People Cetii, the first men tion'd by Ptolomy, the fecond by Homer, shew that the Sons of Cittim or the Citteans inhabited there. That Chittim is meant of some Parts of Greece is clear from Numb. 24. 24. Ships shall come from the Coasts of Chittim: By which are understood the Greeks and Seleucida that cross'd the Hellespont, and came against the Hebrews and Assyrians. And in 1 Mac. 8. 5. the King of Macedon is call'd the King of Chittim. And because there were several Colonies of them sent into Cilicia, this bears the Name of the Land of Chittim, Isa. 23. 1. and Chittim, ver. 12. for thence Alexander the Great came to destroy Tyre, which is the Subject of that Chapter. 'Ptolomee tells us, that Cetis is a Region in Cilicia. Cyteum is in Crete, faith Pliny. There is a Cittium in Cyprus, according to 3 Strabo. And 4 Josephus relates that Cetios was the Greek Name of Cyprus it self: and thence he saith all the Greek Isles were called Chittim from thence. Italy also was peopled by the Chittians, and therefore is sometimes understood by that term. The Jerusalem-Targum interprets the Word so in Gen. 10. 4. And when it is faid, The Ships of Chittim Shall come against thee, i. e. against Antiochus, Dan. 11. 30. the Meaning is thought by some Learned Writers to be, that the Romans by Sea should disturb him. But I conceive that this may be true, and yet Chir-

Lib. s. : Lib. 4. 6, 12. 2 Lib. 14. Antig. L. 1. 6. 7.

tim may in this Place (as before) fignify Cilicia, for the Ships of the Romans commonly harboured in the Ports of Cilicia, to command the Mediterranean. The short is, from consulting and comparing the feveral Texts where Chittim is mentioned, I find Reason to determine, that some People both of Greece and Italy are comprehended in it: and accordingly there is Reason to believe that there were different Colonies of the Posterity of Chittim (the Grandchild of Japhet) planted in these Places. This puts an End to the Disputes and Quarrels of the Learned on both fides; some of whom contend that Greece, others that Rome is . meant by Chittim. 4. Dodanim, whose Seat was Epirus and part of Peloponnesus. The Name is kept up in the City call'd Lodona, (which is in Epirus) near to which was Jupiter's Oracle, whence he was fliled Dodonæus. This Jupiter was this Dodanim, the fourth Son of Javan, who was the Grecian Saturn, for there were Jupiters many, and Saturns many.

Japhet's fifth Son was Tubal or Thubal, who took up his Habitation at first, it is probable, about the South-East of the Euxine Sea, where dwelt the Albani, Chalybes, Iberi, who were antiently call'd Thobeli, saith the Jewish Antiquary, from this Thubal: and Ptolomy speaks of a City here named Thubilaca. From those Parts some of the Iberi were translated to Spain, which was thence called Iberia, and so the Spaniards are reckoned as the Posterity of Tubal. Meshech was Japheth's fixth Son, to whom fell Cappadocia, the Inhabitants whereof were the Meschini and Moschi, saith the foresaid Antiquary, who are also mentioned by Strabo, Mela, and Pliny. Some of his Posterity were placed in Scythia and the Regions adjacent, whence we find

Race

of the Holy Scriptures.

find that Meshech and Tubal (which are constantly joined together in Scripture) are Words to exi press Scythia, Ezek. 32. 26. and Magog is joined with them, Ezek. 38. 2, 3. where Gog in the Land of Magog is said to be chief Prince of Meshech and Tubal. And it is moreover probable that the Muscovites are of the Race of Meshech or Moshech (for the Word was pronounced differently, as Me. lech and Moloch) it being generally granted by the Learned that the Muscovites were originally from Scythia. To confirm which Opinion I will offer this Observation to the Reader, that the Seventy Interpreters render Meshech in Ezek. 38. 2. Pas Mεσέχ, where Rhos gives the Denomination to the Russians, which is another Name of the Muscovites. The last Son of Japheth is Tiras, who is universally agreed to be the Progenitor of the Thracians, Thrax having a near Cognation with Thiras. It is yet further remarkable in this Account which is given us of the First Plantations, that by these foresaid Sons of Japheth the Isles of the Gentiles were divided in their Lands, Gen. 10. 5. The Hebrews by understand not only those Regions which are encircled with the Sea, and are more properly and strictly called Islands, but all Countries divided from them by the Sca, or fuch as they could not come to but by the Sea. This is proved from several Texts of Scripture where the word איי is used: and the Grecians vno is taken in this large Sense sometimes. Accordingly there being no part of the World call'd by the Name of Christendom but what was divided from the Jews by Sea, we may gather how large and wide the Allotment of Japheth and his Posterity was. The Istes of the Gentiles include not only all Europe, with all the Isles adjoining and appertain-

ing to it, but whatever Regions lie North and West of Judea. Or, take it in 'Mr. Mede's Words, The Isles of the Gentiles are all Countries that lie above the Mediterranean, from the Mountain Amanus and the Hircane Sea Westward. So much concerning the Ofspring of Japhet, which was not unknown by Name to the Pagans, witness Horace's Japeti Genus, and Lucian's 120018 άρχαιότερω, which he Proverbially applies to that which is very Antient.

The middle Son of Noah was Shem, whose Portion in the Division of the Earth was Palestine, and all the Eastern (which was the greatest) Part of Asia. His five Sons were these: 1. Elam, the Parent of the Elamites, i. e. the Persians, for that was the Primitive Name of those People, as we learn from Isa. 21. 2. & 22. 6. Dan. 8. 2. And because some Part of Media was a near Neighbour, Elam is the Word for the Land of the Medes. Ezek. 32. 24. 2. Ashur, from whom was peopl'd and named Assyria. He was Nimrod's immediate Successor, and is call'd Ninus by Prophane Writers. 3. Arphaxad, whose Posterity was seated in Chaldea and Mesopotamia, and of whose Race Abraham was. 4. Lud, whence the Lydians in Asia the Less. And by the Ludim are meant sometimes the Ethiopians, as \* Bochart hath abundantly evinced. 5. Aram, whose abode was Aramea or Syria, for so 'tis call'd by the Greeks. Whence 'Strabo tells us that Aram was the old Word for Syria; and those that are now call'd Syrians, were heretofore known by the Name of Aramæans. And I doubt not but Armenia had its Denomination from Aram, there being so great probability that his

Race were Inhabitants of Syria and Armenia the Great, which is as much as Aramenia. Uz, Aram's Son, had the Land of Uz. There is mention'd also Heber, Arphaxad's Son, from whom some think the Hebrews had their Name, but that is disputable. Some Writers tell us that among the Divisions of the several Regions of the World, America or the West-Indies fell to the Share of some of the Stock of Shem. This Part of the Earth was possess'd and peopled, say Arias Montanus and Vatablus, by Jobab and Ophir, two of the Sons of Jostan. To confirm which Brerewood and others aver, that America is join'd to the Continent of Asia, and so the Passage was easy, and Men and Beafts might go thither. Not only these Writers, but Genebrard and others declare it to be their Opinion, that Ophir, from whence Solomon fetch'd his Gold, had its Denomination from the latter of those Sons of Jostan, and that this place was that which is now call'd Peru; which they think they partly prove from 2 Chron. 3.6. Gold which came from Ophir, is call'd Zahah Peruajim, which latter Word is the dual Number, they fay, of Peru. If you enquire after the more particular Place, Vatablus will tell you that it is Hispaniola in the Western Ocean, lately found out by Columbus. But others tell us it was the Eastern India, that which was posses'd by Shem's Posterity, viz. Ophir and Havilah the Sons of Jostan. So fay Rabanus Maurus and Lyranus, so Pererius, Maffæus, Tzeta, Lipenius, but all in a different Manner, and placing it in different Regions of this part of the World. To these Indies, saith Josephus, Solomon's Navy made a Voyage, to a Region call'd here-

of the Holy Scriptures. heretofore Sophyra, now the Golden Land. With him agrees 'Varrerius, and adds that this Golden Chersonesse is the same with the Place that is at this Day call'd Malaca, and is in the Kingdom of Pegu, and borders on Somatra. But Mercator holds the Place to be Japan. Acosta would perswade us it is Pegu, Siam, Sumatra. Here's a wide Difference (you will fay) among Authors: the East and West-Indies are concern'd in the Controversy: and it may be, after all, Ophir was in neither of them. It is Sophala in the Ethiopick Sea, and consequently belongs to Africa, say Volaterranus and Ortelius: but I rather think that Africa it self. or the African Shore is meant by it. Which feems to be confirmed from 2 Chron. 9. 21. & 20. 36. (compared with 1 Kings 9. 28. & 10. 22. & 22. 48.) where Ophir is call'd Tarshish. For though this Name belongs properly to the Cilician Port, which is on the other Side of Africa, yet (as I have shew'd in another Place) in a large Acception it comprehended all the Mediterranean Sea, and that Part especially which wash'd the African Shores: and hither it is probable Solomon's Fleet failed, and the Merchants went up into the Country in pursuit of the Golden Mines: of which afterwards.

Cham or Ham (the youngest Son of Japheth) and the Families that descended from him, were first seated in this Country of Africk, though some of them made Excursions also into Syria and Arabia. That he was feated in Egypt (the most considerable part of Africk) is undeniable, fince it is so often call'd 'the Land of Ham: and 'Plutarch hath left

Antiq. L. 8. C. 2.

De Ophyra Regione. Pal. 105, 23, 27. ! In Ofi-

it on record, that Chemia or Chamia was the antient Name of that Place, which without doubt it had from Ham, or Cham, Japhet's Son. That he or his Posterity lanch'd out into some parts of Arabia, which border'd on Africk, is render'd very Probable by Monsieur Bochart. But that Chush, the eldest Son of Cham, was the Father of the Ethiopians, cannot be denied by any Man that well observes what the use of the Name Cushi or Cushim is in the Sacred Writings. Havilab, one of Cush's Sons, gave Name to Havilab, which Strabo places in the Confines of Arabia and Mesopotamia. When ther the Arabian or Ethiopian Saba or Seba be denominated from Sheba the Son of Cush, or another of that Name who was his Grandson, or from a Third Sheba, the Son of Jostan, (whom also we find in this 10th Chapter of Genesis) it is to little purpose here to dispute. Misraim, the second Son of Cham, was questionless the Founder of Egypt, for that is the known Name that it hath in the Hebrew of the Old Testament. From ' Josephus we learn that the Metropolis of this Province (by some call'd Memphis) had the Name of Mezzara given it by the lews in his Time. And to this day Egypt is call'd Mizraim by the Jews and Arabians. Phut, a third Son, is believed by most Writers to have peopl'd Mauritania, Numidia, Lylia, Thence ' Pliny makes mention of a River in Mauritania call'd Phut: of which also St. Jerom speaks, telling us that there was in his time a 'Region in Africk that had its Name from it. I doubt not but some part of Africa (if not the whole Countrey)

'Antiqu. L. 1. C. 6. 'Nat. Hist. L. g. C. 1. 'Phut, & juxta cum regio Phutensis usque in præsens dicitur. Trad, Hebr. in Gen.

trey) is meant by Phut in Nah. 3. 9. And it can't be look'd upon as an Extravagant Conjecture if I guess that Phetz or Fez, a Kingdom of Mauritania, is a Corruption of that Word. The fourth and last Son of Cham was Canaan, from whom sprang the Canaanites. His Sons were Sidon, Emori, Jebusi, &cc. from whom were the Sidonians (including the Tyrians) Amorites, Jebusites, &c. often spoken of in the Old Testament. All this Country of Palestine was (as I said before) part of Shem's Portion, but Canaan invaded it, and thence it bore his Name. The Learned Boebart thinks this was the antient and Primitive Phænicia, it being called polylen by the LXX. Exod. 16.35. and she whom St. Matthew calls a Woman of Canaan. is faid by St Mark to be a Syro-Phænician. It is this Great Critick's perswasion that the Phænicians were originally Canaanites, and that they fled out of Canaan, when Joshua came and took Possession of their Country: then they went and seated themselves on the Sea-Coast of Palestine, call'd by them afterwards Phanicia, as the Britains upon the coming of the Saxons betook themselves to that part of the Country which is now known by the Name of Wales. This Excellent Person hath with great and manifold Arguments attempted the Establishment of this Assertion, and hath abundantly 'shew'd that there are several plain Footsteps of those first Planters in the Names in Geography. I might confirm this from a very remarkable Passage in St. Augustine, who assures us 'that in his time the Peasants of Hippo, who were known to be of the Race of the Phænicians, when they were ask'd who they were? used to answer they were

Bochart in Phaleg. Exposit. in Epist. ad Rom.

form

were Canaans: which plainly shews that Canaan and Phænicia were the same.

But this I would add here, that Phanicia is a larger and more extensive Term than Canaan, because I conceive the former takes in all those Countries that border'd on the Red Sea: for I am perswaded that Phænicia had its Denomination from Φόινιξ the Name of Esau or Edom turned into Greek. I had occasion heretofore to shew that this Noted Person was call'd Erythras or Erythraus by the Pagan Historians: which Name I am now to observe is of the like Signification with Advis, i. e. Rufus. So that Esau, Edom, Erythraus, Phœnix are the same, and consequently the Phænicians properly speaking, were all those People that lived near the Red Sea, (which is call'd fo from Edom (the Hebrew of Fours) i. e. Red) and were under the Dominion of that Great Lord and Potentate Esau.

This is the Division of the World among the Sons of Noah; thus 'the most High divided to the Nations their Inheritance, he separated the Sons of Adam, he set Bounds to the People. Japheth's Possession was the Northern and Western Parts of the Earth: Shem had the East: and Cham had his lot between both. Moses reckons up in this Chapter fourteen Persons of the Posterity of Japheth, fix and twenty of the Race of Shem, and nine and twenty of that of Cham: who all with Japheth, Shem and Cham themselves amount to seventy two: and just so many Languages (or rather Dialetts) fome think there were, and the very same number of Nations occasion'd by the rise of those Languages. It is a most difficult Task to assign exactly

the feveral Particular Regions and People derived from the Posterity of Noah, and their proper Seats and Habitations. Arias Montanus, Bochart, Raleigh, Heylin (besides others before them, who have writ of this Subject) feem to differ not a little, and yet they all agree in the main. Nay, where you see different Places and Regions assigned by them, they may all be true: for one may fet down the first Seats of Noab's Offspring; another may mention the Colonies they fent forth, which lie it may be a great way off of the first Seats; and another may take notice of their Encroachments and Invafions. But whatever it is that is faid by any Authentick Writer concerning this peopling of the several Parts of the Earth, it is all founded on the Mosaick History. Here we are told that the Parts of the World were divided by the Sons of Noah, every one after his Tongue, after their Families in their Nations, Gen. 10.5. The Confusion of Tongues was that which divided Families: and yet by the Affinity of the Tongues there was an Union made, for those that agreed in the same Idiom joined together, and went and feated themselves together. And who these First Planters were, the Sacred History particularly acquaints us. As we have no Book but this that lets us know who were the first People in the World, who were before the Flood; fo none but this tells us who the most Considerable Persons after it, and by whom the Several Nations of the World were first erected, and Colonies were sent forth into all the Parts of the Earth.

Fourthly; The true Knowledg of the Original of Civil Government, and the Increases of it, and the Different Changes it underwent, is to be drawn from these Sacred Fountains. We may in-

form our selves here (and no where else) that the Primitive Government was Paternal, i. e. it was seated in Fathers of Families; as first in Adam and other Heads of Families, who then lived very long Time. It is true, we are told by Arifton tle, that the Power of Parents over their Children was a Regal and Sovereign Dominion: the one (i. e. Parents) were the first Kings, the other (i. e. Children) were the first Subjects. But this the Philosopher could learn from no other Book but the Bible, or from those Traditions which were founded on these Antient Records, because no other Writings give an Account of the Government which was first of all settled among the Sons of Men. Here, and only here, we are told that Adam and the other first Partriarchs were Supreme Governours in their respective Tribes and Houfes; that the Father of every Family was at the first the King of it, and reigned over his Children and Houshold as Soveraign; and that upon the Decease of the Father, the Eldest Son by a natural Right and Title was Successor, and inherited the Paternal Power and Dominion. Thus with the Paternal Rule went Primogeniture; i. c. the First-born Sons of Fathers of Families were Rulers, and there were many of these every where. And thus the Authority quietly and peaceably ran in this Channel, and tis not likely was interrupted till some years before the Flood, when there was a general Corruption of Mankind, and some affected extraordinary Dominion and Sway, and perverted the Primitive way of Government. After the Flood we find that the Authority was continued in the Heads and First-born of Families: and

and now by a more especial Commission the Magistrate's Authority is consirm'd, Gen. 9. 5. Whoso sheddeth Man's Blood, by Man shall his Blood be shed. The Ruler is authorized to punish Murder with Death, to require Blood for Blood. This is the first Formal Appointment of the Power of the Sword that we read of, this is the first Erection of a Tribunal of Life and Death. From some Instances in the Records of this Time we may gather, that the Sacerdotal Dignity was joined to the Secular Power: they that were Magistrates were Priests. And so far as we are able to discover, these Offices were exerted by those who had the Paternal Right, or that of Priority of Birth. Thus. it was in the first Patriarchal Oeconomy; this was the Government which lasted till after the Deluge in the Race of Shem. For as yet there was no one Person who usurped Authority over all the rest, (though those of Cham's Offspring had a Monarchy in the mean time, Nimrod being their King, of whom I shall speak afterwards) but the Patriarchs kept up the first and original Laws of Paternity and Primogeniture all the time they lived at Liberty: there was no failure of this Government till they were brought under the Egyptian Yoke. And then afterwards, when the Jewish People were in the Defarts of Arabia, the Primogeniture more fignally ceas'd in Moses, who was appointed by God himself to be Ruler over them. And so we are come to give an Account of the Civil Government of the Jews, which we can learn from no other Writings under Heaven but These. Mofes, I fay, was their Ruler, and was the First of that kind that they had. He was not only their Captain

' Gen. 8. 20. & 12. 2. & 35. 3.

<sup>்</sup> H லி ஸி ரக்குவர வீடிறு, Bகனலாள். Politic. L. 2, C. 8.

and Leader, but their Civil Magistrate; yea he may be faid to be their King, for even that Title is given to him, Deut. 33. 5. Moses was King in Jeshurun, i. e. in Israel. He was an Absolute King, fay ' Philo, and the Jewish Doctors, and 'Mr. Selden, and some others. Joshua was their next Ruler, Captain and King; who was succeeded by the Judges, who were, like the Roman Dictators, fet up upon emergent Occasions to defend, protect and deliver the People. But all this time the Jews were under a Theocracy, i. e. they were governed in a more fignal manner by God. They received their Laws from Him, and he appointed the Punishments for the Breach of those Laws: They went to War by His Advice and Direction, and they did nothing in Civil or Ecclefiastical Affairs without confulting him. Thus God was their King; it was a Divine Government; and the Judges were but God's Vicegerents, and held a Power under Him. That God himself exercised this Regal Power over the Jews, was expresly acknowledged by Gideon, Judg. 8. 23. I will not rule over you, (saith he to that People) neither shall my Son rule over you, the Lord shall rule over you. So Samuel told the People, that the Lord their God was their King, 1 Sam. 12. 12. And this is implied in what God said to Samuel, They have not rejected thee, but they have rejected me, that I should not Reign over them, 1 Sam. 8. 7. This Kingdom of God among the Jews began when he renewed the Covenant With them, Exod. 19.5,6. Then they took God for their King and Governour; and accordingly the Jewish Government is stiled a Theocracy, not only by Josephus, but many of the Christian Writers.

But this wanton People defired another King befides God; they would by no means be Singular, they would be Ruled as other Nations were; a King they must have, as Egypt, Babylon, Syria, Persia, and the rest of the Pagan World had. And a King they had according to their earnest Delire; for they chose Saul to be their King in defiance of God's Soveraignty over them: and now the Theocratical Dispensation ceased. Their Kingly Government lasted till the Captivity, when Zedekiah was their last King. After their Return from Assyria they were governed by the Chief Heads of their Tribes: Thus the Sacred Writings acquaint us that Zerobabel, a Prince of the Tribe of Judah, was their Supreme Ruler. But the Canonical Scripture goes not on to tell us the great Variety of Governours over the Jews after the Captivity: Only in the New Testament we read of Herod, who was the first Stranger that was King of the Jews, but the last of all their Kings; for them that famous Prophecy of the Scepter departing from Judah was accomplished, and Shiloh, the Blessed Saviour, the Prince of Peace, came into the World.

Having given you a short Survey of the Government among the Jews, I will in the next Place speak of the Particular Exertments of it in their Courts of Judicature. There was the Judicatory of three Men; two of which were chosen by the Parties that were at Controversy, and those two chose a third. This fort of Courts was call'd the Judicature of Moneys, because it was conversant about Pecuniary Causes, i. e. wherein Life was not con-

G 2 cerned

<sup>1</sup> De'vir, Moss. 2 De Synedr. 1. 2. 2 Contr. Appion. 1. 20

cerned, but only a Sum of Money to be paid for the Fault, viz. Theft, Trespalles, Defamation. Hurt and Damage, and all Private Injuries. In short, all Lesser Causes and Petty Actions were tried by these Triumviri. I must add, that though this was usually call'd the Judicature of Three, yet this Number was fometimes increased to five or feven. And this must be noted, that these three, five, or seven Judges, or rather Justices of the Peace, were fettled in every City and considerable Town. and they tried the Causes, and decided the Controversies of the Inhabitants of their proper City and Town. Again, there was the Judicatory of Three and Twenty Men, and sometimes it consisted of Four and twenty: This Court was stilled the 'Judicatory of Souls, because Great and Capital Causes, such as concern'd the Life of Men, were brought and tried here. This Court was also called the Little Sanedrim, or Lesser Consistory: and whereas the former ludicature of Three was in every City, this Court was in every Tribe in Israel. All the Hebrew Writers of any Note, who designedly treat of the Jewish Government, speak of these two Courts, and therefore it is not to be question'd that they were in use among that People. But it is also unquestionable that they were not made use of at first, i. e. either in Moses's time, or three or four Ages afterwards; otherwise we should have had them particularly mentioned in the Old Testament, which for my part I could never observe. though some pretend to do so. It being therefore our present Business to speak only of those things relating to the Jewish Polity which are expresly mentioned

tioned in Scripture, 1 will proceed to recount those particular Models of Judicatures which are exprefly taken notice of in these Sacred Writings, and they are these. First, there was in every Town a sufficient Number of Overseers of the People, who upon occasion met together to do them Right: for the Tribes were divided into Thoufands, Hundreds, Fifties, Tens, i. e. into so many Families; and over each Division there presided Rulers of Thousands, Rulers of Hundreds, Rulers of Fifties, and Rulers of Tens, to judg the People at all Seasons, Exod. 18. 21, 25. And the same are called Captains over Thousands, Hundreds, Fifties, Tens, Numb. 31. 14. Deut. 1. 15. 1 Sam. 8.5. and their Business was to decide lesser Causes in these their respective Thousands, Hundreds, &c. Besides these Ministers of Justice in every particular Town, there were others of a larger Jurisdiction, who are call'd Princes and Heads of the Tribes, Numb. 13.2. & 34. 18. Chief of the Tribes, Deut. 1. 15. Officers among the Tribes (in the same Place), and Judges and Officers throughout the Tribes, Deut. 16. 18. There were Twelve of these, every Tribe having its distinct Head and President over it; and these determin'd in Causes of a greater and higher Nature than the others. Moreover, there was a Senate of Seventy, chosen out of the two former Ranks of Persons; and they were defigned at first to be Coadjutors to Moses, Numb. 11.16. You will find that these are mention'd together with the other two in fos.23.2. & 24. 1. for by the Elders in both these Places are meant, I conceive, the Seventy Seniors, and by the Heads of Israel we are to understand the Representatives and Governours of the Tribes; and by Officers and Judges the Ordinary and Inferiour Justices, viz. Captains of Thousands, &c. It  $G_3$ 

ר ני נפשה

how

The Excellency and Perfection It was the first of these, namely, the Judicature, of Seventy Men, which was most considerable, and therefore I will add a few Words concerning it: Because Moses was President over it, the Jews called it the Judicature of Seventy one; and others, adding Aaron to that Number, fay, it confifted of Seventy two. This famous Council, which was at first appointed by Moses in the Wilderness, was afterwards a Settled Council for governing the People in the Land of Canaan, and was called the Sanedrim, (which is a 4 Greek Word originally, but crept into the Hebrew, as other Greek Words have done) but to distinguish it from the Lesser one, it is called the Great Sanedrim. The other Courts sat in every City and Tribe, but This was at Jerusalem only, and could sit no where else. This Great Confistory judged of All Matters, whereas the Others took Cognizance only of Leser ones. This was not only a Court of Common Pleas. or Nist Prius, where only Civil Causes were tried, but sometimes it determin'd both them and Criminal Actions. It was also a Chancery, or Court of Equity. But the more special and peculiar Work of this Court was to try the most Weighty Causes: these most commonly were brought before these Seventy Seniors: Matters of the Highest Nature, the most Important Affairs of the Kingdom, and fuch as belong'd to the Safety of the Publick, were tried here. This Great Senate was chosen out of all the Tribes, and confifted of Lay-men, Priests and Levites. The King, or Chief Civil Magistrate, was the Head of it, as Moses was at first. This

Assembly of the Seventy Senators was look'd upon as the Chiefest and Highest Court of the Jews. The Su-

Supreme Power was thought to be feated here: accordingly all other Courts appeal'd to This, but from This was no Appeal. But some are of another Opinion, and add a Fourth Court of Justice, viz. the Publick Council and Congregation of all the People. This some make to be the Highest Court, as in the Case of the Levite's Wife that was cut into twelve Pieces. The Captains of Thousands, &c. the Seventy Seniors, and All the Chief of the People met together, made this Great Assembly, this Mikel Gemot, this Parliament. This is that (they fay) which is called the Congregation of the Lord, and the Whole Affembly of Ifrael, and 8 the Whole Congregation, and the Great Congregation or Assembly. These were the several Courts of Judgment amongst the Jews. Whilst Moses lived, he judged and decided Controversies alone when he thought fit; or when any of These Councils met, he was the Prince and Head of them. So that the Jewish Government (so far as it respected These Courts) was partly Regal and Monarchical, as under Moses; and it was partly Aristocratical, as under the Captains of Thousands; and partly Democratical or Popular, under the Kahal of the People. We are beholden to the Sacred Records of the Old Testament for these excellent Discove-

Authentick Precedents.

Having spoken of their Methods of Government, and Courts of Judicature, which are so justly admired and imitated by all Wise Governours, I will next of all shew from these Antient Writings

ries relating to Government, which the most Civi-

lized Nations in the World have taken for their

<sup>&</sup>quot; Kehal Jehovah, Deut. 23. 3. 7 Col Gnederh Tisrael, Exod, 1.3. E274 10, 14. Kahalah Gedolah, Neb. 5. 7.

<sup>1</sup> Entlewn. 3 Sanedrim Gedolah.

how the Jewish Governours chastised and corrected those that offended against their Laws. Their Lesser Punishments were such as these: 1. Retaliation; Exod. 21. 24. Eye for Eye, Tooth for Tooth, Hund for Hand, Foot for Foot. The plain Meaning of which was, that whoever bereft another Person of his Eye, Tooth, Hand or Foot, should be punish'd with the Loss of an Eye, a Tooth, &c. and fometimes this literal and rigorous Sense of the Law was put in practice. But generally it was not understood in the strict Sense, but he that put out another Man's Eye was to give him Satisfaction, i. e. as much as an Eye was thought to be worth. So the Targum of Jonathan interprets Deut. 19.21. which is a Repetition of the foresaid Law of Talion: the Offender was to make a fufficient Recompence. And thus the παυτοπά θεια or άντιπεπουθός among the Grecians, and the Lex Talionis among the Romans was understood, viz. not of an Identical, but an Analogical Compensation. An Equivalent was accepted, the Value of an Eye, a Tooth, &c. for the Eye or Tooth it felf. 2. Restitution, the diverse kinds of which are particularly fet down in the beginning of Exod. 22. as first, when the fame thing that was taken away is returned to the Owner, ver. 12. or when the like thing is restored, v. 5. or when more is returned than was taken away, ver. 1, 4. viz. in the Case of Theft, where twofold, sometimes four or five-fold, (according as the Circumstances of the Fault were) yea feven-fold sometimes was to be restored, Prov. 6. 31. or, when the Thief had nothing to make Satisfaction with, he was to be fold, and Reflitution was to be made to the Owner with that Money, Exod. 22. 3. 3. Imprisonment, keeping the .Body of the Man in Custody for his Fault. And

thence this Place of Confinement is called the House of Custody, 2 Sam. 20. 3. and by the Chaldee Paraphrast the House of Detention, Isa. 24. 22. Thus King Asa clapp'd the Prophet Hanani into Prison for reproving him, 2 Chron. 16. 10. King Ahab commanded Micaiah to be fent to the like Place. because he prophesied against him, 1 Kings 22. 27. Teremiah was put into the Court of the Prison by King Zedekiah for the same Offence, Jer. 37. 21. John the Baptist was imprisoned by Herod, Mat. 4. 12. and so was St. Peter by another of that Name, Acts 12.4. This also was antiently the Place to receive those that were in Debt, Mat. 18.30. and fuch as had committed Murder, Luke 23. 19. We read of πήρησις δημοσία, a Common Prison, a Publick Jail, Alts 5.18. a Place of Durance and Confinement for the worst fort of Offenders. In their Prisons there was usually a Dungeon, Jer. 38. 6. or a Pit, as the Hebrew Word Bor is rendred in other Places when it hath reference to a Prison, as in Isa. 24. 22. Zech. 9. 11. And from this Word we gather what was the Nature of the Dungeon, viz. that it was a Place dug deep in the Ground fo as to let in Water, (for that is imported by Bor, Puteus, Fovea) whereby the Place became miry s and accordingly we read that Jeremiah, who was cast into this worst and lowest part of the Prison, sunk in the Mire, Jer. 38.6. It is no wonder therefore that the Hebrew Word is translated by the LXX λάμμω, (whence lacus) a Ditch, a Pit, a Lake. Among the Egyptians there was in their Prisons this kind of Tullianum or Dungeon, Gen. 41. 14. for Bor is the Name of that lowest Place in the Prison into which Joseph was cast. And this is afterwards. called the House of the Pit or Well, (for so it is in the Hebrew) Exod. 12. 29. It might be observed out

out of those Authors who have given an Account of these Subterraneous Dungeons, that they were deep; and thence BUDOS was the Name of a deep noisom Durance in Cyzicum in the Propontis, as Beda relates out of Theodorus of Tarsus, into which perhaps St. Paul was cast when he passed from Troas to that City, as 'Dr. Hammond conjectures; which may be the meaning of his being a Night and a Day in the Deep, ev To BUDOS, 2 Cor. 11. 25. It is not improbable that this BUDOS is synonymous with Towed, a Pit, a Well, which is the frequent Word in the Old Testament for a Dungeon. And in conformity to this Stile, as well as to the Nature of the thing it self, Puteus is the Word used by Plautus

for the muddy dirty Vault or Dungeon into which the vilest Offenders were detruded. To Imprisonment belong the Stocks, not only because they are, a kind of a Prison, (as the Scholiast on Aristophanes speaks) yea a real Prison for the Feet, but because they generally were made use of in Prifons; which I collect from two or three Places in the Old and New Testament. In Jer. 29. 26. putting in Prison and in the Stocks are joined. The Word gur hath much troubled Interpreters: Among these the Learned Buxtorf derives it from the navis and janak sugere, and thinks it originally and properly denotes that kind of Punishment mention'd by Plutarch in Artaxerxes's Life, viz. that a Man was immured between two Boats, and had Milk and Honey given to him, and whether he would or no poured down his Throat to keep him alive, &c. The Hebrews, as this Critick imagines, from the manner of Torture and Feeding, ex-

Annotat. on 2 Cor. 11. 25. In Aulul. Act. 2. So. 5. Εισίο δεσμωτηρίε, το ξύλον.

press

press the Punishment by the Ship of the Sucker; and by this they use to fignify any Close Prison, and even that more particular Confinement of the Hands or Feet in Prison: Accordingly an Eminent Rabbin thinks it imports Hand-shackles; another, that it signifies Fetters for the Feet. That there was such an Antient Punishment as the Stocks, is evident from Job 13. 27. & 33. 11. where the Hebrew Word is 70, rendred in the former Place κώλυμα, and in the latter ξύλον. The other Place which I alledg is in the New Testament, Alts 16.24. He thrust them into the inner Prison, and made their Feet fast in the Stocks. Where observe there was λοωτέρα Φυhand, to distinguish this Place from the other Parts of the Prison: and this it is likely was the Dungeon, the Pit spoken of before; and here was placed το ξύλον, (for that is the Word here used) the Wooden Stocks; lignea custodia, as Plautus calls it; which was both to secure Offenders, and to put them to pain. I confess this Text speaks of the Prison at Philippi, but I suppose as to this there was little difference between the Jewish and Grecian Jails, especially if you take notice of what the other Text before mentioned acquaints us with. There is another Word, viz. מהפכרו, which we render the Stocks, Jer. 20. 2, 3. and feems to me to be some Uneasy Place in the Prison into which Jeremiah was cast, and so it confirms the former Notion I offer'd. We read of the Correction of the Stocks, Prov. 7. 22. but what the Hebrew Word gnekes properly signifies is difficult to determine, only we know that it was some Exemplary Punishment to teach a Fool Wisdom: these δεσμοί (as

the Seventy render it) were to bind him to his good Behaviour. 4. Scourging was another Penal Infliction, Deut. 25. 2. where we find that the Offender

fender was to receive fourty Stripes according to the Rigour of the Law, but thirty nine was the usual Tale, as all the Jewish Writers affirm, and as we may gather from 2 Cor. 11.24. This Fla. gellation is called Bikkoreth, Lev. 19. 20. from bakar bos, it being done with Scourges made of Bulls Hides or Ox-leather; which we also find confirmed by several Classick Authors. Our Blessed Saviour underwent this severe Penalty, Mat. 27. 26. and foretold his Disciples that it should be their Lot, Mat. 10. 17. & 23. 34. which was fulfilled, Alls 5.40. O 22.10. The most grievous fort of Scourging was with Scorpions, 1 Kings 12. 11. i.e. with Whips, to which were fastned Pricks and sharp Thorns, to rend and tear the Body: and fometimes there were Plummets of Lead at the End of them, to bruife and batter the Flesh. Scourging was also a Roman Punishment, as is evident from Alts 22. 24. besides that the best Pagan Histories attest this: yet there was some Difference between this Penalty as it was inflicted by them and by the Jews; for the former used both Rods and Whips, but the latter chastised Offenders with Whips only, which were much more painful and grievous. St. Paul (who, as he confesses himself, used to beat in every Synagogue those that believed on Jesus, Acts 22. 19.) telt the Severity of both; Of the Jews (faith he) received I forty Stripes save one, 2 Cor. 11. 24. Thrice was I beaten with Rods, ver. 25. which refers to this Punishment which was inflicted on him by the Gentiles, Acts 16. 23.

These were the Lesser Punishments among the lews: we are moreover informed from the Sacred Writ what the Capital ones were. These, as to the particular manner of executing them, and as to the Usages and Customs that attended them, are parparticularly spoken of in the Talmud, and are treated of by Maimonides, and by our Learned Dr. Godwin: but I am to consider them as they are mention'd in Scripture, for that is my Business at present. And though the Talmudists distinguish between מיתורת Leffer Deaths, and מיתורת קלות those that are more Grievous; yet because I find that the Jewish Writers do not fully agree what particular Capital Punishments are to be referr'd to these two Heads, I will lay this Distinction aside, and proceed in this order. First, הרג, Killing with the Sword, or Beheading, was in use among them; and though I do not find it among their Judicial Laws, yet there are some Examples of this fort of Death recorded. Ishbosheth was the first that was slain so, 2 Sam. 4. 7. though it is true this Execution was without Law, yet it shews what way of Death was used among them in those days. We may observe that 'tis said, They smote him, and flew him, and then beheaded him: The severing the Head from the Body was a Consequent of some foregoing Violence, whereby his Life was taken away. Indeed, that it was usual to cut off the Head after the Person was slain, and to bring it in a way of Trophy, may be proved from many Instances, as that of Sisera, Judg. 5.26. Goliah, 1 Sam. 17.57. Saul, 1 Sam. 31.9. Sheba, 2 Sam. 20. 22. And perhaps in those Days and afterwards the beheading of Persons alive was not the Praclice among the Jews; but they rather took off their Heads (either by cutting them off with a Sword, or chopping them off on a Block with an Ax) after they had dispatch'd them by some other Means. For, as I apprehend, the Decollation was only for Pomp, and to expose the Malefactors. Nor in the Account that is given of the beheading Folis founthe Baptist, Mark 6. 24. (which is another Inflance of this Punishment in the Holy Book) dol meet with any thing to disprove that his Life was first taken away by the Executioner whom Herod sent, and then his Head was cut off, to be brought in a Charger, to be shown in a way of Triumph. It is probable that when tis said St. James was killed with the Sword, Acts 12. 2. it is meant of that Killing which preceded Beheading, which, as some Ecclesiastical Writers tell us, was inflicted on that Apostle. I will only add, that the Hebrew Masters inform us, that this was the Deadly Penalty of Men-slayers, Murderers, such as apostatized to Idolatry, or that enticed others to it.

Another Mortal Punishment was מקילות Stoning, which was wont to be performed first by one of the Witnesses against the Malefactor, and then all the People followed him. This Lapidation was used towards Blasphemers, Idolaters, Incestuons Persons, Witches, Wizards, Prophaners of the Sabbath; those that inveigled others to Idolatry; Children that cursed their Parents, or rebelled against them. In the Old Testament the Examples of this Punishment are Achan, Josh. 7. 25. Adoram, 1 Kings 12. 18. Naboth, 1 Kings 21. 10. Zechariah, 2 Chron. 24. 1. In the New Testament we read that they attempted to stone our Saviour, John 10. 31. and that they effectually did fo to St. Stephen, Acts 7. 58. and that they exercifed this Severity on St. Paul, but by the fingular Providence of God he escaped with his Life, Alts 14. 19. This was the most General Punishment that was denounced in the Law against notorious Criminals;

Lev. 20. 2, 27. & 24. 14. Dent. 13. 10. & 17. 5. & 21. 21.

yea by those indefinite Terms of putting to Death, is sometimes meant this fort of Exemplary Animadversion, as in Lev. 20. 10. (compared with John 8. 5.) and other Places.

Another severe Punishment was שריפר Burning, which was by the Mosaick Law executed on fome fort of Incestuous Persons, viz. ' those that vitiated their own Daughters, and ' on the Priest's Daughter that committed Whoredom, and on Offenders of a far different Nature, as appears from Achan's Example. Some think they were burnt alive, as some Criminals amongst us are: Others fay, that before they were burnt they were strangled, and then melted Lead was poured down their Throats, and afterwards their whole Bodies were confuned in the Fire. This is certain, that Burning was a secondary Penalty, that is, it followed upon some other going before, as we may infer from what we read concerning this Punitive way of dealing with Achan and his Family; All Israel stoned him with Stones, and burned them with Fire after they had stoned them with Stones, Josh. 7. 25. I might observe further that this Penal Course was taken with Harlots and Prostitutes before the Judicial Law: Thus the Doom which Judah pronounced against Tamar for her Whoredom was, Let her be burnt, Gen. 38. 24. And I propound it, whether it be not reasonable to think, that [She shall be utterly burnt with Fire Rev. 18.8. is an Allusion to this Antient and Legal Punishment of Whoredom, feeing we find that Babylon (who is meant in those Words) is fignally stiled a Whore, and her Fornication is twice mentioned in the third Verse of that Chapter.

Again,

Lev. 20, 14; 2 Lev. 21, 19.

Again, תולם Hanging was in use among the Jews, Numb. 25.4. Deut. 21. 22. but it was of different Nature from that which is among us. For First, they were hang'd up by the Hands, not be the Head or Neck. Secondly, this Suspension was not while they were alive, but after they were dead. Thirdly, it was feldom or never used alone, but in conjunction with one or other of those Pu nishments before (or after to be) mention'd. Some hold that it was used only after Stoning, and was the Recompence of Blasphemy and Idolatry. O thers fay, it was generally the Consequent of Strangling. This is not to be doubted that it was a Secondary Punishment, as well as that which I before mentioned. First they were dispatch'd, and then they were hung up upon a Tree, Gibbet, or Stake, to be seen and taken notice of, to be made Exemplary, and to be a Warning and Terror to others. Therefore when the Sun went down, i.e. when they could no longer be a Publick Spectacle, they were taken down from the Place where they hung, Deut. 21. 23. And from Josh. 10. 26. it appears that this was a Subsequent Punishment, for 'tis faid, Joshua bang'd five Kings on five Trees, but he first smote them and slew them. In the same manner 'tis! likely he dealt with the King of Ai, Josh. 8. 29. whom he hanged. And the same may be thought of Saul's seven Sons, 2 Sam. 21. 9. This is particularly expressed in Numb. 25.4, 5. where both hanging up and flaying are mentioned as the Punishment of some scandalous Sinners in the Wilderness: where by the way observe, that this was the Penalty of Whoredom as well as Blasphemy and Idolatry. I know Suffocation or Strangling (which is by the

Jews called one is reckoned as a Capital Punishment among the Jews, but I do not find it expressy named.

named, unless Machanak, which Job saith, his Soul chose, ch. 7. 15. be a Reference to this fort of Death. But we are to remember that Job was no Jew, and therefore tis improbable he speaks of a Jewish Punishment. But if we may credit R. Solomon and other Hebrew Doctors, this is often mentioned in the Mosaick Law; for they say this is meant in those Places of Leviticus, and other Parts of the Pentateuch, where 'tis commanded that the Criminal shall die, or be put to Death. So in Lev. 20. 10. The Adulterer and Adulteress shall surely be put to Death: the Targum of Jonathan interprets it of Suffocation. And so it doth in Exod. 21.15. Deut. 22. 22. This absolute way of speaking signifies this particular Species of putting Persons to Death, they say. But from what hath been suggested before, we may infer that this is not always true: however, it may be so generally and for the most part; and accordingly from the respective Texts we may gather, that the Offenders that were strangled were those that carnally knew the Priest's Daughter, or another Man's Wife, and those that ftruck their Father or Mother; and all Lying Prophets, or that prophesied in the Name of a salse God. The way, they tell us, of Strangling was with a Towel, Napkin, or any Linen Cloth put about the Malefactor's Neck, and drawn by two Men with Force contrary ways.

Another Punitive Infliction, though not specified in the Judicial Law, nor reckon'd up by the Rabbinick Masters among the Jewish Punishments, was the Wheel, as we are acquainted from what Solomon saith, and without doubt concerning himself, A wife King bringeth the Wheel over the Wicked, Prov. 20. 26. This was used of old not only in Rackings of Persons to make them confess, but to take away

their

their Lives. They were tied unto it, or extended upon it, and so drawn and broken. That this was used by Antiochus toward the Jews, particularly the Macchabean Brethren, is attested in the Apochryphal Writings; and that the Pagan Emperours made use of it upon the Christian Martyrs, we learn from Ecclesiatical History.

Furthermore, Tebignah, Submersion, of which we read in Mat. 18.6. Mark 9.42. is reckon'd by St. Jerom on the former Place among the Punishments of the Jewish Nation: and so it is by Cafaubon in his Notes. And Buxtorf hints that fome were condemned to this Punishment among the Jews, and were signally said to be Men adjudged to be drown'd. But whether it was really fo, or was a Punishment proper to the Gentiles I will not here dispute, but proceed to speak of Another which undoubtedly was Jewish, and is oftner men tion'd in the Old Testament, especially in Moses's Writings, where the Jewish Penalties are fixed, than any of those hefore named. It is being cut off from Ifrael, or the Soul's being cut off from among the People: concerning which there are as many various Opinions, as about any one thing that I know of this Nature. This Chereth or Cutting off is thought by the Jewish Doctors to be peculiar to the Jewish Occonomy: but in this first Essay of their Sentiments about it they are mistaken, for this Penalty is expresly taken notice of before the Judicial Law, in Abraham's time, Gen. 17. 14. God saith of the uncircumcifed Manchild, that that Soul shall be cut off from bis People: therefore 'tis plain that this Infliction, whatever it was, was not peculiar to the Mosaick Dispensation. The Hebrew Expositors all agree in this, that by this Excision

Excision is meant a Divine Punishment, i. e. some Judgment immediately fent by God: but they agree not as to the particular kind of it. It fignifies Sudden Death, saith R. Saadias, who expounds it by those Words, Psal. 55. 23. They shall not live out half their Days. Another of the Rabbies, Sol. Farchi, understands it of Barreness or want of Children. When Persons are threatned to be cut off, their Seed, their Posterity is meant, he saith. Again, some of the Jews think that Eternal Torments in another World are here intended. Others think it is meant of the Excision of both Body and Soul: the former is cut off here by untimely Death, the latter by being separated from God and Happiness in the Life to come, saith Abarbanel. The famous Maimonides goes higher, and faith it fignifies not only the shortning of Life here, but the utter Extinction and Annihilation of the Soul hereafter, so that a Man perishes like a Beast. Christians also (as well as Jews) have different Opinions concerning this, for some of them understand it of some Capital Punishment to be inflicted by the Civil Magistrate, such as Stoning, Burning, &c. according to the Nature and Demerit of the Offence. Others believe an Ecclesiastical Punishment is defigned here, viz. Excommunication: This is the general perswasion of the Di-Vines of Geneva. Some interpret it of Sudden and Immature Death, as L' Empereur and Grotius. Others think Eternal Damnation is meant. Janias will have it to be both Excommunication and Danmation. Upon a View of the Whole, and weighing the feveral Places where this Chereth is mention'd, I doubt not but I may most rationally determine that according to the Subject Matter of the Texts, this Punishment is to be differently under100 The Excellency and Perfection

derstood. That is, where this Excision is threat. ned for such an Offence as was not punishable by the Jewish Laws, it is meant of some Divine Penal. ty, some Plague immediately to be inflicted by God himself. But where this Cutting off is de nounced for a Sin which the Law of the lews and their Courts of Justice took notice of and pu. nish'd, it is likely it is then to be understood of fuch a kind of Punishment as the Law inflicted as some kind of Bodily Death, or Excommunication In this latter acception the Chereth was used, when for some great Offence a Man was excluded from Ecclefiastical Communion, debarr'd the Congregation on, cut off from being a Member of the Church Thus the *Chereth* is the fame with *Cherem*, which was the middle fort of Excommunication among the Iews, between Nidui which was a Separation from Company and Converse, and Shammata which was a Devoting to Satan and utter Destruction. Thus you see what Course they took in those early Times to animadvert on those that were Faulty: and it is the more considerable because it was of God's own Appointment. Hence we conclude these Inflictions were appointed and executed with great Reason and Equity, with fingular Wisdom and Prudence, and such as became the Divine Author of them, and the All-Wise Governour of that People.

It cannot be expected I should insist on the Particular Laws and Constitutions of their Civil Government, they being so Many and Various. These may be consulted in the Old Testament it self, which presents us with the most Complear Rules of Civil Polity, and such as to a great Part of them are sitted to the Governments of all Nations in the World. The Greeks were famous for their Laws, and so were the Old Ro-

mans, who borrowed a considerable Part of their Laws from them, and particularly caused those of the Twelve Tables ( the first beginning of their Laws) to be fetch'd thence by their Decemviri: and we see they contain Excellent Things in them. And the Encomiums of the Learnedest Men are large on the Laws of the Empire: the Pandects are fraught with the Decisions and Responses of Wise and Experienced Lawyers, and the Code is famed for the Decrees and Constitutions of Emperours. Yea, how large and elaborate have the 'Great Sages of our Nation been in Commendation of the English Laws, telling us that they are 'the Highest Reason, and nothing else but Reason; that they are so Reasonable that 4 nothing that is Unjust can be so much as supposed to be in them, and therefore that ' no Man must presume to be wifer than these Laws. If these be the Elogiums of meer Humane Constitutions, of what transcendent Worth and Excellency must we needs allow Those Laws to be, which though calculated for Civil and Humane Government, were originally Divine and Heavenly, and framed by Infinite Wisdom it self? Such were the Laws of the Jews which in this SacredVolume are transmitted to us, and consequently they far surpass, they infinitely surmount all others under Heaven. And no Laws whatsoever were prior to these, as Josephus against Appion very clearly demonstrates. Moses was the Antientest Lawgiver: and Lycurgus, Draco, Solon, and other Publishers of Laws, whom the Greeks boast of, were but Upstarts in respect of him. You do not so much as meet with the word Law in Homer, or H 3 Orpheus,

mans,

<sup>\*</sup>Fortescue de Laudibus Leg. Angl. Coke every where. \*Lex est summa ratio. \*Nullum iniquum in jure præsumendum. 
Neminem oportet esse sapientiorem legibus.

Orpheus, or Musaus, the Antientest Greek Augs thors, as ' Bodinus observes. Indeed the Nations! had no Written Laws at first. Tully, Livy, Justini and other Historians acquaint us, that the Verball

102: The Excellency and Perfection

Commands of Kings and Princes were their Laws But afterward when they had Laws committed to writing, (fuch as could be read, whence they had the Name of Leges) they derived them from the Hebrews: more especially it might be proved, that the Antientest Attick and Roman Laws were, borrowed from Moses, and that other Wise Lawn

givers and Rulers have taken some of their bell; Constitutions hence. Then in the next place, if we look abroad, and enquire into the Government of the Heathen Natin ons, we shall there also be assisted by the Antient Records of the Bible: and as to many things that concern their Kings and Government, we cannot, inform our selves otherwise than from this Sacred

History. Here we read of four Eastern Kings, (fuch as they were, for Melech is a large Word, and fignifies any Ruler) the King of Shinar, the King of Ellasar, the King of Elam, the King of Nations; Gen. 14. 1, 2. Which were the first. peepings out of the Kingdoms of Babylon, Affyria, Persia and Greece: for Shinar is Rabylon (as all agree) Ellasar is Assyria, (some Region near to Euphrates, as may be gather'd from Isa. 37. 12.) Elam is the usual Name of Persia, and by Nations is meant Greece, especially the Grecian Isles where there was a great Conflux of feveral Nations. But these Names are not to be taken in this Extent here, for we cannot suppose that Five Great Kings (and some

of them of very distant Countries) would come to fight the King of Sodom a Petty Prince. Therefore

fore the Places here nam'd, must not be thought to be those Wide Regions which afterwards were known by those Names: and the Persons who are here call'd Kings must not be conceived to be any other than Governours or Magistrates of Cities, for so the Title of King is to be understood in fome Texts of the Old Testament, and particularly in this History, where the five Kings of Canaan are mention'd. We read that Abimelech (which afterwards became the Name of the Kings of Palestine) was one of the first Kings of this Countrey, and that the particular Seat of his Government was Gerar, Gen. 20. 2. We are informed that about this time (which was about

400 Years after the Flood) there were Kings of Egypt, and that Pharaob was the Royal Name even then, Gen. 12.15. No Book that we can trust to make mention of these Early Kingdoms, and Royal Thrones (fuch as they were) but Mofee's History. Yea, here is a considerable Account of the Four Grand Monarchies or Empires of the World, as they are usually stiled. We are told here that Nimrod was a Mighty One in the Earth, Gen. 10. 8. and a Mighty Hunter before the Lord, v. 9. by which Character, and what we may infer from it, it appears that he was the First that exercis'd an Imperial and Kingly Power in the World, though he hath not here the Title of King. The Word [Gibbor] which we render [Mighty] is in the Version of the Seventy a Giant, which expresseth not only the Greatness of his Stature, but the Exorbitancy of his Power which he exerted over others with an Unlimited Sway and Arbitrariness. And when 'tis said he was

Warlike Exercise he was given to, as Livy remarks H 4

a Mighty Hunter, I grant it may fet forth what

of Romulus and Remus, that they were addicted to this Manly Recreation, and that it was a Sign of the Vigour of their Minds, and the Strength and Agility of their Bodies: and so Xenophon in the Life of Cyrus observes, that it is a Military Exercise, and becoming a Great Man. But I conceive there is something more intimated to us in his being represented as a Hunter and a Mighty Hunter, and before the Lord; for this may fignify to us his Fierce Pursuit of Men as well as Beasts, his Tyrannizing and usurping Dominion over the People and that in defiance of God, before whom he was not ashamed to act thus wickedly. He was of the Race of Chush the Son of Cham, and was the Head and Ringleader of those Miscreants that built Babel or Babylon: which baffles the common Account of Pagan Historians, who tell us that Semiramis, Ninus's Queen, was the first Founder of that City, unless we understand by it that she finish'd the Work. He it was that with the Remains of the Babylonian Crew set up here the First Empire: which began foon after the Flood, viz. about 130 Years. This is the Date of the First Monarchy in the World, and Babel was the Place where it commenced. It is expresly said, The beginning of his Kingdom was Babel, Gen. 10. 10. This was the first Step to the Universal Empire of the Chaldeans or Allyrians, which afterwards spread it felf to vast and almost unlimited Dimensions. This is he that by the Pagan Writers is call'd Belus, and faid to be the Father of Ninus, as Eusebius, Jerom, and other of the Learnedest among the Antients agree: for this is observable (and I have proved from several Instances in another Place) that oftentimes the same Persons have not the same Names in Prophane History that are given them

He went out of the Land into Assyria, and thence gather, that though Nimrod was first seated at Babylon, and reign'd in Chaldea, yet from thence he pierced into Assyria, where he built Nineveb, the Head City of the Assyrian Monarchy. But this is unquestionable that the Empire was translated into Assiria, and thence there is a Distinction between the Land of Assyria and the Land of Nimrod. Mic. 5.6. The Sacred Writings also acquaint us, that as this Monarchy began at Babylon and Chaldea, and was translated into Assyria, so at last it returned to Babylon again, the Assyrian Dynasties being swallow'd up of those of Chaldea. So the Assyrians laid the Foundation for the Chaldeans, they set up the Towers thereof, they raised up the Palaces thereof, Isa. 23. 13. And this Relapse or Reduction of the Assyrian Government to the Babylonians is again foretold in Ezek. 31. 11, 12, &c. I have deliver'd him into the Hand of the mighty One of the Heathens, he shall surely deal with him. King Nebuchadnezzar is that El gojim, that God of the Nations (for so'tis in the Hebrew) who made them all bow down to him and worship him, and he more especially made the Assyrians truckle to his Greatness and Soveraignty. This is very carefully to be heeded, because it gives Light to the whole History both Sacred and Prophane, which relates the Affairs of those Kingdoms. The want of attending to this is the reason why several that have writ of these things have egregiously blundred, confounding one part of the Empire with another, making no difference between Babylonians and Assyrians, and thereby rendring all a mere Rabel, a Confusion. But we are directed by what the Sacred History suggests, to distinguish between

of the Holy Scriptures.

in the Sacred one. Some read Gen. 10. 11. thus,

in of the Holy Scriptures.

should come down lower, it would appear that

Mabomet's Dove hath been as wonderful in the

World as the Roman; Eagle: the Turkish Monarchy

hath grafped more than the Roman, and might

challenge to be numbred among the most Celebrated

Monarchies. For these reasons I am apt to be of

· Bodinus's Mind, that we ought to reckon more Mo-

narchies than Four. But I will not now contend.

especially because it is likely the Interpretation of

those Dreams and Visions in Daniel concerning the

Four Beasts and the Four Metals, gave the first Oc-

casion to this number of Four Monarchies, and no

107 Greek Emperours; in the East. Besides, if we

100 The Excellency and Perfection tween the two neighbouring Dynasties of Assyrid

and Chaldea, which alternately made up the First Monarchy. Sometimes the Babylonian Princes bore sway, and were Heads of the Empire; at other times those of the Assyrian Race climb'd to this Honour. Babylon and Ninevel were the two Roy al Seats belonging to each: when the Chaldean Kings prevail'd, then the former was their Place of residence; when the Assyrian Monarchs bare Rule, the latter was the Place where they kept their Court. The brief Scheme of the Successions is this: At first all Assyria was subject to Babylon or Chaldea: next the Babylonian Power gave way to the Assyrian: after this the Assyrians lost the Monarchy, it coming again to the Chaldeans, yet fo that the Empire was then divided, for the Medes

had a Part, though the greatest Share went to the Babylonians. Where by the way we may observe, that that which is call'd and reputed the First Monarchy may as well be faid to be the Third: it may be counted Two at least. And thence it will follow that that which is vulgarly call'd the 2d Monarchy was the 3d or 4th; for before the Persian Monarchy there was the Babylonian, Assyrian and Median. Here, if the Reader would pardon the Digression, it might be further prov'd, that the common Division of the Monarchies into four, and no more, is imperfect and groundless: for there were several other Entire Dynasties or Kingdoms in the time of the Asfyrian Monarchs; there were the Kingdoms of the Old Germans, Egyptians, Argives, Athenians, Lacedemonians, Tyrians, Romans, Jews. So the Greek Monarchy (which is reckon'd the Third) was divided into four Kingdoms. Likewise, with the Roman Emperours were contemporary the Greek

more. To return then to our former Matter, viz. The Difference which the Old Testament directs us to take notice of between the Assyrian and Chaldean Empires, which some have so shuffled together that they cannot distinctly be discern'd. These Inspired Writings let us know that the First Captivity of the Jews was under the former, the Second under the latter; that the Kings of Assiria were those properly who reign'd in Nineve, and that strictly speaking the Kings of Babylon were those that resided at Babylon: though 'tis true by reason of the Vicissitude of the Government of the Assyrians and Chaldeans, these are sometimes call'd the Kings of Assyria; and the King of Babylon and of Assyria is the same. It is from the Scripture-Records that we are informed that ' Nebuchadnezzar in the eighth Year of his Reign, transported Jehoiakin the King, and other of the lews to Babylon, and that in his eighteenth Year he utterly destroyed Jerufalem, and carried away Captive Zedekiab and the greatest Part of the Jews, from which 2 2 Kings 24, 12. Jer. 39. 12. : Method. Hist. Cap. 1.

108 The Excellency and Perfection which time are to be numbred the Seventy Years of the Babylonian Captivity. This was Nebuchal nezzar the Great, he who brought that Monarch to its highest Pitch, insomuch that some have red kon'd him the First Absolute Monarch. Many other remarkable Passages relating to the whole Series of this Government, and those that presided in it from first to last, are set down in the Hole Writ. Several of the very individual Persons who were the Chief Monarchs of this first and antientes Empire, are here particularly mention'd, with the confiderable Actions and Events appertaining to them: as Pul, 2 Kings 15. 19. Tiglath-Pilefer, 1 Chron. 5. 26. Salmanassar, 2 Kings 17. 3.1 Hof. 10. 14. Senacherib, 2 Kings 18. 3. Esarbad don, 2 Kings 19. 37. Merodach-Baladan, 2 Kings 20. 20. Isa. 39. 1. Nebuchadnezzar mention'd in the

Books of the Kings, Chronicles, Jeremiah, Ezekiel Daniel: and lastly Belfhazzar, Dan. 5. 22. in whom this Monarchy had its Period. And so these Sacred Writings acquaint us not only with the Rise but the Progress, Duration and End of this Empire; hence we learn that it lasted from Nimrod to the close of Belshazzar's Reign, i.e. from the Year of the World 1717. to the Year 3419. which is in all 1702 Years; a much longer time than any of the other Monarchies endured.

Again, in these Writings is recorded the Original of the Next, viz. the Persian (usually known by the Name of the Second) Monarchy. Here we read that Belshazzar, the last Chaldean Monarch, he that impiously carouzed in the Holy Vessels belonging to the Temple, was slain by Darius the Mede, Dan. 5. 30, 31. who joined with Cyrus the. Persian in the Expedition against Belshazzar; and they both had Right to the Babylonian Monarchy.

on that Account, and accordingly jointly ruled: fo it was a Medo-Persian Monarchy. Darius is spoken of in the 6th and 9th Chapters of Daniel; but being aged before he came to the Throne, he lived but about two Years after; whereupon Cyrus reigned alone, and is generally reputed the First Founder of the Persian Monarchy. This famous Cyrus, sirnamed the Great, was prophesied of long before he appeared in the World, Isa. 44. 28. & 45. 1. This is he that was the Happy Restorer of the lews to their own Country, and was a great Favourer of the Pious of that Nation, Ezr. 1. And in the following Chapters, and in the Book of Nehemiah, is infallibly related what Persian Kings hindred the Building of the Temple, and who they were that promoted it. Besides, the Book of Estber, and a great part of Daniel, are a Narrative of what was done under the Kings of Persia. Next, it might be added, that Alexander the Great the First Founder of the Grecian Monarchy, is spoken of in these Sacred Writings, as in Dan. 2. 32, 39. & 7. 6. & 8. 5, 6, 7, 8. & 10. 20. & 11. 3, 4. whence 'Jaddus the High Priest shewed the Prophecy of Daniel to that Great Monarch, and particularly turned to that Place where his Conquering of the Persians, and the Translation of the Empire to him, are foretold. Here also the Division of the Empire among his Captains is predicted, Dan. 2.33. & 7.7, 19. & 8.22. & 11.5,6, &c. Lastly, the History of the New Testament mentions the Author and Erecter of the Roman (which generally passes for the Fourth) Monarchy, and some of

his Actions and Decrees. This was Augustus, for

of the Holy Scriptures?

<sup>1</sup> Joseph, Antiq. Jud. l. 11. c. 8.

Julius Casar, but in him when he vanquished A Anthony and Chopaira in the Battel of Actium, and

all Egypt became a Roman Province. Thus Nim rod, Cyrus, Alexander, Augustus, the Founder &

those four renowned Monarchies, and many of the

most eminent and remarkable Passages in some d

them, are recorded in the Sacred Scriptures,

whereby the Truth of those things is confirmed

and some obscure Places in Pagan Writers are en-

lightned, and fome Mistakes may be corrected

Indeed it is impossible to understand the Gentile

History aright in fundry Matters relating to the

First Kingdoms and Governments, unless we are

acquainted with the Bible.

## CHAP III.

In these Sacred Writings we have the first and earliest Account of all useful Employments and Callings. viz. Gardening, Husbandry, feeding of Sheep, preparing of Food. The antient manner of Threshing, Grinding of Corn, and making Bread is enquired into. What was the Primitive Drink. The Posture which they used at eating and drinking. Sitting preceded Discubation. The particular manner of placing themselves on their Beds. Eating in common not always used. Discalceation and Washing the Feet were the Attendants of Eating and Feasting. So was Anointing. They had a Mafter or Governour of their Feasts. Who were the first Inventers of Mechanick Arts. The first Examples of Architecture. Houses were built flat at top, and why.

TN the fifth Place, here and only here is to be learned the Original of all Employments, Callings, Occupations, Professions, Mysteries, Trades, and of all Arts and Inventions what soever. First, here is the earliest Mention of Gardening, Husbandry, Ploughing, keeping of Sheep, which are of ordinary Uie, and for the necessary Support of Man's Life. God placing Adam in Paradife, a Garden of Delight, instructed him how to dress and keep it, Gen. 2. 15. ' to work and belabour the Ground, (for so it is according to the LXX.) to dig and delve with great Care and Art, to open the Earth to let in the Influences of Heaven, to prune the Trees and

! Egya Castal.

ment:

and cherish the Plants, to preserve the Fruits from the Beasts and Fowls, which had Admittance into that Place, (as we read in Gen. 2. 19, 20.) and to keep all things in good order as a skilful Gardiner and Husbandman; for both these made up the First Employment and Trade in the World. And when Man was ejected out of Paradife, he was still fet about the same Work, Gen. 3. 23. for the Hebrew Word that is used here is the same with that in ver. 15. and is translated there to dress: but it is certain that gnamad (which is the Verb in both Places) is of a large Import, and fignifies all Husbandly managing and improving of Ground, And truly there was more need of exercifing that Art now than before, the Earth being not a little endamaged by the Curse which God denounced against it, and executed upon it; which was one Reason why Adam brought up his Son Cain to Husbandry and Tilling the Ground, Gen. 4.2. for now it wanted Manuring and Cultivating. And as this his eldest Son was brought up to take care of the Fruits of the Earth, so his next was bred up to feeding of Sheep, which is the Second Employment or Calling that we read of in the World. Afterwards Jabak advanced higher, and became the First Grasier; for so I understand those Words, Gen. 4. 20. He was the Father of such as have Cattel, i. e. that have other Cattel besides Sheep; for these, and the keeping or feeding of them, had been mention'd before, He lived upon Pasturage, and for that purpose was the Father of such as dwell in Tents, as it is said in the fame Place: The Meaning of which is, that whereas others generally lived in one fix'd Place and Habitation, he and others of his Calling went from one Place to another feeding: They travell'd as their Cattel did, and for this Reason it was requi-

of the Holy Scriptures. fite to have Tents. Accordingly that they might look after their Flocks and Herds the better, he invented thefe, that they might lie out in the Fields all Night under this Shelter. Thus you fee what was the Primitive State of things; Adam and his first-born Son were Husbandmen, and his second Son a Shepherd; and others of his Race were bufied in feeding of Cattel. Such was the Employment of those that were the First Heirs of the

And so for a long time after, in the first and most uncorrupted Ages, this was the Entertainment of the Greatest Persons. In those more innocent Times of the World the Wealthiest Men imbraced this kind of Life (as mean as it is accounted now). Some of the Old Patriarchs were plain honest Grasiers, and the richest of them (as Abraham, Isaac and Jacob) were builed in looking to their Grounds and their Flocks. Moses the Great Law-giver was a Shepherd. Nabal and Absheep-malters. Elijab, when he was busy at the Plough with twelve Yoke of Oxen, was call'd thence to the Prophetick Dignity and Office: and Amos of a Herdinian became a Divine Messenger and Preacher. Shamgar was taken from the Herd to be a Judg in Ifract, and with the same Goad that he drove his Oxen flew fix hundred Men. Gideon's Seat of State and Justice was a Threshingfloor, and he had no other Mace than a Flail, Judg. 6. 14: The renowned Jair and Jephihah kept Sheep, and were fetch'd from that Employment to be Judges. David the Son of Jeffe, a Worthy Parent in Isrdel, was took from the Sheep-folds, from following the Ewes great with young, to feed Jacob, to Israel, Pfal. 78. 71. Thus the Paltoral Art hath been a Prejudium to Empire and Government: the taking care of these tame Creatures hath made way for the prefiding over the stubborn Flock of Mankind. We read that Crowned Heads have not distained this Art. King Vzziah (or as he is call'd elsewhere Azariah, for I have shewed: in another Place that it was common with the Jews to have two Names) was a Lover of Husbandry, 2 Chron. 26. 10. And one of the Greatest Kings that ever swayed a Scepter, acknowledgeth that as

the Profit of the Earth (i. e. of Agriculture) is for, all, is of universal Advantage, so more especially the King himself is a Servant to the Field, Eccles. 5. 9. for fo it is according to the Hebrew. It is worthy of his Royal Care and Study to Support Tillage. and Husbandry, which were heretofore the Emri

ployment of those of the highest Rank. And thus it was also among the Profane Nations of old. Knowledg and Skill in Ruftick Affairs ushered in Rule and Command, The Gordian. Knot was but Plough-tackling hamper'd in a Knot and he that untied it was to be Monarch of the World. Argunab King of Jebus condescended to be a Thresher, 2 Sam. 24. 18, 1 Chron. 21. 20 and (which is an unparallell'd Exaltation of this) Primitive Husbandry) his Threshing-sloor was the Spot of Ground which King David made choice of to build an Altar to God upon, 2 Sam, 24. 25. and this was the very Place where Solomon's Temple was afterwards erected, 2 Chron. 3. 1. Mesha King of Moab was a Sheep-master, 2 Kings 3.4. Noked is the Hebrew Word, and it is simply and barely used for a Shepherd, Amos 1. 1. Spartacus, the dreaded

Enemy of the Romans, was of the same Calling Dioclesian the Emperor left his Throne, and turn-

ed Gardiner: After he had laid down the Empire he took up Husbandry. 'Attalus abdicated his Kingly Government, and applied himself wholly to the same Employment. The Great Scipio left his Commands to exercise and enjoy the Pleasures of Agriculture. In the Old Roman History we read that the Chief Men among them studied and practifed this, by the same Token that several of them were fetch'd from their Tillage to Arms, from their Country Carts to Triumph, from Harvest-work to the Senate, from the Field to the Camp, from the Plough to bear the high Offices of Confuls and Dictators. They that were fent from the Roman Senate to desire Attilius to take upon him the Government, ' found him fowing in his Grounds. They tell us that Romulus the Founder of the Roman Empire, was bred up first to the Sheep-hook: and we know that the Riches of the Antient Romans was Plenty of Cattel. From the Country-Exercise of feeding of Beasts came the Sirnames of the Families of the Vituli, Porcii, Tauri, Capra, and others. (And here, by the by, let me insert, that it may be Eglon the Name of a Man, and so Rachel and Dorcas the Names of Women in Scripture, which fignify a Calf, a Sheep, a Deer, were given at first on the like Account, Women swell as Men being imployed of old in looking after Cattel.) From their sowing of Beans, Pease, &c. arose the Names of the Fabii, Pisones, Gicerones, Lentuli, &c. And it is not to be denied that the Exercises of Husbandry have been treated of and applauded by the Wifest Men, as Cato, Varro, Citers, Pliny, Columella, Virgil. And when among the

Justin, I. 6. Val. Max. I. 4. Tit. de Paupertate.

fet

the Pagans their very ' Deities are represented as Lovers of a Country-Life, when Pan was faid to be the God of Shepherds, and Mercury and Apollo fed Sheep, and the last of these was cried up for the Chief Patron of this Calling, they intended to fignify to us that this and the like Country-Em ployments are Princely and Divine. - Which very thing we are assured of from the Word of Truth the Infallible Records of the Bible, which tell us that these were the Early Business and Practice of the Greatest and the Best Men. The Greatest Princes heretofore were esteemed according to the Numbers of their Cattel.

Among the First and Necessary Employments and Advantages of humane Life may be justly reckon'd the Preparing of Food; and the Scriptures alone can furnish us with the certain Knowledg of this. It is undeniable from those plain and express Words in Gen. 1. 29. that there was no Food allow'd at first to Mankind but Plants and Herbs, Corn and all other Fruits of the Earth. I have wondred fometimes that any who believe the Sacred Text can question this, for the Words are positive and downright, utterly excluding all other kind of Sustenance but this. Yea, unless you can prove that Milk is no part of any Living Creature, but is a Fruit of the Earth, you have reason to think that they were debarr'd of this also. But after the Flood, which had much impaired the Virtue of the Earth, and exhausted somewhat of its Seminal Power, there was a Licence to eat Flesh; Every moving thing that liveth shall be Meat for you, Gen 9.3. in which is included the Product of Flesh Milk; which was denied to the Antediluvians. But

now all are at liberty to feed on it; and that was not all, they were so skilful as to make it afford them Cheese and Butter; neither of which we read of before the Deluge. And questionless they that fed not on Milk knew not the Use of these; but among the Post-diluvians Charitze hachalah, 1 Sam. 17. 18. Cheefes of Milk were a common Food: which are, without doubt, meant by Shephoth bakar, 2 Sam. 17. 29. Coagulationes bovis, as Pagnine renders it, Cheefes of the Milk of Cows, according to the Targum: and they are called by the Hebrews, in their peculiar way of speaking, the Sons of Milk. And in Job 10. 10. gebinab is the Word for Cheefe. The other Product of their Milk, as well as of their Housewifery, was Chemeah, Butter, Gen. 18. 7. Deut. 32. 14. Judg. 5. 25. which was not known to some other Nations a long time. Among the Greeks there was no fuch thing, and no Word for it. Homer and the Antient Writers mention Milk and Cheese, but of this nothing is said. Neither doth Aristotle in his History of Animals so much as name it, though he mentions those two forts of Food, and would certainly have made mention of this if there had been any fuch thing among them. Nor was it made use of among the Romans, as we understand from Pliny's Words', è laste fit butyrum, barbararum gentium laudatissimus cibus. The Barbarous are not Greek or Latins, but the Oriental People; and accordingly the antient Use of this among the Easterns we learn only from Moses and such Inspired Writers. As to the antient feeding on the Flesh of Animals, Abraham's entertaining his Guests with a Calf, Gen. 18. 7. (i. e. part of a Calf, a Joint of Veal; for it is not likely that he

Habitarunt Di quoque sylvas. Virgil. Eclos. 22

mention

of the Holy Scriptures.

fet a whole boil'd or rosted, or otherwise dress'd Calf before three Men, for Sarah was in her Tent, and Abraham sat not down with these Guests, neither did eat, as may be gathered from those Words, He stood by them, and they did eat) and many other Instances of making Repasts on other sorts of Creatures, as Kids, Sheep, Oxen, might be produced out of this Sacred History.

But it appears that there was but little Art and Cookery used at first in dressing of Meat. There was no great Distinction in preparing it, as we may gather from the Hebrew Word Aphab, which fignifies to boil, to bake, to fry; and so Bashal indifferently denotes Rosting and Boiling: But the particular Denotation of these Words in the Texts where they occur, is known only from the particular lar Matter spoken of there. Concerning the Palchal Lamb there is a strict Injunction not to boil, but rost it, Exod. 12. 8. Deut. 16. 7. which hath a fecret and mysterious Meaning in it, it is likely; but concerning Common Eatings and Repasts, I do not find a Difference observed: yet this latter way of drefling hath had the Preference generally to the other. Accordingly it may be observed, that the Poets for the most part present their Heroes feed ing on rost, and not on boil'd Meat. All Homer's Dinners for his Great Captains and Worthies are of the former fort. And 'Servius (who was no mean Critick) tells us, that in the Times of the Heroes they were not fed with Boil'd but Rost We cannot but take notice that though at first the Preparing of Diet was simple and artless, yet at length it became a kind of Science, and much Time, Study and Cost, were bestowed upon it Thence

-1-

Thence we have many Examples of Extravagant Feastings in this Sacred History, on which several Critical Remarks might be made, to shew what Customs were prevalent at cating in those Days. Cookery was grown to a great Height, and as great an Esteem: there was Sar Hatabbachim, Gen. 39. 1. i. e. according to the Version of the Seventy 'Aqxiixyes@, the Prince of the Cooks: and there were Tabbachoth, Royal She-Cooks, 1 Sam. 8. 13. Much more might be said on these Particulars, but I design'd only a Taste of them, to invite the Curious to study the Bible, for here is the Antientest Learning in the World, and that of all Sorts.

But the most Useful and Strengthning, as well as the most Common Food, was Bread made of Corn: concerning which it may be acceptable to the Inquisitive to know how in those first Ages it was beaten out of the Ear, how it was ground into Meal, and how it was made into Bread; which can be learnt from these Antient Books of Scripture only. And this I must needs say, if Varro and some other Authors before named be consulted, and prized by Lovers of Antiquity for what they have deliver'd concerning Country-Affairs and Husbandry, furely then much more are these Holy Writings to be esteem'd, seeing they far ex-'cel them in Antiquity: for Varro, Cato, Columella, or any others that have written de re Rustica, are Modern Authors in respect of the Sacred Penmen. First then, as to Threshing or beating the Grains of Corn out of the Ears, it was performed divers ways; as, 1. By drawing a loaded Cart with Weels over the Corn backwards and forwards, so that the Wheels running over it did forcibly shake out the Grain. Of this is express mention in Ifa. 28. 27. where we read that Opban, gnagalah the Cart-wheel was turn'd about upon some fort of Corn. And this in the next Verse is call'd Gilgal gnagelah, which is the fame, and therefore by the Vulgar Latin is rendred both here and in the former Place Rota Plaustri. To this bruising of their Corn with Loaded Carts, perhaps that Place, Amos 2. 13. refers, (although otherwise applied by Expositors generally) which may be rendred thus, I am pressed under you as a full Cart presseth the Sheaves, or Sheaf (for it is in the singular Number. ) It sets forth the Manner of Threshing in those Days, which was by pressing the Ears of Corn with a Heavy Cart, and forcing out the Grain by bringing the Wheels often over it. 2. Another antient way of Threshing was with a Wooden Slead or Dray without Wheels, full of Iron Nails or Teeth on the Side toward the Ground. and loaded with massy Iron, or some other heavy Weights at top to make it heavy: and this was drawn by Oxen over the Corn till the Ears were fo pressed that the Grain slew out. This Instrument was commonly known (as the Hebr. Masters and Talmudists report) by the Name of Morag, and also of Charutz: and accordingly it hath these Names given it, in 2 Sam. 24. 22. and Isa. 28. 27. and both of them together we meet with in Isa. 41.15. where it is translated by us a sharp Threshing-Instrument. And in the same Place it is said to have Teeth, which plainly refers to the foresaid make of it, viz. that this great wooden Plank was set at the Bottom with Iron Teeth or Pikes to cut the Sheaves, and make way for the Grain to come out. And to these Iron Nails or Teeth refers Amos 1.4. where this fort of Country Tackling is call'd Threshing-Instruments of Iron. Upon the whole,

whole, it appears that the Instrument wherewith Husbandmen at this Day break the Clods of Earth was used heretofore (when they had not attain'd to any great Skill in these Affairs) in Threshing the Corn; for by the Description that is given of it, it was a kind of Harrow. 3. They thresh'd with Oxen, who with their Hoofs (which for that purpose were generally shod with Iron or Brass) were wont to beat and tread out the Corn: and fometimes they brought in a whole Herd of Oxen to trample upon it. This way of Threshing is referr'd to, when they were forbid to muzzle the Ox when he treadeth out the Corn, Deut. 25.4. And this is plainly alluded to in Hof. 10. 11. Ephraim is a Heifer that is taught, and loves to tread out the Corn: and in Mic. 4. 12, 13. He shall gather them as Sheaves into the Floor (viz. to be thresh'd): Arise and thresh——I will make thy Hoofs brass, and thou shalt beat in pieces, &c. 4. Another antient way of Threshing was that which is in use with us, viz. with Flails. Some fort of Grain and Seeds were beaten out with these Flagella, (for this is the Word whence that English one comes) as is clear from Isa. 28. 27. The Fitches are beaten out with a Staff, and the Cummin with a Rod. And generally Bread-Corn was thus thresh'd, as we may gather from the 28th ver. Of this Nature was Gideen and Araunah's threshing of Wheat, Judg. 6. 11. 1 Chron. 21. 20. for 'tis represented as their Personal Action, and those general Terms Chabat and Dash (the Words in those Places) favour this Sense; and in the former Text Threshing is rendred by 'aβNζων, in the Septuagint's Version, which fignifies beating with Staves, Sticks or Rods,

The

After they had thus thresh'd their Corn, when they had a Mind to make use of it, they laid it open to the Sun to dry it, or they dried it by a Fire, or in a Furnace, to get off the Husk: and this dried or parched Corn it self (without any farther Preparation) was a great Food in those Eastern Countries, as we gather from Lev. 23. 14. Ruth 2. 14. I Sam. 17. 17. & 25. 18. And this 'Kak (for that is the Word for it) was, if we may credit the Rabbins and Jewish Expositors, first soked in Water, and then dried as Barly is maulted among us at this Day: (where by the way observe the Antiquity of Maulting) But generally the Drying and Parching of the Corn were to make it more capable of being ground.

The antient Manner of their Grinding it (which is the next thing I am to consider) was two-fold. either in Mortars or Mills. That both these were made use of, we may satisfy our Selves from Num. 11.8. where you read both of Rechaim Mills. and Medocab a Mortar. In this latter they were wont pinsere (for from the Iews this Practice defcended to the Romans) to pound or bray their Corn: whence Bakers, who did this in order to making their Bread, had their Name Pistores, That they used of old to beat and bruise their Wheat in a Mortar with a heavy Pestle, may be collected from Prov. 27. 22. where this hollow Vessel is called Madlesh. But Mills were chiefly made use of for this purpose in those early Times: and they were of fuch use and necessity, that Men were strictly forbid to take the nether or the upper Milstone to pledg, because (as 'tis added) this is taking a Man's Life (Hebr. his Soul) to pledg, Dout, 24.

6. as much as to fay, hereby his Neighbour's Life would be endangered, this is the way to starve him. The grinding at Mills was counted an inferior fort of Work, and therefore Prisoners and Captives were generally fet to it: whence to take the Milstones, and grind Meal, is part of the Description of a Slave, Isa. 47. 2. And to this refers Samson's grinding in the Prison-House, Judg. 16. 21. For of old Time there were Mills in their Prisons, (whence Pistrinum is used both for a Mill and a Prison) and the Prisoners were wont by Grinding to earn their Living, and procure themselves Food. However, this was counted a very Laborious and Slavish Employment. And this was in use not only among the Jews and Philistines but the Egyptians also, and thence there is mention of the Maid-Servant behind the Mill, i. c. thrusting it forward with her Arm, Exod. 11.5. So among the Chaldeans the Young Men (viz. the Captives of Judea ) were taken by them to grind, Lam. 5. 13. But for the most part the Women-Servants were employed in this Drudgery, as is deducible from Mat. 24. 41. Women are said to he grinding at the Mill, whiles the Men are in the Field, i. e. at work abroad, as we read in the preceding Verse. Therefore 'Buxtorf observes that the Word for Grinders is Resoshoth, of the Feminine Gender, to note that Grinding was usually Women's Work. These Mills which they used in those Days were Querns or Hand-mills, and therefore before the Invention of others that go with greater Force, they first dried the Corn (as I mention'd before) that they might grind with more Eafe.

From Kalah, torruit.

Lex. Chald. p. 586.

The Corn being thus prepared and reduced to Meal or Flour, they moistned it, and made it into Dough or Paste (Batzek, Exod. 12. 34. 39. Gnavisab, Numb. 15. 20.) and then baked it, and made Bread of it. This was either Cakes, or Loaves: the lighter kind of Bread was composed into Cakes, Gnuggoth, Gen. 18.6. Exod. 12. 30. Numb. 11.8. Ezek. 4.12. Sometimes Magnog is the Word, 1 Kings 17.12. at other Times Challah, Lev. 2. 4. & 24. 5. 2 Sam. 6. 19. The greater and heavier fort of Bread was Loaves: thence you read of Cicear Lechem, a Loaf of Bread, Exod. 29.23. 1 Chron. 16.3. (tho in some other Places we render it a Piece of Bread, Prov. 6. 26. Jer. 37. 21. which shews that the word Ciccar is uncertain.) But this we may depend upon, that Lechem Breads (in the Plural, for it is taken plurally in 1 Sam. 21. 3. 2 Sam. 16. 1. and in other Places) always fignifies Loaves of Bread, in contradistinction to Cakes or lesser Portions of Bread. Then as to the antient Manner of Baking, it was, 1. Upon the Hearth, under the hot Embers, and thence Gruggoth are denominated. The first Instance in the World of this way of Baking, is that in Gen. 18.6. where Gnuggoth are by all acknowledg'd to be Panes subcineritii; and accordingly we render them Cakes upon the Hearth, i. e. such as were baked upon the hot Hearth, and cover'd over with Ashes. This was the antient way of Baking among all the Eastern People: and it is in use among them at this Day. A late 'Traveller assures us, that this fort of Bread is ordinarily used among the prefent Arabians: and he particularly and distinctly describes their making and ordering of these Cakes. 2. Upon

of the Holy Scriptures. 2. Upon burning Coles, iomething ('tis likely) like a Grate being laid between, 1 Kings 19.6. Ifa; 44. 19. These were the two ways of Baking their Cakes, i.e. their leffer and finer Bread, which after they were sufficiently baked on one side were turn'd on the other: whence is that Comparison of a Cake not turned, Hos. 7. 3. They had Ovens, which were first used for Baking the Holy Bread Lev. 2. 4. & 26. 26. but afterwards for that which is Common, viz. the greater and larger Bread. But (to conclude) we are not to think that Loaves of Bread, such as we have of a conside. rable Thickness and Height, which must needs be cut with a Knife, were in use among the Jews or other Eastern People: but they made Broad Cakes, and these they broke with their Hands, whence we so often read of breaking Bread. If they were somewhat thick, they were generally call'd ככרור Loaves of Bread, i Sam. 10. 3. but if they were very thin, or if they were of a finer fort of Flour, they had the Name of

Cakes, Lev. 7. 12, 13. From these Sacred Records we may also learn what was the Primitive Drink. For though 'tis not expresly said any where that they drank Water before the Flood, yet we may rationally gather so much, because this simple Element was most sutable to their simple and plain fort of Feeding, which is in direct Terms express'd. And that this was the general Beverage after the Flood alfo, we are affured from positive Texts, Gen. 21. 19. & 24. 14. Job 22. 7. 1 Kings 13, 22. Prov. 25. 21. Likewise from these Authentick Writings we know that another Liquor (which was denied to the World before) was now granted them, viz. Milk, Gen. 18. 8. Deut. 32.14. Judg. 4. 19. Cant.

5. 1. Yea, Wine the choicest of all Liquors became the usual Drink of those Eastern Countries. which was occasion'd thus, (the Knowledg of which can be known only from Scripture original. ly) God having granted an Indulgence to eat Flesh; Noah took it for a sufficient Intimation that they might change their Drink sometimes: wherefore lie being a Man of Observation and Prudence, gathering from the goodness of Grapes the virtue and benefit of Wine, (for even before the Flood they did eat Grapes, as all other Fruits of the Earth, but drank no Wine: unless we grant that the bold and luxurious Sinners of Cain's Offspring fometime before the Deluge knew this Liquor and abused themselves with it, for tis said they drank, Luk. 17. 27. i.e. they excessively gave themselves to some Strong and Intoxicating Liquor) Noah I say, understanding the benefit of Wine, and apprehending how scasonable and comfortable it would be at that time when the Flood had for chill'd the Earth and Air, and made every thing look bleak and dismal, he began to be an Musband man, Ish Haadamah, a Man of the Earth, Gen. 9 20. and among other Instances of his Husbandry, he planted a Vineyard, he set Vines in that warm Country where he was feated, viz. Armenia: He chose this as a proper Soil for them; for Armenia is noted for an excellent Ground for Vines, and the Vines of that Place are celebrated by Historians, Others planted Vines before him, mixing them with other Trees, but Noah planted a Place of Vines only: this is properly Kerem, Vined. Others had planted Vines in their Grounds, that they might eat the Grapes that grew on them, and perhaps some (as was hinted before) had preserv'd the Juico of them, and made themseves drunk

with it: but Noah's Plantation of Vines, was purposely in order to make Wine of the Fruit of them. Thus he was the First that planted a Vineyard: the skilful ordering of that generous Fruit to this particular End, was first found out by him. And now when the Good Old Man had taken this Pains, and we may suppose was very weary and thirsty, he began to taste the Fruit of his Labours, which happen'd to be with ill Success: for he had chosen so excellent a Spot of Ground, and had so richly cultivated it, that the Product of it proved too potent; the Liquor of that noble Plant, which he too rashly made an Experiment of, and with some Greediness took down, was too strong for his Brain: But as he sinned once in this kind, so he never did the like again. Yea, as he found out Wine, so 'tis not improbable that he admonish'd Men from his Failing to use it soberly. What the Pagar Writings say of the sirst Inventer of Wine is little to be heeded, unless you refer it to this Noah, who is represented by their Bacchus and Janus. They all agree, that 'the former of these first planted Vines, and found out the Art of managing them, and made Wine of the Fruit of them; wherefore they confound him with the latter, viz. Janus, who had his Name from Jajin, Vinum. This was no other than Noah, the happy Author of this Boon to Mankind. And his Sons propagated this Art, he especially that went to inhabit in Africk: whence (as was observed by an Antient Writer) the Poets feigned that Dionysius, la the Days of Deucalion, discovered this Art of making

with

Ho, 5. 2 St. Augustin. de Civ. Dei, l. 18. c. 124

making Wine to the Person that entertained him in Africk.

They had antiently other Strong Liquors be fides Wine, the general Word for which was שבר, Lev. 10. 9. Numb. 6. 3. Judg. 13. 4, 7. & 29. 9. Isa. 5. 11. & 28. 7. Prov. 20. 1. rendred all ways singed by the Seventy, except in two Places (Pfal. 69. 12. Prov. 31. 4.) where 'tis translated' อัพ G. The Greek Word is once mentioned in the New Testament, viz. Luke 1.15, and is rendred by our Translators (as the word Shacar in the Old Testament) firong Drink. It is all Inebriating Drinks faith 'Origen: So faith 'another Greek Writern with whom agrees a Learned Latine Father. But others that have criticized on the Word, especially the Hebrew Doctors, tell us, that 'tis all Strong Drink except Wine. But 5 Jo, Ifa. 1. 22. Hold 4. 18. is a Word that signifies Wine properly 6 called, i.e. the Juice of the Grape, and also all factitious Wines, i. e. strong Liquors made of Mul berries, Palms, Pine-nuts, Apples, Pears, and of ther Fruits. So that what we call Sider, Perry &c. is that Drink which was by the Hebrews call di Soba. And the Rabbins comprehend under this Term Ale, and tell us, there was such a fort of Liquor of old in use among the Medes and Persia ans, the same which was antiently used in Egypt. and found out by Osiris one of the Kings of that Place: for Diodorus the Sicilian relates that in the Countries where there were no Vines, this King caused them to make a Drink of Water and Barley; which Herodotus had before taken notices

From shacar, ebrius suit. Hom. 7. in Lev. Har τη δυς ναμβυον μεθην εμπριήσαι πόμα. Omne quod inebriare potest apud Hebraos sura dicitur. Hieronymi, lib., de Nom. Hebra a saba, inebriatus suit. Lib. 1. c. 2. Lib. 2. c. 77.

of, calling it divor ch nel-Han new ound with And this dive nel-Sinds, Barley-wine, is mentioned by Athenaus. I will only add here under this Head, that they used of old to dash and mingle their Wine with Water: whence a Cup of Mixture, Pfal. 75. 8. is a Cup of Wine, and Wine is expressed by Mixture (according to the Hebrew) Prov. 23. 30.

And fince I have gone thus far, and have enquired into the First Eating and Drinking of the People of the World, I will proceed further on this Subject, and from the same unquestionable Records give some Account of the Posture which they used in Eating and Drinking. We may here inform our selves that at first they sat at Meat, i.e. they either spread something on the Ground, and sat upon it, or they sat at a Table. Whatever some Criticks have suggested concerning the Antiquity of another Position of Body, it is certain that this

was the Antientest of all: for in the Old Testa-

ment there are Examples of this, long before any of those that are alledged out of Prosane Authors. The Old Hebrew Patriarchs sat at Meat, as is plain from Jacob's Words to his Father, Sit and eat of my Venison, Gen. 27. 19. There are no Writers in the World that go so far back as this. And the next to this is Gen. 37. 25. They sat down to eat Bread. On which Words Drusius observes that the Old Hebrews sat at Meat. That this was the oldest Posture among the Jews, these Texts sufficiently testify; The People sat down to eat and drink, Exod. 32. 6. and the Apostle, according to the LXX, renders it so, I Cor. 10.7. Exá Hoge o hads. They sat down, and did eat and drink both of them together, Judg.

19.6. I should not fail to sit down with the King at

Meat, said David to Jonathan, 1 Sam. 20. 5. And K

in ver. 24. it is expresly said, The King sat him down to Meat. And here by the way we may obferve, that there was a certain Order and Precedency observed in their sitting in those times. They were feated every one according to their proper Rank and Quality: Thus Abner fat by Saul's fide, ver. 25. And David had his peculiar Situationallotted him, which is fignally stiled bis Seat, ver.18, and his Place, ver. 25. That Sitting was the Eating Posture is further evident from 1 Kings 132 20. It came to pass as they sat at Table. When the fittest to eat with a Ruler, saith Solomon, Prov. 23, 15 And many other Quotations to this purpose might be produced, where Dur (which is always rendred radioal or radioal by the LXX) is the Word used. It is true, the first Paschal Lamb was eaten by the Jews standing; but that was an Extraordinary thing, because that particular Go sture was fittest for that occasion, it signifying their fudden Passage and Departure out of Egyp. Wherefore those who make use of this Instance to prove that Sitting at Meals was not the Primitive Posture, do it very impertinently, because there a no arguing from an Unusual Case to what is Common. They might as well argue that it was the Custom to eat with Staves in their Hands, because they did so at this solemn Occasion: whereas the true Account of this particular Circumstance was this, that the Passover was to be eaten in Pilgrim's Guise, in remembrance of their trail velling out of Egypt. This, as well as cating with their Leins girt, and with Shoes on their Feet, was a Temporary Precept, and accordingly you will not find it mentioned among the Directions given about the Anniversary Passover afterwards. Others as vainly infer from the strict

Command laid upon the Ifraelites to have 'their Shoes on their Feet at the eating of the Passover, that they used to have them off at other times when they were eating, and consequently that Discubation was first used by the Jews; for it is alledg'd that they were barefooted, that they might not with their Shoes foil their Beds that they lay upon. This is a very palpable Mistake, for the true reason why they were commanded to cat the Paschal Supper with Shoes on their Feet (as well as with their Loins girded) was, because they were to cat it in baste: they are the very Words in Exod. 12.11. And therefore we cannot conclude from their eating the Passover with their Shoes on, that either they were bare-footed at other times when they dined or supped, or that they lay down upon Beds. Belides, at other times generally within Doors, and therefore at their Meals, they used to wear Sandals or Slippers, a slight and thin fort of covering for their Feet: in opposition to which they are now upon this folemn Occasion enjoin'd to have Shoes on, as much as to fay, to be ready and prepared for their Journy. Or lastly, if I should say that it was the custom to put off their Shoes at Meals even when the Cufrom of Sitting prevailed, it were no hard Matter to prove it; for they washed their Feet even at that time, as appears from the Relation concerning Abraham's entertaining the Angels, so that they must put off their Shoes for that, and it is probable they put them not on again till they went Wherefore from their putting off their Shoes it doth not follow that Discumbiture was the

Exod. 12. 11.

Com-

the Posture in those Days among the Jews, as some

would perswade us. Then, as for Other Nations, the same Records

assure us that they sat at Eating: thus according to the use of the Egyptians, Joseph's Brethren were order'd to sit according to their Age, Gen. 43 33. Whence ' Philo observes, that the Custom of Discumbiture was not yet receiv'd in those Days. And that this was the Posture of eating not only among the Jews and Egyptians, but the Assyrians and Chaldeans, may be proved from that one fingle Text, Ezek. 23. 41. where the Prophet speaking of that adulterous and luxurious Conversation which the Jews had with those Foreign Nations; describes it by sitting upon a stately Bed, and a Tai ble prepared before it. Only here we may observe that they began to decline from their first simple Usage, and to turn their ordinary Seats or Stook into Beds or Pallets. Conformably to these Eastern People, the Grecians behaved themselves, who (as Atbenaus attests in several Places) sat at their Feasts. He takes notice that Lying along or Leaning is not once mention'd in Homer, but that he makes all his Guests sit at Table. And they were feated according to their Worth and Eminency; whence it is, that the Grecians shew'd their respect to Diomedes.

"Εδιεμ τε μεκασίν τε, ίδε ωλείοις δεπάκοσι.

by feating him first at the Table, by placing him according to his Dignity, as well as by entertaining him

of the Holy Scriptures. with choice Meats and full Cups. So it was with the Old Romans, they feasted sitting.

' Perpetuis foliti patres considere mensis.

And that this was their Posture at first on their Tricliniary Beds, Servius remarks on Aneid the 7th. From abundant Instances it is concluded by Athenaus, that the Antientest Heroes used Seffion, not Discumbiture, at their festival Entertainments. This without doubt was the first, and most receiv'd situation of their Bodies at such times. In the most Heroick Ages of the World they sat upright when they were at Meals.

But afterwards this Posture was changed, for when Men gave themselves to ease and delicacy, and grew fost and effeminate, they lay down upon Beds at their Dinners and Suppers, and thence the Eating-Bed was call'd 3 Discubitorius Lectus. Lying upon their left Sides, they upheld and rested the upper Part of their Bodies with their left Elbows (under which was wont to be a Cushion.) It is supposed they might sometimes (perhaps when they drank more freely) fit up a little, but for the most part they were in this leaning lolling Posture. This became a general Custom among the Greeks and Romans, as Authors relate: but the first Rife of it was from the Eastern People, and this we have first discover'd to us from the Holy Scripture, which mentions Abasuerus's Supper, and the Rich and: Gaudy Beds used on that Occasion, Esther 1.6. That the Persians lay on Beds at their Banquets, may be K 3 gather'd

<sup>&#</sup>x27; Μήπω สับ ฉ่งยิ่งพทพง อง าสเร อบุนพอสลเลเร อบงนสสเร หรื่นมีใช้ผ Mendiar. De Vita Politica. Leipnosoph. L. 8, &c. \_\_\_\_\_

Virgil. Æn. 8. 2 Kadi Cortai en tois ourdanvois de nemes, i rataxéndivtai. Deipn. l. 1. Plin. Nat. Hift. l 33. c. 11.

gather'd from Esth. 7. 8. Hamen was fallen on the Bed where Esther was. From these and other Orien. tal People, this wanton and effeminate Usage came to the Jews. Those among them that were Luxurious Feeders lay along, with their Bodies stretched out at their Fealts. This seems to be intended in Amos 2. 8. they lay themselves down upon Clothes, belonging to Bedding at Feafts. But more expresly 'tis faid of them, Ch. 6. v. 8. they lie upon Beds of Ivory, and fretch themselves upon their Couches, The next Words which particularly make mention of their Eating and Drinking, and the usual Atten, dants of them at high Festivals, viz. Vocal and Instrumental Musick, and Odoriferous Cintments shew that it is meant of their lying on Beds at their Feafts. Wherefore I can by no means approve of what our 'Learned English Annotator faith, that the Custom of avanding, accubitus, lying along at Meat, is not mention'd in the Old Testament. And afterwards these Dining Beds began to be a general Custom among the Jews, and the best and holiest Persons complied with this Practice, infomuch that leaning or lying upon them was the Posture used by our Blessed Saviour and his Apostles at the Passover, as well as at other times. The words nonner and and ' avand ive dat, but especially ' avanciadat, are used in the New Testament to express this particular disposing of their Bodies, although our Translators render it by fitting down to Meat, or fite ting at Meat.

And if we would know what was the Particular Manner of placing themselves in those Days at their

Dr. Hammond's Annot. on Matth. 8. 11. Matth. 14. 19. Joh. 13.23. Matth, 9. 10. Mark 16. 14. Luk. 82. 17. John 6. 11.

their Mensal Beds, this may be learn'd from several Passages in Scripture, as well (though not so largely) as from the Greek and Roman Writers: nay, here are Antienter Instances of that way of disposing their Guests than in any other Authors whatfoever. A Room was generally spread with Three Beds, (therefore by the Romans call'd Triclinium) one of which was situated at one end of the Table, the other two at both Sides: and as for the other part or the end of the Table, it was left clear for the Waiters to serve up the Dishes. Generally three or four lay upon a Bed together: but this is to be understood of Men only, not of both Sexes. For it was not usual for the Women and Men to eat promiscuously at one Table on one Bed, because the Posture was not fit and decent for the former. These therefore usually had a Triclinium by themselves, and feasted apart: thus Abasuerus feasted the Men, and Valhti the Women, Esther 1. 3. 9. So the Daughter of Herodias went to her Mother in another Room, feating at the same time at another Table, Matth. 14. 2, 8. for the stept thither to take her Mother's Instructions, and then came in straightway unto the King, Mark 6. 25. So it was with the Greeks generally, the Women did not dine and sup together with the Men. But it was otherwise with the Romans: yet this distinction at first was observ'd by them, that 'the Men lay along at Meals, the Women fat: but afterwards in the degeneracy of Times, the same Posture was used by both Sexes.

Again, we find that this Discumbiture (as well as the other Posture before spoken of) was not in

N 4 a

Foeminæ cum viris eubantibus sedentes coenitabant. Val. Max. 1, 2, c, 1,

a disorderly manner, but every one had his Place according to his Dignity. When thou art bidden of any Man to a Wedding, (i.e. to a Wedding-Feast) lie not, or lean not (for so it should be rendred ac. cording to ' the Greek) in the highest Room, in the chief Place of Decumbiture, Luke 14. 8. for the Word is πρωτοκλισία, to which is opposed έχατο τόπ (), the last or lowest Place, ver. 10. The Invited did not take what Place they pleased, but the Worthiest were placed first; therefore the Pharifees, who thought themselves Persons of the greatest Worth, ambitiously sought after the highest Places, they loved the uppermost Rooms at Feasts, Mat. 23.6. The πρωτεκλισία, (for 'tis in the line gular Number, though we render it as if it were plural) the dining Bed, which they knew was usually preferred before the rest, and the chief and most honourable Situation in that Bed, they also affected. The Order of placing was this; the Chief Guest did lie at the Head of the First Bed, with his Feet behind the back of him that lay next to him: so the second Man's Head rested in the first's Bosom, and his Feet were behind the Back of the third. To this Antient Way of lying along at Eating, those Words in Cant. 2. 6. His left Hand is under my Head, and his right Hand doth imbrace me, feem to refer (for this is supposed at a Feast, Country-Banquet in a Garden or Orchard, ver. 4.51 which was the antient Usage; and thence we t read that Canius a Roman Knight bought a Garden of Pythias, in order to invite his Friends, and feast them there). The Person, according to the Situation before named, might, if he pleased, lay his left Hand under the Head of him that lay next

to him, and was in his Bosom; and he lay also conveniently to imbrace him with his other Hand or Arm. Thus 'tis faid, the Disciple whom Jesus loved leaned on his Bosom, John 13.23. And again, ver. 25. He lay on Jesus's Breast, which is a plain Proof of this Order of lying at their Suppers at that time. Our Saviour had the first and chiefest Place, John who was dearest to him lay next to him, and lean'd his Head in Christ's Bosom. For this was the Custom of those times, their Favourites and Friends, and fuch as they loved most, were placed just below them, so that they could rest their Heads on their Breasts. That this was a Sign of Familiarity, Love and Respect, is evident from that of Pliny the Younger, 3 Canabat Imperator cum paucis Veiento proximus, atque etiam in finu recumbebat. The Bride's proper Place at Supper was the Bridegroom's Bosom, according to that of the 'Poet;

–Gremio jacuit nova nupta mariti.

And those of either Sex that were intimate and dear to them had this Privilege, as we learn out of 'Tully and others. Here then we may guess at the Manner of our Saviour's lying at Supper with his Apostles, who with him were thirteen in all. Three Beds being placed about the three Sides of the Table, there were four Persons in one, four in another, and five in a third; or else two Beds held five apiece, and one of them only three, who it is likely were our Saviour, St. John, and St. Peter; for as next to John he intimates that he should ask of Christ who was to be the Traitor. This Bed

<sup>3</sup> Epist. ad Sophr. lib. 4. Juven. Sat. 11. ' In Verr. r. િ Ευ κολποις αυτι ως εςαγορόν εδείπνησε. Zonaras de Heliogabalo.

of the Holy Scriptures.

Bed whereon our Blessed Master and these two Apostles lay was the Middle Bed, viz. that which was fet at the End of the Table; but in respect of the Beds which were placed on the two Sides was the Middle one; for this was reckon'd as the up permost and most honourable. Christ lay at the Head or upper End of this Bed, for this was the πρωτοκλισία, the Chief Place of Recumbency, and was always referv'd for the Worthiest Persons though I confess there was some Variation as to this among the Romans, (and so might be among the Jews their Imitators) among whom the other End of the Middle Bed was sometimes the uppermos and most valued. Moreover, we may gather from Mat. 26. 23. that the Table about which the Beds were placed was square and short, so that all of them could eat out of the same Dish. He that dip. peth his Hand with me in the Dish, the same shall be tray nie, Mat. 26. 23. In which Words our Saviour points not at one particular Person, for all dippd their Hands in the Dish, they did eat all in common; for their Beds were close to the Table, and the Table was not broad, fo that they could all conveniently reach to the Vessels in which the Meat was. They could all put (that is the Meaning of Dipping) their Hands into the same Dish; and among these that did so at this time, there was one that designed to betray our Blessed Lord.

But though this was the Usage then, yet I must adjoin this, that at the Entertainment of some Special Guests, and to shew a more than ordinary Kindness to them, there was another Custom antiently in use, that is, every one had his Portion apart at the Table. Homer makes all his Heroes cat after this fashion; particularly he tells us, that Ajax's Allotment (who 'tis likely was as good at

cating

eating as fighting) was a Chine of Beef; for the more worthy and honourable the Guest was, the greater was his Allowance. But the Sacred History gives us the earliest Examples of this kind, as indeed it doth of all other forts of Usages. Here we read that Joseph, when he entertained his Brethren, took and fent Messes (useidas according to the LXX, particular Portions) unto them from before bim. Gen. 43. 34. If it he faid that the Egyptians might not eat Bread with the Hebrews, for that was an Ahomination to the Egyptians, ver. 32, and that was the Reason why they had particular Parts or Messes allotted them, and therefore it doth not follow thence that this was a Custom either among the Hebrews or Egyptians when they feasted asunder; I answer, the true Reason why the Hebrews and Egyptians did not fit and eat together at the same Table, was because the one eat Flesh, and the other did not, and on that Account their Different Customs were abominable to one another. But the dividing of the Meat, and distributing a Particular Portion to every one, had no reference at all to this, but was a General Custom in those times, and was (I conceive) partly founded upon this, that hereby they had no Opportunity of shewing their particular Respects to the Guests according to their different Quality; for the greatest and choicest Portion of Viands was allotted to those whose Place and Dignity required more than ordinary Deference, or for whom they had the greatest Kindness and Love. Thus in the Relation here given us, Benjamin's Mess was five times so much as any of bis Brethren. This was a Mark of fingular Favour and Affection, for Jeseph was more nearly related

to Benjamin than to any of the rest, who indeed were but his half-Brethren; but Benjamin was his Brother both by the Father's and the Mother's side, Hence it may be gather'd that this Practical was not grounded on the Difference of Meats of which the Hebrews and Egyptians fed. And in deed from other Instances in this Divine Book is appears, that though Persons sat at the same Table and differ'd not about the fort of Food, yet the had particular Messes or Portions distributed them. So when Elkanah and his Family and Friends feasted together on a solemn Occasion, to shew his more especial Love and Regard to Hannab, be gang to her a worthy Portion, 1 Sam. 1. 5. Manab aphajim (where aphajim is the same with panim, as is usual in the Holy Stile) a Distribution of Faces, such a lie beral share of Meat as shew'd a favourable Counted nance, a particular Respect and Love; such a Portion as was usually given to the best and most be loved fort of Guests. Another Remarkable Example of this we have in 1 Sam. 9. 23, 24. where we read that Samuel invited Saul to a Feast, and made him sit in the chiefest Place among them that were bidden, (for there was a Precedency in those times according to the Rank of the Persons that were invited) and he said unto the Cook, (whom he had spoken to before to prepare this Entertain ment) Bring the Portion which I gave thee, of which I said unto thee, Set it by thee: (which I ordered thee to have in Readiness against the time that I call'd for it) And the Cook took up the Shoulder, (for that was the peculiar Joint of Meat which was design'd for his Portion; and indeed it appears from being the Priest's particular Portion, Lev. 7. 32. that it was accounted the choicest part) and that which was upon it, (it may be some lesser and dain-

tier Morsels which were serv'd up in the same Dish) and let it before Saul. Whence it is evident that in old times they had a certain Measure and Quantity of Meat appointed them at Feasts by the Governour and Master of it. This is the appointed Portion, rendred by our Translators necessary Food, Tob 23. 12. and this is the Food of Allowance or Appointment, Prov. 30. 8. which we render Food convenient, attending to the Sense rather than the Original Word. The fet Portions of Meat were called by the Greeks moreal, meeides, vomal; and the Name of the Servants or Waiters that diffributed them to the Guests, according to the Order which they received from the Master of the Feast, Was Διάμονοι, as we may fatisfy our felves in Lucian and other Good Writers. And in this very Sense dianoveiv is taken in Mat. 20. 28. Mark 10. 45. Luke 12.37. We read of the Ruler of the Houshold, whose Office was to give them their Portion of Meat, οπομέτειον, Luke 12.12. where σίτ Φ, of which that Word is compounded, is a general Term for all Food, and so the Word signifies a certain Demensum, a Set Portion or Dividend of Meat that was allotted to every one at Meals. And I am mistaken if our Saviour's Words concerning Mary. she bath chosen, This agashiv megisa, the good Part or Portion, Luke 10. 42. do not refer to this Distribiting of the Food, and particularly Martha's being cumbred about much serving, we's mothin Stanovious the way of providing for the Guests by allotting every one his Distinct Part. And it is not improbable, seeing the Dispensers of the Gospel are compared to ' Stewards and ' Governours of Families, that

Phiel. 2. 19. Luke 12. 42.

that the rightly dividing the Word of Truth, & Tim's Decay a long time. And from Exod. 3. 5. Josh. 5. 14. hath special Reference to this Custoni of his 14. Deut. 25. 9. and several other Places, it may penling to every one his proper share at the Table? be proved that their Feet were armed with this though (as I have fuggested) this was not a perpe Defence; yea, it was an Ornament as well as a Detual Ufage, and particularly at the Paschal Per fence, and is reckon'd as such in Ezek. 16. 10. our Saviour and his Apostles supped together !! where we find that Shoes of the best and most common, and eat out of the same Diff. fashionable fort were made of Badgers Skins, viz. Furthermore, from what we read in the Evan dress'd and made into Leather. Now, when they gelical History, Luke 7. 38. viz. that Mary Magde came into a House as Guests to be entertain'd, len flood at Christ's Feet behind him, we may collect they stripp'd themselves of this lower Apparel, the Truth of what hath been suggested concerning and had their Feet wash'd and cleans'd; and this their Posture at their Eatings in those Days. The was the usual Introduction to their sitting or lying Feet lay out behind the Backs of those that III down to eat. A very antient Instance of this you next to them, and so those that waited at the Th have in Judg. 19. 21. They washed their Feet, and did ble were properly faid to stand at their Feet behind eat and drink. And that this was afterwards a Thence this is the Periphrans of a Servitor Jewish Custom is clear from our Saviour's upbraid-Waiter, according to " Seneca, qui comanti ad ped ing of Simon in those Words, I entered into thy House, Reterat. Likewise here we are acquainted that Phi but thou gavest me no Water for my Feet, Luke 7. 44. ting off the Shoes, and Washing the Feet, were a which he would not have faid if the Washing the nfual Attendant at Lating and Featting; and the Feet had not been a common Testimony of Civioffe was in order to the other. I grant that the lity and Friendly Entertainment. From Athenaus Antients wore not Shoes at all times, yea thell we learn that the Greeks used this Custom at their Captives and Slaves went always bare-foot, as ! Feasts; and many Authors attest the same concernevident from Ifa. 20. 4. Nay, forte of the better ing the Romans. And as to the Discalceation in orfort of People among the Gentiles were put upon der to it, \* Martial and ' Terence, and feveral other this Hardship by their severe Governours and Law Writers speak of it. givers: to Lycurgus enjoined the Spartans to go without Shoes. But among the Jews I find ill

Decay

Besides, the Sacred Writings inform us, that Anointing was of old an usual Entertainment at their Feasts. Thus the Penitent Magdalen bestow'd a Box of Spikenard on Christ's ' Feet while he was at Supper: and indeed, according to the Account

fuch thing; even in the Wilderness (where the

underwent the greatest Difficulties) their Feet well

clad with Shoes, by the fame token that they war

ed not old, Deut. 20. 5. i.e. by a particular Provi-

dence they were preferred from any confiderable

Hac Metaphora à convivii apparatoribus & daputh inferudo ribus ducta est. Gerhard. Harm. Evang. 2 De Beneste. 1.3:

Lib. 14. Deposui soleas, &c. Epigr. lib. 5. Accurrunt servi, soleas detrahunt, &c. Heautontim. . Luke 7. 38. John 12. 3.

before given of his Situation at that time, the had the Advantage to do it, his Feet being towards her, and bare; for (as was just now said) they put off their Shoes and lay barefoot on their At another time this Religious Eating-Beds.

Woman refresh'd and perfumed his Head with

precious Ointment when he was at the Table Mat. 26.7. Mark 14.3. And it is particularly recorded that this fragrant Ointment was in an Alabaster-Box: which is according to what Heron dotus, Athenaus, Plutarch and other antient Authors relate, (whom you may fee alledg'd in Dr. Hammond's Annotations on Mat. 26, 7.) that those particular Vessels, viz. Alabaster-Boxes were commonly made use of for that purpose. It is said in the foremention'd Place in St. Mark that she brake the Box of Spikenard, but ouvreiseit fignifies to shake or knock, rather than to break; fo that the meaning is this, she shook the Box, on knock'd it against the Ground to make the Oint ment come forth the better. This pouring of Odoriferous Oils on the Heads of their Guests at Feasts, is taken notice of in Pfal. 23. 5. where with preparing a Table is join'd anointing the Head with Oil. And in Eccl. 9. 8, 9. Eat thy Bread with joy, and drink thy Wine with a merry Heart: and let thy Head lack no Ointment. And because it was used at these times of Mirth and Rejoicing, tis call'd the Oil of Joy, Isa. 61.3. Of this it is probable, the Parable in Luk. 16. speaks, where among the Steward's Expences a hundred Measures of Oil are reckon'd, which were used at Festivals. With the Holy Scriptures accord the Pagan Writers, who frequently make mention of this fragrant Unction. That it was used among the Greeks is manifest from the Example of Telemachus, who ac-

cording

of the Holy Scriptures. cording to ' Homer was not only wash'd but anointed before he supp'd. Martial bears witness conterning the Romans,

\* Unquentum fateor bonum dedisti Convivis, here.

But of this Anointing the Head with perfumed Liquors at Festivals, Dr. Hammond hath produc'd several Instances in his Annotations on Mat. 26. 7. and therefore I remit the Reader thither.

Lastly, here is mention'd another remarkable Attendant of those Feasts, viz. the Master or Governour of them. 'Aexteinling is the Name given him, John 2. 8. and it was a known Name among the Grecians: from whom the Latins borrow'd their Architriclinus. He was fet over the Triclinium as an useful Officer, his Place being to order the Guests at the Feast, and to give Laws concerning the eating and drinking. And generally this Sympostarch, this Overseer and Controller of the Feast, was a Friend and Associate of the Person that made the Feast, and was acquainted with the Guests. Thus I have briefly from this sacred Fountain of all Good Letters, shew'd the Manner and Order of the Discumbiture among the Antients

Next, we are here acquainted who were the Inventers of Mechanick Arts. We find that Tubal-Cain was the first Instructor of every Artificer in Brass and Iron, Gen. 4. 22. Where by the way we may observe, that the late 3 Philosopher is mistaken when he confidently afferts, that there were no Metals or Minerals in the Antediluvian Earth. If

Odysk 🦚 \* Epigr. Lib. 3. . . . . . . . . . . . Burner Theory of the Barth.

explain'd

he can prove that Tubal-Cain was not before the Flood, (which will be one of the hardest Tasks he ever undertook) then he may effect fomething to ward his Hypothesis; but to say that the Primitive Earth was without these Metals, and yet to ac knowledg this Tubal-Cain to be an Antediluvian is perfect Contradiction, for he could not deal Metals if there had been none at that time. Him then I take to be the First Smith and Brasier the ever was in the World. Yea, perhaps the Terms of Brass and Iron may be more large and comprehensive, and then here may be signified to us the general Skill of Improving all Metals for the Needs of Mankind. It is not improbable that the Art of Refining was found out by this Tubal-Cain

from their Drofs. However that this Separating and Purifying them, to render them more useful were very Antient, is plain from Pfal. 12.6. which mentions Silver tried in a Furnace of Earth, purifical seven times: And we read, Mal. 3, 2. of a Refiner Fire. But this we are certainly informed of from the forenamed Text in Genesis, that Tubal-Can was more especially skill'd in the use of those Metals there mention'd, viz. Brass and Iron: he knew the particular and proper Use of them in all Trades and Employments that require them, as in that of a Carpenter, a Mason, &c. and moth

of the Laborious and Handicraft Trades. With Mechanicks and Manual Arts we may join Architesture, which cannot be managed without Tools of either of these Metals. Where again we may observe the rash and groundless Assertion of the foresaid Writer, vizat that there were not of old

fitted from what hath been said concerning Metals; for of these they could make instruments that were ferviceable in Building. Therefore when the Egyptians held that Vulcan was the Inventer of Architecture, it is probable they had reference to Tubal-Cain, (the first Founder of Metals which were so useful in Building ) who was the Heathen

Yukan, as all Mythologists acknowledg. It is true, This, as all other Arts, was mean and low at first, for it began with making and fixing up of Tents (which I spake of before.) The Father, i. e. the Inventer of which was Jabal, who it is probable made them of Skins or Hides of Beasts; for our First Parents, and without doubt all others in and that he taught Men the separation of Metal imitation of them, were clothed with Skins (of which afterwards) which they found kept out Rain and Cold; and accordingly they learn'd to clothe their Tabernacles with the same Materials, and for the same End and Purpose: and to confirm this, read in several Places afterwards that the Tents Fr Booths were made of Skins; in tacking and fifthing of which it is likely they at length made whe of fome of Tubal's Hard Ware. This was the first Estay of Building, these were the first Houses. And from thence a Tabernacle and a House are convertible: thus Job mentions his Tabernacle, Ch. 31. v. 31. not that he had not a House properly so call'd, he being the Greatest Man in the East: and that he had so, appears further from Ch. 21. v. 28. And his Children had fuch Houses, else the fall of the House, Chap. 1. v. 19. could not have kill'd

them. But sometimes they retain'd the old Name

of Tabernacles, which were first in use: therefore Job calls his House or Palace a Tabernacle in the Place above cited. So in Judg. 12. 8. his Tent is

Theory of the Earth.

explain'd by adding his House: for as a 'Learned Critick faith well upon the Place, Because they of old dwelt in Tents, they afterwards call'd any House a Tent. And 'tis further observable that their Houses (for so 'tis in the Hebrew, though we translate it Housholds) and their Tents, Deut. 11.6. is as much as their Houses, namely their Tents ! for in the Defart they had only Tents or Booths, which were instead of Houses. And let me observe fur ther, that Ohel a Tabernacle is rendered bu & by the Seventy, in Gen. 9. 21. & 24.67... Num. 19. 18 Deut. 16. 7. Jos. 22. 4. Job 29. 4. and in fever ral other Places. Yea, sometimes Ohel is ren dered by the Seventy defeis Pellis, as in Exoding 15. which confirms what I faid before concern ing the Materials of Houses. Booths and the were alike, and thence perhaps arose the literal Cognation between Beth and Booth, the latter be ing an easy Corruption of the former. This is certain that the first Dwellings were Tabernacker the Old Patriarchs lived in these Moveable Paville ons, especially those of them that kept Cattle and some of those were the most Considerable and in the World. Some a long time after, as the Rechabites, a fort of Votaries among the Jews (but not of the Stock of Abraham, but originally Kenites or Midianites) chose this antient and simple way of living all their Days. But Architecture foon found an improvement, and the old Tentr dwelling was turn'd into another fort of Habitation. Of this Art of Building Cain is mention'd as the first Author: this Vagabond after all his Travels at last fat down in a certain Place, and there built

built Nod, Gen. 4. 16. and afterwards he built a City, a walled City, and call dit Enoch, after the Name of his Son, v. 17. (whence in succeedings times it was usual to give the Names of Men unto Cities and Countries, of which there are 'many Instances in Scripture. ) This first Murderer was the first Builder, for being haunted and tormented with a guilty Conscience, to divert it he sell a huilding; and perhaps he did it to environ himfelf with Walls, to keep himself safe. A City was made a Sanctuary, a Place of Refuge. And as Cain is recorded to be the First Builder before the Flood, so Nimred was the first after it; for the City and the Tower which he and his Partisans built, are expressly mentioned Gen. 11.5. And if you would know the chief Materials that these bold Architects made use of in this Work, the 3d Verse will acquaint you, they had Brick for Stone, and Slime had they for Mortar. Which intimates, that they would have made use of Stone to build. the Tower, (for Stone was ever of greatest Esteem for that purpose, and the Great Mens Houses were built of these, t Kings 7. 9, 11. Isa. 9. 10. Am. 3.11.) and would have cemented the building with Mortar, if the Place had afforded either, But. it seems it did not, and therefore they used Brick instead of Stone, and a Bituminous Substance which that Soil furnish'd them with instead of Lime and Sand. Accordingly we are told by feveral ' Pagan Writers that the Walls of Babylon were

Joseph. Antiqu. 1.1. c. 3, Places named of old from Perfors; as Adam, Jos. 3. 16. Abel, 2 Sam. 20. 18. Cain, Jos. 15. 57. Silhon, Numb. 21. 34. So Haran, Jabesh, Salmon, Rahab, Jezreel, Ephraim, &c., Ovid, Lucan, Juvenal.

were built of Brick: and Pliny and other Authors commend the Bitumen or Asphalt of that Country, a kind of Pitch which was ferviceable in making of Cement.

But besides Examples of Common and Prophane Architecture, there are in these Antient Writings others of a different Nature, which are worthy of the Study of all Curious Enquirers into Antient Arts Here is described the Famous Thebab, Gen. 6. 15 &c. the Ark which Noah and his Sons and their Affistants built by the particular Direction and Guidance of God himself. There were in this Habitation upon the Waters, this Floating House, three Principal Stories and Floors of an equal Length from one end of the Fabrick to the other; in which were peculiar Kinnim, Nests, for that is the Metaphorical Word that is used by the Holy Ghost to express the fundry Mansions, the various Cells, Apartments and Divisions for the convenient lodging of Noah's Family and all forts of Animals, and their different Foods. This Structure was fix times longer than it was broad, and ten times longer than it was high, and so was exactly proportion'd to the particular Symmetry of Man's Body at its full Extent: and as to feveral other things, the Admirable and Singular Contrivance of this Edifice, worthy of its Divine Author, hath been demonstrated by the 'Learned. So that we have no cause to wonder at Clemens of Alexandria, when he propounds the Ark (as also the Mosaick Tabernacle, which I will mention next) as 'an Emi-

Arias Montanus, Buteo, Hoflus, Jacobus Capellus, Kin cher, &c. Tewurzeias de esw unewown x Toxwale. เห็น ทธหทุงที่ น้ำ Tentawoydun มเดิดเกอง. Strom. 1.

nent

nent Exemplar of Geometrick Art. Another famous Specimen of Antient Architecture was the Tabernacle, that Portable Habitation of God, that Vehicle of the Divinity, that Ambulatory House of the Almighty, that Travelling Temple, that Appointed Place of Publick Worship for the Israelites, that Visible Pledg of the Divine Presence among them. All the Materials of which, as Gold, Silver, Brass, dyed Wool, fine Linen, Goats Hair, Rams and Badgers Skins, Shittim Wood, with all the facred Utenfils belonging to it, and the individual Shape and Formation of every one of them, were by the particular Order, Appointment and Designation of God himself, who extraordinarily inspired Bezaleel and Aboliab with Skill and Art about that Noble Work. Here likewise we have an Account of that most Celebrated Piece of Architecture, Solomon's Temple, wherein every thing is Great, August and Divine, and sutable to its Author. The whole Contrivance is fo various, fo artificial, that it hath been reckon'd by some of the Wisest and most Judicious Men, as the Basis of the whole Art of Building. Villalpandus (who was a Good Judg in the Case) declares that "the whole Architectonick Art, which "the Grecians communicated to the Romans, "and which Vitruvius's Books present us with, "was first derived from the Hebrew Proportions "in this Sacred Building, and the Apartments "that belong to it. But more especially it is the Idea and Pattern of all Great and Stately Structure whatfoever.

As to the more ordinary way of Building, it is certain that the general Draught or Scheme of Erecting

<sup>1</sup> la Ezekiel, Tom. 2. Par. 2. Lib. 1.

Eresting of Houses, as they are represented in these Sacred Writings, hath been taken for the Model of these Dwellings in all Countries ever since And here I will choose out only one thing to speak of, because it may give Light to several Passages in Scripture. It was the Custom in Palestine to build their Houses flat at top; and they made at much use of this as of any part of their Habitatis, on. Here they walked, as may be partly gather'd from Deut. 22. 8. but it is in express Terms said in 2 Sam. 11.2. that David walked here in the Even. ing, the time when he faw the fair Bathsheba. Here they pray'd, as is evident from Alts 10.9. Peter went up upon the House-top, (To Mapen, which is the Word in the New Testament, that answers to Gag in the Old) to pray about the fixth Hour. For here the Jews had the Convenience of looking towards Jerusalem, which they were commanded to do whenever they pray'd in a Place distant from it, 1 Kings 8.48. and this was St. Peter's Case here, wherefore he went up hither to offer his Prayers, Here they facrific'd sometimes: whence you read of burning Incense on the Roofs of Houses, Jer. 19. 13. & 32. 29. and worshipping the Host of Heaven upon the House-tops, Zeph. 1. 5. This was also the place of Publick Mourning and Lamenting, as is clearly deducible from Jer. 48. 38. And in Isa. 22. 1. to go up to the House-tops, is to make an open Condolance and Lamentation. From these high and eminent Places they were wont to discover any Danger at a Distance; thence you read of the Watchman going up to the Roof, 2 Sam. 18. 24. They used to speak to the People from these Places as fittest for that purpose; whence that Proverbial kind of speaking used by our Saviour, Mat. 10. 27. to preach on the House-tops, is to make a thing known

known to all, to proclaim it to the World. Here they did eat, and drink, and sleep, especially in the Summer-Evenings; thus David rose from off his Bed. 2 Sam. 11. 2. (the Bed where he had supped. and it is probable had taken a short Nap afterwards) and from hence had his unfortunate Prospect. Again, this was usually among the Jews and other Eastern People, a Place of Employment and Business of one kind or other: and therefore, by him which is on the House-top, Mat. 24. 17. is meant, the Man that is about his Business or Work at Home. in contradistinction to the Man employed in the Field, v. 18. Lastly, from what hath been suggested, and from the very Nature of the Place, it must needs be gather'd that it was open and exposed to the Sight of the World, and therefore Absalom purposely made choice of this to defile his Father's Concubines in, that it might be in the Sight of all Ifrael, 2 Sam. 16.22.

But then why were the Spies that were fent by Joshua into the Land of Canaan lodged here by Rabab? [of. 2. 4, 6. She brought them up hither to hide them: therefore it seems there was upon this Roof some Place that was private; otherwise she would not have disposed of them here. It might be answered, and that from the Context, that though it was an open Place, yet she knew that the green Stalks of Flax which lay there a drving would sufficiently cover those Persons, and keep them from being feen, especially in the Night-season. But I rather think that the Cunning of this good Woman lay in this, that she carried them up to a Place that was known to be open and frequented, and therefore it could not be imagined that she would, or that she could hide them in the opencit Place of her House. Here was the Subtlety of this Female; she knew that me Body would look for them in that Place, for there could not be the least suspition of their being there: however, she had taken a Course to prevent their being discovered, if the busy Searchers should have had the groundless Curiosity of looking into that Place.

Further, I might observe, that because Flat-roof. ed Houses were the way of Building in those Countries (and generally in all Asia) there was care taken to fence this Part about, that it might not be dangerous. Among the Jews this was by the particular Injunction of the Divine Architect, Deut. 22. 8. Thou shalt make a Battlement for thy Roof. And the reason of this Murus per circuitum (as the Vulgar Latin renders it) is ad, ded, That thou bring not Blood upon thy House, if any Man fall from thence. The flat Roofs of their Houses were rail'd in, that none might slip off of them, and hazard their Lives. And here by the by, I may add, that this was the very Structure of the Temple; it was flat at top, and accordingly was encompassed round with a Peribolus, a fett of Rails or Battlements: and this we are to understand by the Pinnacle of the Temple, Mat. 4. 5. i. e. some Part, Side or Wing (as the Word тербую imports) of the Battlements wherewith the Temple was furrounded at top, lest any mould fall down thence. And to confirm this Interpretation, I will produce that Passage of Hegesippus (quoted by ' Eusebius) who relates that some of the Pharisees, and others of the unbelieving Jews, came and requested James the Just, the Brother of our Lord, and Bishop of Jerusalem, to preach

preach at the Passover, when the People came from all Parts to Jerusalem: and that he might be both seen and heard of all, they defired him to stand im to wrightnow is ises, on the Battlement of the Temple: and he further tells that he did fo. It was a Place then that they might safely stand upon, otherwise St. James would not have consented to their request. Dr. Hammend thinks this was the Top of the Battlement, and adds that it was broad enough to stand upon: but supposing it was, yet it was unsafe to trust their Feet there, lest they should slip. Therefore I rather think that επί τὸ ωπερύριον in St. Matthew, must not be taken as it is in the foremention'd Story: in the one it fignifies the Top of the Rails or Battlement, a dangerous Place to stand upon, and for that reason the Devil fet our Saviour there: but in the other we are to understand by το ω τερύγιον the Place within the Battlements, for the whole Space encompass'd with these had that Denomination. However we are hence inform'd that wrequinov is not a Pinnacle or Spire, (.for the Jewish Temple had no fuch thing, though some of our Churches have) but the exterior Circuit, which compassed the Top of the Temple, and was made to be an Ornament to it, as well as to prevent the Danger of falling down. This is the proper Notion of itamong Grammarians (as 'Dr. Hammond hath rightly noted:) and not only the Temple but every House had this στερύμου, this Battlement about it. This is the short Account which I thought fit to infert here of the Δωματα, or as the Latins call them Solaria, (because

<sup>&#</sup>x27; Eccles. Hist. 1. 2. c. 23.

cause they lay open to the Sun and Air) the Flat Roofs with which the Houses heretofore (especially in the Asiatick Regions) were built And this is certain that there is not so Early an Account in any Writers whatsoever of the Structure of the Antient Houses as this of the Sacred Penmen is.

CHAR

## CHAP. IV.

The first original of Letters and Writing is recorded here. The several kinds of Materials they wrote upon of Old. The Instruments with which they formed their Letters or Characters. The Antientest (as well as the most Excellent) History is in the Bible. So is the Antientest and most Admired Poetry. The first Invention and Practice of Musick. and on what Occasions it was wont to be made use of. The Rife of Natural Philosophy, and who were the first Founders of it. The Knowledg of the Holy Scriptures necessary in order to the due Study of Natural Philosophy. The first Instances of Anatomy, Medicks, Chirurgery, Embalming, and the Apothecaries Employment, are in the Old Testament. Here are the first Examples of Shipping and Navigation. An Enquiry into the Place whither Solomon's Navy went every three Years: A Conjecture concerning Ophir. Astronomy and Judiciary Astrology mention'd in Scripture. Of War and Skill in Arms. The Nature of those Military Weapons which are spoken of in Scripture, particularly and distinctly enquir'd into. The Antiquity of Martial Enfigns and Standards. The vast Numbers which the Armies of old consisted of. The Scripture is not silent concerning Sportive Diversions and Exercises: some of which, but especially Dancing, are considered.

ROM Mechanical I proceed to Ingenious
Arts and Sciences, or such as are approaching to them; and I am to shew that the Satred History relates the first Rise and Original of
these

of the Holy Scriptures. fes learnt it of him, and communicated it to the lews, from whom other Eastern People received it, and so Letters were imparted to the rest of

Discourse commence with but Letters and Writing? Many have been very inquisitive about the First the World. Eupolemus and Artapanus, two very Author of these: and truly it is worth the En-Antient Historians quoted by 'Clement of Alexquiry, it being the Foundation of all Learning in andria, were of this Opinion, and afferted, that the World. The Rabbins held that Ind Writing Letters had their original from Moses. This is and consequently Letters, were created among favour'd by Clement himself, by Eusebius, by other things at the Close of the fixth Day's Work Cyril of Alexandria: and 4 St. Augustin inclines of the Creation: but few that are fober will give to it. And this is confirmed from that general report

of the Pagans, that from the Phoenicians all Letters were derived. Particularly concerning the Greeks, Herodotus and Plutarch testify, that they recorded the Letters of their Alphabet from the Phoenicians, and that therefore they were called The Phænician Letters. Yea, the Word powinted absolutely and by it felf is, according to Hefyebius, as much as yeauuare among the Lydians and Ionians. Lucan makes the Phoenicians the first Inventers of Letters.

Phænices primi, famæ si creditur, ausi Mansuram rudibus vocem signare figuris.

Now, when these are said to have first found out Letters, and when these Letters are signally stiled Phienician, it is as much as if they had called them Hebrew Letters, (so named from that Famous, Hebrew Moses, and the People of that Denomination) for it is acknowledg'd by all the Learned,

these. And what Liberal Art should I begin with but Grammar? what should this part of my Credit to this. They were found out before the Flood by Seth, faith the Jewish Antiquary; for according to him there were two Pillars, one of Stone, another of Brick, erected by that Godly Patriarch, on which he caused his Astrological Notions to be written. Afterwards (for we may hippole this invention lost by the Flood, though the Pillars and Characters on their remain'd) Abraham retrieved the Art of Writing, yea in a manner invented it anew, faith Philo, But there is no Proof at all of what he or Josephus faith concerning this Matter, and therefore we may justly question the Truth of both. But supposing that Seth began this Art, and that Abraham improved it, we are certain of this, that Mojes came and perfected it, having that molt Compleat Copy before him to instruct and direct him, the Tables written with the Finger of God, Exod. 31. 18. We read of no Writing in Scripture till this writing

or engraving the Law on the Two Tables, which

is call'd in another Place the Writing of God, Deut.

32. 16. There is no mention, I fay, of any fuch

thing before: wherefore it is likely God was the First Inventer of Letters or Writing, and that Mo-

Pitk. Avoth. c. g. Antiq. l. 1, c. g.

<sup>&#</sup>x27; Strom. I. 1. <sup>2</sup> De Præpar. Evang. 1. 9. cont. Julian. <sup>4</sup> De Civ. Dei, 1. 18. Tolvinnia yeapuara, Herodot. 1. 5. Poirinaia, Plutarch. Sympos. 1: 9. quaft. 3: Lib. 3.

Moses is meant, for he is the true Mercurius, as I

Learned, that *Phænicians* and *Hebrews* are the fame in feveral Authors. The old Distinction was this,

' ΄Οι μθρί ἐπ' ἐπείρω Τοι περ Σύριοι καλέονται, ΄΄ ΄΄ ΄΄ ἀλὸς ἐΓγὺς ἐόντες ἐπωνυμίνν Φοίνικες.

That is, those of Syria that inhabited the Continent had the name of Syrians, but those that border'de the Maritime Coasts, were call'd Phonicians, which were the same with the Canaanites. When with find Pliny professing, Literas semper arbitror Assyria fuisse, we cannot but know that by Assyrian the Country of the Patriarchs, and even the Jewish No. tion are pointed at. When therefore he faith he is of Opinion, and always was, that Letter were first of all Assyrian, it is certain that he com firms what I am now fuggesting. And when the Gentile Historians tell us that the Invention of Letters was from Cadmus, it is to our present Purpose, to observe who this Cadmus was. He faid to be a Tyrian or Phoenician, whence he hath the Title of Συροφόινιξ έμωσος , a Syrophonis cian Traffiker, given him in Lucian's Council of the Gods. This was he that brought the use of Let ters to the Greeks: which shews that the Originial nal of them was from Canaan, from the Hebrews who were stiled Phanicians. Besides, that the Greek Alphabet was taken from the Hebrew, not only the Names but the Order and Figure of most of the Letters do plainly shew. And when it is faid by Plato. Diodorus Siculus, Tully and others, that Mercurius and Thoth (who were the same Person) were the Inventers of Letters and Erudition, Mofes

have had occasion to prove by very convincing Arguments in another Place. This feems to be referred to in the word Msoai, the Muses, who are the celebrated Authors of Learning and all Ingenious Arts; for 'Plato (who was the greatest searcher into Antiquity of all the Philosophers) acknowledgeth that this Word is borrowed from the Barbarians: and 'tis well known who are the Jarbarians with the Greeks, viz. the Hebrews; which makes me think that Mooai is a Corruption of Moses, and that what is said of the Muses is to be understood of him, and consequently that he was the First Inventer of Letters and of Learning. Hence it is that the same Divine Philosopher in another Place expresly testifies, that ' the Greeks received their Names and Letters from the Rarbarians, be were elder than they. Lastly, I will mention that Notable Passage in 3 Plutarch, who speaking the Egyptians saith, Epudo yeaumatians eval ev-APhy voui(xon, they think that Hermes was the Invenmof Grammar: where by Grammar is meant all Good Letters, and by Hermes we are to understand Moses, who (as hath been said already) is univerally own'd to be the Antient Hermes. To this Extellent Man it pleased God to reveal the Art of Writing, fetting him an Illustrious Copy upon the two Tables with his own Hand; fo that next unto 66d himself he was the first Inventer of Letters, or Written Characters: He who, when an Infant, was wrapp'd up in the Egyptian Papyrus, (as you shall hear afterwards) was most congruously the Principal Author of Writing on it, and adorning

Dionys. Pericg. Nat. Hist. I. 7. c. 56.

in Cratylo. \* Παρά Βαςβάρων πιών αυτά παςειλήφαμεν το δε ήμων αςχαιότεροι Βάςβαροι. \* De Ifid. & Ofic.

that and other Materials with Letters. The first Penman and Writer of the Bible had the Glory of this Discovery, viz. to be the first Author of Writing. These Sacred Records acquaint us also what

were the First Ways of Writing or making Letter,

They let us know what Materials they of old wrote upon, and what Instruments they wrote with. Her we learn that the first way of Writing was Sculp ture or Carving, i. e. they cut their Letters in Stone or Wood, or fome other hard and folid Matte We read that Moses, or rather God himself, graved his Laws on Stone, Exod. 34. 1. Deut. 101, and the People were commanded afterwards w write these very Laws after the same manned Deut. 27. 3. 8. This is the First and Antient Way of Writing that we read of. Stones was their Books of old. On these they engraved the Characters which they had learn'd. The Egyptim did thus, faith 'Jamblichus, before their Inventor of Paper. The Babylonians writ their Laws (4) stony fort of Substance, faith \* Pliny. Of such in of Writing speaks' Herodotus. And all the Marble Monuments which Rome affords, and area this Day to be seen, witness the Antiquity of the Engraving. On Wood and Trees it was usual w carve their Letters of old: Thus they writt Names of the Tribes on twelve Rods, Numb. 1761 and Ezekiel was bid to write upon Sticks, small Pieces of Wood, Ezek. 37. 16. Writing on a Tr ble, End mulis according to the LXX, super burne according to the Vulgar Latin, Isa. 30. 8. may r not unusual among some of the Gentiles: So Shepherds and Lovers used to cut their Names on the Barks of Trees of old. This is called

<sup>4</sup> — Teneris incidere amores Arboribus-

Some of the old Roman Laws were written in ' Tables of Oak: and from sufficient Testimonies in Authors it might be proved that they cut Letters in Wooden Tables, i. e. thin Slices of Wood, which were call'd Codices. But afterwards it was the Custom to cover these Tables with Wax, and so to cut their Characters on it: of which fort it is probable was the muanidion, the Writing-Table that Zacharias call'd for, Luke 1. 63. These Waxen Boards were in use in the time of the Trojan War, as appears from Homer, Il. 6. And that they were frequent among the Romans and others, is attested by Pliny, Quintilian, Plautus, Martial, and most of the Latin Writers. Of engraving Letters in Gold there is an early Instance in Exod. 39. 30. where we are told that Holiness to the Lord was written on a Golden Place, and worn on the High

Priest's Head. So Dio relates that they antiently. made Letters in Gold, and wrote in Silver. The drawing of legible Characters on Lead, i. e. thin leaves of that Metal, is recorded in Job 19.24. of which there were afterwards Examples in Pagan Writers, as in ' Paufanias, who tells us, that Hesiod's Poems were thus written. And Publick Records and Decrees, faith ' the other Pliny, were fer to this, I suppose. This Writing in Wood was M 2 Wont

Uraniâ.

Virgil. Eclog. 10. Dionys. Halicar. 1. 3. Epist. 1. 7, 27. 'Instit. l. 10. c. 3. In Curcul. Lib. 44. In De Myst. Ægypt. l. r. c. 2. Nat. Hist. l. 7. c. 56. Beotic. 2 Plumbeis voluminibus monumenta publica fieri cospta fung. N. Hist. 1. 13. c. 11.

meant

164 The Excellency and Perfection

wont to be transcribed into these Sheets of Lead because they were accounted Lasting and Durable

For the same Reason the Twelve Tables of the Oil Roman Laws that were fix'd up in publick were

written on Plates of Brass, as a great Number of good Latin Authors testify. And some, to pre-

ferve what they writ, imprinted Characters on

Slices of Ivory, thence call'd Libri Elephantini in Tacitus and Flavius Vopiscus. Thus Sculpture was

one antient way of Writing among Men. of which the First Instances are to be found in the Holl Scripture. And I doubt not but Aboliab, who was

the Chief Master of ! Engraving (and that by the particular Inspiration of Heaven) was the sit Improver of this fort of Letters. This was the Primitive Writing of Mankind with First Letter were cat and engraven, which indeed may be found

in the very Word; for to grave is the same with Appear, and is thence derived without doubt. As hither to we have feen by Help of the Sacra Records that Sculpture or Exarction was the antientelt fort of Characters, for These likewise inform us that Painting was the next, i.e. that Letter were generally drawn and pour traved in some blatt or fable kind of colouring. And to this end, in Read of those Hard Materials which were made

use of in writing before, there were others after wards found out of a more tractable Nature. The Scribture doth not mention those that were bit foldom and little used, as Leaves of Trees, especial ally 4 Palms, which was the way of the 5 Sibility transmitting some of their Verses. And that of old they wrote sometimes on Leaves not only of

Trees but Flowers, is more than once witnessed by Virgil and Ovid. Still to this Day we feem to retain the Memory of this antient way of Writing when we fay a Leaf of Paper, and Books in Folio. Nor are the thin Coats or Rinds which were between the Bark and Body of Trees, and were used in Writing of old, (as 6 feveral relate) and from whence came the Name of Liber at first, mention'd by the Holy Writers, because their Use continued but a little time, and they were of little Service. Much less is there any thing said of writing in Linen, (which yet <sup>7</sup> Livy, <sup>8</sup> Pliny, <sup>9</sup> Vopiscus, and others, take notice of) because this was used among the Indians and fuch remote People as the Sacred History had no occasion to speak of. But those Materials for writing which were of constant Use, and that among most Nations, as Papyr and Parchment, are either expresly mention'd or tacitely referr'd to. The former was made of broad Rushes and Flags, which grew in great abundance in Egypt: of which the Prophet Isaiab foretelling the Confusion of that Country speaketh, ch. 19. v.6.7. The Reeds and Flags shall wither: the Paper-Reeds by the Brooks shall wither, be driven away, and in more. The Gnaroth, the Materials for Writing, which were so celebrated all the World over, and which were the peculiar Commodity of Egypt, and which brought in so great Revenues to

that Nation, these, even these shall decay, the

Traffick of them shall cease. Yea, when 'tis said

that Moses was laid in an Ark of Rull-rushes, Exod.

2.3. a 'Great Critick tells us, that the Papyrus is

<sup>3</sup> Exod. 38. 23. A In:palmarum foliis primum feripikams Plin. k. 13. c. 11. Virgil. Æn. 3. Juvenal. Sat. 2.

Cic. 2. ad Quint, fratr. Plin. l. 13. c. 11. Alexander ab Alexand. Gen. dier. l. 2. c. 30. 1 Lib. 4. dec. 1, Lib. 18.c. 11. ! In Antonino. ' Grotius in loc.

Conseritur bibula Memphitis cymba papyro.

And before him St. Jerom (the most Critical of all the Fathers) thought the Egyptian Rushes, of which the first Paper was made, are to be under stood in this Place, and therefore Gome (which is the Word here used) is rendred by him Papyre. And he it is likely had this from ' Josephus, who as quaints us that the Ark in which Moses was secured, was made of this great Flag growing on the Bank of Nile, of which they made Leaves to write on and whence our Paper at this Day hath its Name It was divided into thin Flakes, which were press! and dried in the Sun, and so were made service able to write upon in some tolerable manner. Of this Pliny and several other Writers speak; and thence Nile is call'd Papyriferus by 'Ovid.

Parchment, which was made of Sheep Skins, or the thinner Skins of other Animals dress'd, was another thing they writ upon. The best of this fort was made at Pergamus, and thence had in Name Pergamena, but it was invented before Attalus King of Pergamus his time, (though the contrary hath been believ'd by some Men) and wasin use at the same time that the Egyptian Papyrus was; only this was used for common Purposes, and the other for more choice Writing, and fuch as they designed should last a long time. Therefore it is most probable that the Books of the Mosaick Law, and the rest of the Old Testament, were transcrib'd into this. Moses writ the Words of the Lan,

Lib. 4. Antiq. l. 2. c. 5. Papyrus est planta nascens in palustribus Ægypti, aut quiescentibus Nili aquis. Lib.23. CII Metamorph. 1. 15.

gnal sepher, upon a Book, Deut. 31. 24. i. e. on Parchment, saith Jonathan the Chaldee Paraphrast on the Place; for so he and other Learned Jews understood the Text. This is meant by Megillah a Roll, Ezra 6. 2. and Megillah sepher a Roll of a Book, Jer. 36. 2. and Gillaion a Roll, Isa. 8. 1. and a Scroll rolled together, Isa. 34. 4. for it was Parchment (which is of some Consistency) not thin and weak Paper, that was capable of being thus rolled up. To this Herodotus refers when he faith that writing on Skins was used by the Barbarians, meaning the Eastern People, especially the Jews. And Josethus avoucheth that the Books of the Old Testament were written in Sheets of Parchment exactly joined and fastned together, of which Testimony of his I have spoken in another Place. It is the general Opinion of Interpreters, that by μεμβράναι are meant Writing-Parchments, 2 Tim. 4. 13. but I have heretofore proposed another Sense of that Word, and therefore I make no use of this Place here. It is likely that βιβλίον είλιο σόμβρον, a Scroll rolled together, Rev.6.14. refers to this. And though I will not aver that by χάςτης, (which our Translators render Paper) 2 Joh.v. 12. is to be understood Parchment, yet it is not wholly improbable, for this was the usual Word to fignify any thing that they writ upon, whether Egyptian Reeds, or Leaves of Lead, or Gold, or Stone, or Wood, or any of the other writing Materials before specified. The Matter, whatever it was, was called χάρτης and Charta, from pagatless, (and this from the Hebrew Charath sculpsit, exaravit) for this was a general Term, and signified any thing that had Characters engraven or written upon it.

M 4

But

f In Terpsichore. 2 Antiq. l. 12. c. 2.

But the Scripture hath not only taken noticed the Materials on which they wrote of old, but of the Instruments with which they form'd their Let ters on them. I mean here fuch as were of common Use, and therefore we must not expect that it should say any thing of the Rubrica, (mention'd by 8 Persius and others) which serv'd sometime instead of Pen and Ink. With this they writ or rather mark'd their Titles of Books; whence that of ? Juvenal,

-----Perlege rubras Majorum leges .....

At other times they made use of Chalk, and of Coal, both which are mention'd by ' Persius, Illa priùs cretà, mox hac carbone notasti.

But these were used only on special Occasions, and were not the ordinary manner of Writing, therefore 'tis no wonder that the Bible is wholly filent a to this. But it mentions the Writing Instrument that were of common Use; as first those which were peculiar to the Harder Materials, those wherewith they made Incision into Stone, Wood, Gc. Accordingly it tells us, that they used an Iron Pen or Style, and therewith cut what Characters they thought fit in them. Of this we have mention in Job 19. 24. where that holy Man wishcth that his Complaints were written down and recorded, that future Ages might take notice of them; which Moses, or some other Inspired Person who digested and compiled this Book, thus expresenti, O that my Words were engraven with an Iron Pen and Lead, with a by (yearpeior according to the Seventy) made of Iron, and with Lead,

of the Holy Scriptures. blumbi lamina, (as the Vulgar Latin) a thin Sheet or Plate of Lead, on which they engraved Letters with this Iron Pen. And in the next Clause of this Verse he wisheth yet further, that his Words might be written in the Rock, εν πέτραις εγγλυφήναι, (as the LXX render it) ut sculpantur in silice, the Vulgar Latin following the Septuagint, as it generally doth every where; which refers to the antient manner of writing in those Days, which was by Engraving of Letters not only on Leaden Tables. but on Stone and Flint, with Iron Pens or Bodkins. These were the first Instruments used in writing in the World. And when Jeremiah faith, 'The Sin of Judah is written with a Pen of Iron, and graven upon the Table of their Hearts, it is an Allusion to this Practice: though here another Word is used, viz. Cheret (from Charath, sculpsit, whence χαράττω) which is a graving Tool, and fo is rendred, Exod. 32. 4. With this they made the Letters on Wood and Stone, and such like hard Substance. and in Wax-Tables.

Next, the Scripture takes notice of the antient Instrument which was proper to the other way of writing, viz. upon the fofter Materials, as the Papyrus and Parchment. This is called Shebet (which Word in other Places is rendred a Scepter): We read that the Tribe of Zebulon afforded some that handled the Pon of the Writer, Judg. 5. 14. fuch as were dexterous at this Instrument, such as knew how to wield this Shebet, this Writing-Scepter, with Art and Skill. In other Places it hath the same Names that were given to the Engraving Pen: thus it is stiled Cheret, (γεαφίς according to the Septuagint) Isa. 8. 1. the Pen of a Man, i. e. such a Pen

plumbi

<sup>\*</sup> Sar. 5. 2 Sat. 14. 3 Sat. 5.

<sup>3</sup> Jer. 17. 1.

he

Pen as Men usually writ with in those Days when they wrote upon any soft and yielding Matter, and that was a Reed: which is confirm'd to us by Jer. 8.8. where Gnet, the Pen of the Scribes, is χοίνως in the Greek Interpreters. And in Pfal. 45. 1. where it is again call'd Gnet, the Pen of a ready Writer, the same Interpreters render it κάλαμω γεαμματέως, and the Vulgar Latin Calamus, which is the Word used by Martial and others for the Egyptian Reed, which was the Writing Pen in their time;

Dat chartis habiles calamos Memphitica tellus.

And Aquila, a Learned Jew, who knew the genuine Meaning of the Hebrew Word in this Place, renders it goivo, i.e. juncus, arundo aquatica, wherewith they antiently writ. It appears then that Egypt afforded both Paper and Pens; the former was of that Rushy Plant before described, the latter were of a Reed growing in the same Place, viz. about the River Nile and the fenny Parts of Egypt, which being dried and hardned, and conveniently fhaped, was the usual Instrument of writing before the Invention of Quills. It was so made, that it would contain and convey in it a black fort of Liquor, (which answers to our Ink which we use at this Day) into which they used to dip it. To this antient writing with Ink or fuch like dark Substance fome have thought Ezek. 9. 2. hath reference, where we read of the Writers Inkhorn; but though the Hebrew Word be rendred Atramentarium by the Vulgar Latin, yet in its Original Signification it hath no reference to that particular thing, but may be translated a Pen-case, or a Writing-Table,

as well as an Inkhorn. From the bare Sound of the English Word we cannot infer the thing it self. We may as well affirm the Art of Printing was found out and practifed in Job's Days, because he wisheth that his Words were printed in a Book, lob 19.23. But there is a Place to our purpose, and that is Jer. 36. 18. I wrote them (i.e. the Words which Jeremy spoke) with Ink in a Book. The Antient way of writing appears from what Baruch here faith, that he wrote Jeremiab's Prophecy atramento, which was the black and inky Matter (whatever it was) that was laid on by his Pen in writing. This is the μέλαν mention'd 2 Cor. 3. 3. 2 Ep. John v. 12. and again 3 Epist. v. 13. where it is joined with κάλαμω, which shews what was at that time the way of writing, viz. with Reed-Pens dipp'd in Ink, which (as we are told by Pliny and Persion) was variously prepared. The Greeks and Romans made it of Soot, faith the 'former of these Writers: and from him and Persius we learn that the Africans used the dark Excrementitious Humour which the Sepia afforded them: and other black Juices ferv'd for Ink in other Countries. Thus the most Antient as well as the most Authentick Memoirs concerning Letters and the Manner of Writing are in the Books of the Holy Penmen. Thus the Foundation of all Grammar, and the Root of all Learning is laid here.

of the Holy Scriptures.

Next unto Grammar I might mention History, the first Father of which was Moses, whose Writings begin the Bible. All that I will say of him under this present Character is this, that we are solely indebted to him for our Knowledg of the Transactions of the First Ages of the World. As

<sup>&#</sup>x27; Mart. Epigr. 38. lib. 14.

he wrote before all other Historians, so he gives us an Account of those things which none besides doth; wherefore his Books are the Key of all History. To him are added Others, who are not only of admired Antiquity, but ought to be prized as much for the Admirable and Various Matter they communicate. Here are Excellent Historical Passages of all forts, Religious and Civil, Sacred and Profane, Foreign and Domestick, relating to Politicks and Oeconomicks, to Publick and Private Affairs. Yea, the 'Title of Procopius's History belongs only and properly to these Sacred Chro. nicles, for here the Secrets and Depths of all Antient Occurrences are contain'd, and here are those Choice Materials which no other Histories furnish us with. But I should be endless if I should enlarge here by particularizing; therefore I will not launch out, but only commend to the Reader the Learned Endeavours of Strigelius in his Commentaries on the Books of Samuel, Kings, Chronicles, where he will be amply convinc'd of the unparallell'd Diverfity, Multiplicity, and Peculiar Excellency of the Historical Examples in Scripture.

The Antientest Poetry is in the Old Testament: for as Moses was the sirst Historian, so he is the sirst Poet that is extant. A Proof of this we have in that Eucharistick Song which he composed upon his passing the Red Sea, and is recorded in Exod. 15. An Admirable Hymn it is, and in Hexameter Verse, if Josephus may be Judg in this Matter, and if a Christian Father may be credited, who had more Hebrew than most of the Writers of the Church in his time, yea more than all of them except Origen. But whether this betrue or no, this

is without Controverly, that there is no Piece of Poetry in the World that hath the Priority of this of Moses: for Orpheus, who is reckon'd by the Pagans as the First Poet, was, according to the most favourable Computation of some of their Historians, three hundred Years after Moses, and Homer was towards fix hundred. Besides this Divine Hymn, there are other Antient ones of the like nature recorded in the same Authentick Writings, viz. Deborah's Song, Judg. 5. which hath many Noble Flights of Poetry; and that of Hannabithe Mother of Samuel, 1 Sam. 2. 1, &c. which hath Excellent Poetick Raptures. And here by the way I will offer this Conjecture, that perhaps from Miniam's bearing her part in Moses's Song, (Exod. 15.20, 21.) and from these other Womens Poetick Infinitation, which came to be celebrated among the neighbouring Nations, the Poets (who, as I have largely shew'd elsewhere, have frequent References to the Old Testament) took occasion to report that Poetry was of Female Extraction, and that Calliope, one of that Sex, was the Author of their Faculty. Other famous Instances there are here of this Sacred Art, as David's Incomparable Elegy on the Death of Saul and Jonathan, 2 Sam. 1. 16, &c. that Gratulatory Hymn in the 12th Chapter: of Isaiah; Hezekiah's Song of Praise in the 38th of the same Prophet; Habakkuk's Losty Description of the Divine Majesty and Greatness in Poetick Numbers, chap. 3. the Stile of which is far more sublime and majestick than any of Orpheus or Pindar's Odes. I appeal to any Man of Skill, and that hath a right Poetick Genius, whether this be not true. And as there are these single Hymns and Songs, fo there are Just Poems, for of the Books of the Old Testament there are fix that are composed

posed and writ in Verse, viz. the Books of Job, the Psalms, Proverbs, Ecclesiastes, Canticles, Lamentations. As to the Nature of the Hebrew Poely, and the Kinds of Verses which are in the Bible, the Learned ' Mersennus and others have given us some Account of them, but it is very short and mean, and much of it is mere Surmise, and therefore I will not trouble the Reader with it. A late Writer hath attempted to prove that the Hebrew Verse or Poetry of the Old Testament is in Rhythm; which I believe is true in many Places: and if the Pronuntiation and Sound were the very same now that they were when these Poetick Books were composed, we should observe the Cadence in them more frequently. But he goes too far in afferting that all the Hebrew Poely in Scripture is Rhythmed, for they were not so exact at first: though the Verses end with the same Sound sometimes, yet generally they took a Liberty. Upon Examination we may find this to be true, and I may have occasion to say something further of it when I come to speak particularly of the Psalms. But the other Assertion, viz. that the Psalms and other Pieces of Hebrew Poetry are always Rhythmical, necessarily infers a great many Faults and Mistakes in the Scripture, it supposes several Places to be corrupted and mangled, (for we do not find all the Poetry of the Bible to be fuch at this day) and consequently subverts the Truth and Authority of the Bible, which is by no means to be allowed of. All that I will add under this Head is, that even among the Gentiles, the first and antientest Writers, were Poets. \* Strabo undertakes to shew that Poetry was before Prose, and that this is but an Imi-

2 Quæst, in cap. 4. Gen. v. 21. 2 Geogr. l. 1:

of the Holy Scriptures. Imitation of that. It can't be denied that the First Philosophers writ in Verse, as Orpheus, Parmenides, Empedocles, Theognis, Phocylides, &c. and thence (as 'One of the Learnedest Men of our Age observes) the Moral Precepts of the Philosophers were call'd of old "AGMATTE and Carmina. The Grecian Oracles were delivered in Verse. Concerning the Agathyrsi we are told by Aristotle, that their Laws were all in Metre. Concerning the Old Germans, Tacitus relates that their very Records and Annals were in Verse. And all this, it is probable, was in Emulation of the First Sacred Writers, the Penmen of the Old Testament, in whose Writings there are several things dictated in Measure, and some entire Books are altogether Metrical; for it was the Design of the Holy Ghost to delight as well as profit.

With Poetry let us join Musick, it being of so near Affinity with it: and the First Inventer of this also is to be known only from the Scripture; which informs us, that Jubal, the Son of Lamech the fixth from Adam, was the Father of such as handleithe Harp and Organ, Gen. 4.21. From whose Name some have thought the Jubilee was called, because it was proclaim'd with Musick. The Poets tell us, that Apollo and Mercury were the first Authors of it, by whom it is not improbable they meant Moses, who first gives an Account of the Original of this Art, and might well be repre-- sented by Apollo because of his Singular Wisdom, and by Mercury because he was the First Interpreter of the Divine Will in his Writings, and on other haccounts merited that Name, as I have evidenc'd in another Place. Perhaps the Story of Pythagoras's

<sup>2</sup> Bishop Stillingfleet Origin, Sacr.

of the Holy Scriptures. ras's finding out Musical Notes from the Strokes of the Hammers upon the Smith's Anvil, was suggest on Musical Instruments. Hence he mentions his Harp and other Instruments often in his Book of sted from this, that the first Musical Instruments Pfalms. And it appears from what we read in 1 Sam. were made of Iron and Brass, the Metals of the 16:19. that he was initiated into this Art betimes, and was very Eminent in it when he was a Young Man, otherwise he would not have been sent for to Court. But he not only made and plai'd his Psalms, but he sung them, and was so famous for it, that he is by way of Eminency, stiled 'the

Sweet Pfalmist, or Singer of Israel. Nor was Musick

so that both Sexes were wont to join in consort at

the joyful bringing forth and procession of the Ark,

which are here meant, and called the goings of God

in the Sanctuary, v. 24. To this belongs 2 Sam.

6. 5. David and all the House of Israel play'd before

the Lord on all manner of Instruments, viz. at the

removal of the Ark. And those Musical Instru-

ments are particularly and distinctly mention'd in

the next Words, Harps, Psalteries, Timbrels,

Cornets, Cymbals. Afterwards, in Solomon's time

when

Smith and Brasier. Or, if I should guess in downright Mistake of Tubal for Jubal, (Sons of the fame Father) a Smith for a Musician, or that was fuggested from the Musick of their Names (Tubal and Jubal having some affinity in the Sound it would be hard to disprove it. But that which is certain is this, that as the First Inventers of other things are recorded in Scripture, so partil cularly is he that found out Musick; and by the Harp and the Organ all other Musical Infirmment are meant, whether Pullative or Pneumatick. And it is not improbable that the same Person was the Author of Vocal Musick, it being so natural and

usual to join this with the other. These inspired Writings are the first that tell us on what Occasions these several forts of Musical were used of old: as namely, first in a Religion? Harmony both Vocal and Instrumental was primitively confecrated to God, as we learn

from Exod. 15. where 'tis faid that they not only fung unto the Lord, v. 1. and that Alternately. (for Miriam answered them, viz. the Persons that fung before: the repeated their Song, w. 21. which thews the Antiquity of that Alternate way of Sing ing) but they made use of Timbrels, v. 20. And afterwards in David's Reign it more folemaly became a Religious Exercise, he so often making use of it in his own personal and private Devotr ons. For he was not only an Excellent Poet, and compos'd Pfalms and Hymns, (which by the by shews that Poetry is an Accomplishment worthy of a

his own Entertainment only, but it was by him constituted a part of the Publick Worship. He being Poetical and Musical, endited Hymns, and his skilful Musitians 2 set them to grave and serious Tunes, and then they were devoted to the Church, and do still remain Patterns of Devotion, and so shall to all Ages. To the Religious Use of Musick both of Voice and Instrument, those words in Pfal. 68.25. refer; The Singers (Sharim, the Princes or Chief Masters of Singing) went before, the Nogenim, the players on Instruments follow'd after: amongst them (or in the middle of them, according to the Hebrew, viz. between the Singing-men and Players) were the Damsels playing with Timbrels.

Prince, yea of a Saint) but he plaid with great Skill 2 Sam, 23, 1. 1 Chron. 25. 2 Chron. 5. 12, 13. when the Temple was erected, and Singing-men and diverse Orders and Degrees of Musicians were appointed, fome being Masters, others School lars and Candidates, (as we may inform our felves from 1 Chron. 15. 22. & 25. 7. Neb. 12. 46.) Musick was a considerable Part of Divine Service. And there was not only Singing of Pfalms, but playing upon Instruments, of which some were Neginoth, such as yielded a Sound by touch or stroak, others were ' Nechiloth Wind-Instruments! This was the pompous Service of the Jewish Church this was the Temple-Musick, which began not (as Dr. Lightfoot thinks) till the pouring out of the Drink-Offering, when the Cup of Salvation (as the Pfalmist calls it) went about. And here also it might be observed, that the Religious and Prophetick Raptures of holy Men were attended with, and promoted by Musick: thus a company of Prophets came down from the high Place (where they had been worshipping) with a Psaltery, and a Tabret, and a Pipe, and Harp before them, 1 Sam. 10. 5. praising God with Songs which the Holy Spirit dictated to them. Thus the famous Prophet Elisha call'd for a Minstrel, and when the Minstrel play'd, the Hand of the Lord came upon him, 2 Kings 3. 15. i. e. he was stirr'd up thereby to undertake and accomplish great things for the Glory of God, of which you read in the ensuing Verses. It is no wonder therefore that Musick was thought to be Divine, that it was (as Plato saith of it) the Invention ? 6684 θείε πιδς. And though he spoke this of the Egypt tian Mulick, yet all the Learned know that the Page gan Writers commonly call that Egyptian which is Hebrew, for they were wont to take the Jews

for Natives of Egypt: and then it is not to be doubted that the Sacred Use and Improvement of Musick among the Jews was referr'd to by this Philosopher. Thus Musick was first dedicated to Religion and Divine Worship.

But we read that upon other Careful to

But we read that upon other Occasions also it was made use of, viz. at all solemn times of Rejoicing. Hence Laban complimented Jacob after this Manner, that if he had known of his Intentions of going away from him, he would have fent him away with Mirth and with Songs, with Tabret and with Harp, Gen. 31. 27. It seems this was the Antient Entertainment at their Farewels. And the same was used at all great Festivals, the Harp and the Viol, the Tabret and Pipe (as well as Wine) are in their Feasts, Isa. 3. 12. They chaunt to the sound of the Viol, Am. 6. 5. And therefore to express the Cessation of these Feasts, it is said, the Mirth of Tabrets ceaseth, the Joy of the Harp ceaseth, Isa. 24.8. Yea, at the most Innocent Festivals this was not thought unlawful, as may be gather'd from Luk. 15.25. where at the folemn Eating and Drinking which were occasion'd by the Prodigal Son's return, there was συμφωνία, a Confort of many Voices and Instruments, as the Word properly imports. This (as multitudes of Authors acquaint us) was the general Usage among the old Greeks' and Romans. And what if I should say that this is meant by ' Homer's άναθήμα τα δαιτός? ( whence perhaps the Word Anthem's ) for Musick was one of the constant Attendants at their Feasts. This likewise was the manner of expressing their Mirth at Tidings of Victory, and the Triumphal Return of Generals and Captains: thus Jephthah's Daugh-

Odyff, r

<sup>!</sup> Pfal-4. Is . . . . . Pfali-4. Is . . . . De l'egi Librair

## 180 The Excellency and Perfection

ter came out to meet him with Timbrels, Judg. 11.34. When David and Saul returned from the Slaughter of the Philistines, the Women came out of all Cities of Israel finging and dancing, to meet them, with Tabrets, with Joy, and with Instruments of Musick 1 Sam. 18. 6. And 'tis added in the next Verse. The Women answered one another as they plaid: which is another Instance of Alternate Singing. This was the Custom at the Coronation of Kings, 2 Chron, 23.13. All the People of the Land rejoiced, and founded with Trumpets, also the Singers with Instruments of Musick. And at all other Scasons of Mirth this was the wonted Diversion and Entertainment. Yea, it was used on special Occasions to expel Melancholy, and to free Men of their Distempers both of Body and Mind: otherwise they would not have fought out a Man that was a cunning Player on a Harp, to allay the evil Spirit with which King Saul was troubled, 1 Sam. 16. 16. And we read how effectual this proved, ver. 23. It came to pass when the evil Spirit from God was upon Saul, that David took a Harp, and plaid with his Hand: so Saul was refreshed and was well, and the evil Spirit departed from him. So powerful and charming are the Chearful Airs of Musick. Conformably to which we find in Pagan Story that Difcases and Madness have been cured by these: sothat Apollo was deservedly made by the Antient Sages the God of Medicks as well as Musick. By which Fiction they acquaint us that this Art is Medicinal and Healing. This reminds me of what our Chronicles attest, that St. Bartholomew's Hoffital was founded by a Minstrel. How congruously do the Musical and Sanative Art meet together? Who hath not heard of the strange and wonderful Virtue of Harmony? Timotheus did what he would

would with Alexander the Great by playing on his Harp: he had fuch a Command over him by those powerful Strokes, that he could make him Fight or Drink, hasten to War or Banquets as he pleas'd. And not only Men, but Brutes have been capable of this Charm: several wild Beasts are catch'd, and Birds are enticed to the Net with Musick. Nay we are told by the Poetick Tribe, that Scnfeless and Inanimate Creatures have felt the Force of it: which indeed is Romantick if you take it literally, but the intended Design of this Flourish was to express to us the Wonderful and Astonishing Virtue of this Delightful Art. Hence it is that the Noblest Minds have not disdained to be acquainted with it, the most ferious Brains have been entertain'd and ravish'd with its agreeable Pleasures: so Plutarch reports of Plato; and concerning Socrates we are inform'd by another that even ' in his declining Years he was a Student and Practitioner in this Art. Lastly, Mufick was made use of old at Funerals, of which afterwards.

Again, The Rife of Philosophy (which is so useful to Mankind) and the best Grounds of it are learnt from this Divine Volume. Here we are told that Natural Philosophy was founded by Adam; for no less is comprehended in those words, Gen. 2.19, 20. The Lord God brought every Beast of the Field, and every Foml of the Air unto Adam, to see what he mould call them: and whatsoever Adam call'd every living Creature, that was the Name thereof. And Adam gave Names to all Cattel, and to the Fowl of the Air, and to every Beast of the Field. And 'tis reasonable to believe that he also gave Names to N 3

<sup>.</sup> Socrates jam senex institui lyra non erubescebar, Quintil.

Plants, Trees, Herbs, and all Celestial and Terrestrial Creatures. Now, it is not to be question'd that their Names were bestow'd upon them according to their particular Nature; for this Great Nomenclator was created perfect by God, and endued with the Knowledg of all natural and divine Things, and therefore in fixing certain Names on them, he thereby fignified their peculiar natural Qualities. And that he really did fo, is manifest from his giving a Name to his Fe male Companion; as foon as God brought her to him, he presently knew her by virtue of that excellent Instinct and Knowledg wherewith he was created, and said, This is now Rone of my Bones, and Flesh of my Flesh: she shall be called Woman, because she was taken out of Man, Gen. 2. 23. We see here that the Name Ishah or Woman was imposed according to the nature and condition of the Person: and can any Man of deliberate Thoughts imagine that the same was not done in the naming of the Inferior Creatures? No certainly; especially if we take notice of the like manner of expresfing this and the other Imposition of Names: for as tis faid here, God brought the Woman to the Man, v. 22. whereupon he gave her her Denomination, so in the foregoing place it is said, God brought the Creatures unto Adam, viz. in order to their receiving their Names from him. Nay, this very thing is particularly express'd in that forecited Text, which speaks of this Action of Adam, (tho Expositors are not pleas'd to take notice of it) God brought them to Adam, to see what he would call them. Where to fee refers not to God (as generally Interpreters think) but to Adam. The Creatures were brought on purpose that he might fee, i. e. that he might know by looking on them what their

their Nature was, and that accordingly he might know how to give Names to them. For it is not reasonable to think that this is spoken of God, as if he himself would see or know, &c. for this would argue imperfection in him, and would imply that he knew not at that time what they were to be called, or at least what Adam would call them. Therefore this Interpretation which I give of the Words is rather to be embraced than the other. We are acquainted here with the End and Purpose for which all Living Things were summon'd to appear before Adam, viz. that he might give them Names which denoted their Nature. Accordingly some of them that we meet with in Scripture give an Account of the Qualities they are endued with. And though it is true that some of them fignify only their Outward and Vilible Qualities, yet we are to remember that it was not easy to discover even These at the first View of the Creatures, and therefore Man's Sagacity was tried by it. And besides, the Primitive Significations of many Names (as all the Learned acknowledg) are lost, and by length of time are forgot; so that though some of these Words whereby Animals are express'd, seem not to set forth their Internal Nature and Disposition, yet we cannot thence peremptorily infer that they did not so at sirst, yea that they do not fo now, though we do not comprehend it by reason of our being unacquainted with the Original Derivations of Words. I conclude then, that the Creatures were brought to Adam to give him an early Opportunity of exerting his Knowledg and Wisdom in fitly distinguishing the several sorts of Creatures by their particular Names: and accordingly, whatever he eall'd them, that was their Name. Thus it is clear N 4 that

that this Nomenclatorship of Adam is a certain Arth gument of the Infight which he had into the Natures of these Animals: and all the Jewish Rabbins and Commentators on the Place acknowledg as much. And thence is that Observation of ' Plato. that there is something extraordinary and Divine in the Antient Names of things: they arose from a more than bumane Power, he faith. It is not to be doubted then that Adam was the First Philosophers and laid the Foundation of all Philosophick Notions. Next to him I will mention Moses, who (48 I have partly shew'd already, and shall more fully afterwards, when I present the Reader with a Particular Comment on the first Chapter of Genesis) was well skill'd in the true Principles of Nature, and perfectly understood the Right System of the World. It is faid of this Great Man that he was learned in all the Wisdom of the Egyptians, Acts 7.22. which comprehends not only Arithmetick, Geometry, Astronomy, all Parts of Mathematicks, Phyficks, of all which there are several remarkable Strictures in the Pentateuch, but Moral Philosophy, with which his Books are every-where fraught-Solomon also was a most profound Philosopher, as those Words in 1 Kings 4. 29, &c, amply testify, God gave Solomon Wisdom and Understanding excceding much. His Wisdom excell'd the Wisdom of all the Children of the East-Country, and all the Wifdom of Egypt. He spake of Trees, from the Cedartree that is in Lebanon, even to the Hyssop that springeth out of the Wall: he spake also of Beasts, and of Fowl, and of creeping things, and of Fishes. And, as 'Josephus adds, "after the same manner he · " difcours'd

"discours'd of All Terestrial Things: for he was "ignorant of no natural Things, he pass'd by none "of them unexamin'd, but philosophized con-"cerning every one of them, and fully discussed "the Properties and Nature of them. Thus he was certainly the Greatest Natural Historian that ever was: and his Book of Proverbs, and that which is entituled Ecclesiastes, abundantly inform us what skill he had in Ethicks, Oeconomicks, Politicks: fo that we may justly stile him an Universal Philosopher. Job's skill in the choicest Parts of Physicks is evident from his excellent Discourses and Disquisitions concerning Thunder, the Clouds, the Sea, Chap. 26. concerning Minerals and other Fossiles, and Fountains, Chap. 28. concerning Rain, Vapours, Snow, Hail, and other Meteors, Chap. 37. & 38. And several sorts of Animals, both wild and tame, with their chiefest Properties and Qualities, are discours'd of in Chapters 39, 40, 41. And here I must insert this, that the Knowledg.

and Study of the Bible are absolutely necessary in order to the Study of Natural Philosophy. It is a very good Thought of an Ingenious Man, "The "Doctrine of the Scriptures, saith he, is to be well imbibed before young Men be enter'd into "Natural Philosophy; because Matter being a "thing that all our Senses are constantly conversant with, it is so apt to posses the Mind, and exclude all other Beings but it self, that Prejudice grounded on such Principles often leaves no "room for the admittance of Spirits, or the allow-"ing any such things as immaterial Beings in the nature of things. Which shews the necessity of our

<sup>!</sup> In Crarylo, Anriq. 1. 8.

<sup>!</sup> Mr. Lock concerning Education.

our converling with the Inspired Writings, when we have abundant Proofs of the Existence and O peration of those Invisible Agents. No Book on To fully and demonstratively convince us of their Being and Power as the Holy Scriptures. And the grand Reason, in my Opinion, why so many reject the Notion of Spirits, and run into wild and extravagant Notions, which are the Consequent of it is, because they are unacquainted with, and (which is more) dislike this Book, which is the Basis of Natural Philosophy, in that we have here an irre fragable Demonstration of those Incorporeal Be ings. Whence it follows that no Man can be Good Naturalist, if he be a Stranger to the Holy Writings, much more if he slights and viling them. We shall perpetually fluctuate without as Adherence to these Infallible Records. The Can tesian, and indeed the whole Corpuscularian Philosom phy depraves Mens Minds, unless it be temper'd by these. Nay, I may say, the Study of Nature, ab, stract from them, will lead us into Scepticism and Atheism: for many Substantial Notions as wellas Phænomena are utterly unaccountable without Help from this Book. But this rectifies our Apprehensions, and gives us a true Account of the State of Things, and of the Government of the World, which is managed chiefly by Spiritual and Immaterial Substances. This falves the most surprizing Difficulties, by acquainting us with the Spring of the Generality of those Motions and Transactions which are observable in Natural Bodies. In short, this will feason and qualify our Speculations concerning Nature and all its Operations: for whea the Operations and Refults of Matter are defective, here we are taught to have Recourse to a Higher Principle. Thus the Bible lays a Foundation for

our

genes Laertius tells us this was their Saying, 4 Philo-Jophy had its Original from the Barbarians, i.e. the Hebrews; which is as much as to fay, that all the true Notions about God and Providence, and the Souls of Men, and other great Doctrines in Philofophy, are taken from the Jewish Writings, the Sacred and Inspired Scriptures. In the next Place, the Antiquity of Medicks. Chirurgery, Anatomy, Embalming, is likewise discover'd here: For Joseph commanded the Physicians to embalm his Father, and the Physicians embalmed Israel, Gen. 50. 2. The Word here repeated is Rophim, and it is the proper Hebrew Word for Men skill'd in Medicks, and there is no other. Wherefore Vatablus and some others are mistaken, who fancy this Place is not meant of Physicians properly to called, because this Term is translated evzaquasai by the Septuagint, and because they are bid to embalm Jacob. Whence they infer that they were not Physicians in the Sense that we use the Word in at this day, viz. for such as take care of sick and diseased 1 Stromat. 1. 1, & 2. 2 Demonst. Evang. 3 Steuch. Eugub. de Perenni Philos. Theoph. Gale. \* In Procemio.

our Study of Philosophy, and is it self the Best Bo-

dy of Philosophy, I mean on the foresaid Account,

because it assures us of the Existence of Spirits, by

whose Influence so many Works of Nature (and

those of the greatest Importance in the World)

are effected. This was known of old by the Name

of the Barbarick Philosophy; and 'tis frequently

call'd so by Clement of Alexandria; and both he

and Eusebius, and some Modern Writers, have shew'd that the Grecian Philosophy was derived from

this: Which indeed was the Confession of some

Considerable Men among the Pagans; whence Dio-

under-

diseased Persons, and endeavour by their Skill and Art to restore them to Health, but that they were only Embalmers, that is, that their sole Office and Employment was to take care of the dead Bodies and to preserve them from putrifying. But this Misapprehension had its Rise from this, that they judged of Physicians and their Employments according to what they see now, according to the Practice of these Days, which no Man of due Con

judged of Physicians and their Employments according to what they see now, according to the Practice of these Days, which no Man of due Consideration and unprejudiced Judgment ought to do. For of old the Physician was both Chirurgeon and Embalmer; yea, even in Hippocrates's time the Work of the Physician and Chirurgion was not different, but the very same. In Antienter times, much more these, Professions were united, and were the Employment of the same Person. It is no wonder therefore that Embalming was annex'd to it, and constantly went along with it, for the Chirurgion or Physician (call him which you will, or both) was the Man that had Skill to dissect Bodies in order to their Pollincture. He knew what

Parts to take out, and how, being acquainted with the Situation of the Veslels: for Anatomy was first of all practifed among the Egyptians, as we may gather from 'Pliny and others, who attest that the Egyptian Kings used it to find out the Cause and Cure of Diseases. By the Egyptian Kings using it,

raging their *Physicians* to do it. These then knew how to handle the Anatomick Knise: And moreover, these Persons were skilful in Drugs, Balsams, Ointments, Aromaticks, and the Materials

is meant undoubtedly their appointing and encou-

that were littest for that Business of Embalming: wherefore this was their proper Work. As living Bodies

of the Holy Scriptures.

Bodies were their Care, so were the dead ones; and what they could not cure, they dress'd up for the Tomb: those whom they could not keep alive, they artificially preserved when dead. Thus it was heretofore, and thus particularly it was with the Physicians of Egypt, of whom this Text speaks.

was heretofore, and thus particularly it was with the Physicians of Egypt, of whom this Text speaks, and who are the first of the Faculty that are mention'd in Sacred History. And with this agree the Records of the Antientest Historians among the Pagans. Diodorus of Sicily relates that the first Invention of Medicines was from the Egyptians, and particularly that some of them said that Mercurius, others that Apis a King of Egypt was the first Inventer of Physick. Herodotus observes that the Egyptians had more Experiments in Natural Philosophy, and chiefly in Medicks, than any other Nation whatsoever. Strabo testifics that they were

hugely addicted to this Art, and reckon'd it among their Sacred Mysteries: Which is confirmed by what Pliny saith, that they used to deposite and keep their choice Experiments of Physick in their Temples. To be brief, Anatomy, Chirurgery and Embalming, met together in these Antient Artists. This was the triple Office and Work of the Rophim, the Physicians, besides the more general Work of Curing the Diseased. From what Job saith concerning those that pretended to comfort him, we may collect that there were some of this Profession among the Old Arabians; for otherwise he would not have compared them to Persons of this Character, Te are all Physicians, saith he, of no Value, Job 13. 4. Ye deal with me just as unskilful Men

in that Faculty do with their Patients, just as forry

Quacks and Empiricks do with the Diseased: they

<sup>7</sup> Nat. Hift. 1, 19. c. 5.

<sup>. 2</sup> Lib. 29. C. I.

290 The Excellency and Perfection understand not their Malady, and so make fall Applications; their Medicines are good perhaps

have to do with. Thus you deal with me, and therefore are fo far from curing my Distemper, that you enrage it, and make it much worse. This Language is founded upon a Supposal of the Profession of Medicks in that Country. That there were fuch among the Jews, may be gathered from Exod. 12. 19. He that smites and wounds a Man shall cause him to be throughly healed. viz. by one who professedly took care of the Wounded, for so the Chaldee Paraphrast render that Place, He shall pay the Physician. But that there was such an Order of Men among the Jeni, we are in more express and politive Words assured from 2 Chron. 16. 12. King Asa in his Disease sough not to the Lord, but to the Physicians. And it may be fome of his Ancestors had been Medically disposed and were Students in this Art, whence they had their Name, for Asa is the Chaldee Word for Medicus; and perhaps for this Reason this King had the greater Esteem of those who were skill'd in Medicinal Arts, and therefore put Confidence in them so as to neglect to apply himself to God the Sovereign Author and Giver of Health. And from those Words in Jer. 8. 22. Is there no Balm if Gilead? is there no Physician there? it is manifest that there were Medicaments and Proper Persons to apply them, for else the Prophet could not by this Language set forth the incurable and deplorate

ble State of the Jews at that time. This way of

speaking implies that they had in that Country, in

Gilead

(as your Counsel and Advice to me are in them

felves) but they administer them in a wrong man-

ner, and without any regard to the Constitution

present Temper and Circumstances of those the

Gilead especially, such healing Balsams as they were wont to close up Wounds with, and that there were Physicians or Chirurgions, (for the Word signifies both, and in this Place is to be taken in the latter Meaning) Artists that knew how to apply the Balsam with Skill. This also is implied and supposed in Lam. 2.13. Who can heal thee? or according to the Chaldee, Who is the Physician [Asa] that can cure thee? And when we read of the Art of the Apothecary, and his Confections and Ointments, Exod. 30. 25, 35. we are to conceive of these as having some Reference to Medicks. The Holy Anointing Oil for the Use of the Tabernacle is appointed to be made according to Magnasheb rocheach, Tixun puge 18, (so the LXX, and the Vulgar Latin renders it opus unguentarii) the professed Art and Skill of the Maker of Odoriferous Ointments. Now this is the Man we are speaking of, viz. the Physician or Apothecary, (which is the same, for they made up all their Medicaments themselves heretofore) whose Business it was to make Artisicial Unguents, Sweet Oils and Perfumes, for Health no less than Delight. This is Rocheach, φαρμανοποιός, μυροπώλης, Unguentarius, Aromatarius, and by our English Translators not unfitly rendred Apothecary, not only here but in Ecclef. 10. 1. where from the mentioning of Shemen Rocheach, Unguentum Pharmacopolæ, (as the Tigurine Version hath it fightly) we are certified concerning the antient Use of Aromatick Compositions and Confections, which were made use of for the promoting of the bodily Welfare. They were thought by Persons of those early times to be both Sanative and Cosmetick. On both which Confiderations they belong to the Physicians, whose Task it is to take care for only of the Health, but the Beauty, Cleanli-

ness

of the Holy Scriptures.

Ago

Lib. 4. c. 9.

him to steer it aright, and that He from that Know ledg and Practice which he had in managing it long a time, whilst the whole World was Sea was able to instruct others in the Marine Art. It is true we read that Noah was ' Shut upin the Ark as in a Prison, but it is probable that the was not all the time the Ark was riding on the Wa ters. When these began to decrease, the happy, Prisoner look'd abroad, and was taught how to dis rect his Course, and to bring his Vessel to Land, even to the particular Place where it rested: and no Man is able to prove that he was not furnished with Sails, or Oars, or Rudder to that purpole, and that he did not make use of them as soon as the Window of the Ark was opened, Gen. 8. 6. and the

Covering of it removed, ver. 13. Hence arose the

first Knowledg of Navigation, which is of so great

Use in the Life of Man, and so necessary for Com-

merce and Traffick. Before this time there was no

Use of Boats or Ships; otherwise the Men of that

bear Testimony, as Herodotus, who expresly affirms Flags. And 'Strabo, ' Pliny, ' Theophrast, certify wthat these Rush-Boats or Paper-Vessels were used requently by them and their Neighbours of Ethio-And from that forecited Verse in Lucan, it sippears that the Egyptian Boats were composed of the Papyrus. Afterwards they and others advanced ittle higher, and made their Marine Vessels of Barks of Trees: which very Name is still retain'd among us and the French, who call a little Ship or Hoy a Bark or Barque. But to proceed; Next to Noab, Zebulon, i. e. some of that Tribe, may be accounted the first Founders of Shipping and Allers, who are mention'd to that purpose in the Atriarch Jacob's Benedictions, Gen. 49. 13. about mhundred Years after the Flood; the Maritime mation of this Tribe (which was seated near the sa of Galilee, and reached even to the Great Sea,

Mediterranean, which was noted for Ports and

Havens; belides, that it was near to Tyre and Si-

famed for Shipping) promoting this very

Lib. 2. 3 Lib. 17. 2 Lib. 5. c. 9. l. 6. c. 22. l. 13. c. 11.

193

thing.

Palestine near the Mediterranean, and so traffick'd by Ships, Judg. 5. 17. Afterwards the Naval Art increased, and arrived to a great Height in King of Tyre, who fent him Shipmen that had Knowledge

thing, So Dan was seated on the Western Part of

Solomon's Days, who made a Navy of Ships, I King 9. 26. and was therein much help'd by Hiram King the Sea, ver. 27. that were expert Navigators And indeed among the Pagan Historians and Poet the Tyrians are faid to be Eminent in Sea-Affairs yea the first that ventured to Sea. Albertus Mar nus thinks that the Use of the Load-stone in failing was known to these Tyrians of old; and a 'Learn ed Writer of our own is of the same Perswasion. But it may be deservedly question'd whether they

had in Solomon's time attain'd to this Knowledg. I have met with no Certain Proof of this Magnetick Invention in those Days: therefore I am forward to believe that when 'tis faid King Solomon's Navi made a Voyage to Ophir, 1 Kings o. 28, neither of the Indies are meant, but (as was suggested before) some Place in Africk that was at a considerable Distance from Ezion-geber, the Port (on the Shore of the Red Sea next to Palestine) whence that Navy

fet out, and therefore they made a Long Voyage of

it in those Days (though it was not a three Teas

Voyage, as is generally thought, but was every three Years, for so once in three Years, 1 Kings 20, 22. ought to be interpreted) when their Navab Skill was but mean, and they generally coasted along the Shoar. Hither they might make a shift to reach without the Help of the Compass. but it is unreasonable and extravagant to think that they fail'd to the East or West Indies if they were wholly destitute's

destitute of that Skill. But as for the Mediterranean, they tolerably knew it, and I question whether they knew any other Sea properly so stilled, for this is call'd the Sea emphatically, Pfal. 80. 11. and the Great Sea, Numb. 34. 6. Josh. 1.4. Nay, it is observable that it is call'd the Utmost Sea, Deut. 11. 24. & 34. 2. which we may understand of its being not only the farthest Boundary of the Land of Canaan on the West, but also of its being the farthest Sca that they had any notice of: Whereas if they had been acquainted with the Wide Ocean, the Main Sea through which they must necessarily pass to those remoter Parts of the

World, the Midland Sea would not have been by

way of Eminence called the Sea, yea the Great Sea,

much less the Otmost Sea. But though it was but asmall River in comparison of the Vast Ocean, it

was a Great Sea in respect of the Dead Sea, the Sea

of Galilee or Genefareth, and other such like Lakes

which they were acquainted with, and which they improperly call'd Seas. Again, Africk seems to be the Place rather than any other to which Solomon's Navy was fent for Gold, there being several Regions here (as is confess'd by all) that abound with that Choice Metal. These Reasons (besides those offer'd in a former part of this Discourse, where I treated of the First Plantations) prevail with me to believe that the Royal Fleet before mention'd fail'd no forther than the Coasts of Africk. And I crave leave here to propose this Conjecture, viz. that Africk is meant by Ophir, to which that Fleet went. I offer it to the Learned to be considered whether there he not an exceeding great Affinity betwen אופר Ophir and Apher, (for othis latter was antiently written, and 'tis known that f and ph are frequently convertible) or be-

<sup>!</sup> Nic. Fuller. Mifc. l. 4. c. 19.

confused Passage in Pliny, viz. that the antient Shipping was first brought out of Egypt, and that for-

merly slight Ships or Boats were made use of, which were

196 The Excellency and Perfection tween אופירא and Aphrica; for the former, you see, is written with an Aleph, and so was perhans antiently read Aphira: And that the Letter c is is ferted into the Latin Word is not to be wondred at, for nothing is more ordinary than the Infertion of a Letter or two, especially when Words are transferred out of one Language into another, asl could shew in abundant Instances. Besides, the Derivation of the Word is the more to be attended to, because the Common Etymologies that are

given of Africa are very forry and groundled Wherefore though I have formerly afferted that Ophir is not mention'd by Geographers, (which's very true, if we speak of the Place under that for mal Name) yet upon Search I verily believe it w be the same which hath been since call'd Africk from Auphir, which is the Arabick Pronuntiation Ophir. Before I quit this Particular, I desire it may be observed that it stands upon Record in 1 King 9. 26. that King Solomon's Ships (the first Navy that we read of) were built on the Shore of the led Sea in the Land of Edom, and thence launched and fent forth on their Voyage: whereon I guess it founded that of an Antient Writer, that 'the Erythræi (who are the same with the Inhabitant of the Land of Edom; for Edom or Esau, and Erg. t'raus, are the same; and the Red Sea, which is known by the Name of Erythraum, is denominated from him) were the first that invented Shipping. And I appeal to any judicious Man, whether this might not give occasion to that

invented in the Red Sea, among the Isles, by King Erythras. It is plain that Egypt and the Red Sea, and Erythras, have relation here to the Infallible Records, which tell us, that the Place of the first fetting forth of any Considerable Ships was on the Coast of Egypt, in the Red Sea or Arabian Gulf, and in that Part of it that belong'd to Edom or Erythras. Lastly, I offer it to be examin'd, whether the Report among the Heathens, that the first Ship that ever was, went to a Country in the Euxine Sea, to fetch thence the Golden Fleece, be not grounded on this part of the Sacred History, viz. that the first Shipping of any Note was this of Solomon, which went through the Mediterranean, of which the Euxine Sea is a Part or Arm, (and might be mistaken for the whole) to bring Gold from Ophir: And the Ship might justly be call'd Argos, because it sail'd so slowly. This is not unlikely, if we remember how the Poets are wont to corrupt and mangle True History, and to affix New Names to Persons and Things: Besides, there is no very great Difference as to the Chronology of both these Expeditions. It is probable that Astronomy also was the Invention of those first Ages, (and was useful in both those which I last mention'd, Physick and Naviga-

tion) the Patriarchs and other worthy Enquirers (of whom the Scriptures speak) living in those Eastern

Navem primus ex Egypto in Græciam Danaus invexit: ante ratibus navigabatur, inventis in mari rubro, inter insulas, à rege Erythra. Lib. 7. c. 56. <sup>a</sup> Star. Achill. 1. Sil. Iral. Punic. l. 11. Mapil. Astronom. l. 1.

<sup>1</sup> OI wed to them enternounts Salatons, Προτοι δι έμπτείης άλιδινέ έμνήσαντο. Dionys. Alexands.

Eastern Counteres where the Sky was Scrone, and where upon high Mountains they had a peculiar some, not long after Abraham's time) was Astro-Advantage of acquainting themselves with the nomically disposed, is very clear from his univer-Stars, and studying their Motions, Aspects and sal Skill inall Points of Natural Philosophy, which Influences. Accordingly Josephus relates that his Book is full of, and particularly from his mentioning of Arthurus, Orion and Pleiades, and the Chambers of the South, Chap. 9. v. 9. and from other Pallages in 26 and 28 Chapters relating to the Sun and Stars, to the Heavens and their wonderful Operations and Influences. i.e. foretelling Futurities by infight into the Heavenly Bodies, was pretended to of old, as appears from the frequent Caveats against it, Deut. 18. 10, 11. Jer. 10. 2. & 27. 9. Mich. 5. 12. The Chaldean Professors of this Art are particularly mention'd in Isa. 47. 13. where they are stilled Choberim Hashamajim, Viewers of the Heavens, Chozim Bacho-

iome.

Seth, an Antediluvian Patriarch, was skilled in this Celestial Art, and that his Pillars recorded the Doctrine of the Stars and Rules of Astronomy And Abraham was well skill'd in this Science, faith that ' same Writer, and was Publick Pro fellor of it. The Kings of the East and West came to learn this Art of him, faith Rabi Solomon. And the Talmudists quoted by Bux. torf would perswade us that he had extraordinary Sill in the Stars. Which is intimated perhaps in what God said to Abraham, Gen. 15. 5. Look now towards Heaven, and tell the Stars, &c. and in what he assured him of in other Places, viz. that be would multiply his Seed as the Stans of Heaven, Gen. 22. 17. & 26. 4. Which manner of Speech and

Repeating it were, it may be, occasion'd by this Holy Man's frequent Contemplating those Hear venly Bodies, and enquiring into their Nature and Operations. But because there is no clear ground for this, I dismiss it. Only this may be said, that Astronomy, like the Sun the chief Subject of it, had its Rife in the East: all Authors agree that it was first known and practis'd in Chaldea, whence

a Chaldean and an Astrologer were Terms convertible: and it is certain that the Patriarch Abraham was of that Country, and was eminently stiled by the Greeks of xandaio, and was known and distinguish'd by that Name. But that Job (a famous Arabian, and who lived, as 'tis thought by

<sup>1</sup> Ant q. Jud. 1, 1. c. 3. <sup>2</sup> Cap. 8. 9. <sup>2</sup> Liex, Chald. p. 136.

Aboliab and Bezaleel were fo famous, and on which several Critical Remarks might be made. But I will proceed to some other things. Among the First Arts and Inventions, we may

cabim, Star-gazers. To this belong the Teraphien,

Gen. 31. 19. and in other Places, i. e. Images and

Confignations made according to the certain Politi-

on of fuch and fuch Constellations, whereby they

divined concerning future Events. Thus we see

the beginning of False and Counterfeit Arts as well

as True Ones, may be learnt from this Holy Book.

traicture, strictly so called, i. e. the representing

and drawing of things with Exactness and Life in

diverse Colours, of which there are notable In-

stances in Jer. 22. 14. Ezek. 8. 10. & 23. 14. nor

will I speak of Embroidery, Exod. 26. 1. & 28. 4.

Ezek. 16. 10. & 27. 7. nor of all manner of Cun-

ning Work so often mention'd in Exodus, for which

I will not enlarge here upon Picture or Pour-

of the Fiely Scriptures.

199

Judiciary Astrology,

reckon Skill in Arms and Warlike Feats: the first Instances

But I grant there is no certainty of this, there is of Arms and Military Weapons, they being made nothing expresly deliver'd concerning any Warof those Metals. A late 'Writer was forgetfulof like Enterprizes before the Deluge. The first that this when he faid, There were not of old any Instruwe read of after it, is the Battel of four Kings ments that belonged to War. And how could there against five, four of Assyria and the adjoining be indeed, when he afferts that there were no Parts, against five of Sodom and the neighbouring Metals in the Earth before the Flood? Which is Parts of Palestine, Gen. 14. 1, 2. &c. And preprecariously said, and hath no Foundation at all is fently after this was the Military Expedition of support it; yea, it is quite contrary to the express Abraham and his armed trained Servants, v. 14. Testimony of Scripture, which assures us that whom he had instructed in Martial Affairs. This is there were Brass and Iron in those Days. It is not the first War or Battel that we read of in the Sathen wholly improbable that Weapons of War cred History, and is thought to have been about were framed of these, and that the People of those A. M. 2030. It is certainly the first that is to times went forth to Battel, though in the whole be read of in the World; for the Theban War, the History from Adam to Noah there is no mention most antient that either Historians or Poets among of their Wars. Neither is there of some other the Gentiles write of, was about fix hundred Years things, which yet we cannot but suppose to have after this: and the Trojan War, that famous Exbeen, notwithstanding Moses is silent concerning pedition which Prophane Writers talk fo much of, them. If we consider what are the great Incenand is one of the antientest Subjects of Humane Hitives to War, viz. Lust and Passion, we have no story, was not till A. M. 2760. or thereabouts. reason to disbelieve that there were Wars from the Afterwards we read in the Sacred Writings of the beginning, tho they are not mention'd. It is Wars of the Jews before and after their coming inlikely they were but rare then, partly because to Canaan; which were as remarkable as those they had not found out fuch expedite ways of the Old Romans, and much more just and lawof managing their Feuds as have been fince, and ful: they were indeed generally Holy Wars, and partly because the Numbers of Men were not Battels of the Lord of Hosts. In Leviticus and io great as afterwards: the Earth could bet Numbers we read of their Laws of Arms, and ter hold them at that time than now, and con-Councils of War, and in other places of their fequently they had not occasion to quarrel about Military Stratagems: and all along we are told their Territories, and to strive how they should what were the Martial Preparations not only of enlarge their Dominions. However, Hatred, Mathat Nation, but those they fought with. lice and defire of Revenge might push them on to From this Antient Register we are particularly fall out one with another, and to proceed to inform'd what were the Warlike Weapons of old, Acts both Dr. Burnet Theor. Tellur. . Jos. 8. 4. Judg. 7. 16. & 20.38. 2 Sam. 5. 24.

Instances whereof are registred in facred Story. 36.

sephus thinks that Tubal, who was an Instructer of even

Artificer in Brass and Iron, was the first Inventer

of the Living Scriptures.

Acts of Hostility, and to bring Forces on either

side into the Field to decide the Quarrel in Battel.

TING TO LEGISTIC A MINOR TO 14 CENTINE both the vulunthese and a purriese, as the Greek distinguish'd them, 'those that were to desemble the Persons that wore them, and those that were to incommode and hurt the Enemy. Of the former fort were first a Helmet [Cobang] to cover and defend the Head. This was part of the Military Provision which that warlike King Veziah prepar'd for his vast Army, 2 Chron. 26. 14. And we read before this, that part of Saul's Ar mour was an Helmet of Brass, i Sam. 17. 38. 1 was used by the Philistines, as appears from 1 Sam, 17. 5. Goliah had a Helmet of Brass upon his Head And this Martial Cap for the Head was word by the Persians and Ethiopians when they fought, Ezek. 38. 5. Another Defensive Piece of Armon used in those early times, was a Breast-plate of Corflet, Heb. Shirjon, by the LXX rendered bague, and by the Vulgar Latin Lorica. Goliah was atcoutred with this warlike Defence, 1 Sam. 17.5 which we translate here a Coat of Mail. This is mention'd among the Jewish Armoury, 2 Chron.26. 14. and is english'd an Habergeon. This was part of King Saul's warlike Furniture, 1 Sam. 17.38, and is translated, as before in that Chapter, 4 Coat of Mail. Between the Joints of this Harness (for so we english it, 1 Kings 22. 34.) King Abab was cafually struck with a Dart. To this Species of Armour the Prophet alludes, Isa. 59. 17. where the same Hebrew Word is used that is in the foremention'd Texts, but is here rendered a Breaft-Plate. And in Jer. 46. 4. a Brigandine is our English Word for it. So that according to what may be gather'd from this various rendring of it, it

made so long as to come over all their other Clothes: which is the reason why in some Places (as you see) it is otherwise translated. Again, a Shield, to defend the whole Body in time of Battel, and to keep off the Enemies Infults, which was either Tsinnab the great Shield or Buckler, or Magen the lesser kind of this Weapon, was of great Service of Old. It was used by the Babylonians, Chaldeans and Assyrians, Ezek. 23. 24. and by the Egyptians, Jer. 46. 3. in both which Places the two Hebrew Words aforesaid are made use of. It was frequent among the Jews in their Wars, as is manifest from 2 Sam. 1. 21. and many other Places which are well known. Hence David, a Great Warriour, so often mentions Shield and Buckler in his Divine Poems, to fet forth that Defence and Protection of Heaven which he expected, which he experienced, and which he wholly trusted in. And when he faith, God will with Favour compass the Righteous as with a Shield, Psal. 5. 12. he seems to allude to the Use of the Great Shield, Tfinnah, (which is the Word he uses) wherewith they were wont to cover and defend their whole Bodies. King Solomon caus'd those two different Sorts of Shields (the Tsinnah which answers to Cly-

has among the Latins, fuch a Large Shield as the

Infantry wore, and the Maginnim, scuta, used by

the Horse-men, which were of far less Size) to be

icems to me to answer to the Cuiraffe or Corflet-Ar-

mour both for Back and Breast. It is likely that it

was chiefly designed to defend this Latter, and

thence had its Denomination. But some had it

made, 2 Chron. 9. 15, 16. The former of these are here translated Targets, and are double in weight to the other. The Philistines came into the Field with this Desensive Weapon: so we find their Formidable.

Alia ad tegendum, alia ad necendum. Cic. pro Caci

pons, wherewith he was to furnish his Master upon Occasion. It seems this was an Office among the Jews as well as Philistines; for we read that David when he was first call'd to Court, was made King Saul's Armour-bearer, 1 Sam. 16.21. And there is mention made of the young Man that bore Jon. than's Armour, 1 Sam. 14. 1. By the Grecian

this Officer was call'd गंत्रयक मांदेश, गंत्र ασπιδιφόεω; and by the Latins (as ' Plautus and " Virgil testify) Armiger. The very same with נשא כלים ferens arma, in the Places above citel But the bearing of the Shield was the most consider rable Piece of Service, and was reputed most Honourable. The Longobards call'd this Militan

Attendant (as our 'Great Antiquary acquaint us) Schilpor, i. e. a Shield-bearer: and Scutifer, Eferier, Esquire, became a fix'd Title of Honour; and Escuage a particular Tenure or Service. The Original of it we see in the Example before us, the Great Philistian Warriour was waited upon into the Field by his Military Squire, one bearing a Shield. And besides this Tsinnah, this Great Massy Shield,

he was furnish'd with a lesser One, which is not express'd by one of the foremention'd Words, but

is call'd Cidon, which we render a Target, v. 6. and a Shield, v. 45. and was of a different Nature

from the common Shields, and (as I conceive) was not only to hold in his Hand when he had occasion to use it, but could also conveniently at other times

wards of Iron, Et prior æris erat quam ferri cognitus usus.

rodotus, were of this Composure.

Writers, and some others. And this Target (as well as his Helmet, and some other Pieces of his Ar-

mour, of which anon) was of Brass, which was

the usual Metal of which their Arms were made in

those Days, and in the Times following, as Homer

and Firgil testify, who mention Helmets, Shields,

Swords, Spears of Brass. This is evident from

Hesiod, and Alcaus an old Poet quoted by Athenaus. The like we learn from Statius in several

speaking of the first Weapons that were used in

War, tells us that they were of Brass, and after-

The Arms of the Massageta, saith 'He-

Lastly, the Greek and Roman Warriours, and all Nations used this serviceable Weapon, to sence off the Blows of their Adversaries, and particularly to repulse their Arrows. The Grecians especially affected a very large fort of Shields, which we may gather from the Description of them in the Poets.

and from what the Lacedemonian Women, when they sent their Sons into the Wars, used to say to them at parting, η σύν τέτω, η έπι τέτω, either return back with this Piece of Armour, or be carried to the Grave upon it. It feems by this that they were so broad and capacious, that they might ferve

! Lib. 1.

Mr. Selden's Titles of He-2 Æneid. 9. 1 In Cafina. ROHY.

fafe, we may infer that nothing was so dear to the as this one Piece of Armour. And I can prove that it was thus among the Eastern, and particul larly the Jewish Warriours: the loss of this West pon was excessively resented, as well as condoled by them. If you ask me on what I ground this, answer, on 2 Sam. 1.21. where it is a signal Ingles, dient of the Publick Mourning, that the Sbield the Mighty was vilely cast away. I apprehend the to be the meaning of the Words; David a Man of Arms, who composed his Funeral-Song, was fensible how difgraceful a Thing it was for Souldiers to quit their Shields in the Field: yet this was the fact and deplorable Case of the Jewish Souldiery in that unhappy Engagement with the Philistines, the fled away ( 1 Sam. 31.7.) and left their Shield behind them: this vile and dishonorable casting away of that principal Armour is the deserved Subject of this Lofty Poet's Lamentation. I propound this Interpretation (or Conjecture, if you will

nitely opprobrious among these Greek Warriour

to lose this Weapon in Fight, insomuch that Plan tarch relates that the Lacedemonians banished and

chicolus his Country, because he had said and write

ten that it was better for a Man to throw away his

Shield than himself, i.e. to perish in Battel. And from

what the same Author saith of Epimanondas, that

he asked when he was dying whether his Shield will

call it so) as preserable to any that I have med from being rusty and soil'd: thence you read of And further, it may be useful to observe that their Shields were wone to be oiled, scoured and aspecially in readiness. polish'd, as indeed it was the Custom to use the like Care towards their other Armour, as may be gather'd from furbishing the Spears, Jer. 46. 4. making

sited, where 'tis faid, the Shield of the Mighty is vilely east away, the Shield of Saul, as if it had not been anoinsed with Oil: for so I render that latter Clause, referring it to the Shield, and not to Saul; and the Hebrew Text bears this Version best. The meaning then is, the Shields were cast away and trod under Foot as if they had not been made bright with Oil, as if there had not been that care taken about them. And that other Passage, Isa. 21.5. Anoint the Shield, is a plain Reference to this antient Cultom of polishing their Shields with Oil: and therefore the Import of these Words is this, Furbish and make ready that Weapon, and prepare for Battel. I could also observe that as they anointed their Shields to give them a Brightness and Lu-

especially their Shields (which were Weapons that

they so highly valued and took a kind of Pride in and

on which they generally engraved their Names and Warlike Deeds, if they had atchieved any; where-

a those that had none of these, were call'd Blank

shields, and were thought to be disgraceful, ac-

cording to that of Virgil,—Parmâque inglo-

rius alba) these Weapons, I say, were careful-

ly polished with Oil, and made exceeding Bright.

Whence two Places of Scripture may receive some

Light: the former occurs in the Chapter before

uncovering the Shield, Isa. 22. 6. which signissies preparing for War, and having that Weapon Another Defensive Provision in War was the Military Girdle; which was for a double End, first

111

fire, (for Glittering Arms were in great esteem

among Warriours) so they cover'd them with a

ROUT.

dable Champion was appointed, 1 Sam. 17.7. Om bearing a Shield went before bim, one whose proper Office it was to carry this and some other Wespons, wherewith he was to furnish his Master upon Occasion. It seems this was an Office among the Jews as well as Philistines; for we read that David when he was first call'd to Court, was made King Saul's Armour-bearer, 1 Sam. 16. 21. And there is mention made of the young Man that bore Jon. than's Armour, 1 Sam. 14. 1. By the Grecian this Officer was call'd गंत्रयाजनां(का, गंत्रयाजनांड के and ம்சா சிடிக்கு ; and by the Latins (as ' Plautus and · Virgii cestify) Armiger. The very same with נשא כלים ferens arma, in the Places above cited But the bearing of the Shield was the most conside. rable Piece of Service, and was reputed most Ho nourable. The Longobards call'd this Militan Attendant (as our 'Great Antiquary acquaint us) Schilpor, i. e. a Shield-bearer : and Scutifer, Efaier, Esquire, became a fix'd Title of Honour; and Escuage a particular Tenure or Service. The Original of it we see in the Example before us, the Great Philistian Warriour was waited upon into the Field by his Military Squire, one bearing a Shield And besides this Tsinnah, this Great Massy Shield he was furnish'd with a lesser One, which is not express'd by one of the foremention'd Words, but is call'd Cidon, which we render a Target, v.6. and a Shield, v. 45. and was of a different Nature from the common Shields, and (as I conceive) was not only to hold in his Hand when he had occasion to use it, but could also conveniently at other times

fore 'tis added that it was between his Shoulders, v. 6. So I understand those Words, and truly I think it is a more genuine and unforced Interpretation of them, than what is usually given by the Jewish Writers, and some others. And this Target (as well as his Helmet, and some other Pieces of his Armour, of which anon) was of Brass, which was the usual Metal of which their Arms were made in those Days, and in the Times following, as Homer and First testify, who mention Helmets, Shields, Swords, Spears of Brass. This is evident from Heliod, and Alexus an old Poet quoted by Athenæus. The like we learn from Statius in several Places. The Arms of the Massageta, faith ' Herodotus, were of this Composure. speaking of the first Weapons that were used in War, tells us that they were of Brass, and afterwards of Iron,

Et prior æris erat quam ferri cognitus usus.

Lastly, the Greek and Roman Warriours, and all Nations used this serviceable Weapon, to sence off the Blows of their Adversaries, and particularly to repulse their Arrows. The Grecians especially affected a very large fort of Shields, which we may gather from the Description of them in the Poets. and from what the Lacedemonian Women, when they fent their Sons into the Wars, used to say to them at parting, η σύν τέτω, η έπι τέτω, either return back with this Piece of Armour, or be carried to the Grave upon it. It feems by this that they were so broad and capacious, that they might **ferve** 

Mr. Selden's Titles of He 2 Æneid. 9. 1 In Casina.

ĬĦ

serve them for Biers, or Open Coffins. It was infl nitely opprobrious among these Greek Warriouri to lose this Weapon in Fight, infomuch that Physics tarch relates that the Lacedemonians banish'd and ebicolus his Country, because he had said and write ten that it was better for a Man to throw away his Shield than himself, i.e. to perish in Battel. And from what the same Author saith of Epimanondas, that he asked when he was dying whether his Shield was safe, we may infer that nothing was so dear to them as this one Piece of Armour. And I can prove that it was thus among the Eastern, and particular larly the Jewish Warriours: the loss of this West pon was excessively resented, as well as condoled by them. If you ask me on what I ground this, I answer, on 2 Sam. 1.21. where it is a signal Ingredient of the Publick Mourning, that the Shield the Mighty was vilely cast away. I apprehend this to be the meaning of the Words; David a Man of Arms, who composed his Funeral-Song, was fensible, how diffraceful a Thing it was for Souldiers to quit their Shields in the Field: yet this was the fad and deplorable Case of the Jewish Souldiery in that unhappy Engagement with the Philistines, they fled away (1 Sam. 31.7.) and left their Shields behind them: this vilo and dishonorable casting away of that principal Armour is the deserved Subject of this Lofty Poct's Lamentation. I propound this Interpretation (or Conjecture, if you will call it so ) as preserable to any that I have met with.

And further, it may be useful to observe that their Shields were wont to be oiled, scoured and polish'd, as indeed it was the Custom to use the like Care towards their other Armour, as may be gather'd from furbishing the Spears, Jer. 46. 4. and making

making bright the Arrows, Jer. 51. 11. But more especially their Shields (which were Wcapons that they so highly valued and took a kind of Pride in and on which they generally engraved their Names and Warlike Deeds, if they had atchieved any; whereas those that had none of these, were call'd Blank Shields, and were thought to be difgraceful, according to that of Virgil,—Parmâque inglo-rius alba) these Weapons, I say, were carefully polish'd with Oil, and made exceeding Bright. Whence two Places of Scripture may receive some Light: the former occurs in the Chapter before cited, where 'tis faid, the Shield of the Mighty is vikely east away, the Shield of Saul, as if it had not been anointed with Oil: for so I render that latter Clause, referring it to the Shield, and not to Saul; and the Hebrew Text bears this Version best. The meaning then is, the Shields were cast away and trod under Foot as if they had not been made bright with Oil, as if there had not been that care taken about them. And that other Passage, Isa. 21.5. Anoint the Shield, is a plain Reference to this antient Cultom of polishing their Shields with Oil: and therefore the Import of these Words is this, Furbish and make ready that Weapon, and prepare for Battel. I could also observe that as they anointed their Shields to give them a Brightness and Lufire, (for Glittering Arms were in great esteem among Warriours) fo they cover'd them with a Case when they used them not, to preserve them from being rusty and soil'd: thence you read of uncovering the Shield, Isa. 22. 6. which signisses preparing for War, and having that Weapon especially in readiness.

Another Defensive Provision in War was the Military Girdle; which was for a double End, first

in order to the wearing the Sword, for this hung (as it doth at this Day) at the Souldier's Girdle of Secondly, it was requifite to gird their Clothes and Armour together: thus David girdid his Sword upon his Armour, 1 Sam. 17. 39. This the Sacred Writings take some notice of, as an Antient Accourrement of Military Men: for the is meant, it is probable, in Exod. 13. 18. Jos. 8 14. Judg. 7. 11. where according to the Hebrer Idiom. Souldiers and Armed Men are call'd Cha mushim, accineti, girded. These Eucavoi, as the st venty render it, are Armati according to the Vulet Latin Interpreter, and harnessed, armed according to our English Translators: for the Souldier's Given dle was a principal Part of his Arms. So it was among the Old Latins, Cincti and Accincti, were as much as Armati, and among the Greeks (as Suidas lets us know) (ώννυωθαι is the same with μ θοπλίζεωθαι, because Souldiers unless they were girt could not wear a Sword. But to confine our selves to the Scripture, here to Gird and to Am are fynonymous, 1 Kings 20.11. Ifa. 8. 9. And in 2 Kings 3.21. those that were able to put on Armon, are, according to the Hebrew and Septuagint, girt with a Girdle. Hence girding to the Rattel, 2 Sam. 22 40. Pfal. 18. 39. And there is express mention of this Warlike Girdle in 1 Sam. 18. 4. where the recorded that Jonathan, to assure David of his cittire Love and Friendship by some visible Pledges stript himself not only of his usual Garments, but

of his Military Habiliments, viz. his Sword, Box

and Girdle, and gave them to David. From the join-

ing of these together, it is plain that Chagor here

is the Sword-Girdle or Military Belt, wherewith they not only girt on their Swords, but made their

Clothes and Armour sit close and fast about them.

Boots were part of their Defensive Harness of Old, because it was the Custom to cast certain Obstacles, εμωόδια, Impediments (fo call'd because they did hurt and entangle the Feet) afterwards known by the Name of Gall-traps (which fince in Heraldry are corruptly stiled Caltrops) in the Way before the Enemy. The υπόδιοις ωολεμική (as ' Strabo calls it) the Military Boot or Shoe was therefore necessary to guard the Legs and Feet from these Iron Stakes placed in the Way to gall and wound them. This gives an Account of Goliab's Greaves of Brass upon his Legs, 1 Sam. 17.6. which were his warlike Fence against any Mischief design'd to those Parts of his Body. These uvijudes ( for so the LXX render them) were of the same Nature with those mention'd by the Prince of the Gentile Poets, and from which the Grecian Souldiers had the Epithet of 'EUNNIMAES. Yea their Boots, their Martial Gambadoes were sometimes of Brass, as Goliah's were; whence xalnouvipedes in the foremention'd Poet, whereby are fignified not only those Grecian Souldiers that were clad in Brass Boots, but Synecdochically those that had Brass Arms, which was the old Grecian Fashion in War: but the first Tidings of this fort of Armour we have in the Sacred Records of the Bible.

And not only Defensive but Offensive Weapons are mention'd here: and these are either such as they made use of when they came to a close Engagement, or when they were at a Distance. Of the former Sort were the Sword (Chereb) and Battel-Ax (Mapheng.) The first of these is the antientest Piece of Armour that we read of (except the Bow, of which afterwards.) In Gen. 34. 25.

)

we

Boots

of the Holy Scriptures.

them a great deal of Gold and Silver, and all forts of Rich Materials, Exod. 3. 22. with which afterwards they furnish'd the Tabernacle. And among other things they let them carry away as many Warlike Weapons as they pleas'd, for they that lent them Jewels, would not deny them Armour.

The Battel-Ax mention'd in Jer. 51. 20. was another Weapon which they antiently foughts.

another Weapon which they antiently fought with when they came to a close Engagement. We have no particular Account of this Martial Club, but it is reasonable to believe that it was a weighty Weapon or Hammer (as 'tis call'd Chap. 50. v. 23.) made use of when there was occasion to break assumder any hard thing that stood in their way, and to beat down the Enemies, and lay them prostrate, and to bruise and batter their Armour. It is likely it was a fort of Poll-Ax, but proper to the Cavalry, which I gather from the following Verse, which speaks of breaking in pieces with it the Horse and his kider, the Chariot and his Rider.

The Weapons Offensive to wound and hurt the Enemy at some distance, were, 1. The Spear or favelin, for so the Words Chanith and Romach are diverly rendred in Numb. 25. 7. 1 Sam. 13. 19. 3ar. 46. 4. These Weapons were of different kinds according to their length and make. Some of them might be thrown or darted, 1 Sam. 18.11. There were a fort of Long Swords, Numb. 25. 8. And from 2 Sam. 2. 23. we may gather that some of them were piked or pointed at both Ends. 2. A sing, Kelang, with which they slung Stones at the Enemy. This is reckon'd as a Part of Warlike thousand made use of one of these to good Purpose when he came into the Field against the Giant of

we find it was treacherously handled by Jacob's Sons when they invaded the Shechemites: To which refers Gen. 49. 5. and is rendred by some Learned Jews thus, Instruments of Violence are their Swords. Mecheroth (for that is the Word here) the Plural of Mecherah, Gladius, whence μάχαιρα and Machara. And in Exod. 32. 27. we find it was used by the Israelites in the Wilderness. If it be ask'd how they furnish'd themselves with this and other Weapons in that Place, seeing (as'tis generally said) they left Egypt without taking any Arms with them, we need not answer (as some do) that the great Winds and Tide upon the return of the Red Sea beat the Egyptians Arms upon the Shore where the Ifrac lites had pitch'd their Tents; for this is more than we can prove. And so indeed is their Supposal that the Israelites came unarmed out of Egypt, for the contrary is plainly afferted in Exod. 13.18 The Children of Israel went up harnassed (Chamusim, girt, i.e. armed, as I faid before) out of the Land of Egypt. And then 'tis no wonder that you read of their being Armed in the Wilderness. This may be meant when 'tis faid, they borrow'd of the Egyptians Rayment, Exod. 12. 35. in which may be included Military Habits. However, they are comprehended in what follows, The Lord gave the People favour in the Sight of the Egyptians, so that the lent unto them whatever they required: and the spoiled the Egyptians, v. 36. For the Israelites left Egypt with leave of the Inhabitants, yea, with their request to be gone, for the last Plague which flew all their First-born in one Night put then upon hastning away the Israelites: and to be rid of them they were willing to part with any thing, and accordingly they not only suffer'd them to take with them their own Goods and Gattle, but gave

and Arrows [Kesheth and Chitzim] are of great Gath, 1 Sam. 17. 49, 50. The Bejaminites (for Antiquity. Indeed no Weapon is mention'd fo fo we should read the Word, and not call them foon: take thy Weapons, thy Quiver and thy Bow, Benjamites, as if they were derived not from Box. Gen. 27. 3. though it is true these are not spoken iamin but Benjam) were famous in Battel, because of here as used in War, but Hunting. And fo they had attained to a great Skill and Accuracy in they are supposed and implied before this, viz. in handling this Weapon, they could fling Stones a Gen. 21. 20. where 'tis said of Ishmael that he bean Hair's breadth, and not miss, Judg. 20. 16. And came an Archer, he used Bow and Arrows in shootwhereas it is faid here that they were Left-handed ing of wild Beasts. It is likely that the Military it should be rather rendred Ambidexters, such as Art commenced from Mens encountering with could use both Hands, as will appear from comp. Brutes. They fought wiith wild Beasts, and for ring this Place with 1 Chron. 12.2. which speak that purpose invented Arms, which afterwards they of these Benjaminites, and tells us that they could' unhappily used against one another. Particularly, both the right Hand and the left. When therefore mooting with the Bow was first used in Hunting and 'tis faid in the former Place, that they were fu Killing of Beasts, and then of Men. At last there of their right Hand, (for so 'tis in the Hebrew) the was scarcely any Battel fought but it was decided meaning is that they did not constantly use this by the Bow. It was so useful a Weapon that care right Hand (as others did) when they shot Ar was taken to train up the Hebrew Youth to it berows or flung Stones, but they were so experting times. When David had in a folemn Manner lathese Military Exercises that they could person mented the Death of K. Saul, he immediately gave them with their left Hand as well as with their right order for teaching the young Men the use of the Bom, This is the true Sense of this Expression, and there 18am.1.18. that they might be skill'd in the Primifore the Septuagint render it apported of Eiol, and the tiveArtillery of the World, that they might be as ex-Vulgar Latin, ita sinistrà ut dextrà præliants. pert as the Philistines, by whose Bows and Arrows Which is faid to express how skilful and exact Saul and his Army were flain. So in 2 Chron, 26.14. Slingers they were, and of what great Use and we read that these were part of the Military Am-Service this fingular Way of managing this Wa munition: for in those times Bows were instead of pon was to them. Fighting with the Sling was Guns, and Arrows supplied the Place of Powder afterwards used by the Baleares, as Diodorus the and Ball. From Job 20. 24. I gather that the War-Sicilian testifies, and by other Nations, as 'Vnthe Bow was generally made of Steel, and confegil and 'Livy relate: yea, 'tis generally known quently was very stiff, and hard to bend: wherefaith "Vegetius, that in all the Battels of the ore they used their Foot in bending their Bows; Antients this was the way of Fighting. nd thence to tread the Row, Jer. 50. 14. is to bend ; and Bows trodden, Ifa. 5.28. & 21. 15. arc Bows ent, as our Translators rightly render it: but the Hebrew Word which is used in these Places is da-

'Ain. 9. Lib. 8. Dec. 4. De re militar

3 rak,

rak, calcavit. In short, this Weapon was so to quisite in War, that it is thence call'd Kesheth Mil chamah, the Bow of War, or Battel Bow, Zech. 9. 10. & 10. 4.

Fourthly, You may observe that the Great Commanders and Chief Warriours not only among the Fgyptians, Exod. 14. 6, 7. Canaanites and Philistines, Josh. 17. 16. Judg. 1. 19. & 4. 3. 1 Sam. 13. 5. Syrians, 2 Sam. 10. 18. 1 Kings 20. 21. Babylonians, Ezek. 23. 24. but among the Jews, 2 Sam. 15. 1. 1 Kings 10. 26. fought in Open Chariots or War-Coaches. This was the antient manner of Fighting, and afterwards was used by other Nations, as Diodorus of Sicily reports. Home acquaints us that these Military Chariots were in use among the Trojans. And that they were fo among the Persians, Quintus Curtius lets us know when he describes Darius's Army. 2 Xenophon attributes the Invention of these δεπανοφόρα άξηματα (as he calls them) these Sithed Chariots to Cyrus. But from this (as many other things) we may discem the Defect of these Historians, and their failure in the Point of Antiquity. These great Iron Chariots for Battel were much older than that Monarch, We read of them in the Sacred History about a thousand Years before his time; for Pharaob's Chariots without doubt were of this kind, which we may infer from the great Number of them, which was fix hundred, and from the appointing of Captains over them, Exod. 14.7. which shews they were no other than their Fighting Chariots. And we read that about fifty Years afterwards the Canaanites had got this fort of Warlike Vehicle, and used them in that Champian Country, which struck Terror into the

Hist. lib. 4. c. 9. 2 De Cyri Institut. 1. 6.

Jews, and made them almost despair of conquering that part of the Nation, Josh. 17.16, 18. I might add that our Predecessors the Old Britains (as both Casar and Tacitus record) fought in these Chariots, which (as they describe them to us) were fang'd at the Ends of the Axle-trees with Iron Hooks or Sithes. With these fastned on both Sides, and standing out about a Yard in length, they cut down their Enemies that came in their way. I remember the Hebrew Word Ketzir is both Harvest and War, (Exod. 23.16. Isa.9.) here we have too true an Account of it, for with these Sithe-Chariots they mowed Men down as some Corn at Harvest is wont to be.

Fifthly, We learn from the Scriptures that when they were belieged of old, they made use of Engines on their Towers and Bulwarks to shoot Arrows and great Stones withal, 2 Chron. 26.15. and when they fat down before a Place and resolved to beliege it, they dug Trenches, 2 Sam. 17. 20. they drew a Line of Circumvallation, Lam. 2.8. they made Ramparts, they built Forts against it, and cast a Mount against it, and fet the Camp also against it, and set battering Rams against it round about, Ezek. 4. 2. for though Carim in the last Place here mention'd signifies both Arietes and Duces, and is taken in this latter Sense in Ezek. 21. 22. yet in this Place it seems to be restrained to the former denotation, viz. of Iron Engines wherewith they batter'd down the Walls of a Town. Of this fort is Mechi, Ezek, 26. 9. (whence perhaps μηχανή, Machina) an Engine of War (as we render it) which they made use of to set against the Walls (as you read there) to demolish them and lay them even with the Ground. may be this is that Military Instrument with which they shot Stones into a City or besieged Place, which

which the foremention'd Place in the Chronicles, and is perhaps the same with Sollelah, Jer. 6.6. an Engine of Shot, as our Margin renders it. These were of a resembling Nature with the Balista and Catapultæ among the Romans, which were used for throwing Stones and Arrows, and were to them of old instead of Mortars and Carcases. Next I might observe that to give notice of an approaching Enemy, and to bring the dispersed in habitants of the Country together to relist and repel him, they used to set up Beacons on the Tops of Mountains as a fit Alarm on that Occasion, Ifa. 30. 17. This perhaps is Masetb, Jer. 6. 1. which we translate a Sign of Fire lifted up, that those that were afar off might be warned of the Enemies coming. Nay, if I am not mistaken, this was of far greater Antiquity; for that great Flame with Smoke rifing up out of the City, which was appointed as a Sign between the Men of Israel, and the Liers in wait,

Judg. 20. 38, 40. seems to be meant by this. And now when I am enumerating the Kele Milchamah, the Instruments or Utensils of War, (as the Prophet calls them, Jer. 51. 20.) I might take notice that Trumpets were antiently used on this Occasion (as they are at this Day) Numb. 10. 5, 6, 7. 2 Sam. 2. 28. & 18. 16. Jer. 4. 21. & 6. 1. Jer. 42. 14. Zeph. 1. 16. So were Ensigns, Banners, Standards, Exod. 17. 15, 16. Pfal. 74. 4. Cant. 6.4. Ifa. 13. 2. Fer. 4. 6. & 51. 12. But the most eminent Place for this purpose (and which shews the Antiquity of this Military Usage, and will give usan Account of the first and most early Marshalling of Armies) is Numb. 2. 2. Every Man of the Children of Israel shall pitch by his own Standard, with the Enfign of their Fathers House. For the explaining of which we must know that when Moses had received the

the Law and finish'd the Tabernacle, he mustered all the Tribes and Families of Ifrael, and disposed them for their March through the Wilderness. This Great Army (as this Chapter informs us) was divided into four Battalions or Squadrons, each of which contain'd three whole Tribes. The first contain'd the three Tribes of Judah, Islachar, and Zebulon: and every Tribe being distinguish'd by his particular Standard, this Squadron marched under the Standard of Judah. And it was peculiar to this Tribe to encamp always on the East Side of the Tabernacle, and to hold the first Place and lead the Vanguard. The second Battalion consisted of the Tribes of Reuben, Simeon and Gad: and Reuben's Standard was that which they were placed under. These had the second Place in the Army, and encamped on the South Side of the Tabernacle. The third Division marched under the Standard of Ephraim, to whom were joined the Regiments of Manasse and Benjamin, and they were situated always on the West Quarter. The fourth Squadron were rank'd under the Standard of Dan, to whom belonged the Tribes of Naphthali and Asher. These were placed on the North Side of the Tabernacle, and always march'd in the Reer. In every Standard or Banner there was a particular Ensign or Badg by which those of that Squadron were known. In that of Judah which march'd in the Van there was pourtrayed a Lion: in that of Reuben a Man: in that of Ephraim an Ox: and in that of Dan an Eagle. Where by the way we may observe here the Invention of Badges and Coats of Arms. The Tribes were distinguish'd by their different Scutcheons, which were of diverse Figures, and ('tis not to be doubted) of different Colours. Though truly this Invention feems to have been begun

gun first of all in Gen. 49. where the several Tribes' have affigned them by Jacob their particular Diffin; Clive Ensigns and Armorial Cognizances, as Judga a Lion, Dan a Serpent, Issachar an Afs, &c. which were certain Arms or Badges by which they were known and distinguish'd. In these and the forenamed Instances, Heraldry had its Original, hence it may fetch its Pedigree. Thus that Noble Camp was dispofed and situated, thus the several Tribes and Princes of them were marshall'd. Thus the Tabernacle was placed in the midst of the four Divisions of the Ari my, which pitched round about it, as a Guard to Defend and Protect it. But I should note withal, that the Tabernacle was more Immediately furrounded by the Priests and Levites. Moses and Aaron, and Eleazar and his Brethren were lodg'd on the East, at the Entrance of the Court of the Tabernacle: the Families of Cobath were placed on the South, the Families of Merari on the North, the Geshurites on the West: and all others that were dedicated to the Service and Attendance on the Tabernacle, were quartered near it. This was the Excellent Order that was observed, the Ecclesiastical Persons were placed next to the Tabernacle because of their Employment and Office: and to guard both them and the Tabernacle, the whole Hoft was drawn about them in a Circle. I might further take notice that there was not a fixed Distance of Ground from every part of the Camp to the Tabernacle, for it was necessary that some should be further off than others: but this was enjoined them, that the Limits of their travelling on the Sabbath-Day should not be above two thousand Cubits, Josh. 3. 4. But by reason of the different Acception of the Cubit, it is not easy to determine exactly the Length of the Way which

they were permitted to travel. If it was two thousand Paces, it amounted to two Miles: but most of the 'Rabbins agree that it was 2000 lesser Cubits, which make a large Mile. So far the furthest Part of the Israelites Camp was distant from the Tabernacle, according to the general Opinion of the Hebrew Doctors. This, whatever it is, is call'd a Sabbath-Day's Journey, Acts 1. 12. i.e. as much space of Ground as it was lawful for the Jews to go on a Sabbath-Day. This shall suffice to be said concerning the Antient Situation of the Camp of Israel. A very Curious and Excellent Prospect it is, and worthy of our Observation, it being the First Platform of a Military Encamping.

To close this Head, I will take notice of the Vast Numbers which some of the Armies mention'd in Scripture confifted of old. That of the Jews in the Wilderness (which I last spoke of ) according to the Muster-Roll in Numb. 1. contain'd no less than fix bundred thousand and three thousand and five bundred and fifty. There were enrolled about a thoufand thousand fighting Men in Israel, and about half as many in Judah when David numbred the People, 2 Sam. 24. 9. 1 Chron. 21. 5. King Uzziah had an Host of three hundred thousand and seven thoufand and five hundred, besides a choice Band of two thousand and six hundred, 2 Chron. 26. 12, 13. King Asa's military Force consisted of about six hundred thousand, 2 Chron. 14.8. And against him came an Ethiopian Army of above a thousand thousand Chariots, 2 Chron. 14.9. whence we must collect that the whole Force was much more Numerous, for the Chariots generally had more than one fingle Person in them. King Jeroboam brought eight

they

<sup>¿</sup> Buxtorf. Chald. Lex. p. 2583.

eight hundred thousand Men into the Field, of whom five hundred thousand were slain, 2 Chron. 13. 3, 17. And other vast Numbers we read of in the Books of Kings and Chronicles that were brought into the Field in those Days. Which I the rather mention because some have questioned the Truth of it, and have thought that it is by the Fault of Transcribers that the Arithmetick mounts fo high. And I am forry to find a Great Man. whom I will not name, enclining this way. I doubt not but if he had lived to revise his Writings, he would have expung'd what feenis to favour this; for so Great an Asserter of the Authentick Verity of the Scriptures (as well as of the Christian Religion) could not have done otherwise. But this I delire may be considered by those that think the Number of the Men in the foremention'd Armies is mistaken by those who copied out the Bible, they fetting down (as they imagine) one Arithmetical Figure instead of another; I desire (I say) this may be consider'd, that the Numbers in these Sacred Writings are fet down in Words at length, and not in Figures, which these Objectors did not think of; and therefore those who transcrib'd the Bible did not mistake the Numbers by writing down one Figure for another, and confequently these Mens Conceit is groundless. Again, we are to remember what is faid in Numb. 1.45. they numbred from twenty Years old and upward all that were able to go forth to War: and so afterward they train'd up all that were able to bear Arms unto Martial Exercifes and Military Discipline: which if we consider, it will not feem strange and incredible that the Number of those in their Armies was so great. Moreover, the Cavil will vanish if we consult Pagan Authors, and thence learn how numerous their

Armies

Armies were of old. Ninus the third Assyrian Monarch, rais'd an Army of seventeen hundred thousand Foot, and two hundred thousand Horse. and ten thousand six hundred Chariots of War. and invaded Battria with these Forces, as Diodorus Siculus and other Good Authors relate. Zeroafter the King of Baltria met him with four hundred thousand fighting Men, say the same Historians. And the foresaid Diodorus tells us that Semiramis that celebrated Queen of Assyria, Ninus's Relict. carried an Army that consisted of three Millions of Men into India: and Staurobates the King of India encountred her with more numerous Forces, and vanquish'd her. It is universally acknowledg'd that Xerxes entred Greece with an Army of above a Million of fighting Men. Thus Prophane History may induce us to credit that which we meet with in the Sacred. When we find fuch vast Numbers mention'd in the former, we have no reason to wonder at the like in the latter. And though, it is true, there is a Disproportion between Judea and those Countries which I have named, yet if it be remembred how Populous the one was in respect of the others, and likewise that in time of War every Man that could handle a Weapon turn'd Souldier, the great inequality which fome imagine between this and the others will foon vanish. This is some Account of Martial Affairs which the Antient Writings of the Bible give us: and though we read tince the like things in other Authors, yet here we see the First and Earliest Instances of them.

I might pass from Military Assairs to some Sportive Diversions and Exercises which the Scripture speaks of, and gives us the first notice of, as Hunting, which was the mighty Nimrod's Sport, Gen.

10. 9. (though, as was faid before, under that Term likewise his Tyrannical and Arbitrary Rule is denoted to us) It is likely that he kill'd and destroy'd the wild savage Beasts that grew numerous at that time, and became very troublesom and noxious: for upon that fignal Dispersion of Mankind they remain'd not together in fo great a Body as before, and thence the Beasts were more formidable; and therefore Hunting began to be necessary to preserve themselves. But this Great Man might follow this Employment also for Pleasure and Recreation. So the first Hunting was for private Delight, and publick Profit. Esau afterwards is call'd a Cunning Hunter, Gen. 25. 27. and his Game is particularly specified, Gen. 27.3,5. And I have intimated before that this Robust Exercise was a Specimen of Warlike Enterprizes and Arms. Hunting and Fowling are joined together in Lev. 17.13. and in order to the catching both Beasts and Birds, there is mention of ' Nets, Traps, Snares, Ginns. Washing or Bathing (as it was a Recreative Exercise of the Female Sex) you will find mention'd Exod. 2. 5. where we read that Pharaoh's Daughter washed her self in the River Nile. It may be Ruth 3. 3. Wash thy self (after which immediately follows, anoint thee, which was the usual Attendant of Bathing) refers to this. Ruth's Mother thought this not an improper Exercise before she went to engratiate her self with Boaz. Bathsheba was bathing her felf in a Garden in the Evening when David espied her, 2 Sam. 11.2. This made way for Artificial Baths aftewards among the Jews

of the Holy Scriptures. in their Gardens and Orchards: which, if we may credit the Targum upon Ecclesiastes, are meant by the Delights of the Sons of Men, Eccl. 2. 7. This was the known Practice of the Persians, Greeks and Romans, and other Nations; but the Antientest and most innocent Examples are in the Bible, viz. among the Egyptians and Hebrews. Other Recreating and Pleasurable Entertainments I had occasion to mention before, as Instrumental and Vocal Musick, though I consider'd them chiefly as they were used on serious Occasions. I will now add Dancing, which also was sometimes on a Religious Account, as in Exod. 15. 20. where Miriam and her Females with Dances (as well as Timbrels) fang, and glorified God after the Deliverance from the Egyptians. This Miriam may pass for the true Terpsichore whom the Poets make the Inventer of Dancing, she being the first famous Instance of it. We read that David danced before the Ark, 2 Sam. 6. 16. But it is not the Sacred but Civil Use of this Exercise, which I am now to take notice of. It is certain that a pleasant and decent moving, a Graceful Agitation of the Body (help'd by some innocent noise of Musick) was one of the first and most natural expressions of Joy when there was some great occasion for it. This we learn, as from Reason, so from the sacred Monuments of Antiquity in the Scriptures. Here we are inform'd that this was an old Expression of Mirth at times of folemn Feasting, Judg. 21.19, 21. There was not only Musick but Dancing at

the Festival of the returning Prodigal, Luke 15.
25. This was usual also after Victory, and in Publick Triumphs, Judg. 11. 34. 1 Sam. 18. 6. & 21. 11. and at all Seasons of Mirth and Rejoiting, Psal. 30, 11. Jer. 31. 4, 13. And still, so far

Prov. 1. 17. Pfal. 124. 7. Prov. 7. 23. Ecclef. 9: 18: Job 18. 8, 9, 10. Job 40. 24: Am 3: 5:

far as Dancing imports a Graceful Motion and Comely Deportment of the Body, I fee no reason to declame against it. But we read that this Usage oftentimes degenerated into inexcusable Extrava. gancy and Vice. The Idolatrous Jews made it part of their mad Worship which they paid to the Golden Calf, Exod. 32. 19. The Amalekites after their Victory used it to advance their Luxury and Debauchery, 1 Sam. 30. 16. Job makes it part of the Character of the Prosperous Wicked, such a forget God and Religion, that their Children dance, Tob 21. 11. And what was the bloody and execrable Fruit of this fort of Lewd Frolick, the Evange. lical History records, Mat. 14.6, &c. where He rodias's Daughter's Heels made the Baptist's Heal fly off his Shoulders. And it cannot be denied that this is the frequent Companion of Luxury and Wantoness in these Days, in which so great Numbers (yea and of the inferiour and ordinary Rank) immoderately affect and addict themselves to this Diversion. This Vanity seems to be part of the Temper and rooted Inclination of the gay People of this Age, as if the Punctum Saliens were yet in their Blood, and they were still made up of those Capering Particles, the first Rudiment of their Conception and Life. One would think the Scene chang'd from Naples to England, and that our People were stung with the Tarantula, and were (as we might hope) dancing and playing it away. But

then, when we see that the Sting is rather encreased

than cured, and the Venom of Lust and Debau-

chery is daily more and more instill'd by it, we have

cause to lament the fashionable Folly and Levity

of our Times.

## CHAP. V.

We are furnish'd in the Bible with the Knowledg of the first Usages relating to Matrimony. Of Nuptial Feasts; and other Antient Feasts. We have here the first Notices of Buying and Selling, and the Antient use of Money. We learn hence what was the first Apparel, and what Additions there were afterwards. The chief Ornaments of Men and Women, viz. Crowns, Mitres, Frontal Jewels, Ear-rings, ( the occasion of wearing these at first, and among what Persons and Nations, together with the Abuse of them) Chains, Bracelets, Finger-Rings and Signets. Changes of Garments. The Antient use of White Apparel. Earth. Looking-Glasses. Rending of the Garments.

HAT the Scriptures contain the Knowledg of all the First and Antientest Usages in the World, I will make good in the next Place by speaking of Marriage, and several things that have reference to it. Concerning which we have the best Notices from this Authentick Book. There we are told that Man was no sooner made but God extracted a Woman out of him: and when he had divided them, he presently joined them together, so that a Conjugal Life became the first and blessed State of Paradise, Gen. 2. 21, &c. The first Person that violated this primitive Law of Wedlock was Lamech, who took unto him two Wives, Gen. 4. 19. and if we may believe Josephus, had 77 Children

CHAP

Antiqu. lib. t. c. 3.

Children by them. The Example of this first Po-Ivgamist was afterwards drawn into practice by the Jews, and Polygamy became frequent, and Divorcements were permitted in order to the marrying of other Wives. The first that kept Concubines was Abruham, Gen. 27. 6. whose Practice was followed afterwards by other Patriarchs, not without iome permission from God, but grew at last to a most Scandalous Excess in Solomon and Rehoboam's Days. That there were Profittute Harlots betimes we may gather from Gén. 34. 31. and Chap. 38. v. 14, 15. in which latter Place there are mention'd some Circumstances whereby those Mercenary Women were known in those times, as their vail, their sitting in an open Place, &c. That they were vaild may be gather'd from the Practice of Tamar, but it was with a proper and peculiar fort of Covering, by which they were known from others, for all the Sex generally in those Eastern Countries went vail'd. It was not worn because those first Prostitutes were modest in respect of those since, (as some have thought) but because they were Distinguish'd by this from other Women. I know that Bochart and some others attempt to infer from Isa. 47. 3. and fuch like Places, that they were not vail d; but this, as I apprehend, is upon mistake, for those Words have no reference to Harlots, but to Slaves, and fo the Learnedest Commentators agree. Their placing themselves by the way side or in some open Place, may be gather'd from the foresaid Example of Tamar's and this was a long time afterwards the usage among Persons of that infamous Character, Prov. 7.12. She is in the Streets, and heth in wait at every Corner; where by the Corner are meant the chief and most eminent Places in the Streets, open and to be seen. Wherefore we find her Seat to

be in the high Places of the City, Chap. 9. v. 14. To this impudent Practice refer those Passages, In the ways hast thou set for them, Jer. 3. 2. Thou hast made thee an high Place in every Street, — at every head of the way, Ezek. 16. 24, 25. So the Roman Strumpets were wont to fit in triviis, in the high Way where there was the greatest Resort of People, as from Catullus and others might be proved, if it were worth the while. But to return to our main Subject, that of Matrimony, we fee what kind of Treaty there was about it, Gen. 34. 6, 12. what the Contract, Gen. 24. 50, 51, 57, \$8. what the Solemnizing of it, Gen. 24. 67. were in those early Days. We read not of any Formality in joining of Man and Woman. Mutual Confent made Marriage. Wilt thou go with this Man? And the faid, I will go. Then when she was come to his House, he took her, and she became his Wife. To this some have thought those Words of the Prophet, Hof. 3. 3. refer, I bought her for an Homer of Barly, as if they alluded to the antient Custom of Marriage solemnized per Confarredtioneri; by a Cake of Bread or some Corn put into the Bride's Hand (which here by the way I might observe was perhaps the Original of the Bride-Cake which hath been the constant Attendant at Nuptials:) But though that be questionable, yet it is certain that these Words have respect to the Antient Buying of Wives. The Bridal Purchase here spoken of by the Prophet, was partly with Corn and partly with Money; for he saith he bought her to him for fifteen Pieces of Silver, as well as for an Homer, &c. so that the Dower confifted in Money and Goods. But we have a much earlier Example of this Dowry MGift, as it is call'd Exod. 34. 12. where it appears that there was wont to be given a certain Sum of Q 2 Money

Money to the Father of the Woman who was courted and deligned for a Wife. And this may be gather'd from 1 Sam. 18.25. for when tis said

the King desireth not any Dowry, it is implied that although Saul in Craft seem'd to refuse a Dowry for his Daughter, yet it was usual in those Days to

give it for a Wife. This is that which is call'd EEdva by the Great Father of Poets and of all Pagan Antiquities: and there is reference to this Practice in feveral Places of his Poems. Whence Aristotle speaking of the Usages of the Old Greeks, faith they bought their Wives. And this Conjugal Buying or Purchasing was reciprocal, i.e. it was performed by both Parties generally, Husband and Wife. It was the same Matrimonial Coemption or Mutual Purchasing which prevails at this Day: the Woman purchases the Man with her Portion or Jointure, and he her with his Estate, or part of it. The Simplicity of those first Ages was such that there were then no such Ceremonial Rites in

their Nuptials as have been observ'd since. And indeed it became partly necessary to have a Publick and Solemn Celebration of Marriage after the World was grown more numerous, to fix and afcertain the Legitimacy of Succession in Families, and to tie the Matrimonial Knot the faster in these flippery times. Yet this we may take notice of, that notwithstanding the Nuptial Bonds were entered into without Ceremony and Formality, yet they were always attended with a Feast. Which ever afterwards became fashionable among all Na-

companied with a Festival which continued a Week, Judg. 14. 12. And this it Teems was the usual Term not only of these but all other great and solemn Feasts, Esth. 1. 5, 10. And here I might observe, that it is peculiarly recorded that at Samson's Marriage-Feast he put forth a Riddle, and required the Bridal Companions to declare and expound it some time before the Days of that Solemnity were ended. This was one way of diverting and entertaining themselves at those times of Mirth,

feven Days, as may be gather'd from v. 27. Fulfil

her Week, i.e. stay till the seven Days of the Wed-

ding-Feast be over, for so you will find it explain'd

in the next Verse. So Samson's Nuptials were ac-

as other Writers testify. Herodotus and Plutarch mention these among the Antients, telling us that they were wont to propound certain Quaint Problems to be folved by the Company, and thereupon arose Battels of Wit. And from Athenaus we may be informed that these Enigmatical Questions were used at their Composations. Another famous Instance of Feasting on this account was that at the Royal Nuptials of Ahafuerus and Esther, Esth. 2.18. signally stiled Esther's Feast. Where by the way observe that a Feast was called by the Hebrews משתר a Drinking, for that is the Word here used; and the same you will find in Judg. 14.

Chap.

12. Job 1. 4. Esther 5. 5, 6. in which Places the

Seventy Interpreters render it moro: Accord-

ingly to drink, Esth. 3. 15. is to Feast, and so again,

! Ad Q. Fratrem, l. 2. ! In Caligula, Cap. 25. ! Satyr. 6.

have

' ! Ta's γυναϊνας 'εανέντο πας' αλλήλων. Polit. 1. 2. c. 8.

tions, but especially the Romans, of whom we

Chap. 7. v. 1. Thence in the New Testament the Word notos, 1 Pet. 4. 3. is render'd very rightly Banquetings. And the Feasts or Banquetings among the Greeks were call'd συμπόσια, Composations, drinkings together. Because the Wine generally bore the greatest part in those Meetings, the Denomination was thence. But to go back to what I was speaking of, the Mariage-Feasts are taken notice of in several Places in the New Testament, Mat. 9. 10. & 22. 2. John 2. 1. which shews the frequent use of them in those Days. The Word to express them is much in those forecited Toxts, yea three or four times together in Mat. 22. is by our Translators render'd a Marriage; but that is not the proper Translation of the Word in these Place, for plus here is a Marriage-Feast, and so it is in ' Flomer and other Authors, (as the Learned Dr. Hammond hath observed) and you may perceive from the Texts themselves that it must be so translated. Further, 'tis observable that of old there were Proper Vests made use of when they went to these Wedding-Feasts. They generally put on white Garments (which you shall hear afterwards were in great Request of old) upon this Occasion: however, 'tis certain they chang'd their Apparel, and were clad in a Vestment sit for that Solemnity. This is call'd indune wins, the Wedding-Garment, Matth. 22. 11. that distinct bridal Attire which was usually worn at such a time.

And here I might take occasion to speak of Other Feasts, helides the Nuptial Ones, mentioned in these Sacred Monuments. To say nothing of the Religious Feasts of the Jews, as the Passoyer, &c. which were particularly appointed by God, we

read

! Odyst. a & A.

read that there were some of Royalty and State, Elth. 1. 5, 9. Some at the Weaning of Children, Gen. 21: 8. Some at Sheep Shearing, 1 Sam. 25.36. 2 Sam. 13.23. Others at making of Leagues and Treaties, a Sam. 3. 20. others at finishing of them, and to render the Compact sure, Gen. 26. 30. some on occafion of Great and Publick Deliverances, Esth. 9. 17, 18 some at celebrating of Birth-days, as that of Pharaph, Gen. 40. 20. (the first Instance of this Nathre that we can possibly produce) and that of Herod which is called Europe inter, Mark 6. 21. which we render a convenient Day: but our Learned Annotator translates it a Festival Day, it anfwering to the Hebrew Jom Tob, a good Day, a merry Season: and such was the Anniversary of Herod's Birth, which was kept as a Festival. And among Other Nations there were usually Feasts on this Account. That there were fo among the Perstans and Grecians, Atheneus bears witness: and particularly concerning the Persian Kings ' Herodom dorh the like. From Suctonius and other Historians we learn that the Birth-days of Julius Cafar, Augustus, Titus, Nerva, Antoninus, Gordian, were celebrated in the same manner. 3 Senera kept the Birth-days of Socrates, Plato, &c. for such he understands by Great Men. Mecanas and Virgil's Nativities were observed, as is evident from 4 Horace and ' Pliny the younger. But enough of this.

I will next enquire what antient Notices we have from the Scripture concerning Ruying and Selling. We do not read that there was any Pecuniary Traffick before the Flood, but 'tis likely that Swapping or Bartering of one thing for another was the

Q 4 Practice

Deipnoscoph. l. 4. c. 6. In Calliope. Epist. 64.

Practice of those times (as it is still among the most Barbarous Nations.) That the first way of trafficking was without Coin, was the Opinion of the Prince of Philosophers, ' Η άλλα γλ μ πείν το νόμισμα μ, Before the Invention of Money Exchanging (faith he) was in use. And even after the Flood this way of Commerce did not cease, as when there was a Dissention between Abraham's and Abimelech's Servants about the Well that Abraham dug, we read that he took Sheep and Oxen, and gave them to Abimelech, which perhaps may denote his Bartering for the Well, Gen. 21. 27. no less than furnishing him with Beasts for Sacrifice. Whence perhaps Kesitah, which signifies a Lamb, signifies also a Piece of Money, Gen. 33. 19. and is so translated: and the same Hebrew Word is used in Job 42. 11. and is rendred by the Syriac and Chaldee, Greek and Vulgar Latin, a Lamb, because Cattel heretofore (the chief Commodity they had) was instead of Moncy to them, and these they frequently chang'd for other Commodities. Though I'know Grotius and some other Learned Interpreters think this Kesita was that Piece of Money which was of the Value of a Lamb, and for that Reason had the Figure of that Creature stamp'd on it. So Pliny tells us, that among the Romans Pecunia was fo named, because the first Money was mark'd with the Figure of a Sheep or Ox, or some other Cattel. Varro gives the same Reason of the Name: And + Plutarch confirms this, telling us, that they engraved on the Antient Coins the Figure of a Cow, or a Sheep, or a Hog; though withal he acknow-

ledges that it was the Opinion of some, that the

Reafon

of the Holy Scriptures. keason why Pecunia had its Name from Pecus, was ot because a Sheep or other Animal was engraven on their antient Money, but because their chief substance consisted in Cattel heretofore, those were

heir first Riches. But to wave this, this we are certain of, that when in process of Time Men saw that they had no need always of one another's Wares, and so could not change one thing for another, they invented Money, which might be given at any time instead of Commodities, and which was supposed to anwer Exactly to the Value and Price of the things which they bought: For, as Aristotle faith, 'there was a necessity of having all things valued and estimated by a certain Price, that so by this Means there might be on all Occasions an equal and proportion'd way of Changing, i.e. Money for Goods, and Goods for Money. Wherefore in the fame Place he faith, All things are measured and duly proportion'd by the Invention of Money. And the Dictate of Reason, and the Necessities they were generally under, prompted them to make use of this way, because Goods and Wares could not so conveniently be carried up and down. The antientest mention of Money or Coin is in Gen. 13.2. Abraham was rich in Silver and Gold; for Keseph and Zabab are the Words used in 7 other Places for Money of Silver and Gold: But generally Kefeph (which is properly Silver) is the Word that is rendred Money in the Holy Writings. That Money was used in Abraham's Days is evident from Gen. 17.13. which makes mention of him (i.e. a Servant) that

<sup>&#</sup>x27; Aristor. Ethic. 1. 5. cap. 8. <sup>a</sup> Nat. Hist. 1. 33. c. 3. <sup>a</sup> De Re Rust. 1. 2. \* In Poplicola.

Δει παντα τιπμικθαι, έτω 28 έται ακι αλλαγή. Eth. I. 5. c.8. Μετεεί ται πάντα νομισματι. 7 Gen. 20. 16. & 23. 16. & 19. 9. & 43. 22. Deut. 2. 28. 2 Sam. 18. 11. Judg. 8. 26. 2 Kings 5. 5. 2 Chron. 9. 16.

is bought with Money; him that is the Acquisition Silver (as the Hebrew hath it). And concerns this Patriarch tis faid, He bought a Burying with Money, as much Money as the Field was no viz. four bundred Shekels of Silver, Gen. 23, 9, And 'tis observable, that this is call'd here strong Money with the Merchant: the Silver was Gran fuch as paffed to the Merchant or Trader, fuch he would take as well as give. It was good can that would not be refused by merchandizing Me This is a plain and undeniable Proof that Mont Silver Coin, was in use betimes, even in those fil Ages of the Word. Yea, I gather that the ilen Coined Money was frequent in those Days, for the is implied in its passing from one to another." from this time we constantly read that things were purchased with Keseph, Money, properly sim and therefore rendred by the Seventy deriver This is the Word for a Shekel in all those Tem where it is put absolutely, and alone by it self. is generally translated a piece of Silver, but in 164. 23. a Silverling.

Further, it might be observed that they meight their Money in those Days, as appears from themstance before mention'd in Gen. 23.16. where is said, that Abraham weighed unto Ephron four burdred Shekels of Silver for the Field he bought of him. So you read of weighing of Money or Silver, Exoc. 22.17. Job 28.15. Jer. 32.9. Zech. 11.12. Yea, their common Coin, a Shekel, had its Name from shakal, to weigh. And this was in use among the Persians, for in Esth. 3.9. to weigh is to pay. The Reason of which was because generally their Money was in Large Pieces, and very Heavy; and because in Moneys the Accompt went by Shekels among the Jews, and the common Pound-weight was sistem

sheles, therefore some used Great Weights to weigh the Money which was paid to them for what they fold: And thence you may understand the Meaning of Amos 8. 5. where those are complain'd of that made the Shekel great, viz. for their own Advantage and Profit. It is not unlikely that the Old Romans had this Custom of Poyzing the Money which they paid, or received in Paiment; whence endere, expendere, appendere, are both to weigh and to pay. Likewise hence (as I suppose) what is ve-W Valuable and Precious, what is Choice and Worthy, is said to be Weighty not only in the Idiom of the Hebrews, but among the Greeks and Latins: as on the contrary those things are faid to be Light which are Vain, Vile, Little and of no Value. This way ff speaking (of which I have largely treated in mother Place) had its Rife from the weighing of Money of old: Because their Coin went by Weight, therefore what is very Valuable (as Money is always teckon'd to be) is fignified by Weight. But we cannot hence infer that they did not tell their Mo-My; (as some have thought) for the same Money hat was weighed might be told, that there might be no Cheat, especially if it were a great Sum. We arenot certain that the one did exclude the other: but the telling of their Money, as well as the weighing it, might be in use at the same time. Moreover, the First and Antientest Apparel is to known out of this Sacred Volume. Here we are informed that after Adam and Eve had transgressed he Divine Law, and thereby vitiated both Souls Bodies, their Nakedness became shameful to

Bodies, their Nakedness became shameful to them, and therefore to cover it they sewed Fighames together, (which were broad and wide, and therefore sit for that purpose) and made themselves abrons, Gen. 3. 7. These were the first Clothing;

for

volous and childish, how frigid and dilute that Ca-

vilis; 'Where had they Needles when the Art of working Iron was not found out? and where had they Thread

when the Thread-makers Trade was not invented? For indeed there was no Necessity of Needles or Thread:

nay, 'tis certain that Leaves could better be fastned

for I do not see any ground for the Interpretation of a late Writer, who by Chagoroth (which w translate Aprons) understands some Booths or The bernacles, which they dress'd up with Fig-leave that were broad and hadowy, to hide themselve The Original Word hath never any fuch Sense, and therefore we cannot but look upon this Exposition as precarious. Besides, he might have satisfied himself from very credible Authors, that among fome Nations, even at this Day, their Garment are made of Leaves of Trees: so that there is in Cause to wonder, much less to deny that the Fil Apparel was of this kind. We are to understand by Chagoroth ( allamara) fuch Garments where with they girded themselves about, and therefore in other Places the Word is rendred Girdles; 1 San 18. 4. Prov. 31. 24. Ezek. 23. 15. They had Denomination because they were tied or girt about, and on that Account are not unfitly translated # prons; as also because they hung down before, it being the Delign of that Covering of Fig-leaves to hide those Parts of the Body which by the Fall were become difgraceful and uncomely. And there is no need of supposing such Instruments in order to an artificial fewing of them, as a Late Avthor would fuggest, and thereby would enervate the Truth and Reality of the Adamick History; for we may reasonably conceive them to be tack'd and fastned together with sharp Stalks and small Twigs which the Garden of Eden afforded them, (As afterwards for a long time People sew'd or pinn'd their Clothes together with Thorns; whence a Pin, as a 9 Great Onomatologist tells us, is from the French Pingle or Espingle, Acicula, and that from

together with little Twigs, or something of that nature, than with those other Materials. Besides. this Gentleman shews himself as unacquainted with the Original Language as with the Antient Usage and the Nature of the thing it self; for the Hebrew Word Taphar, which is here used, is of a large Signification, and denotes putting on, fitting together, or any kind of applying, as in Job 16. 15. Ezek. 13. 18. So that he hath no ground hence to understand this Word of sewing in a strict and proper Sense. And the Verb gaw 700, which is here made use of by the Seventy Interpreters, is also of great Latitude, and is rendred adapto, concinno, as well as suo. Thus our first Parents made themselves Garments, but afterwards God clothed them. Gen. 3. 21: They had not sufficiently cover'd themselves with their Fig-leaf Aprons; therefore unto them the Lord God made Coats of Skins, i. e. he taught them how to make them. These Garments are call'd Coats, because they were a Rayment that came over their Bodies, whereas the other covered a Part only, viz. from the Waste and Belly downwards. It is not improbable that they wore the former ones still, and so these latter may partly be call'd their Upper Garment. And they are call'd Coats of Skins, because they were made of the Hides of Beasts, which, it may be, were worn at Archæolog. Philos. cap. 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> J. Clerici Commentar. in Gen. 3. 7. P. Dr. Skinner.

of the Holy Scriptures. at first raw, and afterwards dress d and maderal stants of our Country) were Masters of. This Leather, and so were a Substantial Apparel, which all the Covering they and others had at first as the Covering of Fig-leaves was very slighted we see then what was the Primitive Clothing, and deserved not the Name of Clothing. I will be that it was made of. Our first Progenitors (as stay here to debate, as the Talmudists and the day and trim as we go now) were clad in plain other. Little Western do that the Covering they are the following. other Jewish Writers do, what Beasts Skin Tathern Coats; yea she of the softer Sex, and Skins they were that these Coats were made the was the Queen of the World, had no other Perhaps these Skins wherewith they were arrest cown to wear. This plain rude Habit, which was were Sheep-Skins, with the Inside (which was the in Makedness, and to defend them from towards them in Summer-time, and with the Inside their Nakedness, and to defend them from towards them in Summer-time, and with the Inside their Nakedness, and to defend them from the Inside their Nakedness, and to defend them from the Inside their Nakedness, and to defend them from the Inside their Nakedness, and to defend them from the Inside their Nakedness, and to defend them from the Inside their Nakedness and Inclemency of the Weather, not warm Fleece next to them in Winter (for the to adorn them, was the only Drefs a long time: had their Summer and Winter in the Paradified but their Posterity by degrees found out other Ma-Earth, whatever this Archæologist saith to the trials for Clothing, as Wool (taken off from the contrary). But I own this to be mere Conjectiff. kin) and Flax, and made of them Woolen though the thing it felf is not unworthy of the st ind Linen Garments, Lev. 13. 47. Prov. 31. 13. vine Wisdom and Providence. But this is beyond ktek. 34. 3. The richer Sort, not content with Conjecture, that Cothnoth gnor (which we right his common Drapery, sought out for something translate Coats of Skin) doth not fignify Taberia more artificial and gay, more fine and delicate. cles made of, or covered with Skins to keep out the Hence Joseph, when he was made Vice-roy of Egypt, Heat, as the forecited Commentator on Genefis doll was arrayed in Vestures of fine Linen, Gen. 41. 42. imagine; for it is faid, God made them Coats of and afterwards this and Silk, and Purple, and Scar-Skins, and clothed them, the latter being purpolely h, and Crimson, i. e. Fine Linen or Silk dyed with added to explain to us the former. And weare those Colours, became the usual clothing of all Perfure of this, that Garments of the Skins of Aff-Ms of Quality, Judg. 8. 26. & 14. 12. 2 Sam. 1. mals were the antientest Apparel: so that there 14. Prov. 31. 21, 22. Jer. 4. 30. was no Unlikelihood of the thing. Diodorus of St-Though their Garments of old were but few, yet cily expresly relates, that in the times of old they 'did use the Skins of wild Beasts to cover them: And that they lasted a long time among the Indlans, Perlians, Scythians, and the Old Romans, we may inform our selves from Profane History; which will tell us likewise that these Skins were the

their Ornaments were many. I will not here trou-Me you with inquiring into Jezebel's פוך (whence the Greek and Latin φυκω and fucus) 51β4 (70) sibium (Vulgar Latin) 2 Kings 9, 30. or Esther's Tameruk, σμηγμα (70) Esth. 2. 3, 9. which though they were Ornamental, yet belong not to Apparel. only Clothing that the Old Britains (the first Inhi-Nor will I rifle all the fantastick Gaicties of the wanton and proud Dames of Jewry, Isa. 3. 18, &c. bitum bit I will only take notice of some of the Chief most Usual Ornaments of Men and Women, and

and those which we most frequently find mention in Scripture, that thereby we may underly Persian Kings and Priests were clad in this Cidaris, what we read, and that we may be confirm'd in the Truth, (which I have so often urged) that the fire Rife of the most Antient things whatsoever is be derived from the Writings of the Old Tells ment. The Head-Ornament known by the Name of a Crown [Gnatarah or Gnatereth] was not in culiar to Kings, but was made use of by others, is to be inferr'd from Ezek. 16.12. & 23.42. white 'tis reckon'd among the other usual Ornamen appertaining to Women. It was worn by themat folemn and extraordinary times only, and most of all on the Day of their Nuptials, as the Jewish Do ctors inform us. The better fort of these Attire were gilt, the common ones were made of Flower. And that they were a Piece of Gentile Pomp and Gaiety, might be proved from feveral Authors, but I forbear. But there was a Crown which was proper to Kings, and is frequently call'd not only Gnatering but Nezer, (which latter Name is never, as Inmember, given to the other Crown) this was the pe culiar Badg of Regal Authority among the Ammonites, 2 Sam. 12. 30. and Persians, Esth. 1.11. &2. 17. & 6. 8. (where the Word is Cether, and it is call'd the Crown of the Kingdom, or the Royal Crown, as we translate it) and among the Jews, 2 Sam.1. 10. 2 Kings 11. 2. From Esth. 8. 15. Pfal. 21.3. Zech. 9. 16. we may gather that this Royal Crown was made of Gold, and fet with Precious Stones and Jewels. The Tsaniph, another Capital Ornament, ren-

dered sometime uidaeis by the LXX, and englished by our Translators a Mitre, and sometimes a Diffe

dem, was worn by Great and Honourable Persons,

and was a Badg of Authority and Eminency, asap-

as ' Curtius and other Historians acquaint us: and it is not to be doubted that they had it from the Jewish High Priests and Priests, the former of which wore a Mitre, Mitsnepheth, Exod. 29. 6. & 39. 28. and the latter Bonnets, Migbanoth, which were of of fine Linen, Exod. 29.9. & 39. 28. (as well as the Mitre) and are said to be bound upon their Heads, for that is the true Import of the Hebrew Word in the forecited Place in Exodus and in Lev. 8. 13. which plainly shews that these Mitres and Bonnets were of the Nature of Turbants, and so were the same with the Tfaniph, which was a Covering rolled up in Folds, and tied about the Head, from tsanaph, circumvolvere, circumligare. And indeed Tfaniph, if we exactly enquire into it, will be found to be the same Word with Mitsnepheth, only this latter is with a Mem Heemantick in the beginning, and a Tau in the End of it. If I had time I could shew that other Ornaments were borrow'd from the Jews, and that what God himself enjoined the High Priests was afterwards used by the Eastern Princes. As to the antient Head-Covering of Women,

I had occasion given me to speak of it when I discours'd on 1 Cor. 15. 29. therefore now I shall only speak of what was meer Ornament: the most eminent of which fort was their Frontal Jewel, which though it was fastned on their Foreheads, hung down lower, and thence is call'd Nezem Haaph, a Nose-Jewel, Isa. 3. 21. Gemma in fronte pendens, as the Vulgar Latin renders it: and St. 3 Jerom tells us that the Women in his time had Rings

pears from Job 29. 14. Ifa. 62. 3. Zech. 3.5. The Persian 1 Cidarim Persæ capitis vocabant insigne. Hist. lib. 6. 2 Comment. in Ezek. 16.

thought (and St. Augustin was of that number)

that the Nezem before mention'd, Gen. 24. 22.

and the Nose-Jewels mention'd Isa. 3. were Rings

in their Nostrils; for, as this Father observes,

or Jewels hung from their Foreheads dangling over the Nose. Nor was this of old reputed an immodest and unbecoming Ornament, for we find that Rebekab was presented in the Name of her absent Lover with this Forehead-Pendant, as a Pledg of his Conjugal Faith and Love, Gen. 24. 22. For it is Vulgar Errour, yea 'tis a Mistake which I find hath prevail'd among some Learned Writers, that Part of the Love-Token which was fent Rebekah was at Ear-Ring: there is no ground at all for it, for it express Terms it is said that the Nezem Zabab the Jewel of Gold (as'tis call'd in this v. 22. which our Translators render a Golden Ear-ring) was put gnal Aphah, upon her Nose, v. 47. or, as our Transsa tors themselves render it, upon her Face; for the Word Aph is largely taken sometimes for the Face But we never find that it is taken for the Ear, and therefore this place can't be meant of an Ear-ring, ye

our Translators themselves acknowledg as much

when gnal Aphabis rendered by them upon her Facet

wherefore there was no reason to render Nezeman

Edr-ring at the same Time and in the same Place.

Besides, the Women wore that sort of Ornament by

Couples, and consequently we may gather thence that

this Golden Nezem which was sent to Rebekah was

no Ear-ring, but a Pendulous Jewel upon her Face

or Forehead. Isaac had more of Generosity and

Courtship in him than to make an offer to his Mi-

stress of a Half-Present. The truth then of the

Matter is this, they had of old Forehead-Orna-

ments, as well as those that they wore in their Ears.

The plain and unquestionable Difference between

there was ' fuch a Custom among some of the Atrican Women: and others fince that time tell us the like of some of the Eastern People. Particularly a 'Modern Traveller informs us that at this Day not only in Persia, but other Oriental Regions, it is usual with the Women to bore their Nostrils, and wear Pendants there. But whatever may be the Custom at this Day, there is no Author that makes mention of it as practis'd of old by the People of the East, and particularly of Syria and Judea. Therefore we may conclude that the Nezem Haaph was a Jewel for the Forehead, but had its Denomination from its hanging above or over the So much of this emiggivior (or Nose-Ornament) as Symmachus renders it, and very properly: whereas the LXX most absurdly translate it evariou, and that too in the forenamed Place in Ezekiel, where it is plainly distinguish'd from the Ear-Ornament. I thought therefore I was obliged to give you a true and exact Account of the Original And truly if we observe what large Searches Eustathius and Servius make into a fingle Word in Homer and Virgil, and how builly and

concernedly other Critical Commentators on Prophane Authors dive into some dubious Expressions which occur in them, we cannot think this present

these is observable in Ezek. 16.12. I put a Jewel on Oriticizing or any other undertaken in this Difthy Forehead, and Ear-rings in thine Ears. The Nezem gnal Aph, the Jewel on the Forehead, or on the courfe Nose or Face, (for 'tis the same with Gen. 24, 47.) Mos Maurorum est ut inaures etiam in naribus habeant fœhitz: &c. Ourff. in Genet

244 The Excellency and Perfection course upon the Words in this Holy Book, to be superfluous and impertinent, unless we can perfwade our felves that the Terms which the How Ghost makes use of in this Sacred Volume, are not as well worth our enquiring into as those in other Authors. Another Ornamental Furniture which I will name, and which (of all others) is most frequently mention'd in the Books of the Old Testament, is that which is appropriated to the Ears. Concening which these useful Remarks may be made: First we may take notice of the Words whereby this Pendulous Ornament is express'd. The Word Nezamim (the Plural of the former Word Nezam) is not only a general Term for Jewels, but it is particularly used concerning those which are won in the Ears, Gen. 35. 4. Exod. 32. 2. and accord. ingly are render'd ένώτια by the Seventy Interpreters. Another Word is used in Isa. 3. 20. viz. L. chashim, from lacash to whisper or mutter, also w charm; because these are the Ornaments of that part (the Ear) which is most affected and wrought upon in Charms, and by which the Inchantment is receiv'd. But the more particular and restrained Word is Gnagil, Numb. 31. 50. Rotula, Spharula, a round Ring, from Gnagol Circulus; and the Plural Gnagilim we read in Ezek. 16. 12. which is render'd τεόχισκοι, i. e. rotulæ, by the LXX. Ear-rings had this Denomination because of their circular Figure: and the Jewels and Pearls which hung at thom were known by this Name, because they hung at these Circles, these round Wires of Gold put into the Ears. These then are the Ear-Ornament properly so call'd, being peculiar to this part alone,

be made to receive some Ornament to hang there. Yea, it is it felf a kind of Pendant, in respect of the other parts of the Ear. Besides, this is a Part in view, where Ornaments may be seen, which was one design of wearing them. This might prompt them at first to bore holes in this fleshy Part, and hang Jewels in them. Likewise, the Ear is the Organ of Hearing, and the grand Medium of Instruation and Discipline; it is on this account the most Honourable Part of the Body, and therefore in the first and innocent Ages they endeavour'd to adorn and honour it with placing Jewels there. This a 'Reverend and Learned Writer of a neighbouring Nation gives as the reason why they made these small Perforations in the Ear, and inserted Rings of Gold or Silver into them. He conjectures it to be done as a Sign of Honour to that noble Part, which is the Instrument of the chiefest and most useful Sense. Again, from the Sacred Writings we may fatisty our felves what People and Nations wore this antient Ornament. Here we read that those of Abraham's Race, particularly Jacob's Family, were decked with it, Gen. 35.4. By this it appears that they retain'd the innocent Fashion of the Country whence they came, for it was customary with the Assyrians to make these Apertures in their Ears, and let the Light through them as it were, according to that of the Poet, Natus ad Euphraten, molles quod in aure fenestra Arguerint. And

little Incisions made in it, because it is spungy and slexible, and will safely admit of these soft Pe-

netrations: and indeed it seems as it were to

lower part of the Ear as the fittest Place to have little. Mr. Weems, of the Image of God. 2 Juvenal, Sat. 1.

And afterwards it became among the Jews a way of adorning themselves, as is deducible from that manner of God's expressing his Kindness and Boung ty to the Jewish People, I put Ear-rings in thin Ears, Ezek. 16. 12. And that this antient Brave, ry was not confined to one Sex only among them, is plain from Exod. 32. 2. where we read of golden Ear-rings in the Ears of their Wives, of their Sons and of their Daughters, yea of all the People, in the next Verse. That boring of the Ears, and adj mitting of Gold into them, was also in use among the antient Arabs, we cannot but conclude from Job's accepting of an Ear-ring as a Present from his Friends, Job 42. 11. and wearing it for their fake; for Nezem is one of the Words (as you have heard) that is made use of to express that particular Or nament: and besides, it could not be the exterior viov (which is sometimes signified by that Word) because this was proper only to Women. Further, this was the usual Ornament of that Countrey, as several Historians since acquaint us. We have a Proof of this in Num. 31. 50. where it is enumerated among those Ornaments of the Midianites, i. e. Arabians, which became a Prey to the Jews when they slew all the Males, and took the Women Captive. And there is another very notable Proof of this in Judg. 8. 24. where is recorded that the Ishmaelites (or Midianites, for they were the same) who were a People of Arabia, had golden Ear-rings; and 'tis added that they had so because they were Ishmaelites, because by this means they would take off the Imputation of Slavery, of being of the Race of the Bondwoman, which fome might object to them. They all made Holes and Fiffures in the tender Lobes of their Ears, and therein wore these Ornaments to signify they were Free;

Free; for this antiently was reckon'd as a Token of Ingenious Breeding, and an Argument that the Persons who were deck'd with them, were of some considerable Rank and Station. But how then came it to be a Sign of Servitude among the Jews, for we read that they bored their Servants Ears? I answer, this was not a Mark of their being Servants, but it was a Testimony of their Voluntary Subjection and Obedience to their Masters, and a Mark whereby they might be known to be Theirs. The Words of the Law are observable, If the Servant shall plainly say, I love my Master, then his Master shall bring him unto the Judges, and he shall bore his Ear through with an Awl, and he shall ferve him for ever, Exod. 21. 6, 7. His great Love to his Master made him continue in his Service, and this Loving Service (for it was fuch, and not a Constrain'd Servitude) was testified by the boring of the Ear. The Perforation of this Part was fignificant, in that the Ear (as hath been faid before) is the Organ of Hearing, and confequently of Obe-To bear and to obey are lynonymous, to give ear and yield obedience are Terms convertible: therefore the Ear was submitted to the Threshold, and bored, to express the Person's submissive Obedience and Hearkning to his Master. And it is probable that when the boring of the Ear was done, they put some Iron or Brass Ring into the Hole, for otherwise it would close up, and there would be no Mark: whereas the Delign was to mark the Person, that he might be known to belong to such So that piercing the Ear was in order to a one.

something else, viz. to have something put into

it by the Master, perhaps sometimes a Ring of

The Amery Our spess es.

fome value, if he had a great Esteem of him as a very faithful Servant. This as a Visible Memento was R 4 to

to hang at that Place, and he was distinguished by it from others of better Rank, because it was in one Ear only. This, as I apprehend, is the true Notion of that lewish Usage: and it is the more like. ly and credible, because this Custom of boring the Ear was used towards those Servants who were so pleas'd with their Master and the Family, that they would not part from them, they would live with them continually, and be always in their Service. The Master had a proportionable Kindness and Love to fuch a Servant, and might reward him with an Ear-ring of Silver, or it may be of Gold. This Ornament was ever esteemed by the Antients as a Mark of some Gentility, as a Badg of some Repute and Creditable Estate in the World. Whence the Arabians, who had no mean Opinion of themfelves and of their Descent and Gallantry, went the most noted of all People heretofore for boring their Ears, as we learn from ' Petronius and others Yea indeed. it was an universal Practice (as Pliny observes) among the Eastern People both Men and Women, to punch the Lap of the Ear, and to hang some Ornament there. Concerning the Africans the same hath been observ'd by Authors, whence that Piece of Raillery in Plautus, 3 Digitos in manihus non habent: incedunt annulatis auribus. Carthaginians, faith he, as if they had no Fingers, wear their Rings (which were wont to shine there) in their Ears. And we may take notice that this is spoken of the Servants of that Countrey: whence we gather that these as well as Freemen had their Ears bored, and wore Rings in them. As to the

Pertunde aures ut imiremur Arabas. In Oriente que dem & viris aurum gestare eò loci decus existimatur, Nat, Hill. 11. c. 37. In Poenulo, Act. 5. sc. 2.

Romani,

Romans, some even of the Men among them (but very few) had their Ears bored, and wore Jewels in them, or in one of them at least, as appears from one of Tully's Jests which Macrobius relates. But a Multitude of Authors agree that 'twas the Mode of the other Sex among them, the Wealthy Matrons especially, to adorn that Part with Pearls and Precious Stones: and they purposely made their Incisions very large and wide for the Reception of Rings and Jewels of a great Magnitude, according to that of the Satyrist;

The Females underwent those troublesome and uneasy Perfossions in the Lappets of their Ears, in assurance of having them loaded with some Rich Pendants as a Recompence. Those tender Women ventur'd to wound their Flesh, because these Wounds were to be fill'd up with Gold. They became at last so extravagantly lavish as to this Ornature, that (as 'Pliny observes either of these or fome other Women) no part of them was so expensive and costly as their Ears. It was Seneca's Complaint, that whole Patrimonies and more dangled at the Tips of Ladies Ears. And some of the Antient Doctors of the Church were wont to lash this unsufferable Prodigality among the Chrifian Women, telling them, that they were fo hamefully profuse, as to hang 4 a whole Year's Re-Yenue at this Part.

Fur-

<sup>&#</sup>x27; Auribus extensis magnos commist Elenchos.

Saturnal. l. 7. c. 3. Juvenal. Sat. 1. Nec in alia parte feminis majus impendium, margaritis dependentibus. Nat. Hift. l. 11. c. 37. Mullebris infania virós ita subjecit, ut bina auterna Patrimonia auribus singulis suspenderent. De Benesic. 7. Graciles aurium cutes Kalendarium expendunt. Ter-

Furthermore, I take occasion from Scripture to remark that this Ornament was heretofore vied in Idolatrous Ends; for else we can't give any Account of the Patriarch Jacob's Injunction to his Servants and Houshold to put away the strange Gods that were in their Hand, and the Ear-rings that were in the Ears, Gen. 35. 2, 4. These two would not have been thus join'd together, unless the latter had been made use of in a Superstitious and Idolatrons manner. Enchanted Rings were usual of old, as we are informed from several Passages in History besides that concerning Gyges. And this may be one Reason perhaps why those Rings that were peculiar to the Ears were call'd Lechalhim, (as we heard before) viz. because they were wont to be made use of in Enchantments. Gold Rings werea fort of Talismans, and were used (as Petronius testifies) by the People of the Isle of Samothracia, int Magical way, to drive away Difeases, and todo strange Feats; and they learn'd this from the Egyptians, he faith. An' Ecclesiastical Writer upon the Place before alledged tells us, that among the Gentiles their Ear-rings had in them the Effigies of their Deities, and that they were made by them the Phylacteries of their Gods. That they were used in way of Magick, is attelled by the same Antient Writer, who reproves and condemns the Execrable Superstition of Ligatures in those Days which was performed by Ear-rings, which the Men wore in summis ex una parte auriculis. Whence a

5 S. Augustin, de Doctr. Christian, 1. 2. 6 Execranda superflicio ligaturarum, in quibus etiam inaures virorum in summis & una parce auriculis suspensæ deputantur, sed ad setviendum de monibus adhibetur. Augustin. Epist. 73. . J. Clerk Commentar. in Gen. 35.4.

late Learned 7 Critick would infer, that the Afri-

of the Holy Scriptures. can Servants wore their Ear-rings (not as others did, but) on the top of their Ears. But I conceive there is no ground for this Inference from St. Auaustine's Words; for if we consult the Place, we hall find that he speaks in general, and consequently not of Servants any more than others. And moreover, there may be another and more proper Construction of summa auricula, for summa may be as much as extremæ, and then not the upper part of the Ears, but the lowest, i. c. the Tips of them (where all Ear-rings are hung) are meant here. And ex una parte seems to me to signify their wearing their Ear-rings on one Side only, not in both Ears. This I conceive is the true and genuine Sense of that African Father's Words. But the main thing we observe from them is, that this fort of Ornament was employed heretofore to wicked and Diabolical Purposes; and thence were deservedly call'd by this Pious Writer, in the same Epistle, the Mark of the Devil. And this, it is probable, was derived from that more antient Practice of some of Jacob's Houshold before cited. As the Pagans used to consecrate their Hair, their Clothes, and things of all kinds to their Demons, so here some of Jacob's Family engraved the Image of some Idol on their Ear-rings, and wore them in remembrance of the feigned Deities: Or perhaps the Good Patriarch faw fome ground to fear that they would do thus, and therefore that these Ornaments might not be ferviceable to Idolatry, or (as Grotius faith) lest some Golden Calf should be made of their Ear-rings, he bids them cast them away; and when they had done so, he took them, and bid them under an Oak, ver. 4. buried them and their Gods together.

It is to be lamented that the Number of those who dedicate their Ornaments to false Gods, and make them ferviceable to some fort of Idolatry, is too great at this day. Indeed the fond Bigotry of the Emperor Severus was reprovable, who. when a pair of Pearls of inestimable Value was prefented to his Lady, order'd them to be hung at the Ears of Venus: but there are those who wear the richest Jewels themselves, and at the same time devote them to this Goddess, i. e. they make them wholly subservient to Lust and Lewdness, to Wantonness and Luxury, and other vitious Purposes: and when 'tis thus, Jacob's Injunction should be put in practice, the Choicest Ornaments are to be laid aside, it is time now to inter these Pernicious Idols. But those who know how to use their Ornaments in a right manner, that is, to substract them from all vicious Principles and Ends, to fuffer them not to administer to Levity and Vanity, to Softnessand Effeminacy, to lewd Desires and Inclinations, to Pride and Vain-glory; these, and only these, are the Persons to whom the using of them is lawful. And this must more particularly be applied to that kind of Ornament which I have been speaking of, which feems to be no effeminate one in it felf, both because it was used by Men, and likewise because 'tis accompanied with some Hardship, and requires fome Valour to endure the piercing of the Bodkin. They must bleed first before they wear it: and atterwards those little Wounds are continually kept gaping. And it cannot be thought unlawful and vicious in its own Nature, seeing the Israelites deck'd themselves with it, but are never reproved and check'd for it. They are not blamed for wearing Ear-

Ear-rings, but for making an Idol of them. The religious Rebekah, who wore the Frontal Jewel, did not boggle at the Auricular one; for questionless this is comprehended in the Keli Zahab, the Jewels of Gold which she was presented with, Gen. 24. 53. Yea. Holy Job, whose Spirit had been unspeakably broken and mortified by his Afflictions, yet refused not this Innocent Cift (which was of general Use in that Country) from the Hands of his Friends. So much of this Ornament, which was the Antientest, the most Universal, the Simplest, and the most Unaffected of any that we read of in the Sacred Records: on which Accounts it hath the Precedence of all others. But this and other Adornings, in these licentious times, are abused by their Commonness: whereby that Distinction which ought to be made between the different Ranks of Persons is taken away; and that Money is lavish'd in a needless and unbecoming Dress, which should be laid out in Bread.

Again, the Neck was not destitute of its proper Decking, viz. Chains. These in great Persons were Ensigns of Authority and Dignity (as they are in several Places at this Day): thus Pharaoh put a Gold Chain about Joseph's Neck, Gen. 41. 42. and Belshazzar did the same to Daniel, Dan. 5.29. This was a general Ornament of the Midianites or Arabians, as appears from Numb. 31. 50. where it is mention'd with a great many others that were in fashion among that People. It was used by the Jews, as we may gather from Prov. 1.9. It was more especially the graceful Attire of the softer Sex, Cant. 1. 10. Charuzim are Pearls on a String orderly disposed, as Buxtorf explains the Word. And these Necklaces of Pearl are, it is probable, meant by Gnanak, Cant. 4.9. One Chain, i. e. one

of those Strings of Pearl: And that this was a piece of Woman's Finery is plain from Ezek. 16.11. Where it is numbred among other Ornaments of that Sex, and is stiled Rabid: Another fort of Neck-Ornaments (we may call them Counter-points) much antienter than these, is mention'd, Judg. 5. 30. Needlework of divers Colours, wrought on both Sides, for the Necks (ad ornanda colla, as the Vulgar Latin bath it) of these tasks the Stell.

Latin hath it) of those that take the Spoil. The Arms or Wrists were wont of old to have their peculiar Adorning, viz. with Bracelets: Of which we read first of all in Gen. 24. 30. these as well as the Forehead-Pendants being presented to Rebekah by her Servant Isaac. We find it in the Catalogue of the Female Ornaments used by the Jews, Ezek. 16. 11. And this was worn not only by Women but Men, viz. fuch as were of fome considerable Figure in the World, as Judah, Gen. 38. 18. and King Saul, 2 Sam. 1. 10. And from Exod. 35. 22. it may be concluded that both Sexes at first made use of them. As to the Nature of them, I shall not here enquire into it: only Iremark this, that from the several Distinct Names given in Scripture to them, as Tsemidim, Petilim, Chach, Atsenadah, we may infer, that there were several forts and kinds of them. It is certain that the first mention of them is in the Bible: and asterwards we read of them in Profane Authors. These tell us, that even Men, yea Martial Men, did not disdain this Finery; of which we have remarkable Instance in the Sabines, who inveigled Tarpeia to betray the Capitol to them, by promifing to give her what they wore on their left Arms, by which she thought they meant their Bracelets; but they, both to keep their Word, and to punish the Treason, threw in their Bucklers to boot,

where-

wherewith the poor faithless Maid was stifled and overwhelmed.

We read of another Ornament, which was for the Hands and Fingers, viz. a Ring, Tabbagnath. This is reckon'd among other Habiliments of the Midianites, Numb. 31. 50. and of the Jewish Women, Isa. 3. 21. And that it was an Innocent Ornament among the Jews afterwards, we may gather from the Parable, where the kind Father put a Ring on the Hand of the returned Spendthrift, Luke 15. 22. And St. James's xguoodaunulio, Ch. 2. v. 2. shews it was fashionable in those Days. Sometimes their Rings were garnished with Precious Stones, for we read of Gold Rings fet with the Beryl, Cant. 5. 14. Some of them were antiently wont to be cut and engraved, and so were made use of as Seals. The first mention of this Chotham, this Sealing Ring, is in Gen. 38. 18. Judah gave his Daughter (whom he took to be another Female) a Signet, as a Pawn, to assure her of something of another nature. Perhaps some began then to betroth Virgins with a Ring, and fo this Pledg was a Symbol of Judah's espousing Thamar. If this were to (which I think can't be disproved) the Wedding Ring is of greater Antiquity than is imagined. The Seal-Ring was worn on their right Hands, as appears from Jer. 22. 24. It was of great Use, and much prized, and therefore carefully kept, as this Place and others, Cant. 8. 6. Hag. 2. 23. import. And not only Jews but Persians frequently used this fort of Ring, Eftb. 3. 12. & 8.8. and it was a Badg of Authority among them, for Abasuerus pluck'd off his Ring from his Finger, and bestowed it on Haman, Esth. 3. 10. and afterwards on Mordevai, Efb. 8.2. to fignify the Power and Honour he in-Wifted them with. And the like Practice was long · before

the same Verse again Ἰζαλλάσσυσαι ελαὶ, change-

able Garments. Of these we read in Judg. 14. 12.

2 Kings 5. 5, 22. Zech. 3. 4. These were their Dif-

ferent Habits which they put on, according to the

Difference of Times, and the Employment they

were about. These were an usual Present of old

(as appears from some of the Texts which I have

ver.

before among the Egyptians, Gen. 41. 42. Pharach took off his Ring from his Hand, and put it on Josephy. Where by the way we may observe, that Pliny is no good Antiquary when he faith the Egyptians knew not the Use of these Rings. And he further shews himself desicient in Antiquity, when he tells us, that they were not used in the Time of the Trojan War (because forsooth Homer doth not make mention of them): for this Instance was at least five hundred Years before that Trojan Expedition. And the other of Judah was somewhat before this. So that it is clear that the first Discovery of things in the World is made in the Writings of the Old Testament: and afterwards Humane Authors derive their Relations from these. or speak of things that were in imitation of them, as 2 Dionys. Halicarn. 3 Livy, 4 Pliny, mention the Sabines and Romans wearing of Rings.

Before I quit this Part of my Discourse concerning the Attire made mention of in Scripture, I will take notice that this was according to the Rank, Place and Dignity of the Persons, or according to the Occasion or Business they were employed about Thus we read of Lebush Malkuth, Esth. 6.8. The Royal Apparel which the King used to wear. And the Queens had their Royal Vestment proper to their Dignity, which is absolutely and barely stiled Malkuth, Esth. 5. 1. She put on the Kingdom; so the Hebrew. To this belong the μαλακά ιμάτια, the soft Apparel, fit for Kings Houses, i. e. their Courts, Mat. 11.8. Others, though not of so high a Rank, had their Galiphoth shemaloth, their Changes of Rai-

here alledg'd) that they customarily bestow'd on their Friends as Tokens of Hospitality and Love. That this was an antient Practice may be collected from Homer, by whom these Changes of Garments are call'd ' हामकार रहममागड़िक. And among other Gifts and Presents which Alcinous gave to Ulysses, - υφαντά τε έματα καλά, are reckon'd. That the same Persons had Different Sutes of Clothes appears from Gen. 27. 15. which mentions Esau's goodly Raiment, which was very rich and fashionable, and which had been laid up by his Mother among those Aromatick Spices and Odours (as'tis likely was the Custom then) which the Fields in those warm Countries supplied them with; whence we read of the Smell of his Raiment, ver. 27. This Perfumed Sute was fetched out and worn by him at folemn Seasons, as we may gather from the Occasion of Jacob's using it by his Mother's Order. So in fucceeding times they were clad on Festival Days, and Times of Rejoicing, with a better fort of Apparel than they wore at other times. These are stilled Garments of Beauty, Isa. 51. 1. and Garments of Praise, Isa. 61.3. fuch as they put on at times of Rejoicing and praising God. Especially White Garments were then much in sashion: to which refers that of Ecclesiastes, ch. 9.

ment, Gen. 45. 22. which are rendred by the Greek

Inter-

Codys. 9. Codys. v.

<sup>4</sup> Nat. 1 Nat. Hist. 1. 33. c. 1. 2 Lib. 11. 3 Hist. 1. 3. Hift, l. 33. c. 1.

ver. 7, 8. After he had faid, Go thy way, ent this Bread with Joy, and drink thy Wine with a min Heart, he adds, Let thy Garments be always white Of this particular fort of Garments used at Feasis among the Jews, Philo speaks in his Discourse of Theoretick Life. And perhaps fuch a Linen Vesture or Ephod David wore at a solemn time of Rejoicing. 1 Chron. 15. 27. This is certain, that the richer fort of People among the Jews were wont to well, especially in publick, this White Clothing. Whelk the Nobles and Great Men were stiled Choring 1 Kings 21.11. Neb. 13.17. Ecclef. 10. 17. Ifa.34 12. Jer. 27. 20. & 39. 6. i. e. Candidi, from the Colour of their Garments. This gives an Account of εωhs λαμπρο, the bright Apparel, Jam. 2, 2, Whence it was that the Fullers were an antient Trade, and are more than once mention'd in Scripture, 2 Kings 18.17. Mal. 3.2. But by this Name are not meant those who deal about combing or plucking the Wool, but those that wash'd and whiten'd both Woolen and Linen, and took out their Spots and Filth, which were foon contracted, and as easily seen in that White Raiment. It is probable that the Fullers Field, Isa. 7.3. was the Place where they dress'd and dried their Cloth: and it is likely that it was made choice of by those Tradesmen, because it was so near the Pool (which they had occasion to use constantly) mention'd in the same Verse. The Fullers Sope, Mal. 3.2. Was useful to this purpose: The Hebrew Word Borin is rendred noa, berba, by the Seventy, because the used some certain Plant or Herb of an abstersive and cleanling Nature, a kind of Sope-wort. In the New Testament likewise this Employment is spoke of; for the Evangelist, speaking of our Saviour Garments at his Transfiguration, faith, they became

Phining, exceeding white as Snow, such as no Fuller on Earth can white them, Mark 9. 3. Where it is not improbable that And of which we render on Earth, should rather be english'd with Earth, i. e. with Fullers-Earth; which, with other things, was fo useful in scouring and cleanling their Garments, and reducing them to their former Whiteness: поλλή γη in Theophrastus (whom the Learned Hammond quotes) fignifies much of this Fullers-Earth; whence that Excellent Critick is enclined to think that that is the meaning of 2n here. And tis certain that the Prepolition 6211 is sometimes used in that manner which it is in this Place. The Use of White Garments was in great Esteem not only among the Jews (of whom I have spoken already) but the Persians, as may be seen in Esth. 8.5. But especially the Romans hugely affected to wear Clothes of this Colour, and that chiefly at their Fealts and on High Days: Then their bright Gowns were put on, which with their Eatings and Drinkings they brought home foil'd, and thence they had occasion for Fullers very much, to cleanse their Gowns of Spots, and to make them white again. These Garments which they put on when they went out upon folemn Invitations to Suppers, were called vestes accubitoria, canatoria, cibaria, toga triclinares, and are often mention'd by Pliny, Martial, and other Writers. Among the Greeks this Habit was known by the Name of Evolution Activition, and (as we learn from ' Xiphilin) sound demains. To these belongs the ένδυμα γάμε spoken of before, and perhaps that Linen Garment (Mark 14. 51.) which a young Man had cast about him. This young Man (faith St. Ambrose) was John the Evangelist, S 2

<sup>!</sup> In vit. Adriani,

who went with Christ from the Supper into the Garden, having on his Festival Garment still. I

could observe also that Garments of diverse Colours were in great Esteem of old: thus the Beloved 70feph had his Phassim, (ποικίλου, LXX) Gen. 37. 3. And the Royal Ladies were thus apparell'd, 2 Sam. 13. 18. This is call'd Rikmah in Judg. 5. 30. and Tsebagnim in the same Verse.

To fee themselves, and how their Apparel sat, they had of old no Looking-Glasses, properly so call'd, for we have no Word for Glass in the Old Testament, though in the New we have Uang and υαλιγός, Rev. 4, 16. & 15. 2. & 21. 18, 21. and it is probable that the Artificial making and ordering of it was found out about that time, as we may gather from what' Pliny faith of it. Their Speculums were not made of Glass, (as now) but of polished Brass, otherwise the Jewish Dames could not have contributed them towards the making of the Brazen Laver, Exod. 38.8. These are the Miroth here spoken of, which are also expressed by other Words, as Rei, Job 38. 8. and Gilinim, Isa. 3. 23. all three from Hebrew Roots, which fignify to fee, to reveal or discover, because Objects appear and are feen in these Speculums. Of this fort of Mirrors, made of some bright Metal, and particularly of burnish'd Brass, ' Pliny and ' Vitruvius speak. But before this Invention, yea and afterwards, among all the plainer fort of People, the Water, in Ponds and Rivers, when the Surface of them was fmooth and even, was instead of Looking-Glasses to them: and that might be one Reason why they often of old went down to visit these Places, and after they

of the Holy Scriptures. had well viewed themselves in them, made use of them for Bathing. Men likewise at first used to look themselves in Fountains and Rivers:

---- 4 Nuper me in littore vidi, faith the Shepherd in Virgil. Thence Speculum udum is the Periphrasis of a River in Apuleius. And from other Testimonies it might be proved, that they antiently look'd their Faces in Waters. So that when the Burnish'd Looking-Glasses of the Hebrew Women were commuted into a Laver, they were thereby feafonably put in mind of the first Watry Speculums.

Lastly, to put a Period to this Head of my Discourse, I will take notice of the rending of the Garments, so often spoken of in the Divine Writings. This they did either when some great Calamity befel them, or when some Enormous Fact was committed, or when some Impious and Blasphemous Words were uttered; and briefly it was a Sign of extraordinary Grief, Perturbation of Mind, Anger, great Displeasure, Detestation. Frequent Examples we have of it among the Hebrews, Gen. 37. 29. 6 44. 23. Numb. 14. 6. Josh. 7. 6. Judg. 11. 35. 2 Sam. 1. 2. Mat. 26. 65. Acts 14. 14. And the Arabians express'd their doleful Resentments by this Ceremony, Job 1. 20. & 2. 12. And so did the Persians, as may be rationally supposed from Mordecai's running in this mournful Posture through the Streets, where he would have been thought to be mad, if that People had not used the same way of testifying their Mourning, Estb. 4. 1. And indeed we are affired from ' Herodotus, ' Xe- $S_3$ nophon,

De Ar-' Nat. Hist. 1. 36. c. 26. 2 Nat. Hist. 1. 33. c. 9. chitect. 1. 7. c. 3.

<sup>!</sup> In Bucolic. Lib. 3. De Cyri Institut. 1. 3.

nophon, and Q. Curtius, that the Persians were wont to rend their Clothes when they had any doleful Tidings brought them. In imitation of them the Greeks did so, but very sparingly. And several Historians ascertain us, that the Romans used this Custom when they would shew their excessive Sorrow and Trouble of Mind, especially at the Death and Funerals of their Friends.

Which reminds me of the last Part of my Task, viz. to speak of the Scripture-Antiquities which relate to Burial and Funerals.

CHAR

## CHAP. VI.

Here we are informed concerning the Primitive Institution of Burying. Graves and Sepulchres were generally in the Fields, and without the Walls of Cities. They usually embalmed the dead Bodies. Why they sometimes burnt them. Burning also signifies Embalming. There was a Difference between the Funcral Burning of the Jews and of the Heathens. The Manner and Time of Mourning for the Dead. Both Vocal and Instrumental Musick used at Funcrals. The Antiquity of Funeral Monuments. The old may of creeting great Heaps of Stones over the dead. Stone-heng is a Sepulchral Monument, and in imitation of it. Anal's Invention of Mules. Writers borrow from one another. The Bible only is the Book that is beholden to no other. Here is the Antientest Learning in the World: and that of all Kinds. 'Tis common with Authors to contradict themselves, and one another: they are uncertain, lubricous, and fabulous. But the Divine Writers alone are certain and infallible. How strange and improbable foever some of the Contents of this Holy Rook may feem to be, they justly command our firm Affent to them.

ERE, and only here, we find the first Institution of Burying or Inhumation; the Antiquity of which is greater than is commonly thought. Man's Original and Interment are both joined together, Gen. 3. 19. for he is told by Godhimself, that he must return unto the Ground, because out of it he was taken: and that he may be assured of it, it is repeated in the same Place, Dust thou art,

De reb. gest. Alex. M. l. 5. Museus in Erone. Dionys, Halicarn. l. 3. c. 24. Herodian. l. 1. Dio l. 40.

and to Dust thou shalt return. Man acts in a Circle, he goes back to his first Principle, to the same Point again, the Earth of which he was compounded. Here is the Primitive Law of Burial, i. e. of committing the Body to the Earth (which is properly Interring): this was instituted by God, and this is the most proper way of disposing of the dead Body. Of this the Pious Sufferer speaks, saying, Naked came I out of my Mother's Womb, and naked shall I return thither, Job 1.21. Having in the former Clause mention'd his Mother's Womb; and the Earth being as it were his Mother, he faith, he shall return thither, as if he had mention'd the Earth. Therefore, according to Chrysostom and some other Expositors, his Mother's Womb is interpreted the Earth. But there is fomething more than this, which hath not been taken notice of by Interpreters: therefore the better to shew the Tenour of the Words, I desire it may be observed, that it is in the immediately foregoing Verse said, Job sell down upon the Ground, grovell'd upon the bare Earth, and then he took occasion to utter these Words, Naked came I, &c. As if he had faid, I am here laid low upon the Ground, which reminds me of my original Extraction: out of this I and all Mankind were first taken, as we were since out of our Mothers Wombs, and to the Ground we must return again, which is the Mother of all. This, as I conceive, is the true Meaning of the Words, which could not have been discover'd without attending to the foregoing Verse, to which these have a plain Reference. This Notion hath been entertained by Pagan Writers when the Earth is called by them man privile and drusting, but none of them mention (because they were ignorant of) that first and original Order of Burial, Unto Dust

thou shalt return, on which this is founded. Man by these Words is appointed to be laid in the Ground, to be buried in the Earth. In pursuance of which Order Men have been naturally enclined to take care of decent Burial, and to bestow the Bodies of the Dead in the Earth. Therefore the burying with the Burial of an Ass, which is properly no burying at all, is abhorr'd by Mankind, and is threatned as a Judgment from Heaven, Jer. 22.19. for I suppose few will attend to what Gosephus saith, that Nebuchadnezzar took Jehoiakim (who is the Person to whom this is threatned) and kill'd him, and ripping up an Asses Belly, buried him in it, which this Writer faith is the fulfilling of the Prophecy. It is rather to be understood of his being not buried at all, but expos'd to the Air and Putrefaction above ground (as Beasts are) he being cast forth beyond the Gates of Jerusalem, as it follows in the next Clause; and more expresly in Jer. 36. 30. his dead Body was cast out in the Day to the Heat, and in the Night to the Frost.

Though Burial was used from the beginning, yet the first Instance we meet of it is that in Gen. 23. 19. viz. of Abraham's burying Sarah, to which purpose he bought a Field with a Cave in it, wherein he lodg'd his beloved Wife, Gen. 23. 17, 18, 19. and there afterwards he was buried himfelf, Gen. 25. 8. and in the same Sepulchre were deposited the Corps of Isaac and Rebekab, Jacob and Leab, Gen. 49. 31. This then we are certain of, that Fields were the first Places of Burial, (I mean the first that we read of) and Caves the first particular Repositories of the Dead. And thus generally it was afterwards, fo far as we have any Disco-Veries

veries from these Holy Records. The Burying-Plan ces were in the Fields, and not within Cities and wall'd Towns. Only here I must premise that there were some few Exceptions, as that in I Sam. 25. 1. they buried Samuel in his House at Ramah. There were at that time some Persons interr'd privately, and then their Corps were not carried abroad. This was the Case of Samuel, who though he had been an eminent Person, yet chose an obscure Burial. Nay, it is likely that all Persons at first of a mean Figure and private Capacity, were lodg'd when they were 'dead, in the fame. Ground on which they dwelt when they were alive Which is Servius's Remark on a Passage in Virgit Of old, faith he, all Men were buried in their Howfes. And 'Isidore agrees with him. Another Instance of this private Interment was Joab, who though he had been a Great Man, yet went off the Stage in very ill Circumstances, and was buried in bis own House in the Wilderness, 1 Kings 2.34. And King Manasses who had been so exorbitant an Offender, voluntarily chose a mean and humble Grave in the Garden of his own House, 2Kings 21.18. as thinking himself unworthy of the Royal Sepulchre of his Fathers, which was in the City of David. And here also is remarkable another Exception, viz. as to the Burial of some of the Jewish Kings, who were not buried without the Walls, but in the City it felf, viz. Zion, (the upper Part of Jerusalem, where the Temple and the King's Palace were feat ed) the City of David, as we expresly read concerning the Burial of David, 1 Kings 2. 10. mon,

- Apud majores omnes homines in suis domibus sepeliebanur. In Am. 6. Priùs autem in domo sua quisque sepeliebatur. Orig. 15. C. 11.

mon, 1 Kings 11. 43. Jeboram, 2 Chron. 21. 20. and others. The rest had a Royal Burying-place without the City, and King Uzziah being a Leper, was not interred with some of the other Kings, but in the Field of the Burial which belong'd to the Kings, 2Chron. 26. 23. And in the Fields or Places separated from their Cities and great Towns, they generally disposed of their Dead heretofore. Rachel was buried in the way to Ephrath, i. c. Bethlehem, Gen. 35. 19. Not to speak of Moses's Burial in a Valley, Deut. 34.6. which was of God's own dispofal, we read that Aaron before him was buried on Mount Hor, Numb. 20. 28. Deut. 10. 6. and Joshua after both these on Mount Ephraim on the Side of a Hill there, Josh. 24. 30. The Son of the Widow in Naim was carried to be buried without the Gates of the City, Luk. 7.12. Lazarus's Grave was without the Town of Bethany, John 11.30, 32. Joseph's Sepulchre, where our Saviour was laid, was in a Garden without Jerusalem, John 19.41. in the Place where he was crucified there was a Garden. And that the Graves of the Jews were without the Cities, is evident also from Mat. 27. 52, 53. Graves were opened, and many Bodies of Saints which flept arose, and came out of the Graves, and went into the holy City. Thence the Devils are faid to abide among the Tombs, Mat. 8. 28. these being Places of solitude, remote from the City. Hence we read of the μνημεία τα άδγλα, Graves that appear not : and the Men that walk over them (they being in the Fields

and High-ways) are not aware of them, Luk. 11.
44. To prevent which, they fometimes wash'd them over with White Lime, that Passengers might the better discern and discover them, and thereby avoid Desilement. These are the whited Sepul-three, Mat. 23. 27. to which our Saviour compares

and

## 268 The Excellency and Perfection

the Pharisees. These were situated in the Common Ways and Fields, at least some were in the Sub. urbs. This was the Law and Practice of the Greek and from them the Romans borrow'd this Custom who (as feveral Authors witness) buried none within the City, but without the Gates in the Fields and High-way Sides: whence the Epitaphs were directed ad Viatores. Thus it was among the Chil. stians of old: We bury our dead without the City, salth 3 Chrysostom, therein letting us know what was the antient Custom of the Eastern Churches. But afterwards People were loth to lie in the wide and open Fields, and defired their dead Bodies might be taken into Cities, then into Church-yards, and Constantine the Great was peculiarly favour'd to be interr'd in the Church-porch. Afterwards, what fome presum'd to bury their dead in Churches, there were 'Canons made against it. But by degreesit became lawful to do it in most Countries where Christianity was received: and as to England, Bodies were first brought to be buried in Churches here, by the Means and Procurement of Cuthbert Archbishop of Canterbury, A. D. 758. The Turks at this Day refuse to bury in their Mosches or Temples, or within the Walls of their Cities, though (if you will believe it) their Prophet had the Privilege to be exempted from the common way of Burial, and was entomb'd at Mecca. have this likewise to observe, that as Persons of great Rank had particular Apartments and Places fet apart for the burying of themselves and their Family, (as is evident from what hath already been faid, and may be confirmed from 2 Sam. 19. 37. 1 Kings

1 Homil. 89. tom. 6. 2 Bibliothec. Jur. Can. Collect. Con-Stitut. Eccles.

1 Kings 13. 22, 31, 32. where these peculiar Repositories are call'd the Graves of their Fathers, and of their Mothers, and the Sepulchers of their Fathers) fo the poor and meaner fort of Persons were buried in a common and promiscuous Place of Sepulture, Jer. 7. 32. & 26. 23. the Graves of the Sons of the People.

To Burying appertains Embalming, of which we have the first Instance in Gen. 50. 2. And the next is in the 26th ver. for Joseph who had taken that care of his Father, was embalmed himself, and then out in a Coffin, a Chest, as the word Aron fignifies. No History whatsoever goes so far back as this: though, 'tis true, we have these particular Passages of Moses's History confirmed by Pagan Historians, afterwards; for 'Herodotus tells us this was the Practice of the Egyptians, and fully describes the manner of it: yea he mentions the birge, the Coffins wherein the Corps were deposited after the Embalming was finish'd. \* Pliny doth the like, shewing us how they open'd the Bodies, disbowell'd them, and fill'd them with Aromaticks. Mofes records that forty Days were fulfill'd in Embalming, Gen. 50. 3. which agrees with what Herodotus and Diodore of Sicily say of this Egyptian Performance, viz. that it was done with great Curiolity and Art, and that a Considerable Time was spent about it. And feeing there was required Skill to know and choose out the best Herbs, Drugs, Ointments and Spices, it is no wonder that this was (as you heard before) the Physicians work. From the Egyptians this was derived to other Nations, and particularly to the Jews, who constantly used it more or less towards the Bodies of such as were of any Rank

<sup>!</sup> In Euterpe. ! Nat. Hist. lib. 2. c. 27.

and Quality. Hence we read of the Embalming of King Asa, 2 Chron. 16. 4. of King Zedekiah, Jen. 34. 5. of which more anon. And it was used to our Saviour, as is particularly recorded, John 18. 40. They took the Body of Jesus, and wound it in lines Clothes, (which was a Custom generally observ'd by all other Nations, though the 1 Lacedemonians by a particular Order of Lycurgus buried all in Woollen, as we do at this Day) with the Spices, viz. a mixture of Myrrh and Aloes about a hundred Pound weight. (mention'd in the foregoing Verse) which Nicode. mus liberally bestow'd on Christ's Body for this Purpose. This they did, designing to preserve it entire and found, and to keep it free from Putrefaction, not knowing or being perswaded of his Refurrection. And 'tis added here, that this Care of Embalming dead Bodies, was a Jewish Custom, As the Manner of the Jews is to Embalm; for so we may truly read the Text, ενταφιάζειν being the Word here used, which is the same that the Seventy Interpreters express Embalming by in Gen. 50. 2. Soes τὸν ένπεφιασμὸν, Mark 14. 8. may be rendred not to the burying, but to the embalming: for 'tis said, the pious Female scame afore-hand in order to this; so that she now anticipated that Funeral Work which she and others of her Sex afterwards came to perform to their Dear Master, by

bringing sweet Spices to his Sepulchre, Mark 16. 1. But though committing the Body to the Ground (in order to which Embalming was used) was the generally received Custom of the Jews, yet sometimes, but very rarely, another was practis'd by them, and that was Burning the dead Bodies, or some part of them at least. Thus they took the Bo-

? Plutarch. vita Lycurg. . weokhaßs.

dies of Saul and of his Sons from the Place where the Philistines had hung them up, and came to Jabesh, and burnt them there, 1 Sam. 31.12. They laid the dead Bodies on a Pile of Wood, and confumed the Flesh of them to Ashes, but they buried their Bones (which were not confumed by the Fire) under a Tree at Jabesh, v. 13. But this was an extraordinary Case; for these Bodies had hung so long in the Air, that the Flesh was putrissed and rotted, and partly eaten with Worms, fo that the committing them to the Fire was the best way of Funeration at that time. There is another Instance of this Funeral Conflagration in Amos 6. 9, 10. If there remain ten Men in one House, they shall die : and a Man's Uncle shall take him up, and he that burneth him, to bring out the Bones out of the House. But as we may easily perceive from the Words themselves and the Context, this was in the time of a great Pestilence, which raged so furiously, that there was scarcely a sufficient Number of Men lest to bury the Dead, and it was unsase at such a Time to go abroad, and carry the Corps in folemn manner to the Grave, and perform the Rites of Funeral. In fuch extremity Burning was a good Expedient, because after the Carcafe was reduced to Ashes, the Bones (which being hard and folid remain'd unburnt) might cafily be carried out of the infected House, and laid in the Ground. Wherefore from this Place in Amos, and from the foregoing one in Samuel, I think it is evident that they burnt the Corps among the Jews fometimes, though very feldom. There is another Burning at Funerals which we cannot but take notice of: so concerning King Afa, 'ris said that they laid him in the Bed (the Funeral Bed, 2 Sami 3.31.) which was filled with sweet Odours, and divers kinds of Spices, prepared by the Apothecary's (or Phyfician's)

(according

The Excellency and Perfection sician's) Art, (for this King fought unto them, and is now come under their last Hands) and they made a very great Burning for him, 2 Chron. 16. 14. which cannot be meant of burning his Corps, for 'tis exprefly faid before in the same Verse, they buried him in his own Sepulchre: wherefore this was not a burning his Body in the Fire, but only an usual Piece of State which was observ'd at the Funeral Solemnities of Kings and Great Personages. It was, it feems, the Custom not only to embalm the Bodies of fuch eminent Men, (for that is meant here by the sweet Odours and divers kinds of Spices prepared by the Apothecary's Art, whose Employment it partly was to dress Men for their Funerals) but to set up a great Heap or Pile of this fort of Aromaticks, and make a Bonfire of them, as a Triumphal Valediction to the departed. Some add that it was the Custom to burn the Clothes, Chariots, Armour, and other things belonging to the Dead, and that this was in Honour of their Memory. King Zedekiah, though he died a Captive, was not denied this last Honour and Obsequy, Jer. 34. 5. He died with the Burnings of his Fathers, the former Kings that were before him: so they burnt Odours for him, and lamented him. On which place Maimonides notes, that the Jews did not use to burn the dead Bodies, but that this is meant only of burning their Clothes, and some other things appertaining to them, with Frankincense and other Aromatick Drugs, in Honour of the deceased. But though it was no Jewish Custom to burn the dead Bodies, yet it is probable that they extracted the Entrails, (as was usual

in Embalming, to which this and the former Texts

do partly refer) and confumed them in the Fire with

those Perfumes before spoken of. So that the Se-

rephab, the Burning which you read of in the fore-

named

named Place was not (as is imagined by Interpreters generally) for Royal State only, but it was in way of Pollingture. And this Opinion was first suggested to me from 2 Chron. 21. 18, 19. where the reason is assigned why the People of Israel refused to pay this Funeral Duty to K. Jehoram, He was smote in the Bowels with an incurable Disease, and his Rowels fell out by reason of his Sickness, of which he died: and his People made no Burning for him, like the Burning of his Fathers. Observe it, his Bowels being rotted, and by that means loofned, fell out, and were immediately disposed of by reason of the Stench, and consequently these Parts could not be pompoully laid on the Fire with sweet Odours, as was the Custom, and (as the Consequence of this) there could be no Funeral Burning for him. I know 'tis generally faid by Expositors, that this Ceremony was omitted meerly because this Jehoram was a wicked King: and Grotius goes along with them, and adds, that they paid a greater or lesser Honour to their Kings when they were dead according to the Merits of their Actions when they were alive, which he applauds as a Good Custom. But though this might be true, yet it is not the Reason that is here given (which we are now enquiring into) why there was no Burning for Jehoram. The true Gause of this Omission was (as this Text acquaints us) the falling out of his ulcerated Bowels by reason of his Sickness, where. by it became impossible to have the usual Burning of his Fathers, whose Boweis were entire and sound, and so capable of being burnt in a solemn Manner with all forts of Spices and Odours. This was a Concomitant of the Embalming, and so accordingly in the forenamed Instances of Asa and Zedehigh tis probable their Entrails were taken out

of the Holy Scriptures. pears that the Antient Galls, Spaniards, and other Nations, were no Strangers to it. Yea, some Old Britains took it up, and Polydore mentions particularly the flaming, the Blazing Obsequies of Belinur King of the Britains. This Pagan Usage was first lett off among the Romans in the Reigns of the Antonines. And when Christianity got a firmer Footing in the World, it was quite laid afide and extinct, and they return'd to the old Primitive In-

stitution of burying the dead Bodies in the Earth, from whence they had their Original. Of other things relating to Funeral Rites we have the antientest Account in these Inspired Writings: as namely, that they used to mourn for the Dead in a folemn manner, rending their Garments, and putting on Sackcloth, as may be gathered from what Jacob did, thinking his Son Joseph was dead, Gen. 37. 34. and as may be made appear from more postive Texts, which make mention of exchanging their usual Habit for Hair-cloth, or some such coarse fort of Covering (known by the Name of Sac, not

only among the Hebrews, but all other Nations) whereby they used to testify their Grief. This altering, the Habit and Wearing of Mourning Apparel at Funerals was afterwards practifed among the Jews, 2 Sam. 14. 2. So was the Ceremony of covering the Face and Head, 2 Sam. 19.4. for in that manner David express'd his Mourning for the Death of his dear Absalom. Whence we may understand the Meaning of Lev. 10.6. Uncover not your Heads, i. e. put not off your usual Head-Attire to put on the Covering of Mourners: it is not God's Will that you should lament the Death of those wicked Men, Nadab and Abibu, And from this you may know how to interpret Ezek. 24.17. Bind the Tire of thy Head upon thee, i.e. keep on thy ordi-

(according to the Custom of Embalming) in order to have their dead Bodies replenish'd with odoriferous Compositions: and the Burning for them spoken of in the respective Places, is meant of the confuming of their Intestines in the Fire with sweet-smelling Gums and precious Ointments. But Jehoram was wholly incapable, because of the unusual Max lady whereof he died, of this Fragrant Burning which was the Funeral Ceremony generally used at the Deaths of his Progenitors. This I take to be the genuine meaning of the Place: but however I submit this to the Judgment of Learned and Impartial Criticks, who (whether this Comment be true or conjectural only) will not disdain this free offer of my Sentiments on this Text. It appears from what hath been faid that the Funeral Burning of the Jews, and of the Heathers, was not of the fame kind. The former was only a committing of the Bowels of the Dead to the Flames, the latter was a Burning of their whole Bodies. - Belides. among the lews their Conflagration was used to their Kings and Great Ones only, but among the Pagans to all. Rurying in the Ground, as 'Plmy acknowledeth, had the Priority among the Romans and others, of Burning the dead Bodies; for this latter had its Rise, he saith, from the barbarous and inhumane digging up of the Carcases by Enemies; to prevent which they confumed a great Part of them in their Funeral Pyres, and what was remaining was preferv'd in Sepulchral Urns and Pitchers, and deposited so deep in the Earth that they were for the most part out of the Reach of the Adverfary. This was the Custom of the Old Germans, as Tacitus reports: and from other Authors it ap:

ordinary Head-Apparel, and do not change it for a Mourning one, such as is used at Funerals. The Prophet is here forbid upon the Death of his Wife to use any such Funeral Ceremony. There was antiently a peculiar Space of Time allotted for la menting the Deceased, which they call'd the Days of Mourning, Gen. 27. 41. & 50. 4. Thus the Egyptians, who reverenced the Patriarch Jacob as a Prince and a Great Man, lamented his Death threescore and ten Days, Gen. 50. 3. Which is confirmed by what ' Diodorus the Sicilian faith, that the Egyptians mourned for their Kings, when they died, seventy two Days: wherein he is either guilty of a small Mistake of the Number, or those People afterwards added two Days more to the Time of Mourning. But it must needs be an Over fight in ' Josephus, when he faith, the Time of Pulle lick Mourning among the Egyptians was forty Days. Which Mistake perhaps was grounded on what is faid in the preceding Words of the fore cited Place, forty Days were fulfilled for the embalm ing: so that it is likely he mistook the time of Em balming or making Preparations in order to the Funeral, for the time of Mourning, which was distinct from that, and was seventy Days. The Hebreus Term of Condoleance was far short of this, for Joseph mourned for his Father but seven Days, Gen 50. 10. And generally afterwards the Funeral, Mourning was confined within a Week both among the Jews, I Sam. 31.13. and the Arabians, Job 2. 13. Thus the Time of Mourning was proportion nable to that of Feasting, which (as I have observed) lasted seven Days. Yet at some Times, and for extraordinary Reasons, it was lengthned

out to a much longer Season: thus they mourned for the Death of Aaron thirty days, Numb. 20, 29, and so long a Time they lamented the Death of Moses, Deut. 34. 8. And this particular Period of Funeral Lamentation is mentioned in Deut. 21, 13.

Mourning at Funerals was heretofore help'd and advanced by Musick, and that both of Voice and Instrument. Thence 'tis faid that King Josias's Death was lamented by all the finging Maen and the finging Women, 2 Chron. 35. 25. And thence you tead of the Mourning Women, Jer. o. 17. the same with those that were afterwards call'd mind Bewailers, Lamenters, of whom ' Buxtorf speaks. The same with the Prafica among the Romans, and the 'Anologi among the Greeks, who were hired at Funcrals to soften and melt the Relations of the Deceased into Fits of dolorous Passion by their mournful Notes. Of this fort are the Mourners that go about the Streets, Eccl. 12.5. that attend the Corps to the Grave, the long Home, (as 'tis stilled in that Verse) for the Chaldee Paraphrast expounds Beth Gnolam by the House of the Sepulture. The Forms used at these Funeral Lamentations and Outcries are mention'd in Jer. 22. 18. Ahmy Brother, ah my Sifter, &c. and in Ch. 34. v.5. To the mournful Musick on such Occasions refer the Prophet's Words, Jer. 48. 36. my Heart shall found like Pipes, i. c. with a Mourning-found fuch as Minstrels made at Funetals, as a 'Modern Critick rightly guesses, tho Dr. Hammond is positive that there is no mention of Instruments of Musick at Funerals in the Old Testament. In the New Testament we read of  $T_3$ the

Lib. r. 2 De Bel. Jud. I. 3. c. 15.

Lexic. Talmud. 2 Sr. N. Knatchbull, in Mat. 9. 23. And totat. in Mat. 9. 23.

Pillar

the audural, the Players on the Pipe or Flute at the Houses of those who were deceased, Mat. 9.23. For this Musick was used before the Dead were carried forth to Burial, but chiefly at the time of Interment. That this Custom was received among the Gentiles is clear from that of Ovid,

'Cantabis mæstis tibia funeribus. And again, Tibia funcribus convenit ista meis.

And these hired Pipers served indifferently at Funcrals, and at Weddings, or the like Occasions of rejoicing, as is deducible from Mat. 11.17. Further, it is observable that after the Burial of the Dead, a Supper was wont to be made: a Feast of rejoicing succeeded the mournful Exequies. Thus after Abner's Funerals were over, all the People follow'd, or came to David (who was the Chief Mourner that Day, and it is probable had invited them to the Funeral Banquet) to eat Meat with bim, 2 Sam. 3. 35. Of this Feafting and Rejoicing after the Burial of the dead, Jeremiah speaks Ch. 16. v.7. calling it Cos Tanchumim, the Cut of Confolation, which they drank for their Father or for their Mother, i. e. which they took to comfort and refresh them when their Relations were departed: and accordingly the Place where this Funeral Supper was made is called the House of Feasting in the next Verse. And no Man can be backward to think that this is intended by eating the Bread of Men, Ezek. 24.17. if he feriously peruse the foregoing part of the Verse, which speaks wholly of the Funeral Cu-Roms. This is the Bread of Mourners, Hof. 9.4.

1 Faft. 1. 6. 2 Trift. 1. 5.

Thus in compliance with the Jewish Custom (as ' 70sephus saith) Archelaus mourned seven Days for his Father, and entertained the People with a costly Funeral Banquet, which he calls emidonov esiaov. And from the Hebrews these Sepulchral Feasts were derived to the Greeks and Romans, especially the latter, among whom ' Dio and ' Tully and others take notice of this Usual Entertainment.

Lastly, as for Funeral Monuments, we learn their great Antiquity from 1 Sam. 6.18. where mention is made of the Great Stone of Abel, perhaps the Tomb-stone of that Holy Man and First Martyr, (for it may be that Proper Name was written sometimes with an Aleph, as well as with a He, in the beginning) who deservedly had this Sepulchral Monument erected for him in Palestine near Bethshemesh. This Eben Gedolah (for Eben is of the feminine as well as the masculine Gender, and so is λίθω) this Great-stone was mention'd before in v. is. and here in this it is call'd Abel Hagedolah, the word Stone being here understood; or else the Stone is call'd Abel because it was his Memorial, as we call Statues and Pictures by the Names of those who are represented by them. If then I should affert that this is the Tomb-stone which Adam erected in Memory of his murder'd Son Abel, and so was the first Funeral Monument in the World, I am fure there is none can disprove it. But because there is no certainty of this, and it may be Abel in this Place is no more than Ebel, luctus, (as that Hebrew Word signisies) and so relates to the remarkable Occasion of Mourning which we read of v. 19. therefore I shall dismiss it, and propound that which is plain, certain and undeniable. Such is Jacob's fetting a

Lib. 40. 2 Orat. pro Murena.

Pillar on Rachel's Grave, Gen. 35. 20. He that had fet up a Stone for a Pillar as a Memorial of the Covenant made between Laban and him, Gen. 31, 49, &c. and had at other times done the same upon Religious and Devout Occasions, Gen. 28. 18, 22. & 31. 45. erects here another Pillar as a Monument for his beloved Rachel, a visible Remembrance of that Vertuous Woman, and also a Testimony of his own Kindness and Love to her. This was known by the Name of Rachel's Sepulcher, and continued till the latter End (and 'tis likely a long Time after) of Samuel's Days, 1 Sam. 10. 2. that is, almost seven hundred Years from the first erection of it. Among the first and Antient Tombs, or Monumental Sepulchers, we must reckon those that are faid in Scripture to be composed of great Heaps of Stones. Such is that in Josh. 7. 26. They raised over him (i. e. Achan) a great heap of Stones, which remains unto this Day. And the like Monument had the King of Ai, Josh. 8. 29. They took bis Carease down from the Tree, and cast it at the entring of the Gate of the City, (there interring it, a little without the City) and raised thereon a great heap of Stones, that remaineth unto this Day. And a Sepulchral Structure of the very same Sort was set over the Body of Absalom, They took him and cast him into a great Pit in the Wood, and laid a very great heap of

Stones upon bim, 1 Sam. 18.17. From which three Instances I observe, 1. That this fort of Monument was made for those whose Deaths were untimely and violent. Whence we might be apt to infer that these were Monuments of Infamy: and accordingly the Heap of Stones laid over Achan and his Sons, is call'd by Josephus, ταφή άπμω. But, we are not certain that this particular kind of Monuments was appropriated to this

281 this Rank of Persons. It might have been erected for the Good and Vertuous as well as others, tho the Scripture affords us no Examples of the former. 2. I observe here the Nature of these Old Monuments, and that as to these two things; first, this great Mass of Stones was not meerly to cover the dead Bodies, (for from the foregoing Instances we find that they were buried in the Earth before) but there was an Edifice erected over them, which was built of these Stones, to be a lasting Remembrance to Posterity. This great heap of Stones was not confusedly cast upon them, but laid in some kind of Order by and upon one another: fo that this was a Fabrick, fuch as it was. This I gather from the Hebrew Words העיב and העיב, which we find used in the forenamed Texts, and which signify to raise, set up, erect: wherefore these Stones were not cast or thrown upon, or laid over the dead Bodies disorderly, but were a real Building. But fecondly, we may gather from their being tall'd a great heap of Stones, that they were not disposed with any Curiosity or Skill, they were set up without hewing, shaping, polishing. fort of Inartificial Building was sometimes without any Cement at ali, at other times with a Careless Use of it, but such as render'd the Pile sirm, solid and durable; otherwise it could not have lasted so long a Time as we have heard it did. This is the Notion that I form of these first Rude Sepulthers: and you fee that the Terms in which they are express'd are a Confirmation of it. These let us know that they were an Edifice, but very Incondite and Artless: which could not be more fit-

ly express'd to us than by raising a great heap of

Stones. And indeed this was the old Way of En-

tombing Great Men and Heroes among the Gentiles:

they

! Et regum cincres extructo monte quiescunt.

Such a Monstrous Pile I take Stone-heng on Salifbury Plains to be, which without doubt is a very Antient Piece. I look upon it as a Sepulchral Mo. nument of the primitive Order and Fashion, such as those I have been speaking of, viz. an Indigested, Artless, Formless Tomb. This Apprehenfion I prefer before any of the others which Writers have publish'd concerning this Antique Structure. Some think they give a true Account of it when they tell us that it was made of Heaps of Sand and some Unctuous Matter that lay in that Part of the Plains, and by means of these two incorporating together this Pile of Stones was made. Mr. Cambden mentions this, and feems partly to approve of it, but the Examples which he produces to confirm it are not of the like Nature, but far different. And truly though we should suppose fome fuch unwonted (though natural) Coagmentation of Sand and Gravel, yet what fober Man can imagine such High Heaps or Mountains of them in that Spot of Ground, and no where else? This therefore looks a little too Romantick. Others would perswade us that it is a Sea-Concretion, and to this Purpose they suppose that these Plains were once part of the Sea; but this is as Chimerical a Notion as the other, because of the great distance of this Place from the Sea, and because the shape

hape of these Stones seems not to be natural but factitious. Others think it was no Work of Nature, but of Art: these affirm it to be a Temple either, 1. of the Tuscan Order (as 'One not unskill'd in Architecture suggests) and dedicated to Calus, and therefore is without a Roof. He thinks it was built by the Romans when they were Masters of this Countrey. But supposing this was a Roman Temple without a Roof, yet who can believe that it was without Walls and Foundation? But such we must fancy this to be, for neither of these can be found here: wherefore there is no reason to believe that it was a Building of that kind. Or 2. it was (as others think) a Temple of Herthus or Hertha, a God or Goddess of the Old Germans, and consequently of the Saxons our Ancestors here in Britain, who call'd this Deity Earth, the same with Vesta and Terra among the Romans. But what I faid before will serve to consute this groundless Conjecture. Only here observe how far distant the Opinions of Writers are about it: some will have it to be a Place dedicated to Heaven, and others to the Earth. Or 3. (as 3 another furmises) this Structure was in imitation of those Temples of old among the Pagans which were wont to be built of unpolished Stone, and without Art, because these were thought to be most acceptable to the Gods. Of which he faith there were many Instances, but produceth none; for instead of Temples he mentions Altars and Statues made after this Fashion, as those facred to Diana and the Sun spoken of by Herodian, and the Statue of Mars in Arnobius. But tis enough here to recur to my former Answer, which 'tis impossible

<sup>1</sup> Lucan, Lib. 8.

Inigo Jones in his Stone-heng Restored. 2 See Spelman.
2 Dr. Spencer de Leg. Hebr. 1, 2, c, 6,

impossible to evade, viz. that here are no Marks or Footsteps of such a Building as a Temple. Or, if you should say that there were of old, but are now missing, it is spoken without Proof, and therefore we need not attend to it: and besides, you must tell us why all is not missing as well as some. These are the Absurdities wherewith those Authors are press'd who hold Stone-beng to have been a Temple.

But those in my Judgment are in the right, and are clogg'd with no fuch Inconveniences, who hold this Great Amassment of Stones to be a Fu neral Monument in remembrance of some eminent Persons laid there. The particular Occasion is not well known, though the Common Opinion is that this Pile was erected in Memory of the Britis Lords perfidiously murder'd by the Saxons here upon an Interview in King Vortimer's Reign: for they tell us that Aurelius Ambrosius, a Roman by Birth, but a great Lover of the Britains, came over soon after this inhumane Slaughter to rescue them from the Infolency of the Saxons, and then he erected this Monument in the Place where those treacherous Villains slew the British Nobility, and interr'd them. Others fay it was erected in Memory of Ambrosius himself, who expired in this Plain, where he so valiantly fought against the tyrannizing Saxons. But neither of these Opinions have any fure Foundation. The latter is rendred very improbable by the Reasons which Jones hath offer'd. Nor is the former (which prevails most) attested by Authors of very good Credit, unless we reckon Geoffery Monmouth and Polydore Virgil (the chiefest Writers that speak of this Structure) to be fuch. Whereupon our 'Indicious Antiquary. deplores

deplores this Unhappinels, that the Founders and Authors of this Wonderful Structure are utterly forgotten. Perhaps it was set up in Honour of Boadicia a famous British Queen, who was killed with fourscore thousand in a Batttel against the Romans and Britains Romaniz'd, in Nero's Reign. This is the Conjecture of the Author of the Hillori call'd New Cæsar. But neither is this founded on any fure Basis: though 'tis true he quotes Dio and Kipbilit who fay the was buried very Magnificently by the Britains. Dr. Charlton ( who hath writ against Jones) saith this Fabrick was erected in the Reign of King Alfred by the Danes, who at that time domineer'd in the West of England: But I do not find that this Learned Man offers any convincing Proof of this. I rather think that this Ragged File was of much antienter Date: and that is the Reason why we have no certain notice of the particular Occasion of it.

But notwithstanding this Obscurity, we have good reason to assert that it is an Old Funeral Monument made after the first and antient Fashion, i. e. Rude, Unpolished, Artless. Nay indeed, it seems to be a Triple Monument, for it is composed of three divisions of Stones at some distance from one another, and the Ditch or Pit in which they are fituated, is the particular Place where the dead Bodies (for whole Sake this Memorial was erected) were laid. Not but that in other adjacent Places there were other Bodies deposited, and hence it is that Mens Bones have been dug up here, as Mr. Cambden informs us: which is a farther Proof that this part of the Plains was a Place of Burial, and that this Great Stone-Pile hath relation to that, i.e. that it is a Sepulcher, and not a Temple. Nay, I could add that it is probable a great part of this spacious Plain was

a Burying-Place, and not only Tombs of Stone Were fet up for the most Eminent Persons that lost their Lives, but others of Clods were raised for thole of an inferiour Degree: for there are many of these Turf-Monuments on Salisbury-Plain, which the neighbouring Inhabitants call Berries, Barrows, on Burrows, (whence perhaps the Towns fenced heretofore with Walls of Turf or Clods of Earth were call'd Burrows or Boroughs) which have their Denomination from the Saxon Byring or Buriging or Boroging, which we now call Burying, because the way of Interring dead Bodies among many of the Antients (and among the Saxons themselves, with whom Beorg, the Original of the foregoing, Words, signified an heap of Earth) was not in deep Graves, but under Clods or Turfs of Earth made into Hillocks. As to the fastning and joining together these Stones which we are speaking of, though this hath perplexed some Mens Minds heretofore its feems, and occasion'd them to report that they were transported whole from Ireland by Merlin's Inchantment, (as'tis not unufual with the Vulgar, when they cannot give an Account of a Thing, to ascribe it to the Devil, or some Magick Art) I am not very folicitous to folve the manner of it; but this sufficeth me, that 1. It was usual among the Old Romans (as all skilful and knowing Men in Architecture confess) to lay great and vast Stones together by Tenons and Mortises without Morter, And so it may be here, (which may induce us to think it was a Roman Structure) and therefore in vain do we endeavour to find where they are joined and fastned together. 2. I am satisfied that they had of old ways of Cementing Stones which

on occasion of some famous Slaughter turn'd into:

the Stones themselves which it joined together. Pliny speaks of Cisterns at Rome made of a fort of dug Sand and strong Lime, which could not be distinguish'd from Stone. It is not unlikely then that there was here used a kind of Morter that hardned into Stone, and became of the same Consistency with it. Nor is it improbable that this petrified Coagmentation turn'd into the same Colour with the Stones which it joined together; and then how can we expect to discern the Difference between them? and then why should it be thought strange that they feem to be all of a Piece? Which puts me in mind of the Name which this Stony Fabrick is commonly known by, an Account of which I will give somewhat different from what is usually received. If I should propound this Etymology, viz. that Stone-beng is so call'd from the Stones which Ambrofius is thought to have crected here, and from Hengist the Leader of the Saxons, at whose giving the Word they pull'd out their Seaxes and kill'd the British Nobles, so that Stone-beng is as much as Hengist-stone, (as this Countrey of Britain was by the Saxons call'd Hengist-land, as some Writers tell us) this Derivation cannot be look'd upon as improper: Or if I should offer Mr. Cambden's Origination of the Word, viz. from the Stones of this Fabrick hanging as 'twere in the Air, whence he calls it Pensile opus, this might be thought a fair Account of the Name. But in my opinion, and according to what I have already hinted, the plainest, simplest and most genuine Derivation of the Word is from the Stones banging (not in the Air, but) together, each heap of them seeming to be

are not known or practis'd at this Day: and

they had an Art of making the Cement after

that manner that it could not be distinguished from

THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O all of a Piece. For this is the great wonder of the Here, was the first Draught of the Stony Tombse Structure, as is confess'd by all; this is that which these were the first Patterns of those Sepulchial renders it a Fabrick of a peculiar and unparallelle confirments which were inartificial, shapeless and Nature. The Stones are closely join'd together without Ornament. Afterwards they took more by an invisible Cement, they hang together as the erecting their Houses of Sepulture. Stately they were but one Stone. For this reason therefore and Lofty Tombs, were made by Great Men with I quit the other Derivations of the Word, and much Art, and Cost, which is call'd bewing our to offer this as the most obvious and proper.

But it is not the Name but the Thing that I are most concern'd for, and I hope I have given a state of their most concern'd for, and I hope I have given a state of their manner of their manner of their manner of their manner of their most I will add no more of this Subject, nor in-Old Sepulchral Pile, erected after the manner of their fift any longer on this Second General Head of my Funeral Monuments spoken of in Jostua and Sas Discourse, wherein I have been evincing this Promuel, where we find that the Antient Entombing position, that the Scripture gives us the True Oriwas raising a great heap of Stones over the dead Bost inal of things, and consequently the Antientest dies. This is the best Solution I can give of one there is stored woman. I wave largely shew dethat here is the first commentative largely shew dethat here is the shear largely shew dethat here is the shear largely she Western Wonder. It is, as the First Monne have largely shew'd that here is the first commen-ments were, without any Shape or Symmetry, the sing of Arts and all Ingenious Discoveries: here is is like the Jewish Stone-henges (before mention'd) the first Rife of Trades, Mysterics, Occupations, rough and unwrought, and may (as they) be call'd melelions, Cultoms, Usages, Manners. Yea, the a heap of Stones for that reason. Whence by the Holy Scripture disdains not to record the First Inway it may be worth the Observation of Critical Implions of things though they be but mean, and Men that the Hebrew Word וריש is both Acervil impremptible, to flew that no fort of Learning and Sepulchrum, a Heap and a Tomb, Job 21.32. and knowledg is useless. Thus, it is said, This is & 30. 24. Alfo קבר is the known Hebrew Word hat Anah that found the Mules in the Wilderness, as for a Grave; but in Ifa. 14.19. the Seventy render it le fed the Asses of his Father, Gen, BG, 24. This ogos a Mountain, because Places of burial were eleis the Man (and let him he known to Posterity) that vated. The Word In hath the same double Sense, and hy chance; but purposely and designedly, found from Talal, instar tumuli aut cumuli elevare, erigere, this new way of Procreation, and thereby produced So Tumulus among the Latins is both an heap of Earth, J. new Species of Animals. Some Scrish Writers and a Sepulcher: whence it is plain that the Old Hehave thought this femin was a Plant, but there is

brews and Antient Romans used to erect heaps of not the least ground for it. The Learned Bochart Earth or Stone in memory of the Dead. To conmakes Jemim to be the same with Emini, some Giantclude, whosoever they were that were buried in People; but this is a perverting of the Original the foresaid Place in Wiltshire were entombed as Text, and therefore must not be allow'd of: and Achan, as the Kings of Ai, and as Abfalom were. the finding of them is, according to him, the Acci-Here dental dental meeting of them, but this is very flat. hold therefore to the plain Interpretation of the pure Hebrew Text, which tells us that And June the Mules, &c. v. e, he caused the fift Engendish of Horles and She-Alles together, Whence are that unnatural breed of Creatures call'd Males. And if you will believe the Rablins, he was of a spuil ous and incestuous Stock Himself. Here by the way the Learned may enquire whether there bend some probability that Homer's Eneti, from whom came the Race of wild Mules,

' ('Eg 'Everav, ösev nimovav jevo ajotecolov)

be not corruptly named from this andh or the for so the Sevency Interpreters express his Name But this is the thing that I'dblerve at prelent, the the Sacred History takes notice even of small Co currences, and thereby lets us fee that it is yes full and particular in giving an Account of the life Inventions of things. It is true, other Author lilive attempted to discover this, and to present his with the Hillory of the Rife of Sciences, and the Founders of them Herodolus, Diodorus Bialli, Strabo, Plutareb, Porphyrius, Tully, Vatro, Plin give us some light into these things, but it is dark in respect of the clear Discoveries in the Old Te Rument. Out of thele foresaid Writers Phydie Prizil hath given us a pitiful mort Account of the liventers of Arts, and other helps hings among Men. Saturn, Ceres, Pallas, and other Gods and Goddelles affiong the Pagans are affigited the fift Founders of them. All this is feighed Antiquey, fiftless so far as at high some reference to the Hop mes, according to him et. Matt-

scriptures, and under those disguised Names points It the Persons who are mention'd in this Inspired Book. Hence, and from no other Writings, the first Original of things is to be had: and it must needs be fo, because all the best and antientest Authors have borrow'd from the Old Testament. It is granted that Arts and Professions received their Improvement and Perfection afterwards, and therefore we cannot expect that these should be found in Scripture; but the first Rife of them was among the early Posterity of Adam and Noah, and therefore the first mention of them is found here, and no where elfe. Some of these are but little and mean things, I know, but yet tis certain they are as great as the Greatest Criticks take notice of formetimes, and spend much time about in Other Anthors. This moreover is to be faid, that here we are Certain of what we read, we are Sure the thing is so, which we are not in Other Writers.

But before I speak of that, let me insist a little then This, that it is a fingular Commendation of the Adenors and Penmen of the Old Testament, but especially of Moses, that, being the First Writers, they borrow from none, but Other Writers ire beholden to them. It may be observed, that Writers in all Faculties have shewed themselves not backward in imitating others that writ before them, or, in plainer terms, of Filching from them. This we may few in the Poets, all the Greek ones take many things out of Homer, and he himself Was a Filcher no less than they, for you may descry Poetick Theft in the very Entrance of his Iliads: Mini Kad, Sen, was stolen from an Antienter Po-Orpheus; besides that he borrowed the main things in that Poem from Dares the Phrygian, and Dictor the Cretian, who wrote before him of the

im-

Trojan War. Nay, Suidas tells us, that he took a great part of his Poem from Gorinnus a Trojan Poet, Scholar of Palamedes., And as for Aristophanes. he borrows much from Euripides, as an observant Eye cannot but take notice. As for the Latin Poets, they have particular Authors in whose Steps they tread. Virgil in his Ecloques and Bucolich strives to refemble Theocritus, in his Guorgicks Helf. od and Aratus, and in his Aneids Homer. Horace writes in imitation of the Greek Lyricks, and the he calls these Imitators servum pecus, yet he is pleasy to follow Anacreon, and especially Pindar: Planus and Terence are Emulators of Epicharmus and Menander. In brief, Elian and others look upon all Poets after Homer to be but his Apes. Amongs Orators the chiefest of them think fit to borrow or Iteal from one another, as Tully from Demosthers, and he from Pericles, and this last from Pififratus In Philosophy it were easy to observe the same and Seneca frankly confesseth it; If any of the Moralifts, faith he, hath an Excellent Saying, I maker mine. Thus he speaks in excuse of himself in using several of Epicurus's Sentences, and that yes frequently. Before him Plato stole from Heraclium, Pythagoras and Socrates, faith Hespehius. And if we may believe? Athenœus, the greatest part of Plato's Dialogues was taken from Aristippus and Ani tiffbenes. Among the Historians there is the same Trade carried on: Justin is a downright Plagitary, taking all from Trogus Pompeius. : Apion transcribes many entire Sentences and other considerable Pakfages out of Polybius, Plutarch, and others, and takes no notice of their belonging to those Persons,

293 but sets them down as his own: for which Reason he is stilled by Scaliger, alienorum laborum fucus, a Drone that lived upon others Labours. Solinus almost transcribes Pliny, his Polybistor is but a Variation of the other's Natural History: and Pliny himfelf acknowledgeth that he gather'd his Book out of a great Number of Authors Greek and Latin. So in Ecclefiastical History, Eusebius took all or most of Julius Africanus (an Excellent Writer, and the first Christian Chronologer) his Book de Temporibus into his Chronicon. In Canon Law Balfamon all along transcribes Zonaras on the Councils. In Medicks Avicenna borrows from Galen, and Galen from Hippocrates. So in Divinity, St. Hilary's Commentaries are for the most part taken out of Origen. Theophylact is a constant Imitator, or Transcriber rather, of Chrysostom; and Occumenius takes from him very largely. If we should descend to Modern Writers (and those very excellent ones too) we may espy the same thing practised by them. Tallo is beholden to Virgil for much of his Model and Characters. Galatinus stole all from Porchetus, a Franciscan from a Carthusian Monk. Isidore Clarius transcribes whole Pages out of Sebastian Munster; and we know of a Learned English Paraphrast and Annotator who hath often conferr'd Notes with a Belgick one: You will find Monsieur Le Jay complaining that Bishop Walton stole from him his Polyglotts. Thus the best Authors are beholden to one another: and indeed there is very good Reafon for it sometimes, and you cannot expect it should be otherwise; for they find it requisite to borrow of those who have treated of the same Argument, both because they have said those things which cannot be omitted on the Subject, and also sometimes because they are naturally inclined to

<sup>1</sup> Quicquid bene dictum est ab ullo, meum est. Epist, 164 Deipnotoph. lib. 11.

of the radiy acripitures. imbrace the very same Notions and Sentiments its Rife so high. Indeed the Egyptians boasted This then is an Epidemick Fault, and who is there that is not in part guilty? But we are speaking now of a Book and of Authors where nothing of this nature can happen, for the Old Testament (which is the Writings we speak of) was, as to a great part of it, extant before there were any Writers in the World, and foir was utterly impossible to borrow from Others This is the Peculiar Excellency of this Book, this is the Particular Commendation of these Writings that they were the First of all, and could not he taken from any else. These Holy Scriptures box. row from none, unless you will say they do so from Themselves; as the 18th Psalm is taken out of 2 Sam. 22. or this out of that. The Evangelife horrow from one another. The Virgin Mary's Magnificat refers in several Places of it to Hannah Song, I Sam. 2, and St. Paul takes some things out of his Epistle to the Epbesians, and puts them into that which he wrote to the Colossians; and so \$4. Jude may be said to borrow from St. Peter; in this is not the Plagiarism which Other Writers are guilty of, and which is an Argument of their Wants and Defects, whereas the Holy Spirit supplied the Penmen of the Bible both with Matter and Words. In the Old Testament especially, and more particularly in the Books of Mufes, there is nothing at second hand; all is fresh and new; the things there spoken of were nover delivered by any Writer before. But most of the Profane Historic ans began when the Holy History was just ending And Herodotus himself, the Father of History, well not till Ezra and Nehemiah's time. The Greek Hi Morians go no further back than the Persian Line pive: and most of the Roman History takes not

that they had been ruled by Kings above ten thoufand Years, (as Herodotus relates) and thence perhaps it was that one of their Pharaob's (which was the common Name of all their Kings) bragg'd that he was the Son of antient Kings, Ifa, 19.11. The Chinoises pretend to give an Account of Passages almost three thousand Years before Christ: and we are told by Martinius (in his Atlas) that they preferve a continued History, compiled from their Annual Exploits, of four thousand and five hundred Years: yea they have (if we may credit the younger ' Vossius) Writers antienter than Moses. But these high Flights are exploded by all Considerate Men, and upon a View of whatever Pretences are made by Others, they conclude that Moses was the Antientest Writer, and that the earliest Discovery of Transactions and Occurrences in the World is to be learnt from him alone. Some of the Wisest Pagans had a hint of this, and travell'd into the Eastern Countries to acquaint themselves with these Records. And it was observ'd long since by Plata, (as I took notice before) that the Oldest and most Barbarous Tongues (meaning the Hebrew and Chaldee) were very requisite for the finding out the first Beginnings of things: for the first Names of them, which are now grown obsolete by length of time, are preserved in those Langlages, they being the antientest of all. In the Hebrew especially are to be found the Primitive Arigines of things: and most of the Pagan Historiau have borrowed from these. And so have their Poets, Orgeors and Philosophers, as a great Number of the Christian Fathers (whom I have particular-

De Atate Mundi,

of the Scriptures) have largely proved. In a word, all other Antient Writings refer to these, or fin. pose them, this inspired Volume alone being the Fountain from whence either they or we can derive any Truth and Certainty.

The Excellency and Perfection

ly quoted in another Place, to evince the Authority

are others that at the first fight are Intelligible and

referr'd unto, Thus the Bible is excellently fitted

to entertain any Persons as they are Students and

Scholars: for here is a Treasury of all Good Let-

ters, here are laid up all things that conduce to

Humane Knowledg. Porphyrius is faid to have writ

a Book ' of Homer's Philosophy, wherein heattempts

to prove that he was as much a Philosopher as a

zyo

And as there is the Antientest Learning, so there is All Learning (I speak now of that which is Humane, and is reckon'd the Accomplishment of Rational Persons) and all the kinds of it in this Book of Books. Here is not only Profe but Verse: here are not only Poems but Histories, Annals, Chronicks, Here are things Profound and Mystical, and here

Clear: here are Prophecies, Visions, Revelations (for even in the Narratives which are given of These there are some things serviceable to promote the Study of Humanity): here are Proverbs, Adagle, Emblems, Parables, Apologues, Paradoxes, Riddks: and here are also Plain Questions and Answers, Fropositions, Discourses, Sermons, Orations, Letters, Epistles, Colloquies, Debates, Disputations. Here are Maxims of Law and Reason, Rules of Justice and Equity, Examples of Keen Wit and Deep Politiks, Matters of Church and State, Publick and Private Affairs, and all manner of Subjects either treated of or

affirms

and that the Foundations of all Humane Learning and Science are laid here; for though these are not the chief things designed in this Book, (it being writ to higher Purposes) yet they are occasionally interspersed every where, and a Studious Enquirer cannot miss of them. It is rationally and undeniably to be inferr'd from the Particulars above-mention'd, (though many more might have been added) a that the Bible is the most Compleat Book, and hath All Learning in it. This truly descrives the 2 Name which Diodore the Sicilian gives his History, that is, it is indeed a Library, an Universal one, and contains All Books in it. As the Writers of it were Persons of Several Conditions, Kings, Noblemen, Priests, Prophets, &c. so the Matters of it are Va-

rious and Different, and by reading and studying

these Writings we may Commence in all Arts and

Sciences, we may be accomplish'd Grammarians,

Criticks, Chronologers, Historians, Poets, Ora-

tors, Disputants, Lawyers, Statesmen, Preachers,

the Seeds of all Arts are to be found in Homer's

Works. This is faid by his Admirers to inhanse

his Credit and Repute; but far greater things, and

more justly, may be pronounced concerning these

Famous Records of Learning and Antiquity. With

more Reason may we maintain that the chiefest Arts

and Inventions are originally in the Sacred Volume,

Prophets. Many valuable Monuments of Learning have been lost. The famous Library of Alexandria, which contain'd fix or feven hundred thousand Volumes, and that of Constantinople, which confifted of an hundred and twenty thousand, perished Plutarch. de Homero, lib. 2. BIGNIOSTICH.

Poet: and no less a Person than Maximus Tyrius " Hee' & Ounge pixooopius. Suid. 2 Differt. 16.

we want no Book.

by Fire. And the Works of Varro, the Learned Man of all the Romans, are extinct. And many others might be reckon'd up, belides those that Historians say nothing of. But having the Scripture, Hacatub, (as the Jews rightly called it by way of Eminence) the most Excellent Writings in the World, fraught with all manner of useful Literature, we may afford to be without the other: for this is a certain Verity, that if we have the Bible

And more particularly I have made it appear, that the Choicest Antiquities are to be found here. A prying Antiquary may find more Work, and much more to his Advantage, in the Writings of the Old Testament, especially of the Five Books of Moses, than in all the Mouldy Manuscripts and Records in the whole World besides. Therefore you will find Mr. Selden (as Great an Antiquary as this last Age afforded) continually conversing with these Sacred Records, and presenting the World with the Noblest and most Useful Pieces of Antiquity from thence. Here we learn what they did in the Primitive Age of the World, how things went before and immediately after the Flood. The Scriptures give the Oldest Account and Discovery of things. All Curious Observations of the First Times, all Antient Notions and Inventions are to be met with here. So that if you look upon the Bible but as an Antient Book of Learning, we are invited to study it. We are furnish'd here with some of the most desirable Antiquities of the Babylonians, Persians, Egyptians, Arabians, Syrians, Canaanites, Phoenicians, Jews, Greeks, Romans, and several other Nations. On which yery Account alone the Bible is the best Book that a true Lover of Learning can take into his hand.

Briefly, from the whole I make this Conclusion, that no Man can be a Confummate Scholar without reading the Scriptures, which are the Source even of all Humane Learning.

But as the Antiquity and the Universal Learning contain'd in this Book, so the Certainty of it gives it the preference to all others. What we meet with here, we are fure is true: whatever is related as faid or done in so many Ages past, we have reason to yield a full Assent to, because the Penmen of this Book were divinely inspired, and therefore could not err in what they deliver'd. This we cannot say of any other Writers, for we find them to be uncertain and lubricous, and they too often take up Stories on trust, or invent them as they please. As for the Writings of the Poets. the best of them are mere Fictions. Yea, One that knew the Nature of an Heroick Poem very well, tells us that Fable is the chief thing in it, it is the very Soul and Life of it. Thus it is in Homer and Virgil's Poems: and generally the other Poetick Writers (as Orpheus, Hesiod, &c.) are fabulous Rhapfodists. Even the Father of Latin Poetry. whom I just now mention'd, brings Eneas and Dide together, though he lived several Ages before her. And many such Historical Incongruities and fabulous Inconfiftencies the Poets put us off with instead of true Relations. Yea, professed Historians are full of Uncertainties and Contradictions every where. Kenophon avers that Cyrus the first Persian Monarch died peaceably in his Bed: but Herodotus and Justin say he was vanquisted in Battel by Tomenis Queop of Seythia, who caused his Head to be sut off and thrown into a Vellel full of Blood. Some

Briefly,

April 2) dier Juzi. Ariflot.

Some tell us that Alexander the Great died of Drunkenness, others that he was poisoned. Hannibal polfon'd himself, saith Justin: he was kill'd by his Servants, saith Plutarch: but this Author also acknowledges that he drank Bulls Blood, and thereby procured his Dissolution. The same Writer sen down the feveral Opinions concerning the Deathsof Romulus and Scipio Africanus, and makes this Obser. vation, that the Deaths of Great Men are uncertain. ly reported. Athenaus faith of Plato, that he was eaten up of Lice by his frequent eating of Figs, which he so exceedingly loved, that he was call'd φιλόσυκος. but this is contradicted by others. Some say Aristotle drowned himself in Euripus, because he could not find out the Cause of its ebbing and flowing: others would perswade us, that he poisoned himself: but some assirm he died a natural Death. There is scarce any Philosopher but dies twice or thrice in Laertius. Nor is there almost any Life in Plutarch without two or three Deaths, as a 2 Learned Man hath observed.

To pass to other Historians, from whom we might think to have better and certainer Information, Antiochus in the Book of Maccabees died three several Deaths; 1st. In his Bed at Babylon, 1 Mac. 6, 8, 16. 2dly. He was stoned in the Temple of Nanea, 2 Mac. 1.15, 16. 3dly. He died on the Mountains by a Fall out of his Chariot, 2 Mac. 9. 28. There were different Reports concerning Julian's Death, but the respective Historians are confident in them all: He was killed by one of his own Souldiers, faith Socrates; by a Demon, faith Callifus, who wrote in Verse of the War at that time with the Persians. It is probable that he died

of the Holy Scriptures. by a Stroke which a Christian Souldier gave him, according to Sozomen: but none knows whence that Stroke came, according to Theodoret. Eufebius and Zosimus speak diversly concerning the Life and Death of Constantine the Great. Procopius gives an Account of Justinian contrary to what all other Historians do. And before this we find the Fathers differing about the Character of Nicolas, the Deacon: Clemens of Alexandria and Theodoret fav he lived a chaste Life, but that being reprimanded by the Apostles for his Jealousy towards his Wife, he thereupon brought her out, and exposed her to any one. But Tertullian and Epiphanius affirm, that he allowed of and practifed all Obscenity and Lewdness, and the promiscuous Use of Women. The Person who goes under the Name of St. George, was a Cappadocian Tribune, a great Hero, and at last a Martyr, say some: he was an Heretick, an Arian Bishop of Alexandria, say others: there was no fuch Man, say a third fort. If we should look into our own British Concerns, there we shall find History very dark and uncertain, nothing is tolerably related of this Country till Julius Casar's time: and then and afterwards we are involved in great Uncertainties, and we can look no where but things are diverfly reported. Great Men die scyeral Deaths, and the Lives and Actions of Perfors are variously represented. King Edward, firnamed Ironfides, his Death is four or five ways, related in our Chronicles, and so is King John's. Some Writers tell us that King Richard the Second. died of Famine by Force; others, that he voluntarily famish'd himself. Some say he was kill'd with the Blow of a Poll-Ax on his Head; others, that he escaped out of Prison, and led a solitary Life in Scotland, and there expired. Concerning King. Henry

Henry the 5th, it is faid by some, that he was poli foned; by others, that he died of a Pleurity; by others, that a Palley and Cramp took away his Life; and there are others that confidently report his Death was by St. Anthony's Fire. Yea, but Writers are often grofly mistaken about Matters of very late Occurrence, as Baker, Heylin, Fulley (professed Historians) tell us, that Richard Sutton; fingle Man, founded the Hospital at the Charterbook, whereas his Christian Name was Thomas, and M. was a married Man. So Mr. Hooker died in boly Ca Waty, say Gauden and Fuller, but the contrary is known to be too true. But I should be infinite if I should undertake to set before you the palpable Mistakes and Misreports in History both domestick and foreign. All that are conversant in this way of Study complain, and that juilty, of the error neous Misrepresentations of Passages of all sort among Historians, and of our Darkness and Igit rance by reason of these.

But no such thing is to be feared, or so much fullected in the Sacred Hiltory, because God his felf speaks there: and therefore we have the sweet ground for our Faith that we can delire. Thereis no Authority fo firm as that which is Divine: their is no Testimony so strong and valid as that which is from the Holy Spirit. And such is that of the Holy Scriptures; and confequently it most justly challengeth, yea commandeth our Paith and Affent. This is the fingular Presemmence and Advantage which this book hath above all others, that the Penmen of it were directed by the unerring Spirit of God. This alone is fufficient to determine and fix us, it being the most stable as well as the most proper Basis of our Belief, even where things that are very improbable are propounded to

us to be affented to. Besides, as to the seeming Improbability of some things that are related in the Historical Part of the Bible, this ought not to hinder us from giving Credit to them. Many Persons are wont to look upon these Passages and Storles as Strange and almost Incredible, which they observe are not sutable to the Manners, Customs, Arts, and Conversation of the World, as it is at present, and thence they are enclined to think that there were no such things heretofore. But these Men do not well consider, nor distinguish between those times and thefe, which are exceedingly Different. And moreover, if they luspend their Belief of some things which they read in the Old Testament, becaule they see other things now, things of a Different Nature, they may as well disbelieve all the Other Histories of the Antients that are extant, which yet we see they are very backward to do. And they have good Reason on their Side, because the World is not now as it was then, and therefore We must not expect that the things which we read of in those times, should be fully conformable and agreeable to what occurs in these latter Days. For this Reason a very 'Solid and Judicious Writer hath defended the Antient History of the Greeks and Latins, (whereof whatever is strange is in Herodotus and Pliny) shewing, that (though some fabulous Narrations, and many groß Mistakes and Errors are intermingled) the Strangeness of some Passages which we meet with in them, proceeds hom the Diversity of Times, the Posture of the World having much changed fince those things happened. Let us make use of the same Reasoning

Dr. Jackson, Vol. 1. Book 1. chap. 11.

in the present case, and when we find several Strange, Unufual and Surprizing Matters in the Writings of the Old Testament, impute this to the Antientness of them, and the great Discrepancy between those Days and these we now live in. If we do fo, there will be no Impediment to our feedy Belief of the Truth of them. Nay, if We weigh things well, we shall fee it is ridiculous to expect that the Guiles and Manners of the World should be the same now that they were 4 or 5000 Years ago: for there must needs be new things when the Numbers of Persons are so vastly increased; when the Difference of Climes produces such Diverlity of Dispositions; when Casualty, Necessity, Industry, Wit, &c. are the Occasions of so many new Occurrences. Let this be remembred and it rioully thought of, and it will dispel our vain Scripples and Disbelief. Or, if there be any remaining, the former Consideration will throughly extirpate them, i.e. if we call to mind the Uff doubted Certainty and Infallibility of the Scriptiff. which is its peculiar Prerogative and Excellency and are the ordered about the same before the engineering this cruion a very bear and hid are Verse hither and cashe Are been Hillory and a Crocca A circulation of the same of the same of the same ni omor i anità di cale di figurati han ancher bulence constraint in the grant of the area of Report abouters and the mile to a comment Removed the control of the state of the stat regide of the of the control of the grown that the Aprillation of the bold of the company of the company of

CHAP. VII.

A particular Distribution of the several Books of the Old Testament. Genesis (the first of them, together with the four following ones) being written by Moses, his ample Character or Panegyrick is attempted, wherein there is a full Account of his Birth, Education, Flight from Court, retired Life, his Return to Egypt, his conducting of the Israelites thence, his immediate Converse with God in the Mount, his delivering the Law, his Divine Eloquence, his Humility and Meekness, his Sufferings, his Miracles, and his particular Fitness to write these Books. A Summary of the feveral Heads contain'd in Genesis: to which is added a brief but distinct View of the Six Days Works, wherein is explained the Mosaick Draught of the Origine of all things, and at the same time the bold Hypotheses of a late Writer (designed to confront the First Chapter of the Bible) are exposed and refuted. The Contents of the Book of Exodus: to which is adjoined a short Comment on the Ten Plagues of Egypt. A Rehearfal of the remarkable Particulars treated of in Leviticus, Numbers, Deuteronomy. That Moses was the Penman and Author of the Pentateuch, notwithstanding what some have lately objected against it.

O demonstrate yet further the Excellency of these Holy Writings I will enter upon the Third way of Proof which I proposed; that is, I will give you a Particular Account of the several Books contained in the Old and New Testament, and I will shew all along the particular lifefulness and Excellency of them. I begin X first

first with the Old Testament, which is divided by the Jews into three general Parts; first Torab the Law, which contains the five Books of Moses; then Nebiim the Prophets, which comprehends the Books of Joshua, Judges, first and second Book of Same el, the first and second of the Kings, Isaiah, Jene. miah, Ezekiel, the twelve Small Prophets; all which make the fecond Volume: then the Chetter bim the Holy Writers, in which are included the Psalms, Proverbs, Job, Canticles, Ruth, Lamentair ons, Ecclesiastes, Esther, Daniel, Ezra, Nehemiah, Chronicles; and these made the third Volume. The Books of this last Rank were written, say the Jewish Doctors, by the Inspiration of the Spirit but the Writers were not admitted into the Degree of Prophets, because they had no Vision, but their Senses remained perfect and entire all the while: only the Holy Spirit stirr'd them up, and dictated such and such things to them, which they writ down. For you must know that the Old Jews thought nothing to be right Prophecy but what was conveyed in Dreams or Visions. But though this be a Rabbinical Conceit, and hereby they strike Dr vid and some others out of the Number of the Prophets, who were the Chief of them; yet the Partition of the Old Testament, as it may be rightly understood, is not altogether to be rejected, nay it seems to be allowed of by our Saviour himself, inter of this Excellent Man, that in what we shall Luke 24.44. where he tells his Apostles, that deliver concerning this One Penman of Scripture, things must be fulfilled which were written concerning you may guess how large we might be in commenhim (in the whole Old Testament, viz.) in the Lat dation of the rest. But because we cannot have of Moses, and in the Prophets, and in the Pfalms, und lessure to do so in all the others that follow, I will der these last comprising all the other Parts of the offer here a Specimen of it in this First Inspired Hagiographa: Or you may divide the Books, as Writer, whom we have occasion to mention. He they stand in their order in the Septuagint and Latin was born (about the Year of the World 2370) Version, (and according to them in our English Bir in Egypt, of Hebrew Parents, who presently read

bles) into these three forts, Historical, Doctrinal, and Prophetical. The Historical Books are Narratives of things done, and these are fifteen, whereof Genesis is the first, and Job the last. Or if you teckon the two Parts of the History of Samuel, and the Kings, and those likewise of the Chronicles as distinct Books, then there are eighteen in all. The Dollrinal Books are such as purposely and wholly instruct us in our Devotion and a Holy Life: these are four, the Pfalms, the Proverbs, Ecclesiafer, and Solomon's Song. The Prophetick Books are those which consist chiefly in Predictions concerning the Deliverance of the Church, the Punishment of its Enemies, and the Coming of Christ in the Flesh. These were written either by the Greater tropbets, as Isaiah, Jeremiah, Ezekiel, Daniel, to which also appertain the Lamentations of Jeremiab; or by the Leffer, whereof the first is Hosea, and Malachi the last. Having thus given you a Distribution of the Several Books, I come now to a Particular Survey of them, the first whereof is Genesis, which together with the other Parts of the Pentateuch was written by Moses, who being the first Writer that we know of extant in the World, and being every ways so Remarkable and Admirable a Person, I think my self obliged (before I proceed any further) to present you with the Cha-

Trea-

in his Face 'extraordinary Marks of Divinity, and therefore were unwilling to discover his Birth to the Egyptians, that he might not, according to Pharaob's Order, be hurried into Nile, and there drowned. However, in this River they resolven expose him in an Ark of Bull-rushes, and to com. mit both Him and the Care of this Little Vessel in which he was embarked to the Great Pilot of the World: And behold, it arrived at a fafe Harbour. and no meaner a Person than the King's Daughter received the little Passenger into her Embraces, and caused him to be brought to Court, and bred up as her own Son. Here he became ' Learned in all the Wisdom of the Egyptians, in all those Arts and Sci. ences wherein they used to instruct their Youth which they chiefly defigned for the Service of their Country, viz. in Arithmetick, Geometry, Mu, fick. Astronomy; for these were Sciences that they thought were Natural to Mens Minds, and were the first things taught not only by the Egyptians, but the rest of the Antients in their Schools, Hence it was written in great Letters over the Entry of Plato's School, Mudies a year feteut @ entry None must be admitted into this Place but such as have been initiated into Geometry, fuch as have had a taste of it at least. Therefore this and the forenamed Arts were termed Mathematicks, i.e. Learning or Discipline, by way of Eminency. In all these was this young Courtier brought up, and skilled in all Philosophical Accomplishments and the Knowledg of Nature. Besides, he was more especially instructed in that Abstruse and Recomdite Knowledg which the Egyptians were peculiarly Masters

of the Holy Scriptures. Malters of, namely their Hieroglyphick Cyphers, their Mystical Symbols and Figures, whereby they represented the choicest Truths to Mens Minds. This way of Symbolical Learning furnish'd them with all kinds of Notions that were serviceable in the Life of Man, they were taught hence the best Rules of Morality, the profoundest Maxims in Politicks, and the most useful Sentiments in Theology. This was the Celebrated Wisdom of the Egyptians, in which Moses was educated, being fent by Pharaoh's Daughter to the best Academies and Schools of Learning, and committed to the best Tutors, and having moreover the Advantage of his own Excellent Parts and Quick Ingeny; for he who was so Eminent as to his Bodily Features and Proportions, had without doubt as Fair a Soul. But 'tis time now for Moses to leave the Court, and to add to all his other Accomplishments, that of

Travelling. And truly he was necessitated to this, for the Court could not bear him any longer, because He could not bear it: he every Day more and more disliked their Manners, contemned their Gay follies, laugh'd at their empty Titles, and 'refused to be call'd the Son of Pharaoh's Daughter. He was now refolv'd to help and affift his oppressed Brethren, though by that Attempt he should lose the favour of the King, and his Royal Patroness, and with that all Possibility of being Great, yea though he should incur the Danger of being Miserable above

the degree of his former Happiness: ' He chose ra-

ther to suffer Affliction with the People of God (his He-

brew Brethren) than to enjoy the Pleasures of Sin for

4 Season (in Pharaoh's Court): esteeming the Re-

moach of (or, for) Christ greater Riches than the

1 Heb. 11. 24. 2 Ver. 25, 26.

Treasures in Egypt. In pursuance of this be vi fited his Brethren the Children of Israel, who now groaned under their extreme Bondage and Slavery in that Country, he boldly defended them when he Saw them suffering wrong, and avenged the Cause of the Oppressed, and smote the Egyptians. This made him taken notice of by the Egyptian Lords and Taskmasters, who presently went and represented his Carriage to the Court, and thereupon he was banished thence for his daring to take the part of any of those Hebrew Bondslaves. 'Then fled Moses into the Land of Midian, and was a Shepherd there ' forty Years, just as many as he had been a Courtier. This was the sudden Change of his Condition, and he made it serviceable to the best Ends. He went out of the World, as it were, to come into it with the greater Vigour; for his Retired Life fitted him for Publick Atchievements of terwards, his Contemplative and Solitary way of living prepared him for Action, his Low and Mean Estate was the Forerunner of his being call'd to an High one. God bestows not on a Man Magnisicence unless he first makes Trial of him in some Small thing, say the Rabbies; and they instance in Mose and David, who kept Sheep. Kings were antiently stiled Shepherds, and sometimes were really such. God calls Cyrus his Shepherd, Ifa. 44. 28. 1101 um λαῶν is the Epithet of a Prince in Homer: which Plato explains by νομεύς ες τροφός άγελις άνθρω mivus, a Feeder and Nourisher of the Flock of Mankind. The three Hebrew Verbs nahag, nahal, ragnah, fignify to lead or feed Sheep, and to govern So do the Greek Words is men and noinceivery. Auth

1 Afts 7: 23. 2 Ver. 24: 2 Ver. 29: 4 Ver. 23, & 39 In Politic.

is both a Palace, Luke 11.21. John 18.15. and a Sheepfold, John 10. 1. The Word Shebet is both a Scepter and a Pastoral Rod. The Shepherd's Employment, faith ' Philo, (speaking of this very Matter) is a Prelude to Empire and Government. Yea he runs this too far, adding, that he is clearly of the Opinion (though he may be laugh'd at for it) that he only can be a Perfect Compleat King who is well skill'd in the Shepherd's Art, and by taking Care of lesser Animals hath learnt how to preside over greater ones. But though this be extravagant, and not becoming that Learned Man, yet it is a Sober Truth that a Retired Contemplative Life (such as the Pastoral was in those Days) is a good Preparative to Publick Action and Buliness.

Accordingly Moses, when he had been forty Years a Shepherd, was appointed by God to feed Ifrael, and to spend the remaining forty Years in that Employment. To which purpose he was sent back by God into Egypt to be a Deliverer to that oppressed People, and to conduct them into the Promised Land. Being arrived there he delivered his Message to Pharaob, and earnestly solicited him to attend to it, and to obey the Command of the King of Kings. Which when he (after several Offers of Compliance) at last refused, Moses with the Israelites of for fook Egypt, not fearing the Wrath of the King, who they knew would foon purfue them: and this their Valiant and Undaunted Captain by a Miraculous Hand led them safe over the X 4 Red

<sup>6</sup> Gen. 49. 10. Plal. 23. 4. 7 Ποιμενική γδ μελέτη προγυμνέσο ната ватленц. De vita Mosis. Mov d'v yève dus витлей с τέλα ο την ποιμενικήν όπιτημην α;αθός, εν ελάτροπ ζώοις mulderflis to 7 agest Joyay. "Heb. 11. 27.

of the Holy Scriptures. 31;

Red Sea, and placed them out of all Danger of the Egyptians, whom presently after they saw lying dead upon the Shore. And this was the Man who was their Constant Leader in the Wilderness; here he is their only Guide, their Counsellor, their Oracle in all their Difficulties. By his Ardent Prayers he was wont to avert the Divine Venge. ance when it was lighting on them, and by the same Fervent Breathings and Cryings he procured them the Greatest Blessings they desired and stood in need of. This was the Person that was taken up by God into the Mount, and had the peculiar Favour and Honour of converting most Familiarly and Intimately with him; and in that had the Preheminence of all the Prophets that ever arose in Ifracl, for none of them were admitted to that fingular Dignity vouchsafed to him, namely, 'to know God Face to Face. He was the Man employed hy God to receive the Law for the Jews, which he delivered to them with great Care and Faithfulnels, often Repeating and Explaining it, shewing them the Reasonableness, Usefulness and Excellency of those Constitutions and Statutes which were given them by God, continually teaching them to understand these Laws aright, and encouraging them to practife them; infomuch that he hath, gain'd among all Nations the Name of a Lawgiver, far furpalling that of Lycurgus among the Lacedemonians, or of Solon among the Athenians, or of Numa among the Romans.

Of this Admirable Person this short but comprehensive Character is given by St. Stephen, that he was Mighty in Words and in Deeds. He that was not eloquent, not a Man of Words, (as 'tis in the Hebrew)

brew) he that was flow of Speech, and of a flow Tongue (for which Reason Aaron was his ' Mouth, i. c. his Spikesman to the People) was Mighty in Words. How can this be? Very well. A Man may want Eloquence, and yet be a Great Orator. Demosthenes had a natural Impediment in his Speech, and so had Tully, and neither of them could quite conquer it by their Art and Industry. Their Oratory lay more in the Matter, and their wife framing of it, than in the Words they spoke. So was it with this Great Man, (if I may compare him with them) he was mighty in Words, yet was no Graceful Speaker; he was powerful in Speech, yet a Stammerer. This shows that there is a Rhetorick, and that very Potent, which consists not in Readiness of Language and Volubility of Words, but in speaking Great Reason and Excellent Sense, and in faying that which is to the Purpose. Especially the the Words are Mighty when they proceed from an Excellent Mind, and when Deeds follow. So that Diodorus Siculus may be thought to be a good Commentator on St. Stephen, for he gives this as part of Moses's Character, that ' he was of a Great Soul, and very able and powerful in his Life. He did what he faid, he acted according to what he spoke. The Israelites were directed to their Duty more by his Practice, than his Words. He effectually taught them to live well, chiefly by doing so Himself. He set them a Pattern of all Vertues imaginable, and then commended them all by his Exemplary Condescension and Humility. Though he was one of the most transcendent Excellencies, (the Beauties

of Body and Mind shining in him, as you have

heard)

Lib. 2, c, 5. 'Avile rel Ti duxi us pas ni To Blo travotato.

<sup>1</sup> Deur. 34. 9. Acts 7. 25, Exod. 4. 16.

heard) yet he was the Humblest and ' Meekest Man on the Face of the Earth. He gave an undeniable Proof of this when 'he refused to contend with Mi riam and Aaron about his Authority and Eminency, which God himself had enstated him in, but which they denied to own. He discover'd this mild Spirit when he patiently bore all the unworthy Carriage of the People towards him. They knew well enough that he left the Egyptian Court and Honours for their Sake, that he might be their Leader and Deliverer: yet they forgot this his fingular Affection to them, and often murmured against him, and slandered and reviled him, and would have none of his Conduct, yea and mere ready to some him after all his Pains and Care for them. But notwithstanding all these Affronts, and Injuries, and offers of Violence, (which were almost perpetual) he went on contentedly in the Discharge of his Office, and forgat their ill Demeanour towards him, and studied nothing more than to oblige them. Or, his being Mighty in Deeds, may refer to the Astonishing Miracles which he wrought. He outdid all the Prophets in these, say the Jewish Doctors; for (if you'l believe their 'Computation) there were but seventy four Miracles done by all of them from the Beginning of the World, till the Destruction of the sirst Temple, but Moses himself wrought seventy six Miracles: so that he did more than all the Prophets together. But this we are certain of from the infallible Records of Scripture, that he was Mighty in working of Miracles. The Sacred History, which he penn'd by the Inspiration of the Holy Spirit, gives us a Particular of fome

' Num. 12. 3. ' Ibid. ' Exod. 17.4. ' Manasse ben Israel Quaft. in Deuteron.

some of those Wonderful Things which he effected in Egypt, and at the Red Sea, and in the Wilderness; and many others are not mention'd, (as is usual in these brief Narratives of things) but all of them were the Operations of a Divine and Supernatural Power.

Lastly, he was Mighty in Deeds relating to Government, and the Management of Publick Affairs which respect either Peace or War. It was Plaw's Judgment of old, and after him fome Great Persons liked it so well that they made it theirs too, that it would never be well with the World till Philosophers had the Reins of Government put into their Hands, or till the Governours and Guides of People were become True Philosophers. There were notable Examples of this in Athens, where Aristides, Themistocles, Miltiades, Pericles, Phocion, Alcibiades, and several others were as celebrated Philosophers as Commanders and Captains. They were renowned for their Great Wit and Judgment, and for as Great Valour and Conduct. As wife Men they knew how to regulate themselves and their own Manners; as skilful Rulers and Governours, they knew how to rectify the Behaviour of others. We are fure that Moses wanted not this double Advantage, being versed both in the Principles of the Best Philosophy, and the Wisest Government, and being able to act according to both. His Learning and Contemplation were reduced into Exercife: he by them not only understood but prachis'd the Arts of War and well Governing. He knew how to give Laws to the People, and knew how to lead them into the Field: like Casar afterwards, who was both Scholar and Souldier, the Master of Eloquence and of Arms. The great Variety of Life which he had gone through made him

him universally Knowing, and fitted him for all forts of Actions. David is a like Instance in Scripture, and I know not another. He was, like Mofer, a Shepherd, a Courtier, a King's Favourite, and afterwards out of Favour, a Fugitive, a Warriour, a Ruler, a Prophet, a Writer. This Difference of Scenes rendered both of them Compleat Actors: this Diversity of States furnish'd them with Political Wisdom, which being added to that which was Divine, enabled them to act fo laudably in those Publick Stations to which they were advanced. And for this reason our Moses is the more Acceptable Historian, because he was one of such valt Knowledg and Wisdom, and had pass'd through so many and various Stages of Life, and especially because he was personally engaged in most of the

things he writes. We count it a good Qualification in those that pen Histories, that they write things done in their own time, and that they bore a Part in what they describe. Thus Dictys Cretensis (if we may begin with him) writ the Trojan War, wherein he himself had served: Thucidides (as he tells us in the beginning of his History) was present at the things he wrote concerning the Peloponnesian War, and faw and knew much of it. Xenophon was both Historian and Captain, and knew many of the Things he transmits to Posterity. Diodorus Siculus (as he acquaints us in the Entrance of his History) travell'd a great Part of Asia and Europe, to inform himself of the Things he relateth, and that he might be an Eye-witness of most of them: and it appears from what he faith elsewhere, that he went into Africa. Julius Cæsar's Commentaries (which Name he was pleas'd out of Modesty to apply to the best History in the World of that fort) are an Account of

the Military Acts of his own Army. He fought and writ: his Battels were transcribed into his Book: his Blood and his Ink were equally free, his Sword and his Pen were alike famous. Josephus accompanied Titus to the Siege of Jerusalem, and knew himself the Acts done in the War he writes. Polybius travell'd to most of the Parts which he describes, and faw those very things which he writes of. Procopius fets down what he knew, for he was present with Belifarius at the Wars which he treats of, and .was Eve-witness of what he relates. Herodian writ the History of the Emperors of his own Time, and fo had the exacter Knowledg of their Actions. Suetonius was Contemporary with the three last Emperors, whose Lives he writes. Among the Modern Historians, Comines, Guicciardine, Sleidan, Thuanus, are commendable on this account, they lived at the same time when most of the Things which they record were done, and they were themselves actually concern'd in many of them. Now, if these who were interested in the Matters they deliver'd are thought to be well qualified on that Account for Historians, then we ought to have the greater Regard to our Divine Writer, who was engaged in so great a Part of the Things which he commits to Writing. He describes those Battels at which he was present, and records those Passages in which he had a Share, and that a very considerable one: so that having the Relation of these things from his Mouth, we do not only read them, but as twere see them. And here by the way we may fee the unreasonableness of those Mens Cavils, who think it a diminishing of the Authority of Moses's Writings that he so often records his Own Actions and Deportment, as if they did not found well, nay could not be true from his own Mouth. But it

particu-

it is certain that this very Thing commends his Writings, and strengthens the Authority of them, especially when we know that he was a Person of Integrity, and would not tell a Lie. We think not the worse of Josephus's Life, because 'twas writ with his own Hand; nor of the Emperor Antoninus's Books concerning Himself, nor of St. Austin's Confessions wherein he gives an Account of his own Actions, nor of Cardan, or Junius, or Bp. Hall, who writ their Own Lives, nor of Montaign who in one Book more especially makes Himself the Subject, and relates his own Temper, Studies, Fortunes, &c. And shall we think the worse of Moses because he sets down the Passages of his own Life in the Books which he hath written? No: this rather advanceth their Credit among wise and understanding Men, who are satisfied that none was so fit to give an account of his own Actions as this Author himself, both because he knew them better than any Man, and because he was of that entire Faithfulness that he would relate nothing but what. was exactly true. And that he was thus faithful and impartial, is evident from those Passages which relate to Himself, which are frequent in these Writings, where his own Infirmities, Imperfections and Follies are registred, where his unfeemly Wrath and Passion, where his gross Unbelief and Distrusting of God (as at the Waters of Meribah especially) and several other Miscarriages of his Life are set down. This shews that he spared not Himself, and that he was not guilty of Partiality: this shews that he was devoted to Truth, and not led by Applause and Vain Glory. Whereas he might have composed his own Panegyrick, and tranf-

of the Holy Scriptures. transmitted it to future Ages, you see he chose the contrary, and recorded his own Faults and Misdemeanours: whence it is rational to conclude that he would not fallify in the least in any other Part of his Writings. And as for that Aphorism of Machiavel, He that writes an History must be of no Religion, it is here disproved and confuted: Moses was the most Absolute Historian, and yet the most Religious; and his being the latter, capacitated him to be the former. For no Man can so impartially deliver the Truth as he that speaks it from his own Breast, and especially (as in the present Case) hath a practical Sense of those Divine Things which he delivers. This is that Person who was the Author of the Pentateuch, that Excellent Philosopher, Lawgiver, Historian, that Captain, that Prince, that Prophet, that Man of God, who was the Inspired Writer of the five first Books of the Bible.

The first of which (as I faid before) is Genesis, which begins with the History of the Creation. And I call it a History, in opposition to the fond Conceit of those Men who read the Beginning of this Book with Cabalistick Spectacles only, and think there are nothing but Allegories and Mysteries in the whole Text. But the contrary is very evident to unprejudiced Minds: and to fuch as are not so, I have propounded Arguments in another Place (viz. when I treated of the Literal and Mystical Sense of Scripture) to take off their Prejudces and Mistakes. This I did, because it is necessary to be firmly perswaded of the Truth and Certainty of what we meet with here in our Entrance into the Bible. It is indispensably requisite that we believe Moses to have delivered these things as an Historian; and that he speaks real Matter of Fact, when he gives us a Narrative of the Beginning of all things, and

<sup>&#</sup>x27; Tar eis sautor Biblia.

tion'd

particularly of the Original of Man, his Innutency and Happiness, and after that his Fall, which was the Source of all Sin, of the Devil's Tyranny, of Death, of Hell, and of all Evils whatsoever. The Knowledg and Belief of This are the Bass of all Religion, and that perhaps was the Meaning of Luther's Saying, that the First Chapter of Genesis

Luther's Saying, that the First Chapter of Genesis comprehends the whole Scripture. Wherefore this is with great Wisdom premised in the Entrance of this Sacred Volume. To which afterwards are adjoined the Propagation of Mankind

wards are adjoined the Propagation of Mankind, the Rife of Religion and of the Church of Ged, the Invention of Arts, the General Defection and

Corruption of the World, the Universal Deinge which drown dell Mankind but Nach and his Family, the Restoration of the World, the Certain Distinction of Times before the Flood and partly

after it, the Confusion of Tongues, and thereupon the Division of the Earth among the Sons of Men, the Plantation of Families, the Original of Nations and Kingdoms, as the Assirian Monarchy (begun

in Nimrod or Belus) and the Egyptian Dynasty; the History of the first Patriarchs not only before but after the General Deluge, as of Noab the Preacher

of Righteousness, of Abraham the Father of the Faithful, of Isaac the Seed in which all Nations were to be blessed, of Jacob the Father of the twelve Tribes, of Joseph whose Memorable Astions are here fully recorded, and with which this First Book of Moses ends; unless the Book of Gene-

fis may be said to reach as far as Biblo performs, because of that Promise contain d in it concerning the Seed of the Woman that was to break the Serpent's Head, viz. Christ the Redeemer made of a Wo-

' Colloq. Mensal.

man, and fent to fubdue the Devil, and to destroy Sin and Death.

But because this First Book begins with the Creation of the World, and is therefore by the Rabbins call'd the Book of the Creation, I will here annex a brief View of the several Distinct Steps of this Great Work, as they are represented to us by this Inspired Writer and Divine Philosopher, who acquaints us that there were fix Days spent in erecting this glorious Fabrick of the World. And this will be a farther Proof of what I said before, viz. that in Scripture is the Truest Philosophy. When Moses saith, In the Beginning God created the Heaven and the Earth, ver. 1. he doth in these Words give us a fummary Account of all that he intended to fay afterwards in this Chapter: for Heaven and Earth comprehend the Whole Creation. first Verse then is to be look'd upon as a General Draught of the Production of all things: and the Particulars of it follow in the next Verses, where the feveral Days Works are distinctly set down. The Product of the first Day was two-fold, viz. the Terraqueous Mass (call'd here the Earth) and Light. There was first of all created a Rude Confuled Heap, (by Profane Writers call'd the Chaos) an indigested Mass of Earth and Water mix'd together, out of which God afterwards made all Corporeal things which belong to this lower World. For we must not (as some) imagine that the Celestial Bodies were composed out of the Earthly Chaos, that all the vast Spaces of the Hea-Venly Mansions owe their Rise to this Mass below, and that the very Stars were the Offspring of the Earth. No; Moses gives us to understand that this Confused Lump was the Original only of the Lower World: for the Earth in this first Verse is mention'd as one Part of the new-created World, as distinct from Light the other Part of the Creation. As Light then (of which I shall speak next) was the primordial Matter of the Ethereal, Celestial and Shining Bodies; so this Gross and Lumpish Heap was that of which all Dark and Heavy Bodies were compounded. This Unshapen Mass without Form, and void, is here, by a general Name, call'd the Earth, though it was not in a strict Sense such; for the Earth, as a distinct Body from all others, was the Work of the third Day. In this Place therefore by Earth is meant Earth and Water blended together, which made one Great Bog or Universal Quagmire. This is the plainest and truest Conception we can have of the Primitive State of the World. And hence without doubt was derived the Opinion of Thales and some other Antient Philosophers, that Water, or Stime, or Mud, (for they express it variously) was the Source of all Beings whatfoever. And certain it is that this Terraqueous Matter was the first Origine of all those material Beings before-mention'd. Accordingly Sir W. Raleigh, in the Beginning of his History of the World, determines, that the Substance of the Waters, as mix'd in the Body of the Earth, is by Moses understood in the word Earth. Hitherto, according to the Mosaick History, Nature is in her Nightclothes, the World is overspread with Darkness, which is especially said to be on the face of the Deep, by which is meant either the whole Disorder'd Mass, which was an Abyss, or else (as is most probable) the Warry Part of it; for though this and the Earthy Parts were mix'd together, yet these latter being lightest were generally uppermost, and floted above all, and appear'd on the Surface of the Earth. Therefore that Learned Knight before

mention'd observes that the Earth was not only mix'd but cover'd with the Waters. But the Spirit of God (as Moses proceeds to tell us) maved or hover'd over this Dark Abyss, this Mix'd Chaos, especially the Waters; (as 'tis particularly said, because these were uppermost) and hereby the Rude Matter was prepared to receive its several Forms, and then the World began to throw off its Dark and Sable Mantle, and to appear in a Bright Dress.

For the other Product of this first Day (and which indeed made it Day) was Light, i. e. some Lucid Body or Bodies: which yet cast but a Glimmering Splendor, a Faint Radiancy in comparison of what was afterwards on the fourth Day, when we are told in what certain Subjects the Light refided, and was as it were fix'd. But now it was feeble and vagrant, and was the first Result of some firy and luminous Matter which the Diving Spirit by his powerful Moving and Incubation had engender'd. This Bright and Glorious Matter was the Second General Source of all Beings, that is, out of it were made the pure Ather, the Sun and Stars, and whatever belongs to the superiour Part of the World; but these appertain to the fourth Day's Work. Now we are only to take notice of this Light as it is here the Catholick Term for the First Rudiment of the whole Celestiat Creation (as Earth was the word to express the First Matter of the Inferiour Part of the World). And what is this Light but Fire or Flame, that subtile Matter which heats and enlightens the World? For June is both lux and ignis, as also the Greek Word ogs imports. So Heat is put for Light? Pfat. 19, 6. And I could observe that pas is used

not'

Was Light, Mat. 17. 2. Fire, Mark 14. 54 Luke 22. 50.

324 The Excellency and Perfection

not only in Ifa. 18.4. but in other Places to express the Hebrew Word for Heat. Which shews the Affinity, if not the Identity of these two. This Original Light then, which was the Second Principle in the Creation, is no other than those fine and brisk Particles of Matter whose Nature is to be in a Continual Agitation, and which by their restless Motion and Pressure communicate Warmth and Light, Vigour and Lustre where-ever there is need of them in the Universe. Some refer the Creation of Angels to this first Day's Work, by reducing them to the word Heaven in the first Verse; but I have fuggested already that that Verse is a General Account of the Whole Creation, and not of any Particular Day's Production, (or else by Heaven and Earth there is meant the First Matter or Rude Draught of both) therefore no fuch thing can be inferr'd thence. Nor are we to think that the Angelick Order is comprehended under Light, (as I find some imagine, because they read of an Angel of Light, 2 Cor. 11. 14.) for it is Material Light only that is the Product of the first Day's Work. I rather think that Moses designed not to include Angels in any Part of that Account which he gives of the Creation, for he makes it his Buliness to speak of those Works of God which were visible and senfible, and therefore 'tis no wonder that the Angelick Spirits are not mention'd, for they come not within the Compass of his Undertaking. Hitherto we have had a View of the Two Pri-

mitive Materials of all visible Beings in the World, viz. 1. The Formless Mass or Chaos (whence 'tis likely Aristotle derived his First Matter, which is according to him neither this nor that, but mere passive Potentiality, yet susceptive of any Form).

2. The Astive Light, which was made to envigorate

the dull and inert Matter of the Chaos, and afterwards to be the Original of the Vast Luminaries of the Celestial Part of the World. These are the General Elements of the Mundane System; one gross and unactive, the other subtile and penetrating; the one the Matter of this inferiour Part of the Universe, the other of those more spacious and extended Orbs above. This I take to be the true Account of the Origine of the World, though I have but few (if any) that concur with me in laying it down thus; for the Chaos is generally made the Universal Source of the World. But to me it feems to be but One Part of it, and that of this Lower Division only, which is very small in respect of the other. I have only this to add here, that it is this First Day's Work alone that in the most proper and strict Sense ought to be call'd the Creation, because now was made the First and Universal Substance out of which the Works of the other Days were produced; though it is true in a latitude of speaking, the Formation of the distinct species of Beings was a Creation also. And of these I proceed now to speak according to the Mosaick Method, the same with that of the Creator.

On the second Day was the Lower Heaven or Firmament made, call'd by this Divine Philosopher Rakiang, i. e. the Expansion, or according to the Seventy Interpreters sie (ωμα, whom the Vulgar Latin follows, and renders it Firmamentum. This was produced in the midst of the Waters, and the Design of it was to divide the Waters from the Waters, (v. 6.) i. e. (as it follows) the Waters under this Firmament from the Waters above it. The Meaning of which is, (after all the wild Comments on these Words) that whereas the Waters at sirst were heap'd together very high above the Earth in

Y 3 fome

th

of the Holy Scriptures.

some Places, the All-wise Disposer began this Day to make a Separation of them, and to frame an Expansion (for that is the simple and downright import of the Hebrew Word) between the lower and the higher Parts of the Waters: fo that now there was a Distance between them, which was cansed by the Interposition of Air between these lower and higher Parts of the Waters. The Almighty Creator, by attenuating and rarifying thefe, transmuted them into an Aerial Body, which shall always continue so, i. e. shall remain really distinct from the crasser Subsistence of Water. Therefore in plain Terms this Expansum is the Whole Region of Air: and we cannot imagine any other Expanfun or Out-spread Firmament which divides the fuperiour from the inferiour Waters, i. e. the Clouds from that vast Body and Mass of Waters which at first cover'd the Earth, and soon after (as you shall hear) were disposed of into particular Receptacles, and were denominated the Seas. But yet in a large way of speaking this Firmament here spoken of is all that Extended Space (for that, I fay, is the proper Denotation of the Word) which reacheth from the Earth to the Place of the Stars, which was made afterwards. If it be asked why this Second Day's Work hath not the same Approbation that the rest have: I answer, the Reason is not because it was not good, but because it was but an Essay or Specimen of the two next Days Works; for the Waters were but now begun to be separated, which afterwards we find finished on the third Day: and this Firmament was but a Beginning or Preparative to the Production of a higher and nobler Expansum on the fourth Day. This

we' may conceive to be the Reason why the

Epiphonema which is added to every Day's Work

[God

[God fam that it was good] is not adjoined here. On the third Day there was this fourfold Work; 1. A Compleat Separating or dividing of the Waters. 2. A Gathering of them into one Place, which was then, and is fince call'd the Sea. And it is most reasonable to believe that on the same Day that the Seas were made by depressing some Parts of the Earth for the Waters to run in, the Channels also of the Rivers were fix'd, and the Currents of Water let into them. For if (as some imagine) Rivers were made afterwards by Men, the Banks of them (or one Side of them at least) would be higher than the rest of the Ground, by reason of the Earth dig out and cast up. 3. A Drying of the Land, which was a necessary Confequence of that collecting the Waters Into certain Cavities and Channels in the Earth; for they being drain'd and funk down into these, the Land became dry, and had the Denomination of Earth (properly to call'd) given to it. Virgil expresses it thus, (for he as well as other Poets, as I have hew'd in another Place, borrowed several things from the Sacred Records)

Et durare solum, & discludere Nerea Ponto

And this was not only in order to render it a sutable Habitation for Men and Beasts afterwards, but to sit it immediately for *Plants* and *Herbs*, for *Trees* and *Fruits*, (and more especially for the Plantation of Paradise) which were the fourth and last Production of this Day.

The next Day was employ'd in creating of an Etherial Heaven or Firmament, and furnishing it with Glorious Lights. As the former Firmament

or Expanse was the Space between the Earth and Ather, so this is that vast Extension which com-

prehends the Ather, and all the Luminaries placed in it, and whatever is above it, even the Place of the Blessed, call'd the Heaven of Heavens. The Generality of Expositors, I grant, make the other Firmament and this the same, and think that the Firmament here spoken of is not mention'd as the Product of this Day's Creation, but that here is only a new mentioning of the preceding one. But this Mistake hath run them into great Absurdities, and hath made them unable to give any tolerable Account of the Waters under the Firmament, and those above it. But if you quit the usual Road of Interpreters, and take the Firmament in the 14th Verse to be different from that in ver. 6, & 7. you folve all Difficulties what soever, and the Texts are clear and evident. Wherefore I distinguish between the Firmament of Air and that of Ather, i.e. that wherein the Clouds and Meteors are, and the other which contains the Luminaries of Heaven. And you may observe that this, in contradistinction to the former, is fignally stiled thrice the Firmament of Heaven, ver. 14, 15, 17. This Celestial Expansion being fix'd, the next Work was to garnish and adorn it. To which purpose the Light made the first Day is now abundantly and almost infinitely augmented and refined, and disposed of into certain particular Orbs or Spheres, or Vortices, which arc form'd in this upper Part of the World. As all the formerly dispersed Light which was scatter'd over the whole Face of the Earth and Deep, was (as we expresly read, ver. 4.) divided from the Darkness, whereby one half of the Globe was enlightned, and the other was in the dark; (it was Day with one Hemisphere whilest it was Night with the

other)

ether) so now on the third Day this Wandring Light is gather'd into the Bodies of the Sun and Stars.

This is the Mosaick Philosophy concerning the Earth and Heavens; and (if it were my Business here) I could shew that upon true Principles of Reason it is more consistent than any Philosophical Hypotheses of another Strain, and especially more congruous to the Laws of Motion and the Operations of Nature than that of Monsieur Des Cartes, who tells us, that there were nothing but Suns and Stars at first, there were no Earths nor Planets, but in process of Time some of these Suns were overspread with Spots and Scum, and became opake, and being suck'd in by their Neighbour-Vortices, turn'd into Planets or Earth. But truly, to give this worthy Person his due, he propounded this only as a Handsome Hypothesis, a neat Philosophick fiction, which he thought might serve as a good Expedient to folve some Celestial Phænomena. But he intended not that any Man should look upon it as a Reality, and thereby exclude the Mosaick Dodrine: For his own Words are these, '" It is not " to be doubted that the World was at the very " first created with all its Perfection, so that there 4 were then existent the Sun, Earth and Moon. " This the Christian Faith teacheth us, and even " natural Reason perswades us to think so: for " when we attend to the Immense Power of God, "we can't imagine that he ever made a thing which was not every ways entire and perfect. Thus he establisheth the Mosaick System, according

<sup>&#</sup>x27; Non dubium est quin Mundus ab initio fuerit creatus cum omni sua persectione, ita ut in co & Sol, &c. Cartes. Princip. Philos. Par. 3. Sect. 45.

Reader

ing to which the Earth was before, not after the Heavens; yea, as groß as it was, it was the Firstborn of the Creation, and consequently the Hypothesis about its being made by Absorption is a Fistion. So according to Moses the Earth was the Basis and Foundation of the World, and the Sun and other Luminaries were placed in the Firmament, which is said to be above the Earth; wherefore the System that makes the Earth the Center, and not the Sun, is sounded on this.

Before I dismiss this Head, I might take notice how mightily concern'd the Archaelogist is about the Inequality of the Days Works, and especially that of this Fourth Day, which he tells us exceeds all the other five, and therefore he cannot give Credit to Moses's Hexaemeron. This is the wild Reasoning of this Philosophick Adventurer. Indeed both here and in other Places where he descants on the Mosaick History, he uses a most extravagant, and (to speak plainly) a most irreligious Liberty, confronting the Text with an unsufferable Boldness, and playing upon it with a most unbecoming Raillery. Is he to fet the Almighty Creator his Tasks, and proportion them as he think fit? Must every Day's Work be equal, or else must it not be believed? Yea, is he able to tell what is equal or unequal with the Omnipotent Deity and most Wise Architect of the World? Surely this is not the Language of a Christian Man: Yea, (which perhaps will affect him more) 'tis as fure that he doth not talk like a Philosopher, for it is certain (and all Intelligent Men will acknowledg it) that Dull, Gross, heavy Matter, about which the foregoing Days Works were conversant, is not (if we speak

of the Nature of the thing) fo foon moved, shaped and order'd as that which is Tenuious, Fluid, fubtile and active. The Make of the Heavens and all the spatious Bodies of the Stars was quickly dispatch'd, because the Matter of them was Ethereal, light, tractable; and by reason of their siry and agile Nature they presently ran into that Shape which they now appear in. This should have been consider'd by this Cavilling Gentleman, and he ought to have made a Distination between what in it self is Dull and what is Active, i.e. the Tiro Different Principles of the Creation which I have before afferted. If he had done so, he would have feen that there is no Reason to complain of Inequality in the Six Days Works. But he mistook the System of the World which Moses describes, and thence was his Error. I wish it was not wilful and presumptuous, for from several bold Strokes in this Ingenious Man's Writings, one would be apt to think he enclined to Alphonfus?s Humour, who declared that if he had been at the Creation of the World, he could have taught God to have formed the System of it better. But I will retain a more charitable Opinion concerning this Author. And lexpect that he should shew his Charity (as I have mine) in not censuring this my free Descant upon what he hath publish'd to the World: for I have as great a Regard as any Man to True and Sober Philosophy, and I own the Great Worth and Excellency of it; but I must needs protest that I abhor the Practice of those who exclude the Sacred Writings whilest they adhere to their own Hypothesis, who fet up fuch Philosophical Principles and Conclusions as directly oppose and contradict the Revealed Truths of the Bible. And this is the Cafe now before us, or else I should not have troubled the

Archæolog. Philos. cap. 8.

Author hath written. Let us have as much Merbilosophy as he pleases, but none that subverts on Old Religion.

To proceed; on the fifth Day the Inhabitants of the Seas, and of the Lower Heaven were formed.

To proceed; on the fifth Day the Inhabitants of the Seas, and of the Lower Heaven were form'd. For though the chearing and warming Light, before it was embodied and gather'd together into certain Receptacles, was instrumental by the Divine Power to produce Vegetables, yet it was not vigorous enough to beget the Animal Life. But now this Noble and Cherishing Virtue being mightily increas'd by immense Accessions of Light and Heat made to it, and being more advantagiously placed and fix'd, we find the Effect of it in the Production of Fish and Feather'd Animals: Now a Living or Sensitive Soul is first made, ver. 21.

ving or Sensitive Soul is first made, ver. 21. On the fixth and last Day the Earth brought forth all kinds of Beasts and Cattle, i. e. all Tore strial Animals (as on the foregoing Day all Animals belonging to the Sea and Rivers, and to the Air, were created). And lastly Mun, the Top and Glory of the Creatures, the most Elaborate Piece of the whole Creation, was framed out of the Dust; and, in respect of his Diviner Partelpecially, made according to the Image of God himself. He is too Great and Noble a Being to be spoken of by the by, and therefore I shall not discourse of him here. Only I will observe the Unreasonableness of the Archaologist, who positively avers that this last Day's Performance was not proportionable to the rest, and thence condemns the Mosaick History of the Creation, But this Disproportion is either in respect of more or of less done on this Day than on the others. If he complains that more was done, he shows himself inconside, rate, for hereby it appears that he takes no notice of the Creation's riling higher and higher towards the latter end; besides that he confines the Creator himself. But if he complains (as I suppose he doth) that less was done, he shews what low and unworthy Thoughts he hath of Man: as if Mud, Water, Earth, Clouds, Seas, Plants, Fish and Fowl, (the Productions of the former Days) were much better than Him whom God purposely reserved to be the Complement and Perfection of all, Him to whome every Creature pays a Tribute, Him for whose Useand Benefit the whole World was made.

These are the tena in imegal, the Primitive Works of God, and the Several Days in which they were made. For we are not to imagine (as 'fome do) that this Division of the Creation into so many Parts is only fet down for Order sake, but that really all was done at once and in a Moment: for then the Reason given in the Fourth Commandment of fanctifying the Sabbath Day, viz. because in fix Days the Lord made Heaven and Earth, the Sea, and all that in them is, and rested on the seventh Day, is to no purpose, yea it is absolute Nonsense. Therefore we must necessarily own the Gradual Progress of the Creation. And let us not only do for but observe the Wisdom and Providence of the Infinite Architect in the Order and Method which he used. He in creating began with the lowest and meanest Rank of Beings, and so ascended to higher and nobler. Simple Elements, as Earth, Water, Fire, (or Light) Air, were produced before the more mixt and concrete Bodies. Yea, these Elements were placed according to the Order and Degree of Gra-

Philo de Allegor. Leg. l. 1. Hilar. de Trin. l. 12. S. Auguflin. in Gen. fec. liveram.

Gravity, first the Earth, subsiding in the lowest Place of all, (for the Great and Renowned Tycho disdains not this Hypothesis) then the Waters or Abysis placed immediately about the Earth: next the Air or Expansion, whose Position was above the Waters: lastly the Fire, call'd Light, which comprehends all the Ethereal and Heavenly Bodies, which are furmounted above all the rest. As for the Planets (which are so many Earths, i.e. if by Earth we mean an opake Body) they are to be accounted for at another time, and in another Place, where it will be most proper to speak of them. It is also observable, that things that were Inanimate were first brought into Existence, and afterwards fuch as had a Vegetative Life: then things that had Sense and Spontaneous Motion, and lastly Reasonable Creatures. Man was the concluding Work of the Creation, and his Soul was the last of all; to let us know that this fort of Beings is much moré valuable than Bodies, to assure us from the Method of God's creating that Minds or Spirits furpass Master. Finally, when I say that the Creation ceased in Man, as in the most Perfect Work of the Divine Artificer, as in the End to which all the rest were designed, I do not exclude Angels, who are a Perfecter Classis of Creatures, and are not united to Bodies as the Souls of Men are, and for that very Reason are not taken notice of by Moses in this Account of the Visible Creation. I am enclin'd to believe that these Glorious Spirits were made presently after Man, they being an Order of Creatures superiour to him. The Order of the Creation (so far as we certainly know any thing of it) invites me to embrace this Perswasion, for according to this those Excellent Beings should have the last Place. According to the Steps and Deof the Holy Scriptures.

grees of the Creation, I fay, it was thus. Exodus is the next Book; which relates the Tyranny of Pharaoh, the Bondage of the Israelites under him in Egypt, and their Wonderful Deliverance from it. More particularly here are recorded the Prodigious Increase and multiplying of these oppressed Hebrews which were the Posterity of Jacob, the Plagues inflicted on the Egyptian King and his People, because he refused to dismiss them; their Departure thence without his leave, though not without the Peoples; their Miraculous Passing through the Red Sea, or Arabian Gulf; the Overthrow of Pharach and his numerous Host; the Marvelous Securing and Protecting of the Israelites in the Arabian Defarts; the strange Miracles wrought for the sustaining and preserving of them: the Promulging of the Law to them on Mount Sinai, which consisted of Moral Precepts, Civil or Judicial Constitutions, and Ceremonial Rites: for the celebrating and performing of which latter, a Tabernacle was erected (as Rich and Stately as their present Condition would permit) by the particular Appointment and Direction of God. Briefly, this Book represents the Church of God, afflitsed and preserved: it shews that he is pleas'd to suffer it to be reduced to the greatest Straits and Calamities, and that even then he guards it by his Providence, and in good time delivers it.

But as before, when I mention'd the General Contents of the Book of Genesis, I particularly insisted on the Creation; so now having given a brief Scheme of this second Book, I will stay to enlarge upon a particular Subject of it, which is very Considerable and Remarkable, viz. the Dikáw May Go of the Confederable and Remarkable, viz. the Dikáw May Go of the Confederable and Remarkable, viz.

27261

Cedren. Hist. Compend.

testified his Wrath and Anger against the Egyptians. Ten times the Israelites were detained by Pharaob, and so many times God inflicted Remarkable Judgments on him and his People. The first was a fevere Infliction on their Waters, that Elev ment which is so useful and necessary to Man. The Divine Displeasure began to exert it self here by turning all their Rivers, Ponds, Pools and Streams into Blood. The dreadful Consequences of which were these, (as you find them enumerated, Exod. 7. 21.) first, they had no Water to drink, and quench their Thirst with: Secondly, their his (their great and almost only Food) died; and Thirdly, the Rivers stank, by reason of the putrified Waters and dead Fish. So direful was this Plague on their Waters, which they honour'd above all other Elements as the first Cause and Principle of all things in World, and especially their River Nile was gloried in, and worship'd as a God. This the Learned and Religious ' Philo gives as the Reason of inflicting this Punishment. God sent a Curse on that which they most prized and valued. That which they excessively admired, proved a Plague to them. God punish'd them in that which was most regarded by them, and was indeed most ferviceable to them. Again, this is to be observed that the Blood of the murder'd Infants, who had been drown'd by one of the Pharach's Command, is here represented by these Bloody Waters. Here the merciless Tyrant may see the just Retaliation of that Crime. The Rivers being chang'd into this Colour, accuse the Egyptians of the inhumane Slaughter of the innocent Babes, and let them know that their Plagues deservedly begun with these first

of all. Yea, here we may take notice of an Hoj." rible Omen: these Red Rivers were an unhappy but just Presage of the Fate which they should afterwards undergo in the Sea of that Denomination. If any Object here, How could the Magicians turn the Waters into Blood (v. 22.) after Moses and Aaron had done so before them? I Answer, the Univerfal Terms used in this Relation are to be restrained, and understood with some Exception, (than which nothing is more common in Scripture) i. e. when tis said all the Rivers and other Waters were stained with Blood, the meaning is that very few Places were free from this Infection. The Magicians then might repeat this Plague in Golhen, and some certain Parts of Egypt where it had not taken effect before.

When this First Plague was removed, God sent a Second, viz. Frogs. Which in part tormented the Egyptians after the same manner that the former did, for they were of an extraordinary Nature, (and so we must suppose all the other Creas three hereafter named to be) and infected the Was ins which were lately healed and recovered, for that there was no drinking of them, or making ule of them to any other Purpose. But whereas the former Plague was only on this Element, this present one was every where. No Place was cxempted from this Croaking Vermin. They overspread their Fields, they crept into their Houses, they lodg'd themselves in their Reds. All Places were filled with them, all Meats and Drinks bred them. Cortainly this must needs be a very Affrighting as well as a Noisom Punishment whilst these Animals were moving and living: and they were no less so afterwards, which they lay dead and putrifying all over the Land.

When this Plague was taken away, a Third fucceeded in its room, an Innumerable Company of Lice, which miserably infested both Men and Beasts. What these Kinnim were we do not cer-

tainly know: we have no fuch Creature perhaps. in these Countries. But this we know that these Loathsom Insects were such a peculiar Sort of Creatures that the Egyptian Sorcerers had not power to produce the like, and therefore they fignally call'd them the Finger of God, Exod. 8. 19. This alfo we know that this Crawling Vermin was excessively troublesom, painful and tormenting: and lastly, from this kind of Punishment we know this is to be infer-

red, that God, whenfoever he pleafes, can give Commission even to the Least, the Vilest and most Despicable Animals to execute his Wrath on Offenders As appears also by the Fourth Plague, viz. Swarme

of Flies, with which he further vex'd the Egyptians. Reelzebub was let loose among them, and his Buzzing Crew would not suffer them to be at ease. The Hebrew Word Gnarob is rendered by Greek Interpreters κυνόμυια the Dog-fly: but tis probable that the true genuine Word in the First Traslation was κοινόμινια, whence the Vulgar Latin renders it omne genus Muscarum, a Swarm of all manner of Flies. So according to Aquila's Version it is wannum. But 3 Josephus stretches the Word further, and interprets it θυρία παντοία μι πολύτροπα, wild Beafts of all Sorts and Shapes; fuch as no Man ever faw before, as he adds. Yea R. Solomon and some other Jewish Expositors think that all kinds of wild Beasts, especially Serpents and Scorpions, and such venomous Creatures, are meant. The Author of the Book of Wisdom understood the Word thus, as ap-

pears.

! Antiqu. 1. 2. c. 5.

of the Holy Scriptures. pears from what he faith, Ch. 11. v. 15, 16. For the foolish Devices of their Wickedness, wherewith being. deceived they worshipp'd Serpents and vile Beasts; God Sent a Multitude of Beasts upon them for Vengeance: that they might know that wherewithal a Man sinneth; by the same also shall he be punish'd. But the exacteft Enquirers into the Original Word conclude; that it lignifies a gather'd Mixture of several Sorts of Infects or little flying Animals, such as Beetles, Hornets, Bees, Walps, Gnats, and more especial-It files. Perhaps Grotius is in the right, that Gnaide is not of Hebrew Extraction, but is an Egyptian Word, (as was that whereby the Frogs were named) and lignifies peculiarly with them a Heap or Swarm of Flies. The vast Number of these was sufficiently troublesome: but that was not all, these Multitudes of Infects were Infectious and Mortal by reafon of their intolerable Stench and Filth, with

and managed it better than he did, but they were not able, for initead of stabbing these Creatures' they were dispatched themselves by a more poinant Stroke. The former Judgments having not produced any good Effect in this People, God sends a Fifth among them, viz. a Pestilence or Murrain, that destroy'd their Cattel, their Flocks and Herds of all Kinds; for when tis faid All Cattel died, it is not simply and abiolutely to be understood (for some remained,

which they filled the Air: whence tis faid, the

Land was corrupted with them, Exod. 8. 24. And

this Corruption proved fatal to many, who without

doubt would have taken up Domitian's Emploiment,

15 is clear in the Plague of Hail afterwards) but of Cattel of all Kinds. Thefe, it feems, they kept and Fought up for their Wool, and for Service, and make a Gain of them by felling them to other Nations? Beafts.

Nations, although they made no use of them them? felves for Food. Though the Egyptians themselves escape the fury of this Pestilential Distemper, yet God punisheth them in their Beaffs. are deltroy'd, 'to intimate to them what themfelves deserv'd, who live and acted like Brute

And now in the next Place it is worth our obferving that this Plague is follow'd with the break ing out of Boils and Blains, Botches, and Swelling Sores both in Man and Beaft; that is, the fifth Plague was cured by the coming of the Sixth: for the Venomous and Pestilential Humour which had seized on the Men, (as I gather from Pfal. 78, 70. where this particular Punishment on the Egyptians is recounted) and which had struck the Cattel dead. was call'd forth into the extream Parts of their Bodies, and so was thereby evacuated and exhausted. Whence I infer these two Things, 1. That God may think fit to fend or take away an Extraordinary Calamity in an ordinary and hatural Way! and 2. That one Calamity or Plague may come in the Place of another, and even wholly remove that former Plague, and yet prove a very Great one it felf. Thus it was with the Boils and Impostumes, they were a Remedy in a natural Way against the Pestilence, but they were likewise a Grievous and Painful Disease, and made them unfit for all Work and Business. I will only further remark under this Particular, that it is probable Trogus Pompeius (and from him Justin the Historian) refers to these Rotches and Boils, when he reports that the Egyptians (by whom he means the Jews, for he and other Pagans thought they were originally Egyptians) were driven out of Egypt because they were infected with the Itch, and were overrun with Scabs and Sores:

So Tacitus relates; that an Epidemick Leprofy or Scabby Disease plagued the Egyptians Bodies, whereupon the King consulting the Oracle, gave order to purge the Country of the Jews, and to fend them into some other Place. It seems to be grounded on this, though he (as all other Profane Historians when they speak of the Jews) is guilty of mistaking and blundering in the way of delivering it.

The Seventh Plague that these People felt was Hail, which was a very Prodigious thing in it felf: for though it sometimes, but very seldom, rain'd in Egypt, yet Hail was never feen before in that Country. But moreover this was Extraordinary, being attended with Fire and Storms, Lightning and Thunder, which flew all the Men and Beafts that were abroad and remain'd, and destroy'd all. Trees, Plants and Herbs.

And because their Wheat and Rye were not at that time come forth out of the Ground, and other. Fruits of the Earth were not grown up, and fo received no harm by this Plague, therefore upon Pharaoh's continued Obstinacy another was soon after inflicted on them, that is, Troops of Locusts. and Caterpillars, (for these latter are mention'd Pfal, 78.46. & 105.34.) such as never were before in the World, nor afterwards ever shall be, (as 'tis expresly recorded) invaded them, and unsufferably molested them in their Houses and closest Re-: tirements, and quickly devoured all the Fruits of. the Ground which the Hail had not touched.

When neither this nor the foregoing Judgment, had any confiderable Effect upon the Hardned Tyrant, a New one, viz. that of Darkness (which is e rependence with Z 3 to the contract the

i Hin. 1. 5.

The Excellency and Perfection

the Ninth in Number) is sent among them, was fuch a Darkness as put out all Fires and Lights, else they might have help'd themselves by these! but?tis plain they did not, for they kept within, nel-

ther rose any one from his Place for three Days, Exod. 10. 23. as much as to fay, that their Attempts

were frustrated when they undertook to kindle or light any Fire, and they were forced to defift from any fuch Undertaking, and to fit down again in their Places. This is faid to be fuch a Tbick Dark, nels that it might be felt: it was accompanied with Rich Gross Fogs and Mists, and those so pressing upon them, that they might be perceived by the Senfe of Feeling. So this Sense was in an unusual manner exercifed whilest that of Seeing was wholly taken from them. It is impossible fully to express this Horrid and Frightful Darkness of the Egyptian He that consults the 17th and 18th Chapters of the Book of Wisdom will find an Admirable and most Elegant Description of it. I do not know any Profane Writer, any Classick Author, whether Orator or Poet, that hath parallellid that Excellent Piece. There the Worthy Penman acquaints us with the probable Reasons of their suffering in that kind, and he suggests how they were haunted with strange Apparitions in that Long and Disma Night, that the Terrors of their Minds and Consciences were equal with the Affrightments of that

the Representation of that Eternal Blackness and Darkness into which they were to enter. The last Plague was the Death of the First-born both of Men and Beasts throughout the whole Land. There was not a House where there was not one dead; and therefore the Universality of the Slaughter made it the more deplorable and unsupportable.

Black Season, that these Dreadful Shades were but

of the Holy Scriptures.

Accordingly Philo determines that ' the Tenth and Last Plague which befel the Egyptians, far surpass'd all the others that went before. This fluctus decumanus was greater, and on some Account more terrible than all the former Waves and Billows that beat upon them: and indeed it was but an In-

troduction to their being swallow'd up by those of the Red Sea, which was the Concluding Act of the whole Tragedy. Thus I have briefly fet before you the Various and Gradual Judgments wherewith the Egyptians were exercised. And from the whole we cannot but infer that God hath Divers Ways of animadverting on obstinate Criminals. Their Sins shall find them out both at home and abroad, in their Houses and in the Fields, in their Bodies, in their Possessions, in their Relations. Yea, for their fakes the Brutes, the Vegetables, and even the Creatures void of all Life shall bear the Marks of God's Anger. I proceed now to the other

Books of Moses, in which I shall be briefer. Leviticus hath its Name because it treats chiefly of the Offices of the Levites, and the whole Levitical Order. It gives us an Account of the Jewish Service and Worship, of the particular Employments and Charges of the Ministers of the Jewish Church, of their several kinds of Sacrifices and Oblations, (viz. Burnt-Offerings, Meat-Offerings, Peace-Offerings, Sin-Offerings, Trespass-Offerings) of the Confecration of Aaron and his Sons to the Priestbood, of Laws about Clean and Unclean things, and

eat Blood; here they are taught how to differn the Leprofy, and how to cleanse it. Here are Laws con-

of Difference of Meats. Here they are forbid to

<sup>&#</sup>x27; H ระหย์ทา หรู reacutala รีเมท สต่อนรู จัดเอเลินภาพยน หนัง สเอาร์ง est enerevere. De vita Mos.

344 The Excellency and Perfection

concerning Vows, and Things and Persons devoted. There are also other Ordinances and Injunctions concerning their Solemn Feasts, viz. the Sabbath of the seventh Year, the Passover, the Feasts of First-Fruits, of Pentecost, of Trumpets, of Expiation, of Tabernacles, and many the like Usages and Rites which were strictly commanded this People, on purpose to keep them from the Idolatrous and Superstitious Ceremonies of the Gentiles that were round about them, and would be enticing them to imitate their Practice. Besides, these Rites were design'd by God to be Types and Representatives of things of a far higher Nature, even of Chris himself, and the great things which appertain to the Gospel. There is likewise a great Number of Judicial Laws, as concerning the Year of Jubiles, about the Redemption of Lands and Houses, against taking of Usury of the Poor: as also concerning Servants and Bondmen. Here are Laws touching the Degrees of Affinity and Consanguinity, and confequently what Marriages are lawful, and what unlawful, may thence be inferr'd: and feveral other things belonging to the Jews Civil Law. Furthermore, here are inserted several Moral Instruction ons, and Excellent Precepts of Natural Religion, respecting both God and Men. Lastly, towards the Close of all there are Blessings and Curses pronounced, the former to such as carefully observe these Laws, the latter on those that wilfully break them. These are the Admirable Things contain'd in this Book, and which have been the acceptable Entertainment of the Inquisitive and Religious, of the Wife and Good in all Ages fince they have been extant.

The Book of Numbers hath its Denomination from the Numbring of the Families of Israel, as

we may collect from ch. 1. v. 3, 4. where we read that Moses and Aaron had a special Command from God to Muster the Tribes, and to take the Number of all that were fit for War, and to Order and Marshal the Army when it was once formed. For now in their Passage through the Wilderness they were like to meet with many Enemies, and therefore 'twas convenient to take an Account of their Forces, and to put themselves into a Posture ready to engage. A great Part of this Book is Historical, relating several Remarkable Passages in the Israelites March through the Wilderness, as the Sedition of Aaron and Miriam, the Rebellion of Corah and his Companions, the Murmurings of the whole Body of the People, their being plagued with firy Serpents, Baalam's Prophesying of the Happiness of Ifrael instead of Curling them, the Miraculous Budding of Aaron's Rod. Here also are distinctly related their Several Removings from Place to Place, their two and forty Stages or Journeys through the Wilderness, and fundry other things which befel them, whereby we are instructed and confirmed in some of the weightiest Truths that have immediate Reference to God and his Providence in the World. But the greatest Part of the Book is spent in enumerating those Laws and Ordinances (whether Ceremonial or Civil) which were given by God, and were not mention'd before in the preceding Books, as some Particulars of the Levites Office, and the Number of them, the Trial of Jealoufy, the Rites to be observed by the Nazarites, the Renewing of the Passover, the making of Fringes on the Borders of their Garments, the Water of Separation to be used in purifying the Unclean, the Law of Inheritance, of Vows, of the Cities of Refuge, of the Cities for the Levites, and some other ConConstitutions either not inserted into the other Books of Moses, or not so distinctly and plainly set down. Thus this Book both in respect of the Historical Part of it, and of the Addition of Laws, onot spoken of in the foregoing Books) hath its peculiar life and Excellency.

Deuteronomy (which fignifies a Second Law) is a Repetition of the Laws before delivered. It is the Canonick Mishnah, or New Rehearsal of the Divine Law: Which was necessary, because they that heard it before died in the Wilderness, and there being now fprung up another Generation of Men, the Law was to be promulged to them. The major Part of the People that were living at that time had not heard the Decalogue, or any other of the Lams openly proclaimed; or being young, they had neglected or forgot them. That is the Reason why Moses in this Book rehearseth them to this new People, and withal adds an Explication of them in many Places, yea and adjoins some New Lams, viz. the taking down of Malefaltors from the Tree in the Evening, making of Battlements on the Roofs of their Houses, the Expiation of an unknown Murder, the Punishment to be inflicted on a Rebellious Son, the Distinction of the Sexes by Apparel, Marrying the Brother's Wife after his Decease: also Orders and Injunctions concerning Divorce, concerning Man-stealers, concerning Unjust Weights and Measures, concorning the Marrying of a captive Woman, concerning the Servant that deferts his Master's Service, and several other Laws not only Ecclesiastical and Civil, but Military. There are likewise inserted some New Actions and Passages which happened in the last Year of their Travels in the Wilderness. Moreover, Moses in this Part of the Pentateuch shews himself a True Fay ther,

ther, Pastor and Guide to that People, a Hearty Lover of them and their Welfare in fuch manifest Instances as these, his often Inculcating upon them the many Obligations which they lay under from God, the Innumerable Favours they had received from him: his frequent and pathetick Exhortations to Obedience, and living answerably to the singular Mercies which were conferred upon them: his constant Reminding them of their former Miscarriages, their Murmurings and Rebellions against Heaven, and all their Unworthy Deportment towards their Matchless Benefactor: his compassionate Forewarning them of the Judgments of God, of the Various Plagues and Punishments which would certainly be the Consequence of their perfifting in their Sins: Lastly, his Affectionate Encouraging them to Obedience from the Consideration of the endearing Promifes which God had made to them, and which he would assuredly make good, if they did not frustrate his Designs of Mercy towards them by their own wilful Obstinacy. These are the Excellent Subjects of this Divine Book, and which render it so unvaluable a Treasu-

Hitherto of the Pentateuch, or Five Books of Moses. And that he was the Penman of them I think need not be question'd, though I find it is, yea stally denied by Aben Ezra and Pererius, and lately by Hobbs and Spinosa. A very little Portion of them was writ by him, saith Monsieur Simon, who hath a new Notion of certain Publick Scribes of Registers that penn'd this and other Parts of the Old Testament, (which fort of Abbreviating Notates he borrows from the Egyptians, (as he conselled himself) because there were such Officers in the Egyptian Court who had a Privilege to add to

or take away from, to amplify or abridg the Pub. lick Records, he thence groundlessy infers there were fuch among the Jews who made what Alterations they pleased in the Sacred Writings): which Paradox of his I have consider'd, and made some Reflections upon in a former Treatise. This I may truly fay, that it is not necessary that we should know who was the Particular Penman of this or any other Book of the Holy Scripture, because the Authority of them depends not on the Writers of them, but on the Holy Ghast who endited them. They are the Books of God, that is their reculiar Character and Dignity, and that alone makes them Authentick after they have been delivered to us by the unanimous Consent of the Church, so that there is no absolute Necessity of our certain know, ing who penn'd them. Yet this must be said, that it cannot with Reason be denied that the Authors of some of these Sacred Books are well known, and particularly there are very convincing Proofs that Moses wrote the Books which I have been giving an Account of. This may be evinc'd from our Saviour's Words, Luke 16.31. & 24. 27. where by Moses (as is most evident) he means the Books of the Pentateuch, and consequently thereby lets us know that Moses was the Writer of them. And more expresly the Book of Exodus is call'd the Book of Moses by our same Infallible Master, Mark 12.26. And St. Paul tells us, that when these Books are read. Moses is read, 2 Cor. 3, 19. And both our Saviour and this Apostle distinguish between Moses and the Prophets, Luke 16.29. Acts 26, 22. plainly fignifying that as those Books which pass under the Prophets Names are theirs, fo these that are faid to be Moses's were written by him. I think this is very plain, and needs not to be further infifted on.

As to the Objections of those Men before named against this, I forbear to produce them, and to return particular Answers to them, because this is for lately done by ' Monsieur Clerk, and because another Learned Frenchman hath laudably performed this Task. Especially he hath with great Vigour, and as great Success, attack'd Spinosa, a Yew (as they tell us) by Birth, but neither Jew nor Christian by Profession, but a Derider of both. We may also find his Arguments (which are geherally borrow'd from Aben Ezra) refuted with great Clearness by the Learned Professor of Di-Vihity at Paris, who at the same time betakes himfelf to the Politive Part, and renders it unquestionable that Moses himself was the Author of the Five Books that go under his Name. Wherefore the particular Fancies of those few Objectors, and those no Friends to the Sacred Text, are not to be heeded by us. As to that common Scruple which is so much insisted upon, that in the last Book of the Pentateuch there is mention of Moles's Death, and some things that happen'd after it, whence they conclude that Moses wrote not those Books, or at least not the last of them; I take this to be a fufficient Answer, that Moses being a Prophet, might foresee, and have revealed to him a particular Account of his own Death, and so he committed it to writing by a Prophetick Spirit: wherefore none can from thence prove that he was not the Penman of all this Book. However, we will not contend here, for perhaps the Conclusion of this Book was affixed by Joshua, or afterwards by . Ezra.

Rrolegom, de Scriptore Pentateuchi. 2 Mr. Abbadie, in My Vindication of the Truth of the Christian Religion. ' Dr. Du Pin, it his Preliminary Discourse to the Authors of the Books of the Bible.

Ezra, who was an Inspired Person likewise, and who revised the Books of the Old Testament, and inserted some things into them by the same Spirit that endited the rest. Notwithstanding then the foresaid Objection, which refers only to a few Palfages in the End of the Book of Deuteronemy, we have Reason to affert that the whole Five Books (excepting that little Addition in the Close) were written by Moses; these are his Authentick Records, confishing chiefly of History (which compriseth in it the Occurrences of about 2400 Years and Laws which were given by God Himfelf to his own People, and will be of use to the End of the World. Here is the Cabinet of the greately Antiquity under Heaven, here are the First and Oldest Monuments of the World.

## CHAP. VIII.

Ashort Survey of the Books of Joshua, Judges, Ruth, (which is a Supplement to the History of the Judges) Samuel, the Kings, Chronicles, Ezra, (which is a Continuation of the Chronicles) Nehemiah, Esther. The Author, Stile, Composure, Matter of the Book of Job discuss'd. An Enquiry into the Penmen, Subjects, Kinds, Titles, Poetick Meter and Rhythm of the Psalms.

TExt unto this is that Excellent History wriften by Joshua the Captain General of the Israelites, and Moses's famous Successor, whose very Name without doubt was as terrible to the Canaanites as those of Hunniades and Scanderbeg were afterwards to the Turks. Here he admirably describes the Holy War, the Martial Atchievements

of the Holy Scriptures. and Stratagems of the People of God against those Nations whose Lands they were to polless, and at length their Victory over them. Here are very particularly fet down their Conquests over those Kings and Countries. This Book is the Fulfilling of the Promifes which were made to them concerning the entring into Canaan, and enjoying that Land, which is a Type of the Heavenly Canaan, the everlasting Rest which remaineth to the People of God, Heb. 4. 9. Here is the Actual Possession of that Promised Inheritance, and the Division of it among the several Tribes by Lot. The short is, in the whole Book (which I must not now give you by retail) there are abundant Demonstrations of the Divine Providence, repeated Instances of the Infinite Kindness of God to his Servants, remarkable Examples of the Divine Vengeance on his Enemies, yea and visible Proofs of his Severe Dealings with his own People when they refuse to obey his Will, and when they act contrary to it. Here is, in the large Account which is given of Joshua and his Actions.

Exemplary Piety and Zeal for Religion; by his constant Sobriety, Justice and Charity; by his undaunted Courage, Valour and Prowess; by his deep Wisdom, Policy and Conduct. And his Great and Wonderful Success (which 'is so much required in a General) crowned all. The Whole contains the History of the Jews from Asoses's Death till the Death of their Great Commander Joshua,

an Exact Character of a Worthy Prince, a Ruler,

a General: who ought to fignalize himself by his

Ego existimo in summo Imperatore quatuor has resesse oportere, scilicer scientiam rei militaris, virtutem, authoritatem & selicitatem. Cic. Orat. de laude M. Pompeii. Imperatori res adversæ minuunt authoritatem. Cafar de bell. Gall, l. 6.

in all about eighteen Years. And 'tis not to be wondered at, that the Age, Death and Burial of this latter are recorded in the last Chapter of this Book; for either (as we faid before concerning Moles) they were written by him through a Prophetick Spirit that foresaw these things, or else they were added by some other Inspired Writer. So perhaps were those Words [unto this Day] ch. 4. v. 9. & ch. 5. v. 9. though it is not necessary at all to believe so; for Joshua relating some Passages that happen'd a good while before he wrote this Book (which was a little before his Death) might speak after this manner very well. And some few other Words may feem to have been inserted after Joshua's Death: but that the Main was written by Himself there is no ground of questioning.

The History of the Judges followeth, which relates the State of the Jewish People in the Land of Canaan, in the time of the Judges, from Joshuds Death until Eli, that is, about three hundred Years. These Judges were Men of Heroick Spirits, raised up by God out of the several Tribes to govern the People, and to deliver them from their present Dangers. They were Supream Rulers, but Temporary; and some of them were Types of our Rlessed Saviour and Deliverer. In the time of this peculiar Polity of the Israelites, there were very Notable Occurrences, which are faithfully recorded in this Book. Here we are acquainted with the gross Impiety and Wickedness of that new Generation which came up after Joshua's Death; here are recorded, to their perpetual Infamy, their Intimate Converse with those Idolatrous People that were left remaining in that Land, their Approving of their Superstitious and Irreligious Cultoms, and their Serving their Gods Here

of the Holy Scriptures. Here is a particular Account of the Corruption of their Manners, of their Prophane and Scandalous Practices: which occasion'd the very Heathens to open their Mouths against them, yea to blaspheme God, whose Name they were call'd by. Here also we have a brief View of the Different Dispensations of Heaven towards this People, sometimes Relieving and Delivering them, at other times most severely Chastising them, and causing them to groan under Tyrants and Oppressors. Here are contained in this History most admirable Examples of God's Displeasure against Apostates and such as revolt from the True Religion; and here are on the contrary as memorable Instances of his Rewarding those that adhere to Him and his Cause, and hold fast their Integrity in the worst and most perillous Times. Here are most amply displayed his Love and Care of his Church, in stirring up fo many Eminent Worthies and Champions to fight for her, and to push them on by no less than an Extraordinary Impulse of Spirit to enterprize and effect such Mighty Things for the welfare of his Chofent Servants. To conclude, here and in the Book of Joshud occurs such a plenty of Antient Rives; Cultoms and Practices relating both to Peace and War, to Civil and Religious Matters, as is able to stock an Antiquary of the first Size. We are not certain who was the Penman of this Book. It was written by Samuel, say the Talmudists: and it may be after one of the Books of Samuel, and then is no wonder if some things are here mention'd or referr'd to, that are spoken of there. Others by it was not composed till Ezra's Time, by

The Book of Ruth is an Appendix to that of the Judges, in whose time the Things were done that are here related. Particularly a little before Eirs Time they happen'd: then it was, that there being a Famine in Canaan, Elimelech and his Wife Naomi, and their Sons went into the Land of Moab; and there these latter were married, one to Ruth and the other to Orphah. After ten Years were expired Elimelech and his Sons died: whereupon Naomi and her Daughter-in-Law Ruth (for the other Daughter stay'd behind) returned to their own Country, and coming to Bethlehem were kindly received by Boaz their Kinsman. The Particulars of this kind Reception and Entertainment are fet down here, and the Close was, that he married Ruth, who bare to him Obed, who was the Grandfather of David. It is true, this is but a Private History: yet, as it is such, it contains in it many things worthy of our observation, viz. the Difference of Children in their Affection and Regards to their Parents; Orphab with great Ease and Willingness left Naomi, but Ruth clave unto her: the Prudent Instructions and Wise Demeanour of that Excellent Matron towards her Daughter the young Widow: (Though I must needs add with reference to Ruth's Behaviour, that her Boldness and almost endangering of her Chastity, are not to be Examples to others: for Modesty and Shamefacedness are the proper Qualities of that Sex. Wedlock is not to be fought after by them with fuch peril. And therefore this daring Fact of this Venturous Widow is to be look'd upon as an extraordinary life stance, and not to be imitated by other Females.) Here is remarkable the Merciful Providence of God towards the Afflicted, the Widows, and fatherless: the Reward of Constancy and Obedience; the Blessing of God upon those that fear him and trust in him. Besides, here are observable the Antient

Antient Right of Kinsmen, and of Redemption, and the Manner of buying the Inheritance of the Decenfed; with other Things of great Antiquity. Nay, this is more than Private History; as will appear if we confider that this Pions Woman Ruth was the Ma ther of Obed the Father of Jesse, the Father of David, of whom our Lord Christ came, and therefore you find her inserted into his Genealogy by St. Matthew. Again, Ruth, a Moabitish Woman, of the Postetity of the Daughters of Lot, was a Type, or rather indeed an Eminent Instance of the Calling of the Gentiles into the Church, which is a Thing of no priyate Concern, but of the largest Extent imaginable. The Two Books of Samuel are Publick Hiltories, the former whereof contains Things done under the

two last Judges, Eli and Samuel, and under the first king, who was Saul, as also the Acts of David whilest he lived under Saul. Here is a Narrative of the Change of the Judges into Kings, of the Rephlick or Aristocracy of the Jews into a Monarchy, and of the Great and Many Evils which they fuffer of as confequent upon it, all worthy of our ferious Perusal and Consideration. Here is an Account of their New King's being deposed by God, viz. for his rash and prophane Sacrificing, and his wilful disobeying the express Command of God conterning the total Deltruction of the Amalekites, and whatever belonged to them. The latter Book s wholly spent in the History of King David's keign, that is, his Acts after Saul's Death. These ge either his Military Acts, his Troublesom and Dangerous, and sometimes Successful Enterprizes in War, or his Political Acts, shew'd in the wife Administration of Civil Government; or his Ecclesi-Wife and Religious Undertakings, which respect the Church of God in those Days. With these

the main Body of the Books, not one particular

## 356 The Excellency and Perfection

are mixed the great Failings and Miscarriages of that King, (which are as particularly recorded as his other Acts) and as a Consequent of them, the many Disappointments and Crosses lie met with the various ludgments and Plagues which were inflicted on him and his People by God.

The Books of the Kings are the History of the Kingdoms of Israel and Judah under the Reigns of their several Kings. The first contains the latter Part of the Life of David, and his Death; the Glory and Prosperity of that Nation under Solomon who fucceeded him; his erecting and confecrating of the Temple at Jerusalem: his scandalous Desection from the true Religion: the sudden Decay of the Jewish Nation after his Death, when it was divided into two Kingdoms under Reboboam, who reign'd over the two Tribes of Judah and Banjamin, and under Jeroboam, who was King over the other ten Tribes that revolted from the House of David. The rest of it is spent in relating the Acts of four Kings of Judah and eight of Ifrael. The second Book, which is a Continuation of the History of the Kings, is a Relation of the Memorable Acts of sixteen Kings of Judah and twelve of Ifrael, and the End of both Kingdoms by the carrying of the Ten Tribes Captive into Assyria by Salmanasser, and the other two into Babylon by Nebuchadnezzar, the just Rewards of that People's Idolatry and Impenitency after 10 many Favours shew'd to them. This and the former Book together comprehend the History of about four hundred Years.

The Chronicles or Journals according to the Hebrew, are the filling up of those Parts of the Hiflory which are omitted in the Books of the Kings. And though we know not which of these Histories,

Passage, as that in the Close of the Second Rook of Chronicles, where mention is made of the Deliverance of the Juns by Cyrus, which might be added afterwards) were written first; for the Book of Kings refers to the Book of Chronicles, and this again fends the Reader to that, yet this we fee that this of the Chronicles is more full and ample sometimes than that of the Kings: what was left out or not so fully set down in the one, is supplied in the other. And thence these Books are call'd παραλειπομίζοα, i. c. Remains, Supplements. Additions by the Greek Interpreters. The first Book of Chronicles relates the Rise and Propagation of the People of Israel from Adam, (which is the entire Subject of the first Nine Chapters, which consist wholly of Genealogies) and then afterwards most punctually and accurately gives an Account of the Reign of David. The second Book as faithfully fets down the Progress and End of the Kingdom of Judah, even to the Year of their refurn from the Captivity in Babylon.

These Books of Chronicles, together with those of the Kings and Samuel, make up the Best and Choitest History in the World. Here we are abundantly furnished with such Useful Notices, Truths and Maxims as these (all confirmed by Noted and IIlustrious Examples and such Instances as are Certain and Unquestionable.) Crowned Heads are encircled with Cares, and seldom find rest and repose: though their Lives are more Splendid, yet they are not less Calamitous than those of the Common Good Kings are rare, and the Numher of them is inconsiderable in comparison of those that are Bad. The best Kings have their

Aa3 Faults,

nable, this Rock is immoveable. And many other

Faults, and some of them of a very scandalous Na. ture. There is little Piety in Princes Courts, and as little integrity and Honesty. The People and easily induced to follow the Examples of their Go verifours: and Religion and Manners too often vi ty according to the Wills of Superiours. Kings are the greatest Blesling, and Wicked One are the greatest Curse to a Nation. Princes miltake their Measures when they either disobey God, or oppress their People. Tyrannical Princes pio cure their own Ruine, The Sins and Vices of Ry lers prove fatal to their Subjects. Publick Englhilties are punished with Publick and National Calantities. Kings may be known by the Miniles they choose and make use of. Those County that are founded in Religion are most successful Evil Counsellours contribe their own Deltruction Wars are the Effect and Consequence of fighting against God. The Success of Arms depends upon the Divine Bleffing. The Church is never more flock'd than under Bad Princes. Religion and Reformation are never effectually promoted unless the Great Ones have a Hand in them. Divisions and Rents about Religion have immediate influence on Secular Affairs: and when the Church is divided, the State is fo too, The Revolutions in both are By the particular Disposal of the Wife Over-rule of the World. True Religion and Godlinels an attended with Earthly Rewards and Bleffings: and the contrary bring down the greatest Plagues even in this World. The worst Times afford some of the Best and most Holy, Religious and Zealon Meh. Whatever Changes and Revolutions half; pen in the Kingdoms of the Earth, the Church of God remains secure. Though there are great and frequent Defections, yet there never is a total Extinction

Propositions and Maxims of the like Nature, which are of great Service in the Life of Man, are to be deduced from these Excellent Histories. Ezra is a Continuation of the aforesaid Book of Chronicles, and comprise the History of the Jews from the time that Cyrus made the Edict for their Return until the twentieth Year of Artaxerxes Longimanus, which was about a hundred Years. For the Jews return from Babylon was at two several Times, viz. first in the Days of Cyrus the first Persan Monarch, under the Conduct of Zerubbabel their Captain, and Jeshua their High Priest. Here are recorded the Number of those that returned, Gras's Proclamation for the rebuilding of the Temple, the Laying of the Foundations of it, the Rearding of the Work under the Reign of two of the Kings of Persia, at last the Finishing of the Temple in Darius's Reign. The second Return of the Jews was in the Reign of Artaxerxes under the Conduct of Exra a Priest, who had been a Courtier in the Persian Court, and was fent into Judea by Maxerxes in the seventh Year of his Reign (which was above eighty Years after the first Return in Gras's Time) to expedite the Building of Jerusa-This Pious Reformer observing the Peoples Affinity with Strangers and Infidels, and their joining themselves to them in Marriage, proclaim'd a solemn Falt, and Pray'd, and Mourn'd, and Lamented their groß Miscarriages, and with great Minestiness and Zeal exhorted them to Reformation and Amendment of their Ways, that they might thereby avert God's Wrath, and conciliate his Favour and Pardon. This is that Ezra who was

the Penman of this Book, and who was also a Re-

A a 4

storer

mies,

260 The Excellency and Perfection

storer of the Sacred Books of the Old Testament, and collected and methodized them into certain Order, and reviewed the Copies, and amended all Errata's that were contracted in the time of the Captivity.

Nehemiah, who wrote the Book which bears his Name, was a Jew, Cup-bearer to the King of Perfia, and return'd into Judea thirteen Years after Ezra. There is another Nebemiah who came with

those that returned at first from Babylon, Ezra 2, 2; but he whom we now speak of came afterwards by Artaxerxes's Leave, in the twentieth Year of his Reign, and went back to Persia again twelve Years after, Neh. 5. 14. This Writer begins where Exra left of, and continues the History of the Building of Jerusalem, and of the Deportment of the Jews in those times, from the twentieth Year of Arrayerwes to the Reign of Darius, about fifty Years in all. As Ezra chiefly related the Restoring of Religion and Erecting the Temple, fo this Author gives us an Account of the Building of the City, and the Reformation of the Religion which had been restored. In several Particulars he shews what were the Abuses and Corruptions of the People, and how they were redressed, even by his own Hand. He tells us what Methods he took of regulating both their Ecclesiastical and Civil Atfairs; in short, of Reforming both Church and State, which were even then fo early corrupted. From the whole, both here and in the Book of Ezra, we are taught many useful Lessons, but This above all, that the Gates of Hell shall not prevail against the Church, that they shall never have Power to extinguish this Little Flock. Israel is not always a Captive in a strange Land. Babylon sends back her Prisoners and Bondmen. Her very Enc-

of the Holy Scriptures. mies, by an extraordinary Direction of Heaven, promote her Peace and Prosperity. For we are informed from this Part of Sacred History, that God stirr'd up even the Persian Monarchs to restore his People to Jerusalem; and, when they were there, to help and assist them, and to bassle all their Opposers.

The Book of Esther is a Particular History of what happen'd to the Jews in their Captivity in the Reign of Abasuerus, one of the Kings of Persia, whether he was Artaxerxes Longimanus, as ' some think, or Artaxerxes Mnemon, as most Authors both Antient and Modern say, or Artaxerxes Ochus, as Serarius holds, or Xerxes the fourth Persian Monarch, according to Scaliger, or Darius the Son of Hystaspes, or Cambyses, (for so various are the Opinions of Authors) I will not here dispute. Only we know that the Sacred Writings and the Profane intend the same Person sometimes, though they give different Names. The Story is this, Haman a great Favourite and Minion of the King, and advanced to great Honour by him, was highly incens'd against Mordecai, one of the Captive Jews, because he refused to do him Reverence, and to Bow to him. Whereupon he refolv'd, for his fake, to compass the Destruction of all the Jews in those Territories, and to that end gain'd a Decree from the King to put them all to the Sword. But this wicked Delign was happily frustrated by means of Esther a Jewish Captive Virgin, who for her transcendent Beauty had a little time before been advanced to the Throne, and now prevail'd with her Royal Husband to spare the Life of her dear Coun-

Nicephorus, Zonaras, Suidas, Ludovicus Vives, Bellarmine, Cajetan, Sir W. Raleigh.

Countrymen. In this manner Haman's turfed Conspiracy was defeated, he himself advanced to a Gibbet, and that of his own preparing, the Jews delivered from their Fears and Dangers, Mordecai who discover'd this Bloody Design to Queen Esther,

and who had before that discover'd another Conspiracy, viz. against the King, which was recorded in the Chronicles, and about this time read to him, and was in a great measure serviceable by the Divine Providence to bring about this happy Frustration of Haman's Plot; this Mordecai (I say) was preferr'd unto the greatest Honours in the Kingdom, (and by the by let me fuggest, that perhaps from his riding the King's Horse, and thereby being preferr'd to Kingly Dignity, the Story of Darius's being made King of Persia by the Neighing of his Horse had its Rise; for, as I have often had occasion in another Place to prove, the Gentile Historians mistook one Person for another) the Hearts and Mouths of all the Jews in the King's Provinces were filled with Joy, and an Annual Festival was appointed to be kept in all succeeding Generations in remembrance of this fingular and unexpected Deliverance vouchfafed to them. This is the Sum of this Short History, in which there are many Admirable and Surprizing Circumstances which (though they could not be particularly related here) commend it to the Reader. It is cer-

tainly a most Remarkable Instance of God's Singular Providence and Goodness to his Church, in discovering and defeating the Contrivances of her malicious and cruel Enemies, in delivering her in her greatest Extremities, and in bringing Vengeance and Ruine on the Heads of those who plot her Downfal. As to the Author of this Book,

there is no Agreement among Writers; though

of the Holy Scriptures. one would be enclined to think that it was Mordecai's by reading ch. 9. v. 20. and ch. 12. of Apocryphal Esther, v. 4.

The next Penman of the Old Testament is Job, whose Book might have been placed next to the Pontateuch, if it be true (as is generally believ'd) that he lived about Moses's time: Though ' some are of opinion that he lived a considerable time before the Israelites came out of Egypt, and that he was before Moses. It was writ by himself, say Origen and Suidas: but the Rabbins generally pronotince Moses the Author. Others make Solomon the Author of this Book, discovering, as they think, his manner of speaking in it. The most probable Account is, that the Materials of this Book were drawn up first by Job himself, or one or all of his Pious Friends that were concerned in the things spoken of here, and that they coming to Moses's Hands, (as some of the Jewish Masters tell us) or afterwards to Solomon's, were made up into Hebrew Verse, as we now find it. For the greatest Part of the Book is of this Compositre, and indeed is the first Poetical Book we meet with in the Bible. Whence we may infer fomething concerning the Nature of it, viz. that (as a M. Luther well observ'd) Job and his Friends spake not all the very Words which are set down in this Book, for Men do not life to speak in Verse in their Discourse one with another, and especially in fuch a Lofty Stile of Poetry as we read here sometimes. But this is true, that both their Thoughts alld Words were exactly agreeable to what is here written, and Things actually and really happen'd as they are here represented: only the Whole Argument

Origen, Jerom, Eulebius, Seldeh. Collog. Mental.

gument being clothed in Verse, the individual and express Words, which they all the time used, are. not always written down, neither indeed could be. But we must by no means attend to the Talmudick Doctors, who tell us, that this Book is not a Relation of Matter of Fact, but writ in a Parabolical way to exhibit to the World an Eminent Example of Patience. Nor are the Words of the Parisian, Professor to be tolerated, who saith, the History is true, but the Circumstances of it are feigned. There is no Fiction in it, because it is as to the whole Matter of it Real, and relates what actually happen'd: only as to the Words and Stile, it is Poetically composed. I might observe that this Historical Poem is in way of Dialogue, or rather is made up of feveral Dialogues and Colloquies. It is a Dramatick Piece, wherein Six Persons have their Parts; Job, Eliphaz, Bildad, Zophar, Elihu, and GOD, who speaks in the Close of all. Nor is this unufual in some other Books of the Holy Scripture, where we find that some of David's Psalms are Dialogue-wife: and nothing is more evident, than that Solomon's Song is after that manner: befides that (as a worthy Person hath observ'd) in some of the Other Writings of this Wife Man, and in many Places of St. Paul's Epiftles a Tacit Dialogue is contain'd, whence it happens that that fometimes is taken by unskilful Readers for an Affertion or an Argument which is indeed a Question or an Objection. Indeed this Dialogizing way is of great Advantage, and carries a peculiar Excellency with it, and therefore was (as we may take notice) made use of by the Antients. Drawing forth and pressing out the Truth by way of Dialogue was

of the Holy Scriptures. the Socratick Mode; which Plato also used, laying down his own Opinion in the Person of Socrates, Timæus, &c. and other Mens Opinions and Sentiments in the Person of Gorgias, &c. And Cicero dealt in this way in some of his Writings. The same likewise we find practised of old by some of the most Eminent Writers of the Christian Church, as Justin Martyr, who fets forth the manner of his becoming a Christian in the Platonick way, i. e. of a Colloquy: and the whole Discourse with Trypho is no more perhaps than the Personating of a Christian and a Jew by way of Dialogue. Minutius Felix's Debate between Offavius and Cacilius, a Christian and a Gentile, is of the same Nature. It is probable that this Antient Practice of delivering Truth in this manner was derived from the Book of 906, the Oldest Dialogue in the World; and which moreover is in way of a Disputation, where Job is Respondent, his three Friends the Opponents; and Elibu, yea and at last God himself the Moderator. And one thing by the by I would here observe, that it is faid, ch. 31. v. 40. The Words of Job are ended: which we must understand with reference to this Contrait between him and his Friends; for

Chapter explains it [So these three Men ceased to an-Iwer lob. The whole affords us many Excellent Observations, viz. that the greatest Wealth and Riches are uncertain, that suddenly and unexpectedly they make themselves Wings, and fly away from the Possessions, and leave them in Want, Distress and Mife-

otherwise Job had not made an end of Speaking,

as we find in some of the following Chapters.

Therefore the Meaning is, that his Words in way

of Contention and Controversy with those Men

were ended: and thus the first Verse in the ensuing

Du Pin. Bibl. Patr. . Mr. Boyle of the Stile of Scripture.

Misery: that Integrity and Holiness of Life exempt no Man from this Changeableness of his Condition, are no Protection against the worst of outward Evils whatsoever, whether procured by Satan or by Evil Men. This is taught us in the Example of this Great Man, yea 'the Greatest of all the Men in the East, i. e. in Arabia; and who was as Good as he was Great, for ' be was a Perfelt and Upright Man, nay 3 there was none like bim in the Earth. This was the true Arabian Phænix, there was none but he at that time. But this Person who was so famed for his Greatness and Goodness, came at last to be as noted for his Low and Mean Condition, his Troubles and Distresses of all kinds, and those too of the highest Degree; for he was bereft of all his Dear Children by the Fall of the House where they were, he was despoiled of all his Goods and Estate by the Chaldean and Sabean Free-booters; he was deprived of his Bodily Health, and smitten with Painful and Loathsom Diseases by the immediate Hand of the Malicious Demons; he was despised, scorn'd, derided by the vilest Race of People. Hence we are instructed that the worst of Temporal Evils do sometimes befal the most Upright Persons. And we are taught from Job's Example also, that the Holiest Men have their Fits of Impatience: they are heard sometimes to complain and cry out under their Burden, they expossulate with God, and question the Reasonableness and Justice of his Dealings with them, they magnify their own Innocence at too high a rate, they are weary of their Lives, and pallionately wish for a Period of them. This was Job's Case, and may be of other Righteons Men: they may through hu-

mane Frailty be for a time subject to the same Disorder, and shew themselves as uneasy under their Assistance, especially when with this Holy Man they are wounded in Spirit, and buffeted by Satan, and lie under the Sense of God's Wrath, and have no Apprehension of his Grace and Favour. But (as the Hebrew Doctors say) 'a Man is not to be taken in the Hour of his Grief and Perplexity. It is not imputed to him if he utters things that are unsitting when he is in the Extremity of Pain and Anguish.

But yet we are to observe likewise that this Good Man, even in the midst of his most pressing Calamities, was never quite run down by them, but at one time or other shew'd by his Words and Behaviour that he had got the Conquest of them. You. have heard of the Patience of Job, saith St. 3 James; and this Patience was as eminent as his Difasters: for we hear him ' bleffing the Name of the Lord not only for what he gave, but for what he took away from him: we hear him protesting, that though God should stay him, yet he would trust in him: we hear him expressing his Foresight, Perswasion and Assurance, that his Redeemer liveth, and that he shall stand at the latter Day upon the Earth; and tho after his Skin Worms destroy his Body, yet in his Flesh be shall see God. All which are most evident Arguments of his Patience under his Crosses, of his Thankfulness to God for them, of his Strong Faith and Confidence in him that he should be delivered from them, and of his hearty Perswasion that nothing doth or can happen to Mankind without God's Good Pleasure, nothing can betide us with-

<sup>1</sup> 

<sup>2</sup> Job 1. 3. 2 Ver. 1. 2 Ver. 8.

Homo non capitur in hora doloris sui. Talm. Chap. 5: V. 11. Job 1. 21. Ch. 13. V. 15. Ch. 19. V. 25.

out his Leave and Confent: which is the greatest Comfort and Refreshment, the highest Repose and Satisfaction to our Minds imaginable. This indeed is one grand Delign of this Book to bring the Spirits of good Men to an even and placid Frame on this Confideration, that God hath the Government of the World, and doth what he thinks fit with his Creatures as to the outward Condition they are liable to in this Life; that the Providence of God orders all the Actions and Enterprizes either of Men or Devils, so that nothing can come to pass without the Divine Permission, Grant and Superintendence. This is that which is more especially aimed at in this Book: we are taught here not to quarrel with our Maker, not to find fault with Heaven. This doth not become us in the least; it is rather the Deportment of a Chinoile Priest, who hath so much Power over his Gods, that he is suffer'd to beat and whip them when they don't act (as he thinks) as he would have them. We have likewise the Wretched State of Wicked

Men and Hypocrites most graphically set forth in these Dialogues. We have God's Infinite Justice and Unsearchable Wisdom fully afferted and vindicated. We have the Mighty Power of God in some of his Creatures described by Himself in a Stile besitting his Majesty. In the Close of all we have the happy Period of Innocence and Integrity: The End of them is Peace. God oftentimes rewards good and upright Men in this Life with a great Plenty of outward Blessings and Favours: he is pleased to recompense them abundantly for all their past Calamities, by doubling upon them all those Mercies which he before deprived them of. 'God blessed

blessed the latter End of Job more than his Beginning : He gave him twice as much as he had before. All Bleffings flow in now upon him in abundance: first God accepts bim, and then he is carelled by his Kindred and Friends, by his Brethren and Sisters, and Acquaintance; he is presented with Gifts, his Stock of Cattle (wherein the chief Wealth of those Countries confisted) is increased, and he is blessed with a desirable Number of & Children, the Sons wife, and the Daughters fair. Finally, after all the Storms were blown over, 'he lived an hundred' and forty Years in Peace and Plenty in his Country, now Arabia the Happy: he enjoy'd the Confluence of all kinds of Good Things relating both to himfelf and his Relations, and at length died in a good old Age, s full of Days, and full of the Bleffings of the Almighty. To conclude, this Antient Book is infinitely worthy of the Studies of the Curious and Philosophical, of the Lovers of Learning and Antiquity, of those that value the Primitive Tongues, Arts and Customs: for here is an Excellent Mixture of all these, which cannot but be a grateful Entertainment to Inquilitive Spirits. Wherefore a Learned Gentleman of great and subtile Observation hath left us this Censure on the Book of Job, Whoever considers the Subject and Stile of it, will hardly think it was written in an Age or

The Pfalms are the next Poetick Book, and they bear the Name of David, the Chief Author of them. Some indeed of the Antients held that he was the fole Author, but they can scarcely be

Ver. 9. Ver. 11. Ver. 12. Ver. 13,15. Ver. 16. Ver. 17. Sir W. Temple's Mifeell. 2d Part. Origen, Chryfolm, Augustin, Ambrose, Euthymius.

<sup>!</sup> Chap. 42, ver. 10, 12.

370 The Excellency and Perfection

credited in that, because the Title of the 90th; Pfalm and others tells us, that they were composed by Moses. Some of them, it is thought, were made by Asaph, Heman, Ethan, Jeduthun, who were in David's time: but others think these were: not Sacred Poets, but only skilful Musicians or Masters of the Quire, and did not endite these Psalms which bear their Names, but only fet them to Tunes, and fung them. Though a Modern Writer is of the Opinion that Heman and Ethan liv'd. in the time of the Egyptian Bondage, and penn'd the 88th and 89th Pfalms on that occasion, in the former condoling their present Distress, in the latter prophefying of Deliverance. The 92d Pfalm, was made by Adam, faith the Targum, and the Hebrew Doctors generally agree to it. It is evin dent, and scarcely denied by any, that the 137th Pfalm was writ in the time of the Jews Captivity in Babylon, and therefore could not be made by David: and other Psalms seem to be made after their Return, the Anthors of which are not known. And some, it is likely, were endited by Solomon, as the 45th, which is a Song of Loves, (as the Title acquaints us) and is of the same Strain with his other Nuptial Song, inserted by it self into the Holy: Scriptures. It may be concluded then that the Book of Psalms is not the Issue of One Inspired Brain only; but yet that the Greatest Part of it, was endited and written by David, who had an excellent Gift of Poetry and Psalmody, of composing, making, and finging of Pious Songs. Such are these Pfalms, which, excepting a few of them, were the Work of this Holy Man, and therefore

Denomination being taken from the greater Part. They are divided into five Lesser Books, which you may know thus; where you find a Pfalm ending with Amen, (as the 41st, 72d, 89th, 106th, and the last Psalm) there is the Period of the Book, and another begins. By this you may understand that Pallage in Pfal. 72. v. ult. The Prayers of David the Son of Jesse are ended; i. e. here is an End of the Second Book of David's Pfalms: the rest that follow are other Collections of them. Of these some are Alphabetical, i. e. composed according to the Order of the Hebrew Letters: fuch is the 119th Pfalm, and is stiled by the Masora the Great Alphabut, the eight first Verses beginning with the first Hebrew Letter, the succeeding eight with the second, and so throughout the whole Number of the Hebrew Letters: and such are the 25th, 34th, 37th, 111th, 112th, 145th, all written in Alphabetic Order, the Holy Ghost even inspiring the Psalmist's fancy in this Particular. It is likely the Acrosticks, mantient way of Wit, used by one of the Sibylls, and others of old, the Initial Letters of which Verses made up certain Words, were partly in imitation of this. Some are stilled Pfalms of Degrees or Ascents, as those fifteen which immediately follow the 119th Pfalm, either because the Voice was lifted up more than ordinarily when they were ung, or because of the Advantage of the Ground or Place where they were fung, viz. the Steps in Solomon's Temple, which were fifteen, and which those who were appointed to sing these Psalms were wont to ascend. Other Psalms are known by their Reculiar Titles, as Maschil, i. e. Psalms of Instruthey are deservedly called David's Pfalms, the fion; Michtam, i. e. Golden Pfalms, call'd so (it Deno. is probable) because of the Precious Matter couched in them. And several other Distinctive Titles

Bb 2

there

there are, which are not so well understood, as that of Pfal. 22. Affeleth ha sharhar: which in the Margin is rendred the Hind of the Morning; perhaps referring to our Saviour, of whom this Pfalm speaks, who is call'd a Hind or young Hart, Cantil, 9, 17. Others interpret it the Strength of the Morning, but they know not how to apply it. Others the Morning-Star: some the Instrument of Musick on which this Pfalm and others were plaid. And the like Obscure Words (as Shiggaion, Gittith, Jeduthun, Altashith, Shushan eduth) are prefixed to many of these Sacred Hymns.

There is the Word Selah often used (seventy times at least) in these Divine Poems: but 'tis not easy to assign the true and proper import of itself cannot find the certain meaning of it, faith ' Avenarius, though I have confulted all the Comments of the Rabbies. The Chaldre Paraphrast renders it perpetuo, semper, and so several Rabbins expound it. but can assign no sufficient reason for it. Some take it for a Musical Note, of no significancy in it felf, but a meer made Word to direct the Ma sters of Musick in singing or playing. But then there is some difference among those of this Oplnion: For some of the Hebrew Writers think it denotes the Elevation of the Voice, and that where ever this Word is in the Pfalms, the Choristers were put in mind to lift up their Voices. Others of them believe it is a Note to fignify a Pause, a Resting or Breathing for a time. And accordingly forme of the Jewish Doctors say that they were admonish'd by this Word to begin another Sentence of Period. But another Classis of Interpreters look

Observation or Remark, and are perswaded that it is affix'd to some Sontences that are very Notable, and more especially worthy of our consideration. In my mind R. Kimghi is in the right, who joins this and the former Expositions of the Word together, telling, us that Selah is both a Musical Note, and a Note of Emphasis in the Sense, whereby we are bid to observe something more than usually remarkable. It is derived from fal or falal, exaltavit, and denotes the elevating of the Voice in finging,. and at the same time the lifting up of the Heart, the frious confidering and meditating upon the thing that is spoken. It is an Argument to me that this was of use in Musick and Singing, because it is rendred διά Ιαλμα by the Greek Interpreters, and (which is more confiderable) because we meet with it in the Pfalms only, and in Halakkuk, chap. 3. v. 3, 9,13. which Chapter is a kind of Pfalm or Cantithe as you'l fee in the Title of it. And that it is also a Mark of Observation and Meditation, may be gather'd from its being join'd in Pfal. 9. 16. with Higgaion, which signifies Meditation; for the Word is from Hagab, meditatus fuit. And though in some Places Selah seems to be used where there is no Emphatick Word or Sense, yet we ought to consider that this must be referred and applied not only to the immediately preceding Word or Verse, but to the whole Set of Verses or Periods. about which it is placed. If we thus apply it we.

nish'd by this Word to begin another Sentence or with great Seriousness the Matter that is before us, upon this Word not as a Note of Musick, but of Observing the Matter that is purpose it of the Matter that is before us, and to give Glory to God: and to this purpose it of the Matter that is before us, and the Matter that

hall see that it is used to good purpose, viz. to

point out to us fomething very Observable and No-

<sup>1</sup> Lib. Radicum.

But it is the Excellent and Noble Matter which most of all commends these Divine Poems. Some of them are Historical, giving an Account of Godi wonderful Dispensations in the foregoing Ages of the World, especially towards the Jewish People in their first Election out of the rest of the World their Condition in Egypt, in the Wilderness, in Canaan, with a Rehearfal of the particular Mercia and ludgments shew'd towards them. Other Pfalms are Didactick, fraught with most wholeson and useful Doctrine, with most solid and necessary Instruction. "What is there, saith Basil the "Great, that we are not taught here? Arewe " not instructed here concerning all Moral Vertues, the Magnificence of Fortitude, the Exact. " ness of Justice, the Gravity of Temperance, "the Perfection of Prudence? Are we not in-" form'd hence concerning the manner of Repen-" tance, the measure of Patience, and whatever other good and vertuous things we can name! "Here is the Treasure of compleat Theology, here is the common Store-house of all good Documents. Other Pfalms are Prophetical, foreshewing the great and astonishing things which have happen'd fince in the World, as the Coming of the Messias in the Flesh, his Sufferings, Death, Refurrection, Ascension, and most of the considerable Circumstances appertaining to these, the Rejection of the Jewish Nation, the Conversion of the Gentiles, the Wonderful Propagation of the Gospel, and the Success of Christ's Kingdom upon Earth. Again, some of these Psalms are Petitory,

Homil, r. in Pfalm. Kowdy Tamenov azahov Adague. ημν, Ibid.

begging with the highest Zeal and Devotion those

things which are the proper Object of our Prayers,

Bb 4 ' The 6th, 31ft, 37th, 51ft, 101ft, 129th, 141ft.

and thereby teaching us what we ought to implore of Heaven, and in what manner we should put up our Addresses. Others are Eucharistical, wherein the Psalmist discovers the Grateful Resentments of his Mind by an open Recognition of the Divine Bounty to him, and by sending up continual Praises unto the Author of all those Favours and Blessings daily heaped upon him: at the same time teaching us to pay the like Tribute of Devotion to the same Bountiful Hand, and to take all Occasions (as he doth) of testifying our Thankful Sense of the Divine Goodness. Of this fort more especially is the 113th Pfalm with the five following ones, which are call'd by the Jews the Great Hallelujah, or rather (as Buxtorf faith) the Great Hymn, which they used at their three Chief Feasts, especially at the Passover. This, it is probable, is meant by the Hymn, (Mat. 26. 30.) which Christ with his Apoeles sung after their eating of the Paschal Lamb. Some are Hortatory, with fingular Earnestness inviting the World to acknowledg and obey the Lord of Heaven and Earth, pathetically calling upon wicked Men to abandon their finful Ways, and to repent, and turn unto God; with a more special Love and Tenderness, beseeching the Servants of the most High to fear and reverence his Name, to trust at all times in him, and to be obedient unto his holy Laws and Statutes. Others are Confolatory, administring Peace and Joy to all that are upright in Heart and Life, breathing nothing but Heavenly Solace and Satisfaction to distressed Minds, such as never came from any Mouth but what was Inspired. Some of them are Penitential,

of the Holy Scriptures.

(besides those ' Seven which are usually stiled so) where-

wherein the Holy Man with infinite Sorrow and Remorfe of Soul declares his Abhorrence of his. former Sins, and his firm Resolves and Purposes, of relinquishing them for the future. Lastly, some of the Pfalms are of a Mixt Nature, comprehending several of the foremention'd Heads in them

fo that there is no Book of Devotion extant in the World that is made up of fuch Variety of Matter as this is, and therefore is not only the more de lightful and entertaining, but is also the more use, ful and advantageous, the more sutable to the various Conditions and Occasions of Mankind, the more fitted for the several Purposes of the Devout, the more serviceable to all the great Ends of Religion and Godliness. For this and many other Reas ions I may conclude, that there is not fuch ano. ther Excellent Collection of Devotions under Hear ven as This of the Pious King and Prophet. Here are all things that are proper to beget Religion and

Piety in us, here is every thing that is ferviceable to nourish and fustain all our Vertues and Graces, and that in the utmost height of them. Before I pass to the next Book, I will add a few Words concerning the Nature of the Poetry here used. This is to be said with great Truth, that these Poetical Measures are far different from those which we have been acquainted with in Other Writers. But then it is not to be questioned, that the we are ignorant of the true Quality of these Poetick

Numbers, yet they are very Melodious and Lofty,

and not unworthy of the best Poets. It is not to be

doubted that there is a certain Artificial Meter ob-

ferv'd in this Book, which renders the feveral Odes

and Hymns very delightful. The Younger Scali-! Animadyers, Eusey,

of the Laoly Scriptures. ger denies (and that with some Earnestness and sharpness, otherwise he would not shew himself his Father's own Son) that there is any thing like this in this Book; though at the same time he grants that the Proverbs, and almost all Job, are

&c.) So do Origen, Eusebius, Jerom, and some of the most Judicious Criticks among the Moderns. But then they confess that the Meter is not so regular as that of fucceeding Poets. And who fees not that even these exceedingly vary in their Meafures? It is not denied that Sophocles and Euripides, Plautus and Terence, write in Verse: but they can scarcely be faid to do so in comparison of Homer and Virgil. There are some Hexameters, Iambicks, Saphicks, and other known kinds of Verses in David's Pfalms, but they are very rare, and seldom pure and unmix'd: but notwithstanding this,

Metrical. But Josephus and Philo, two Learned

Jews, and who may reasonably be thought to be

Competent Judges in this Matter, attest the Meter

of these Psalms (as well as of the Books of Job,

it is easy to perceive (if we be observant and attentive) that there are feveral Verses together that are Metrical. The Arabian Criticks tell us, that the Alcoran is written in a fort of Verse, and sometimes in Rythme, but every Reader cannot find this. No more can an ordinary Eye or Ear discern the Numbers in the Hebrew Verse: for the Hebrews way of measuring their Feet was different from that which is in use among the Greek and Latin Poets; yet so as we may oftentimes perceive a certain Harmony of Syllables. And as the Psalms are Metrical, so some of them are Rhythmical. This is clear in the very Entrance of these Divine Hymns;

3. Sar-

## 378 The Excellency and Perfection

אשרי, האיש אשר: לא הלך בעצת רשעים וכררך חטאים לא עמר,:

לא עמר ,: ובמושב לצים לא ישב

Again, in Pfal. 6. 2.

יהוה, אל באפך תוכיחוי ואל בחמתך תיסרני This is evident in Pfal. 8. 5.

מה אנוש כי תוכרנו Pja. 8. 5. ובן ארם כי תפקרנו

This is plain in Pfal. 12. 4. & 51. 16. & 63. 3. & 116. 7. & 148. 1, 2. And in abundance of other Places there is not only a certain Orderly Number of Syllables, but the last Words of the Verses end alike in Sound.

CHAP

## CHAP. IX.

of the Holy Scriptures.

The Book of Proverbs, why so call'd. The transcendent Excellency of these Divine and Inspired Aphorisms. Some Instances of the Different Application of the Similitudes used by this Author. The Book of Ecclesiastes, why so entituled. The Admirable Subject of it succintly displayed. The particular Nature of the Canticle or Mystical Song of Solomon briefly set forth. It is evinc'd from very cogent Arguments, that Solomon died in the Favour of God, and was saved. The Rooks of the Four Great Prophets, Isaiah, Jeremiah, with his Lamentations, Ezekiel, Daniel, are described. So are those of the Twelve Lesser Prophets, Hosea, &c.

in the Throne, so in the Sacred Canon of the Bible? And He, like his Father, was a Divine Poet: his three Books, viz. the Proverbs, Ecclesiastes, and his Song being written in Hebrem, Verse. The first of these Books is composed of Excellent Proverbs (whence it hath its Name). By this word Missle, which is here rendred Proverbs, sometimes are signified, 1. Parables strictly so called, which are no other than Apologues or Artificial Fables, of which I have spoken under the Stile of Scripture: but there are none such in this Book. 2. By this Word is meant any 'Trite and

Commonly received Saying, any Vulgar Proverbial Speech, as that in ch. 26. v. 11. The Dog returneth to bis Vomit. But there are few of this fort here.

1 Sam. 10. 12. & 24. 13.

<sup>&#</sup>x27; Pfal. r. r.

to

3. Sarcastick Speeches, Gibes, Taunts, (as in 2 Chron. 7. 20. Pfal. 69. 11.) are intended by this Expressi-

on: and this Book of Solomon is not wholly destitute of these. 4. The Hebrew Word denotes

fuch Speeches as are by way of Similitude, Ezek. 18.2. of which kind there are many in this Book, as that in ch. 11.22. As a Jewel of Gold in a Swine's Snout. fo is a fair Woman without Discretion: and in ch. 25. 11. A Word fitly spoken is like Apples of Gold in

Pictures of Silver. This we find to be the frequent manner of the Wise Man's speaking in this Book: he generally illustrates and amplifies his Doctrine by some fit Simily or Comparison, so that thereby it is as it were twice deliver'd. 5. Sayings that are mixed with some Obscurity and Intricacy, such Speeches as require Sharpness of Wit and Understanding both for propounding and conceiving

them, are denoted by this Word in Scripture.

Thus an Intricate Question or Problem [Mashal] is

fet down in Pfal. 49. 4, 5. and in the rest of the Pfalm there is an Answer to this Problem, a Resolution of this Difficult Point. Proverbial Sentences are sometimes ' Enigmatical, and have a Meaning far different from what the Words directly fignify. Thus you'l find some Sayings that carry a Mystical Sense with them in this Book, as that in ch. 9. 17. Stolen Waters are sweet; and in ch. 25. v. 27. It is not good to eat much Honey; and fuch like

Allegorical and Allusive Speeches, which contain in them a higher Sense than the bare Words import. This Proverbial manner of Speaking and Writing was in great Use and Esteem among the Hebrews,

and all the Eastern Countries: whence it was that the Queen of Sheba came to prove Solomon with hard Questi-

of the Holy Scriptures.

Questions, 1 Kings 10. 1. Parables according to the Chaldee, Problems, Riddles. These were the Chi-

doth which 'she propounded to be folv'd by him. Yea; this way of Speaking may generally be taken notice of in the Writings of most of the Wife Men

of Antient Times. Pythagoras and Plato were much addicted to this Abstruse way, and all their Followers were delighted in Mystical Representations of things. 6. By this Word we are to un-

derstand all Wise and Excellent Sayings, graviter dista (as the Latins call them) Sentences of great Weight and Importance, but plain and easy to be understood. The Hebrers antiently call'd any Saying that had Graces and Wit in it Mashal; but especially any Eminent Speech or Smart Saying for the Use of Life and Direction of Manners went under that Name. A Moral or Religious Saying

that was of fingular Worth and Excellency was stiled a Proverb: for this (as the Hebrew Word denotes) is κυριακή γνώμη, Dominatrix sententia, a Speech that hath the Preheminence above others, a Saying of great Authority and Force, and therefore deferves to be highly esteemed by all.

These Wise Moral Speeches were taken notice of and held in great Repute of old. Homer was a Noted Master of this Excellency, and is applauded for it by the Learned. And indeed when I read in the skilfullest Accomptants of Times, that this Poet flourish'd not long after Solomon's Days, lam apt to credit Casaubon and Grotius, and a Famous Homerist of our own, who all agree in this, that Homer borrow'd many of his yrapa, his Sage Sayings or Proverbs from our Royal Author; and they produce very fair Instances out of his Poems

<sup>&</sup>quot; Aivoi, unde aiviyua.

Mishle, à mashal præesse, dominari.

of the Holy Scriptures.

383

to prove it. After this Great Poet, I might men. tion those Minor ones Theognis and Phocylides, who are famed for their Excellent Moral Sentences Pythagoras is celebrated for his Golden Sayings or Verses, and so are some of his Scholars for their Worthy Speeches proper to their School: and truly if we remember that these Pythagoreans were enjoined by their Master a five Years Silence, we may well expect some Handsome Sentences from them at last, when they began to speak. I might add here the Set Sayings of the Stoicks, fuch as Tully's Paradoxes. Yea, I might remind you that the Sages of all Schools and Sects had their Peculiar Motto's and Devices. As in Theoretical Philosophy there are Axioms and Maxims, in Medicks there are Aphorisms, in Mathematicks there are: Theorems, among Rhetoricians there are xpace. Select Themes and Matters to declaim upon; foin Ethicks there are yvana, Pithy Short Sentences. Wise and Weighty Apophthegms, containing Great Morals in few Words; magoiplai, Properts, Shorts but Studied Sayings of great and frequent Use in our Lives. This Book of Solomon is chiefly made up of this fort, and they outvy all that ever were extant before or fince. The Queen of Sheba came to hear the Wisdom of this Matchless Prince, and to be benefited by his Divine Accomplishments; but we fave our felves the Labour of fo long a Pilgrimage, he having visited us, and his Admirable Writings being brought home to us, fraught with the most desirable Treasures. Here is a great Number of Useful Maxims and Rules for our Pracrice in the several Occurrences of our Lives. Here are Faithful Sayings, and worthy of all Acceptation, as the Apostle speaks. Here are Smart and Quick, here are Grave and Sage Apophthegms. Here are

Concise and Pithy Adagies, the very Extracts and Essences of the Strongest Sense and most Precious Truth. Here you will find Solomon as a Father, and with a Paternal Affection, instructing his Readers and Hearers as his Sons, (whom therefore he calls by that affectionate Title more than once in this his Admirable Treatise of Morals) directing them in the various Passages and Affairs of this Life, and framing their Manners most becomingly and successfully in order to another.

The whole Book is divisible into three main Parts; 1. The Inscription or Title of the Book, which contains the Use and Scope of it, The Prowith of Solomon the Son of David, King of Ifrael, whow Wisdom, Instruction, to perceive the Words of Understanding, &c. (v. 1, 2, &c. to the 7th) that is, to make Men truly Wife and Understanding, or (which is the same thing) Holy and Religious. 3. The Preface or Introduction to the Book, which ira General Exhortatory to True Wisdom and Holines. This is the Subject of the first Nine Chap-3. The Main Body of the Book (from the Beginning of the 10th Chapter to the Close of all) which comprehends in it several Excellent Precepts, Rules and Cautions of a mixt and various Mature, applicable to the different Circumstances, Cales, and Occasions of Persons. These are more fignally called Mishlim the Proverbs, a Collection of Secred Aphorisms, useful in the Lives of all Men, whether we look upon them in a Natural, Civil, or Religious Capacity, whether we confider them Alone or as Members of a Society, whether we feak of them as they are desirous to live happily here or hereafter, or rather as they defire both. To all these excellent Purposes they may be plentifully furnished by this Royal Author, this Great Master

Proverbs. w. 1. who was the same with Solomon, say R. Levis, and by it understand a Heap of Stones, and they among the Jews, and several Christian Expositors is frame such an Interpretation as this; He that gives However, if he be not Solomon under that Name, Respect and Honour to a Fool, to an unworthy viles but a different Person, yet the Words or Prophery France, is like him that casts a Pretious Stone (for (for fo they are also call'd) there contain'd, may to they limit the Sense of the word Ebong (as Labe faid to be Solomon's, because collected and pre-life among the Latins, and Nison with the Greeks, served by him. So Bathsheba's Instructions to Solomon's that particular Signification sometimes, mon, ch. 31. 1—10. may be call'd his, because he samong common Pebbles, Others expound it thus, had carefully recorded them, and in the greatelf Part of his Life had observ'd them. But whether licarcely discern'd, and makes no Accession to its the Encomium of a Vertuous Woman, or a Good Wife, from v. 10. to the End, was penn'd by Soloen mon or his Mother is disputable: however, this we are fure of, that it was dictated by Divine Inspirati

tion, as the rest of the Sacred Writ; and moreous

betical Order, i.e. according to the Series of the

Hebrew Letters, as feveral Psalms are, which It

over it is observable that it is composed in Alpha-

took notice of before. Before I dismiss this Book, it may not be improper here to observe concerning several of the Proverbs, that they may be applied feveral ways. Accordingly as we interpret the Similitude which is made use of in them, so we may form the Sense of the Place: and this ought not to offend any good or wife Man. To give an Instance or two: As he that bindeth a Stone in a Sling, so is he that giveth Hou mounto a Fool, Prov. 26. 8. The Meaning of which may be, that Honour conferred on an undeferving Person is thrown away and lost, like a Stone cast out of a Sling. Or thus, he that bestows Preferment and Dignity on such an one, doth as 'twere

Arm him against himself; he helps to do himself a

Mischief.

of the Floly Scriptures. 385 Master of the Sentences, this Divine Penman of the mischief, because he puts him into a Capacity of Proverbs.

There is mention of the Words of Agur, ch. 30.12 word Margeman, (which is here translated a Sling) As one single Stone, thrown into a great Heap is b by the Honour and Favour that are collated on a Fool there is no real Addition made to him, there's Alteration, he is still the same Man. Some learned Doctors among the Hebrews (as R. Kimdi, Aben Ezra, Levi) produce another Meaning of the word Margemah, telling us it is the flime mith Agemon, Purpura, and then understand the stones thus, As he that laps up one of the Stones mithe Street in a Purple Vest, so is he that gives defect and Honour to a Fool, a wonthlels Per-But the Sense is the same with what was pro-

pointed in one of the foregoing Interpretations. All these Expositions are congruous enough, and to reed not be very folicitous which of them we where to. No Man can say of any one of them, his is the Interpretation, and there is no other incided by the Penman. It is enough that the sale we pitch upon is consistent with the Scope of Place and the other Parts of God's Word. So those Words in Prov. 1. 17. where the Wise that chaving in the foregoing Verses spoken conmighten mischievous and bloody. Deligns of icked Men) uses this Simily, Surely in value the

Not is spread in sight of day Bird, althit of divelle Interpretations, and all of them very fit and apple fice. First, some render the word Echindian without Cause, (and so indeed it is englished in the 11th World of this Chapter) and then the Senten this, As the Fowler spreads Nets for the harmler Birds, that he may feed himself with their with or make profit of them by felling them to other to Thieves and bloody Men day wait for the This

cent, those that never injured them, and merely

to gratify their Covetoulies, and to fill the

Houses with Spoil . The Tame Sthilly is made in

of in Terebook on an out but Not

Non rese accipiere tenatrur, neque milvio; Qui male facture nobes, illis que nibil facture tenticul Quia entin mittis fluchus est, miffis operatualim.

so that this prover be may then be used when we ske Snares laid for Men, &Show, Casthe LXX translate the Hebrow Word here) when the are Innocenti And what selfemon express here by the Similitude of Birds is by the Prophet Habita let forth by another Compariton from Fifth, Mab. 1. 131 141 But the Generality of Interpreted therefore in respect of these silly Creatures the toad the first Word as our Translators resider in Met may well be fald to be spread in vain) so the viz. in vain, and then the Text is capable of the Wicked Men whom the Royal Penman here speaks yeral Senfes ; ( Some think that as folde of the of and whole Enticements he warns us to beware foregoing Verses, to this is spoken by way of of being led with defire of Prey, do not observe men, in the Language of Thieves and Blood the Net laid to take them: or if they be forementake with them in their Villary, by laying the bit, are resolved to satisfy their greedy Appetite:

We have our Eyes about us, we shall be able to force By their Snires, and to fly from them as Birds ard wont to do from a Net spread wide before them.

Qualle nimis pandust vetta vitat avis.

Or, 2. This may be spoken of those that are en-

ticed by these Men, and fall under their Charms

and Allurements. They could never do thus un-

less they were blinded and infatuated. It is in vain to these Belotted Creatures that they see the Not, that they know the Danger; for notwithstanding this they venture upon it, and wilfully run into it, a Bird highest to the Snare, as the same Author Meaks, 68. 7. 6. 23. Or, 3. if we take these Words I spoken concerning the Evil and Lewd Enticers flefifelves, then there is this different Interpretathe from what was allighed before: either the Siwilledde runs thus," As foolish Birds being greedy, of Food, and allured by the Balt, take no notice of the Net that is spread to catch them, and so Mattares are taken in it, (and because the Net that is laid makes not the Birds more wary and cauthus, but notwithstanding this they fly to the Bait, fore them the Hopes of Impunity; as if they had then, when they are most secure, they are faid, You need not fear and be folicitous, we will liddenly surprized and overtaken by the Judgcunningly carry our Business: though Justice leek ments of God. Or else (which I take to be the
after us, and would bring us to Punishment, yet lainest and most obvious Meaning) we are to un-

Cc 2

derstand

of the Holy Scriptures. they kindly hoven over them, hide and protect

388 The Excellency and Perfection derstand the Words thus, Although Villanous Complotters think themselves sure of their Press yet they are no more certain of it than Fowlers are of catching those Birds which carefully observe the laying of the Net, and by beholding the Spreading of it are admonished to fly away from it. In Light the fecret Perpetration of Murder and Blood

vain is the Net spread in the Eyes of every one that hate Wings: fo the Hebreto, the new olothest bealing Which may be applied first of all to these Fly ing Inhabitants of the Air, who have fometime been in a wonderful manner employed to bring to

shed. A Bird of the Air hath carried the Voice, and that which hath Wings bath told the Master, Eccles, 10. 20. In vain hath the Net been spread in the Sight of these winged Creatures. Secondly, Application of these Words may be made to this Innocent Persons whom these bloody Conspirates

intend to entrap. They oftentimes are extraordin narily furnish'd with Eyes and Wings: they are conbled to discern and foresee their Contrivances

and they have Power given them to, avoid them ! Saul seeks the Life of David; but his cursed Pur poses are discover'd to this latter by Jonathen The fecret Counsels and Plots of the King of Ale fyria are disclosed by Elisha: "The Jews bind them!

seasonably fly to the Succour of the Righteous dom search into and descant upon these Sacred they Writings, that we may understand the full Extent of these Excellent Moral Observations and Remar-

them with their Wings. And as Men and Angels, fo God himself (who is All Eye) in a more signal and eminent manner discovers and defeats the Machinations of bloody Men against the Innocent. He is pleased to resemble himself to an Eagle, the Prince of Birds, that fluttereth over her Young, preadeth abroad her Wings, taketh them, beareth them wher Wings, Deut. 32. 11. The Eyes of the Lord. fun to and fro throughout the whole Earth, to show himfelf strong in the behalf of them whose Heart is perfect

towards him, 2 Chron. 16.9. And in all Ages of

the World he hath rais'd up Instruments to help

ind fuccour his Servants. Thus in vain is the Net freed in the Sight of every one that is bagnal canaph, Master of the Wing, as the Original (if we will be etact in rendring it) expresses it. And if we inderpret this Proverb in this Sense, it Exactly comports with the next Verse, They lay wait for their own Blood, they lurk privily for their own Life: Those that thus design Mischief against innocent Persons, bring Ruine upon themselves, and are frequently taken in that Net which they spread for others. This feems to be the most Genuine Exposition of the Words: but every one is left to his Liberty to choose any other Interpretation which is agreeable to the Context, and opposes no other Text of selves with a Vow to murder St. Paul, but a Youth Holy Scripture. Which of all these Senses was at frustrates their Conspiracy. It may be applied at first design'd by the Holy Ghost we cannot cerfo to the Angels, who are represented as Winger sainly tell. It may be in such Places as these (of in Scripture, and full of Eyes. These oftentimes which there is a considerable Number in this Book) discover and frustrate the bloody Designs of the there is a Latitude, and questionless it is best it Enemies of the Church. These Ministring Spirit Mould be so, that we may with the greater Free-

Cc3

kable

<sup>1</sup> Sam. 19. 2. 2 Kings 6. 12. Ads 23. 15; 16. \* Ezek. 1. 6. Ezek. 1. 18. & 10. 12.

The Excellency, and Perfection of the Haly Scriptures. kable Sayings of this Wife King, which for the bught for somewhere else. Here we are taught, most part are short and concise, and thereby some hat notwithstanding this World's Changeable and times become somewhat difficult. But if Galpen abject to Vanity, though at one time or other all superatoria because (as Tagitus calls it) was come lines come alike to all in it, yet the Steady and Unmendable, no wife Man furely will diffike it in a providence of God rules all Affairs and lonen, especially when such Divine and Admirable Lyents here below; and in the Conclusion of all, Truths are couched in it, God will bring every Work into Judgment, with every His next Book is entituled Ecclesiastes; for the laret thing, whether it be good, or whether it be evil.

LXX, by whom the world Kabal is generally read there are Particular Directions given us how we are dred contingen, do accordingly rander Kokeki maischargeour Duty, first with reference to our Enichmans. It is probable he penn'd it when he wize that we ought very strictly to observe was Old, and had pass'd the several Stages of Va the Laws of Sohriety and Temperance, and to nity. It is an open Disowning of his former fold live in a Thankful Use of the good things of this lies and Extravagancies, it is the Royal Preachers World, and to be Content with our Portion and Recantation-Sermon, wherein he tenders himself Allotment in this Life, and to banish all Covetous Bublick Penitentiary. Which is the Meaning (a) Defires and Projects. As we must go to the House of One thinks) of that Title of this Book in the He Mourning, i.e. be very retired and folemn, very brew, Kohelgeh, or the Gathering Soul, hecausein flows and composed, and banish all superstuous this Book he recollects himself, and gathers and the hirth and Gaiety, fo we must eat our Bread with duceth others that wander after Vapity. To this b, i. e. live in a comfortable Fruition of these end he makes a clear and ample Discovery of the arthly Bleffings, and delight in these Enjoyments Kanity of all things under the Sun, i. e, in this Life, far as they are lawful and innocent. Our Duty. or in the whole World, (a Phrase peculiar to Soly to Others is here also briefly prescribed us, viz. that we ought to pay a Profound Respect to Good

mon, and in this Book only, where it is often used). Here the Wise Man convinceth us from kings, and to keep their Commandments; yea, that his own Experience, that none of the Acquists of our very Thoughts towards them ought to he Revethis World are able to satisfy the Immortal Spirit lent. Then, as to those who are of an Equal Leof Man, that the greatest Wit and Learning, the vel with us, or inferiour to us, that we shew our most exquisite Pleasures and Sensual Enjoyments, selves Just and Righteous to them in all our Conthe vastest Confluence of Wealth and Riches, and Verse and Dealings, and that when we see any of the highest Seat of Honour, even the Royal them reduced to Poverty and Straits, that we Throne it felf, are infufficient to make a Man Hap- extend our Charity to them, that we cast our Bread py, and consequently that our Happiness must be spon these Waters, that we relieve their Wants

fought and Necessities. Lastly, we are instructed in our Duty to God, we are taught to approach him with Dr. Lightfoor, in his Chronicle of the Times of the Old Tolly Reverence and Devotion, to keep our Feet when me

of the Holy Scriptures.

go to his House, to pay our Vows to him, to remember him our Creator and Preserver, to fear him and les his Commandments: and we are affured that this H the whole of Man, his whole Duty and his whole Concern.

The Canticles, or Solomon's Song, is another Piece of Hebrew Poetry, which he writ when he was Young, and in an Amorous Vein, and yet breathing most Divine and Heavenly Amours. If you take it according to the Letter only, it is King Solomini Epithalamium or Wedding-Song, of the same Na ture with the 45th Pfalm, which is a Song on his Nuptials with the King of Egypt's Daughter, but in a Spiritual Sense it sets forth the Glory of Christ and his Kingdom, and the Duty and Privileges of the Church, which is there called the King's Daugha. Such is this Dramatick Poem, wherein are brought in the Bridegroom, and Bride, and the Friendi of both, alternately speaking: but we must not be so gross in our Apprehensions as to conceive this tob barely a Marriage-Song (as Castellio groundlesly farcieth, and therefore deems it to be Scripture not of the fame Stamp with the rest). Besides the Lite ral Import of the Words in this Love-Song, there is a Mystical Sense couched in them. Carnal Love is here made to administer to Religion, the Flehis subservient to the Spirit: and therefore by reason of this Mystery in this Love-Poem the Jews were not permitted to read it till they were of Maturit of Years. If we take this Mystical Wedding Song in the highest Meaning of it, it is an Allego rical Description of the Spiritual Marriage and Communion between Christ and the Church, iti a Representation of the Mystical Nuptials of the Lord Christ Jesus and Believers. Their Mutua Affections and Loves are deciphered by the Sou

Pallion

Passions and Amours of Solomon and his Royal Spoule, This (though the Name of God be not in it) makes it a most Divine Poem, and highly worthy of our most serious Perusal and Study. For here we see the Gospel anticipated, and the most Glorious Subject of the New Testament betimes inferted into the Old.

Object. But is it not a great Disparagement to this and the other before-mentioned Books of Solomon, that be was a Reprobate, and finally rejested by God? Are we not discouraged from receiving these Writings as Canonical Scripture when we know that the Author of them was a Damned Person? For what can He be else, who, towards his latter end, revolted from the True Religion, and went after Strange Gods and Strange Women? And we never read in Scripture that he repented either of his Idolatry or his Whoredoms. Is it likely that this Gross Apostate was inspired by the Holy Ghost? Is it probable that he had the Honour of being one of the Penmen of Sacred Writ?

Answ. It is true Solomon was as great a Reproach to the True Religion as ever any Person was, if we consider all his Circumstances: His Sins were of a very High Nature, his Faults were most Heinous and Scandalous; and that Man is half guilty of them that endeavours to excuse them. A most provoking Crime it was in him that had been fo highly favour'd of God to give himself up to his Lusts: a most horrid Offence it was, even in his old Age, in the close of his Life, (as if now his Years had made him Decrepid and Idolatrous too) to bow down to Idols. But shall we think that Solomon bowed fo low that he could not rife again, that he fell and never recovered himself? I confess no meaner a Man than St. Augustin seems to be of this

this Opinion. This Hard Father of Infants was as harth against Solomon, pronouncing him a Perfon wholly call out of God's Favour, and never received to Mercy again: and some Other Fathers. as St. Cyprian, and Profper, question his Salvation, Rellarmin and Pererius politively conclude he was damned; but then we find three others of that Communion and of the same Order peremptorily afferting the contrary. Maldonate declares he doth not know what to determine. Of which Mind it seems was that Archbishop of Toledo, who eaus'd King Salomon to be painted on the Walls of his Chappel half in Hell and half in Heaven. But, to wave the Opinions and Censures of Particular Persons at present, it is generally the Judgment of the Christian Church, that Solomon repented, and was faved. And there are such Reasons as these to induce us to believe it; 1. There is no absolute concluding from the Greatness of his Sins that he repented not, and that he was damned; for we are assured that King Manasseb was a Greater Sinner than ever he was, for unto all manner of Idolatry he added the Diabolical Practices of Witchcraft and Inchantment, 2 Chron. 33. 3, &c. and yet his hearty Repentance and Turning unto God are recorded, v. 12, 13, 19, Yea, David, Solomon's own Parent, was a very Heinous Criminal if the Sins of Studied Murder (which we do not find his Son guilty of) and Adultery could make him fuch; and yet such was the Divine Goodness, that upon his humble Acknowledgment of these Crimes and reforming his Ways he was acquitted of these Offences. And why may not the same Mercy be show'd to the Son? and what ground have we to

hath thele Words; "This very Place and Pallage (faith he) "may refolve that Solomon was no more finally cast away for his Idolatry than David was " for his Adultery and Murder. We fee that David and Solomon are here joined together, their way of Walking is represented as the same, as much as to tell us, that as David was a Man after God's own, Heart, excepting the Murder of Vriab, and Dehanching his Wife, so was his Son Solomon, excepting the latter Part of his Life, 3. Solomon's Book of Ecclesiastes (as hath been suggested already) is a plain Testimony of his Repentance. Here he bewails his former Follies, here he makes a Ruplick Retractation of them, and doth as it were Penance for them before all the World. We may therefore safely vote him a True Penicent, a Real Convert at last, and now a Saint in Heaven. 4. In express Words, according to the Septuagint, his Repentance is recorded, Prov. 24, 32. Afterwards (or at last) I repented. Or, if this Version be not admitted, and although we cannot produce an Express Text, (though that is not necessary, for it is not any where recorded that Lot repented of his Incest, or that some Others, whose Salvation we question not, were heartily forry for their Miscarriages) yet there is ground to believe his Conversion not only from what hath been said, but from what

Dr. Lightfoot, in his Chronicle of the Old Testament.

ego presenouse.

exclude him from partaking of it upon his unfeign-ad Repentance ? a. That he did repent and was

laved may be gather'd from 2 Chron. 11.17. where the malking in the May of David and Solomon is men-

tion'd as walking Holily, and so as is Acceptable

fo God. Upon which Passage a' Judicious Writer

exclude ! Pineda, Salianus, Drexellius.

Peter, 2 Ep. ch. 1. v. 21. None had that Honour but those who were of Real Sanctity, i.e. the Prophecy of Scripture (which he speaks of in that place) was vouchfafed to none but such. And therefore though Solomon's Repentance be not expresly recorded, yet when we know that he was one of the most Eminent Penmen of the Sacred Scripture, we have Reason to think, that notwithstanding God fuffer'd him for a time to fall into those scandalous Sins, yet he return'd afterwards to him by unfeigned Repentance, and was Renewed and Reformed, and died a Holy and Righteous Person. 6. This is evident from that Promise which God made to Solomon, 2 Sam. 7. 15. My Mercy shall not depart away from him. Which is commented upon by the wife Son of Sirach, (speaking of this King's Follies and Extravagancies, and the sad Events of them) The Lord, saith he, will never leave off his Mercy, neither shall any of his Works perish, neither will he abolish the Posterity of his Elect, and the Seed of bim that loveth him he will not take away, Ecclesiastic. 47.22. Whence we may rationally gather, that Solomon was not cast off by God, but still continued in his Favour.

Writings. But there being fuch great Probability, not to fay Reasons, on the other side, we need not S. 60 1 3 3 30 100 Some qu. Recollectus, Receptus, sc. in savorem Dei. 2 Cont. Jovin. Lib. 2. Apol. Dav. In Pfal. 52. Catechef. 2.

invalidate his Writings, God might, if he pleas'd,

make use of a Bad Man to pen some Part of the

Bible, as he thought fit to call Judas to the Apo-

fleship, and to be an Eminent Preacher of the Go-

spel. Therefore though we should grant that So-

lomon was an Apostate, yet this is no direct Argu-

ment against the Validity and Authority of his

fly to this Aniwer, but on good ground perswade our selves that Soumen, who was once supposed with Sacred Wildom, never loft it wholly und confequently that we ought not to be prejudiced against what he hath writ by reason of his gross

Failings and Mifcarriages. Next, we are to speak of the stocks of the Mas phets. Of those who prophelied after the Divinos of the ten Tribes from the other two, but below the Capilotty of either, Ifatab is the lift and most eminent. He was of the Blood Royal; his Fainty Amoz being Brother to Advish King of Juditi He was an old Ptopher, having been in that the ployment under four Kings of Judah (as the tells us himself): and all this time (which was about threefcore Years) he faithfully discharged the Part of a True Prophet in an litthartial reproving 8 the Vices and Diffriders of the Age he liver in m a free and open displaying the Judgments of the which were impeliant on that Nation, the how forgetting to threaten and denounce Vengelance of those Foreign and Strange People, who were in fitumental in inflicting their Judgiffents, and will for their ctyling knormicies deferred to be the stroy'd, viz. Astyrians, Egyptians, Ethiophares Moabites, Edothites, Tyrians, Atabians) in a high Parlietick Exhortation to Reputtance, and in let ting before them the Promites of Mercy and Belli verance. This last especially he is most famous for, clearly foretelling the Deliverance of the Jews from their Captivity in Babyon by the Hand of Cyrus King of Persia; and this he exprelly niewtion'd an hundred Years before it came to pain But his Predictions concerning the Messias are the

of the Holy Scriptures. malt remarkable of all: He an plain Terms foretels not only the Coming of Christ in the Flesh, but all the Great and Memorable Passages which belonged to him. He speaks as clearly and difincily of thele as if our Saviour had bleffed the World with his Presence at that very time when he wrote his Prophecy. He feems to Ipeak, faith ferom, rather of things past than to come, and he may be call'd an Evangelist rather than a Prophet. Which is the Reason without doubt of the fo frequent Citations which are made of this Book in the New Testament: for you may observe that Christ himself, his Evangelists and Apostles, have quoted about threefcore Places out of it. 14 feading of this Book then we read the Gospel it ff, we antedate the New Testament by the Wilthis of this Evangelical Prophet. I have intimated before that he is the most Eloquent of all the Prophets, He was the Hebrew Demostbenes, as Grotius rightly Itiles him; the Purity of Hebraifm is to be feen in him, as in the other that of Aufilm. He ufeth many Schemes and Figures, but none is more remarkable than (that for which that Athenian Orator was so applauded, faith Quintihan) his delivous, his Excellent Art of adding Gra-Vity, Force and Vehemence to what he faith: he continually Exaggerates and Amplifies the Matter Which he undertakes. He had (above other Prophets) an Advantage of improving his Stile by reason of his Noble Descent, and conversing with Men of great Parts and Elocution. But the main thing wherein he excels the rest of the Prophets, is this, that he faith more of our Lord Christ than all of them put together. This is his Peculiar Ex-

Præfat, in Ifai. Annor. In 2 Reg. 19. 1.

them to the Egyptians. But even then he foretels

their happy, Return and Deliverance, and likewife

the Just Recompence which Babylon; Moab; the

The Excellency and Perfection cellency, that he makes so early a Discovery of the Advent of our Bleffed Lord, and of the Great My steries of the Gospel. Jeremiah was another Antient Prophet, he beginning to prophely in the thirteenth Year of King Josiah, and continuing in that facred Employment till the last Year of King Zedekiab. He saw the Captivity of the Kingdom of Samaria, and after that the total Destruction of the Kingdom of dah and of the Temple. Part of this famous Prophecy, yea most of it was after the Captivity of Ifrael, and before that of Judab, (from chap. 1. 19 ch. 44.) and part of it was in the time of the late ter Captivity, this Prophet being not carried capt tive with the other Jews, but remaining in Juden and afterwards carried into Egyps (from chap. 44 to the end). In the whole are comprized many things of great Worth and Moment: for here we find this Divine Prophet laying open the Sins of the Kingdom of Judah with an unparallell'd Free dom and Boldness, and reminding them of the se vere Judgments which had befallen the ten Tribe for the very same Offences and Miscarriages. Here this Weeping Prophet, this Jewish Heracistus, most

passionately laments the miserable Condition which they were plunging themselves into, and within directs them how to prevent it, namely, by a fperdy reforming of their Lives. But at last he more peremptorily proclaims God's Wrath and Vengeance against them, foresceing and foretelling the Grievous Calamities which were approaching, particularly the Seventy Years Captivity in Chalded, which began (as some think) with the carrying away of those of Judab. He also dissinades them. from breaking Faith with the Chaldeans after they were conquered by them, and sheweth how unfuccessful "

Philistines, and other Enemies of the Church should meet with in due time. Here are also several Inimations concerning Christ the Blessed Messias and Redeemer, and concerning his Kingdom and Goproment in the times of the Gospel. Here are many Remarkable Visions and Types, wherein are represented things of the highest Nature. And lally, here are fundry Historical Passages of considerable Moment which relate to those times. So that the whole Book is of Incitimable Worth, and Ach as is not to be found any where but in the Sacred Volume. His Lamentations (which are in Hebrew Verse, and are fo contrived, that in the four first Chapters every Verse, excepting one, begins with a Hebrew Letter in the Alphabetick Order) were written on the Death of that Religious Prince 30fab: which appears from what is recorded in Affbron. 35. 25. Jeremiah lamented for Josiali; and all the singing Men and the singing Women Spake. Johah in their Lamentations to this Day, and made Ordinance in Israel, and behold they are written in Lamentations, even those which this Propher priposed. . Which is also confirmed by the low-Historian, who youcheth this Poem to be a Fuhaid Elegy on that Pious King. To which St. Jeigm adds, that this Prophet laments the Loss of office as the beginning of those Galamitics which afterwards enfued; and accordingly he proceeds to

cularly.

lewall the Miserable State of the Jews, and partila . Joseph Antig. L. id; c. 6,

cularly the Destruction of Jerufalem, which was not then come to pass, but is prophetically fore this Kingdom, i.e. the Christian Church. Betold, it being not unusual with the Prophets to take the Prophet begins with Visions and Types, speak of things to come as if they were already and ends with the Measuring of the Mystical Tempast: Unless we should say (as some have) that the therefore (by reason of these Abstrusties and part of this Mournful Song was endited after the Hyteries) the Beginning and End of this Book taking and sacking of ferusalem, and the carrying were forbid to be read by the Jews before they the People Captive, and is a Pallionate Bewailing time to thirty Years of Age. But the greatest of the Destruction of the Temple, and the Horris fact of this Prophecy is plain and easily intelligi-Consequences of it. In which also the Holy Man it having reference chiefly to the Manners of humbly confesset the Sins of the People, and and that degenerate Age; wherein the Prophet obknowledgeth the Divine Justice in all that bere and severely animadverts upon the General them: to which he adjoineth a Serious Exhoras Correption which had invaded them in those tion to Repentance, and comforts them with Days, and which merited the severest Judgments Hopes of a Restoration. So that the whole is that Heaven could send down upon them. He ex-Exact Pattern of Devotion in times of Great and No. Mits a Particular Catalogue of the Notorious Enortional Calamities and Publick Sufferings, and in this which their Kings, their Priefts, their Profructs us how to demean our selves in such deplets, their People were infamous for; he labours

rable Circumstances. those that went thither in the second Captivity, like a True Watchman (as he is stiled) he which was in the 8th Year of Nebuchadnezzar withfully warneth them of their Imminent Danger; Reign, about ten Years before the time of the last dimonisher them to prevent it (if possible) Captivity. He prophesied here at the same time that disamedoning their Evil Ways. This is the In-Jeremiab did in Judea, and afterwards in Eye med Man that penn'd this Book; and this is the Many of the fame things he foretold, more elper which contains to many worthy and excellent cially the Destruction of the Temple, and the fat things in it. tal Issue of those that revolted from Babylon to Another of the Four Great Prophets is Daniel Egypt, and at last the Happy Return of the Jew Mowas of the Progeny of the Kings of Judab.) into their own Land. He distinctly foretels the was contemporary with Exchiel, and was a Cap-Plagues which flouid certainly be inflicted on Other the in Babylon at the fame time that he was. Nations who were profes'd Enemies of the life he prophesied, and there he wrote, and Church, as the Edomites, Moabites, Ammonites, the Book is the Result of both : the fix first Chapgyptians, Tyrians, and lastly the Affyrians and But and which are an History of the Kings of Bulybylonians. In figurative and mystical Expression and of what besel some of the Captive Jews he predicts the Melfias, and the flourishing Estate

William to a Sense of these scandalous Pra-Ezekiel was carried captive into Babylon with thes, and to make them heartily Relent for them: Ditan. under

likronym, Prolog. in Ezek.

404 The Excellency and Perfection Dream or Vision of the Four Secular Monarchies of under them. Here we have Nebuchadnezzar's Ret the World, and of the Fifth, which was to be Spimarkable Dreams interpreted, we have a Relation ritual, viz. that of the Messias? What is more faof the fingular Courage of the three Hebrew Your mous and celebrated than his Discovery (by the Men that refused to fall down to his Image, with Angel Gabriel's Information) of the Seventy Weeks, the miraculous Deliverance of them out of the miraculous Vears, i.e. 490 Years, upon the expiring Flames. Here is unfolded Belshazzar's Fatal Doon of which the Messias's Kingdom was to be set up? contain'd in the Mystical Hand-writing on the Will What plain and signal Prophecies doth this Book with his Death that soon follow'd upon it, and afford concerning that Renowned Conqueror Alex-Succession of Darius to the Throne, and the True ander the Great, and his subduing the Persian Emflation of the Monarchy to the Medes. It was pire, as also concerning the Fierce Wars among der this Prince that our Noble Prophet was a his Great Captains and Commanders who succeeded vanced to his greatest Height of Honour; him; particularly how clearly and plainly are the whereas he had been a great Courtier and Favor Actions of Antiochus the Great, and Antiochus Epirite in Nebuchadnezzar's time, and in the closed phanes his Son, described by our Prophet long be-Belshazzar's Reign was made the Third Ruler in the fore these Persons were in being? And many other Kingdom, now he is made the First, being from Notable Occurrences relating to the most publick all the Presidents and Princes of the Realm. This mate and famed Transactions on the Stage of the World. him envied and hated, but he was hated and prophetically fore-signified and revealed by this secuted much more for his Religion by the Giat Divine Seer: insomuch that we may justly stile this Men of the Kingdom, and even by a Decree of the Book the Apocalypse of the Old Testament; to which King's own figning committed to the Den of Lines that Other of the New fo often refers, and even there to be devoured of them. But the Hand wrows many things of great Moment. Lastly, Omnipotence immediately interposed, and the me may particularly note concerning this Book, came out thence safe, and his Adversaries and the that a great Part of it is written in the Chaldean cusers were sent thither in his room, who said Tongue, viz. from the fourth Verse of the Second not after the same rate that he did. After this chapter to the End of the Seventh: the Reason of lived in great Esteem, Honour and Prosperity, thich may be this, because Daniel was now by his not only in this King's Reign, but under Grand and Abode in that Country become as 'twere a Monarch of the Persian Race. But as our April Chaldean; and moreover, he thought sit to write in the former Part of this Book relates things in the Chaldean Language, because he relates those

as an Historian, so in the fix last Chapters he is a single shings here which are proper to the Kings of Baby, together Prophetical, foretelling what shall be a the Affairs of that Place, which could not Church in general, and particularly the Jews: yes better express'd than in this Tongue. his Visions and Prophecies reach to future Event The Twelve Lesser Prophets (so call'd because wherein even those that are out of the Church at the Writings are of a Smaller Bulk) are account-

concerned. What can be more valuable than h Dream 2 27511

406 The Excellency and Perfection ed by the Jews as 'One Book: and accordingly St. Stephen quoting a Pallage out of Amos, faith, It is

written in the Book of the Prophets, Amos, faith, It is written in the Book of the Prophets, Amos 7. 42. The First of these Holy Seers was Hosea, who flourished in the Kingdom of Israel in the Days of Uzziah Jotham, Abaz and Hezekiah, Kings of Judah, and of Jaroboam King of Israel: so that he was Contact the Contact with Israel.

of faroboam King of Israel: to that he was Contemporary with Isaiah, as appears from the first Verses of both Prophecies. He directs his Prophecy wholly against the Kingdom of Israel, which consisted of the Ten Tribes, but is by him peculiarly stiled sometimes Joseph, sometimes Ephraim, at other times Samaria, Bethel, Jacob, and Israel.

at other times Samaria, Bethel, Jacob, and Israel, as, on the other hand, the Kingdom of Judabis call'd by him Benjamin, and sometimes Jerusalem, His main Design and Business through the whole Book is to set forth the gross Idolatry and other flagitious Practices of that degenerate People, and to denounce the Judgments of God against them, and particularly to foretel their Captivity in Asset

ria, and withal to excite them to a due Apprehention of this Severity of God towards them, and
thereby to beget an unseigned Remorse and Penitence in them, that they may obtain the Pardon of
their Sins, and partake of the Divine Mercy and
Favour. All which is done with a most ravishing
Ardency, Affection and Zeal. As to the Stile
indeed, it may be observed, that as Ezekiel was the

Obscurest of the Greater Prophets, so Hosea is of

these Minour ones: but this Obscurity and Difficul-

ty are countervailed by that Rich Treasure which

are hid under them, and which will prove an Am-

Duodecem Prophere in unius voluminis angustias coardate. Hieron. Epist. ad Paulin. 'Fo Andrewwee spring Epiplan-Hares. 8. 1. 4. c. 13.

ple Reward to those who search into it, and acquaint themselves with the transcendent Excellency both of the Stile and Matter of this Writer.

Joel prophesied in the Kingdom of Judab before the time of the Captivity, though the particular Time is not (as in most of the other Prophets) mentioned. But 'tis probable he prophesied at the fame time with Hofea, who is fet immediately before him. So St. Jerom, Theodoret, Augustine, and other Fathers think. He foretelleth the coming up of a Northern Army, viz. from Babylon, which is North of Judea: Though some interpret it of an Army of Locusts and Caterpillars, and other such mischievous and devouring Insects mentioned ch. 1. 9.4, &c. and consequently the Prophet predicteth the horrid Devastation, Dearth and Famine in Judea, which should be caused by them. I am for joining both these Interpretations together, for I see it is the usual way of the Holy Ghost in the Scriptures. (especially the Prophetick ones) to express two different Things and Occurrences by the same Words. Here is then a double Army spoken of, viz. that of the Chaldeans, which in a short time afterwards. invaded Judea, and laid it walte; and also that of Noxious Vermin, which was to be fent as a just Pemalty and Infliction for their Abuse of the Fruits of the Earth, and the great Plenty which they had mjoy'd. Whereupon he most warmly stirs them upto Repentance; and to that Purpose enjoineth a Fast, and urgeth them to a strict Observance of it from the Hopes of Mercy and Forgiveness, and the Removal of all those Judgments which they labour under, yea from the Expectation, or rather the Assurance of a Blessing upon the whole Church.

This is briefly, but most admirably set forth by this Man of God. And as it refers to that diresult Dd 4 Judg-

them-

Judgment of Famine and Destroying the Fruits of the Earth, it may be made use of as a Scasonable Form and Rule of Devotion and Behaviour in the time of fuch a Calamity.

Amos, who in his Youth had been a Herdsman in Tekoa, (a little Country-Town a Mile and a half off of Jerusalem) is now sent to the Kine of Bashan, the Reople of Samaria, the Kingdom of Israel, to reduce them to Repentance and Reformation of Life. To which end he boldly remonstrates against the Crying Sins which were visible among them, but especially against Idolatry, Oppression, Wantonnefs, and Incorrigiblenefs. He spares not those of Judah, but frankly reproves them for their Carnal Security, Sensuality, Injustice, Confident Boasting. And he scares both of them with frequent Threatnings and Menaces, and is not afraid to tell them that their persisting in their Sins will end at last in the Ruine of the Kingdoms of Judah and Israel which lie confirms and illustrates by the Visions of a Plumb-line, and of a Basket of Summer-Fruit. It is further observable in this Prophecy, that as it begins with Denuntiations of Judgment and De-Atruction against the Syrians, the Philistines, the Tyrians, and other Enemies of the Church, so it concludes with comfortable Promifes of restoring the Tabernacle of David, and erecting the Kingdoni of Christ. He prophesied in the Days of Uzziah King of Judah, and Jeroboam the Son of Joash (to distinguish him from the other of that Name, who was Son of Nebat): fo that he flourish'd at the same time with Hosea and Joel. But there is some Difference as to the time, for tis added, [two Years before the Earthquake] v. 1. that is, towards the latter End of King Uzziah's Reign.

of the Holy Scriptures. Obadiab's Prophecy is contain'd in one fingle Chapter, and is partly a Divine Invective against the merciles Edomites, who mocked and derided the Captive Ifraelites as they passed to Babylon, and who, with other Enemies (their Confederates) invaded and wronged these poor Strangers, and made a great Ravage, and divided the Spoil among them: and it is partly a Prediction of the Deliverance and Salvation of Ifrael, and of the Victory and Triumph of the whole Church over all her Enemies. Some think this Obadiah was he that was King Ahab's Steward, who hid the Prophets: then 'tis certain he was before these Other Prophets. But

there is no Foundation for this. We may rather

adhere to St. Jerom's Opinion, who goes upon this

Rule, that when the time of the Prophecy is not mention'd, it is to be referr'd to the same time that the preceding Prophecy was writ in.

Jonah's Prophecy was directed to the Ninevites, as Obadiab's to the Edomites, and relates how that Prophet being commanded by God to go to Nineveh, but disobediently travelling another way, was discover'd by a sudden Tempest arising, and was cast into the Sea, and swallowed by a Whale, which, after it had lodged him three Nights and three Days in its Belly, difgorged him upon the dry Land. Whereupon being made fensible of his past Danger, and of his Miraculous Deliverance from it, he betook himself to that Journey and Embassy which were first appointed him; and arriving at that Great City, the Metropolis of all Affyria, he, according to his Commission, boldly laid open to the inhabitants their manifold Sins and Miscarriages, and proclaim'd their sudden Overthrow if they repented not. Upon which the whole City, by Prayer, and Fasting, and Humbling

themselves, and by Turning from the Evil of their Ways most happily averted the Divine Vengeance, and prevented their Ruine. A most Admirable Instance of the Divine Mercy! A Rare Example of Universal Repentance, and that even in a Pagan Country! Happy had the Ninevites been if they had not relapsed afterwards. Nor is Jonab's - unseasonable Repining at this Dispensation of Heaven omitted here by him, or by whoever it was that wrote this Remarkable History; wherein we fee the Integrity of the Inspired Writers, which is such, that they are not backward to communicate to the World their own greatest Failings, or those which the best Men are incident to. Jonah prophesied at the same time with the foregoing Prophets, as Jerom concludes; and he is back'd by other Fathers, as Clemens of Alexandria, Euschius, Augustine, Theophylact.

Micab prophesied in the Kingdom of Judah before the Captivity of Babylon, in the same Kings Reigns that the preceding Prophets did, as appears from the first Verse. He impartially reprehends the Great and Rampant Vices both of Jerusalem and Samaria, and is terrible in his Denuntiations of Judgments against both Kingdoms, but more particularly he foretels the approaching Destruction of Jerusalem: Yet he leaves not the Church without Comfort, for he exprelly foretels the Confusion of her Enemies, the Messias's blessed Arrival, and with him the Peace and Prosperity, the Increase and Advancement, the Glory and Triumphs of the Church. So that Micab feems to be Isaiab epitomized, giving us that in brief which the other more largely and amply infifted on. And it may be observ'd that these two Prophets are alike in their Stile and manner of Speaking, which is very sublime and towering.

Nahum prophesied after the carrying captive of the Ten Tribes, a little before the Captivity of the Kingdom of Judah. His Prophecy is rightly call'd a Burden, that Word both in the Greater and Lesser Prophets importing the denouncing of some Grievous and Heavy Judgment: and fuch is this which he here threatens to Nineveb. For it feems this People returned to their former evil Ways after Jonab's Preaching; and for this Reason another Prophet is sent to foresignify their Overthrow by the Chaldeans upon this their Relapse into their former Sins. He wieth no kind Invitations to Repentance, as the former Messenger did, but he abfolutely and peremptorily proclaims their Ruine, and with a most passionate and melting Eloquence (such as is not to be parallell'd in the most Celebrated Masters of Oratory) deciphers the horrid Nature of it.

Habakkuk prophesied in King Abaz and Hezekiab's Reigns, as Theodoret, Epiphanius, and others of the Antients, probably determine; and not after the Captivity of the Two Tribes, as Jerom thinks, for this was not past when this Prophet writ, as is evident from chap. 1. v. 6. Lo, I raise up the Chaldeans, who shall march through the Breadth of the Land, &c. He complains of the Corrupt State of the Jens in those times, predicting the Invasion of the Chaldeans as the just Recompence of their Middoings. This is remarkable in this Prophecy (which we find not in any of the rest) that it is composed in way of a Dialogue. First, the Prophet speaks, chap. 1. v. 1, to the 4th: then God answereth, v.s, to the 11th. The Prophet replies, v. 12, to the 17th: God's Answer is in chap.2, to the End. Then follows the Prophet's Prayer. The Providence of God in suffering the Best Men to be miserably treated,

treated, and that by the Worst and Vilest, is here vindicated; and the Certainty of a Happy Revolution is affured. The Prophet also by propounding the Example of his own Singular Faith and Patience in the greatest Difficulties and Extremities, encourageth the Pious to wait on God, to rejoice in him, and to expect Deliverance from their Calamities, and Revenge on their Enemies in due time. The whole was defigned to be a Support and Solace to the Faithful in the time of their Captivity. Zephaniah, who was employed in the Prophetick Office in King Josias's time, (as we read, v. 1.) a little after the Captivity of the Ten Tribes, and before that of Judah, (so that he was Contemporary with Jeremiab) freely and plainly tells the Jews what it was that incensed God's Wrath against them, viz. their Contempt of his Service, their Apostacy, their Treachery, their Idolatry, their Violence and Rapine, and other egregious Enormities which were observable in them and their Princes. Such high Provocations as these rendred their Destruction terrible, universal, unavoidable. And then (as most of the Prophets are wont) he mingles Exhortations to Repentance as the only Proper Concern in these Circumstances. He adds: very severe Comminations against their Enemies, and presageth their Downfal. He likewise com-

forts the Godly with Promises of the certain Restoration of the Church, of a Release from all their former Pressures and Grievances, of a Cessation from all their Fears, of the Continuance of the Divine Presence and Blessing. So that this short Prophecy contains in it all the Others, and may justly be faid to be an Abridgment of them. Haggai prophesied after the Return from the Captivity in Babylon, in the second Year of Darius King

of the Holy Scriptures. King of Persia, sharply reproving the Jews for their neglecting the Rebuilding the Temple, and vigo-

roully exciting them to that Work both by Threatnings and Promises, but chiefly by the latter, assuring them of the Divine Blessing and Assistance in fo religious and worthy an Enterprize, and foretelling them of the Messias's Coming, and of the Glory of this Second Temple, which should far exceed that of the first, even in this respect, that the Messias himself should honour this Temple with his Presence. Zechariah enter'd on the Prophetick Office at the

same time with Haggai, some time after the Release from the Captivity, and he was sent to the Jews on the same Message, i. e. to check them for their Backwardness in creeting the Temple, and restoring the Divine Worship, but especially for the Diforder of their Lives and Manners, which could not but derive a Curse upon them. Therefore he exhorts them to feek the Lord, and to turn from their evil Ways, and thereby to conciliate and obtain the Favour of God. By several Notable Visions and Types he endeavours to confirm their Faith, and establish their Assurance concerning God's Presence with them and Care of them, yea and of his Whole Church to the World's End: and as a Proof and Demonstration of this he intersperseth the most comfortable Promises of the Coming, the Kingdom, the Temple, the Priesthood, the Victory, the Glory of Christ the Branch. Nor doth he forget to affure them of the Ruine of Babylon, which had been their implacable Enemy. And here likewise is foretold the Great Number of Con-

verts to the Christian Faith, the successful Spreading and Propagating of the Gospel, the wonderful

Efficacy of the Holy Spirit in those Days, the Re-

jection

jection of the Unbelieving Jews, the utter Destruction of their City, Temple, and whole Nation by the Romans, for their rejecting and crucifying the Melsias, and other particular things belonging to the times of the Gospel, which none of the Lesser Prophets speak of but this.

Malachi is the last of these Prophets, yea of all the Prophets of that Dispensation. After him ceased Vision and Prophecy in Israel until Christ's appearing, when Zachary, Simeon, Mary, Elizabeth, Anna, were illuminated with the Prophetick Spirit. He prophesied about 300 Years before our Saviour's time, reproving the Jews for their Ungrateful and Wicked Living after their Return from Bubylon: particularly he chargeth them with Rebellion, Sacrilege, Adultery, Profanencis, Infidelity, but especially he reprehends the Priests for being Careless and Scandalous in their Ministry, which one thing was fufficient to give Anthority to others to be Vicious. At the same time he forgets not to take notice of and incourage the Pious Remnant in that corrupted Age, who feared the Lord, and thought upon his Name, whose Godly Converse and Associating with one another in that debauched time, he allures them were registred in a Book of Remembrance By God himfelf. This Prophet, who had pointed before at the Messias to be exhibited, (for he expresly faith, He shall suddenly come to his Temple) now shuts up his Prophecy, and indeed all the Prophecies of the Old Testament, with an Exhortation to remember the Law, i. e. to live according to its holy Rules and Injunctions, and with a Promise of the Coming of the Lord, who was to be usher'd in by Elijab the Propliet, i. e. by John the Baptist, who came in the Spirit and Potter of Elias, Luke 1. 17. And fo this Close of the Old Testament refers to the New, to which I now hasten. CHAP:

## CHAP. X.

An Account of the Writings of the Four Evangelists:
the peculiar Time, Order, Stile, Design of their Gospels. The Acts of the Apoltles shew'd to be an
incomparable History of the Primitive Church. The
Epistles of St. Paul particularly delineated. He is
proved to be the Author of the Epistle to the Hebrews.
In Enquiry into the Nature of this Apostle's Stile
and manner of Writing. The excellent Matter and
Design of the Epistles of St. James, St. Peter, St.
John, St. Jude. An Historical Scries or Order is
not observed in the Book of the Revelation.

TEXT follow the Sacred Books of the New Testament, the Evangelical Novels, the New Laws of Christianity, the True Authenticks, which present us with the actual Discoveries of the Glorious Light of the Gospel, and of the Blessed Author of it. These were writ in Greek for the same Reason that Joseph the Jew chose to write his books not in his own Language, but in this, betaile (as he faith himself in his Preface to the Jewish War) he would have them read and understood by Greeks and Romans, and all Persons. So Elian was a Roman, yet writ his Books of Animals, and Various History, &c. in Greek, because this was the Universal Language at that time. These Writings of the New Testament are either Histories or Epiftles. The Histories are the Four Gospels, and the Alls of the Apostles. As for the former, the Writings of the Four Evangelists, there were none of them extant whilest Christ was on Earth, for till his being taken up to Heaven, (which was the 416 The Excellency and Perfection

of the Holy Scriptures. St. Mark and St. Luke had what they wrote from

the relation of other's. Particularly St. Mark, who

was St. Peter's Gompanion, composed his Gospel

by his Order and Direction, and with his especial

Approbation, saith Eusebius. Again, it is to be

observed that the every Evangelist relates nothing

but the Truth, yet no one of them relates the

Whole Truth conferning Christ's Life and Actions.

Confirmation of all he had before done and fuffer'd) they could not make the Evangelical Histo. ry perfect. But afterwards some of the Apostles and Disciples resolving, according to their Master's ! Order, to go and preach in foreign Regions, and to disperse the Christian Religion over all the World, put forth the History of the Gospel in Writing before they went about this great Work St. Matthew was the first Inspired Person that com. mitted the Evangelical Transactions to Writing, which he did about eight Years after Christ's Passion, A. D. 42. He alone, of all the Evangelists, fay St. Jerom, JEusebius, St. Augustine, 5 Chry fostom, and most of the Antient Writers of the Church, wrote his Gospel first in Hebrew: which partly appears from this, that some of the Hebrew Words are explained by the Person who translated it into Greek; who it is probable was St. Matthew himself, as the Antients generally agree; and so the Hebrew and Greek Copies are both of them the Originals. Then St. Mark and St. Luke writ their Gospels, the one about ten (tho others say twenty) the other about twenty (some say thirty) Years after our Saviour's Death; and there are some that invert the Order, and give the Priority to St. Luke But all agree that St. John was the last of the Evangelists, and wrote towards the latter end of the first Century. But as for the Punctual Time when the Evangelists put forth the Gospels, it is doubte ful; and I do not find any certain ground whereon we may fix a satisfactory resolution of the Doubli,

This may be observ'd that St. Maither and St. . John were Eye-witnelles of what they wrote: Bul

Eccl. Hills his

Tho the Substance of the Gospel be contain'd in every one of these Writers, yet some Particulars are found in one that do not occur in another: which makes it necessary to consult them all, and to compare them together. As for St. Matthew and St. Mark, we may take notice that they do not always observe the Order of Time, and the true Sofies of the Matter: especially the former of these is not curious in this particular. But as for the other two Evangelists, they are very punctual, and inviolably observe the Order of things as they happen'd, excepting only that Parenthesis (for such it in Luke 3. 19, 20. concerning Herod. Of all the Evangelists St. Luke is the fullest, and gives the compleatest, most circumstantial and orderly Relation of things: which he himself takes notice of hi his Preface to his Gospel, in those Words to Theophilus, It seemed good to me, having had perfect Understanding of all things, from the very first, to mile unto thee in order. And yet, though his Gohelbe ample, and more methodical in the Narrathe or History than the rest, yet he is but brief in felating things that our Saviour did till the last Year of his Preaching, St. Matthew having been full in them: and in some other things he hath need of a Supply Hom the rest of the Evangelists, and more especially from St. John, whose Gospel from the Beginning of the 14th Chapter to the End

Acts to 8. Prolog. Gali ante Kyang. De Conf. Evang. 1. 1. Homil. in Matth.

the

End of the 17th) contains those Excellent Discourses of our Saviour before his Passion, which were wholly omitted by the other Evangelists. Befides that, this Evangelist, in the Entrance into his Gospel, is more Sublime and Soaring than the rest, (and for that Reason is represented by an Eagle) afferting the Divinity of Christ against the bold Hereticks of that time, who openly confronted that Doctrine. And in other Places of his Writings he hath a Peculiar Strain and Excellency, which 'Luther expresses thus, after his plain way, Every Word in John weigheth two Tuns. Concerning

the Evangelists I may note this, that though they do not all of them fet down the very individual Words that Christ or others spake, (for we see that fometimes one represents them in Terms different from the rest) yet those that do not so, deliver always the Sense of what was said; and even that was dictated by the Holy Spirit, which is sufficient. And concerning St. John particularly I remark this, that seeing he was the last of all the Evange, lists, i.e. he wrote his Gospel last, it is rational upon that Account to interpret the other Evangelifts by him, namely, where any Doubt or Controverfy arises: for he having perused the other Evangelists, and observ'd what Exceptions unbelieving Men had made against any Passages in their Writings, it is not to be doubted but that he expresses himself with greater Plainness and Perspicuity where those Matters are concern'd. This the intelligent and observant Reader will find to be true if he consults the respective Places.

It is endless to give a Particular and Distinct Survey of every one of the Evangelists Writings. This

only can be said here, (in pursuance of our grand Undertaking) that these Books are the Choicest History that ever were committed to Writing, because they contain the Birth, the Life, the Altions, the Dolfrine, the Miracles, the Sufferings, the Death, the Resurrection, the Ascension of our Lord JESUS Christ, our most Compassionate Saviour and Redeemer: All of which are the most Stupendous and Amazing, as well as the most Necessary Matters to be known in the whole World. If this brief and summary Account of the Gospels be not sufficient to recommend them to our Studies and Meditations, and to beget in us the utmost Esteem of them, nothing more largely said will ever be able to do it.

To the Historical Part of the New Testament belong the Aets of the Apostles, wherein there is an Account given of what all the Apostles were conorn'd in, viz. their choosing Matthias into Judas's mom, their Meeting together on the Day of Pentwost, at which time they were all inspired by the Holy Ghost (according to Christ's Promise) visibly descending upon them, their Determinations in the Council held at Jerusalem, with their Letters which they fent to the Churches abroad, and several other things in which the Apostles were jointly interested. This Book contains also the History of the first Founding of the Christian Church, of its happy Progress and Success, especially among the Gentiles, of the Opposition and Persecution it encountred with, of the Undaunted Courage of the Apostles,

of the Course of their Ministry, of their Disputa-

tions, Conferences, Apologies, Prayers, Sermons, Wor-

ship, Discipline, Church-Government, Miracles. Here

we are informed what were the Usages of the first Apostolical Ages: In a word, here we may find

Coll. Menfal.

420 The Excellency and Perfection

the Primitive Church and Religion. All which are plain Evidences of the fingular Ufefulness, Worth and Excellency of this Book. But it is chiefly consined to the Acts and Atchievements of those most Eminent Apostles St. Peter and St. Paul: and especially and most largely here are related the Conversion, Travels, Preaching, and Sufferings of the latter of these; for St. Luke being St. Paul's Companion all along, and well acquainted with whatever he did, and whatsoever happen'd to him, gives us the fullest Narrative of this Apostle. The whole Book is a History of about forty Years, namely, from Christ's Ascension: to, the second Year of St. Paul's Imprisonment at Rome.

The New Testament consists likewise of several Epistles of the Apostles, which are Pious Discourses, occasionally written more fully to explain and apply the Holy Doctrine which they had delivered, to confute some growing Errors, to compose Discourses and Schisms, to reform Abuses and Corruptions, to stir up the Christians to Holiness, and to incourage them against Persecutions. For the Apostles having converted several Nations to the Faith, when they could not visit them in Persen, wrote to them, and so supplied their Presence by these Epistles.

To begin with St. Paul's Epifles, they were weltten either to Whole Churches, viz. of Believing Gentiles, (i. e. the greatest Part of them were such,
the some of Jewish Race might be mix'd among
them) as the Epistles to the Romans, Corinthians,
Galatians, Ephesians, Philippians, Colossians, Thessalonians; or of Believing Jews wholly, as the Epistle
to the Hebrews: Or they were written to Particular
Persons, as the Epistles to Timothy, Titus, Philemon.

The Epistle to the Romans is made up of several Profound Discourses on such Subjects as these, the Prerogatives of the Jews, their Rejection notwithstanding those Prerogatives, the Wonderful Dispensation of God towards the Gentiles, the Nature of the Law, Justification by Faith alone, Election and Free Grace, the Conflict between the Flesh and Spirit, Christian Liberty, Scandal, the Use of Indifferent Things, &c. But the chief thing which he designs in this Epistle, is to shew, that neither the Gentiles by the Law of Nature, nor the Jews by that of Moses, could attain to Righteousness and Justification, and consequently Salvation; but that these are to be obtained only by Faith in Christ Jefus, for whose Merits alone we are accounted righteous in the Sight of God. And then, to shew that this Faith is not separated from Good Works, he addeth Exhortations to the Practice of Holiness, Obedience of Life, and a Religious Conversation. So that this Epistle is both Doctrinal and Practical, it directs us in our Notions and in our Manners. It decides some of the greatest Controversies, and withal it informs us about the most indifpensable Offices of Christianity.

The next Epistles are to the Church of Corinth, the chief City of Peloponnefus, which is now call'd the Morea. And Cenebrea (which you read of, Rom. 16. 1. Asts 19. 18.) was the Station of Ships for this maritime City, but was a distinct Town from it. To the Converted Inhabitants of this great Metropolis, (famed for its Wealth, and therefore sirnamed the Rich, as Thucydides saith) year to all the Saints in Achaia the Apostle here writes. His first Epistle to them is against the Un-

Еез

found

found Perswasions and Vicious Practices which he observ'd among them at that time. His Design was to reform them as to their Schisms and Dissenfions, their Idolatrous Communion, their Unseemly Habits, their Confusions and Disorders in their Assemblies, their Prophaning the Lord's Supper, their Toleration of Incest, and the like scandalous Behaviour. Besides, there are other considerable Matters which he treats of, as Marriage, Divorce, Virginity, eating of Meats facrificed to Idols Christian Liberty, going to Law before Heathens, Church-Discipline, Ministers Maintenance, Spiritual Gifts, especially the Gift of Prophesying, &c. Some particular Cases concerning which are refolved with great Plainness and Dexterity, and may be ferviceable to determine our Judgments in all Cases of the like Nature. He also admirably descants on the Nature and Necessity of Charity, and he by multiplied Arguments asserts the Doctrine of the Resurrection.

When the Corinthians had received this First Epifile, and as foon as the Apostle was informed by Titus what Reformation it had wrought in them, he writ a Second to them, in Defence of his Ministry and Apostleship, against some that labour'd to bring him into Contempt among them. He threatens Offenders, he encourages the Obedient, he animates the Faint-hearted, he confounds his Antagonists, and that by a new way of Argument, viz. by boasting of his Sufferings, and giving a full Inventory of them. He displays his Calamities, he blazons his Crosses; and Victories and Triumphs do not more elevate others than these do · him. He excellently discovers the hypocritical Pretences of False Prophets, he vindicates his own Person and Authority, he answers the Calumnies

and Aspersions of Erroneous Teachers, he clears himself from the Imputation of Levity, Pride, Vain-Glory, Severity, and other things laid to his Charge: He afferts the Truth of his Doctrine, and the Laudableness of his Actions, and exhorts to all Holiness and Righteousness of Life. But the greatest Part of this Epistle is Apologetical: whence we learn, that it is not unseemly or unchristian to enlarge on one's own Actions and Sufferings when there is a necessary Occasion.

The Epifle to the Galatians (i.e. the Christian Brethren in Galatia, a Region in the Lesser Asia, call'd also Gallo-Græcia, because it was of old inhabited by Gauls and Greeks) is directed against the False Apostles among them, who mingled the Law with the Gospel, Legal Works with Faith, and made the former necessary to Justification. Whereupon he again asserts the Doctrine of Justification by Faith; so that this Part of the Epistle is a brief Summary of the Epiftle to the Romans. He proves, . that these Gentile Converts need not become Proselytes of the Jews, nor observe the Law of Circumcision, or any other Mosaick Rite. But he tells them the right Use of Circumcision and of the Law, and bids them stand fast in the Evangelical Liberty, and be careful that they do not abuse it, but walk in Love and Meekness, Humility, Modefty, and Charity, which are the Great and Noble Vertues that are to shine in the Lives of Christians. It is easily observable that the Apostle is more Warm and Vehement in this Epistle than in any of his others; the Reason of which is, because he saw his Galatians so greatly endanger'd by their listning to the perverse Reasonings of the Gnosticks (as some think) or other Judaizing Teachers that were crept in among them, and were perswading them Ee 4

and

of the Eduly Scriptures. to imbrace another Gospel, to disown and reject the eft, so this) is the Smoothest and Sweetest, the Principles which he had taught them, and to some most Endearing and Pathetick of all St. Paul's Epioff from Christianity to Judgism. This kindles a files, and is fullest of Paternal Assection. He here holy Indignation in his Breast, and makes him with likewise takes notice of and extols their Profician unwonted Keenness and Severity cry out against ency in the Gospel, and then labours to consirm them, and complain of their gross Fally, yea their them in it: he exhorts them to Increase and Persewilful fuffering themselves to be bewitched and infavere in the Christian Faith, to bear their Persecutions with Patience and Constancy of Mind, to be His Epistle to the Ephesians, i. e. the faithful Humble and Peaceable, and to be Loving to one Christians of Ephesus the Head-City of Asia the another. He cautions them against Seducers and Less, (which was written from Rome when he was False Teachers, who bad them rely on the Rightea Prisoner there) divinely sets forth the Great and usiness of the Law; and on the contrary assures them. Aftonishing Mystery of our Redemption and Rethat their only Trust and Dependance ought to be conciliation, the Freeness and Riches of Grace in on the Righteousness which is of God by Faith in Christ Christ Jesus, the Admirable Benefits and Privileges Jesus. He earnestly beseecheth them to be Exemof the Gospel, the Marvelous Dispensation of Ged plary in their Conversations, and to live in the to the Gentiles in revealing Christ to them, the Fractice of all Christian Duties. He lovingly and Excellency and Dignity of his Apostolick Charge. passionately Salutes them, and Prays for them: he He adds most Pathetick Exhortations to Constancy in the Faith, notwithstanding the Calumnies of False Teachers, and the Peril of the Cross. He Oil. propounds the most Cogent Motives to Love and Unity: he urgeth the conscientious Performance of all the Duties of Religion, and gives Particular

Rules and Precepts for the discharging of every Christian Office; so admirable, so Entire, so Comprehensive is this Part of the Apostle's Writings. The Epistle to the Philippians (i. e. the Christians of Philippi, a City of Macedonia, and a Roman Colony) was writ also when the Apostle was imprifoned at Rome: and in it he thanks them for their Liberality towards him in his Bonds, and for their fending Epaphroditus (their Minister) with a Supply of Money to him. This Epistle is chiefly writ to them in return to this seasonable Kindness of

theirs, and (as that to the Galatians was the Sharp-

tuated by those Impostors.

is every where Obliging and Affectionate; in fum, the whole Epistle is written with a Pen dipp'd in In the Epistle to the Colossians (i. c. the People of Colosse, a City in Phrygia, not far from Laodieea and Hierapolis, in the Proconfular Asia, who were converted by the Preaching of Epaphras, whom St. Paul had fent to them, but now is his Fellow-Prisoner at Rome) the chief Design of the Apostle is to Reduce those that were led away by False Teachers, whether Jews or Philosophers. The

ed the Simplicity and Purity of the Gospel. Wherefore the Apostle endeavours to establish them in the true Evangelical Doctrine, in opposition to Judaism and the Vain Deceits of Philosophy. He is earnest

former introduced the Mosaick Ceremonies and

Observations; the latter brought in Unsound No-

tions and Speculations, and both of them pervert-

nest with them to adhere only to Christianity, and to persevere in the Practice of all those Excellent Precepts that belong to it. And accordingly first he mentions some General, and then some Particular Graces and Duties. This Epistle is of the same Tenour, Subject, and even the same Expression generally with that to the Ephesians: for the Apostle, about the same time that he wrote to the Ephesians did so likewise to the Colossians, whilest the very same things were still fresh in his Memory: whence it is that he uses the same Words often to both.

The first Epistle to the Thessalonians (or rather the Thessalonicians, for they were Inhabitants of Thessalonica, the chief City of Macedony, and converted by St. Paul, Silas, and Timothy) was writ on the Occasion of the Persecutions which those. Christians felt from the Jews: and in it the Apostle, after he had expressed his Joy for their Conversion and Sincerity of Faith, exhorts them to Constancy and Perseverance in his Doctrine, and not to be discouraged by their Sufferings, but to continue in the Practice of Holiness as well as in the Profession of the Gospel. To encourage them to which he reminds them of his Boldness, Faithfulness, Sincerity, Affectionateness in preaching the Gospel to them, and of his Present Care and Concernedness for them. He gives several Particular Precepts of Charity and Piety, and warns them of Christ's Second Coming, of which he adds a very Lively Description.

In his Second Epifle he corrects some Misinterpretations which had been made by them of what he had said in the first: For it seems they mistook the Apostle concerning the Coming of Christ, as if it were presently to happen, whereas (as he acquaints them) there must first be a Visible Deparnure and Declension from the Faith; and the Man of Sin (whom he briefly delineates) must appear in the World before that Day cometh. He heartens and encourages them under their Sufferings, and admonisheth them to continue in their Duty, from the Consideration of the Certainty of Christ's Appearing: he prays most ardently and affectionately for them, and interchangeably craveth their Prayers for him. These are the Choice and Admirable Contents of these Epistles.

In the first Epistle to Timothy there are many Remarkable things treated of, namely, the Right Use of the Law, Praying for all Mankind, Womens modest Apparel, their Silence in the Churches, the Apostacy of the latter times, the Duty of Servants, the Gain of Godliness, the Mischief of Covetousness, besides several other Heads that are only glanced at. But the main thing infifted and enlarged upon is Timothy's Duty as he was a Bishop, where we have an Excellent and Compleat Character of a Faithful Ruler or Overseer of the Church. Here he is directed how to behave himself in that High Calling, how to discharge all the Offices of that Sacred Function. Upon which Account this Epistle may justly be stiled a Pastoral Letter, because it doth more immediately concern those Persons who have the Charge of Christ's Flock, and have the Honour to be Guides and Instructers of Souls. Here they may be taught all the Parts of their Ministerial Employment, here they may furnish themselves with Exact Rules of their Duty. This is the best Rubrick and Canon for this Purpose. Nor are there wanting particular Instructions concerning the Deacons Office, and concerning Elders.

culiar

And such is the Second Episte, wherein likewise are farther Directions about the Office and Behaviour of an Evangelical Bishop: and he is exhorted to all Vigilancy, Patience, Prudence, Faithfulness, Diligence and Constancy in the Ministerial Function, notwithstanding the Labours and Afflictions which accompany it, notwithstanding the Discouragements, Hardships and Sufferings which attend the conscientious Discharge of it. Besides many Other Things of great Moment there is inserted a Prophecy concerning the Impious Seducers that should come in the last Days, with a particular Description of them.

The Epistle to Titus is of the same Nature with those former ones, (especially the first to Timothy) wherein he gives Directions how he ought to demean himself as a true Evangelical Bishop or Paflor, inserting the Lively Pourtraiture of such an Officer in the Church. For which Reason it is more peculiarly fitted for the Use of those who are invested with that High Character in the Ministry of the Church. But there are also Instructions belonging to those of another Rank, and to all Christians in general; for they are enjoined to be subject to Principalities and Powers, to live foberly, rightroufly and godlily, to maintain good Works, to avoid foolish Questions and Controversies, and (in brief) to behave themselves in their several Stations as it becometh the Followers of Christ: So full, so large, fo pregnant is this Short Epistle.

The Epistle to Philemon was written by the Apositle from Rome when he was in Prison, upon this particular Occasion; Philemon, one of St. Paul's Converts, and afterwards a Fellow-Labourer with him in the Gospel, had a Servant who defrauded him, and then ran away from him, and coming to

Rome

Rome when St. Paul was Prisoner there, was converted by him; whereupon he fends him back again to his Master with this Epistle, wherein he desires Philemon to forgive his sugitive Servant, and to be reconciled to him, and to receive him again into his Service and Favour, and to look upon him as a Christian Brother rather than a Servant. This the Apostle pursues with Expressions of extraordinary Love and Compassion towards Onesimus, whom he had begotten in his Bonds, and with great Tenderness and Affection to Philemon, who was also his Son in the Lord; and in his Behaviour towards both he shews the Authority and Bowels of a Spiritual Father.

The Epistle to the Hebrews (i. e. to those Converted lews whom St. Paul had known in Judea and Syria, or who were dispersed in other Countries, and at that time being perfecuted by the Unbelieving Jews, began to fall off from the Christian Faith, and the Assemblies of the Faithful) was written to establish them in Christianity, to affert the Preheminence of Christ above Moses, and the Preference of the New Testament to the Old; to flew that the Priesthood of Christ was presigured by that of Melchisedec, and that it far furpassed the Aaronical or Levitical Priesthood; to ovince the Excellency of the Evangelical Dispenfation above that of the Law; to prove that the Mosaick Rites and Ceremonies were abolished, being all accomplished in Jesus our High Priest, especially that all the Legal Sacrifices were fulfill'd in his once offering up himself upon the Cross for us, and that this Offering was Satisfactory unto God the Father for the Sins of the World. This is managed with very strong Reasoning, with a very singular and close Application, and with such a pe-

culiar Light and Spirit as this Divine Penman was Master of. This I may truly say, that this Part of the Epistle to the Hebrews is the most illustrious Confutation of the Socinian Heresy that is in the whole New Testament. For here is plainly and fully afferted the Efficacy of Christ's offering himfelf as a Sacrifice on the Crofs for the expiating the Sins of Mankind. In fundry Particulars this is most demonstratively proved, that a Compleat and Full Satisfaction was made unto God by his Death; which for ever confounds that impious and blasphemous Doctrine of Socious and his Followers, 'that the Sufferings of Christ had no more Virtue and Efficacy in them than the Sufferings of any mere Man whatsoever. After the Apostle had thus maintain'd the transcendent Worth and Virtue of our Saviour's Priesthood, and thence undeniably in ferr'd that the Gospel is a most Admirable and Excellent Institution, he exhorts them to a constant Profession of it without wavering, and to a Holy Life and Conversation sutable to so excellent Doctrine: he with great Industry endeavours to convince them of the Danger of Apostacy, he confirms them in the Christian Doctrine amidst all the Persecutions and Difficulties they labour'd under And lastly, he is solicitous to prevent their revolting by fetting before them the most Eminent Examples of Faith and Patience. These are the Momentous Themes which are observable in this Epistle.

I know some have doubted whether this Incomparable Epistle be St. Paul's, and others have abfolutely

Quicquid passus est Christus, nullam majorem vim per fe habere porest quam si quiliber purus homo idem passus est. Soein. de Servar, Par. 3. c. 4.

solutely denied that it is his, yet still allowing that it was written by some Inspired Person, and belongs to the Canon of Holy Scripture. The Learned Grotius endeavours to prove that St. Luke wrote it. But for my Part I have no Inclination to believe that any other Person than St. Paul penn'd this Epistle: for this is most clear from that one Place, 2Pet. 3. 16. Even as our beloved Brother Paul also. according to the Wisdom given unto him, hath written unto you. St. Peter here speaks to the Jews, for to them this Epistle as well as the former was written, as appears from the Title of it, ' To the Strangers scatter'd throughout Pontus, Galatia, Cappadocia, Asia, and Bithynia, i. e. the Jews dispersed up and down the World, who by St. James are call'd the welve Tribes which are scatter'd abroad. These, tho they neither lived in Palestine, nor used the Hebrew Tongue, but lived among the Greeks, and spoke that Language generally, and used the Greek Bible, viz. the Translation of the Septuagint, in their Synagogues, and were commonly known by the Name of Hellenists, and consequently were not Hebrews or Jews in the strictest and properest Sense, yet because they were of Jewish Parentage, and professed, or had once professed the Jewish Religion, they were still call'd Jews or Hebrews, and

accordingly have that Denomination here. So that St. Paul here, and St. Peter and St. James write their Epistles to the same Persons, that is, to the Converted Jews that were dispersed abroad, especially in Greece : and, which is the Argument I make use of at present, St. Peter particularly takes notice of St. Paul's Writing to these Dispersed Jews. But how doth it appear that he writ

<sup>&#</sup>x27; Chap. 1. v. 1. 2 Jam. 1. 1.

to them? Thus all the Epistles of this Apostle which we have mentioned before (excepting this which we are now speaking of) were written ein ther to the Churches of believing Gentiles, or to some Particular Persons (as liath been noted already); whence it follows, that feeing he wrote to the fem or Hebrews, (as St. Peter testifies) he was the Aus thor of this remaining Epistle which is inscribed to them. We are certain that St. Paul writ to the Jews, because St. Peter tells us so, that is, he tells us that St. Paul wrote to those to whom be wrote; but St. Peter wrote to the Jens or Hebrews both his Epistles, therefore St. Paul wrote to them like. wife: and this Epistle to the Hebrows which we now have, must be that very Epistle, because there is no other of his to them belides it. Wherefore it is an undeniable Consequence that the Episters the Hebrews was writ by St. Paul, and by none elles which was the thing to be proved. Again, I might further add that what the Apostle Peter faith con cerning St. Paul's Epifiles, (or concerning the Matters contain'd in them, for evois may refer rather to περί τέπων than to ev παίσταις παίς Επισολοίδ) viz. that ' there are in them some things hard to be inderstood, doth agree well to the Sublime Matter of the Epistle to the Hebrews, wherein so many Prophecies, Types, Allegories and Mysteries, are treated of and applied: fo that it may probably be inferred hence, that this Epistle is referr'd to in particular, and confequently that St. Paul was the Author of it. To corrobate this, we may subjoin the unanimous Testimony of the Greek Fathers, who gene rally

rally attribute this Epistle to St. Paul: With whom agree the Schoolmen; and all the Writers of the Church of Rome, but Erasmus and Cajetan, and Ludovicus Vives, affert the same. Most of the Lutherans are of this Opinion, though herein they diffent from their Master Luther; and the Reformed Churches (as distinct from the Lutherans) are of the same Perswasion, though Calvin be of another Mind; which shews that there are very Cogent Reasons for this Opinion, otherwise these Parties would not dissent from their Masters. It may be added, that Our English Church in the Title calls it the Epistle of Paul the Apostle to the Hebrews. As for the Reason of the Omission of his Name in the Beginning of this Epistle, (which is not to be observed in his Others) perhaps it was (as Clement of Alexandria, Athanasius, Chrysostom, Theophilast, conjecture) because his Name was odious to most of the Jews, he having been once a Jew, but afterwards abandon'd that Religion; wherefore he advisedly left out his Name that it might not prejudice what he writ, and that the Epistle might not be thrown away for the Author's fake. But whether this was the Reason why his Name is not inferted (as in the rest of his Epistles) I am not able to determine: only I am sufficiently convinced, from what St. Peter faith, that this Epistle was writ by St. Paul; that single Testimony is Proof enough.

Here I might take occasion (having hitherto given you a brief Account of the Excellent Matter of this Apostle's Writings, which are so great a Part of the New Testament) to speak something concerning his Stile, or rather to add to what I have already said of it in another Place, under this Propolition, There are no Solacisms in the Holy Writings. This I am the more willing to do, because some

have

<sup>2</sup> Pet. 3. 16. 2 Justin Martyr, Clem. Alexandr. Origen, Eufebius, Concil. Nic. 1. Athanafius, Cyril of Jerus. Greg, Nyff. & Naz. Bafit, Egiphanius, Chrysoft. Cyfif of Alexand. Theodorer.

stency of Sense: which Imputation would argue a great Desect and Impersection in Scripture, and therefore I am obliged to take notice of it. It is true, there are several things which render his Stile somewhat dark and perplexed in sundry Places. He brings in Objections sometimes, but doth not intimate that the Words are spoken in that way, as in Rom. 3. 5, 6, 7. and other Places; which makes the Sense difficult to those that do not carefully examine the Context. In the 4th Chapter of that Epistle, ver. 1. a Negative is left out, viz. the Answer to the preceding Question, which

that way, as in Rom. 3. 5, 6, 7. and other Places; which makes the Sense difficult to those that do not carefully examine the Context. In the 4th Chapter of that Epistle, ver. 1. a Negative is left out, viz. the Answer to the preceding Question, which should have been thus; No; he bath not found. And in ver. 8. the Note of Parenthesis is omitted, as'tis in several other Places. Further, 'tis observable, that the Apostle hath sometimes references to Words and Things which he had mention'd before, but which he feem'd to have quite laid aside in his Discourse. Thus he turns back again in 2 Cor. 3. 17. and refers to what was said before in ver. 6. for those Words in the latter Place [The Lord is that Spirit] refer to the former one, where he speaks of the Spirit, i. e. the Gospel and Spiritual Dispensation, in contradistinction to the Letter, i. e. the Dispensation of the Law. The Words then I interpret thus, The Lord Christ is that Spirit, he is the Blessed Author and Instituter of that Evangelical and Spiritual Oeconomy which we are now under, and which brings true Liberty with it, as he adds. Many Expolitors labour to tack this Text to the immediately foregoing one, but to little purpose: for they thereby make the Senie harsh and distorted, there being nothing there to

which this Passage refers. But by reducing these

Words

Gospel, and gives Life and Spirit with this Evanges lical Administration. Again, it is true St. Paul's Stile is very full and running over sometimes: his Pen is frequently in a Career, and is not easily stopped. All that he faith from the first Verse of the first Chapter of the Epistle to the Ephesians till you come to the fifteenth Verse, is but one single Period. And in some other Places he spins out his Subject into a Thread of almost the same Length. From this Fulness of Matter it proceeds that he makes so mahy Excursions in his Writings, which seem sometimes to Persons who take no notice of his sudden Transitions, to be very Incoherent. Thus when he was proving the Dignity of Christ's Priesthood, he undertakes to shew that he was a Priest after the Order of Melchisedec, of whom, saith he, we have

was proving the Dignity of Christ's Priesthood, he undertakes to shew that he was a Priest after the Order of Melchisedec, of whom, saith he, we have many things to say, Heb. 5. 11. but yet he saith nothing of him till the seventh Chapter; the Remainder of the sifth Chapter, and the whole sixth being spent in a long Digression. But you may observe a far longer in his Epistle to the Romans, chap. 3. v. 1, 2. What Advantage hath the Jew, or shiefly because that unto them were committed the Orabies of God. Where you see he begins to reckon therefore whotever, as I conceive, should not be translated chiefly, but first and yet here he names that One of them, for an Objection (which he undertakes to answer and enlarge upon) takes him off

The Excellency and Perfection for many Chapters together, and he returns not again till ch. 9. v. 4. where he enumerates the rest of the Privileges. And several other Instances might be assigned of his launching out into Discourses which seem to be foreign to his purpose, which render his Stile somewhat abrupt, and his Sense intricate. He had begun a Comparison, Rom. 5. 12. As by one Man Sin entred, &c. but then he strikes in with a Parenthesis in the next Verse, which hinders him from finishing what he began till the 18th Verse, Even so by the Righteousness of one, &c. Nay rather, he forsakes the Comparison, and riseth above it, finding the Grace in Christ Jesus rise higher than the Condemnation that came by Adam: Whereas he began with an [as], and should have followed it with a [fo], he turns this into a [much more] v. 15, 17. and then at last comes about to compleat the Comparison as he had first begun it; v. 18. There is a plain Parenthesis from the is Verse to the 7th in the first Chapter to the Romans. There is another somewhat longer in 1 Cor. 11. which begins at v. 23. and lasts to the 33d. So in the Epittle to the Ephefians, ch. 3. when he had said, For this Cause I Paul the Prisoner of Jesus Christ for you Gentiles, v. 1. he presently runs into a Parenthesis, which continues till the 14th Verse, where he leaves off his Digression and proceeds, For this Cause, &c. Thus the Redundancy of his Matter and Sense makes him interrupt himself, and lard his Discourse with frequent Digressions, and divert his Reader oftentimes from the present Subject he is upon. But notwithstanding this, no Man that is Master of any Eloquence himself, or understands the Laws of it in others, can fasten any such thing as, Illiterate, Blunt, Unfashion'd Language upon the Apostle.

It is true he terms himself rude in Speech, 2 Cor. 11.6. whence ' One gathers that he was but a Bad Speaker; for we cannot think, faith he, that he told a Lie out of Humility. But I reply, we cannot only think, but we must know, that the Apostle debaseth himself here out of Christian Modesty, as when he stiles himself the least of the Apostles, 1 Cor. 15.9. yea, less than the least of all Saints, Eph. 3.8. Will any one say that he tells a Lie here, though he was the Greatest Apostle, and one of the Greatest of Saints? Besides, he might not unjustly stile himself rude in Speech in this respect, that he so frequently treats of Difficult and Abstruse Points, which are not easily express'd, but are and must be clothed in such Language as is harsh, uncouth, and unusual When he discourses of Predestination, of Faith, of Justification, of the Last Times, of the Son of Perdition, of the Day of Vengeance on the Enemies of Christianity, of the Time of the Coming of our Lord, (yea St. Peter tells us in that in all bis Epistles there are some things hard to be understood) it is no wonder that his Speech is obscure, and that he seems to be iδιώτης τῷ λόγω. The Mysterious and Profound Subjects which he infifts upon, and which cannot be spoken out plainly, cause him to be thought such. His Rudeness of Speech may be understood as the Foolishness of Preaching, i.e. that it seemed such to some Persons, though it was not really, so in it self. But though the Great Apostle was pleas'd to diminish himself, and to speak meanly of his way of Writing and Discourse, and tho his Adversaries or Pretended Friends were wont to vilify his manner of Speaking, yet let not us conceal or difguise his Excellent Gift of expressing Ff 3 him-

Mr. Boyle of the Stile of Scripture.

number in his writings. He was certainly a Great Ephesians he hints that St. Paul's Writings were Master of Language and Discourse: and indeed wo destitute of Rhetorick, yet at another time (to could reasonably expect no other from his Educant let us know that he faid not this absolutely) he tion, which furnish'd him with all sorts of Learnowns him to be flumen Eloquentia, a Flood, or raing; for as he was born at Tarfus, so it is likely ther a Torrent of True Eloquence. Eusebius, who he was brought up in the same Place, which was was a Good Judg of Eloquence, pronounceth St. then an Academy, and thence fent to Jerusalem, Paul a' most Powerful Spokesman, and one that where he fat at the Feet of Gamalist: so that he was Master both of Heathen and Jewish Learning. It is a Mistake of some Learned Writers of very great Note, that St. Paul's Writings are full of

Solarisms, he being an Hebrew, and understanding little Greek. This, I say, is a Mistake, for he was a Grecian by Birth, for Cilicia was in Greece; and 'we read that the Inhabitants of Tarfus (his Birthplace) did strive to equal the Athenians in the Stry

dy of Good Letters and Humane Learning. We may then reasonably think that St. Paul, the he was an Hebrew by Parentage, was well skill'd in Greek, it being his native Tongue. Therefore a Modern Critick of great Acuteness hath well obj fery'd, that the Greek Tongue was as familiar to him as Hebrew or Syriac. Shall any rational Mac

was admirably skill'd in the whole Parade and Furniture of Words, and could do more this way than the most Celebrated Orators among the Pagans. So that Luther was in the right when he faid, One of St. Paul's Words containeth well three of Tully's Orations. In fine, no Tongue can express the Excellency of his Profound Writings, which not only comprise in them all the Depths and Mysteries of Christianity, and astonish us with their High and Heavenly Matter, but moreover do furnish us with many Elegancies and Embellishments of Oratory, with many Florid and well Composed Periods, and abound every where with a most Winning Eloquence, with the Charms of a most Melting and Affectionate Rhetorick; infomuch that in some of his Epistles his Warmest Blood seems to be the Ink he wrote with, and every Leaf is as it were the very Membrane of his Heart. Besides St. Paul's Epistles, which are fourteen in

then think that he was not able to speak Properly and Grammatically? Nay, shall we not conclude: from his Admirable Writings that he knew how to place his Words, and to speak with a good Grace? all, there are seven others, viz. one of St. James, St. Jerom, who particularly takes notice that St. two of St. Peter, three of St. John, and one of St. Paul's Writings are full of Parentheses, Transitions; Jude; all which (except the two latter of St. John) Digrassions, Concise and Abnupt Sayings, yet act are call'd Catholick or General Epistles, because they knowledgeth that he was a most Astonishing were not directed to Particular Churches in one Speaker, and Thundered as often as he spoke! Place, but to the Dispersed Converts through a Year the on the 3d Chapter of the Epistle to the great Part of the World. Ephe-1 Strabo Geogr. 1, 15. 2 Salmas. de Hellenist. Pars 1. qu. 6. 3 - Quocunq; respexerls sulmina sunt. Hieronym. Apol, pro lib. adv. Joy,

St. Ad Pammach. 5 Havrus er Daskun Suvarwrat @ 16. 767. Eccles. Hift. l. 2. c. 18. Coll. Mens.

St. James's Epistle was written to the Christian Jews that dwelt in other Regions besides Judea, who consisted partly of the Ten Tribes carried captive by Salmanassar King of Assyria, who never, that we read of, return'd again, and partly of the Two Tribes, many of which still remain'd in Exile; wherefore St. James sends this Epistle to the Twelve Tribes scatter'd abroad. The two main things in it. are first concerning the Afflictions and Persecutions which were to be undergone for Christ's sake; where he exhorts them to Patience under those great Trials. Secondly, concerning the Necessity of a Holy Life, where he shews them that Justifying Faith must be known and manifested by Good Works. Belides, many Excellent Caveats and Admonitions are intermingled touching Riches, Covetousness, Hearing the Word, Swearing, Unruliness of the Tongue, Envy, Wrath, Pride, Rash Judging of others, Self-Confidence, Forgetfulness of God's Soveraignty and Providence in the World, and fundry other things of very great Use in the Lives of Christians, especially of those that are in Affiliction and Advertity. Wherefore this Epistle is chiefly calculated for such. St. Peter also (who was the Apostle of the Circumcifion) writes to the Dispersed Jews, (such as were scattered throughout Pontus, Galatia, Cappadocia, Asia, (i. e. the Lesser) and Bithynia, five Provinces of the Roman Empire) those that voluntarily lived among the Gentiles ever fince the Great Dispersion, and were now become Christians, and fared the

his first Epistle, to confirm and strengthen them in the Doctrine of the Gospel, and in the Profession of the Christian Faith which they had hitherto made, and to exhort them to a Greater Proficiency init, and to comfort them in their Persecutions against the Scandal of the Cross; and lastly, to stirthem up to the Exercise of all Christian Graces and Duties, many of which, as Mutual Love, Patience, Watchfulness, Perseverance, Obedience to Magistrates, with the particular Duties of Servants to their Masters, of Husbands and Wives towards one another, of Spiritual Pastors towards their Flock, he most excellently (though briefly) describeth. His Second Epiftle (for it is undoubtedly his, as well as the first, though Hugo Grotius, or he that

- y vive == ovy Qui ipuliy coa what kind soever they were (for 'St. Augustine and

fome others think the Converted Gentiles in seve-

ral Countries are not here excluded) he writeth

publish'd those Posthumous Annotations, labours to offer Arguments to the contrary, which are enervated by Dr. Hammond in his Notes on this Epistle) is of the same Nature with the sirst, exhorting the Believing Jews to a Life worthy of Christians, to add one Vertue to another, and to increase in all the Graces of the Holy Spirit. He afferts the Truth and Authority of the Gospel, he shews the Danger of Backsliding, he warns them against Heretical Teachers and Profane Scoffers that should come in the last Days, of whom he gives a very Lively Character in several remarkable Particulars. worse among the Heathens and Obstinate Jews for He voucheth the Certainty of Christ's Coming to being fo. And perhaps here may be meant those Judgment, and the Conflagration of the World, and

likewise that by Persecution were driven from their Homes in Judea, and therefore are called Strangers, 1 Epift, ch. 1. v. 1. To these distressed Jews, or of De Civ. Dai, 1. 18. c. 28. contr. Faust. 1. 22. c. 89. 2 Eede, what Aquinas, Lyranus, Ribera.

and thence infers the Reasonableness of preparing this, that if they love God and their Brethren, themselves for that last Catastrophe by a blameles and demonstrate this Love by the proper and ge-Life and Conversation. All which is express'd in mine Fruits of it, then they may conclude they most apt and choice Words, and with that Compare Christians indeed; otherwise they are mere cernedness and Zeal which became so Eminent and Grangers to Christianity, and to all Religion, they Apostie. The first Epistle of St. John (which is called Can tholick or General, as being written to all the Chris stian Jews wheresvever they were) is partly direct. ed against Seducers and Impostors (whom he calls Antichrists) risen up in those Days, who subverted the Fundamentals of Religion, but more especially the Deity and Humanity of Christ, as the Simonia ans, Gnosticks, Carpocratians, Cerinthians, Ebionitti, and others mention'd by ' Epiphanius and ' Austin s whence he adviseth the Christians to try the Spirits and not to be too credulous and hasty in imbracing every Doctrine that is offer'd them. He hath obfervable Notices concerning the grand Privilege of Adoption, concerning the Love of the World, concerning the Sin unto Death. But the main De fign of this Epistle is to urge a Godly and Rightes ous Life, to convince those who are called by Christ's Name of the Necessity of their walking answerably to it. Indeed this Apostle was forced (as St. James before was) to write on this Subject, to press Good Works and Outward Righteousness, because some in those Days turned the Grace of God into Licentiousness, making Faith exclude all External Acts and Works of Holiness. Wherefore he offers several Plain Marks and Tokens whereby they may certainly know whether they be Real Christians, truly Religious, and the Children of God. The Sum of all he propounds is this, Script. Eocles. \* BRASEN, sine articulo. Priceus in An-

' In Panario.

2 De Harefib.

deceive themselves, and there is no Truth in them. This the Beloved Disciple and Divine Amorist inolcates with that 'Spirit, Warmth and Earnestmis, which so Weighty a Subject deserves. His second Epistle is written to the Elect Lady and la Children, that is, faith St. ' Jerom, to some Emiment Select Church in Asia, and to all the Christias belonging to it; for Kueia among the Athenians, and Curia with the Romans, are of the same Import with ozukhuola an Assembly. Perhaps Ephesus is meant, saith a Learned Man, which was the Metropolis of Asia, and so may more signally be all'd κύρια. But it is the general Opinion of the Antients and Moderns, that a Person, not a Church, imeant here; and that St. John (the Evangelist, another Presbyter of that Name, as St. Ferom winks) writes to a Vertuous Lady, who was an Well Servant of Christ, a very Godly and Religi-Woman: or it may be her Proper Name was as a Learned Critick hath conjectured: Which may feem the more probable, because the word hath no Article prefix d to it. It was usual with ohr Saviour himself (as the Evangelical Writings inform us) to make his Applications to those of this Sex, to cherish and commend their Vertues. k is particularly recorded, that sof the Chief Women (afterwards call'd Honourable Women) not a

Epist. 11. ad Agerach. Dr. Hammend in loc. Cata-

5 Acts 17. 4. 6 Ver. 12.

ot in loc.

of the Holy Scriptures.

445

few were St. Paul's Proselytes. And to descend lower, we read that St. Jerom took great Pains in instructing the Roman Ladies, and in commending and incouraging their Study of the Holy Scrip. tures. Yea, many of his Writings were directed and dedicated to Noble Women, Widows and Virgins, as Paula, Eustochium, Salvina, Celamia and several others that were Roman Ladies, and of noble Extraction. Such is our Elect here, who is the only Person of that Sex to whom an Inspired Epistle is written. She is commended for her vertuous bringing up her Children, she is exhorted to abide in the Doctrine of Christ, to persevere in the Truth, and to be careful to avoid all Delusions of False Teachers. But chiefly the Apostle beseecheth this Noble Matron to practife the great and indispensable Commandment of Christian Love and Charity.

His third Epiftle was writ to Gaius, a Converted Jew, (or Gentile, as others think, because he hath a Roman Name) a Man of a fair Estate, and who had been very bountiful and hospitable to the Saints. The Defign of the Epistle is to own and commend his Hospitality, especially his seasonable Beneficence and Charity to Strangers, to those that were Exiles for the Cause of Christianity, and to stir him up to continue in the Exercise of the fame Charity and Liberality to the distressed Brethren. Demetrius is propounded as an eminent Example of this, for which and all other Vertues be had the good Report of all Men, yea and of the Truth it felf; that is, as he was spoken well of by every one, so he really deserved it. On the other side, he complains of the Uncharitable, Infolent and Ambitious Diotrephes, a Prating Opposer not only of him and his Doctrine, but of all the true Servants of Jesus.

The General Epistle of Jude or Judas, as we render it in John 14. 22. (it being the same Name with that of the Traitor, for it is no unusual thing for good and bad Men to have the same Names; is in the Old Testament Eliab, Jehu, Hananiah, &c. in the New Testament Simon, John, Ananias, are instances of this). This Epistle, I say, of this Good Apostle with a Bad Man's Name was written to all Christian Churches, or at least to all the Jewis Christians Dispersed; (the same to whom St. James and St. Peter wrote) wherein he exhorts them to contend for the Faith, against those Dreaming Hereticks and Seducers that were at that time crept into the Church, whose Erroneous Tenents and Ungodly Practices he here particularly deciphers, and from the Examples of God's Vengeance on other Great Offenders infers the Certainty of these Mens Ruine. In short, this Epistle bath all the Marks of a true Apostolick Spirit, and sof the same Argument with the second Epistle of St. Peter, and is a kind of Epitome of it: and therefore I need not be very Particular in rehearfing the Contents.

The last Book of the New Testament is the Revelation of St. John the Divine, which Epithet is signally given to him here, because of the Divinity and Sublimity of his Raptures, because he (of all the Apostles) had the greatest Communications of Divine Mysteries. It may be referr'd either to the Historical Books or to the Epistles; to the former, because it is a Prophetick History of the State of the Church from the Apostles times to the end of the World: to the latter, because it is in the form of an Epistle, (after the three sirst Verses, by way of Preface) viz. to the Seven Churches of Asia, at first planted by, and now under the Government

vernment of St. John: and as it begins, so it ends after the usual way of concluding Epistles, 216 Grace of our Lord Jesus Christ be with you all. Ammi

Concerning the precise time when St. John receivth and when he wrote this Revelation, there is form Dispute: but the most probable (if not the most

generally received) Opinion is, that he being but nish'd into Patmos (an Isle in the Archipelago, is tuated about forty Miles from the Continent of Asia) by Domitian, (under whom was the Second

Persecution) this Revelation was deliver'd to him about the middle of the Emperor's Reign, (but 10

several times) and that he committed it to Wil ting about the latter end of it. As to the Visions themselves, I will not here particularly insist upon any of them; only in general it is commonly faid and believed, that the Vision of the Seals sets forth

the State of the Church under the Heathen Perfect. tions, from Nero to the end of Dioclesian's Persecui tion: the Vision of the Trumpets (which follows that) shews the Calamity of the Church by Here-

fies, Schisms and Persecutions afterwards, in the times fucceeding the Pagan Roman Emperors, vic. under Papacy. And then the Vials tell what Vengeance befals the Papal Antichrist, and all the Churches Enemies. So that the Seals, Trumpets and Vials give an Account of the three Grand Per

riods of the Church. There is great Probability of this: but I must add, (and I will offer it to the Reader as a thing necessary to be taken notice of in order to the right understanding of this Book) that the Order of Time and History is not always

observ'd here: things are not related constantly in a certain continued Method and Series, nor are we to understand or take them as written so. A great and prevailing Mistake it hath been to think that the Course and Order of Time are duly and all along observ'd in these Writings. Whereas to a considerate Person it will appear that there is no fach thing, and that the Chapters are not writ and disposed in any Method.

This, because it may be look'd upon and cenfored as a New Notion, I will make good thus; the Day of Judgment is represented and described three or four times in these Visions and Revelati-

ons, as first at the opening of the Sixth Seal, ch. 6. v. 12, to the end: where the Description of the Last Day agrees exactly with others in the New Testament, especially that of our Saviour in

Mat. 24. and therefore to allegorize it, where there is no Occasion for it, is unreasonable. If it be said, that the Disorder of the Sun, Moon and Stars (which is here spoken of) signifies sometimes temporal Judgments, as the Destruction of Babylon,

sa. 13. 10. and of Egypt, Ezek. 32. 7. I answer, that though it doth so, yet these Remarkable Judgments and Devastations were Figures and Representations of the Last and Terrible one, and

were so design'd by Heaven, and therefore this may well be let forth to us by the Holy Ghost in this manner: nay, the darkning of the Sun and Moon, and the like Expressions, are but Metaphorial in those former Instances, but here are Proper, Natural and Real, and therefore ought fo to be understood in this Place. Again, St. John hath

another Revelation of this Great Day, in the End of the 11th Chapter, from ver. 15, to the Close of the Chapter: but especially those plain Words in ver. 18. Thy Wrath is come, and the time of the Dead that

they should be judged, place it beyond all doubt that the Final Judgment of the last Day is here meant. Again, the Seventh Vial mention'd, Rev. 16. 17.

which

which contains the Last Plague, is no other thank the Indignation and Punishment of That Day, as appears from the Prodigies which accompany it. and particularly from what is faid, ver. 20. Every Istand fled away, and the Mountains were not found? which exprelles the terrible Dissolution of the World at that time. Besides that it is observable in the Conclusion of the preceding Vial, which made way for this last, that Christ saith, I come at a Thief, v. 15. which manner of Expression is particularly applied and made use of when the Day of Judgment is spoken of, Mat. 24. 43. 1 Thess. 5. 2, 41 2 Pet. 3. 10. And lastly, in the 20th Chapter from the 11th Verse to the end, there is another Vi sion of this Last and General Appearance of the World, as is univerfally acknowledg'd by Interpreters, and therefore we need not stand to clear it. Now from all this it is evident, that there is not observed in the Visions of this Book an Historia cal Order or Course of Time; for if there were, the General Day of Doom, which is the last thing of all, could not be represented here three or four times: This must have come in the shutting up of all, when all other things were past, whereas now we see it is represented in the Beginning, in the Middle, and in the End of these Revelations: Which, if it be well attended to, is one admiration the Wonderful Deliverance from them, and ble Key to open the Secrets of this Book, for hence the Gause upon their Heads, is the we understand that this Prophecy is not (what it time in different Ages, until the time when Babyhath been thought to be) one Entire Historical thall fall, and never rise again. To use the Narration of what shall be, and that sirst one thing words of a most Eminent and Learned Bishop of is foretold, and then what follows that in time is the own; "One may easily see (saith he) that next set down, and so on in order. No; the Day of Judgment being thrice at least inserted, shews, "fian Rome, which is degenerated into an Idothat the Visions of this Book end, and then begin again, and then have a Period, and commence again,

again, and after that the same or the like Scene is opened, and things of the same Nature are repeated. Which is a most evident Argument that this Book confifts of Three or Four Grand Prophecies or Prophetick Representations of the Condition of Christ's Church from the time when this was mined to the Confummation of all things. Here trepresented by different Types, Prophetick simbols and Visions, the most remarkable things which happen on the Stage of the World, and ther are these three, the Troubles and Persecutions thich befal the Servants of the most High, the Restrement them out of those Trials, and God's the Fundhing of their Enemies. These you will diffet forth and illustrated by diverse Schemes and Apparitions, by different and reiterated Rerefentations. And the Reason why things, tho he same, are diversly represented, i. e. in diffethat Visions over and over again, and why they propress'd in different Terms and Words, the mach (I fay) why they are so often repeated, is, come to pass in the several Ages of the World by the wife Disposal of Providence. These Prophecies have been, and they hall be yet fulfilled: for the State of the Church, to the Cruelty of its Enemies and Persecutors, " latrous

Dr. Patrick, Bishop of Ely, his Answer to the Touchstone, &.

"Llatrous and Tyrannical State. It is easy to see in the Book of the Revelation, that the Roman "Church is doomed in due time to Destruction. If You fee then how Useful this Book is, you may be convinced of the Truth of what is faid in the Beginning of it, Bleffed is be that readerb and the that hear the Words of this Propheovy ch. 1. very Th we cannot fo clearly descrip the Particular and his dividual Things, Times and Perform Contain din it tho this last book of the Holy Scripture be in the Respect the Obscurest of them all, the in some Places there bed as many Mysteries as Words thus far it is properly Revelational that herein the State of the Christian Church, and the Particular Methods of God's i Providence bowards it intill times are plainly revealed and discovered to use plainly, I fay, because they are so often repeated that it is impossible to mistake them. As Pharack's Dream was doubled to flew the Certainty of the things represented, Gen. 41. 32. so these Propher cies and Visions are doubled, and tribbled ver more than for to assure us of the Certain Truth and Reality of these Events, to confirm us in the Perswalion, that tho the Church of Christ hereon Earth be often troubled and persecuted, yet she liath her times of Restoration and Reviving, and there is a time of Vengeance and Recompence to her Enemies, even in this World, but more especially at the Close of it, when Christ shall come to Judgment. Thus I have attempted to evince the Perfection of

Scripture by enumerating all the Books of both TESTA-MENTS, and giving you a brief Account of them These Excellent and Incomparable Books are the

True Pandells indeed, the Books that comprehend all, that treat of every thing that is necessary. They are the most Valuable Collection of Writings under Heaven, they are of all the Books in the World the most worthy of all Acceptation, because they are our Infallible Rule and Surest Guide to Wildom, Holinels and Blessedness, to the Attainment of the most Desirable Things here, and of the most Eligible hereafter. If this and all that have faid before do not prove them to be Compleate and Perfect, I despair of ever telling you what will.

## CHAP. XI.

None of the Books of the Holy Scripture are lost: Not the Book of the Covenant: Nor the Book of the Wars of the Lord: Nor the Book of Jasher! Nor the Acts of Uzziah. An Account of the Book of Samuel the Seer, the Book of Nathan the Prophet, the Book of Gad the Seer, the Book of Iddo, the Books of Shemaiah, Jehu, &c. What is to be thought concerning the Books of Solomon, nichtion'd 1 Kings 4. 32. 33. Objections drawn from Jam. 4. 5. from Luke 11. 49. from Acts 20. 35. from Jude v. 14. from 1 Cor. 5.9. from Col. 4. 16. fully satisfied. Other Objections from 1 Cor. 7.6, 12, 25. 2 Cor. 8. 8. & 11. 17. particularly an-Swer'd.

Ut tho this be a clear and demonstrated Truth, yet it is question'd and doubted of by some. Wherefore the Fourth General Undertaking which I propounded was this, to clear the Point of those Objections, which are wont to be brought against

<sup>1</sup> Tot habet facramenta quot verba. Hieron. Ep. ad Paulin. de lib. Apocalypf.

those

against it, and to shew that notwithstanding these the Perfection of Scripture is unshaken.

First, Some tell us that there is a considerable Number of Books mention'd or quoted in Scripture, as the Book of the Covenant, the Book of the Wars of the Lord, the Book of Jasher, &c. which feem to have been once a Part of this Holy Volume. but now are lost. Among the Fathers 'St. Chry. fostom (who is followed by Theophilact) is of this Bellarmine and several of the Papifts Opinion. hold it. Yea, some Protestants acknowledg as much: Calvin and Musculus, and our Whitaker encline this way. And Drusius is very angry with any Man that denies that there any Books of Holy Scripture misling. Now, if this be true, there is ground to complain of a Defect and Imperfection in the Sacred Writings, by reason of the loss of these Books. That therefore which I am to undertake here, is to shew that there are no Books mentioned in Scripture, as belonging to it, but what are now to be found in it, and are really a Part of it, and consequently that the Holy Writings are not Defective, that the Body of Sacred Scripture is not Maimed and Imperfect. Eirst. As to the Book of the Covenant mention'd

in Exod. 24.7. which some fancy is lost, it is not any distinct Book from the Body of the Jewish Law. If we impartially weigh the Place, we shall find that it is no other than a Collection or Volume of those several Injunctions and Institutions which we read in the foregoing Chapters (viz. 20,21,22,23) which God delivered to Moses on the Mount. It is the very same with the Book of the Law, Dent 31.9. That which hath caused a different Persuasion

swasion in some is this, that these Laws are call'd a Book: but I shall make it evident afterwards that this Appellation is of a great latitude, and is applied to any sort of Writing by the Hebrews.

Secondly, As for the Book of the Wars of the Lord,

Numb.21.14. which is thought to be now wanting, the Answer given by some is, that this was an Apocryphal Author, and so cannot be said to belong to the Holy Scriptures, and consequently the loss of this Book doth not argue the Imperfection of the Bible. But tho this way of Solution be tolerable, when made use of as to some Other Books hereafter mentioned, yet I think there is no need at all of using it here, because it is not unlikely, according to the Judgment of our 'Learned English Rabbi, that Moses refers here to bimself, and a Book of his own composing; for we read that upon the

Discomfiture of Amalek God commanded Moses

to write it for a Memorial in a Book, Exod. 17. 14.

fina. So that it may feem to have been fome Book of Directions written by Moses for Joshua's managing of the Wars after him. Thus this Learned Writer makes this Book only to be of private use, and dictated by an Ordinary, not a Divine Spirit: wherefore it cannot be one of the Books of the Bible. And if this be true, then though it be lost, yet no Canonical Scripture is lost hereby. But from what I shall propound, I think it will be found reasonable to believe that the Book in this Place mention'd is one of the received Books of the Old Testament, i.e. it is the Book of

Judges, which deservedly hath the Name of the

Book of the Wars of the Lord, because it recounts

Gg 3

Dr. Lightfoot's Chronicle of the Tines of the Old Test.

<sup>1</sup> Hom. 9. in Matth. & Hom. 7. in 1 Ep. ad Corinth.

The man and the same of those Warlike Enterprizes which those Heroick in the Book of Judges, together with that of Joshua, Spirits stirr'd up by God in an extraordinary Many where are related the Particulars of the Holy War, ner were famous for. Or Milchamoth Jebovah, i.e. the War of the Jews against the Infidels, and the Wars of the Lord, are as much as the Great; that in one of these it shall be particularly remem-Wonderful and Renowned Wars (for perhaps the bred and recorded what God did in the Red Sua, Name of God is used here, as in several other Plaand in the Brooks of Arnon, &c. and accordingly ce, to augment the Sense, and to express the we find it inserted in the forecited Place in Judges. Greatness and Excellency of the Thing) fought by Thus you see it can't be proved hence that the the Valiant Jews. To any one that consults the Church hath lost any Part of the Book of God. Text together with the 26th v. of that Chapter. , Another Book faid by some to be lost is the it will plainly appear that this Passage particular Book of Jasher, mention'd in Josh. 10. 13. & 2 Sam. ly refers to the 11th Chapter of Judges, v. 15 1.18. But some of the most celebrated Hebrew 16, 17. But if you ask how Moses, who was dead Doctors say they have found it, telling us that it long before, could write this? I answer, though is the Book of Genesis, wherein are contain'd the he undoubtedly writ the Book of Numbers, as well Acts of Abraham, Isaac, Jacob, and other Patrias the rest of the Pentateuch, yet some few Passaquebs, who were by way of Excellence call'd Jages in this and the other Books may reasonably sherim, Recti, Justi, But surely that Man is easibe supposed to be inserted afterwards by some other by satisfied who can acquiesce in this. Dr. Light-Inspired Persons, as I have had Occasion to adver- hot holds the Book of Jasher to be the same with tise before. Ezra, it is likely, revising this Book, that which I afferted the Book of the Wars of God added this of what God did in the Red Sea, and at the to be: But there is little Foundation for it, for Brooks of Arnon. And to give yet more ample Sa. I though the particular Narrative of the Sun's standtisfaction to this Scruple, I desire it may be obser ing still, be in the Book of Jasher, (as we learn from ved, that though we translate the Text thus, It is the Text) yet there is no intimation that all for said in the Book of the Wars, &c. yet in the Origin buy's Wars, or the Wars of the Israelites were renal the Verb is in the future Tenfe, אמר giftred there. This Book was according to the tur, it shall be said : and so we may look upon it as Excellent, Grotius an Emilian, a Triumphal Poem, a Prophecy of Moses. He here foretels that after in which ישראל was for the Verse sake contracted wards it shall be commemorated how God fought into שי. But though this be very Ingenious, wer for his People. When there shall be at solemn it wants solidity, and it is not probable that the Times a Rehearfal of the Jewish Wars, then this Word would be twice mention'd (i. e. both in the Passage shall be call'd to mind and made mention of Book of Joshua, and in the 2d Book of Samuel). And then we must look upon these two Verses, in its Abbreviated Form. The Learned & Jewish not as cited out of the Book of Judges, but proposed Historian seems to me to bid fairest for Truth, who to be inserted there afterwards. The plain An-Gg4 saith Iwer then is, that the Book of the Wars of the Lord Annot. in 2 Sam. 1. 18. 2 Antique l. 5 c. 2.

of the Holy Scriptures. faith by this Book are to be understood certain Re to the Church and State in that King's Reign. cords kept in some fafe Place on purpose, and it And Isaiah is truly said in the foremention'd Place terwards in the Temple, giving an Account of in the Chronicles to have written his Acts first and what happen'd among the Jews from Year to Year last, because you will find that the Prophecy of and particularly the Prodigy of the Sun's standing Isdiah begins at the Days of Uzziah, v. 1. and the Mill, and the Directions and Laws about the Use of fixth Chapter relates what happen'd in the Year that the Bow, i. c. fetting up of Archery, and maintain King Uzziah died, v. 1. So that something of ing Military Exercises. And if it be asked why the what was first and last in his Time is here recor-Title given to these Jewish Annals was the Books ded. This I look upon as a very substantial and falher, i. e. Relli, this may be rendered as a profatisfactory Answer to the Scruple about that bable Reason, wiz. because it was by all Person Place. reckon'd as a very Faithful and Authentick Account Alfo, some would infer from 1 Chron. 29. 29. of all those Events and Occurrences which it tethat all the Canonical Books of the Bible are not corded, it was composed with great Uprightness extant at this Day, because there is mention of and Truth: Thence it was commonly known by the the Book of Samuel the Seer, and the Book of Nathan Name of Jasher's Book or Chronicle. the Prophet, and the Rook of Gad the Seer, in which And if you temember that Jusher is translated άλμθινδς by the it is said, all David's Acts were written. But no such Seventy in several Places of the Book of Job, it will Inference can rationally be made: only this we gafurther confirm what I say, and induce us to bether (which is the Solution of the Difficulty) that lieve that Jasher's Book is as much as a True Book, Nathan and Gad as well as Samuel compiled the a Book that is not counterfeited. It was not the History that goes under the Name of this last: Work of any Inspired Person, but was of the Naand because it was made by them all three, thereture of Common Givil Annals: and confequently fore it is represented here as three different Books. we cannot infer hence that any Book properly be-But the true Account is that those two Books in longing to the Holy Scripture, i. e. that was written the Old Testament which bear the Name of Samuel, by Inspiration of the Holy Ghost, is at this Day were written partly by him (the greatest Part of milling. the first Book relating things that happen'd in his Again, some reckon the Acts of Uzziah written time) and partly by Nathan, and partly by Gad, by Isaiah the Prophet, 2 Chron. 26. 22. in the Catatwo eminent Prophets in those Days, and who furlogue of fuch Books of Scripture as are lost. But Vived Samuel. they have little reason to do so, for by those Then as to 2 Chron. 9. 29. where we are told Words is plainly meant that Part of the Life and that Solomon's Acts were written not only in the Book History of that King which we now have in the (Hebr. Dibrim, the Words, as the Book of Chronicles Prophecy of Isaiah, for the first six Chapters are a is call'd the Words of Days) of Nathan, (of which Relation of what was done in his Days. before) but in the Prophecy of Abijah the Shilonite,

and in the Visions of Iddo the Seer, which last are

call'd

give an Account of feveral Passages which belong

call'd Midrash, the Story or Commentary of the Prophet Iddo, Chap. 13. v.22. And as to 2 Chron. 12. 15. where we read also of this Book of Iddo the Seer, and of Shemaiah the Prophet, in which it is faid, Rehoboam's Acts were written, the Answer which I give relating to these Books in brief is this, that few of them, if any, are different from those of the Kings, but are only a Part of them, though they are here spoken of as Distinst Books, and that for this reason, because that individual Part of the Story, viz. concerning Solomon and Rehoboam, is quoted, which these particular Persons here named wrote. You must know then that this Historical Part of the Old Testament was the Work of feveral Persons, it was a Collection made by fundry Prophets and Holy Men, as Samuel, Nathan, Gad, Abijah, Iddo, Shemaiah; and the Books which they wrote are called the Books of Samuel, and the Books of the Kings, and are generally known by these Names; but when those Parts of them which were particucularly inferted and written by Samuel himself, or Nathan, &c. are quoted or referr'd to in the Books of the Chronicles, they are mentioned as Distinct Books: the meaning of which is, that they are Distinct Parts of such a History, and wrote by such Particular Persons, who altogether made up that Historical Part of the Bible. Those Books then

of the Lady Scriptures. several Prophets, viz. Samuel, Nathan, Gad, &c. This I think is very plain, and the foresaid Objethion is wholly removed by it. Then, as to the rest of those Books which are faid to be lost, as the Sayings of the Seers, 2 Chron. 33. 19. and the Book of the Acts of Solomon, 1 Kings 11. 41. and the Book of Jehu the Son of Hanani, 2 Chron. 20. 34. or any other which the Objectors mention, it is granted by some very Sober Writers (not only Foreigners but of our own Country) that these Books are really lost, but they deny that this is any Argument of the Imperfection of Scripture, because these Books were not absolutely necessary, neither are we certain that they were Divinely endited. And this was the Opinion of the Antients as well as the Moderns. Yea St. Chrysoftom and some others of the Fathers who speak of these Books, say positively that they were not written by Inspiration from Heaven. To this Purpose St. Augustine hath this useful Distinction, 'the Penmen of the Sacred Scripture (faith he) write some things as they are Men with Historical Care and Diligence, other things they write as Prophets by Inspiration from God. This then may satisfy us that all that was written by the Prophets, and even by those Holy Men who were Authors of some Part of the Bible, was not Canonical and Divine; because they writ some things not as Inspired Persons, but

(for so the Hebrews call any Writings) which those Authors above-named wrote are not lost, as some imagine, but are still extant in the Bible, for they ware Part of the Books of Samuel and the Kings. This Answer is grounded on 1 Chron. 29. 29. The Acts of David the King first and last, behold, they are written in the Book (or History) of Samuel, and in the Book of Nathan the Prophet, &c. which shews that the foresaid Books were a Collection made by [everal

as meer Historians. Some of this fort of Writings

are referr'd to in the forecited Places; and though

they be not extant now, yet the Scripture is not

hereby rendered Imperfect, because these were not

fuch

Alia sicut homines historica diligentia, alia sicut Prophetæ inspiratione divina, De Civ. Dei 1. 28. c. 38.

· fure

such Parts of it as were Essential to it, or were of Divine Inspiration. The like may be said when in the Book of Kings there is frequent reference to the Book of Chronicles; those of the Bible are not always meant, being not then penned: Besides that many things that are referr'd unto there are not found in these Books. Wherefore it is probable that these were Additional Writings, not belonging to the Body of the Canonical Scripture, nor written by Persons that were Inspired, and consequent. ly though they are lost, yet the Canon of the Bible is not impaired. And indeed we find that those of the Protestant Perswasion (as Whitaker, Willet, &c. and among Foreigners, Calvin, Beza, &c.) who acknowledg the loss of these Books, do at the same time strongly affert the Perfection of the Holy Scriptures: which they very confishently may do, because they hold these Books to be no part of the Canon of the Bible.

Again, if what we have said be not fully satisfactory, this may be further added, that the Complaint of the Loss of some Books of Holy Writ proceeds from the miltaking of the Word Sepher, which is translated a Book, but among the Hebrens is oftentimes no more than a Rehearfal or Commemoration of something, a brief Narrative or Memoir, a fetting down any thing in Writing, as you'l find in these following Places, Num. 5.23. 30sh. 18.9. 1 Sam. 10. 25. Esth. 9.20. Isa. 30. 8. Jer. 32. 12, 14. And sometimes it is nothing but a meer Genealogy, as Gen. 5.1. The Book of the Generations of Adam. So St. Matthew begins his Gospel, The Book of the Generation of Jesus Christ, Mat. 1. 1. i. e. his Genealogy or Pedigree, a brief Enumeration of the Persons he descended from, which is the proper Denotation of the Word Sepher,

of the Holy Scriptures. 461 pher, from Saphar, numerare, recensere, whence Siphra or Cipbra, a Word that is used in most Languages. Some not attending to this have fancied that a great many Books of the Sacred Writ are embezzled, because they do not find such Formal Books as those of Jehu, or of the Asts of Solomon, c. now belonging to the Bible. This arises from a misunderstanding of the Hebrew Word, which signifies generally any Short kind of Writing or Memerandum. This, with the Answer before given, will folve all Doubts concerning the Places afore alledged.

As to the common Objection concerning the Loss of Solomon's Books, which are said to be mentioned in 1 Kings 4. 32, 33. I answer; 1. That when some call them Books, it is more than they can prove: it is not faid that Solomon wrote, but that he spake of Trees, and spake of Beasts, &c. i.e. he learnedly discoursed of these several Subjects upon occasion, and spake such a Number of Proverbs. Here can be no Loss of Books then. But, 2. Suppose he committed these Disquisitions and Discourses to Writing, and that they are now lost, (it may be consumed when Nebuchadnezzar burnt Jerusalem, or by some other Means imbezzled afterwards) yet still this is nothing to the purpose, because they were no Part of Canonical Scripture. His Universal History of Vegetables, from the Cedar even to the Hysop that grows out of the Wall, and his Books of the Nature of all Animals in the Sea, on the Land, and in the Air, appertained to Philofiphy, and might indeed have ferv'd to have fet up a Royal Society, and have been advantageous to the Men who are employ'd in the Study of Nature; for these questionless were full of Admirable Philosophy, according to that great and matchless Mea-

fure of Wisdom which God had endued him with, Thus far the Loss of those Writings is great, but none but Philosophers ought to bewail it. Tho I must suggest this by the way, that perhaps there is no ground of complaining for them neither; for it may be these Books of Plants and Animals were extant till Alexander the Great's Days, and being perused and understood by Aristotle and Theophraflus, by the Help of an Interpreter, they were transcribed by them, and so set down as we find them in their Writings which have gain'd them so great Fame and Renown. This may be the more credible, especially as to Aristotle, because we read that he was a Great Plagiary, and burnt or otherwise made away those Writings from whence he borrowed his Notions. If this be true, it is like, ly we have these Books of Solomon extant still: in those forenamed Authors we read his Natural Hisstory concerning Vegetables and Animals. But as touching the three thousand Proverbs which he spake, it is most reasonable to believe that most of them were only spoken, not written down: and as for those that were penn'd, we have them at this Day in the Book of Proverbs, which is Part of the Canon of Scripture. There we have those Proverbs which the Holy Ghost saw to be most profitable and necessary for the Church: That one would think should content us. So as to his Songs, which were a thousand and five, (as we read in the fore-mentioned Place) there is but One of them that hath arrived at our Hands, and was thought worthy to be inserted into the Sacred Writings, unless we reckon the Forty fifth Psalm to be a Song of his. This then adds to the Excellency of these Writings of Solomon which we have, that they are Choice Pieces, selected even by the Holy Gholt,

among the Prophecies of the Old Testament, yet the Purport and Sense of them are there, and the Places to which they have reference are very obvious, as I have snewed in that particular Interpretation of the Words which I have offered to the Publick in my Enquiry into some Remarkable Texts of the New Testament. Thence I hope it will appear that the Objectors have no ground for what they alledg, and also that the Jews Cavil against this Place of St. Matthem, where they fay he quotes a Text out of the Prophets which is not to be found Another Place which is wont to be mention'd on this Occasion is Jam. 4. 5. Do you think that the Scrip-

Old, and yet are not to be found there, as Mat. 2. 23. James 4.5. Jude, v.14. I answer as to the first, that from those Words, That it might be fulfilled which was spoken by the Prophets, He shall be called a Nazarene, no Man can gather that some of the Canonical Books of Scripture are missing; because if you take the Prophets here for Prophetick Men who spoke only, and did not write, then there were no Books of theirs to be lost. Or if by Prophets you tinderstand the Penmen of the Bible, it may be shew'd that what they foretold is still extant in their Writings. For though those individual Words, He shall be called a Nazarene, are not found

of the Lioty Scriptures.

who was the Prime Author of them. This furely

may fatisfy us that the Books or Writings of this

Wife Prince, which were most Excellent, and

which were dictated by the Spirit, are transmitted

to us, and are Part of the Bible. Thus there is

nothing fost that belongs to the Canonical Scrip-

And whereas it is Objected that fome Places are

quoted in the New Testament as taken out of the

ture of the Old Testament.

402

in any of them, is void of all Reason.

who

Soripture saith in vain, The Spirit that dwelleth in me lusteth to Envy ? Which Words are no where to be found in Scripture: therefore, fay they, former Part of the Holy Writings is loft. And Sir N. Knatchbull seems to say, that this is a Passage taken

out of the Writings of the Prophets which eres missing at this Day. In answer to this some fart that Gen. 6. 3. is the Place of Scripture here tell ferr'd to; but after they have taken a great deal of Pains to make this out, their Labour is in vain for furely no Man of free and unprejudicall Thoughts will be perswaded that those Words [My Spirit shall not always strive with Man] are of the same Import with these [The Spirit that dwelling in us lusteth to Envy]. This Exposition is built up on a mistaken Notion of the Hebrew word contendet, (which our Translators truly render shall strive) some fancying that it is to be derived from 171 a Sheath, and then for footh the Soul of Spirit is a Sword. Lowis Chappel and some Other as groundlefly make these Words an Interrogation Doth the Spirit that dwelleth in us lust to Envy? and think they refer to Numb. 11. 29. Enviest thou for my sake? The Question, say they, is a Negation,

and is as much as if it had been faid, Doth the Scripe ture and the Holy Spirit teach you to contend, to be envious and quarrelfom? No. But this likewise is forced and strained, and an impartial Eye cannot possibly see any Affinity between the two Places of Scripture; belides that there is one Interrogation to introduce another, which confounds the Stile, The plain and unforced Answer is this, that St. James doth not here quote any Particular Place of Scripture, as if there were such express Words in the

only tells us what is generally deliver'd in Scriptire, viz. that Man's Nature is depraved and cormpted; that it is enclined to Envy as well as to other Lusts and Unlawful Affections. Or, if any me Particular Place be referr'd to more than another, it is probable it is that of Gen. 6. 5. or ch. 8. 121. where we are told that the Imaginations, or the Purposes and Desires of Mens Hearts are evil from their Youth, yea they are only evil, and that conthe Words then are not to be under-

food of the Divine Spirit, but of that Corrupt Shirit which is in Men, not the Spirit which is of God, the Spirit of the World, as the Apostle Paul ditinguisheth, i Cor. 2. 12. This Spirit lusteth to and prompts Men to all other Vices. And for the next Words [He giveth more Grace] they ther not to the Spirit here spoken of, but to God, tho, though he be not named in this Verse, is wice in the immediately foregoing one. He giveth Grace; he, according to his good Pleasure, detrains Mens Lusts and envious Desires, and tacheth them Humility, Submission, and all other Divine Vertues. Or (according to a ' late Worthy Gritick) it, i. e. the Scripture, giveth more Grace, for that it faith, &c. In this Holy Book there are Examples of some Persons in whom this Spirit of

Buy was restrained. When the Apostle then

here saith, Do you think that the Scripture saith in vain? &c. we must not wonder that those very.

Words are not found in any Part of the Old Testa-

ment; for the Apostle only speaks here of what

may be deduced from these Sacred Writings, or what is said in them to the same purpose, though

Hh

Sir N. Knatchbull.

<sup>1</sup> Grotius and Hammond.

And the state of t of the Holy Scriptures. in other Words. There are many Places of Scrip. ture which speak of the Lufts of that corrupt Spirit MAs for the Objection grounded on St. Jude, v. 14. which is in us, whereby we are flirt'd up to Envy wie. that Enoch's Book which is quoted by this Apoand Strife. From leveral Texts we may gather the (and if it had not been Canonical, it would that Man's Nature is prone to thele and the like not have been quoted by him) is lost; some (as Passions. This I take to be the true Account of Origen, Jerom, Augustine) grant it to be so, but deny it to be Canonical, it being their Judgment the Words. In the same manner we are to understand Like that St. Jude might, if he thought fit, alledg an 11. 49. Therefore said the Wisdom of God, I will find them Prophets and Apostles, &c. There is no parts Approxyphal Writer. But according to my Apprehension the brief and satisfactory Answer is, that cular Text that hath these Words, but there are several Prophecies to this Purpose. So Ephes. 4. He saith, Awake thou that sleepest, and arise from the there is no mention there of any Book or Writing of Broch, and therefore none can infer thence that thy Book or Writing of his is lost. It is only said, dead, and Christ Shall give thee Light, is not meant of any such particular and individual Words, but the prophesied, saying, &c. which he might do, and questionless did, without penning down any of his Prophetical Sayings; but they were transmitted of the Spirit's speaking in the Gospel to that Effet though I know Dr. Hammond and others refer 1816 from Generation to Generation, and thence it was IJa. 60. 1. and some Interpreters to IJa. 51. 9. that the Apostle Jude inserted this into his Epistle: you will not find thele or fuch Words in either at Nor are we to be concern'd that a Book of Enoob is those Places. That Passage in Atts 20. 35, mention'd by some of the Antient Writers of the more bleffed to give than to receive, is recited as the Church, for tis well known that they had feveral Words of the Lord Jefus; yet we find them not re-Spurious Authors among them: and (as a ' Learned corded in the Gospel. But our Biessed Master fie Doctor of the Sorbon observes) all the Fathers, exquently utter'd Words that were of the like Imports topt Tertullian, reckon this that went under the Name of Enoch as fuch. as is easy to prove: or rather (I conceive) we man But are not some of the Writings of the New truly say that he spoke this very Sentence, for it may be observ'd that what is here quoted is not on-Reflament wanting, seeing there was a Third Epistle of St. Paul to the Corinthians, in order the first? ly call'd the Words of the Lord Jesus, but this is added, [how he faid] to let us know that he said these Ibrote unto you in an Epistle not to keep Company with very Words when he was upon Earth. And many Rimicators, 1 Cor. 5.9. Therefore it appears hence that there was another before this which passes the like Excellent Sayings and Aphorisms he procommonly for the first: But this is not extant, for nounced, which (as well as innumerable Actions he have now but two that bear the Name of that that he did) were kept in remembrance by the A-Bessed Apostle. Answ. Nor were there ever any postles, but were not written down, of which St. John speaks, 6h. 20. v. 30. & 21. 25. So that it is more, for when he faith he wrote to them in an Epi-

Du Pine

Hh 2

stle,

impossible to prove hence that any Book belonging

to the Sacred Canon is lost.

ine excellency and Perfection 21. And thus in the Text that is before us Eyea La file, he means this very First Epistle he was now ύμμν ἐν τἢ ἐπτερλἢ is no other than γεάφω ὑμῶν, &cwriting. He refers to what he had faid before in I write unto you in this Epistle not to, &c. Which that the former Part of that Chapter; and the mone it ought to be rendred so is evident from ver. 11. ing is, When I even now wrote unto you in this (which is but a Repetition or Reassumption of this) Epistle, ver. 2. not to keep Company with Fornicators. vuvi A Eyeala, now I write unto you: the Adverb I do not mean the Fornicators of this World wil shews that it is spoken of the Present Instant Thus St. Chrysoftom and Theophylass interpret the Time, though the Greek Verb be in the Praterit. Place. But, if I may be permitted to vary from This then I offer as the plain Sense of the Text and those Excellent Fathers, I would propound one of Context, I write unto you, O Corinthians, in this my these two ways of understanding the Apostles Letter, not to be mingled (so the Word properly de-Words. First, it may be he hath reference here notes) with Fornicators, or with the Covetous, or Exto what he faith afterwards in this Epistle, ch. 6, tortioners, or Idolaters, for then you must needs go out v. 13. and again, v. 18. & ch. 7. v. 2. where he of the World (there being so great a Multitude of writes to them to avoid Fornication. Wherefore them): but this is that which I mean, that you should upon reading over this Epistle, after he had finish woold the Company of a Brother (i. e. a professed it, he thought good to insert this, and to take no Christian) if he be given to Fornication, Covetousness, tice here of what he faith afterwards; Eyendy Extortion, or Idolatry. This is the Thing which I at υιμν εν τη ઉત્તાકદારણ, I bave (faith he) written to ym this time write and signify to you. So that you see in this Epistle, viz. in some of the following Chaplyea. a is instead of yeaφω: the simple and plain ters against Fornication, and joining your selves in Tenour of the Words may convince any Man of Persons that are noted for that Vice. Or essel it. And therefore the true and genuine Translaconceive the word εμαλα is put for γεάφω (the tion both of the former and latter Eyeala is I Preterit for the present Tense, of which there are write: which makes the Apostle's Sense clear and very near an hundred Instances in the New Tells. perspicuous. I appeal to any Man of Judgment ment: and all Men vers'd in Criticism know that and Sagacity, whether this Account of the Words there is nothing more common). Thus Eyea land be not exactly adjusted to Grammar and Criticisin, used in this very Epistle, ch. 9. v. 15. [Neither: to the Scope of the Apostle, and the Design of the have I written these things] i. c. at this time, in Context: besides that it is serviceable to the Busithis Epistle that I am now writing. This any ness in hand, viz. utterly to overthrow the Sur-Man, that consults the Context, will be forc'd to mise of an Epistle written to the Corinthians beacknowledg to be the true Sense of the Place; fore this which the Apostle is here writing. If whence it appears that iyrala is equivalent with the Learned Drussus, or the Excellent Grotius had γεάφω. So you will find the Word must be taken weighed these things which I have suggested, I in the 1st Epistle of St. John, 2d Chapter: you doubt not but they would have chang'd their Minds, will see and be throughly convinced that year they would not have cried out that this Epistle here ບໍ່ເມັນ, ບ. 12, 13. is expressed by έγρα ໄα ບໍ່ເມັນ, ບ. 14, spoken of is lost. But

But it is further faid, that the Apostle writ an Epifile to the Landiceans, as may be collected from Cal 4-16. which is wanting at this Day, that it although it be extent, and allowed of by some Authors, yet it is not put into the Canon of the New Testament; wherefore the Canon is Impersed. Fanswer, 1. It is true there is an Epistle to the Laadiceans, which goes under St. Paul's Name, but it is generally voted to be Spurious and Counter-, feit. 2. The Apostle in that Place to the Colossia. ans speaks not of an Epistle to the Laodiceans, but from Laodicea, for cae Acodinsias cannot conveniently be translated otherwise. Yet I know not how it comes to pass that so sharp a Critick as Sir N. Knaschbull holds it was an Epistle written by the Apostle to the Laudiceans, and faith it is lost. His Critical Genius fail'd him here, for de Anosmeas carries no such Sense with it. As he himself il-Instructes the Phrase, it should be an Episte not in but of the Laudineans, for he faith this way of speaking is frequent, as vives ? in the company, fome of the Synagogue; at car wigners, some of the Fairbful; of on a Titons, the Stoicks, or those that belong'd to the Stoa. According to this Idiom which phis Learned Gentleman alledgeth, in Edischi ca Acodineise should be an Epiftle of the Landiceans, and then 'tis nothing to his purpose, unless he could have proved that of the Landiceans or of Landicea is the same with to Laudioca. 'He'a T Acodustas is rendred by the Old Latin ea que est Laodicensium, which Version this Learned Man produces and applands as if it were on his fide: but I conceive the Import of the Latin is not what he represents it to be, viz. the Epiftle which was written to the Laodice, ans by the Apostic. At least there is no necessity of making this Construction, for it may as well signi-

If an Epistle written from Laodicea by the Apostle. If if he demanded, what Epistlo this was? and confequently, what Epistle is here meant? The Anwer is, that it is probable it is the first Epistle to Timothy, that being written from Laodicea, as you will find in the Close of it. Or, 3. if he speaks of an Epistle brought to the Colossians from Laodicea, it being wrote to the Christians of that Place by St. Paul, it may be the Epistle to the Ephesians, because Laodicea was a Church within the Circuit of the Ephesian Church, which was the Metropolitan of all Asia. And Ephesus being the chief City of this Proconsular Asia, this Epistle may refer to all the Province. As to the Ground and Occasion of producing an Epistle to the Laodiceans, perhaps it was this, St. Paul order'd that his Epistle to the Colossians should be read in the Church of the Landiceans, which was near to Colosse, Col. 4. 16. And we must remember this, that though Colosse was a considerable City, yet Laodicea was more considerable in that Province. But it is likely there were more Christians in the former than in the latter; and that moved the Apostle to direct his Epistle to the Colossians; but withal he enjoins it to be read in the Church of Laodicea, the chief City. Now, it being read there, it was faid to be an Epiffle to the Laodiceans, whence in time some feigned this Epifile which is now extant. This I conceive may be the Cause of the Mistake and Forgery. Lastly, if after all we should suppose (though I see no Reason for it) that the Epistle which St. Paul here speaks of is lost, yet if the Substance of it be contain'd in the Other Epistles, or in the rest of the Books of the New Testament which we have, the Scripture is not maimed: and therefore the Objefors have no Reason to cavil against it as Imperfect

Hh4

But

and Defective.

of the Fiely Scriptures.

the Lord: And v. 25. I have no Commandment of the Lord, yet I give my Judgment: And 2 Cor. 8.8. speak not by Commandment: And again Chap. 11 v. 17. I speak not after the Lord. From all which Texts they gather that there is something in St. Paul's Epistles that is not divinely dictated. He acknowledgeth as much himself, say they, and we ought to give credit to him. And if it be thus, wherein doth this Part of Scripture excel any other Writings? I will return a distinct Answer to the feveral Quotations. The first speaks of the mutual rendering that οφειλομιζών έυνοια (v. 3.) required in the Conjugal State: and the Apostle shews the Extent of the Obligation of this Advice which. he gives about it. I speak this, faith he, metod outside. unv, in way of Permission, that is, I herein permit you to do as you shall see Occasion, as you shall find your selves disposed. If you can refrain in those Circumstances I mention, then do so: but if not, I allow you to act otherwise. I speak to you & nat Emizza you, not of Commandment, i. e. in a peremptory way. I am not positive, I do not command you. I have no Absolute Injunction to lay upon you in this Matter. If you can forbear, you had best to do fo, but I have no Authority to force it upon you. Thus the Apostle lets them see how far his Doctrine obliges, and what Authority it hath. And this he speaks as an Inspired Person: So that it is ridiculous to collect hence that he was not Inspired when he wrote this Passage in his Epistle. The Second Place speaks of Divorce or the Separation of married Persons in case of unequal Mar-

But an Objection of another Nature is shaped out

of 1 Cor. 7. 6. I speak this of Permission, and not of

Commandment : And v. 12. To the rest speak I, no

enlightn'd declare this, that their best course is to live together, and not to think of parting. This is that which I say to those who are married to Unbelievers, and I say it by immediate Revelation from God. That is the true Meaning of Speak I: And any considerate Man that well weighs the Words cannot but discern it. It follows, and not the Lord, i.e. God hath given us no express Command about this: We find nothing of it in Moses's Law which was from the Lord himself. The Apostle refers here to what he had said before in this Chapter about Married Persons, v. 2, 3. which was according to the Mosaick Law, Exod. 21. 10. and a Law before that, viz. in Genesis, ch. 2. v. 24. which obligeth the Married Couple to be faithful to one another. But here, saith he, in our present Case the Lord hath left no Positive and Absolute Precept or Prohibition. Or, it may have respect also to Christ our Lord, and then the meaning of I speak, and not the Lord is this, what I now deliver to you is not from our B. Saviour directly: It is not expresly kt down in the Gospel as spoken by Him when he was here on Earth, but I gather it from the geneal Doctrine of the Gospel, and I make this Collection and Inference by the Guidance of the Holy Spirit, and not of my own Head. Thus what I advise and direct you to is from the Lord, i. e. from the Holy Ghost, though not from the Lord in that other Sense, as if he had given any particular and express Command concerning it. So the Force of the Objection is quite taken of: And at the same lime also, the Distinction of Evangelical Counsels and

one Lavin Octipulies. Marriages, viz. between Christians and Infidels. .

These are the hornoi the Rest, which he now distinct-

ly applies his Discourse to. To these, saith he,

feak I, i. e. I as an Apostle, I as a Person divinely

and Precepts (which is fo much talk'd of and made which we render Judgment, is meant the Apostle's use of by the Romanists) appears to be frivolus and similar opinion and Sentiment in contradistinction to impertinent. The third Quotation is to be interpreted in the fame Manner. He here speaks concerning Single Persons, such as were never married, and he ad quaints them (as before) what Authority his Do ctrine concerning these hath. 'Emazzylv Kuels & Eyes, I have not a Commandment of the Lord, i. e. have no express Word of our Saviour concerning Virgins, as there was concerning the Divorce of Matried Persons, of whom I spake v. 10. for our Lord had politively determin'd what was to be done in that Case, Mat. 5. 32. & 19. 9. Luk, if 18. Therefore there not I, but the Lord himself was properly faid to command. But here no Absolute Precept of our Lord can be alledg'd; he hathing where peremptorily commanded to marry, of not to marry: And the more particular things relating to a Single Life (spoken of here by the Apo file) are not so much as mention'd by him. Til, faith he, I give my Judgment, as one that bath obtained Mercy of the Lord to be faithful, i.e. in an immediate and extraordinary Manner I have obtained this Favour, to deliver faithfully what is dictated to me in this Affair, though there be no express Word of our Lord about it. I am Divinely taught what to fay, the Holy Spirit suggests to me what Counsel to give. And therefore with respect to this and whatever he said before, he concludes in the last Verse of this Chapter, that be bath the Spirit of God. And when he faith he thinks so, it doth not denote in the least, the Uncertainty of the thing, but the Humility of the Apollic, We are not then to imagine (as several Commenta-

tors, and of good Note too do) that by yidur,

which

may of Expression is somewhat of the same Nature with the first: which is evident from the Subjectmatter he treats of, and the particular Application of this Expression. For in this Chapter his Busisess is to excite the Corinthians effectually to a Charitable Contribution for the distressed Christians at Gerusalem, and he requests that they would be very Liberal, and abound in this Excellent and Noble Work: which yet he faith he doth not speak to them year' tarray on a commanding Way, but he leaves them to their Liberty. He would have their Charity to be free, and therefore doth not command them. It is of the same Strain with Hilem. 8, 9. Though I might be much bold in Christ, nemjoin that which is convenient, yet for Love's Sake I suber befeech. But this befeesbing them, and this professing that he leaves them to their Freedom, is from the Lord, and from Divine Inspiration. Which ought necessarily to be added, to clear this and the other Texts: for I do not find that Commentators have fully interpreted and explain'd these Places. Only they tell us that the Apostle doth not command the things to be done, but leaves them at Liberty: whereby they intimate that what he saith is from himself, it is his Private Opition. But we must not harbour any such Thoughts, because if all Scripture be endited by the Holy Shoth, (as certainly it is) then we dan't admit

Dictates of the Holy Ghost; but according to

the plain Interpretation which I have given, we

live reason to believe that both in this and his other

Then, as to the next Place, where the Apostle

with he speaks not neet emand, this

epiftles he writes all by Divine Inspiration.

of the Holy Scriptures. of any such thing here as meer Private Opinion. Divine Inspiration, and therein excel all other The last Place alledged is, I speak not after the Writings whatfoever. Lord. Which some would interpret according to the foregoing Sense of the Apostle in those Places  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{L}^{\prime}}(0)$ I have spoken of, but they hugely mistake the Text, CHAP. XII. and miserably distort the Apostle's Meaning. Therefore my Apprehension of the Words is this. Ashort View of the Eastern Translations of the Old that as in several other Places, so here he speak Testament, especially of the Targums. The several Ironically; "The false Apostles, the deceitful Wor-Greek Translations, more especially that of the LXX " kers, faith he, whom some of you have such a Jewish Elders. The impartial History of them, and "Kindness for, exceedingly boast of their great their Version. Some immoderately extol it; others " Performances among you. I think I had best to s excessively inveigh against it. The true Grounds do fo too, for that it is the way to gain your of the Difference between the Hebrew Text and " good Opinion of me. I can brag and glory of the Greek Translation of the Septuagint assigned, my Atchievements as much as any of them, yes viz. One Hebrew Vowel is put for another: One Con-"much more. Therefore as a Fool receive me, that fonant for another, sometimes both Vowels and " I may boast my self a little. Seeing that many glory Consonants are mistaken: The Difference of the Sig-" after the Flesh, I will glory also. For ye suffer Fook nification of some Hebrew Words is another Cause: " gladly, seeing ye your selves are Wise. You and Sometimes the Sense rather than the Word it self is " your new Teachers are Masters of great Wisattended to: Some Faults are to be attributed to the "dom without doubt, and it cannot but be a very Transcribers: Some, because the LXX are Para-" laudable Thing to imitate you, especially in Phrasts rather than Translators; they take the Liberty " your Boasting and Vaunting. And yet when to insert Words and Passages of their own. The Greek "I am forced to commend my self and vindicate Persion bath been designedly corrupted in several Places. " my Actions, that which I speak thus, I speak it not Wby the Apostles in their Sermons and Writings made " after the Lord, no, by no means: I can neither use of this Version, though it was faulty. Sometimes " fay nor do any thing that is wife or good: I the Sacred Writers keep close to the Hebrew Text, " am (in the Esteem of some of you) a Fool and a and take no notice of the Seventy's Translation of " confident Talker, as he immediately adds. This the Words. At other times in their Quotations they feems to be the clear Import of the Words, and it confine themselves to neither, but use a Latitude.

der'd.

is not the only time that St. Paul hath addressed himself to the Corinthians in an Ironick Stile, as I have shew'd in another Place. Thus I hope it is manifest that the Objectors have no Advantage from this Place of Scripture. And from all that hath been faid, it is clear that the Sacred Writings are of Divine

Again,

The Greek Version is to be read with Candour and

Caution: And must always give way to the Hebrew

Original. The chief Latin Translations of the Bible,

especially the Vulgar, examined. Modern Latin

Tradillations, and lastly our own English one, consi-

Gain, there are some that detract from the Excellency and Perfection of the Holy Will tings, because they observe a great Diffe. rence between the Hebrew Text of the Old Testament, and the feveral Versions of it: And so as to the New Testament, they see the Original Greek and some of the Translations disagree; but more especially the Disagreement is seen between the Hebra of the Old Testament, and the Greek Translation of it made by the Seventy Elders. This is improved into a kind of Argument thus; If those Versions of the Bible disagree with the Text, then either the Text it felf or the Versions are erroneous and faulty: But it is probable, and it is afferted by some Learned Criticks, that the Errors and Mistakes are in the former, i.e. in plain Terms that we have not now the Original or True Copies of the Bible, and confequently that the Bible it felf is very Defective and imperfect. To take off this feeming Argument it is necessary that we enquire into, and give some brief Account of the Translations of the Bible, but especially that we fix where the Grand Objection lies, viz. concerning the Discrepancy between the Hebren Text and that of the LXX, which feems to give the greatest shock of all to the Assertion which I have been maintaining.

Those Translations which are in the Eastern Languages are these that follow; First, the Perfick: But the Antient Version is lost: And as for what is now extant, it is feldom made use of by the Learn-The Coptick (fo call'd from Copt or Cophtus, the Name of a great City in Egypt, the Metropolis of Thebais, the Language of that Place being the Antient Dialect of the Egyptisms) and the Ethiopick are of great Antiquity, and were made and used by the Christians of Egypt and Ethithe former (as those acquaint us who have folight into that Tongue) hath a great Affinity with the Hebrew Text: And the latter is wholly tiken out of the former, and is a meer Translation of it., The Samaritan Pentateuob was for the Use of the Samaritan Jews, who used that Dialect, and sknowledg'd only the Books of Moses. It is an tient and of good Account, though not void of Erfors and Corruptions, as Archbishop Wher and Hotlinger have observed, and particularly enumerated the Faults: But yet, if we will show our solves Candid, we cannot but grant that where it varies from the Hebrem, it is generally by way of Illustration or Paraphrafe. The Syrian Version is of good Repute, and very conformable to the Hebrew in most Places, notwithstanding what the Learned ' Kossius hath envisually suggested. The Arabick follows the Sevenhand its Faults, and is not so antient as the Sytack, nor so exact, but is of good Use, and may give to corroborate the Authority of the Hebrew Text. Concerning all these Eastern Translations, exon the Syriack, it may be observed, that though they are generally taken out of the Greek Version of the Seventy, (for that only was the Authentick Soupture with the Churches of the East) and though they were made and writ at several times, yet they do very much agree with the Hebren which we have at this Day: And whenever in any Place they vary from it, it is generally in some little things, wherein there is no prejudice to Truth, or the Variation is only as to the Paraphrastical Part, where we cannot expect an exact Rendring of the Original; and with any confiderate and unbiaffed Man this will not pass for any Proof of the Corruption of the Original. But

opia.

De Septuzgint. Interpret.

on the Books of the Bible, indeed on all but Da-

niel, and Ezra (which were half Chaldee before)

and the Paralipomena, which were explain'd in the

Books of the Kings. These Targumim were made

by different Authors, and at divorse Times. First.

there was that of R. Jonathan, which was a Tran-

Monf. Simon Crit. Hift. 1. 2.

of the Holy Scriptures.

Learning, that neither the Paraphrases of Onkelos nor into another Tongue; and because there was among Jonathan are a thousand Years old, and particularthe Jews of old no Translation but the Chaldaick, that ly that that of Onkelos is not so much as mentioned was by way of eminency call'd Targum. The Ocal by any Jew or Christian who was not after St. Jecasion of this Version was the Change of the Tongue nom fome Ages. The same was said before by as among the Jews: They in the Time of the Capti-Politive a Man, but was never proved, and therevity in Babylon, which lasted 70 Years, corrupted

fore we have no reason to attend to it, much less the Hebrew Tongue, that is, they mixed Hebrew to believe it, especially since we know the Design (their own Tongue) and Chaldee (the Language of of the Man, which was to beat down the Credit that Place where they were) together. Yea, tho and Value of all Translations of the Bible but the the Scribes and Learned Men had not forgot the Latin one. It appears from sufficient Authors, that Hebrew, yet the common People had, and being these two Chaldee Paraphrases are some of the anfed wholly to the Speech of that Countrey, They tientest of the Jewish Writings on the Bible, and understood only Chaldee. Wherefore that they it appears from these Targums themselves that they might have the Bible in a Language which the agree with the Hebrew Text which is extant at this understood, several Chaldean Targums were made

Day. Thirdly, there was the Jerusalem Targum, call'd so either from the peculiar Dialect of it, or from its being first published in that Place. This was upon the Pentateuch only, and was written (as is generally thought) by R. Jochanan after the Destruction of Jerusalem. To these 3 Chaldee Paraphrases (which were of Greatest Authority among the Jews, and were read in their Synagogues) are

slation or Paraphrase rather on the Prophets and the Historical Books. He is said to be R. Hiller's Diff wont to be added two others, viz. the Targum of ciple, and to have lived a little before our Saviour's Jonathan (the Rabbi before mention'd) on the Pen-Nativity. Secondly, there was that of Onkelon, theuch, and the Targum of Joseph the Blind on the which was only on the Pentateuch. This Author Psalms, Job, Proverbs, Esther, Canticles. lived foon after Christ's Time: Though I know a there were other Versions of some other Books of very 'Confident Writer tells us, that there is read the Bible which were made for the Sake of the die son to doubt whether Onkelos and Jonathan were spersed Jews in Chaldea, and were likewise call'd the Tar-1 16. Vossius. 2 Morin. Exercitat. Bibl. 1. 2. Exercitat. 8.

Targumim, all which are unanimously acknowledg'd by the Learnedest of the Antients and Moderns to

be faithful Translations of the Original; and none but prepossessed Minds can find any disagreement between them as to the Main. It is true these are Paraphrasts rather than Translators, and therefore it can't be expected that these Targumists should render the Hebrew Word for Word: It cannot rationally be thought that in this free way of giving the Sense of the Original they should be exact: They intended a Comment only in some places, and not an exact Version. To pass then from the Translations which have

been made in the Oriental Tongues to some Others, I will in the next Place speak of the Greek Versions of the Bible, and more especially of that of the Septuagint. The Greek Translations of the Old Testament are either those that were made fince our Saviour's Time, or that Celebrated One made before it. As for those that were made since Christ's Time, the Author of the first of them was Aquila, who lived under the Emperor Adrian, and was converted from Gentilism to Christianity, and then for fook Christianity and turned Jew, and translated the Old Testament out of Hebrew into Greek. He was a very Morose Interpreter, even to Superstition adhering to the Hebrew Letter, and altogether averse from the Seventy's Translation. The next Greek Version was that of Theodo-

tion, in the Emperor Commodus's Time, who was

an Ebionite or Judaizing Christian. A third was

put out in the Emperor Severus's Reign by Symma-

chus, who was first a Jew of the Samaritan Sect,

and you hear what kind of Persons they were. One of these Translations was wholly Literal, the other took a Liberty and followed the Sense, and the third was of a middle Nature: But none of them were ever publickly received, and read by the Church. Wherefore there is no reason to quarrel with the Hebrew Text, and to accuse it of Corruption if we find that these vary from it: Though to speak impartially, the Translations of these foresaid Men (notwithstanding that they bear the Chracter of Apostates and Hereticks) dissent not from the Hebrew in any thing of confiderable Moment. There are two other Translations mentioned, but we know not the Authors of them. These five with the LXX's Version made up Origen's Hexapla. As for the other Greek Interpretations of the Old Testament which were publish'd afterwards, viz. that of Lucian the Martyr, and the other of Hesychius, they were not (properly speak-

ing) New Versions, but only New and Correct Edi-

tions of the Septuagint Translation, which was

purged from its Errors and Faults by these Worthy

So much concerning the Greek Tran-

Undertakers.

Bations since Christ. Our main Business is with that which was before our Saviour's Days, that First Translation which was made of the Bible by the Jews, that most Famous Work of the Seventy Elders about 250, 0thers say about 260 Years before Christ's Birth. It is true, before the LXX fet about the Version of the whole Bible, some part of it was translated into Greek. viz. Moses's Writings in the time of the Persian Monarchy, if we may believe Megasthenes,

and afterwards a Christian, but an Ebionite or Judaizing Heretick, wherefore he is call'd Semi-christianus by St. Jerom. These were the Authors of

484 The Excellency and Perfection who is quoted by 'Eusebius. And 'Clement of

Alexandria attests, that some part of the Old Testament was turn'd into Greek a little before Alexander the Great's Time. Which is not improbable if we consider that from about the time that Alexander the Great transferr'd the Persian Monarchy to the Greeks, the Greek Tongue spread it self, and became the Universal Language, insomuch that the Jews in Asia, Egypt and Greece forgot their Hebrew, and understood the Greek only. But this is not the Verfion which I am now to speak of, which is the Celebrated Translation of the Seventy Jews, who rendred the whole Book of the Old Testament into

Greek: And it feems (according to what hath been faid) there was a kind of Necessity for it, because in the East the Hebrew was grown to be an unknown Tongue, and the very Jews generally understood nothing but Greek. Some have observ'd a considerable Disagreement between the Hebrew Text and this Greek Version, and hereupon they undertake to form an Argument against the Perfection of the Holy Scriptures; for they argue thus, There is great reason to affert the Authority of this Tranflation, and to believe it is True and Genuine: Which, if it be granted, makes the Hebrew Text to be suspected, nay it will follow thence that it is faulty and defective, because there is so vast a Difference between the one and the other. If this of the Seventy be a True Version, then the Hebrem of the Bible which we have is not the True Original, but is corrupted and depraved, and confequently there is a sufficient Prooof of the Scripture's Imper-

Reason in it, and because the greatest Controverly is about This Translation, I will insist much larger on this than on any of the others, and endeavour from the whole to evince the Truth of this Proposition, that the Hebrew Text is not at all faulty, but that it remains still in its Original Purity and Perfection. Here first it will be necessary to enquire into the Occasion, and into the Authors of this famous Greek Version, and also into the Manner of their performing it, and from these to gather of what Authority it is. Ptolomee furnamed Philadelphus, King of Egypt, about the Year of the World 3730. erected a vast Library at Alexandria, and furnished it with all the choicest Books he could procure: But notwithstanding this, he thought it imperfect till the Hebrew Bible was added to it. Accordingly by the Direction of Demetrius Phalereus, who was the Library-keeper, he caused this Excellent Monument of Learning to be deposited in it. But because he was ignorant of the Language in which it was written, he by Letters importuned the High Priest and the Rulers at Jerusalem, to send him some Persons to translate it out of the Hebrew into the Greek. Whereupon they fent him Seventy or Seventy two Interpreters, in imitation perhaps of that Number of Elders which Moses was commanded to take with him when he went up to the Mount to receive the Law. And these Select Persons betook themselves to the Employment which the King set them about, and sirst translated the Pentateuch, and a while after the rest of the Old Testament into Greek. This is generally allowed by the most Exact Searchers into History to be real Matter of Fact, as being vouched by Writers of very good account, and whose joint Authority in this Case we have no reason to suspect.

1 Pran. Evano. L. o. c. a. w. 2 Strom. L. r.

Now because this may seem to have something of

fection.

As for some Particular Circustances which relate: to this Matter, as the Place where they met, their Mavellous Confent in the Work, and the Time they dispatched it in, these may be doubted of, though for my part I see no solid ground of denying them The whole Translation was finish'd altogether. in 72 Days, saith Aristaus (or Aristaus, for his Name is written both Ways) one that was a great Favourite of King Ptolomee, and writ the History of this Greek Translation of the Jewish Elders: But this Author is thought to be spurious by ' Vostil us, and by some other Learned Men before him. As to the Place, Philo the Jew, Justin Martyr, and others tell us it was the Great Tower in the Isle of Pharos, which was fee up to direct the Mariners in the dangerous Scas about Alexandria. Upon which a

Great Critick turns Devout, and exerts his Fancy very piously, observing this to be a proper Place for fuch a Work, the Bible being truly a Light to lighten the Gentile World, a Light hung out to guide all doubting and troubled Souls in the Storms and Tempests they meet with. And there were Distinct Places (if you will credit some Jewish and Christian Writers) wherein these Interpreters separately performed the task which they were fet about. They did the Work each of them in diverse Rooms, fay the Talmud and the Rabbins. They were put in-

to 70 distinct Cells when they translated the Bible, faith Justin Martyr in his Apology to the Roman Emperor: And moreover he adds that he was at Pharos, and saw what was left of those Cells! And with him agree Irenaus, Clemens of Alexandria, Epiphanius, Cyril of Jerusalem, and Augusline. And further, though an Arabick Commentator De Histor. Grac. Mr. Gregory.

as if some Person stood by them and invisibly distated to them, although the Chaldee might be translated diverse ways, the Greek Tongue being so copious. And he further adds that 'there was a Feast yearly in the Pharos, whither the Jews went to solemnize it, and to see the Place where this Version was made. But how can this be reconciled with the 'Fast appointed to be kept by the Jews on the 8th Day of Thebet or December, because the Law of Moses was translated into the Greek Yongue by the Jews of Alexandria in Ptolomee's Time, at which time they say there was Darkness three Days together over the whole World? That therefore which Philo faith, seems rather to be said on purpose to inhanse the Credit of this Translation, for which reason we may justly question the Truth of it. Josephus who purposely treats of the turning the Law into Greek by King Ptolomee's Order, faith nothing of the Different Cells, nor doth he represent the Interpreters as Inspired Per-

licve

3 Scalig, de Emen I. Temp.

of the facily scriptures. 487

tator on the Pentateuch (whom Mr. Gregory cites)

reports that the 70 Seniors disagreed in their

Translation the first time, and so were set to it

again, yet these Fathers take notice of no such

thing, but tell us that though these Translators were

separated into distinct Places by themselves, yet they

all agreed in the same very Words and Syllables.

Which they borrowed, it is likely from 'Philo,

who had expressly said they all exactly agreed on the

same Names and Words to interpret the Chaldee by,

(for he calls it the Chaldee instead of the Hebrew)

fons. And St. Jerom, who was a Searching Man,

was the first of the Fathers that opposed and con-

tradicted this Story, declaring that he could not be-

Ii4

' Ibid.

De vit. Mos. 1. 2.

Antiq. Jud. 1, 12, C, 2.

fon

lieve any thing concerning these Distinct Rooms and Apartments, and the Miraculous Agreement of the Interpreters in these separated Cells, giving this Reason for it, because neither Aristans nor

Fosephus speak a Word of them. But some are not satisfied with this, but roundly tell us that Jerom had made a New Translation of the Bible out of the Hebrew himself, wherein he very much differ'd from the LXX, and so he was obliged to disparage the Cells and the Translators, to make way for his own Translation. This is the uncharitable Censure which One gives of this Great Father. And as for Arisheas, he comes off thus with him, it is no wonder that he faith nothing of the Cells; for this Aristaes who is quoted by St. Jerom, is not the genuine Author, but a spurious one, for the Fathers quote many things out of him which are not to be found in this Book. But Another tells us another Story, viz. that the Hellenist Jews, who read the Translation of the 70 in their Synagogues, were the Inventers of this History of the Translators, and put it out in one Aristaus's Name. And the same Ferson moreover presents us with this New Conceit, that it was call'd the Translation of the Seventy, not from Seventy Translators who were the Authors of it, but from the Seventy Judges, i. c. the Sanbedrim at Jerusalem, who authorized and approved of it. Then, as for Josephus, we are put off thus by Mr. Gregory, viz. that he is wont to comply with his Readers, and useth not to put Great and Wonderful things on their Belief if he can help it, as appears in his Relation of the Ifraelites passing the Red Sea,

and Nebuchadnezzar's going to Grass, &c. so here he omits the Seventy Seniours their Consenting in that wonderful Manner in the Translating the Hebrew Bible, because it would have been incredible to the Gentiles, that Persons separated and shut up from one another should agree so exactly. To this effect you'l find that Notable Critick speaking: But it will appear to be a very Sorry Evasion (as those of the other Persons before mention'd are) if any Man look narrowly into it; for upon the fame ground that he gives here, that Jewish Historian might have omitted most of the things he relates, because they are very Great and Wonderful, and far exceed the Belief of a Pagan.

of the Holy Scriptures.

We are not then to attend to fuch poor Suggestions as these, and to swallow all that hath been related by Writers concerning the Seventy Interpreters. Neither is there reason to disbelieve all they have faid, but in this (as in most Historical Relations) we ought to credit what is most Probable, and to reject the rest. We need not with Epiphanius and Augustine hold that the Seventy Interpreters were divinely inspired, and that their Translation of the Bible was done in a Miraculous Manner, and that it is of Divine Authority, which we may find some Writers aiming at; but on the other hand there is no ground to affirm that all which Aristeas and Aristobulus say concerning the Seventy's Version is Fable and Fiction, as the 'Parifian Professor of Divinity pronounceth, but very rashly in my Judgment. We have no reason to deny the Chief and General things which are related concerning the Seventy Seniours who were employed in turning the Old Testament into Greek; we have no rea-

Bieron. Præft in Pentateuch. \* Mr. Gregory. mon, Crit. Hist. I. 2.

<sup>!</sup> Du Pin.

of the Holy Scriptures.

fon to question their Skill and Ability (as to the Main) to perform that Task, we have no reason to deny the Authority of their Version, i. e. that it was really Theirs, and that it is Genuine. We

are certain that it was approved of by the Testimony of all the Jews who flourish'd before the Destruction of Jerusalem, viz. Aristaeas, Eupolemus, Aristobulus, both the Philo's, Josephus, &c. We are certain that the Hellenist Jews, i. e. fuch as lived among the Grecians, and read the Scriptures in this Version, and pray'd and performed all other Offices in Greek, esteemed it equally with the Original, and read it constantly in their Synagogues, We are certain that Christ and the Apostles followed

the Greek and Latin Church for 400 Years received and approved it, as the most Authentick of all the Greek Translations. But this, you will fay, makes the Objection stronger: For if the LXX's Version be of such Authority, and yet differs from the Hebrew, then this shakes the Credit and Authority of the Hebren, which is the Original Scripture. But I answer, we are giving the Greek Translation of the Seventy its due, but we do not intend hereby to wrong the

this Translation generally: And we are fure that

belongs to them; which I find several Learned Writers of late are unwilling to do. When I affirm that the Septuagint's Version was not only heretofore, but is to this Day of undoubted Authority, and is the most Authentick Greek Translation of the Old Testament that is extant, I do not say it is Faultless, and that it is to be equall'd with the He-

brew; but I politively affert that it hath many Errors and Mistakes, many faulty Omissions and Additions, many Disorders and Corruptions in it, and

nd yet that nowithstanding this it is the most Authentick and justly esteemed Version among all the Antient ones, and is of great use in the Church. it was hotly disputed of Old which of these two, the Hebrew Bible or the Seventy's Translation, should have the Preheminence. Some in a very high Manmrextoll'd the latter, and disparaged the former; then came Jerom, and was not content to cry up this, but immoderately inveigh'd against the other, and cried it down as not to be suffered. And we

have feen this Old Controversy newly started and revived by some of late: Some on one side applauding the Hebrew to the Height, that they wholly difregard the Greek Version of the 70 Elders; others on theother side crying up this with a vilifying of the Hebrew Text. Ludovicus Capellus goes this latter way, but he is outdone by Morinus, who shews himself a Sworn Enemy to the Hebrew Text, and at a high Rate defends the Greek Translation of the Seventy in all things: insomuch that a Man may plainly see he resolves to do it at a Venture,

whether there be any reason for it or no. He is

back'd by Isaac Vossius, who pretending he saw the Hebrew Text magnified and adored by some Men, (Half-Jews he calls them) thereupon undertook to fand up for the Septuagint, and destroy the Autho-Hebrew: Yea, our design is to give unto both what nity of the Hebrew Original. It will not suffice this Gentleman to fay the Greek Version of the Elders is Divine, but from his Discourse he would have us gather that the Hebrew Text is scarcely Humane, it being so disorder'd, so lame, so miserably corrupted. These are the Extreams which Men unadvisedly run into; that they may extol. the Greek Version, they shamefully vilify the Hebrew Text. But I will take another Course, not endeayouring to oppose one of these to the other, but so far

far as it is fitting, reconcile them both: Which i will do by shewing you what is the true Difference out of Carelessness or something that is worse. between these two, and whence it ariseth. The Instances of this kind are very numerous, but Difference between the Hebrew and the Seventy them away. Version, as an Argument to prove that Points were not antiently annexed to the Hebrew Bible; for lience it is, fay they, that there is that Variety of Reading: The Bible was at that time without Vonels, and consequently a great many Words were capable of being read, and accordingly translated Diversly. But this is a Fallacy, for though the Mistakes in the Greek Version proceeded partly from the want of Points in the Hebrew Bibles, i.e. those Bibles which the 70 Interpreters used, yetit doth not follow thence that no Hebrew Bibles had For so it was that all their Bibles were not written with Points, but some Persons, to expedite the writing them over, left them out. The short is that though these were from the beginning, (as hath been faid in the Entrance of these Discourles on the Scriptures ) yet they were not always used; and when they were used, they were not always carefully attended to: Whence happen'd many of those Mistakes which we may take notice of in the Version of the Jewish Elders. They either had those Bibles which had been transcribed with out Points, or they mistook the Points themselves

First then, the Difference which we observe to will content my self with naming a few only. be between the Hebrew Bible and this Greek Version, In Gen. 14. 5. Beham in Ham (i. e. the Land of proceeds from the mistaking of one Hebrew Vewel Ham) was read by the LXX Behem in ipsis, and for another. Though the 70 Interpreters were accordingly rendred by them αμο: ἀυτοίς. In Gen. fusficiently skill'd in the Hebrew, yet they some is. it. the Word with diffiavit, flatu abegit, was times translated it amis because they did not make read confedit, they attending not to the Vowuse of the Hebrew Vowels or Points, they translated els but the Consonants only, and thence they transby those Copies which had not the Points added to lated it συνεκά Siσεν, he sat by them, whereas accordthe Hebrew Text. Some indeed have alledged the ing to the Original we rightly translate it, he drove The Septuagint did not read it Ba Gad: (two Words) Gen. 30. 11. but Begad, and acwrdingly translated it έν τύχμ. So in Gen. 47. 31. according to the Hebrew we read it Israel bowed himself upon the Bed's Head, but according to the Septuagint upon the Top or Head of his Staff; for these Interpreters in their unpricked Bibles mistook በኞቹ for ቫኒኮር, i.e. a Staff instead of a Bed, and accordingly translated it eáβeo. The Errror proceeded hence, that those Hebrew Words have the same Letters, but the same Points do not belong to them. In Chap. 49.6. Cabodi, my Glory. is rendred τα ήπατά με: Whence it is plain that they took Cabedi to be the Word. And in another Place the Mistake is quite contrary, as in Lam. 2.11. Cabedi, my Liver, is translated δίξα μ8, because they read it Cabodi my Glory. Instead of Lachem Shegnarim, War in the Gates, Judg. 5.8. the Seventy thought it was Lechem Segnorim, barly Bread, as it is in some Copies. In Judg. 7. 11. they mistook the Word Chamushim, armed Men, and read it Chamishim, and accordingly rendred it merrinorm fifty Men. The Hebrew Word is בְּטְנִייִ ad ocu-

> um meum, in 2 Sam. 16, 12. but the 70 read it and thence rendred it έν πωσινώσει με. In 2 Kings

2 Kings 2, 24. they mistook bu for bo, and thereupon inserted a strange unintelligible Word, άφφὰ, into their Translation in that Place. Ther likewise read some Places in the Psalms with false Vowels, and by reason of that Mistake interpreted Ve el, & Deus, as if it had been Ve al, & non, Pfal. 7. 17. and Sam, pofuit, as if it had been Shim nomen, Pfal. 40. 9. and Middeber, à peste, as if it had been Middabar, à verbo, Pfal. 91. 3. So in Pfal. 22. 29. it is plain that they read it Napshi instead of Naphsho, and consequently render it i ψυμί με. They overlook'd a Vowel in Pfal. 2. 9. for the Word is Terognem, thou shalt break them, but they thought it was Dyn, thou fhalt feed them, and fo translated it nosmaveis. Their mistaking of Fourth may be seen in their translating of Isa. 6. 10. which they do thus, Exaguran is rangella The has the Ts, the Heart of this People was made fat; for they read it Hoshman in the Conjugation Hopbal, whereas it should have been Hashmen in the Imperative of Hiphil. According to the Original we render Isa. 7. 20. a Razour that is bired: but the LXX render it that is made drunk, whence it is plain that they thought the Hebrew Word שכירה, which is here used, came from the Verb Shakar, inobriavit, whereas it is derived from Sakar, mercede conducit. They read the Letter Shin with a dexter Point, whereas it should have been read with a finister, In Ifa. 9. 8. it is evident that they mistook the Points in the Word , verbum, and read it , verbum, pestis, mors; and so instead of the Lord fent a Word into Jacob, the reading according to them is, the Lord fent Death into Jacob, Solvector for Adyor. They translate Isa. 24. 23. thus, the Brick shall be melted, and the Wall shall fall; whereas according to the Hebrew 'tis thus, the Moon shall be confounded, and

ibe Sun ashamed: and the Reason of this strange and palpable varying from the Original is this, (as St. Jerom hath observ'd) because the Septuagint read לבנה a Brick instead of לבנה the Moon : and they mistook norn a Wall, for norn the Sun. Again, in Isa. 56. וו. רעום is translated הסטווקסו, because they read it ragnim, mali, instead of rognim, Pafores. The Seventy Interpreters render a Clause in Jer. 3. 2. thus, as a Crow in the Wilderness, &c. which proceeds hence, that the Hebrew Word , a Crow, hath the same radical Letters that or Gnarabi hath, which signisses an Arabian: but the Mistake was in the Vowels. In Jer. 46. 17. for Dw ibi they read Dw nomen, and accordingly render it To ovolva. They read it was, in igne, for fator, and so render it ev mugi, Amos 4. 10. They translate Zech. 11. 17. thus, of wolfaction tes nd μολπεια, supposing the Hebrew Word to be Paftores, not רעי Paftor. In Mal. 2. 3. Zerang, Seed, is rendred & u Shoulder, for no other Reason than this, that Zeroang was mistaken for Zerang. And in many other Places the Seventy Interpreters mistook the Hebrew Words by not observing the Particular Punstations of them: which is one Cause of the Difference between the Greek Version and the Hebrew Text. The not attending to this hath made some, and 'those of no mean Note, imagine that the Hebrew Copies which we now have vary in many things from the Antient ones which the Seventy used when they translated the Bible. A very groundless Imagination certainly, for it is most evident that this Difference between the Seventy and the Hebrew proceeds not from the Corruption of this latter, but from the Mistake

Comment. in Ifa. 2 Drusius, Ludovic. Capellus, Mede.

Mistake and Oversight of the former. Any Man that is willing to see what is before his Eyes may plainly differn that in all these Instances before-

mention'd one Word is taken for another, because the Pointing was wrong. This must needs be, otherwise those Greek Words which the LXX use

would not so exactly answer to the Hebrew Words which we say they mistook for the true Original ones. 2. The Difference sometimes proceeds from mistaking one Confonant for another: as in Gen.6.3. although jadon be from dun, contendere, and therefore we rightly render that Place, my Spirit shall not always strive, or contend; yet the Septuagint deter 7 and 7 occasion'd this Mistake, the Transcribers of the Copies which the Septuagint used ha-

rive it from dur, habitare, permanere, and so tranflate it & mi narameinn. The Affinity of the Letving, it is likely, put one Letter for another, they being so like in Shape. (Thus in many of our English Bibles instead of the word Bands, Isa. 28. 22. we find it printed Hands, b and b being like one another.) ' Jerom observes that vioi 'Podiov is the Seventy's Translation of bene Dedan, Ezek, 27. 15. for they read it Redan, not Dedan, mistaking Resh for Daleth, because those Letters are of a resembling Figure. So in other Places the Likeness of these two Letters is the Occasion of the LXX's wrong interpreting of Words: thus in Job 32. 19. they thought the Word was חרשים, and accord.

ingly render it xalkeds, faber ærarius, (the fingulat

for the plural) whereas the Word is חרשים novin

proceed from no other Cause but this, that they תמור desiderabile. How could they render Charash, fabri, in Exod. 35. 35. by is ayis, if they had not believ'd the Original to be Chadash; which is of Assinity with kadosh? In Pfal. 109. 13. Achar is translated mà, whereas it Mould be MAN, but they read it Achad, una. And hit not reasonable to think that a Daleth was in the Place of a Resh in Zeph. 3. 9. i.e. that their Copies had it bedurah instead of berurah, electum, purum? and accordingly they rendred it els yeveav duris, for they took it to be two distinct Words with a Prefix thus, be dur ab: otherwise it is impossible to imagine how they could translate it

of the Lace of October 163. the Seventy's Copy. And as Resh is taken for Da-

letb, so this is sometimes taken for that, as in Gen.

22. 13. Trik, bebind, is rendred eis, because they

thought the Word was 717, one. In Gen. 49. 14.

mon, assure, is translated to rould, which could

Sometimes the Likeness of Beth and Caph causes a Mistake, so 53N', he shall eat, Eccles. 5. is rendred ev we den by the Greek Interpreters,

VIKOS.

Recause they read it 1281, & luctu. In Pfal. 29. 2. there can be no ground of their Version ev duli

this, that they took an for an, and so instead יה בחררים, in decore, they read בחררים, in con-Mavi. In Ifa. 11.18. there is a Mistake of m for and likewise of 5 for , otherwise they would not have rendred Menahel and xalar, confolder: It is evident that they read Menachem instead of Menahel. And sometimes where there is no Simi-

Atude in the Letters they take one Word for ano-

instead of בְּטַלְתִי, and In Isa. 16. 4. the Hebrew Word Sad, a Destroyer, pon that Mistake translated the Word by the is rendred o aggar, because the Word was Sar in Greek eneknow. Mso is the known Word that figincs a Forehead, but in Ezek. 3. 8. it is rendred ' Comment, in Ezek. 27. Kk

vinces, of which I can give no other Account but this, that the Word in their Copies was [14] villoria, a Nun for a Mem. I am apt to think, that whereas the Hebrew Word in Zeph. 2. 13. is 250 defolatio, their Books had it 279, corvus, and thence they render it nogas. When I observ'd the Differ rence between the Original [there shall be a Fount

tain opened] Zech. 13. 1. and the Seventy's Version on, [there shall be a Place opened] I foon found the Mistake in this latter, viz. their reading of popular locus, (whence they render it 1646) for 170, fors. Lastly, I am perswaded that the Verb Barak was thought to be the Root in the latter Clause of vita

of 1 Sam. 2. and thence Europeioral is the Word used by the LXX, but the right Word is bara; which is mistaking one Consonant for another, Caph for an Aleph. But I submit my Thoughts concerning these Texts to the Judicious, who will either ther join with me, or candidly accept of my Conjectures. I mention not here any of those In stances which the foresaid Learned Father hath given, shewing how the Seventy take one Letter in a Word for another, and so have made quite another ther Word of it, and accordingly have translated it. Hottinger also hath brought fundry Examples

there must needs be a Difference between the Her 3. They sometimes mistake both Vowels and Con-

stances not only Letters but Points are mistaken. That Place, Gen. 49. 10. To αποκείμενα άυτῶ, or απόκαται (which was the antient Greek Version, as Justin Martyr and Origen testify) is rendred so from their taking Shelo, ille cujus, or cui, for Shiloh. And in the same Verse instead of the Hebrew Congregatio, they took the Word to be from the Root operavit, and thence they render it weordonia expellatio. Again, in 22. of this Chapter instead of incedebat, iney read it אַטְירָה minorennis, and accordingly the Greek Word is νεώτατω. They took a Daleth for Resh, and moreover were mistaken as to the

Points. So in 1 Sam. 6. 18. they read Eben for Abel, ind so interpreted it λίθω, a Mistake of a Nun for a Lamed, together with an Overlight as to the Fricks. And in ch. 19.16. Kebir, pulvinar, would inthave been rendred επας, unless the Word had semed to them to be Cabed, jecur: so that it aptears they fail'd not only in a Consonant but two wowels: I doubt not but they thought the Word is min vivet, Job 8, 17. and accordingly they inder it Choeral, whenas the right Word is min Can there be any Reason assign'd why Behjeth is translated ev φάσματι, in visione, Numb. 6.30. but this, that they read it Baroeth? Vau thd Jod are alike, and so were mistaken one for the other, and [] instead of [:] in the beginning

of the Word. Any observant Eye may perceive the Seventy's Version, &ς Δαυίδ, Isa. 29. 3. that they took the Hebrew Word to be ברוד, thereas the true Word is כרור, Caddur pila, scu In Hof. 12. 12. שְׁוֹרִים boves is according to the Greek Translators & exourts, whence one may wels that Sharim was thought by them to be the

Kk 2

sonants: As in Judg. 5. 10. the Word was thought by them to be Tfacharajim, and on that Supposal was translated μιστμβείαι: but the right Word was Tsecharoth, candida. In 154. 26. 14. Rephains mortui, is rendred iarroi, because the Wordway

to shew that they erred as to Consonants, that they

palpably mistook them from the Likeness of onesto

another, and so rendred the Text fally: wheney

brew and the Greek Bible.

JOO THE EXECUTION OF WHAT A SILECTION of the xacty acripitives. 501 Original Word. Lamnatseach is the Title of the and the LXX in this Place than what Dr. Pocock funcifully suggests, who tells us that the Seventy's fourth Pfalm, and several others, but they thought καιρπός is the same with κάς πωια, which is the it to be Lanersach, and hence rendred it as who a Word they use for an Holocaust, which being of for Netsach is a very large Word, and both with young Bullocks (but we know that fort of Sacrifices and without Lamed before it, is sometimes adverwas of other Animals as well as these) καρπός is bially taken, and is as much as in finom. The made use of for Galves, for these Sacrifices were like they err'd both as to a Letter and the Vowels. And Fruit or Banquet after a Meal. But a Man would so, they did in Pfal. 22. 24. where the Word rather think they were the Meal it felf, for these Mimmennu, but they read it Mimmagni, as apwhole Burnt-Offerings, were the substantial Service pears by their translating it απ' εμά. It is worth of the Jews, even when there were no other Sacriobserving that will, thou shall be feared, (or, a fices belides at the same time. But we must give Our Translators render it, thou mayst he feared) these Arabian Criticks leave to propound their Con-Pfal. 130. 4. is frangely rendred by the Greek In jectures as well as other Men. In Hab. 1. 5. they terpreters eversy as ovollated as, for the Name's trioneously read בינים for בנוים, and so translasuke: which is a Fault of the Transcribers, they ted it καταφεούνται instead of έν έθνεσι. writing those Words instead of Everge The works in 4. It happens that the Translation of the Sevenfor thy Lault fake. This we may gather from the y differs from the Hebrew Original, because the Vulgar Latin, which continually follows the LXX same Words in Hebrew signify different things, in the Translation of the Afalms, and renderal and consequently the Rendring of them may be vapropter legem tuam, and thence we may fee how the tious, and sometimes seem to disagree with the Militake arose, wis. from their reading INIII for Original Text. As in Isa. 58. 9. because the MIM, or they derived the Word from Jarah, which Word no fignifies both a Pot and a Thorn, the Scin Hipbil fignifies to teach, (whence Torab) where venty render the Plural Word ἀκάνθας Thorns: bethe right Root or Theme is jara, timuit. fore the Thorns feel the Briars, i. c. arc entangled in venty render Fer. 16. 7. thus [they flall, non break one another, which is presently done. This seems Bread for them]; but according to the Hebrewith to be the Sense they intended. What we accord-[they shall not tear themselves for them]: whenceit ing to the Hebrew render the Vally of Baca, or (in may be gather'd, that instead of 277 they read it the Margent) Mulberry-trees, Pfal. 84. 6. is tran-Off?. So in Ezek. 34. 16. a Letter as well as a Vone lated by the Septuagint the Valley ' of the Mourner, el is mistaken, wis. A for T, and Vau for Jod !: ik or Weeper, because the Hebrew Word Nam which stead of Pauly I will destroy, they read They may be derived either from EDA Morus, or from TDA ουλάξω, Ismill keep. The Hebrow in Host 14. 3.18 ! flere, plorare, is equivocal, and so may be diffe-Dina, Calves, but the Greek is καρπός: whereit is evident that the Seventy read 'D, fructus for M. Kk3 rently rim, vituli. This certainly is a plainer and fairer Account of this Difference between the History ที่ หมองยนฉังт . R. Jonah & R. Jehudah explicant per ullem in qua stillac aqua ut Lachrymæ ab Oculis. Pagnin.

rently rendred. And in the same Verse, from the Thus in Gen. 23. 11. wohirm is the Word to ex-Ambiguity of the Word Moreb which is Doctor. press בֵנִי עַכִּי Sons of the People. By the Life of Legislator, as well as Pluvia, the Seventy render, Pharaoh, Gen. 42. 15, 16. is in the LXX's Version it o vo po ງີເາພັ້ນ. Isa. 28. 16. is truly translated भो उमें। પંત્રાંલલ कલફલ છે, i. e. by the Health of Pharaoh, by us, He that believeth shall not make haste, but according to the Seventy Seniours it is thus, He Shall not be ashamed, which is a true Translation also, for the Hebrew Word with fignifies both, as is evident in the Writings of the Hebrews.

like is observable in Jer. 31. 32. בעלתי, which we translate I was a Husband: but the Seventy render it εμέλησε (which by the way we may take notice is a Fault of the Transcribers, for it should be inter λησα (as we learn from Heb. 8. 9. where this Place is alledged) I regarded not. The Ground of the different Version is this, the Verb בעל hath both these Significations, viz. to be a Husband, and to despise, or not have regard to. I have already on another Occasion set down the Different Significations of Hebrew Verbs, which the Reader may confult, and thence enlarge upon this Head. Indeed the Places are almost innumerable, wherein you may fee this Particular exemplified. This therefore

because this bears the same Sense with the other. So Shebet a Scepter, Gen. 49. 10. is ag you a Prince or Ruler according to the Greek Translation. be deliver'd from the Sword of Pharaoh according to the Hebrew, or from the Hand of Pharaoh, Exod. 18. 4. according to the Seventy, is the same. 13 the Son of a Bull or Cow, Lev. 1.5. is rightly according to the Sense rendred uóng a Calf. Fich 13, Deut. 25. 2. a Son of Stripes, is according to the

of the Holy Scriptures.

503

revi-

true meaning translated άξιω ωλυγών, worthy of Stripes: Chereb the Sword is wooken War, Lev. 26. 6, 36, 37. Job 5. 15. and to fall by War, Numb. 14. 3. (as the Greek Interpreters render it) is the same as to fall by the Sword, as the Hebrew hath it. Bene Elohim, Deut. 32. 8. Job 1. 6. & 38. 7. are άγε-Not 968 with the LXX, for by the Sons of God are meant Angels. Fad is rendred divajus, Josh. 4. 24. because the Hand of the Lord and the Power of the

Lord are equivalent. The Seventy make bold to will in a great Measure solve the Difference between turn Majim Water into div Wine, 1 Sam. 25.11. the Hebrew and the LXX's Version, viz. that one for as by Bread in this Place is meant all Manner of Word fignifies two or more things, and thence Food, so by Water we are to understand all Sorts of may be differently rendred. Where there is a Vari-Drink, and consequently Wine it self, for the Text ety of Significations in the Words, there may well be speaks of a Feast, yea such a one as was like the Feast expected some Diversity in the translating of them. of a King, v.36. They do not fully render I King. 5. It is no wonder that the Translation of the 70 12. 5. 2 Chron. 18. 4. when they translate it envaries in many Places from the Hebrew, because these Interpreters do sometimes rather express the Sense quire of the Lord to Day, for according to the Hebrew it should be enquire at the Word of the Lord to Day: but the meaning is the same. In Neb. 4. 2. you read according to the Hebrew of reviving the Stones, for the Root is Chajah; but these Interpre-

ters express it by the Verb iadai sanare, because

Kk4

of the Hebrew Words than exactly render them, Pocock Not. Miscell. in Port. Mos. e. t. Dr. Castel in Letic. Heptaglot. Discourse of the Stile of Script. Chap. 7.

Bagnal Hakephanim, Gr. ¿200 Tois Tteouras. ibad a Nail, Isa. 22. 23. is rendred ag you a Ruler, but the Sense is preserved; for that Promise that Eliakim should be a Nail fastned in a sure Place, imports his being advanced to Shebna's Office or Place of Rule, as the foregoing Verses as well as those that follow plainly snew, The Daughter of Tarshish, Isa. 23. 10. is Carthage according to the Seventy, because they thought this Place was meant by those Words. They render Dibre Haberith, Jer. 34. 18. dation, whereas it should be the Words of the Covewant according to the Original: but who fees not, that it amounts to the same? What according to the Hebrew is the Mountain of the Lord, Mic. 4. 2. is the House of the Lord according to the Septuagint, but these two differ not in the Sense, because the Temple, the House of God, was built on Mount Sion. The Word Derek a Way, Jer. 23. 22. is & 71τήθευμα; in 1 Kings 22.52. it is άμαςτία; in Ezek. 10. 30. it is ανομία; in 2 Chron. 13. 22. & 27. 7. it is veaks; in Job 34. 21. & 36. 23. it is Legov; in Prov. 31. 3. it is vec: but in all these Places' the true meaning of the Hebrew Word is maintain'd. in 1 Chron. 2. 20. Jer. 16. 14. Ezek. 2. 3. & 35. 5. & 37. 21. & 43. 7. is rendred by the Greek Translators oin, but in all these Texts you'l find the Sense of the Hebrew Word kept up. So Jehovah Tsebaoth is rendred in above fitty Places Tos; Bagnal Aph, ch. 22. v. 24. is Junedis; Bag-

of the flavy scriptures.

505

Курі жаутократар. 19 should be translated 56μα, but instead of it we read λόγω, 1 Sam. 15. 34. εμμα, Exod. 17.1. φωνή, Numb. 3.16. So Lashon, which (exactly speaking) is the Tongue, is rendred έμμα, Job 15. 5. φωνή, Ifa. 54. 17. λέξις, Est. 8. 9. In accuracy and propriety of Translanal Nephesh, ch. 23. v. 2. is ασλης , all which tion Shaphah is xan, but the general Significati-Versions are Exegetical. So is that in Eccl. 10. 20. on of the Word is preserv'd when the Word Bagnal SOMO.

τόμα, Ezek. 24. 22. γλάσσα, Gen. 11. 7. λόγο. Prov. 16. 21. Λάλημα, Ezek. 36. 3. are used. I could add feveral hundreds more of the like Nature: but I will at present mention only a few Instances out of the Book of Pfalms. That is a very remarkable one, Pfal. 2. 12. where δράξαοθε maidelas, apprehendite disciplinam, is the rendring of נְשְׁקוֹ כֹּל ofculamini filium, the LXX not intending here barely to Translate, but taking the Liberty to render the Sense, not the Exact Words of the Original. When Heathen Kings and Governours are admonished to kiss the Son, i. e. to submit to the Government of Christ, the Meaning is, that they should accept of his Dottrine and Discipline, and live and act according to these. But others solve this Translation by telling us, that the word Bar had heretofore different Significations, and denoted both a Son and Discipline. If this could be made good, it belongs to the Fourth Particular, where we spoke of the Diversity of Significations which fome Hebrew Words have. Again, in Pfal. 18. 2. & 31.3. they intended not an exact Version, but rather chose to give the Sense of the word Selang a Rock, when they express'd it by sectoma in the former Place, and κεαπείωμα in the latter. In Psal. 1914. their Line, Cavvam, is rendred o Φθόγι αυτών, their Sound or Voice, because it amounts to the same Sense and Intention of the Pfalmist; unless you will say they read it קולם instead of DD, and then it is to be reduced to one of the former Particulars. The word Machol, Dancing, is not improperly rendred 2002, Pfal. 30. 11. because it is of the very same Import. In Pfal. 40. 6. the Sense of the Seventy is the same with that of the Hebrew Text, although the Translation be not Word for Word. Mine Ears bast thou opened.

spened, saith the Hebrew: a Body hast thou prepared me, fay the LXX. Here is one meaning, though the Words differ: Christ is here introduced speaking of his Incarnation, when God the Father gave him a Body, and prepared and fitted it for the Crofs, where it was to be nailed, as the Ear of that Servant who loved his Master, and would not depart from his Family, was fastned for a time to the Door-post; Exod. 21.6. Deut. 15. 17. on which Ground of Similitude the opening or boaring of the Ear is changed into preparing or framing a Body, fitting it for that Work and Service to which it was designed. The Sense then (which is the main thing) is the same, viz. that Christ had a Body given him, that he assumed our Humane Nature, that thereby he might be Obedient, and perform the Part of a Servant. Nay, the Words themselves are not much different, for the Hebrew Verb כָּרָה fignifies as well apparare or comparare as fodere, perforare, and therefore is well rendred by καταρτίζεoai. Moreover, the Ear, which is the Organ of Obedience and Compliance, is Synechdochically put for the Body: nay, perhaps the Hebrew Word Ozen fignifies a Body as well as an Ear, for 'tis well known how different Senses one Word hath among the Hebrews. I could observe to you, that it is rendred ves by the Septuagint, Job 33.16. (as well as σώμα in this Place) and κέκο in Prov. 26. 17. which may convince us of the Ambiguity of the Word. Besides, we know the Latitude of the word Heezin, which fignifies both to hear and to obey. It might be added, that as the Opening or Boaring the Ear signisses Voluntary Subjection or Obedience, and speaks a Willing Servant, (though not this

Buxtorf. Lexic. & Concordant.

this only or altogether, as I have hew'd elsewhere) fo the word owna likewise denotes a Slave or Sav vile Person, Rev. 18.13. Thus opening and prepar ring, the Ear and the Body agree: and the Sense of both put together is this, Thou halt made me Obedie ent. Thus the Hebrew and Greek do friendly accord, so that we need not say with Mr. Isaac Vossia us, that the Jews have corrupted this Place to evade the Prophecy. So in Pfal. 105. 28. the Senfe was attended to, not the Express Words; for whereas in the Hebrew it is [they rebelled not against bis Word], the Word [not] is left out in the Septuagint, they following (as they thought) the Meaning of the Place, for they supposed it had Respect to Pharaoh and the Egyptians, who, when these Plagues (here spoken of) were upon them. rebelled against God's Word. The Negative Particle lo may here be taken Interrogatively, (as in other Places, Isa. 9. 3. Hof. 4. 14.) and then the Words run thus, Did they not rebel against his Word? which is as much as to fay, they did, therefore the LXX translated it Affirmatively, they rebelled, which is the fame with our Old English Version, which we use in our Service, they were not obedient. But if we take [10] here as a downright Negative, then the Place refers not to the Egyptians, but to Moses and Aaron, these rebelled not against his Word. Not of these, but of the others the Septuagint, it is likely, understood the Text, and accordingly rendred it. And in many other Places the Translation is not Literal, but follows the Sense. Which is observed by the Judicious Dr. Pearson in his Paranetick Preface before the Cambridg Edition of the LXX's Bible, where St. Jerom's Exceptions against this Greek Version are answer'd and made void, by shewing in feveral Instances that though we find not the fame

fine Words there that are in the Hebren, yet we find the like Meaning. That is sufficient, because that was the thing the Seventy intended, for their Business was not to tie up themselves closely to the very Words and Phrases of the Hebrew: Which gives us some Account of the Difference between the Greek of the Old Testament and the Original. This sometimes proceeds from the Errors committed by the Transcribers of the Greek Copies. Their Carelessiness in writing them over hath been partly the Cause of the Variation of the Readings in the Hebrew and the 70 Interpreters: as in Prov. 22. Kuei@ Entisé me, Dominus creavit me, is, by the Fault of the Amanuenses, put for Enthose me, poffedit me, which answers to the Hebrew והניי Not but that the word know may here admit of a good Interpretation, for we may understand it of the Iternal Generation of Christ. But Enguer is exafly answerable to the Original, and is the same with eninoaro, which is the Word used by Aquila in his Version of this Place. Wherefore we may inftly impute in not to the Negligence or Ignorance of the Scribes, as St. ' Augustine doth. And ' Jecomplains of this fort of Men, that they fometimes wrote not what they found, but what they understood. And without doubt upon a diligent Search we might find that the LXX's Copy is faulty in other Places by reason of the Scribes, through whose Hands (and those not a few) it passed: 7. The 70 Interpreters are wont to add many. things by way of Paraphrase, and on that Account must needs seem to disagree, with the Hebrew.

Thus to explain Gen. 9. 20. ish baadamah, they infort the word γέωρη thus, ανθρωσ γέωρη

--- --- Cor spent csa they take several Passages out of Scripture, which yis, a Man, i.e. a Husbandman, of the Earth. Morigim is the Word for threshing Instruments, 2 Sam. are applicable (as they thought) to that Place, and there insert them, whence instead of seven 24. 22. Ifa. 41. 15. the Nature of which is 'ex-Verses in this Psalm, according to our last English press'd to us by the Words which the LXX use Translation (which follows the Hebrew) there are here, viz. τροχοί, and τροχοί άμάξης, for the manner of Threshing in those Days was with Carieleven it it, according to the Old one used in our Service, which follows the Septuagint. Thus in Wheels. In Jer. 32. 35. Banheus is added to ex-**Prov. 6.** after what is faid there (v.6, 7, 8.) of the plain the word Μολόχ, for the Signification of Ant, they make bold to add fomething concerning Moloch is a King. In Ezek. 38. 2. Pas is prefix'd to Meorex, to acquaint us that Rhos was another the Bee, Ποςεύθηπ ωςος την μελισσαν, &c. So in Name of Scytbia, whence the Russians. But this sfaiah and Jeremiah, and other Books, they take short way of Commenting or Paraphrasing on the a great Liberty: there are several whole Sentences Hebrew is so usual and frequent with them, and so added that are not in the Hebrew, and many are lest out that are in it. To instance at present only, plain and obvious to be taken notice of, that I need in two of this latter fort, those Words in Prov. 22. not Particularize. 8. They fometimes infert Words without any 6. Train up a Child in the way he should go; and when he is old he will not depart from it, are wholly omitted; Ground or Occasion, Words which ought not to and the whole thirtieth Chapter of the Proverbs, be inserted. Thus though the Hebrew Text saith Gen. 8. 7. Noah's Raven went forth, going out and reand part of the one and thirtieth, are left out in turning, yet the LXX fay it returned not. Here is a this Translation. This is the Greatest Fault we flat Contradiction; though perhaps we may rehave hitherto met with in the Greek Interpreters: concile the Hebrew and Greek, by faying, Noab's but now cometh one which is much greater, and Raven did return unto the Ark, but not into it, but indeed unpardonable, if it be true. was fed by him out of the Window. Or it is like-Then, It is thought by some that in many Places they have wilfully corrupted and perverted ly, say some, he hovered about the Ark, bringing his Prey (Carcases floating on the Water) and dethe Text. It is thought at least that they did not vouring them on the top of the Ark. But this is exactly translate some Places, because they were mere Conjecture. So the Seventy Interpreters put loth to expose the Bible to the Gentiles. This was in Cainan as Arphaxad's Son, Gen. 10. 24. but the too rich and precious a Treasure to be imparted to them. It would be a profaning and polluting of it Hebrew omitteth him, and puts Salah in his stead; unless you will say with Bochart, that this and the thilay it open to all Men. It is Galatinus's Performer Interpolation were the Fault of the Transwassion, that in their turning the Hebrew into scribers of the Seventy's Copies, of which before. Greek they alter'd feveral things, because the Eth-But further, the LXX usually add entire Sentences nicks of their own, when there is no need of a Paraphrase or Comment: as in the 14th Psalm, ver. 3. De Arcan. Cathol. Ver. they

of those Divine Mysteries contain'd in the Bible:

and this the Talmud it felf witnesseth. The Pagan

World was not able to bear several of those things?

they would have feem'd Absurd and Ridiculous to

them if they had been translated as they were in

the Original. Hence, faith he, the Seventy's Ver-

sion is imperfect, and seems to differ, yeareally

doth differ from the Hebrew in many Places. And

a' Learned Doctor of our own tells us, "That

they translated the Bible unwillingly, they be

Titles attelbuted to Christ, and more especially

That [ the Mighty God ]. But this Author is more

posely and manifoldly concealed those Clorious

" ing loth to impart the Knowledg of the Scrip-"ture to Heathens: therefore, though being " commanded by Ptolomy, they undertook this Work, yet going about it with unwilling Minds "they did it Slightly and Perfunctorily, and it is likely Falfly in some Places. And this was long ago the Opinion of St. Joram, who plainly declared that where-ever any thing occurred in the Old Testament concerning the Sacred Trinity, it was either misinterpreted or wholly concealed by thest 70 Elders: and this, he faith, was done by then partly to pleafe:King Ptolomes, and partly because they had no mind to divulge the Mysteries of their Faith to the World. Thus, as he observes it Isa. 9. 6. they left out five order Names of Christ, and put in the place of them The Angel of the Sma Camfel]. They would not let it be known that That Child was God, left they should be thought to worship another God; and therefore they putru targe ei posely Dr. Lightfoot. <sup>4</sup> Ubicunque facratum aliquid Scriptura testatur de Patre & Filio & Spiritu Sancto, aut aliter interpretati funt, aur omnino tacuerunt, ut & Regi satissacerent, arcanum fidei non vulgarent, Præfat, in Pentateuch. 5 In Promito super Quæst. in Genes.

candid and mild in his Centure of these 70 Elders when in other Places he tells us, that many of those Copies and Editions of the Greek Translation, which were then abroad, were corrupted by the Pault of the Transcribers, and that it was his Defign in his Lain Version to correct them. Again, he imputes their Miltakes to their Ignorance, faying, they made this Translation before the coming of Christ, and so knew not, what they rendred in many Places, and therefore did it obscurely and dubloufly. Wherefore he professeth he condemns not the Seventy, but only prefers the Apostes before them, their Writings being nearer to the Hebrew Original. And truly I am not throughly convinced that the Interpreters themselves did wilfully corrupt the

Franslation, that they designedly misinterpreted the Hebrew Text, and fallified in the forement. oned Place and Teveral others: for the Meffiab; the Christ, was not come then, and there was no Controversy about him; and therefore, according to my Appreliention of things, it was too early time of Day to militepresent or corrupt the Bible where ly speaks of him! I rather think this was done afterwards, inamely, after out Savlour appeared in the World, and had been rejected by the Jews as in Impostor. Then these Places before mentioned, and feveral others, began to be perverted;

Illi interpretati sunt ante adventum Christi, & quod nesciebint dubiis protulere sententiis. --- Non damno, non reprehendo Septuaginta, sed considentes cuncits illis Apostolos præsero. Praf. in Pentaceuch.

5 14. The Excellency and Perfection then the Circumcifed Doctors attempted to pare off some Passages, to make some Alterations in the Copies of the LXX which they got into their Hands. Then it was that they corrupted the Chronology of the Bible, which was of great Use to them. Hence it is that you find such a Difference between the Hebrew Copies and those of the Seventy, about the Age of the World: It is not to be question'd that the Jews made an Alteration in the Years mention'd in the Pentateuch, which relate to the Lives of the Patriarchs, more especially those before the Flood, in that Catalogue in Gen. 5., According to the Hebrew Text there were 1656, Years from the Creation to the Flood, but according to the Greek there were about 2250. The younger. 'Vossius is a smart Advocate for the Septuagint, and following their Computation tells us, that 4000 (wanting five or fix) Years were expired before Moses's Death, and that from thence to our Saviour's Coming were above 2000 Years, fo that Christ was incarnate at the end of the Sixth Millenary, or the beginning of the Seventh, The Sum is, that according to Vollius and the LXX Reckon. ing, the time of the World's Beginning anticipates the Vulgar Era at least 1400 Years. This length ning of the Accompt in the Greek Bible we owe, to the Jews after the Coming of Christ, especially after the Destruction of Jerusalem. They then out of their Hatred to Christians changed the Chronoloey of the Greek Interpreters, expunged the Contrafted Era, and introduced a larger one, i. e. they added one thousand four hundred Years to there Books. And their Design in doing this was to confute the Opinion of the Messias's Coming. islandang 🥶 i ili alQ mapric 🧸

Chronolog. Sacra.

It would appear hence that the time was past, according to the general Sense of the Rabbies. For this Reason they made this Alteration in the Greek Translation, though they could not effect it in the Hebrew Copies. Hence arises the Difference between the Hebrew and Greek Computation. But we are assured that the Sacred Chronology deliver'd by Moses is certain, and the Calculation true and authentick, because the Hebrew Text is so, (which I have demonstrated in another Place) and consequently the Greek Version is to be corrected by this. But this Error of the Septuagint is not originally theirs, but is to be imputed to the latter Jews, (I mean those soon after our Saviour's Passion) who designedly and on purpose depraved the Greek Copies of the Bible. They were the Authors of feveral Interpolations, Additions, Omissions, Changes in the Order of the Words, and where-ever they saw occasion to make such Alterations as they thought would be to their purpose. Accordingly we find that their Translation is demaved in five very confiderable Prophecies, viz. Isa. 9. 1. Hos. 11. 1. Zech. 9. 9. & 12. 10. Mal. 4. s, all of them relating to the Proof that Jesus Christ is the True Messias. If any Man peruseth these Texts, and compares the Hebrew and the LXX's Persion together, he will easily be induced to beleve that this latter hath been corrupted by some lews on purpose to serve their Insidelity and Arefeness to Jesus, and that they might not be urged by Christians at any time from the Testimonies in this Greek Translation.

Object. But if the present Version of the LXX be so squity and vicious, why is it quoted by Christ and his sposses, why is it followed by them generally, as was L. 1.2 before

## 516 The Excellency and Perfection

before acknowledged? If the Evangelists and Apostles who were immediately directed by the Holy Ghost quoted this Translation, surely the Authority of it is unquestionable.

Answ. It cannot be denied that the Writers of the New Testament often cite the Version of the Septuagint; yea, and I will grant moreover, that they follow this Translation when it differs from the Hebrew; thus St. Luke, ch. 3. v. 36. takes in Cainan into the Genealogy, because he found it in the LXX. St. Luke, Acts 13.41. or rather St. Paul in his Sermon (recited by him) retains the corrupt, Version of Hab. 1.5. The same Apostle in Rom. 3. 13. follows this Version, though it takes in four or five Verses more than are in the Original. In Rom. 9. 33. the same Apostle alledgeth Isa. 28. 16. Whosoever believeth on him shall not be ashamed, which is not according to the Hebrew, but the Greek. In Rom. 11. 8. he quotes what Isaiah faith, ch. 29. v. 10. but not according to the Original, but the Septuagint, though their Translation [wieuma kaπανύξεως ] be disagreeing with the Hebrew. In Phil. 2. 15. he uses the same Words and Order that are in the LXX, although they invert the Order of the Words in the Hebrew, which is this in Deut. 32. 5. a perverse and crooked Generation; but, they render it γενεά σπολιά κ, διεσραμμβίν, a crooked and perverse Generation. So in Heb. 10. 5. he produceth that Place above-mentioned, [a Body hast thou prepared me] although these Words disagree with the Letter of the Hebrew, and are wholly, conformable to the Septuagint. And lastly, (to name no more at present) when the Apostle tells us, that Jacob worshipped leaning upon the top of bis Staff, Heb. 11.21. it is evident (as hath been already shewed) that he follows the Seventy, who

in their unpricked Bibles read [Matteb] a Rod or Staff for [Mittab] a Bed. Thus it is frankly acknowledged, that the Writers of the New Testament make use of the Greek Translation of the Jewish Elders, even when they depart from the Original Text. And there was good Reason for it, because the Greek Version was at that time generally received and approved of by the fews: wherefore the Apostles being to deal with these Men. they prudently made use of it, and quoted it upon all Occasions. And it was better to do so than to give a stricter and exacter Translation of their own, because this might be liable to Scruple and Controversy, whereas the other was universally entertain'd and approved of. Besides, as a 'Christian Rabbi observes, the Jews who were to read this New Testament could not quarrel with the Quotations because they were taken out of the Book which was translated by those that were Jews, and those very Eminent ones too. And then, as to the Gentiles also, there was a necessity of the Apofiles using the LXX's Translation in their Writings, because these understood not the Hebrew Tongue: wherefore it was requisite to take their Quotations out of this Translation, lest otherwise the Gentiles, in whose Hands the Greek Bibles were, observing that what the Apostles cited was not according to These, should question the Truth of it, and of the New Testament it self. Thus there was a kind of Necessity of using this Translation oftentimes: but this is no Proof of its being faultless and void of all Mistakes and Errors. The Inspired Writers used this Version, not because they wholly approved of it, but because in their Ll 3 Cir-

Dr. Lightfoot, Hor. Hebraic.

further, I answer, that though the Evangelists and The Living Octopiuses. I might have drawn up the like Catalogue of Apostles followed this Translation generally, yet it is as certain that they did not do it always. The Places in the Epistles; I only direct your Eye at present to these ensuing ones, Rom.4.17. Gal. 3. 8. Reader may see here several Places drawn up to his View, wherein this is apparent; and among them Gal. 4. 30. taken from Gen. 17. 4. & 12. 3. & 21. he will find those Five Prophecies before-mentioned, 10. and see that the Evangelists follow not the Seventy in More particularly I might observe to you (in their Translation of these Texts, they knowing pursuance of what I have asserted, that the Evangelists and Apostles do not always make use of the that they were derogatory to the Messias, and to LXX's Translation) that when these Inspired Writhe whole Gospel. ters of the New Testament have occasion to quote The Evangelists differ from the Seventy's Verthe Old, they fometimes keep themselves to the fion in these following Places; Hebrew Text exactly, and have no regard at all to the Words of the Greek Interpreters. It was long Mat. 1.23. fince noted by St. ' Jerom, that when either St. *Ifa.* 7. 14. Mat, 2.6. Matthew or our Saviour in his Gospel quotes the Mic. 5. 2. Mat. 2. 15. Old Testament, they follow not the LXX, but the Hof. 11. 1, Mat. 4. 10. Hebrew. Again, sometimes the Apostles follow Deut. 6. 13. Mat. 4. 15. Ifa. 9.-1, neither the Hebrew nor the Septuagint, but use some Mat. 8. 17. Words and Expressions of their own, and Para-Isa. 53. 4. phrase rather than Translate. This they do to Mark 1.2. Mal. 3. 1. bring the Texts they alledg closer to the purpose, Mark 10.19. Exod. 20. 12, 13, &c. inserting such Words as give an Emphasis to them, Luke 1. 16, 17. and shew the true Scope and Design of the Texts. Mal. 4. 5, 6, Luke 2. 23. taken from Exod. 13.1. Therefore we cannot, we must not hence infer Luke 4. 4. that either the Hebrew Original or the Seventy's Ver-Deut. 8. 3. Luke 4, 18. Isa. 61, 2, son are corrupted; because it was not the Design Luke 7, 27. of the Evangelists to quote the very Words, but Mal. 3. 1. Luke 10. 27. they thought fit to use a Latitude, and to express Deut. 6. 5. John 1. 23. the Text of the Old Testament not in exact Isa, 40. 3. Terms, but as to the Meaning and Import of it. John 6. 45. Ifa. 54. 13. John 12, 15. So in the quoting that Text, Mic. 5. 2. Thou Beth-Zech. 9. 9. John 12, 40. lehem Ephratah, though thou be little among the thou-Isa. 6. 10. sands of Judah, yet out of thee shall he come forth unto John 19, 36. Exod. 12. 36. John 19, 37. me, that is to be Ruler in Israel, the Evangelist Zech. 12. 10. LI4 doth De Script. Eccles.

doth it not verbatim, but sets it down thus, Mat. 2. 6. Then Bethlehem, in the Land of Judah, art not the least among the Princes of Judah; for out of thee Shall come a Governour that shall rule my People Israel. Here are fix or feven Words that are not in the Hebrew, neither are they in the Seventy's Verhon. Yea, there is a Negative put in the Place of an Affirmative; for whereas the Prophet faith, though thou be little, the Evangelist faith, thou ant not lib tle, or not least, which shews that he minds the

Sense and Scope of the Place, not the very Words for though Rethlehem was little, consider'd in it self, as being a small Town, yet it was not little on another Account mention'd by the Prophet, viz. its having the Honour of being the Birth-place of our Lord. Or if this inferting of a Negative may be folyed another way, (as fome have thought, and as I have shew'd in another Place) yet still it is evident that the Evangelist doth not recite the very Words of Micab, but changeth Epbratab for the Land of Judah, and thousands for Princes, and is other Words and Particles varies both from the LXX and the Original. And in many other Texts I might shew you, that the Writers of the New Testament do not tie themselves up to the very Words of the Old Testament, but choose rather to paraphrase, or give the Meaning in other Expressions. Thus we see the Translation of the LXX, though it be often, yet is not always followed in the New Testament. Likewise, although the At postles in these Writings were immediately direct. ed by the Holy Ghost, yet they confined not them.

selves to the expuess. Words of the spirit in the

Original Hebrew; and we see that, though not

Yide The Stile of Scripture, pag. 335.

always,

caple it was generally received, and they thought it not fit to vary from the Translation which was used in the Church: and we see likewise, that when they vary from this Translation, it is no certain Argument that they did not allow and approve of it, for they intended not an Exact Translation themselves, but a Paraphrase, and a rendring the Sense rather than the Words. The Result then of all is this, that we ought to have that Respect and Esteem for the LXX's Version which it deserveth: (to which purpose the 'Judicious Examiner of it before mention'd is to be confulted, who snews how necessary it is for all Divines to be acquainted with it) we ought not to extol it (as some extravagantly have done) above the Hebrew, nor to depress and vilify it (as others have done) as if it were of no Worth or Authority. We ought thus to behave our felves; we must not wholly reject it, because most of its Faults and Mistakes proceed from the mere mistaking of Vowels or Confonants, from the Ambiguity of Words, from the Liberty which they take of Paraphraling, and from the Neglect of Transcribers. But on the other hand, we ought not wholly to embrace this

the

The Lady Ochipunics.

always, yet often they followed the Septuagint, be-

Translation, because it hath fallen into ill Hands,

and hath met with some designing Men (it is pro-

hable) who have endeavour'd to deprave and cor-

rupt it, yea and have actually done it in some

Places. We are concerned therefore to read it

with Candour and Caution; with the former, be-

cause it is a Translation of great Antiquity, yea

the Oldest Greek Translation of the Bible that is,

because it hath been used by the Sacred Penmen of

J. P. Præfat, Paranetic.

them,

Tran-

the New Testameut, because it may be made use of by us for the better understanding and clearing the Sense of the Hebrew, and to other very good Purposes, and because the disagreement between it and the Hebrew may as to the Main admit of a Reconciliation, as I have partly shew'd, and you may further see in the Learned ' Critical Historian, With the latter also, i. e. with Caution we must confult this Version, because we know it hath justly merited the Censure of the Learned; not only of St. Jerom the best Hebrician of all the Fathers, but of a great Number of other Observing and Inquisitive Writers, who find that this Translation doth frequently, and sometimes very grosly dissent from the Hebrew, and for that Cause reprehend it with

great Seriousness. For this they all agree upon, that

where the Greek Version of the 70 is not confor-

mable to the Hebrew either in Words or Sense, (as

in diverse Places it is not) it is perverted and cor-

rupted; and where it is so, we must impeach This, and not the Hebrew of Error and Imperfection. That Assertion of the Younger Vossius, viz. that the 70 Interpreters had the Authentick Copy of the Hebrew Bible, and translated exactly by that, but that the Hebrew Bible which we now have is corrupted, is justly to be exploded as not only Bold but Pernicious: wherein he extreamly gratifics the Romanists, who contend that the Hebrew Text is deprayed, that they may defend the Authority of the Vulgar Latin. But those that are not led by Prejudice discern that this is meer Defign, and that the Business of those Men is to defend the Authority of their Church by what Artifices they can: Wherefore they give no heed to

1 Mons. Simon. Crit. Hist. Book 2.

them, and particularly in this present Matter they despise their fond applauding of the Septuagint, and their groundless Cavils against the Hebrew Text, and notwithstanding their impertinent Suggestions find reason to adhere to this unshaken Truth, that the Hebrew Text only is void of all Faults, Errors, Mistakes, Blemishes, Defects, Depravations, and that it is this we must ultimately rely upon. Wherefore where there is a Difference between the Version of the 70 Seniours and the Hebrew, That is to give place to This, and not This to That. And lastly, which is the rational Conclusion from all that hath been said, there is no Proof of the Scripture's Impersection from this Disagreement between the Hebrew and the Greek.

of the Holy Scriptures.

Next, I will speak of the Latin Versions of the Bible, which even in St. Augustin's Time were so many that they could not be numbred, as 'he saith himself. All the Latin Translations of the Old Testament before Jerom were made out of the LXX's Version, and not out of the Hebrew Original, for generally the Fathers before Jerom used and adhered to the Greek Version. But he attaining to great Skill in the Hebrew contented not himself with these Second-hand Versions, but undertook and finish'd a Translation of his own, wherein he followed the Hebrew Original. The Chiefest Latin Versions were these three, 1. that which was call'd Itala by St. Augustin, by St. Jerom Pulgata, and by Gregory Vetus. This of all the Latin Editions was the most generally received and used, and was really the Antientest of all the Latin

Latini interpretes nullo modo numerari possunt. De doctr. Puistiana, l. 2. c. 11.

of the Holy Scriptures. derstanding Persons, who saw its conformity to the

Translations. But this was but a Translation of a Translation, viz. that of the 70, and must have undergone the same Censure with the Greek Verfion (of which I spoke before) if it had been now extant. But it is not, it is wholly lost: only the Pfalms remain, and as much as is found quoted here and there in the Fathers and Antient Writers. 2. St. Jerom's Version, for this Learned Father ob. ferving the Errors in the feveral Latin Versions (the Italian especially) which were in his time, did (as I said before) translate both the Old and New Testament himself: the first he wholly did by a New Translation out of the Hebrew Original; the fecond was rather a Correction and Emendation of the Old Latin or Italian Version than a New One. The Pfalms, because they were daily sung in the Churches, and could not without offence to the People be changed, remained the same that they were in the Old Version. There is no occasion to add any Censuré of Ours here concerning this Tranflation, because it agrees with the Original Hebrew, Only we will observe that when St. Jerom had finished it, it was not presently received by the Latin Church, but many Bishops refused it, and St. Augufin particularly forbad it to be read in his Diocess. so greatly did they esteem the Greek Version of the LXX. Many that were ignorant in the Hebrew Tongue spoke against this Translation as a meer innovation, and fell heavily upon the Author of it: But he with great earnestness defended his Work, and fometimes repaid the Invectives of his Adverfaries with too much Bitterness. Though some Bishops and others disliked his Translation, yet it was authorized and approved of by Damasus (tha then Bishop of Rome, by whose Command it was first undertaken) and a great Number of other under-

Mistakes which the other Latin Translations abounded with. Whilst this Division tasted both the Transations were publickly read, i.e. they read some Books of the Bible in Jerom's Version, and others in the Italian: and this lasted till the time of Gregory the Great. At length another Translation prevail'd, viz. 3. The Vulyar which we now have, which is made up of both the former, and is call'd by the Romanists Vetus & Vulgata. This by degrees got the better of all the others in the Roman Church, and was generally used by them, and is still Authentick there, and is the Vulgar Latin which they now so commend, yea, which fome of the Church of Rome hold to be of Divine Inspiration, and consequently free from all Faults either in Words or Matter: and there are others of them, as Genebrard and Mariana, who extravagantly extol it, and they would perswade us that both the Italian and St. 7erom's Version are comprised in this one. But it is evident that this is not the Old Italian Translation which was used before Ferom and Au-

Hebrew Text, and perceived it was void of those

gustin's Time, for that was made out of the Greek Version of the 70 Interpreters, whereas this differs from it in many Places. Nor is this Vulgar Latin of the Church of Rome St. Jerom's Version, because that was exactly according to the Hebrew Text; but this though it comes nearer to the Hebrew than to the 70 Interpreters, yet it often varies from the Hebrew, and adds many things to it, as in the Book of Kings especially, and in other Places: So that this Modern Vulgar Edition is not the Pure Version of Jerom, but mixt of his Translation and of the

<sup>!</sup> Gregorius de Valentia, Gretscrus, Titelmannus, &c.

## 526 The Excellency and Perfection

the old one which was in the Latin Church before his Time: And this is the Opinion even of those Great Romanists Baronius and Bellarmine. know then what censure to give of this Latin Edia tion of the Bible, it is for the greatest Part of it very Antient, and hath been used many Ages in the Church, and is justly reckon'd to be a very Learned Translation, for which reason Fagius, who was well skill'd in the Hebrew Tongue, and Drufius, whom all acknowledg to be a Learned Critick, had a great Reverence for this Edition, and give a very high Character of it : and Beza and Grotius prefer. it before all other Latin Translations. Yet this is certain, it hath many things faulty in it; it leaves the Hebrew very often, and follows the Septuaging or the Chaldee Paraphrase, or even some Rabbin. Luoas Brugensis took notice of above fix hundred Faults in it : and Isidore Clarius a Spanish Abbot (and afterwards of the Council of Trent) observed eight, thousand Errata's in it. Besides that it hath many Barbarous Words, the Sense in many Places is corrupted, and sometimes quite lost. Sometimes is runs directly contrary to the Original Text, as in Gen. 8.7. non revertebatur instead of revertebatur; And in 1 Cor. 15. 51. Omnes quidem resurgemus, fed non omnes immutabimur; whereas according to the Greek it should have been, Non omnes dormiemus, sed omnes mutabimur: And several Instances; might be produced of the like Nature. So far is, the Vulgar Latin from being absolutely Authentick, as the 'Council of Trent determined it to be even before that Edition was mended. But see how that Council baffles it felf; it defines the Vulgar Latin to be the Authentick, and then orders it

of the Holy Scriptures. to be Corrected, and printed again. Accordingly the Popes fet about the mending of it, first Sixtus the Fifth put forth a mended Copy, and tied all Perfons to that: when he was dead Gregory the Fourteenth fet about the correcting of that Edition: and afterwards Clement the Eighth amended Pope Gregony's in many Places. This was done after the Council of Trent had declared the Vulgar Latin to be the Authentick Copy: Which, with what we have fuggested before, is a clear Proof that it deserves not that Epithet, but that there were and are still in it many Corruptions. In vain therefore doth the Church of Rome prefer this Vulgar Latin Edition of the Bible before the Hebrew and Greek Originals; unreasonably do the Doctors of that Church complain of the Defects and Errors of these, yea malidoully do they urge the Disagreement between these, especially the Hebrew and the Vulgar Latin, and thereby endeavour to accuse the Sacred Scriptures of Imperfection. The Sum is (notwithstanding what the Romanists and some others that are their Abettors, endeavour to impose upon the World) the latter, i. e. the Vulgar Latin is ever to be corrected by the former, viz. the Hebren, and not this by that.

Besides these 3 Old Latin Versions there are others that may justly be called Modern; for soon after the Year of our Lord 1500, there arose several Learned Men well skill'd in the Tongues, who feeing the Corruptions that were in the Latin Versions, and comparing these with the Originals, endeavoured to correct them by those Fountains. Hence after the Attempts of Ximenius Archbishop of Tokdo in hif Opus Biblicum Complutense, which came a. D. 1515. and was the first Polyglot Bible; and after the publishing of Pfalterium Octo-

low'd the Very Words.

Afterwards Castellio put

plum in a short time afterwards by Justinian an Italian Bishop, there appear'd in the World the

X a a my executive and a subscript

Translation of all the Hebrew Bible into Latin by Santes Pagninus a Dominican Friar. This Verlien was made Interlinear with the Hebrew Bible by aring

as Montanus; or rather, this Version which Pagning had put out being not exactly Literal, Montanus supplied it, and sitted it to the very Hebrew Words, and then put out a New Edition: and many Years

after this it was reprinted in the King of Spain's Great Bible, which Montanus put forth. Cardinali Cajetan also turned the Old Testament out of Hen brew into Latin. Isidorus Clarius cannot se properly be call'd a Translator, as a Correttor of the Vull gar Latin. Maluenda a Dominican rendred fond Books of the Old Testament into this Language The Renowned Erasmus (whom, F. Simon, takes of

notice of in his Catalogue of Translations) turns the New Testament into Latin, Hitherto I have mentioned Roman Garbolishin next follow Protestants and those of the Reformant Religion, the first whereof was Sebastian Mansking German, who publish'd his New Latin Version the Old Testament three Years before Paguings came forth, and afterwards corrected it and pubic out anew. He is a most exact Renderer of this stuc Sense of the Hebrew Text. Leo Juda a Zuinglinn

of Helvetia translated the Old Testament out of Hev brew, and it was published after his Death, about the Year 1543; the last Edition of which is usuali ly call'd Vanablus's Bible; because he added Novem to it, or the Biblia Tigurina from the Place 6256rich) where the Translator was Pastor. Hesindule geth a kind of Paraphrase, to make the Sente more 12.5

Protestants, as if it were too light and florid, too quaint and fanciful; but if we consider the Design of this Translator, which was to recommend the Holy Scriptures by presenting them in a Neat and Elegant Stile, we shall see little reason to blame The New Testament was turn'd into the hint. fame Language by Theodore Beza. And last of all, Janias and Tremellius did both of them jointly tranfate the Old Testament out of Hebrew, and Tre-

forth a Latin Translation of the whole Bible, for

which he is severely reproved both by Papists and

mellius alone the New Testament out of Syriack: a Work which is mightily applauded by the Learnd Buxtorf (who had Skill to judg of it ) and is confautly made use of in his Lexicon. As to the Osiinders (Father and Son) though they be reckon'd mong the Modern Translators by F. Simon, yet I do not see that it can properly be done, because they mly put forth the Antient Latin Version Word for Word in the Old Edition, with some Corrections of

their own in the Margin, not altering the Textat III. These are the Latter Versions of the Bible, all which have more or less amended the Faults of the fulgar Latin, and have brought us nearer to the fountain. Upon the whole I conclude that these everal Learned Translators are all of them in their hind very useful, some by keeping close to the Oria final, others by using a Latitude. They have presented us, but in a Different Stile and Mode, With the true genuine meaning of the Original, the none but Frivolous Objectors can complain of y considerable Disagreement between these Verhas and the Hebrew or Greek Text. The Diffe-

thee that is between the Translations themselves

M m

A. D. 1527.

is usually in the Diversity of Expressions used by the Translators, which causeth no Disagreement between them and the Originals. But if any other Difference be found, we know that the Latin must always give way to the Hebrew and Greek, and be regulated by them as the Clock by the Sun. Take this in the Words of a Great Man, even of the Roman Perswasion; "Wheresoever, saith he, the Latin Translators disagree, or a reading is see

Latin Translators disagree, or a reading is sufpected to be corrupted, we must repair to the
Original in which the Scriptures were writ, as
St. Jerom and Augustin and other Writers of the
Church direct: so that the Trush and Sincerity

of the Translations of the Old Testament must be examined by the Hebrew Copies, and of the New by the Greek Ones. So Cardinal Ximenius in his

Preface to Pope Leo.
Having gone thus far, I will now proceed farther,

and speak concerning Our Own Translation. Our Countrey-man Bede about 700 Years after Christ translated the Bible into Saxon. Wickliff about 600 Years afterwards translated it into the English Language, then understood and used by the People of this Place. Not long after this John Trevifa, a Cornish Divine, set forth the whole Bible in English. In the Year 1527, Tindal translated the Pentateuch and the New Testament: and afterwards both he and Coverdale joined in the Work, and is nish'd the Translation of the whole Bible. Tunstal and Heath (both Bishops) translated it anew: and in the beginning of Q. Elizabeth's Reign Archbishop Parker and other Bishops made another more Correct Translation, which was call'd the Bishop's Tranflation, or Bible. In K. James's Time another came forth, which we make use of and read in our

Churches at this Day. It is certain that this last

English

English Translation of the Bible is in great repute among Foreigners, and is acknowledged by them to be the most exact that is extant. We have as great reason to own it to be such, especially if we take it with the Margin, where are fet down the feveral Senses of many Original Words, whether Hebrew or Greek: fo that where there is any doubt of the meaning of the Word which occurs, we may take our choice. Our English Bibles furpass all other Translations as to this, and hereby it comes to pass that the Holy Scriptures are faithfully and fully represented to our People, and they are laid before them in their native Purity and Perfection, so far as the Skill and Labours of those Translators attained to at that time. And yet I conceive it would be no Derogation to our English Bible if it were once more revised, and the Translation made more accurate and exact in some Places than it is. Which leads me to the Next Gewral Part of my Undertaking, viz. the Emendation of the present English Version.

Mm 2

CHAP.

## OHAP XHI

d Artifler to remember on a bank followed to the parameter comm

Our English Translation show'd to be faulty and defession in some Places of the Old Testament. But more largely and fully this is performed in the squenal Books of the Now. Testament, where abundant instances are produced of this Desast: and particular lar Emendations are all along offer'd, in order to the rendring our Translation more escalt and compleat. The Date of the Division of the Bible inta Chapters and Verses.

Will now, according to what I propounded in the Entrance into this Discourse, attempt to they the Defect of the present English Translation, and at the same time to let you see how it is to be supplied and remedied; that so this Sacred Volume may be presented to the Readers in its Utmost Perfection. There is a great Number of Places both in the Old and New Testament which ought to be otherwise rendred, but I will chiefly confine my self to the New Testament at present. It is true the Margin of our Bibles doth give us another Sense or Version of the Words in many Places: but those I shall pass by, because they are already before the Reader's Eye. I shall take notice of those Words only which are not otherwise translated in the Margin. Many Corrections of the English Translation are attempted by that Excellent Knight Sir Norton Knatchbull, in his Annotations on the New Testament: but I have not inserted any of them here, because I design to mention those only which are of my own Observation, and which at several Readings of the Bible have occurred to

me. I will instance in those Mistakes and Faults alone which are wer (that I know of) found out and oblerved by any other Persons. Nor am I withis Arrempt sindeavouring to much to discover a Fund verion as to render the present one (which is Cood and Excellenc) butter, by laying ande some wifit Words and Modes of Specen, and by hibitiatting others in their room, and by changing the Marie and Disposition of some particular Periods. Those few Places of the Old Testament which I biller to be amended are these; Gen. 27. 38. Hast How but one Bleffind & where there is a Word left out one. that distinctive Particle A'n, fo that acdording to the Hebren it should be rendred thus, balt thou but that one Bleffing? The Omission of tibht] is a Fault in Our Translation, as well as in The others. In 2 Kings 5. 18. the word ring is thrice used, which signifies to bow, but we translate It to worship in one of the Places; which I reckon as faulty, because the same Words ought to be translated alike. In Pfal. 14. 2. the true Version is the Soms of Adam. In Pfal. 104. 25. the Hebrew Word won should be rendred Swimming, for that is the Denotation of the Word when it is applied to Fishes. In the Old English Translation of the Book of Pfalms which is used in our Divine Service, there are many things that require Correction: but because it may be our Church retains it for the Tame Reason, that when St. Jerom translated the Bible into Latin, he did not alter the former Verflon of the Psalms, but left it entire as it was, because these were sung in the Publick Assemblies, and People generally had them by Heart; wherefore he was loth to discompose so settled a piece of Devotion; for this Reason I will say nothing here

towards the Amendment of this Translation. In

M m 3

Ifa.

Judg. 3. 15. (and in half a score Places more) in-

stead of Benjaminite or Benjaminites: for (as I

have hinted before) the Word being used to signi-

Isa. 1. 13. we read of vain Oblations, the new Moons and Sabbaths; but in the Hebrew these are in the fingular Number, and therefore should be so tranflated: Particularly as to the word; [Chodesh] the new Moon, it will not be distinguish'd from Chodashim, new Moons, which you find in the next Verse, unless you observe the Distinction between the fingular and plural. Isa. 2. 10. is translated thus, Hide thee in the Dust for fear of the Lord, But not rightly; for the Hebrew מְמְנֵי מָּחָר יְהְוָח must be rendred either in these Words Efrom the Face of the terrible Lord] or these, [from or because of the Presence of the Lord of Terror] or thus, [from the Presence of the Terror of the Lord], and so it must be translated in ver. 19. where the Words recur again. It may be some may look upon 129 as an Expletive here, because it is so in many Places, but we know that in many other Places it is not; and feeing that Word here may bear a Politive Signification, there is Reason we should take it so. It is my Perswasion that the applying of the word Fury to God, in Lev. 26. 28. Job 20. 23. Dan. 9.16. Mic. 5. 15. Zech. 8. 2. and above forty times in Isaiah, Jeremiah, and Ezekiel, is very blameable; for the Hebrew Words Aph, Charon, Chemah, Chamath, have no fuch ill Import; they only signify the Heat or Height of Anger, and are rendred Sounds by the Seventy. But Fury is something of another Nature, and denotes Excess and Exorbitancy of Wrath, and even Maduess it self. Therefore I apprehend our Translators have done ill (though I question not their innocent Meaning) in attributing such a Passion to God. Wherefore instead of Fury, let great Anger or great Wrath be used in the Translation. Again, it I would be Curious I could blame our Translators

fy the Children of Benjamin, or the Sons of Jemini, (as 'tis in the Hebrew in some Places) it must needs have those two Letters more inserted into it, otherwise you cannot derive it from those Words: wherefore it must needs be Benjaminites, not Benjamites, as our English Translators have curtailed it. As to the Words Tyre and Tyrus, the former of which is to be found in Isaiah and Joel, and the latter in Jeremiah, Ezekiel, and other Prophets, I conceive it were better to use one of these only, for why should not the Name of the same Place be express'd and written the same? Let it then be Tyre or Tyrus, but not both. Here also I might take notice of some Unfit and Obsolete Words, the changing of which for others that are more in vie would render the English Version much better. Thus fet, 1 Kings 9. 28. or ever, Eccles. 12. 6. Dan. 6. 24. chaws, Ezek. 29. 4. & 38. 4. grins, Pfal. 140. 5. & 141. 9. taches, Exod. 26. 6. aliant, Job 19. 15. Psal. 69. 8. might be chang'd into fetch'd, before, Jaws, Gins, (or Traps or Snares) Tacks, (or Clasps) alien, especially this last being the Word which is used in other Places both in the Old and New Testament. Instead of the word after in several Places, it were better to use according to. Who should be put in the Place of which, when there is reference to a Person, not a Thing; otherwise there is a Confusion and Missunderstanding in many Texts, unless we have Recourse to the Original. · But they are the Defects in our English Translation of the New Testament which I principally defigne! to infift upon: therefore those I histen to, M m 4. which 530 The Excellency and Perfection

of the Holy Scriptures.

537

which are as follow. In Mat. 3, 7, and to in ch. 23. v. 33. our Translators render perinjucte Genera. tion, but it should be in the Plural. This Fault is amended in Luke 3, 7. in the marginal Reading, where there is added [Broods]: and in Mat. 12.34. in some English Bibles It is translated [Generations]. It should be so in this Place, and where-ever else the Word is mentioned; for if we will be exact in our Translation, we must assign Plural Nouns a Plural Signification, This I think no Man will deny. In Mar. 5. 18. воз ал панта, жинта is rendred thus, till all be fulfilled, but the word while oat is in the Verse before, which we render to fulfli: therefore it is requisite in my Opinion that there should be another English Word for Armes, that two different Words being placed to near toge, ther may not be translated alike. Let that Clause therefore be englished thus, till all be done, or till all come to pass. In ch. 5.22, avvéde lov may very properly be rendred the Sanbedrim, both because that particular Council or Court of the Seventy two is meant, and because that Word is the Corruption of ouved plot. In v. 37. ca To noungs 621, should be translated is of Evil, not cometh of Evil. In Mat. 8. 22. apes Tes venees, &c. which we tranflate [let the Dead bury their Dead] is better turn'd into our Language thus, leave, or suffer, or permit the Dead to, &c. for so the Imperative ages, and the Person to whom Christ speaks, are clearly and distinctly denoted: suffer thou the Dead to bury their Dead, thou who art one of my Disciples, and hast other Work to do. In ch. 11, v. 27. 'tis improper to fay, no Man knoweth the Son but the Father, as if the Father were included in Man: but indeed the Greek Word & els is more general, and should be translated none, or not any one. The right

right Translation of Mat. 13. 21. is not [when Tribulation or Persecution ariseth] but it should be thus, [when there is Tribulation or Perfecution] or [when Tribulation or Persecution happen]: but the former of these, viz. [is] best answers to the Original Word yevorusous. In Mat. 14. 6. [danced before them] should be [danced in the midst] according to the Greek in To peroce: and you will find that thus it is translated in Alts 4. 7. In Mat. 14. 26. φάνπομοί εξι may be rendred [it is an Apparition] rather than [it is a Spirit]: for though a Spectrum or Angel (good or bad) appearing be vulgarly called a Spirit, and was so called of old, as is evident from Luke 24. 37, 39. yet φάντασμα and weina being two distinct Words, we ought not to render them alike. The Translators were fenfible of this when they exchanged the word Spirit for Phantasm in the Margent: but I conceive the . word Apparition is to be preferred before that, because it is more in use, and more intelligible. He malked on the Water, faith our Translation, Mat 14. 29. hut in the Greek it is υδατα, Waters, and therefore they mistake the singular for the plural. I offer it to Consideration, whether Nowones διδασκαλίας, εντάλματα άνθεάπων, Mat. 15.9. may not be rendred thus, [teaching Doctrines which are the Commandments of Men] or by inserting of [and] which feems to be implied in this Place, thus, [teaching the Dollrines and Commandments of Men]. Commandments seems to be put after Doctrines by way of Apposition. In ver. 22. instead of these Coasts we read [the same Coasts], and for [cried, saying unto him] we read [cried unto him, saying] which are both of them disagreeing with the Greek. In the last Verse of this Chapter Evers eis to wholov, might be englished [he went into a Ship] rather than The

[be took Ship]; for though this latter Phrase be the vulgar way of speaking, yet the former is more agreeable to the Original. Mat. 16. 4. [there shall no Sign be given unto it] varies from the Greek, according to which it should be [a Sign shall not be given unto it]. Not and no are two different Parts of Speech. In the fame Chapter, ver. 22. ineds ou is not to be rendred be it far from thee, but be favourable to thy self. In v. 23. we read [be turned and said but 'tis in the Greek [he turning faid]. In v. 27. there is a double Fault, for instead of [he shall render] 'tis faid [he shall reward], and [according to his Works] (in the Plural) is put for [according to bis Work] or bis Doing. In ch. 17. บ. 12. the Greek is ชัน อ์สะ ังของสม สับ เช่ง, i. e. they acknowledg'd him not; which is more than they knew him not, according to our Translation. In ch. 18. v. 10. the Greek de gre should be rendred see (as it is in some other Places) and not take beed. In the same Chapter, v. 23. ἀνθεώπω βαπλεί should not be translated [to a certain King] but [to a Man that was a King]. See Luke 24. 19. In v. 28. the same Servant should be that Servant, for the word is ப்லச்சடு. In ch. 19. v. 11. the Greek is க் கூட்டாத் റയുട്ട, all do not receive, whereas according to our Translation it is all Men cannot receive. In ch. 20. v. 2. grant that they may fit is not the right englishing of eine iva ra Sim nv, but say that they shall fit is. In ch. 21. v. 33. he hedged it round about, should be rather thus, be set a Hedg about it, for in the Greek there is both a Verb and a Substantive (viz. φραγιλόι πεμέθηνε) which are not expresid in our Translation. In ch. 22 v. 9. we render Stiffor'ss 7 odis the high Ways, but this doth not express the two Greek Words, which may be englished thus, the by-goings out of the Ways, or the thorom-

thorow-Passages of the Ways, for Sià hath both Significations. That is a palpable Error of our Translation in ch. 23. v. 13. ye shut up the Kingdom of Heaven against Men, whereas it should be before Men, or in the Sight of Men, for that is the known Signification of Eumpower. In ver. 24. Sudicer \* naivωπα is to strain a Gnat, not to strain at a Gnat. The Tems were wont to strain all their Wine, lest any little Insects should be mingled with it, that they might not swallow any such Unclean Animals as Gnats, or the like. To this our Saviour alludes here, he reminds them of their superstitious separating or straining the least Insects from the Liquor which they drank: and therefore our present Translation is amis. In Mat. 24. 34, 35. παρέρχε-An is the word in both Verses, and seeing it is rendred pass away in the latter Verse, it should not be bare paffing in the former, as if the word were not the very same. The plural is put instead of the singular in ch. 26. v. 44. saying the same Words, but in the Greek it is τ αυτό λόγον. Likewise our English Translation is not exact in v. 73. thy Speech bewrayeth thee, but the Greek is Silov or wore, maketh thee manifest. I know these two are of the same Signification, but that is nothing to the purpose, for we are speaking of a Translation which supposes the Exact rendring of one or more Words into another Tongue, if it be capable of it: so that we are confined to Words (where it is possible and convenient) as well as Sense. Our Tranflators render ch. 27. v. 5. in this manner, He departed, and went and hang'd himself, but I conceive it ought to be translated thus, He went apart, or aside (first), and (then) went, and strangled bimself. Ave zwiphote, he retired, as the word is used, Mat. 14. 13. John 6. 15. and other Places. It would be The Excellenty and Perfection

a Tautology, if it were no more than be depursed. for that is the same with new, which follows,

translate it be strangled birasely, because this word takes in both strangling or choaking himful with Graf or Melancholy, and also with a Haiter. In v. v. of this Chapter there should in the Margin be added the Place of Ferencial which is referred to wit. Jer. 321. 9. as well as that of Zesbury. In "Vi 52. We read Tibe Graves were spened] But it

should rather be the Monaments of Tombs, and lo

included our Translators render the word amakon, visto. The true rendring of the distant in v. 64. kg not as you can, but as you know; and then those Words there must not run thus, make it us sure a you can, but make it sure, as you know, i. e. as you know how, as you know best. These are the Plades in the Evangelist St. Matthew which I conreive are to be corrected in our Translation, berause they are not conformable to the Original but some of them especially seem to vary much from it. There is a Fault or two likewise which perhaps may be imputed to the Printer rather than the Translators, as that in Mat. 8. 14. [his Wives Mother] which should be [his Wife's Mother] for it is not pluralibut fingular. So in Mat. 10. v. ult. which speaks of a Cup of cold Water, you may obferve, that Water is not in the Original, and there-

as those Words that are not in the Original generally are in the English Bible of the last Translation. I might take notice of the Omission of a notable Reference in Mat. 2. 23. As in other Places generally the Texts that are referr'd to elther in the Old or New Testament are set down in the Margin, so here it would be convenient to do the like, i. e. to place Alls 24. 5. on the side of

those

fore should be written or printed in another Letter,

of the Haly Scriptures. 544

those Words, He shall be called a Nazarence I proceed to the Evangelist St. Mark, where I have but one or two Places to offer. Our Transators have not been exact in rendring own usyaling ch. 1. v. 26. for they do not translate it a grape Voice but a loud Voice, and the like they do in many other Places. But though a great Voice be a loud one, yet mesons and built . (or ilxadis) and accordingly great and loud (or sonorous) are two different Words, and if we would be exact we must make a Difference between them in the Translation. Cry aloud, said Elijah, 1 Kings 18. 27. but according to the Original it is, cry with a great Voice, therefore these Words are clapped into the Margin to show what is the literal and truest ren-

may well be done, it is best to keep close to the Letter, and accordingly in the forenamed Texts φωνὰ μεγάλιι (which answers to קוד גדול in that Place of the Kings) is to be rendred a great Voice: and so you will find it englished in one Place, Rev. 16. 17. unto which all the rest are to be made conformable. In ch. 6. v. 49. φάνπασμα is an Appar rition, not a Spirit, and therefore that Word is to be preferr'd before this. Tor' fav, in ch. 7. v. 2. is rendred, that is to say: but the exact Translation is, that is. In v. 8. βαππομές should be translated Washings, but we english it in the singular. In Mark 10.46. there is a Word over-added in our

Translation, for a to the ober is well rendred by

the way, or by the way side, therefore our English

Version by the high-way side hath something super-

fluous in it. If you consult cb. 15. v. 3. you will

dring of the Words. And certainly, where it

Luke 1. 42. & 8. 28. & 17. 15. Acts 8. 7. & 14. 10. & 26. 24. Rev. 5. 2. & 8. 13.

not find any Greek at all (in some of the best Copies) to answer to those Words, but be answered nothing. Some may think why is a redundant Word' in the 14th Verse of this Chapter: but it is an English Expletive, and fitly answers to the Greek polo in this Place, τέρορ κακον επόιμσεν; why, what Evil hath he done?

In St. Luke's Gospel I find several Passages that are translated amiss: as first in ch. 1. v. 3. having had perfect understanding of all things, which may more futably to the Greek be changed thus, baving bad exact understanding in all things, for the words άμριβάς and wanv require this Alteration. Ver. 37. & waν ενμα seems not to be fully rendred [nothing]: and the word [unpossible] here, and in ch. 18. v. 27. should be chang'd into [impossible], especially seeing that is the word in all other Places in our Translation. In ch. 2. v. 19. σάντα τα ξήμαία is translated [all thefe things], but in v. 51. 'tis rendred [all thefe fayings]: but there is no Reason that I can see for this Variation, wherefore the former and latter Place ought to be englished alike. In ch. 6. v. 29. the Greek Words and TE dipov-1 — μω κωλύοης, are thus interpreted, Him that taketh away-forbid not to take, &c. But this is defective, for the Prepolition and is wholly left out: therefore the Words must be rendred thus according to the Greek, from bim that taketh away -detain not: and this without doubt is the Signification of κωλύων here, for Criticks have observ'd that this Verb denotes not only a forbidding by Words, but by Force and Violence. In v. 38. withal is superfluous, and should be left out, as you will see if you consult the Place. In ch. 7. v. 28. least is put instead of less. In v. 47. 871 is put for δίρπ, (as is not unusual among Authors) and accordingly

of the Holy Scriptures. cordingly it should be rendred not for, but therefore she loved much. Indeed you cannot make Sense of the immediately ensuing Words [but to whom little is forgiven, the same loveth little] unless you interpret the Word thus: and the Tenour of the Parable (especially v. 42, 43.) shews this to be the Meaning. Wherefore in is like the Hebrew which signifies therefore as well as for. In ch. 8. v. 7. Erreov should be translated other, and in wiow in the midst, as also this latter in ch. 10. v. 3. There is a misplacing of the Words in ch. 11. v. 36. the bright shining of a Candle, instead of a Candle by bright shining, for the Greek is ο λύχι τη άξεαπη. In ch. 12. v. 39. the good Man of the House is too vulgar an Expression, and may be chang'd into the Master of the House, which is the germine Signification of the word directed noting. Those Words in v. 46. at an Hour when he is not aware, may well be altered thus, in an Hour in which he knoweth not, for fo it is according to the Greek, ev aça n & maona. In ch. 16. v. 8. the due rendring of peoul arreoteis The yevede, is not wifer in the Generation, (as'tis rendred) but for or towards it. And besides, 'tis els Thir yevear Thir fautair, which ought to be translated for their own Generation. In ch. 17. v. 9. I trow not, may be changed for I think not, & Scha: and it is best to leave out all Obsolete and Antiquated Words, as I wift, I wot, or ere, &c. and change

φωνλ in the singular is wrongly translated Voices in the plural. In v. 29. h nuica is transated [the same Day] but in the next Verse 'tis [in the Day when]; one of these, viz. the former, is not the right Translation: Neither is that in ch. 19. v. 44. one Stone upon another, for in the Original it is, a

Stone upon a Stone. That is not an accurate Version

them for those that are more in use. In v. 13.

```
144 * * DE Lixcentry and Persection
 in ch. 22. v. 22. as it was determined, for the Greek
is nated to describe according to what was determi-
ned. And that is not exact in ch. 13. v. 46. I com-
mend my Spirit, for maga Adooual being in the fa-
ture Tense should be rendred [I will commend.] In
ch. 24. v. 17. onudewrol is sad, but this is short and
defective, and so the Translators thought when
they rendred this very Word in Mat. 6. 16. Cof-a
sad Countenance] which is the true import of the
Greek Word. 'Arabáivsa in v. 38. of this Chap-
ter should be rendred do ascend, or rise up, if we
will express the full meaning of the Word.
   Some Texts of St. John are not so well transla-
ted as they might be, as chap. 1. v. 15. John bare
witness of him, which being in the present Tense in
the Greek should be rendred [beareth witness.] In
ch. 3. v. 2. [the fame] is not according to the Greek
श्राक, which fignifies this Man. In य. 8. [canst not
tell] may be changed for [knowest not] which is
more simple, and according to the Greek su oides.
So in 2 Cor. 12. 2. the like alteration may be made.
In v. 16. [whosoever] is not the true English of the
Greek was every one. Our Translators in eb. 4.
บ. 23. turn ากเชาชร ใหรดี าธิร ซอุดอนบเชียงสร สบาช
thus, be feeketh fuch to worship bim; but query whe-
ther it will not be better thus, he feeketh fuch mor-
shippers of him, wecomersvices being put here for
weos κυνητάς, of whom he spake in the former
Clause of this Verse. In the last v. of this chap.
oumeiov is translated Miracle, but then it would not
be amiss to put the proper Signification of the
Word, which is a Sign, in the Margin, at least.
```

In ch. 6. u. 9. the Word ev one is left out in the

Translation. The 53d v. according to the Greek is thus, Te have not Life in you, but in the English

thus, Te have no Life in you. In v. 63. it is better

to use the Word [enliveneth] than the Word [quicknerb,] because this latter to those that understand not the Original, and consider not what follows in the Verse, is a dubious Word, and they may think that it signisses to make quick, agile, or nimble: wherefore ris better for the fake of some English Readers to lay aside the old Word [quickneth] both here and in some other Places, and to use the Plainter Word [enliveneth] or [maketh alive.] Ch. 7. v. 17. Is translated thus, If any Man will do his Will; but it is short of the 'Greek, according to which the Words must run after this manner, if any one willeth (i. e. desireth, purposeth or resolveth) to do bis Will. Again, Stos ign and Das of Xeist's, v. 26. is not exactly english'd thus, this is the very Christ, but thus, this is verily or truly, or indeed the Christ. In ch. 8. v. 3. the Preterperfect Tense is mistaken for the Present Tense, brought for bring: this latter must be used here, especially because the Words go on in 3 the next Verse in the Present Tense, and are accordingly rendred by the Translators. The 14th v. of this Chap. [though I bear record of my self, my record is true ] should be translated after the manner of ch. 5. v. 31. if I bear witness of my self, my witness is not true because μαρτυρώ and μαρτυρία are the Words in both Places, and therefore it is fit in the same Book to render them alike, and not (as here) record in one Text. and witness in another; [bear record] in one Place, and [bear witness] in another. In v. 56. [to see my day] is not an exact rendring of hallon whi υμέραν την εμήν, but this rather [that he may fee my

of the Lady Octoperates.

Nn

Day.]

Psalm. 119. often. And 'tis better to use the Word [alive] than [quick] in Num. 16. 30. Psal, 55. 15. & 124. 3. Psalv ms

Day.] In chap. 10. v. 10. for is redundant, and

therefore may be omitted. Or else turn for into that he may, which is according to the Greek iva. In ch. 11. v. 26. he shall never die is not the strict Version of & μη ἀποθαίνη εἰς τ ἀιᾶνα, i. e. he shall not for ever die. In ch. 12. v. 43. the Praise of Men, and the Praise of God, should be the Glory of Men and the Glory of God, for Soka is the Word in both Places. In ch. 13. v. 28. there is no mention in the Greek of a Table, though there be in the Translation: but however, if this must be mentioned here, then soleis whi avaneration must be rendred thus, none of those that sat or lay at the Table, not as it is now, no Man at the Table: See ch. 12. v. 2. In ch. 15. v. 22. the Word Cloke may be chang'd for Pretence, for the Greek is πρόφασις. Our Translators make these Words in ch. 17. v. 2. [that he should give eternal Life to as many as thou hast given bim] to be the English of ινα παν δ διέδακας άντος διώση αυτοις ζωνν αιώνιον, but the right rendring is this, [that he should give eternal Life to them, which is all that thou hast given to him for them, ] or [that all which thou hast given to him, he may give unto them, namely eternal Life.] In ch. 19. v. 8. [that] should be [this] according to the Greek which is 7870v. Query whether σερέδεαμε τάχιον, cb. 20. v. 4. may not be better exprest than by that single Word [outrun.] In the 8th v. of this Chapter Ev therefore is forgot in our Translation, and ought to be supplied. Those Words in the 10th v. anna Sou &v σάλιν ωρδς έαυτες οι μα Энταί may be rendred thus, Therefore the Disciples came again (or returned) to themselves: of which Translation I have given a particular account in another Place. And here, before I quit the Evangelists, I might take notice of an undue rendring of the Words avanciada,

of the Holy Scriptures. κατακείωθαι, συνανακείωθαι, άνακλίνεωθαι, άναπίπτεν; which occur in all of them, as Mat. 9. 10. & 26. 7; 20. Mark 6. 22, 40. & 8. 6, 11. & 14. 18. & 16. 14. Luke 5. 29. & 22. 27. John 6. 10. & 12. 2. & 13.12. and several other Places, where they are express'd in our English Translation by sitting or sitting down; but they properly signify lying down; leaning, lying along, of lying on one side, and so should be rendred.

In the Alts of the Apostles, ch. 1. v. 12. the English Version is very deficient, for whereas it runs thus [which is from Jerusalem a Sabbath-day's Journey,] in the Greek tis after this manner [which is near to Jerusalem, containing a Sabbath-day's Fourney.] Those two Words elyus and exov are omitted. In ch.2. v.13. γλεύμ may be rendred sweet Wine (i.e. the best and most generous Wine, which would foon intoxicate those who took a great Portion of it) as well as new Wine, which is generally sweet and luscious: at least the former rendring of the Word may be fet in the Margin. In v. 40. oadmer is be ye faved, not (as we render it) fave your felves : though 'tis true some Copies read it od Sure. In v. 47. σωζόμθροι refers to this Place, and is to be rendred the faved ones, not (as 'tis in our English Bibles) fuch as should be saved. In ch. 4. 14. we read [they could say nothing against it,] but according to the Greek it is [they had nothing to say against i. The 32d v. is rendred thus, the Multitude of them that believed were of one Heart and of one Soul, but the Greek runs thus, the Heart and Soul of the Multitude of them that believed was one. The 9th v. of the 9th ch. cannot but be thought to require some Correction, for there is no Word in our Translation that answers to ελαλείτο: therefore thus the Verie should be rendred, And he preaching boldly (for

Nn 2

blamed, because (as hath been hinted more than once) the same Greek Word, especially a Proper Name, ought to be rendred the same in all Places. In ch. 17. "Aga & way is translated Areopagus, 4. 19. and Mars-hill, v. 22. as if it were not the fame Word. This I here blame again, and shall afterwards animadvert upon, viz. the Unfitness of translating the same Word differently in the same Chapter or Book. Either one or the other Version is to be stuck to. In v. 21, there is no notice taken of the Word imdrustres, which should be rendred [sojourning,] or [who sojourned there.] In ch. 19. v. 19. de preis preladas wente is englished [fifty thousand Pieces of Silver,] but not rightly, for there is not the Word [fifty] in the Verse, but the true rendring of the Greek is [ five Myriads, or five times ten thousand Pieces of Silver. ] It is granted that this is the same with fifty thousand, but the Translation should be according to the Words in the Original, which are [ five Myriads. I dislike the rendring of v. 24, 25. for the reason before-mention'd, viz. because the very fame Word is differently translated. 'Egyada in the former Verse is rendred Gain, in the latter Graft, but without doubt it ought to be rendred alike in both: which will suggest unto us the True Translation of that Word. Demetrius the Silversmith brought equative su odigme, no small Trade (so I render it) to the Craftsmen, whom he called together, and faid, Sirs, ye know that by this Trade (10 eppaσία must be translated again) we have our Wealth. This I take to be the true rendring of the Words, for it is not probable in the least that the Word έρχασία should signify two Different things in so short a Space. Besides, it is plain that it is meant here as I have represented it; for Demetrius here Nn3

Ipo-

550 The Excellency and Perfection

spoken of, was a Man of a very Great Trade, and had several τεχνίτας and εργάτας, Artificers and Workmen (as they are here call'd) under him, and so is said to have brought them no small Work or Trade: wherefore he warmly stirr'd up these and their Fellows to cry up Diana and her Worship; otherwise their Trade (which is here translated their Craft) would fail, that Trade by which they had their Wealth. Thus the Signification of the Word eppaσία is the same in both Places, as I conceive. In v. 40. έγκαλεωθαι σάσεως περί της σήμεςον ought thus to be englished for be impeached of Sedition for or concerning this Day, i. e. for what we have done this Day. The Word ἀποσπαθέντες in ch. 21. v. 1. which we translate [were gotten from] might be express'd in the Margin thus [were snatched from] or [were plucked from,] for this is the known meaning of the Word. In v. 35. διά were better tranlated because of, than for, this latter being used here in an obsolete manner. The 22d v. of the 22d ch. is imperfectly express'd in English thus, they gave him Audience unto this Word: The Word [unto] is not presently understood, and therefore should be changed for [until] which is more intelligible; [They heard him until this Speech] and no longer, that is the plain rendring of the Text. In ch. 23. v. 27. seateuma is not an Army (as 'tis translated) for the Context shews that lo Great a Number is not meant, but we are to understand by this Word a certain Party of Souldiers, and therefore it were better to translate it the Souldiery or Souldiers, as we find it rendred in v. 10. In the 29th v. Eugovis translated [I perceived,] but the true English is [1 found.] In cb. 24. v. 3. and h cb. 2d. 25. it were hetter to change [most noble] into [most excellent], both because of the true Im-

port of the Greek Word nedno, and because it hath been so translated in Luke 1. 3. Alts 23. 26. In ch. 24. v. 11. according to the Greek we are to read the Words thus, there are not more than twelve Days, and not (as Our Translation hath it) there are yet but twelve Days: and in the latter Clause of this Verse [for] is superfluous. In ch. 25. v. 14. there is no regard to the word dieteiger, therefore we ought to insert it thus in English, when they had tarried there many Days. The Translation of ch. 26. v. 8. [Why should it be thought a thing incredible with you that God should raise the Dead?] may be mended thus, What? is it judged a thing incredible with you if God raiseth the Dead? In v. 11. [strange Cities] should have been express'd thus in the Margin, [Cities that are without] according to the Greek τας έξω πόλας. The plain Version of έςκαα κρινό-WWG, v. 26. is, I stand judged or arraigned, not Istand, and am judged. The true Import of wχέστε φιλανθεωπία, ch. 28. v. 2. is not little (as 'tis rendred) but common or vulgar Kindnefs. In v. 11. the Translators forgot to put [Jupiter's Twins] in the Margin, for neither the word Caftor nor Pollux is in the Greek, only the word Διόσμαςοι. We fet, v. 13. is a Word now out of use.

In the Epistle to the Romans, ch. 2. v. 2. [we are fure] is the Interpretation of ölda mev, which is no more than [we know], therefore the other is too high a Word. In v. 5. [against] is [in] in the Greek, and therefore we can do no less than mention it in the Margin. In ch. 3. v. 2. wrater flowld be rendred first, not chiestly, for the Apostle is reckoning the Privileges of the Jews in order, Imprimis, saith he, to them were committed, &c. and after a long Digression he goes on, and enumerates the rest of the Privileges. In v. 4, 5. of this Chap-

Nn4

ter,

ter, and in several other Places in this Epistle, and in some other of his Epistles, he useth that Form of Speech, and revorte, which answers to the Form of Detestation in the Old Testament, קיינה, and to the Latin absit, and fignifies no other than let it not be; wherefore it is fally translated [God forbid] in compliance with the vulgar Form of Speech. In v. 5. µr' ada may be rendred [is not God unrighteous?] for mi is a Particle of Denying as well as of Interrogation; but according to Our Translation [not] is left out, which I would have in the Margin at least. That in Rom. 8. 37. we are more than Conquerors, is an ill Translation, because the Greek is a Verb, viz. weepvinamer, therefore should be rendred, we do more than conquer. There is a Defect in the Version of ch. o. v. 3. I could wish that my felf: for autis egal can be no less than I my felf. In v. 11. wevn is better rendred might remain or abide than might stand. In ch. 11. v. 8. 446 A There being is not fully rendred; there being two Words in the Greek, it should be translated with some Emphasis, [unto this very day]. In v. 25. [wife in your own Conceits] is not according to the Greek map' tawrois, and therefore the true Trans flation is [wife in your own selves.] In ch. 12. v. 9. it is according to the Greek [abborring], not [abhor]; it is [cleaving], not [cleave]: and in v. 17. it is [providing], not [provide]. In ch. 14. v. 11. [that] is left out before [every Knee]. In cb. 15. v. 16. helseyds is translated [minister], and iegep-Dav [ministring,] but they being two distinct Words conceive there should be some Difference in the Translating them: therefore I apprehend that [administring] will be a good rendring of the latter, this Word shewing that the Words in the Greek are not the fame, and yet that their Difference is not great. In

In the first Epistle to the Corintbians, ch. 1. v. 7. oming should not be in the Text, and Revelation in the Margin; but if you will make any different Reading, let coming be placed in the Margin, and Revelation in the Text it self, because this is the very unquestionable rendring of the Greek amexahuvis. In cb. 4. v. 8. (as also in 2 Cor. 11. 1.) I would to God is a supersuous Version, for the Greek is only Εφελον, I wish, I would: and so 'tis' barely translated in Gal. 5.12. and Rev. 3.15. and accordingly may as well be so here. In ch. 7. v. 18. the true rendring of the Greek pui Edmondow is nè reducat, not attrabat, sc. praputium: but because it is in a matter which requires modest and chaste Speech, I do not urge any Alteration in the English. In v. 25. I have no Commandment is not exactly according to Enflagar su Eyw, i.e. I have not a Commandment. In ch. 10. v. 6, 11. you read Examples and Ensamples, but why is there any Variation at all in these Words when in both Places the Greek Word (viz. vino) is the same? In ch. 11. v. 3. λέλω should be translated I will, not I would: however, let this latter be fet in the Margin. In v. 14. [a Shame] should have been tranflated [Dishonour], for it is arrula in the Greek, and is opposed to Sofa in the next Verse, which intimates this rendring of the Word to be most proper. In v. 28. [that] and [that] should be changed into [the] and [the], or there should be an Askerisk pointing to the Margin, where must be fet down what the Greek signifies. In v. 29. [Judgment ] should not stand in the Margin, but be taken into the Text, because besides the proper Denotation of the Greek Word, the Context absolutely proves it must be rendred Judgment, and not Damnation; for the Apostle speaks of that Temporal Punish-

Punishment which the Corinthians pull'd down upon their Heads by their unworthy and profane celebrating of the Lord's Supper: for this Cause many are weak and sickly among you, and many sleep, v. 30. This is the Judgment which they did eat and drink to themselves, and it is opposed to Condemnation with the World, v. 32. therefore it can't in this Place be translated Damnation. In ch. 12. v. 28: [Miracles] is [mighty Works] in the Margin, but fallly, for in the Greek it is [Powers], and so you will find it rendred in the Margin, which refers to the next Verse, where the same word durapes occurs. Katal taken ought to be rendred in ch. 14. v. 40. according to order, not in order, for this latter denotes only a Methodical Acting. In ch. 15. v. 58. the simple plain Version is best [knowing], according to the Greek eidores.

In the second Epistle to the Corinthians, ch. 2. v. 5. the Translation seems not to be sufficient unless there be added a Parenthesis to shut in those Words, that I may not overcharge you. But of this I have spoken in another Place; only I will add, that both in this Epistle, and in that to the Romans, and indeed in most of this Apostle's Writings, where there are frequent Parentheses, it would be convenient to give notice of this to the Reader, by inferting the usual Notes or Marks whereby they are express'd. In ch. ζ. v. 9. φιλοπαέμεθα is not sufficiently express'd by we labour: I think it might be more fully translated, we ambitiously strive, or we studiously endeavour. In v. 10, of this Chapter φανερω Απιαι Aci should be translated, we must all be manifested, or made manifest. And so indeed the Word is rendred twice in v. 11. and I have often caution'd against the different translating of the same Word, where it will admit (as here) of the same

same Version. Indeed here is in this 11th Verse a plain reference to the φανερω Silvai in the foregoing one: so that unless we translate it alike in both Places, we shew that we do not take notice of the Apostle's reference. Besides, to appear before the Judgment, &c. is thought by the mere English Reader to be only making our Appearance, i. e. to be present there. We do you to wit, ch. 8. v. 1. calls for an Emendation, it being an Obsolete Expression. The plain English of the Greek yuwgizamer is we make known unto you. In v. 21. of this Chapter our Translators render weovos popular [providing for], whereas in Rom. 12. 17. they render it [providing]: but I have often suggested that the same Words ought to be translated alike. In ch. 11. v. 6. idiютия [rude in Speech] had better be rendred [plain or unskilful in Speech], for in our English Idiom the word [rude] is as much as unmannerly, and therefore it is not well adapted to English Ears, which we are partly to consult in our Translation which we design for their Use. In v. 9. the Translation would be more exact if instead of [in all things] we read [in every thing], it being in the fingular Number in the Greek, to which all Translations of the New Testament are to conform as far as they can.

In the Epistle to the Galatians there is something that may be amended in the English Translation, as in ch. 1. v. 16. [that I might preach bim] but in the Greek it is wa ευαγελίζωμαι αυτόν, that I may preach the glad-tidings of him. In ch. 3. v. 7. [the same] should be [these], for the Greek is &τοι. In ch. 5. v. 20, 21. instead of Hatred, Variance, Wrath, Strife, Drunkenness, you must read them in the plural Number, because they are so in the Original. The true rendring of κα εςι νόμω, v. 23.

557

is not there is no Law, but the Law is not, i. e. it was not made, neither is it delign'd to condemn fuch things or fuch Persons as are there spoken of

In the Epistle to the Epbesians, ch. 1. v. 16. Avek fignifies Remembrance as well as mention, wherefore the former should be put into the Margin: (See Phil. 1. 3. 2 Tim. 1. 3.) In ch. 2. v. 10. of should not be rendred [which], but [by which], the Senfe of the Apostla being this, God out of his infinite and inexhaustible Love bath from eternal Ages ordain'd and decreed to prepare all his Elect by good Works, to fit them by these for his Service, to enable them by the performing of these to walk as becomes the Chosen of the Lord. Or, it is likely the Apostle by the full Extent of these Words lets us know, that Good Works are our Preparative oven for Heaven and Happiness, we are fitted by these for the Glory above. In ch. 5. v. 3. [once] is not in the Original, and therefore must be expunged the Translation. In ch. 6. v. 18. there can be no Reason assigned why in main raised is not rendred at all times or in every Season, seeing this is nearer to the Original than always. In the same Verse [thereunto] is not the full English of eig wird Thro, which must be rendred thus, [to this yeary thing. I could also take notice of the false spekling, v. 16. [fiery] for [firy], which is to be found not only here but in other Places both in the Old and New Testament, and generally among all Writers whomsoever, as if it came from fier, not from fire.

In Philip. 1.7. Sixouv should not be translated [meet], but [just]. In v. 23. [which is far better] comes short of the Greek mollow mandow need on, which the Vulgar Latin renders multi magis melius, and we accordingly should english it [which is much rather

rather or fur better] or [far the best], the Comparative perhaps being put for the Superlative. Besides, the word jap is left out, which shews that this Clause is the Reason of what went before: for the Apostle desires to depart and to be with Christ. and gives this Account of his Delite, for this is far better: so that his Desire is Rational and wellgrounded. In ch. 2. v. 19. I trust is not the right rendring of intilo, but I hope : especially seeing I wift in v. 24. is the Version of newida, a different Word. I follow after is the rendring of Sidness in the 3d Chapter of this Epistle, v. 12. but tis not a fit Expression when it is spoken of running in a Rare (as here): his great Endeavour was to forget those things that are behind, as he adds in the Words immediately ensuing: Therefore rather translate it I follow on, or I press towards, for so the Word is rendred in v. 14. In this and the next Verse λαμβάνειν should be translated to lay hold upon, for it is an Agonistick Word used to express the Combatants or Victors laying hold with their Hands on the Prize that was hung up. But apprehending (which is the Word that our Translators use) is more ambiguous, and doth not so plainly set forth the Metaphor. In v. 21. of this Chapter be shall change, is too low a Word for metagunarises, which might rather be rendred be shall transform, or be shall change into another Form or, Figure, especially feeing this Expression hath a Reference or Allusion to σύμμοςφον (which may more exactly be rendred conformable to) immediately enfuing in that Verse.

In the Epistle to the Colossians, chap. 1. v. 12. [God and] or [God even] should be inserted before the [Father], for you will find [red Dec 2] in the Original. In ch. 3. v. 5. I do not fee why we need translate the single word made by two

Words

Words [inordinate Affection]: that one word Paffion will suffice. In ch. 4. v. 2. wegosnæptepäte is rendred [continue], but in Rom. 12. 12. it is [continue instant]. It is faulty, because (as hath been faid) the same Words, when they are meant of the same thing, ought to be translated alike. Indeed this could not be expected, feeing the Bible was translated by different Persons, and perhaps did not compare their Translations together: but for the future this may be thought of and amended, if a New, or rather a more Correct Translation of

the Bible be attempted. I pass to some Other Epistles: in 1 Thess. 2. 5. there is no need of rendring weóφαπς wheoveξίας, a Cloak of Covetousness, when the plain and genuine Signification of the word ωρόφασις is a Pretence. In. ch. 4. v. 4. [his Veffel] is not sufficient: but according to the Greek it must be [his own Vessei]. Seeing to rate you, 2 Theff. 2. 6. is translated [what with-holdeth] I think o xare you in the next Verse ought to be rendred [he that with-holdeth] not [be that letteth]. In the 1st Epistle to Timothy, 6h. 2. v. 4 our Translation might be altered thus, [who willeth all Men to be faved, and to come unto the Acknowledgment or acknowledging of the Truth], for MAG cannot be rendred otherwise than [willeth], and Entyvous is not Cognitio, Knowledg, but Agnitio, acknowledging, and so 'tis rendred, Tit. i. i. Again, in v. o. of this Chapter there is a Mistake in the Printing, broidered for broided, (for fo it is in Coverdale and Tindal, whence this Translation was borrow'd) or braided, i. e. plaited. Here therefore must be an Amendment, for broidering is quite another thing; or the word plaited may be taken out of the Margin, and fet in the Text. In ch. 4. v. 8. σρος ολίγου αφίλιμω onght to be english'd

of the Holy Scriptures. english'd thus, is profitable (not profiteth, as if the Greek Word were a Verb) to or for a little (not barely little): for when we read it [bodily Exercise profiteth little] the Sense conveyed to us by those Words is, that there is little or no Profit accrues to us by it: but the true Meaning (as I conceive) of the Place is, that the bodily Exercises of the Athleticks (of which he often speaks in his Epistles) were, as to some things profitable, viz. in respect of their Health, Credit, Pleasure, &c. but Godliness is weds πάντα ἀφέλιμω, upon all Accounts advantageous; where you see that webs πάιτα is opposed to weds ολίγου, and therefore as that is rendred unto all things, so this should be unto a little, fignifying that there is fome Profit in those Agonistick Exercises. After those Words in the next Verse, this is a faithful Saying, and worthy of all Acceptation, there should be a full Period, whereas in our Bibles there is a Colon, as if it related to the next Words. But this Verse hath reference to the foregoing one, This, i. c. what was faid in the Verse before, is a faithful Saying. Ver. 16. [unto thy Dollrine] is not according to the Greek Th diδασκαλία; [Thy] is not there: Rather render the whole Clause thus, Take heed unto thy self, and unto teaching, the two main things which are required of a Minister of the Gospel, and comprehend his Whole Duty. In ch. 5. v. 4. & idov dinov Evorgediv should be rendred [to shew Piety towards their own House or Family], whereas idov is not taken notice of in our Translation, which is thus, [to shew Piety 4 home]. In v. 16. according to the Greek it should be thus rendred, if any believing (or faithful) Man, or believing (or faithful) Woman. [Believing] is twice in the Original, but in Our Tran-Station but once. In ch. 6. v. 4. Less should not be

rendred Strife, because hosematics just before is translated Strife of Words. These being different should wholly differ in the Transacion: therefore let Contention be the word for tess, as it is rendred in some other Places of the Apostle's Epistles. In v. 15. the word islois should be taken notice of hi the Version, and accordingly resigniz is to be english'd thus, in his own or proper times. In the fecond Epiffle to Timothy, ch. 4. V. 3. the exact Verfion is [ the time will be]: and in v. t4. [render] should be the word instead of [remard]. In the E. pistle to Titus, ch. 1. V. 3. restedis idiois should be englished sin his own times. In v. 10. peevawá-Tou might in the Margin be rendred, according to its proper Signification, Deceivers of Minds. In ch. 3. v. 4. the word Phy is fally put in the Margin, for φιλωθρωσια fignifies God's Love to Man. not Pity: therefore that Word mould be left out.

In the Epifile to the Hebrews, ch. 1. v. 3. If we would be exact, ya Dapis who wound a war & a magnav nuav, should be rendred effecting the cleansing or working the purging of our Sins, whereas tis barely translated purging our Sins. Khneotopiew ocorneling v. 14. is to inherit Salvation, not to be Heirs of Salvation. Zav, ch. 4. v. 12. had better be rendred living or lively than quick, because this is an ambiguous Word, and fignifies not only Life, but some times Swiftness. Why should not outmethods, v. 15. be plainly and limply rendred to sympathise with, or have Compassion on, rather than to be touched with a feeling? Kard & vopeou, ch. 10. v. 8. is decording to the Law, not by the Law. Inch. 10, 0.16. λέγει κύρι flould, for the expressing the Sense more clearly, be rendred the Lord faith, that it may not be thought that faith the Lord belongs to the foregoing Clause, but that it may appear it re-

fers to the following one: For the Words run thus, After that the Holy Ghost, in the Scripture, had faid before, This, &c. the Lord faid, (viz. in the next Words) I will put my Laws, &c. In v. 23. of this Chapter ομολογία το ελτίσω is the Confession or Profession of Hope, not of Faith, as our Bibles read it. Again, in v. 34. ev exprois seems to be misplaced in our Translation, and the Words should not be rendred [knowing in your selves that ye have], but [knowing that ye have for your selves], viz. laid up for your selves in Heaven, &c. for the Greek Preposition ev will well bear this Signisitation here, it being in the New Testament of a very large Extent. However, this rendring of it, and the referring of exuluis to Excue, and not to μιώσκοντες, may be taken notice of in the Margin. In ch. 11. v. 12. as good as dead is but a vulgar way of speaking; and seeing the plain English of ταυτα vevenewalis is [as to these things dead] I see no Reafon for uling this manner of Speech in this Place. In v. 23. our English Word proper (especially as tis now used) doth not express the Sense of the Greek Word, and cannot well be applied to Mofes when he was an Infant. Therefore & seiov should rather be rendred fair, as the Word is translated in Acts 7. 20. or goodly, as we render it in Exod. 2.2. where the LXX use this Greek Word to express the Hebrew Tob. In v. 37. the English Verfion of έν φύνω μαχαίρας απέθανον is this, they were flain with the Sword, but it is very deficient, the true rendring of the Greek being this, they died by Slaughter of the Sword. No Man can translate it otherwise, therefore here is need of correcting our English Bibles. In ch. 12. v. 1. there is a palpable milplacing of the Words, which ought to be amended; [ feeing we also are compassed about with so

Oo

great

great a Cloud of Witnesses] must be altered thus according to the Greek [we having such a Cloud of Witnesses encompassing us]: and instead of [let us lay aside] read [let us, laying aside, &c.] In v.16. one Morsel of Meat doth not answer to avri spaorus mas, which should be rendred for one eating, or rather for one feeding, which comprehends both cating and drinking, for this Place refers to Gen. 25. 34. Jacob gave Esau Bread and Pottage, and he did eat and drink: Which shews that one Morfel doth not fully contain the Sense of the Words. In ch. 13. v. 2. 1 pihofeviac un Emhar Daire de is not so properly translated [be not forgetful to entertain Strangers] as thus [forget not the entertaining of Strangers, or the loving of Strangers, or Hospitality] (as 'tis rendred in Rom. 12. 13.) for this Translation shews which is the Verb, and which is the Noun. In v.8. [is] is left out without Cause: for though & among the Greeks be sometimes omitted in such Propositions, yet 'tis always understood to make the Sentence entire: But in the English it ought to be express'd, and particularly here, Jesus Christ is the same, or else nothing is affirmed, and so the Sense is left imperfect. Our Translators render v. 16. thus, [to do Good and to communicate farget not] but it is most exactly rendred in this manner, forget not doing of Good and communicating, for these latter are Substantives, not Verbs; and there should be a Distinction made between them in our Translation.

In 1 Pet, 1.7. εν άπτκαλύ lei is rendred at the appearing, but in v. 13. at the Revelation. This latter is the true Word, and therefore let it be used in both Places. In ch. 3. v. 20. leave out a. In ch.4. v. 7. weovers should have been translated Prayers, not Prayer. Concerning 2 Pet. 1. 10. No Prophecy

of the Holy Scriptures. of Scripture is of any private Interpretation, I animadvert, 1. That any is not in the Greek, nor need it be in the English. 2. It is now weopised, and consequently should be rendred every Prophecy is, &c. 3. idia is not private but proper, and fo these Words, every Prophecy of Scripture is not of proper Interpretation, may be understood thus, Some Prophecies in Scripture have, besides the Proper and Primary Interpretation, a Secondary one: Or, the first and literal Signification of them is not the only Sense to be look'd after in them, but there is a higher and greater (which is the second, and as 'twere the improper Sense) couched in them. In ch. 2. v. 16. [he was rebuked] is not according to the Greek, but it should be [be had a Rebuke] or Check. In v. 18. une joyxa [great swelling] may better be rendred [over-swelling] and so in Jude, V. 16.

In i John 2. 20. you read an Unction, and v. 27. the anointing: but there is the same Greek Word, viz. χείσμα, wherefore the rendring of it should be alike in both Places. In St. Jude's Epistle, v. 8: [filthy] should be left out, for there is no such Word in the Original. Our Margin indeed takes notice of it, but then the word [filtby] should have been in different Letters, as those Words that are not in the Greek usually are distinguished in the New Testament. 'ο ζόφ@ τε σκότες, v.13: is rendred the Blackness of Darkness, but the same Words are english'd the midst of Darkness, 2 Pet. 2. 17. which feems to be the most proper Translation: however (as I have suggested on the like octasion) let one of them only be retain'd. In v.14. कृश्व्यक्रभंग्रहण्य गर्वागाड may be rendred (and most properly) [prophefied unto these], viz. denouncing Judgment against them, as you read in the next Verse.

Lastly, in the Revelation I might observe that in cb. 3. v. 20. Es xa may most properly be translated [I have flood]: therefore let it be so englished in the Margin, if not in the Text. In ch. 4. v. 4. instead of Seats let Thrones be read, with the word Tother ] in different Characters before it. It is not fit that the fame Word should have two diverse Readings in the same Verse. In v. 6, 8, 9. let [living Creatures] be taken out of the Margin, and be fet in the Text it self in the Place of [Beafts], which is not a fit Word for those that are reprefented by that Vision, especially when to Snelov (not to laor, the word here) is the word which is rightly translated the Beast in this Book. In ch. rr. v. 17. those Words, o du, i o hu, i o egoplos, are not rightly rendred, which art, and wast, and art to come, but thus, who is, and was, and is to come. In ch. 13. on peia in v. 13. is translated [Wonders], in the 14th [Miracles], which ought to be corrected for the Reason so often given, viz. because the same Greek Word should be rendred by the same English one, if there be no apparent Cause for the contrary. In ch. 14. v. 13. per αυτών should be rendred with them: [their Works follow with them. ] In ch. 16. v. 12. the way of the Kings who are from the Risings of the Sun, is the true

Translation has is absorped. These are the several Places which I conceive ought to be altered, and translated more exactly. I fay not this to impair or derogate from the Credit and Honour of our English Translation, much less to condemn the Present Edition, or to expose the Scriptures themselves; but my Design is to represent them in their native Excellency and Purity,

and literal Version of the Greek is odds & Bankew

ซึ่ ฉัทง นี้เฉางงิลัง หังโร; but in our present English

of the Holy Scriptures. and to contribute by this Critical Essay towards so worthy an End. For it is certain that nothing can more commend the Holy Writ than an Exall Tranflation, i. e. such a one as faithfully represents to us the Express Text of Scripture. Wherefore I humbly offer the forementioned Places to the Confideration of the Learned and Judicious, and leave the whole or part to be approved or rejected as they shall think fit. Perhaps when our Church-Affairs are fettled, this will not feem unworthy of the Thoughts of a Convocation, who I question not will fee that the Revising and Correcting of our English Translation of the Bible in all or in most of those Places (and in feveral others which I have not here propounded) is very requifite. It is my judgment that as out of the Vulgar Latin and the Modern Latin Versions, one entire one might be made in that Language that should be generally used in Quotations among the Orthodox Learned, so a New English Translation might be composed out of this Last Edition as to the main, but with such New Alterations and Amendments as should render the Stile and Sense in many Places more accurate, and should make it acceptable to the most Curious English Readers. And here I advise that the Marginal Notes of the Present English Bible be often consulted, because the best and most genuine Translations of Words are frequently put there. But in the foregoing Animadversions I have taken no notice of those different Significations of Words which are placed there. I have only offer'd those that have not hitherto been observed.

In the last Place I might add fomething concerning the Division of the Bible into Chapters and Verfes. It is not to be doubted that Moses, the Prophets, Evangelists and Apostles writ their Books 003

without any such Partition, and this was the way of all other Writers of old. But it appears that the Books of the Prophets were divided afterwards into Parashes, before our Saviour's Time, and this distribution of them is often mention'd in the Talmuds. This was done by the Jews for the more methodical reading of them in the Synagogues. Some of the ' Greek Fathers take notice of this Distribution, (and consequently it was made before their Time) for they mention the wegine wai in the Old Testament, which signify the same thing, and Gerom speaks of a Pericope of Geremiah. Yea, if I mistake not, this sort of Sections or Parashes is mention'd in Alls 8. 32. and is call'd there weeled. The Books of the Evanglists and Apostles were after. wards divided into certain Sections by some of the Primitive Bishops and Pastors, for the more convenient reading of them, herein imitating the Tews who had done the same in the Old Testament. These are call'd weginowal by the 'Eastern Fathers, and Lectiones by the Latin Ones; They were the same that we call Chapters. Verses were also antient, but not the same that are at prefent, nor were all the Books fo divided. St. Jerom tells us he distributed the Books of the Chronicles and that of Ezekiel into Verses. And some of the Books of the New Testament were thus divided: particularly the Epistle to the Galatians was parted into these show by Origen, as & Jerom informs us. But it is certain that all these Partitions, whether into Chapters or Verses, wore very much different from

Justin Mart. Dialog. cum Tryph. Clem. Alexand. Strom. 1. 3. Præfat. in Daniel. ' Clem. Alex. Strom. 1. 7. Athanal. Orat. 3. cont. Arian. Bafil de Baptismo, 1. 3. quaft. 4 Chrylost. hom. 3. de Lazaro. Pezefat. in Epist. ad Gasat.

from what we have at this Day. To this purpose 'tis observable (as Heinsius and some other Criticks out of Suidas relate) that the New Testament was divided into τίτλοι and κεφάλαια; and fo far as I can gather from the Account which they give of these two, the former of these was the division of a Book into Chapters, and the latter into Verses or some such fmall Portion: though at this Day there is a quite different Sense of the Words, for the κεφάλαια are the greater division, and the rithouthe lesser. As to the Division of the whole Bible (consisting of 39 Books of the Old Testament, and 27 of the New) into distinct Chapters, as they are now among us, viz. 779 in the Old Testament and 260 in the New, it was made by Lanfrank Archbishop of Canterbury about the Year 1060: others fay by Stephen Langton, who was Archbishop of the same See in K. John's Time, about the Year 1200. It is faid by others that Cardinal Hugo twenty or thirty Years afterwards was the first that contrived the Distinction of Chapters of the Old Testament, for fitting the Hebrew Text to the Concordance of the Bible, which he was Author of. The dividing of Chapters into Verses was more lately, being the Work of the Industrious and Learned Robert Stephens about eightscore Years since. But whoever were the first Authors of this Division of the Bible into Chapters and Verses, it is certain that it is not rightly made. The beginning of the 10th Chap. of Isaiah should not be cut of from the oth Chapter, for it belongs to it, and at the Close of the 5th v. of the 10th Chap. (which is so now) the 9th should end. And many other Chapters in the Old Testament are ill divided. But especially in the New Testament one may see that the distin-Ction of Chapters and Verses now in use was drawn. up in haste, whereby some Matters that should have been united are severed, and vice versa. The 1st Verse of the 4th Chapter to the Colossians should have been joined to the third Chapter: and the Division of the Verses in many other Places ought to be corrected and altered, as Sir N. Knatchbull hath in several Instances shew'd. We may take Junius and Tremellius for an Example, who have alter'd the Chapters sometimes in the Latin; and it might be as convenient to imitate them both in the Old

and New Testament in English. Nor will this Changing or any other Alteration which I have before fuggefted, be any Argument at all of the Imperfection of Scripture. This remains entire in it felf, and is not in the least changed. And the Design of my present Enterprize was to affert this, and to evince the Perfection of the Original Text, and to let us fee that all Translations must be regulated by that. No Version of the Bible is so absolutely Authentick that we ought to adhere to that, and no other. 'The Hebrew of the Old Testament and the Greek of the New are the only Standard, and all must be examined and tried, altered and amended by this. It is granted there is some Variation in the Copies, but the Diligent and Unprejudiced may find out what is Genuine. Some have fancied that the careless of Transcribers hath caused some Literal Faults, but then they acknowledg that none of them are Material and Considerable, they relate not to Faith and Good Manners. This is the very Confession of Spinoza, who hath spoken so ill of the Bible; This I can cer-

Ur Veterum librorum fides de Hebræis Voluminibus examinanda eft, ita Novorum veritas Græci Sermonis normam defiderate Hieron. Epist. ad Lucin.

tainly

of the Holy Scriptures. tainly affirm, ' saith he, that I have not found any fault or variety of readings about the moral Documents, which may render them obscure and dubious. Wherefore our Affertion still remains impregnable and unshaken, that the Sacred Volume of the Scriptures. is Compleat and Perfect, and hath all things in it which can speak it a most Consummate Work.

## CHAP. XIV.

The Reader is invited to the Study of the Bible, as he values the Repute of a Scholar and a Learned That he may successfully study this Holy Book he must be furnish'd with Tongues, Arts, History, &c. It is necessary that he be very Inquisitive and Diligent in searching into the Mind and Design of the Sacred Writers: In examining the Coherence of the Words: In Comparing Places together: In observing and discovering the peculiar Grace and Elegancy, and sometimes the Verbal Allusions and Cadences of the Holy Scripture, of which several Instances are given. He must also be Morally qualified to read this Book, i. e. he ought to banish all Prejudice: He must be Modest and Humble: He must endeavour to free himself from the Love of all Vice: He must with great Earnestness implore the Assistance of the Holy Spirit.

T remains that I conclude with a serious Address and Invitation to the Reader, to admire and value this Book which is fo transcendently Excellent and Compleat, to prize it above all others

<sup>!</sup> Tractar. Theolog. Polit. cap. 9.

thers whatfoever, constantly to read, peruse and study these Holy Writings. The Laws of that Vile Impostor Mahomet, are stilled the Alcoran from legit, as much as to fay the Book is to be read. And shall we not think that that Sacred Volume which contains the Laws of our Heavenly Master and Infallible Teacher, deserves that Respect from us? For this reason the Hebrews call the Holy Scripture Mikra, i. e. lectionem, because it is to be read by all, because this Divine Book is to be univerfally perused, revolved and searched into. We are not forbid to be acquainted with Other Authors, such as may conduce to useful Knowledg whether fecular or religious, especially such as may he fomeways helpful towards the understanding of the Scriptures. But there is a great Number of Writers that are trifling, vain and useless: others are dangerous and pernicious. Meddle with neither of these: or if you have, lay them out of your Hands forthwith, and take up the Bible, the only Book that is Worthy of your most serious perusal. Behold here the Book of God! There are no Writings any where like these, none can afford any thing comparable to them. It may be observed that the Holy Spirit hath made use of divers Sorts of Persons in the penning of this Volume, Moses bred up in the Schools of the Egyptians, Daniel one of the chief of the Wife Men and Princes of the Persian Court, David and Solomon Kings, Jeremy and Ezekiel Priests, Amos a Herdsman: in the New Testament Matthew a Converted Publican, Paul brought up at the Feet of Gamaliel, the rest of the Evangelists and Aposles Fishermen and Tradesmen: that hence Persons of all Ranks and Degrees may be admonish'd to converse with these Sacred Writings, that they may think them-**Selves** 

felves concern'd in these Messages deliver'd by different Embassadors. 1 have sometimes observed that some Men of no contemptible Learning and Reading, and who are acquainted with store of Good Authors, have no regard for this Excellent Book, and never think themselves obliged to look into it. But this argues a great defect of Judgment, (to fay no worse now) for even in the Point of Scholarship they cannot be without the Knowledg of the Bible. So far as they are Ignorant of this, they are deficient in Learning: for (as I have demonstrated) this Book is fraught with all Humane Learning, and gives Instructions concerning the choicest Arts and Sciences. Upon which account it is of such universal use, that no fort of Persons can be ignorant of it without great Inconvenience and Damage. He is no Antiquary that is not skill'd in these Writings which are of the greatest Antiquity: He is no Historian that is not acquainted with the Important Transactions of this Book: He is no Statesman or Politician who hath not infight into the Excellent Maxims and Laws which are found here: He is no right Natural Philosopher who is not acquainted with the Origin and Make of this Mundane System as they are represented in the Mosaick Physiology in the first Chapter of Genesis: He is no Accomplish'd Grammarian, Critick or Rhetorician who is ignorant of that Philological Learning which these Writings afford: And chiefly lie is no Good Man or Christian who is a Stranger to those Admirable Rule which are here laid down. Wherefore it is the concern of all Persons to converse with the Scriptures, and to apply themselves with great diligence to the reading of them, and that daily and frequently. Let this Holy Book be seldom out of your Hands. Though you

of the Holy Scriptures.

you have often perused it, yet continue to do so still, 'for you will thereby receive infinite Advantage. There is ever something gain'd by a fresh and repeated reading of it. Some new Matter is discover'd, or the old is illustrated and confirm'd: We either know more, or know better than we did before.

That our Reading of the Holy Scriptures may be of this Nature, and that we may study and understand them aright. I propound these ensuing Rules and Directions. First, It is requisite that we furnish our felves with other Learning to make our felves capable of understanding the Bible. All Arts require a Master and Teacher, even the lowest and mechanical. All Trades and Sciences are to be learn'd: none presumes to meddle with them till. they have been instructed in them. And yet we may observe that all degrees of Persons pretend to interpret the Scriptures, though they were never. instructed, never prepared, as 'St. Jerom complain'd of old. A great many imagine that the Weakest Brains can comprehend the Contents of this Book, and without all other knowledg attain to the meaning of them. But this is a gross Mistake, and is one cause of Mens wresting and corrupting the Scriptures: They are apadeis, 2 Pet. 3. 16. unlearned, and unwilling to be taught, (for fo the Word imports) they neglect the means of Knowledg, they use not the proper Helps conducing to it. Or whatever they were in St. Peter's Time, we are

'Non est similis qui legit lectionem suam centies ei qui legit cam centies & semel. Talm. '[Sola Scripturarum ars est quam sibi passim omnes vendicant. Hanc garrula anus, hanc deliras seuex, hanc sophista verbosus; hanc universi prastumunt, sacerant, docent, antequam diseant.] Hieron. Epist. ad Paulin.

fure that now a competent Measure of Humane Learning is required to understand these Writings: For though they surpass all Humane Wisdom, yet it is as true that they have strictures of all Arts and Sciences in them, and are written in the Learned Languages, and (as I have shew'd formerly) contain in them all forts of Words, Phrases and Idioms : Wherefore there is a Necessity of the Arts and Tongues for understanding this Book. In the Writings of Moses and the Prophets, of the Apostles and Evangelists, there are the Rites, Customs, Manners, Opinions, Sayings, Proverbs, of almost all Nations in the World, especially of the Antient Hebrews: Wherefore a Knowledg of their Writings and Antient Monuments, a Converse with History and Antiquities, are absolutely requisite, especially for explaining the difficult Places. And to have a true Notion of feveral Passages in the Epistles of the Apostles, Ecclesiastical History is needful, which gives us notice of the Hereticks of that time, or of those concerning whom the Apostles prophetically speak. The Writings of the Fathers are to be confulted, and that with great application of Mind, that we may not mistake the interpretations which those Learned and Pious Men give of the respective Places of Scripture, that we may be edified by their Religious Comments, but not imbibe any of their Errors. This which I now fay principally concerns the Guides and Ministers of the Church, who are supposed to be Men of Learning and Scholarship: and truly a great Part of the Bible is more especially sitted for such. It is their province to expound and teach this Holy Book, which is it felf a Library, and is of that Nature that it cannot be rightly understood and explain'd without acquaintance with the Antient Writers of

the Church, without skill in the Tongues, Rhetorick, Logick, Philosophy, History, Criticism; for as it is furnish'd with all Literature, so it requires all to unfold it aright. As for the Apollles, tho fome of them had no knowledg in Arts and Sciences, yet that Defect was abundantly recompensed by the extraordinary Gifts and Endowments of the Holy Ghost. So most of the Primitive Christians in the Apostles Days, who were not Hebrews, unz derstood the Language in which the Old Testament was written by their Gift of Tongues. And as for the Greek of the New Testament, it was univerfally known, and so was in a manner the native Tongue both to the lews and others of that time. But Men are not now instructed in Strange Languages by the Spirit, nor are they born with Hebrew or Greek, neither are they Inspired with Arts and Humane Knowledg: and confequently Study and Reading and Long Exercise are indispensably requis fite. Clement of Alexandria would have his ruwsinds, i. e. his Perfect and Compleat Theologue, be skill'd in Humane Literature and Philosophy. In short, to be a Consummate Divine, and thorowly knowing in the Bible, it is necessary that he be a Man of Universal Learning.

Secondly, that we may read and understand the Scriptures it is requisite that we be exceeding Attentive, Observing, Considerate; that we be very Inquisitive, Thoughtful and Diligent. This Rule may be explain'd in several Particulars;

1. We must use great Thoughtfulness, Diligence and Care in penetrating into the Defign and Sense of those Inspired Writings. St. Chrysoftom de-

livers the Rule thus, 'we must not only examine the meer naked Words, and infift upon them simply and absolutely consider'd, but we must chiefly attend to the Mind and Intent of the Writer. Sometimes instead of an Absolute meaning of the Words in Scripture, they are to be taken Comparatively, or with Limitation, they must be restrain'd to the Matter in Hand. As to Instance, No Man can say that Jesus is the Lord but by the Holy Ghost, 1 Cor. 12. 3. i. e. no Man can say so from his Heart. There is that Reserve implied. Where I am, ye eannot come, John 7. 34. i. e. ye can't come yet, but afterwards you shall. All that came before me are Thieves and Robbers, John 10.8. i.e. all False Prophets (for he means them) are such. It is reported that there is such Fornication among you as is not so much as named among the Gentiles, that one should bave bis Father's Wife, I Cor. 5.1. This fort of Fornication was not only named but practised among the Gentiles, for there are several Examples in Pagan Story of marrying the Father's Wife, therefore here must be meant the more Sober Sort of Gentiles. And so in many other Places things which seem to be absolutely spoken are to be understood in a restrained Sense.

2. It is necessary that we be very thoughtful and inquisitive about the Context, the Dependance, the Connection of those Places which we fearch into. We are to be exceeding mindful what the Words refer to, what Coherence they have with what went before and what follows. To know the true Sense of them we must carefully observe the Subject-matter: for this is certain that Propolitions are true or not true according to this. You will

meet

<sup>1</sup> Strome libe de

<sup>.</sup> Ou des ru finuara juura, &c. In cap. 1. ad Galat.

3. This Attentiveness and Care must be exercis'd in Comparing one Place with another, or with divers others, if there be occasion. For (as an 'Intelligent Person rightly suggests) all Truth being consonant to it self, and all being penn'd by one and the felf-same Spirit, it cannot be but that an industrious and judicious Comparing of Place with Place must be a singular help for the right understanding of the Scriptures. This One Rule, if well and duly observ'd, will carry us through most of the Difficulties of the Bible. For this we may depend upon that the Scripture is its own Interpreter, that the best Comment on this Book is it felf. Wherefore let us not be halty and giddy, but diligently compare the Scripture with it self: for there are certain Texts and Passages of the Bible that are allied to, and fymbolize with one another. The observing of this will be of great Advantage to us. Thus Gen. 49. may be explain'd out of Deut. 32. The Bleffings and Prophecies of Jacob concerning the Tribes receive Light hence, and also from the particular Histories in Joshua and Judges concerning the Actions of the feveral Tribes. This ought to be remembred that Obscure and Difficult Places of Scripture are to be explain'd by those that are Clear and Easy. We must interpret those that are Uncertain by Texts that are undoubtedly certain and plain. So as for those that are Brief and Contracted, the best way is to expound them by those that are Large and Full. The

of the Holy Scriptures. The Beatitudes in Luke 6. are the same, but epitomized, with those in Matth. 5. and therefore there is good reason to explain the former by the latter. That Text of Isaiah, ch. 6. v. 9. Hear ye indeed, but understand not; &cc. is contracted in Mark 4. 12. Luke 8. 10. John 12. 40. but it is at large in Mar. 13.14, 13. and accordingly thence the Sense appears best. And whilest we are expounding one Place by another, we must not forget to search diligently into all the Circumstances of either, and to consider distinctly by whom, of what particular thing, to whom, at what time, on what occasion they were spoken. If we be thus Industrious and Attentive, we shall be effectually directed to the right; meaning of the Texts; and we shall find none of those Contradictions which Unthinking and Careless Readers through want of Collation of Texts imagine to be in Scripture.

3164. This Inquisitiveness and Observation will lead us to a discovery of the singular Elegancy and Beauty of the Sacred Stile. There are peculiar Forms and Modes of Speech in feveral Nations, proper to them, and 'tis very hard to renden them in another Tongue: or if you attempt it, the Elegancy vanisheth. Thus there is a particular Excellency and Lustre in the Phrase and manner of Expression which the Holy Ghost useth in this Book: it is such that it sometimes rises above the Arain of the most Eloquent Orators of Greece or Rame. H But this cannot be taken notice of by the generality of Readers, because it is impossible to difeern it, unless with great sedulity they search into the Words themselves, and by being acquainted with the Original come to perceive the peculiar Grace of the Words and Phrases. Thus in the Greek of the New Testament there is in many Pla-1.04.7

Mr. Herbert's Prieft to the Temple.

of the Holy Scriptures.

579

ces a most Remarkable Choice of Words, and a Wonderful Accommodating them to the Matter spoken of. Many Words in this Language are so full and comprehensive that they cannot be express'd in English. We do not reach the pregnancy of the Word фееналинай, Gal. 6.3. and феенальный Tit. 1. 10. for in these Words is included not only deceiving but felf-deceit, or deceiving and impofing upon a Man's own Mind. Yea the latter Word which is barely rendred Deceivers, may import the deceiving of the Minds or Souls of others. Our Translators are forced to use two Words to render that fingle one everyspolon, Jam. J. 16. 'AMorphoemis κοπ ( , 1 Pet. 4. 15. is translated by a Periphrasis, six Words in English for one in Greek, but indeed this is a Compound or Double Word. There is more in the Original, Luke 21. 34. than can be express'd in the Translation: We render it thus, Take heed lest your Hearts be overcharged: But there is a Marvellous Elegancy in the Greek which ordinary Readers cannot perceived For rather is an equivocal Word, and fignifies not only the Soul and its Faculties, but that noble Vifeus of the Heart well known by that Name, and also that Part of the Body which is the receptacle of Meat and Drink, viz. the Stomach. This is a Criticism not unworthy the taking notice of, and it much inhanses the Sense of our Saviour's Excellent Caveat here. That regard hath this latter Signification sometimes, is evident from the Name of that Distemper which Physicians give to the Pain in the upper Orifice of the Stomach, which being near to the Heart, affects that; whence the Distemper is call'd raedlandia and raedlayuos. It is vulgarly call'd Heart-burning, which is indeed a Distemper of the upper Mouth of the Stomach, and should rather be call'd Stomach-burning, which is when

when this part of the Body is pained and difordered by reason of some sharp and noxious Humour. The Stomach and the Heart affecting one another by Confent, the former hath been call'd by the Greek Word which is given to the latter. Thus Galen testifies that the old Physicians used the Word reserving No in this Sense, and accordingly the Cardiac Diftemper was that of the Stomach. The affinity of these Words might also be shew'd in the Latin Stomachus and the English Stomach, which denote sometimes that Great Spirit and Stubborness which have their Seat in the Heart. But it most manifestly appears (as I have shew'd) in that Language wherein the New Testament is written; and St. Lake who was a Greek Physician, and well skill'd in the Terms of the Art, did particularly refer to this, and notably uses a Word that signifies both the Stomach and Heart properly so call'd, because this sitly at grees to what our Saviour faith, that they should ner be overcharg'd with Surfeiting and Drunkennefs, wherein the Stomach is mainly concern'd, nor with the Cares of this Life, wherein the Heart and Affettions are most interested: Wherefore a Word that imports both is very elegant. A parallel Place is that Alls 14.17. ——filling our Hearts with Food and Gladness: where tis plain that xxediai is an equivocal Term, and fignifies something else besides Hearts: for if there were not this Ambiguity in the Word, filling their Hearts with Food would be a very odd and unaccountable Expression. But the Translators could not use both Senses, therefore they fet down one, and left the other to be understood But the Doubtful Word, according to the Subject matter, may be applied both ways, that is, their Stomachs were replenished with Food, and their Hearts (as that fignifies the Soul and its Affections) Pp 2

with Gladness. And further to corroborate this Criticism, and to shew the peculiar Excellency and Pregnancy of the Scripture-Stile, the word raedia is appropriated to the Stomach in Jam. 5. 5. Te have nourish'd your Hearts as in a Day of Slaughter: for here by a Day of Slaughter (as all Expositors of any Note grant) is meant a Day of Feasting, because on Great Festivals many Bealts were kill'd for Sacrifice, and a great part of them were eaten by the Sacrificers and their Friends, Prov. 7. 14. Ifa. 22. 13. And confequently by Hearts we are to understand their Stomachs and whole Bodies, and by nourishing them is meant feeding and pampering of them. The Apostle rebukes the Gluttony and Intemperance of the Voluptuous Men of that Age, who made every Day a Day of Slaughter, a Day of Feasting and Revelling. I could parallel this with a Passage in the Old Testament, where leb hath the same ambiguous Signification with raedla; Comfort ye your Hearts, Gen. 18.5. which is spoken of Abraham's entertaining the Angels, and refers to the Morfel of Bread there mention'd, for so he was pleas'd to call his Generous Provision which he made for his Guests. Stay, saith he, support, sustain (for so the word sagnad signifies) your Stomachs, and thereby refresh and comfort your Hearts with this Entertainment. So the word Depens is used in an equivocal Sense by Homer on the like occasion; for speaking of Mercury's being entertain'd by Calypso, he saith,

ordinor if heave Dundy edudy,

He supp'd, and stay'd his Heart (or his Stomach) with Meat. Thence Bread is call'd Missingnan, fulcrum, sustentaculum, Isa. 3. 1. a Stay, a Staff. And among the

the Old Hebrews Segnudab (i. e. fulcimentum) was a Dinner: and so Food among us is known by the vulgar Name of Sustenance. I hope that from all these things which I have alledged, the Critical Notion which I offer'd is made very plain and obvious.

And in feveral other Instances I could make it good that there are those Peculiar Graces of Speech in the Sacred Writings which the most Exquisite Translations cannot fully reach. I will particularly instance in one fort, which are usually call'd Paranomasia's, i. e. Elegant Allusions and Cadences of Words. Thus there is a clear Allusion to Japheth's Name in Gen. 9. 27. Japht lejepheth. There are no less than three of these in one Verse, Gen. 11. 3. Nilbenah lebenim, nifrephah lifrephah, hachemar lachomer. In Gen. 49. there are several of these Verbal Allusions, as Jehudah joduka, v. 8. Dan jadin, v. 16. Gad gedud jegudennu, v. 19. which are plain References to the Names of Judah, Dan and Gad. There is a Paranomasia in the word Chamor, Judg. 15. 16. which fignifies both an Ass and a Heap, but this is quite lost in our Translation, Heaps upon Heaps, with the Jaw-bone of an Ass. The Mount of Olives is in way of Contempt call'd the Mount of Corruption, Mashchith, 2 Kings 23. 13. alluding to Mishchah, anointing, for which the Oil of Olives was serviceable. In Psal. 39. 11. the Pfalmist alludes to the Names of Adam and Abel when he faith הכל כל- ארם, All Adam is Abel, or every Man is Vanity. And Selah is here added to denote the Emphatick Elegancy of this Passage. And again, Pfal. 144. 4. Adam is like Abel: We render the Hebrew right enough, Man is like Vanity, but then the Nominal Allusion is not express'd. There is a great Number of Paranomasia's in Isaiah: as in ch. 1. v. 23. Sare sorerim, the Princes are rebelli-

Lan-

ous. Ch. 5. v. 7. he looked for Mishphat, Judgment, but behold Mishpah Oppression; for Tzedekah Righteoujness, but behold Tzegnakah a Cry. Four of these pleasant Cadences you meet with together in ch. 24. v. 3, 4. Hibbok tibbok, bibboz tibboz, dibber dabar, oblah noblah. Ch. 32. v. 7. Chelai chelav, the Instruments of the Churl. Some observe the Likeness of Sound in the Hebrew Words for Bridegroom and decketh himself, and for Bride and Jewels, ch. 61. v.10. We may observe in Jer. 6. 1. a plain Allusion to the word Tekoah in the Word preceding it. A remarkable Cadence is to be taken notice of in Mic. 1. 14. the Houses of Aczib (the Name of a Place) shall be Aczab a Lie: and the Learned Dr. Pocock ohserves, that the Prophet in the next Verses hath Allusions to the Names of those other Cities Mareshah and Adullam, in what he there saith of them. The like you find in Zeph. 2. 4. where the Destruction of Gaza and Ekron is foretold, but there are no Footsteps of it in the Translation. The last Place I will mention in the Old Testament is Zech. 9. 3. Tyre built her self a strong-hold, Tzor built her self Matzor.

This way of speaking is used also in the New Testament by our Saviour and his Apostles. To weina, the Wind bloweth where it listeth: fo is every one that is born ca το Πνεύματο, of the Spirit, John 3. 8. The fame Word fignifying Wind and Spirit, Christ takes occasion thence to speak after this Allusive Manner, which no Translation can express. So σύ ε Πέτεω, κ, 6πι πάντη τη πέτρα, Mat. 16. 18. cannot be discern'd in the English Translation. St. Paul hath several Verbal Likenesses in his Epistles, as 1 Cor. o. 21. pro av avous, am องเอเม . 2 Cor. 5.8. อมสานต์ อน เ ยุ ยงสานต์ อน. Philem. v. 11. nore axensor, vovi de luxensor. 2 Theff.

3. 1.1. μπαθει έρχαζομιζώσε, άλλιὰ περι ερχαζομιζώσε, which Henry Stephens hath express'd by the like Paranomasy in Latin, nibil agentes, sed curiose satagentes. And feveral others of this kind there are in this Apostle's Writings which are more commonly taken notice of, and therefore I omit them. Grosius and some others think there are Allusions to the Names of the Seven Asiatick Churches in the things that are faid of them in the Epifles to them, Rev. 2d and 3d Chapters: but perhaps that is too fanciful. This we are certain of, that this Mode of Speech was not unufual among the Oriental Writers, and so 'tis no wonder that it occurs sometimes in the Holy Scripture. Even among some of the best Roman Authors this is no unfrequent thing: thus Verres, the Avaritious and Extorting Pretor of Sicily, is by Tully call'd Verrens, Sweep-all,

of the Holy Scriptures.

Non nautas puto, sed vos Argonautas.

And many fuch Vorbal Jests this Grave Pleader hath

in his Orations, and other Parts of his Writings;

which shews it was thought to be a Pulchritude in

their Stile. So Martial plaid upon the idle Mari-

Horace begins his Epistle to one Albius, a Patron of his, thus;

Albi nostrorum sermonum candide judex;

Alluding in that Epithet to his Name: and he hath several other of these Charientisms. Which we cannot but fometimes observe likewise in other Antient Writers of good Account. But that which I remark at present is, that even the Sacred and Inspired Stile disdains not this manner of speaking; which none are capable of taking notice of but those that have some Knowledg of the Original P p 4

ners;

Languages in which the Sacred Text is writ. And in several other Particulars it were easy to shew the Gracefulness of the Holy Stile, and that singular Turn and Peculiar Air in the Original which cannot be express'd in the Translation. There are many Words, Phrases and Sentences which must lose a great deal of their native Weight and Spirit by being done into another Language. Therefore on this, as well as on the other Accounts beforenamed, we must be very Considerate and Attentive when we read this Divine Book.

Thirdly, There must be great Moral and Religious Qualifications likewise: for this is the Book of God, and therefore we must come to it with agreeable Inclinations, Wills and Affections. Men complain that there is a great Contention about the interpreting of Scripture, and Different Parties can't agree: whence they proceed to blame the Obscurity and Uncertainty of the Scripture it felf. But herein these Persons themselves are very blameable, for this Disagreement in the interpreting of Sacred Writarises not wholly from the Obscurity of it, nor doth it proceed from the Uncertainty of it, (as some would suggest) but from Mens Depraved Minds and Passions. Wherefore our main Care ought to be.

1st. To free our selves from all Wilful Prejudice and Perverseness, which have been the first and original Causes of misunderstanding the Scriptures. Thus the Infernal Spirit, when he tempted our Saviour, most perversly quoted Pfal. 91. 11. and misapplied it to his purpose. And from him Hereticks and Seducers have learnt to cite and make use of Scripture to evil Deligns, viz. to uphold some Error or Vice. What an Antient Writer of the Church faith of one fort of Heretical Teachers,

that

of the Holy Scriptures. that ' they interpret the Sense of the Holy Writ according to their own Pleasure, is true of them all: their constant Practice is to strain and distort these Sacred Writings, to construe them according to their own Fancies, and to make them like an Echo, speak what they please. Their great Work in consulting and turning over this Volume is to find fomething they may misinterpret for their own Ends. Their Affection to a particular Cause makes them believe and affert any thing, though never fo improbable: and then they alledg Scripture to back it, though it be wholly foreign to the purpose. These Persons are of the Number of those Depravers of Truth, who (as 'One of the Antient Fathers gives us their Character) do not accommodate their Minds to the Scripture, but pervert and draw the Mind of the Scripture to their own Wills, This gloffing and expounding of the Bible, according to Mens corrupt Fancies, is, as ' M. Luther hath expressed it, like straining Milk through a Colefack: it blackens and defiles the pure Word of God, it depraves and fallifies the Mind of the Spirit. Those Men are to be abhorr'd that submit not their Thoughts and Conceptions to this Sacred Standard, who compel the Scripture to serve their Private Opinions, who make no conscience of putting a Text upon the Rack to make it speak what it intended not, of miserably torturing it, that they may force it to confess what it never meant. These Persons should be reminded how great a Sin it is to distort and deprave the Holy Writ, and defignedly to draw it to another Sense than it natural-

<sup>&#</sup>x27; ไปเพร รัฐแหน่งบอบ ารร วี โรรูพีบ าน บอกแลาน γεαφών. Euseb. Eccl. Hift. I. 4. c. 27. Bafil. M. Orat. 2. in Hexaem. loqu Mensal.

ly bears. And the Penalty is as grievous as the Crime; for, as the Apostle St. Peter informs us, this Generation of Men wrest the Scripture unto their own Destruction, 2 Pet. 3. 16. Wherefore let none: presume to be guilty in this Nature, and dare to follow their own finister Imaginations in the interpreting of the Inspired Writings, but let them attend to that Advice of a Pious and Learned Author, 'We should be more willing to take a Sense from Scripture than to bring one to it. Let us strive to know the naked and pure Meaning of the Spirit; and in order to that read the Bible with an Unprejudiced and Sincere Mind, which is an Excellent Interpreter. Whereas'tis a certain Truth that Perverse Minds will pervert the Scriptures.

2dly. We ought to read these Divine Writings with great Modesty and Humility. Let it not trouble us that some Parts of them are not level to our Understandings. And where we cannot solve some things, let us not arrogantly pretend to do it. It is no Disgrace to confess our Ignorance here. I can assure you this hath been done by the Learnedest Heads. There is a Learned Ignorance, as St. Augustin terms it, and we need not be ashamed to be Masters of it. These four things (mention'd in Eccles. 12. 6.) I understand not, saith Castellio. I scarcely understand the thousandth Part of this Book, saith he concerning the Apocalypse. And tis frequent with this Learned Man to fay, I know not the Meaning of this Place. That Man is impudently rash who dares profess that he understands one single Book of the Bible in all its Parts, faith ' Luther. I own it that I am so blind that I cannot see any thing at all in that

dark Place of Scripture, Amos 5. 26. faith the 'Great Selden. But the contrary Temper and Spirit have swell'd some with proud Conceits of their understanding some Passages of this Book, when they have no true Apprehension of them in the least, and accordingly they have endeavour'd in a supercilious manner to impose their crude Sense upon others, not craving but commanding Assent to what they have propounded. These bold Men forget what the Wise King saith, 4 It is the Glory of God to conceal a Matter, to speak sometimes in so dark and hidden a manner that there is need of great fearching, studying and enquiring into the things that are said: and yet at last they remain abstruse and unintelligible. It hath pleased God, the Wise Governour of the World, that the Scripture should have Difficulties and Obscurities in it, that there should be some things hard to be understood. But as Socrates said of Heraclitus's Writings, What he underfood of them was very good, and so he believed that to be which he understood not; the like may we with more Reason pronounce concerning the Sacred Scriptures. The Matters which we have Knowledg of (which are the main Body and Substance of the Book) are Excellent and Divine; and so there is Reason to conclude that those Parts of it which are hidden from us are of the same Nature. There is no occasion to find fault with the Sovereign Wisdom of God, but it is our apparent Duty to lay aside Pride, and to exercise Humility, which will capacitate us to understand even those Great Mysteries and Abstrusities when we have with much Diligence and frequent Study fearch'd into them.

3dly.

dark

Mr. Mede Diatrib. ! Epist. 121. cap. 15. . Opus in Pfalmos.

De Dis Syr. Prov. 25. 1.

adly. We must think our selves concern'd to purge our Hearts and Lives from all Defilements of Vice. For 'tis certain that a quick Brain, a subtile Head, and a nimble Wit, are not so much required to the understanding of Divine Truth as an Honest Mind and a Religious Practice. To Men of polluted Consciences and profane Manners the Scriptures feem dark and mysterious, but to those of fanctified Minds and holy Lives they are as to the most part plain and clear. These Qualifications render them as bright as a Sun-beam. What the Turks are said to write on the back-side of the Alcoran, Let none touch this Book but he that is pure, may with great Reason and Justice be written on the Holy Book of Scripture, and that only: for a Pure Life is the best Commentator on these Writings. A wonderful measure of Knowledg and Infight into these Divine Truths which are here contain'd, is the Effect of observing and practising the Holy: Precepts of this Book. This then we ought to urge upon our felves, to come to the reading of Scripture with defecate and purged Minds, with Love to what it dictates, and with Obedience to it. This should be our principal Care, to live well, and to walk according to this Excellent Rule. All our Religion, and the whole Conduct of our Actions in this World depend upon the Scriptures: therefore let us be directed and govern'd by the Infallible Maxims, Precepts, Promises, and Threatnings of this Book. We see Men live by Custom. by the Dictates of Others, or by their Own Opinions, which oftentimes prove erroneous, and lead them into unwarrantable Practices. But they would not be thus misguided if they consulted These Lively Oracles of God, this sure Word of Prophecy, if they

regu-

of the Holy Scriptures. regulated their Actions by this Exact Canon. And hereby we are certain to improve our Knowledg in this Holy Book: for by living according to it, we shall the better understand it; by minding the Practical Contents of it, we shall have a full Discovery of its Principles and Doctrines.

Lastly. That we may attain to a right understanding of the Sense of Scripture, that we may have a due Perception of the Meaning of what is deliver'd here, let us most earnestly invoke the Divine Aid and Assistance. He that reads this Book without Prayer, can never expect to be bless'd with a compleat Knowledg of it. For it is the fole Work of the Divine Spirit to illuminate our Minds effectually. There is required the special Help of this Heavenly Instructor to direct us into Truth: wherefore he is call'd the Spirit of Truth, and the Unition from the Holy One, whereby we know all things. The same Spirit that endlted these Holy Writings must enlighten our Minds to understand them: Which I find thus expressed in the Words of our Church, 'The Revelation of the Holy Ghost inspireth the true meaning of the Scripture into us: in truth we cannot without it attain true Saving-knowledg. And a Learned and Pious Son of our Mother gives his Suffrage in these Words, Wicked Men, however learned, do not know the Scriptures, because they feel them not, and because they are not understood but with the same Spirit that writ them. Seeing then a Spiritual Illumination is requisite in order to the comprehending of Scripture-Truths, we ought with great Fervour and Zeal to request it, we ought with a fingular

John 16. 13. 1 John 2. 20. Second Homily of the Scripture. Mr. Herbert's Prieft to the Temple, or Country-Parfon.

fingular Devotion to repair to this Infallible Teacher, and with mighty Importunity befeech him to open our Eyes that we may behold wondrous things out of the Divine Law, and to conduct our Reasons aright in our Enquiry into this Sacred Volume. And He that commands us to implore his Help, will certainly vouchfafe it to all sincere and devout Supplicants. The Eyes of our Understanding shall be irradiated with a Celestial Beam, and we shall feel an internal Operation of the Spirit on our Hearts, communicating Light and Wisdom. By the Affiftance of this Bleffed Guide we shall not miscarry in our Searches and Endeavours: This Divine Book shall be laid open to us, and we shall have its Mysteries and Depths disclosed to us so far as is convenient for us; and no rational Man ought to defire any more. Yea, as it is with some of those that have studied for the Philosophick Elixar, though they attain not to it, yet in their impetuous Search after it they find out many Excellent Things admirably useful for Mankind, which are a Recompence of their Labours: so though we may fall short of some Grand Secrets which are treasured up in this Inspired Volume, yet we shall not fail of some Choice Discoveries that will make us amends for our most laborious Enquiries. We shall mightily improve our Knowledg, and we shall likewise be under the special Benediction of Heaven. The Rabbins tell us, that when R. Jonathan writ his Targum on the Bible, if at any time the least Fly lit upon his Paper, it was presently consumed with Fire from Heaven. But though this be Romantick, and after the rate of the Rabbins, yet it is a fober Truth that God will protect us in reading and studying

the Holy Scriptures. Whilest we are thus employed, nothing shall disturb or hurt us; the Divine Arm will defend and prosper us, and we shall peruse this Book with that happy Success which we pray'd for. In short, by continual conversing with this Book, which is the only one that hath no Errata's, we shall know how to correct all the Failures of our Notions and of our Lives: we shall enrich our Minds with a Stock of Excellent Principles, and we shall be throughly furnish'd unto all good Works: we shall be conducted to the highest Improvements of Knowledg, and Sanctity in this Life, and to the most Consummate Happiness in another.

THE WARRY COURSE

-amil bumble

 $m{F}_{i}$  , which  $m{F}_{i}$   $m{I}$   $m{N}_{i}$   $m{I}$   $m{S}_{i}$ 

Books written by the Reverende Mr. John and Books written by the Reverende Mr. John and Books with the Books of the Books

of the feet will be

surame a de dis little publica do

e <mark>die des Book Wide Fall de gereele</mark> Epopyel California by Carlon California Edits Roof, Whichinthologie and Carlon

A N Enquiry into leveral Remarkable Texts of the Old and New Testament, which contain some Difficulty in them, with a Probable Referention of them. In two Volumes, in 8°.

A Discourse concerning the Authority, Stile and Persection of the Books of the Old and New Testament, Vol. I. with a Continued Illustration of several Dissicult Texts throughout the whole Work.

A Discourse concerning the Authority, Stile and Perfection of the Books of the Old and New Testament, Vol. II. wherein the Author's former Undertaking is further prosecuted, viz. An Enquiry into several Remarkable Texts which contain some Dissipation in them.

A Discourse concerning the Authority, Stile and Perfection of the Books of the Old and New Testament, Vol. III. treating of the Excellency and Perfection of the Holy Scriptures, and illustrating several difficult Texts occurring in this Undertaking.

All fold by Jonathan Robinson, John Taylor, and John Wyat.